# Nontangential Limits of Poisson Integrals Associated to Dunkl Operators for Dihedral Groups

Florence Scalas

Abstract. In this paper we study the differentiation and maximal functions of complex Borel measures on the unit circle of  $\mathbb{C}$  with respect to the measures associated to Dunkl differential-difference operators for dihedral groups. We prove that the Poisson integrals corresponding to these differential-difference operators have nontangential limits almost everywhere. Our approach relies on the proof of the doubling condition to obtain an appropriate covering lemma.

**Keywords.** Dunkl operators, covering lemma, maximal function, Poisson integrals, nontangential limits

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 31A20, secondary 30E25, 42B25

## 1. Notation and statement of the main results

The main purpose of this paper is to study the nontangential boundary behavior of Poisson integrals associated to Dunkl operators for dihedral groups. The corresponding Dirichlet problem has been studied previously by the author in [7]. Problems of this kind were considered for Poisson integrals associated to ultraspherical expansions in [4]. For more information about Dunkl operators, see [5].

For every integer q such that  $q \ge 1$ , let  $D_q$  be the dihedral group of order 2q, that is,  $D_q$  consists of the rotations  $z \mapsto ze^{\frac{2\pi i l}{q}}$  and the reflections  $z \mapsto \overline{z} e^{\frac{2\pi i l}{q}}$ ,  $0 \le l \le q-1, z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Fix an integer  $k \ge 1$  and real numbers  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , or  $\beta \ge 0$  when k is odd, and consider the weight function h defined by

$$h(z) = \left| \frac{z^k - \overline{z}^k}{2i} \right|^{\alpha} \left| \frac{z^k + \overline{z}^k}{2} \right|^{\beta},$$

F. Scalas: Université de Provence, LATP U.M.R. C.N.R.S. 6632, C.M.I., 39, rue F. Joliot Curie, 13453 Marseille cedex 13, France; scalas@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

which is a product of powers of the linear functions on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$  whose zero-sets are the mirrors of the reflections in  $D_k$  if  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta = 0$ , and  $D_{2k}$  if  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta > 0$  (see [1]).

Consider the measure  $dm(e^{i\theta}) = c_{\alpha,\beta}h(e^{i\theta})^2d\theta$  on the unit circle with

$$c_{\alpha,\beta} = \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(e^{i\theta})^2 d\theta\right)^{-1} = \left(2\mathcal{B}\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}, \beta + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{-1},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the beta function.

The complex Dunkl operators are defined for a complex-valued function f of class  $C^1$  on the unit disc  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  by

$$T_h f(z) = \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} + \alpha \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{f(z) - f(\overline{z}\omega^{2l})}{z - \overline{z}\omega^{2l}} + \beta \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{f(z) - f(\overline{z}\omega^{2l+1})}{z - \overline{z}\omega^{2l+1}}$$

and

$$\overline{T}_h f(z) = \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial \overline{z}} - \alpha \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{f(z) - f(\overline{z}\omega^{2l})}{z - \overline{z}\omega^{2l}} \omega^{2l} - \beta \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{f(z) - f(\overline{z}\omega^{2l+1})}{z - \overline{z}\omega^{2l+1}} \omega^{2l+1},$$

where  $\omega = e^{\frac{\pi i}{k}}$  (see [2], [3]).

We write  $S^1$  for the unit circle  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ , and  $B(\zeta, \delta)$  for the arc  $\{z \in S^1 : |z - \zeta| < \delta\}$ , where  $\zeta \in S^1$  and  $\delta > 0$ .

The total variation measure of a complex Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $S^1$  is denoted by  $|\mu|$ , and  $\mu \perp m$  means that  $\mu$  is singular with respect to m, i.e., that there is a Borel set  $E \subset S^1$  such that m(E) = 0 and  $|\mu|(E) = ||\mu||$ , where  $||\mu|| = |\mu|(S^1)$ .

Define the maximal function  $M\mu$  of a complex Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $S^1$  by

$$(M\mu)(\zeta) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \frac{|\mu|(B(\zeta, \delta))}{m(B(\zeta, \delta))} \tag{1}$$

for  $\zeta \in S^1$ . In Theorem 2.4 below we establish an estimate for the maximal function, that will be used to prove the following

**Theorem 1.1.** If  $f \in L^1(m)$ , then

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{1}{m(B(\zeta, \delta))} \int_{B(\zeta, \delta)} |f - f(\zeta)| \, dm = 0$$

for almost every  $\zeta \in S^1$ . Hence

$$f(\zeta) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{1}{m(B(\zeta, \delta))} \int_{B(\zeta, \delta)} f \, dm$$

almost everywhere.

**Theorem 1.2.** If  $\mu$  is a complex Borel measure on  $S^1$  and  $\mu \perp m$ , then

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\mu}{m} (B(\zeta, \delta)) = 0$$

almost everywhere with respect to m.

Combining these two theorems, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.3.** If  $\mu$  is a complex Borel measure on  $S^1$ , then its derivative

$$(\mathcal{D}\mu)(\zeta) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\mu}{m} (B(\zeta, \delta))$$

exists almost everywhere with respect to m; if  $d\mu = f dm + d\mu_s$  with  $f \in L^1(m)$ and  $\mu_s \perp m$ , then

$$(\mathcal{D}\mu)(\zeta) = f(\zeta)$$

almost everywhere with respect to m.

In Section 3 below, we shall study the connection between the maximal function  $M\mu$  and the class  $L \log L$  of all Borel functions f on  $S^1$  that satisfy

$$\int_{S^1} |f| \log^+ |f| \, dm < \infty,$$

where  $\log^+ |f(z)| = \max(\log |f(z)|, 0)$  for  $z \in S^1$ . More precisely, we shall prove the following

**Theorem 1.4.** If  $\mu$  is a complex Borel measure on  $S^1$  for which  $M\mu \in L^1(m)$ , then there is an  $f \in L \log L$  such that  $d\mu = f dm$ .

In Section 4, we assume  $\beta = 0$  and consider the Poisson kernel P associated to the *h*-Laplacian operator  $\Delta_h = 4T_h\overline{T}_h$ . It is given for  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$  such that |zw| < 1 by

$$P(z,w) = \frac{1 - |z|^2 |w|^2}{\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \alpha + 1) |1 - z\overline{w}|^2} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\alpha - 1} (1 - u)^\alpha du}{\left[ (1 - u) |1 - z^k w^k|^2 + u |1 - z^k \overline{w}^k|^2 \right]^\alpha}$$
(2)

(see [2, Theorems 1.3 and 2.1]), and it satisfies  $\Delta_h P(., w) = 0$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ , for fixed  $w \in S^1$ .

For  $\gamma > 1$ , we consider the nontangential approach region defined at a boundary point  $\zeta \in S^1$  by

$$\Omega_{\gamma}(\zeta) = \{ z \in \mathbb{D} : |z - \zeta| < \gamma(1 - |z|) \}.$$

We shall establish the following result:

z

**Theorem 1.5.** Assume  $\beta = 0$ . To every  $\gamma > 1$  it corresponds a constant  $C(\gamma) < \infty$  such that

$$\sup_{\in\Omega_{\gamma}(\zeta)} \left| \int_{S^1} P(z,w) h(w)^2 d\mu(w) \right| \le C(\gamma) (M\mu)(\zeta) \quad (\zeta \in S^1)$$
(3)

for all complex Borel measures  $\mu$  on  $S^1$ .

The Poisson integral P[f] of a function  $f \in L^1(m)$  is defined as in [7] by

$$P[f](z) = \int_{S^1} f(w) P(z, w) dm(w)$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Theorem 1.5 will be combined with Theorem 1.1 and the results in Section 2 to prove the following

**Theorem 1.6.** Assume  $\beta = 0$ . If  $f \in L^1(d\theta)$ , then for almost every  $\zeta \in S^1$ ,

$$\lim_{z \to \zeta, \ z \in \Omega_{\gamma}(\zeta)} P[f](z) = f(\zeta)$$

for all  $\gamma > 1$ .

# 2. Differentiation of measures on $S^1$

In what follows, the symbol B always denotes an arc  $B(\zeta, \delta)$ , where  $\zeta \in S^1$  and  $\delta > 0$ . If  $B = B(\zeta, \delta)$  and  $\tau > 0$ , we write  $\tau B$  in place of  $B(\zeta, \tau \delta)$ .

To prove the results of Sections 2 and 3, it is natural to follow the ideas used in the classical case by Rudin [6] for the normalized rotation-invariant surface measure on the unit sphere of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . However, some difficulties arise in proving the finiteness of the constants

$$A(\tau) := \sup_{B} \frac{m(\tau B)}{m(B)} \quad (\tau > 1).$$

This is established in Lemma 2.1 below, and is trivial when m is replaced by the measure  $d\theta$  on  $S^1$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** For any real number  $\tau > 1$ ,

$$A(\tau) = \sup_{B} \frac{m(\tau B)}{m(B)} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\tau > 1$ . Since  $S^1$  is compact, it is enough to show that for every  $\zeta_0 \in S^1$ , there exist a neighborhood  $U(\zeta_0)$  of  $\zeta_0$  in  $S^1$ ,  $\delta_{\zeta_0} > 0$ , and  $C_{\zeta_0} \ge 0$  such that

$$\frac{m(B(\zeta,\tau\delta))}{m(B(\zeta,\delta))} \le C_{\zeta_0}, \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in U(\zeta_0), \ \delta \in (0,\delta_{\zeta_0}].$$
(4)

For  $\zeta = e^{i\varphi} \in S^1$  and  $\delta \in (0,2]$ , we have  $m(B(\zeta,\delta)) = G\left(\varphi, 2 \arcsin \frac{\delta}{2}\right)$ , where

$$G(\varphi,\theta) = c_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{\varphi-\theta}^{\varphi+\theta} (\sin^2 kt)^{\alpha} (\cos^2 kt)^{\beta} dt \quad (\varphi \in \mathbb{R}, \ \theta \in (0,\pi]).$$

Fix  $\zeta_0 = e^{i\varphi_0} \in S^1$ . If  $\zeta_0^{2k} \notin \{-1,1\}$ , we have  $G(\varphi,\theta) \sim 2c_{\alpha,\beta}\kappa_{\zeta_0}\theta$  as  $(\varphi,\theta) \to (\varphi_0,0)$ , where  $\kappa_{\zeta_0} = (\sin^2 k\varphi_0)^{\alpha} (\cos^2 k\varphi_0)^{\beta} \neq 0$ , so that there are a neighborhood  $U(\zeta_0)$  of  $\zeta_0$  in  $S^1$  and a number  $\delta_{\zeta_0} > 0$  such that  $U(\zeta_0)$ ,  $\delta_{\zeta_0}$  and  $C_{\zeta_0} = \tau + 1$  satisfy (4).

We now consider the case when  $\zeta_0^{2k} = \pm 1$ . We may assume  $\zeta_0 \in \{1, e^{\frac{i\pi}{2k}}\}$ , because of the periodicity of  $t \mapsto (\sin^2 kt)^{\alpha} (\cos^2 kt)^{\beta}$ . For  $\eta \ge 0$ , let  $f_{\eta}$  be the function defined by  $f_{\eta}(t) = (t^2)^{\eta}t$  for any real number t (with the understanding that  $f_0(t) := t$ ). Since

$$G(\varphi, \theta) \sim \frac{c_{\alpha,\beta}k^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha+1} [f_{\alpha}(\varphi+\theta) - f_{\alpha}(\varphi-\theta)]$$

and

$$G\left(\frac{\pi}{2k} + \varphi, \theta\right) = c_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{\varphi-\theta}^{\varphi+\theta} (\sin^2 kt)^\beta (\cos^2 kt)^\alpha dt$$
$$\sim \frac{c_{\alpha,\beta}k^{2\beta}}{2\beta+1} [f_\beta(\varphi+\theta) - f_\beta(\varphi-\theta)]$$

as  $(\varphi, \theta) \to (0, 0)$ , it is enough to see that for fixed  $\eta \ge 0$ , there is  $\tilde{\delta} > 0$  such that the function  $F_{\eta}$  defined by

$$F_{\eta}(\varphi,\delta) = \frac{f_{\eta}(\varphi + \Theta(\tau\delta)) - f_{\eta}(\varphi - \Theta(\tau\delta))}{f_{\eta}(\varphi + \Theta(\delta)) - f_{\eta}(\varphi - \Theta(\delta))}$$

is bounded on  $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \tilde{\delta}]$ , where  $\Theta(\delta) = 2 \arcsin(\frac{\delta}{2})$ .

If  $0 < \delta < \frac{2}{\tau}$ , so that  $\frac{\Theta(\delta)}{\Theta(\tau\delta)} \leq \frac{1}{\tau}$ , and if  $|\varphi| > \Theta(\tau\delta)$ , then

$$F_{\eta}(\varphi,\delta) = \frac{f_{\eta}\left(1 + \frac{\Theta(\tau\delta)}{|\varphi|}\right) - f_{\eta}\left(1 - \frac{\Theta(\tau\delta)}{|\varphi|}\right)}{f_{\eta}\left(1 + \frac{\Theta(\delta)}{|\varphi|}\right) - f_{\eta}\left(1 - \frac{\Theta(\delta)}{|\varphi|}\right)} = \frac{\Theta(\tau\delta)}{\Theta(\delta)} \left(\frac{r_{\varphi,\delta}}{s_{\varphi,\delta}}\right)^{2\eta},$$

where  $r_{\varphi,\delta}$  and  $s_{\varphi,\delta}$  are real numbers such that

$$|r_{\varphi,\delta} - 1| < \frac{\Theta(\tau\delta)}{|\varphi|} \le 1$$
 and  $|s_{\varphi,\delta} - 1| < \frac{\Theta(\delta)}{|\varphi|} \le \frac{1}{\tau}$ ,

so that we get

$$F_{\eta}(\varphi, \delta) \leq \frac{\Theta(\tau\delta)}{\Theta(\delta)} \left(\frac{2\tau}{\tau - 1}\right)^{2\eta}$$

for  $0 < \delta < \frac{2}{\tau}$  and  $|\varphi| > \Theta(\tau \delta)$ . Since we also have

$$F_{\eta}(\varphi, \delta) \leq \frac{f_{\eta}(2\Theta(\tau\delta))}{2f_{\eta}(\Theta(\delta))} = 4^{\eta} \left(\frac{\Theta(\tau\delta)}{\Theta(\delta)}\right)^{2\eta+1}$$

if  $|\varphi| \leq \Theta(\tau \delta)$ , the desired conclusion follows easily.

The following covering lemma will be used in the proofs of Theorems 2.4 and 3.1.

**Lemma 2.2.** If E is the union of a finite collection  $\Phi$  of arcs  $B \subset S^1$ , then  $\Phi$  has a disjoint subcollection  $\Gamma$  satisfying

$$E \subset \bigcup_{\Gamma} 3B \tag{5}$$

and

$$m(E) \le A(3) \sum_{\Gamma} m(B).$$
(6)

Proof. Write the members  $B_n$  of  $\Phi$  as  $B(\zeta_n, \delta_n)$  and index them so that  $\delta_n \geq \delta_{n+1}$ . Set  $n_1 = 1$ . Assume that  $p \geq 1$ ,  $n_1 < \ldots < n_p$  are chosen and  $B_{n_1}, \ldots, B_{n_p}$  are pairwise disjoint. If  $B_n$  intersects  $\bigcup_{l=1}^p B_{n_l}$  for any  $n > n_p$ , stop; if not, let  $n_{p+1}$  be the first index such that  $B_{n_{p+1}}$  is disjoint from  $\bigcup_{l=1}^p B_{n_l}$ . This process stops because  $\Phi$  is finite, and we thus get a disjoint subcollection  $\Gamma = \{B_{n_1}, \ldots, B_{n_q}\}$  of  $\Phi$ .

Now consider an arc  $B_n \in \Phi$ . Let p be the largest integer between 1 and q such that  $n \geq n_p$ . Then there is  $l \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$  such that  $B_n$  intersects  $B_{n_l}$ , and  $\delta_n \leq \delta_{n_l}$ , so that  $B_n \subset 3B_{n_l}$ . Hence (5) holds, and, combined with the definition of A(3), gives (6).

**Remark 2.3.** The maximal function  $M\mu$  of a complex Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $S^1$  is lower semicontinuous. Indeed, for fixed  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\zeta \mapsto m(B(\zeta, \delta))$  is continuous on  $S^1$  by the definition of m, and  $\zeta \mapsto |\mu|(B(\zeta, \delta))$  is lower semicontinuous because if  $\zeta_0 \in S^1$ ,  $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$  and  $\zeta \in B(\zeta_0, \delta')$ , we have  $|\mu|(B(\zeta, \delta)) \geq |\mu|(B(\zeta_0, \delta)) - |\mu|(B(\zeta_0, \delta) \setminus B(\zeta_0, \delta - \delta'))$ . It follows that for fixed  $\delta > 0$ , the quotient in formula (1) is a lower semicontinuous function of  $\zeta$ , and so is  $M\mu$ , as the supremum of a collection of lower semicontinuous functions.

**Theorem 2.4.** If  $\mu$  is a complex Borel measure on  $S^1$ , then for any t > 0,

$$m(\{\zeta \in S^1 : (M\mu)(\zeta) > t\}) \le A(3) t^{-1} \|\mu\|.$$
(7)

*Proof.* Fix  $\mu$  and t. Write  $U_t$  for the set  $\{\zeta \in S^1 : (M\mu)(\zeta) > t\}$ , which is open by Remark 2.3, and let K be a compact subset of  $U_t$ . For each  $\zeta \in K$  we have an arc B centered at  $\zeta$  such that

$$|\mu|(B) > t \, m(B),\tag{8}$$

by the definition of  $M\mu$ . Cover K by the union of a finite collection  $\Phi$  of arcs B that satisfy (8). Applying Lemma 2.2 to  $\Phi$ , we obtain a collection  $\Gamma$  of pairwise disjoint arcs B satisfying (8), such that  $m(\cup_{\Phi} B) \leq A(3) \sum_{\Gamma} m(B)$ . It follows that

$$m(K) \le A(3) \sum_{\Gamma} m(B) < A(3) t^{-1} \sum_{\Gamma} |\mu|(B) \le A(3) t^{-1} ||\mu||.$$

Taking the supremum over all compacts  $K \subset U_t$  gives us (7).

Define the maximal function Mf of a function  $f \in L^1(m)$  to be the maximal function of the measure f dm, that is,

$$(Mf)(\zeta) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \frac{1}{m(B(\zeta, \delta))} \int_{B(\zeta, \delta)} |f| \, dm \quad (\zeta \in S^1).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Set

$$\mathcal{L}_f(\zeta) = \limsup_{\delta \to 0} \frac{1}{m(B(\zeta, \delta))} \int_{B(\zeta, \delta)} |f - f(\zeta)| \, dm$$

for  $\zeta \in S^1$ . Let t > 0 and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is a continuous function u on  $S^1$ such that  $||f - u||_{L^1(m)} < \varepsilon$ . Setting v = f - u, we obviously have  $\mathcal{L}_f \leq \mathcal{L}_u + \mathcal{L}_v$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_v \leq |v| + Mv$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{L}_u = 0$  because u is continuous, so that we get  $\mathcal{L}_f \leq |v| + Mv$ , which implies that  $\{\zeta \in S^1 : \mathcal{L}_f(\zeta) > t\} \subset E_{t,\varepsilon}$ , where

$$E_{t,\varepsilon} = \left\{ \zeta \in S^1 : |v(\zeta)| > \frac{t}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ \zeta \in S^1 : (Mv)(\zeta) > \frac{t}{2} \right\}.$$

It follows from Theorem 2.4 that

$$m(E_{t,\varepsilon}) \le \frac{2}{t} \|v\|_{L^{1}(m)} + A(3)\frac{2}{t} \|v\|_{L^{1}(m)} < 2(1+A(3))t^{-1}\varepsilon$$

We thus have

$$\{\zeta \in S^1 : \mathcal{L}_f(\zeta) > 0\} \subset \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{n}}$$

with  $m\left(\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty}\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}E_{\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{n}}\right)=0$ , so that  $\mathcal{L}_{f}(\zeta)=0$  almost everywhere, which concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since  $\mu \perp m$  if and only if  $|\mu| \perp m$ , we may assume that  $\mu \geq 0$ . Choose t > 0 and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is a Borel set  $E \subset S^1$  such that m(E) = 0 and  $\mu(E) = \|\mu\|$ . Choose a compact  $K \subset E$  satisfying  $\mu(K) > \mu(E) - \varepsilon$ . Let  $\mu_1$  denote the restriction of  $\mu$  to K, and put  $\mu_2 = \mu - \mu_1$ . Then

$$\|\mu_2\| < \varepsilon. \tag{9}$$

 $\operatorname{Set}$ 

$$(\overline{\mathcal{D}}\mu)(\zeta) = \limsup_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\mu}{m} (B(\zeta, \delta)) \quad (\zeta \in S^1).$$

It follows from the definition of  $\mu_1$  that if  $\zeta \notin K$ , then  $\frac{\mu_1}{m}(B(\zeta, \delta)) \to 0$  as  $\delta \to 0$ , which implies that  $(\overline{\mathcal{D}}\mu)(\zeta) = (\overline{\mathcal{D}}\mu_2)(\zeta)$ . We therefore have, writing  $\mathcal{U}_t$  for the set  $\{\zeta \in S^1 : (\overline{\mathcal{D}}\mu)(\zeta) > t\}$ ,

$$K \cup \mathcal{U}_t \subset K \cup \left\{ \zeta \in S^1 : (\overline{\mathcal{D}}\mu_2)(\zeta) > t \right\} \subset K \cup \left\{ \zeta \in S^1 : (M\mu_2)(\zeta) > t \right\},\$$

and since m(K) = 0, we get, using Theorem 2.4 and (9),

$$m(\mathcal{U}_t) \le m(\{\zeta \in S^1 : (M\mu_2)(\zeta) > t\}) \le A(3) t^{-1} \|\mu_2\| < A(3) t^{-1}\varepsilon.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to 0 then yields  $m(\mathcal{U}_t) = 0$ , and since t was arbitrary, this finally shows that  $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\mu}{m}(B(\zeta, \delta)) = 0$  almost everywhere with respect to m.  $\Box$ 

#### 3. The maximal function and the class $L \log L$

We first prove the following result:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\mu$  be a complex Borel measure on  $S^1$ . If  $d\mu = f \, dm + d\mu_s$ with  $f \in L^1(m)$  and  $\mu_s \perp m$ , then

$$|\mu_s|(\{\zeta \in S^1 : (M\mu)(\zeta) < \infty\}) = 0.$$
(10)

Proof. Since  $\{\zeta \in S^1 : (M\mu)(\zeta) < \infty\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  where  $E_n = \{\zeta \in S^1 : (M\mu)(\zeta) \leq n\}$ , (10) will be proved once we show that  $|\mu_s|(E_n) = 0$  for every positive integer n.

Fix such an integer *n*. Since  $\mu_s \perp m$ , there is a Borel set  $E \subset S^1$  such that m(E) = 0 and  $|\mu_s|(E) = ||\mu_s||$ . We have  $|\mu_s|(E_n) = |\mu_s|(E_n \cap E) = |\mu|(E_n \cap E)$ , so that it is enough to prove that  $|\mu|(K) = 0$  for any compact  $K \subset E_n$  with m(K) = 0.

Consider such a compact K and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is an open set  $V \supset K$ satisfying  $m(V) < \varepsilon$ . Cover K by the union of a finite collection  $\Phi$  of arcs  $B \subset V$ , with centers in K. For each arc  $B \in \Phi$ , 3B is an arc with center in  $K \subset E_n$ , so that the definition of  $M\mu$  implies that  $|\mu|(3B) \leq n m(3B)$ . By the

Covering Lemma 2.2,  $\Phi$  has a subcollection  $\Gamma$  of pairwise disjoint arcs such that  $\bigcup_{\Phi} B \subset \bigcup_{\Gamma} 3B$ . Consequently, we have

$$|\mu|(K) \le \sum_{\Gamma} |\mu|(3B) \le n \sum_{\Gamma} m(3B) \le A(3) n \sum_{\Gamma} m(B) \le A(3) n m(V) < A(3) n \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, we get  $|\mu|(K) = 0$ , which completes the proof.

Theorem 3.1 will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1.4, as well as the following covering lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\mu$  be a complex Borel measure on  $S^1$ . If  $t > ||\mu||$ , then there exist arcs  $B_n$  and pairwise disjoint Borel sets  $V_n \subset B_n$  such that

- (i)  $\{\zeta \in S^1 : (M\mu)(\zeta) > t\} \subset \bigcup_n B_n = \bigcup_n V_n;$
- (ii)  $m(B_n) \le A(4) t^{-1} |\mu|(B_n);$
- (iii)  $|\mu|(V_n) < A(4) t m(V_n).$

Proof. Set  $U_t = \{\zeta \in S^1 : (M\mu)(\zeta) > t\}$ . For fixed  $\zeta \in U_t$ , the assumption that  $\|\mu\| < t$  allows us to define  $\delta = \max\{\varrho > 0 : |\mu|(B(\zeta, \varrho)) \ge t m(B(\zeta, \varrho))\}$ . Setting  $Q = B(\zeta, \delta)$ , we thus have

$$|\mu|(Q) \ge t \, m(Q),\tag{11}$$

and

$$\mu|(4Q) < t \, m(4Q). \tag{12}$$

One can therefore cover  $U_t$  by a collection  $\Gamma_1$  of arcs Q satisfying (11) and (12).

Let  $\rho_1 = \sup\{\rho(Q) : Q \in \Gamma_1\}$ , where  $\rho(Q)$  denotes the radius of the arc Q, and choose  $Q_1 \in \Gamma_1$  such that  $\rho(Q_1) > \frac{3}{4}\rho_1$ . Let  $\Gamma_2$  be the collection of the arcs  $Q \in \Gamma_1$  that are disjoint from  $Q_1$ , set  $\rho_2 = \sup\{\rho(Q) : Q \in \Gamma_2\}$ , and choose  $Q_2 \in \Gamma_2$  such that  $\rho(Q_2) > \frac{3}{4}\rho_2$ . Let  $\Gamma_3$  be the collection of the arcs  $Q \in \Gamma_2$ that are disjoint from  $Q_2$ , etc. If  $\Gamma_n = \emptyset$  for some n, this process stops; if not, it continues through the natural numbers.

The arcs  $Q_n$  we thus obtain are pairwise disjoint. Set  $B_n = 4Q_n$ , and

$$V_n = Q_n \cup \left[ B_n \setminus \left( \bigcup_{l < n} B_l \cup \bigcup_{l \neq n} Q_l \right) \right];$$

then it can easily be seen that the Borel sets  $V_n$  are pairwise disjoint, that for each n we have

$$Q_n \subset V_n \subset B_n,\tag{13}$$

and that  $\bigcup_n V_n = \bigcup_n B_n$ .

For each  $Q \in \Gamma_1$ , there is an index *n* such that  $Q \in \Gamma_n \setminus \Gamma_{n+1}$  since otherwise there are necessarily infinitely many  $\Gamma_l$ , and  $Q \in \Gamma_l$  for each *l*, so that  $\rho(Q) \leq \rho_l$  for every integer  $l \ge 1$ ; then the definition of  $Q_l$  implies that  $\rho(Q_l) > \frac{3}{4}\rho(Q)$ for each  $l \ge 1$ , but this is impossible because of the disjointness of  $\{Q_l\}$ . If  $Q \in \Gamma_n \setminus \Gamma_{n+1}$ , then Q intersects  $Q_n$ , and  $\rho(Q) < \frac{4}{3}\rho(Q_n)$ , so that  $Q \subset B_n$ , since  $1 + \frac{4}{3} + \frac{4}{3} < 4$ . Hence  $U_t \subset \bigcup_n B_n$ , which completes the proof of (i).

It follows from the definition of A(4) and (11) that

$$m(B_n) \le A(4) m(Q_n) \le A(4) t^{-1} |\mu|(Q_n),$$

which gives (ii). By (12) and (13), we have

$$|\mu|(V_n) \le |\mu|(B_n) < t \, m(B_n) \le t \, A(4) \, m(Q_n) \le t \, A(4) \, m(V_n),$$

so that (iii) is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Since  $M\mu = M|\mu|$  and since there is a Borel function u with |u| = 1 such that  $d\mu = u d|\mu|$ , we can suppose that  $\mu \ge 0$ . We may also assume that  $\|\mu\| = 1$ .

For t > 1, let  $U_t = \{\zeta \in S^1 : (M\mu)(\zeta) > t\}$ . We are first going to show that

$$\mu(U_t) \le A(4) t \, \psi(t) \quad (t > 1), \tag{14}$$

where  $\psi(t) = m(\{\zeta \in S^1 : A(4)^2(M\mu)(\zeta) \ge t\})$ . Fix t > 1 and choose  $B_n$ ,  $V_n$  as in Lemma 3.2. Using parts (i) and (iii) of that lemma, and the disjointness of  $\{V_n\}$ , we get

$$\mu(U_t) \le \sum_n \mu(V_n) < A(4) t \sum_n m(V_n) = A(4) t m\left(\bigcup_n B_n\right).$$
(15)

If  $w \in B_n$  and if  $\delta_n$  denotes the radius of  $B_n$ , we have  $B_n \subset B(w, 2\delta_n) \subset 4B_n$ , so that it follows from the definition of A(4) and part (ii) of Lemma 3.2 that

$$(M\mu)(w) \ge \frac{\mu}{m}(B(w, 2\delta_n)) \ge \frac{\mu(B_n)}{A(4) m(B_n)} \ge \frac{t}{A(4)^2}$$

Combined with (15), this proves (14).

We have

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(t) dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} m\left(\{\zeta \in S^{1} : A(4)^{2}(M\mu)(\zeta) \ge t\}\right) dt$$

$$= A(4)^{2} \int_{S^{1}} (M\mu) dm < \infty,$$
(16)

and  $\psi$  is decreasing; this implies that  $t \psi(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ , and then

$$\mu(U_t) \to 0 \quad (t \to \infty), \tag{17}$$

by (14). Write  $d\mu = f \, dm + d\mu_s$  with  $f \in L^1(m)$  and  $\mu_s \perp m$ . Note that  $f \geq 0$  and  $\mu_s \geq 0$ , since  $\mu \geq 0$ . By (17),  $\mu_s(\{\zeta \in S^1 : (M\mu)(\zeta) = \infty\}) = \mu_s(\bigcap_{t>1} U_t) = 0$ , and by Theorem 3.1,  $\mu_s(\{\zeta \in S^1 : (M\mu)(\zeta) < \infty\}) = 0$ . Hence  $\mu_s = 0$ , so that  $d\mu = f \, dm$ , and

$$Mf = M\mu. \tag{18}$$

It follows from Theorem 1.1 that  $f \leq Mf$  almost everywhere with respect to m. Using (18), we thus get  $f \leq t$  almost everywhere outside  $U_t$ , that is,  $\{\zeta \in S^1 : f(\zeta) > t\} \subset U_t \cup W$  for some Borel set W with m(W) = 0. Consequently,

$$\int_{\{\zeta \in S^1 : f(\zeta) > t\}} f \, dm \le \mu(U_t) \le A(4) \, t \, \psi(t) \quad (t > 1),$$

by (14). Applying Fubini's theorem, we therefore obtain

$$A(4) \int_{1}^{\infty} \psi(t) \, dt \ge \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \left( \int_{\{\zeta \in S^{1} : f(\zeta) > t\}} f \, dm \right) dt = \int_{S^{1}} f \, \log^{+} f \, dm,$$

and the proof is completed by using (16).

#### 4. Nontangential limits

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.5.

**Lemma 4.1.** If  $\zeta \in S^1$  and  $\gamma > 1$ , then for all  $z \in \Omega_{\gamma}(\zeta)$  and all  $w \in S^1$ ,

$$P(z,w) \le (k\gamma + 2)^{2\alpha + 2} P(r\zeta, w),$$

where r = |z|.

Proof. If  $z \in \Omega_{\gamma}(\zeta)$  and r = |z|, then  $|w - r\zeta| \leq (\gamma + 2)|w - z|$  for all  $w \in S^1$ , and since we also have  $z^k \in \Omega_{k\gamma}(\zeta^k)$ , we get  $|w^k - r^k\zeta^k| \leq (k\gamma + 2)|w^k - z^k|$ and  $|\overline{w}^k - r^k\zeta^k| \leq (k\gamma + 2)|\overline{w}^k - z^k|$  for all  $w \in S^1$ . Then the desired conclusion follows from formula (2).

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Since  $M\mu = M|\mu|$ , it suffices to prove (3) for positive  $\mu$ . By Lemma 4.1, it is then enough to show that there is a constant  $C < \infty$  such that

$$\sup_{0 \le r < 1} \int_{S^1} P(r\zeta, w) h(w)^2 d\mu(w) \le C(M\mu)(\zeta)$$

for every finite positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $S^1$  and every  $\zeta \in S^1$ .

Fix  $\mu$ ,  $\zeta$ , and r,  $0 \le r < 1$ . For  $w \in S^1$ , set

$$a(w) = |1 - r^k \zeta^k w^k|^2$$
 and  $b(w) = |1 - r^k \zeta^k \overline{w}^k|^2$ .

388 F. Scalas

We consider the integral  $\int_{S^1} P(r\zeta, w)h(w)^2 d\mu(w)$  in several pieces: put s = 1-r, set

$$\mathbb{V}_1 = \{ w \in S^1 : b(w) \le 2 a(w) \}$$

denote by  $\mathbb{V}_2$  the complement of  $\mathbb{V}_1$ , and set

$$\mathbb{W}_0 = B(\zeta, s), \qquad \mathbb{W}_l = \{ w \in S^1 : 2^{l-1}s \le |w - \zeta| < 2^l s \},\$$

for l = 1, 2, ..., until  $2^{l}s > 2$ ; then

$$\int_{S^1} P(r\zeta, w) h(w)^2 d\mu(w) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{l \ge 0} I_{j,l}$$

where

$$I_{j,l} = \int_{\mathbb{V}_j \cap \mathbb{W}_l} \frac{1 - r^2}{\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \alpha + 1)|1 - r\zeta \overline{w}|^2} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\alpha - 1}(1 - u)^{\alpha} du}{[(1 - u)a(w) + ub(w)]^{\alpha}} h(w)^2 d\mu(w).$$

For any  $w \in S^1$ , we have  $|w^k - \overline{w}^k| \leq \sqrt{a(w)} + \sqrt{b(w)}$ , so that if  $w \in \mathbb{V}_1$ , we get  $h(w)^2 \leq \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)^{2\alpha} a(w)^{\alpha}$ . Set  $\kappa = \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \alpha+1)} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)^{2\alpha}$ . Since  $\frac{1-r^2}{|1-r\zeta \overline{w}|^2} \leq \frac{2}{s}$ ,

$$I_{1,0} \le \frac{2\kappa}{\alpha s} \,\mu(B(\zeta,s))$$

Moreover,  $m(B(\zeta, s)) \leq c_{\alpha,0}\pi s$  since  $(\sin^2 kt)^{\alpha} \leq 1$ , so that  $\mu(B(\zeta, s)) \leq (M\mu)(\zeta)m(B(\zeta, s)) \leq c_{\alpha,0}\pi(M\mu)(\zeta)s$ . Thus

$$I_{1,0} \le \frac{2\kappa c_{\alpha,0} \pi}{\alpha} (M\mu)(\zeta).$$

Since  $|w - \zeta| \le 2|w - r\zeta|$  for any  $w \in S^1$ , we have, for  $l \ge 1$ ,

$$I_{1,l} \le \frac{8\kappa s}{\alpha \, (2^{l-1}s)^2} \, \mu(B(\zeta, 2^l s)) \le \frac{32\kappa \, c_{\alpha,0} \, \pi}{\alpha} \frac{(M\mu)(\zeta)}{2^l}$$

If  $w \in \mathbb{V}_2$ , then  $h(w)^2 \leq \left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}{2}\right)^{2\alpha} b(w)^{\alpha}$ , and for  $u \in [0,1]$ ,  $(1-u)a(w) + ub(w) \geq a(w) + u\frac{b(w)}{2}$ , so that

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha-1}(1-u)^{\alpha}du}{[(1-u)a(w)+u\,b(w)]^{\alpha}} h(w)^{2} \leq \left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}{2}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{b(w)^{\alpha}}{a(w)^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha-1}}{[1+u\,c(w)]^{\alpha}} du$$
$$= \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)^{2\alpha} \int_{0}^{c(w)} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1+t)^{\alpha}} dt ,$$

where  $c(w) = \frac{b(w)}{2a(w)} > 1$ .

If  $l \ge 0$  and  $w \in B(\zeta, 2^l s)$ , we have  $\sqrt{b(w)} \le k|w-r\zeta| \le k(2^l+1)s \le 2^{l+1}ks$ and  $\sqrt{a(w)} \ge 1 - r^k \ge s$ , so that  $c(w) \le 2^{2l+1}k^2$ . It follows that

$$I_{2,0} \le \frac{2\kappa}{s} \,\mu(B(\zeta,s)) \int_0^{2k^2} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1+t)^{\alpha}} \,dt \le 2\kappa \,c_{\alpha,0} \,\pi(M\mu)(\zeta) \int_0^{2k^2} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1+t)^{\alpha}} \,dt,$$

and since  $\int_1^{c(w)} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1+t)^{\alpha}} dt \leq \ln(c(w))$  for any  $w \in \mathbb{V}_2$ ,

$$I_{2,l} \leq \frac{8\kappa s}{(2^{l-1}s)^2} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1+t)^{\alpha}} dt + (2l+1)\ln 2 + 2\ln k \right) \mu(B(\zeta, 2^l s))$$
  
$$\leq 32\kappa c_{\alpha,0} \pi \left( \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1+t)^{\alpha}} dt + (2l+1)\ln 2 + 2\ln k \right) \frac{(M\mu)(\zeta)}{2^l}$$

for  $l \geq 1$ , which completes the proof of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. If  $f \in L^1(d\theta)$  is given, it suffices to show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a Borel set  $E_{\varepsilon} \subset S^1$  satisfying  $m(E_{\varepsilon}) \leq \varepsilon$ , such that for every  $\zeta \in S^1 \setminus E_{\varepsilon}$  and every  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $\limsup_{z \to \zeta, z \in \Omega_{\gamma}(\zeta)} |P[f](z) - f(\zeta)| \leq D(\gamma)\varepsilon$ , where  $D(\gamma)$  is a finite constant depending only on  $\gamma$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Put  $g = \frac{f}{h^2}$ , and write  $g = g_1 + g_2$ , where  $g_1$  is continuous on  $S^1$ and  $||g_2||_{L^1(m)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{A(3)}$ . It follows from Theorem 1.1 that  $|g_2(\zeta)| \leq (Mg_2)(\zeta)$  for almost every  $\zeta \in S^1$ . By Theorem 2.4,

$$m(\{\zeta \in S^1 : (Mg_2)(\zeta) > \varepsilon\}) \le A(3) \varepsilon^{-1} \|g_2\|_{L^1(m)} \le \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, there is  $E_{\varepsilon} \subset S^1$  with  $m(E_{\varepsilon}) \leq \varepsilon$ , such that if  $\zeta \in S^1 \setminus E_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\gamma > 1$ , we have for any  $z \in \Omega_{\gamma}(\zeta)$ ,

$$|P[f](z) - f(\zeta)| \le |P[g_1h^2](z) - (g_1h^2)(\zeta)| + |P[g_2h^2](z)| + |(g_2h^2)(\zeta)|$$
  
$$\le |P[g_1h^2](z) - (g_1h^2)(\zeta)| + C(\gamma)(Mg_2)(\zeta) + (Mg_2)(\zeta)$$
  
$$\le |P[g_1h^2](z) - (g_1h^2)(\zeta)| + (C(\gamma) + 1)\varepsilon,$$

where the constant  $C(\gamma)$  is given by Theorem 1.5. By Theorem 1.1 in [7],

$$\lim_{z \to \zeta} P[g_1 h^2](z) = (g_1 h^2)(\zeta),$$

so that we obtain  $\limsup_{z\to\zeta, z\in\Omega_{\gamma}(\zeta)} |P[f](z) - f(\zeta)| \leq (C(\gamma) + 1)\varepsilon$ , and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Professor E. H. Youssfi for proposing to me this problem as well as his advice and suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

### References

- Dunkl, C. F., Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 311 (1989), 167 – 183.
- [2] Dunkl, C. F., Poisson and Cauchy kernels for orthogonal polynomials with dihedral symmetry. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 143 (1989), 459 – 470.
- [3] Dunkl, C. F. and Xu, Y., Orthogonal Polynomials of Several Variables. Cambridge: Univ. Press 2001.
- [4] Muckenhoupt, B. and Stein, E. M., Classical expansions and their relation to conjugate harmonic functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 118 (1965), 17 – 92.
- [5] Rösler, M., Dunkl operators: theory and applications. In: Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions (Leuven, 2002). Lecture Notes Math. 1817. Berlin: Springer 2003, pp. 93 – 135.
- [6] Rudin, W., Function Theory in the Unit Ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . New York: Springer 1980.
- Scalas, F., Poisson integrals associated to Dunkl operators for dihedral groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 133 (2005), 1713 – 1720.

Received September 1, 2005