

Approximation by Superpositions of a Sigmoidal Function

G. Lewicki and G. Marino

Abstract. We generalize a result of Gao and Xu [4] concerning the approximation of functions of bounded variation by linear combinations of a fixed sigmoidal function to the class of functions of bounded ϕ -variation (Theorem 2.7). Also, in the case of one variable, [1: Proposition 1] is improved. Our proofs are similar to that of [4].

Keywords: Hölder continuity property, sigmoidal function, ϕ -variation, uniform approximation

AMS subject classification: 41A25, 41A30

0. Introduction

Let $g \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, where \mathbb{R} is considered with the Lebesgue measure. Then g is called a *sigmoidal function* if $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(t) = 1$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} g(t) = 0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set

$$G_n = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n c_i g(a_i x + b_i) : a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}. \quad (0.1)$$

By a result of Gao and Xu [4], each continuous function of bounded variation f can be approximated, with respect to the uniform norm on the interval $[a, b]$, in the set G_n with the error $\frac{C}{n}$, where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on f . This is an interesting result in comparison with a result of Barron [1], who showed that in the multi-dimensional case for a certain class of functions we can get the error $\frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}$ in the L_2 -norm. For other results concerning this type of approximation see, e.g., [1 - 3, 5].

The main result of this note is Theorem 1.1, where the approximation of functions satisfying a property (P) is considered. The class of functions satisfying property (P) is larger than the class of functions of bounded variation. In particular, as a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we get Theorem 2.7, which generalizes a result of Gao and Xu [4].

Note that the approximation of functions by superpositions of a sigmoidal function has many applications in neural networks. Usually these problems require multi-dimensional approximation, but we hope that our one-dimensional results permits to understand multi-dimensional procedures better.

G. Lewicki: Jagiellonian Univ., Dept. Math., Reymonta 4, PL - 30-059 Kraków, Poland; lewicki@im.uj.edu.pl

G. Marino: Univ. of Calabria, Dept. Math., IT - 87036 Arcavacata di Rende, Cosenza, Italy; gmarino@unical.it

1. Main result

Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a continuous, strictly increasing function such that $\phi(0) = 0$. Let the function $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}[a, b]$ satisfy the property*

(P) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can select a partition $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ such that for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, if $x, y \in I_i = [x_{i-1}, x_i]$, then*

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{C}{n}\right) \quad (1.1)$$

and let $g \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be a fixed sigmoidal function. Then

$$\text{dist}(f, G_n) \leq (1 + 8\|g\|_{\infty})\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{C}{n}\right) \quad (1.2)$$

where the distance is taken with respect to the supremum norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{[a,b]}$ on $[a, b]$.

Proof. Take $a_1 < a$ and $b_1 > b$ and let us extend the function f to $f_1 \in C_{\mathbb{R}}[a_1, b_1]$ by putting $f_1(x) = f(a)$ for $x \in [a_1, a]$ and $f_1(x) = f(b)$ for $x \in [b, b_1]$. Observe that f_1 also satisfies property (P) with the same constants as f . Indeed, if $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ is a partition taken for f from property (P), then f_1 with the partition $y_0 = a_1$, $y_i = x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $y_n = b_1$ satisfies property (P). Moreover, $\|f\|_{[a,b]} = \|f_1\|_{[a_1,b_1]}$.

Now fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the partition $a_1 = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_n = b_1$ constructed as above. Choose $\delta > 0$ with

$$3\delta < \min \left\{ |y_{j+1} - y_j|, |a_1 - a|, |b_1 - b| : j = 0, \dots, n-1 \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

and take $\varepsilon > 0$ with

$$4(n-1)\|f\|_{[a,b]}\varepsilon \leq \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{C}{n}\right). \quad (1.4)$$

Select $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $x \in [a, b]$ and $i = 0, \dots, n$,

$$|g(N(x - y_i)) - 1| < \varepsilon \quad \text{if } x - y_i > \delta, \quad (1.5)$$

$$|g(N(x - y_i))| < \varepsilon \quad \text{if } x - y_i < -\delta, \quad (1.6)$$

which is possible since $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g(x) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} g(x) = 0$. Define for $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$g_i(x) = g(N(x - y_{i-1})) - g(N(x - y_i)) \quad (1.7)$$

and set

$$P_f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_1(y_{i-1})g_i(x). \quad (1.8)$$

Observe that $P_f \in G_n$. Now we estimate $f(x) - P_f(x)$ for any $x \in [a, b]$. First note that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x) - 1 \right| \leq 2\varepsilon \quad (1.9)$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x) &= g(N(x - a_1)) - g(N(x - y_1)) + \dots + g(N(x - y_{n-1})) - g(N(x - b_1)) \\ &= g(N(x - a_1)) - g(N(x - b_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \in [a, b]$, $x - a_1 > \delta$ and $x - b_1 < -\delta$, by (1.5) - (1.6) and the above calculations,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x) - 1 \right| \leq |g(N(x - a_1)) - 1| + |g(N(x - b_1))| \leq 2\varepsilon$$

as required.

Now fix $x \in [a, b]$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $x \in [y_{j-1}, y_j]$. Then, by (1.9),

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - P_f(x)| &\leq \left| f_1(x) - f_1(x) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x) \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| f_1(x) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_1(y_{i-1}) g_i(x) \right| \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_{[a,b]}\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^n |f(x) - f_1(y_{i-1})| |g_i(x)| \\ &= 2\|f\|_{[a,b]}\varepsilon + \sum_{|i-j|>1} |f(x) - f_1(y_{i-1})| |g_i(x)| \\ &\quad + \sum_{|i-j|\leq 1} |f(x) - f_1(y_{i-1})| |g_i(x)|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

Now we estimate the first sum of (1.10). If $i - j > 1$, then $x - y_{i-1} > \delta$ and $x - y_i > \delta$. Consequently, by (1.5),

$$|g_i(x)| \leq |g(N(x - y_{i-1})) - 1| + |g(N(x - y_i)) - 1| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Analogously, if $i - j < -1$, then $x - y_{i-1} < -\delta$ and $x - y_i < -\delta$. Hence,

$$|g_i(x)| \leq |g(N(x - y_{i-1}))| + |g(N(x - y_i))| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Finally,

$$\sum_{|i-j|>1} |f_1(x) - f_1(y_{i-1})| |g_i(x)| \leq 4(n-2)\|f\|_{[a,b]}\varepsilon. \quad (1.11)$$

To estimate the second sum of (1.10) observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_i(x)| &\leq 2\|g\|_\infty \\ |f(x) - f_1(y_{j-1})| &\leq \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{C}{n}\right) \\ |f(x) - f_1(y_j)| &\leq \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{C}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f_1(y_{j-2})| &\leq |f(x) - f_1(y_{j-1})| + |f_1(y_{j-2}) - f_1(y_{j-1})| \\ &\leq 2\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{C}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|i-j|\leq 1} |f(x) - f(y_{i-1})| |g_i(x)| &\leq 2\|g\|_\infty \sum_{i=j-1}^{j+1} |f(x) - f(y_{i-1})| \\ &\leq 8\|g\|_\infty \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{C}{n}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

By (1.4) and (1.10) - (1.12) we get

$$|f(x) - P_f(x)| \leq (1 + 8\|g\|_\infty)\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{C}{n}\right).$$

Hence

$$\text{dist}(f, G_n) \leq \|f - P_f\|_{[a,b]} \leq (1 + 8\|g\|_\infty)\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{C}{n}\right)$$

as required. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete ■

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.1 holds true for complex-valued, continuous functions defined on the interval $[a, b]$ satisfying property (P). The proof goes in the same manner.

2. Further results

First let us state the following

Example 2.1. Suppose that $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}[a, b]$ satisfies the property

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \phi^{-1}(L|x - y|) \tag{2.1}$$

for any $x, y \in [a, b]$ with a constant $L > 0$ depending only on f . Let ϕ be as in Theorem 1.1. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and put $x_i = a + \frac{i}{n}(b - a)$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Observe that if $x, y \in I_i = [x_{i-1}, x_i]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq \phi^{-1}(L|x - y|) \\ &\leq \phi^{-1}(L|x_{i-1} - x_i|) \\ &= \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{L(b-a)}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.1) implies property (P). In particular, if $\phi(t) = t^p$ for some $p \in [1, +\infty)$, then (2.1) means that f has the Hölder (Lipschitz, if $p = 1$) continuity property with $\alpha = \frac{1}{p}$. In this case, by Theorem 1.1, we get

$$\text{dist}(f, G_n) \leq \frac{(L(b-a))^\alpha}{n^\alpha}.$$

Observe that this type of estimates holds true for any norm weaker than the supremum norm.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the Hölder continuity property with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Suppose that μ is a Borel measure on \mathbb{R} and $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is a μ -measurable function such that*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |t|^\alpha |u(t)| d\mu(t) < +\infty. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact set and define $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(tx)u(t) d\mu(t). \tag{2.3}$$

Then $\text{dist}(f, G_n) \leq \frac{C}{n^\alpha}$, where the distance is taken with respect to the supremum norm on E .

Proof. Without loss, we can assume that $E = [a, b]$. First we show that f satisfies the Hölder continuity property with α given by the assumption on h . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (h(tx) - h(ty))u(t) d\mu(t) \right| \\ &\leq L \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |tx - ty|^\alpha |u(t)| d\mu(t) \\ &= L|x - y|^\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |t|^\alpha |u(t)| d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), the result follows from Example 2.1 and Theorem 1.1 ■

Example 2.3. Set $h(x) = e^{ix}$ and let f be given by (2.3). Observe that

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \leq |\cos x - \cos y| + |\sin x - \sin y| \leq 2|x - y|.$$

Hence, for any compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$,

$$\text{dist}(f, G_n) \leq \frac{C}{n} \tag{2.4}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending on h and E and where the distance is taken with respect to the supremum norm on E . Observe that this estimate holds true for any norm weaker than the supremum norm on E , in particular in any L_p -norm. Hence (2.4) is an essential improvement, in the case of one variable, of a result of Barron [1: Proposition 1]. He showed that, for $h(x) = e^{ix}$ and any μ -measurable function u satisfying (2.2) with $\alpha = 1$,

$$\text{dist}_{L_2}(f, G_n) \leq \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is a constant depending only on E and where the distance is taken with respect to the norm in $L_2(E, \mu)$.

To present another application of Theorem 1.1 we need the following

Definition 2.4. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be as in Theorem 1.1, let $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}[a, b]$ and set

$$V_{\phi}(f)_{[a,b]} = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi(|f(x_{j+1}) - f(x_j)|) : a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

We say that f has *bounded ϕ -variation* if $V_{\phi}(f)_{[a,b]} < +\infty$.

In the sequel, we need two well-known lemmas. The simple proof of the first lemma will be omitted. However, for the sake of completeness we present a proof of the second lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let ϕ be as in Theorem 1.1 and $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}[a, b]$. If $a \leq a_1 \leq a_2$ and $b \geq b_1 \geq b_2$, then*

$$V_{\phi}(f)_{[a_2, b_2]} \leq V_{\phi}(f)_{[a_1, b_1]}. \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, if $c \in (a, b)$, then

$$V_{\phi}(f)_{[a,c]} + V_{\phi}(f)_{[c,b]} \leq V_{\phi}(f)_{[a,b]}. \quad (2.7)$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}[a, b]$ have bounded ϕ -variation. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a partition $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ such that*

$$V_{\phi}(f)_{I_i} \leq \frac{1}{n} V_{\phi}(f)_{[a,b]} \quad (2.8)$$

where $I_i = [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. For $x \in [a, b]$ set

$$h(x) = V_{\phi}(f)_{[a,x]} \quad (2.9)$$

with $h(a) = 0$ and show that h is continuous. For this fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we can find $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $w, z \in [0, 2\|f\|_{[a,b]}]$ with $|w - z| < \delta$, $|\phi(w) - \phi(z)| < \varepsilon$. Also, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \delta$ if $|x - y| < \delta_1$. In the case $x \neq a$, since h is increasing, there exist

$$h^-(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x^-} h(y) \leq h(x) \leq h^+(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x^+} h(y). \quad (2.10)$$

Hence to prove the continuity of h it is enough to show that $h^-(x) = h(x) = h^+(x)$. Suppose on the contrary, that

$$h^-(x) + \varepsilon < h(x) \quad (2.11)$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $a = z_0 < z_1 < \dots < z_n = x$ be chosen such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi(|f(z_{j+1}) - f(z_j)|) > h^-(x) + \varepsilon. \quad (2.12)$$

Take $y \in (z_{n-1}, x)$ with $x - y \leq \delta_1$. Then

$$\left| |f(y) - f(z_{n-1})| - |f(x) - f(z_{n-1})| \right| \leq |f(y) - f(x)| \leq \delta.$$

Hence

$$|\phi(|f(y) - f(z_{n-1})|) - \phi(|f(x) - f(z_{n-1})|)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi(|f(z_{j+1}) - f(z_j)|) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \phi(|f(z_{j+1}) - f(z_j)|) + \phi(|f(y) - f(z_{n-1})|) + \varepsilon \\ & \leq h(y) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

with (2.12) implies $h(y) > h^-(x)$, which is a contradiction.

The proof of the facts that $h^+(x) = h(x)$ for any $x \in (a, b]$ and $\lim_{y \rightarrow a^+} h(y) = h(a) = 0$ goes in a similar manner, so it will be omitted.

Now fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since h is continuous and increasing, there exists a partition

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b \tag{2.13}$$

with

$$h(x_i) = \frac{i}{n} V_\phi(f)_{[a,b]}. \tag{2.14}$$

To end the proof of the lemma observe that, by Lemma 2.5, for $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} V_\phi(f)_{[x_i, x_{i+1}]} & \leq h(x_{i+1}) - h(x_i) \\ & = \frac{1}{n} V_\phi(f)_{[a,b]} \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 2.6 is complete ■

Now suppose that $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}[a, b]$ has bounded ϕ -variation. By Lemma 2.6, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $x, y \in I_i = [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ where x_i are given by (2.13),

$$\phi(|f(x) - f(y)|) \leq V_\phi(f)_{I_i} \leq \frac{1}{n} V_\phi(f)_{[a,b]}.$$

Hence f satisfies property (P) from Theorem 1.1 with $C = V_\phi(f)_{[a,b]}$. Consequently, applying Theorem 1.1, we can prove

Theorem 2.7. *Let $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}[a, b]$ be a function with bounded ϕ -variation. Then*

$$\text{dist}(f, G_n) \leq (1 + 8\|g\|_\infty) \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{V_\phi(f)_{[a,b]}}{n}\right).$$

Remark 2.8. If $\phi(t) = t^p$ for $p \in [1, +\infty)$, by Theorem 2.7 we get

$$\text{dist}(f, G_n) \leq (1 + 8\|g\|_\infty) \left(\frac{V_\phi(f)_{[a,b]}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{2.15}$$

If $p = 1$, this has been proven by Gao and Xu in [4]. Observe that there exist continuous functions f such that $V_{id}(f)_{[a,b]} = +\infty$ and $V_{t^p}(f)_{[a,b]} < +\infty$ for any $p \in (1, +\infty)$. Indeed, if we put $f(0) = 0$, $f(\frac{1}{n}) = (-1)^n \frac{1}{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and extend f in a linear way on the intervals $(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1})$, we get a continuous function on $[0, 1]$ satisfying this property. Observe that for such functions it is impossible to estimate the error of approximation by G_n applying the result of Gao and Xu. But it can be done applying (2.15).

References

- [1] Barron, A. R.: *Universal approximation bounds for superpositions of a sigmoidal function.* IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 36 (1993), 930 – 945.
- [2] Cybenko, G.: *Approximations by superpositions of a sigmoidal function.* Math. Control Signal Systems 2 (1989), 303 – 314.
- [3] Hornik, K., Stinchcombe, M. and H. White: *Multilayer feedforward networks are universal approximators.* Neural Networks 2 (1989), 259 – 366.
- [4] Gao, B. and Y. Xu: *Univariant approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function.* J. Math. Anal. & Appl. 178 (1993), 221 – 226.
- [5] Jones, L. K.: *A simple lemma on greedy approximation in Hilbert space and convergence rate for projections pursuit regression and neural network training.* Ann. Statist. 20 (1992), 608 – 613.

Received 26.06.2002