

The Riesz Potential Operator in Optimal Couples of Rearrangement Invariant Spaces

C. Capone, A. Fiorenza, G. E. Karadzhov and Waqas Nazeer

Abstract. We prove continuity of the Riesz potential operator in optimal couples of rearrangement invariant function spaces defined in \mathbf{R}^n with the Lebesgue measure. An application is given to the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

Keywords. Riesz potential operator, rearrangement invariant function spaces, real interpolation

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 46E30, 46E35

1. Introduction

Let L_{loc} be the space of all locally integrable functions f on \mathbf{R}^n with the Lebesgue measure. Analogously, let L be the space of all locally integrable functions $g \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ with the Lebesgue measure that are in $L^1 + L^\infty$. The Riesz potential operator R^s , $0 < s < n$, $n \geq 1$ is defined formally by

$$R^s f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y) |x - y|^{s-n} dy, \quad f \in L_{loc}.$$

We shall consider rearrangement invariant quasi-Banach spaces E , continuously embedded in $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) + L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, such that the quasi-norm $\|f\|_E$ in E

C. Capone: C.N.R. – Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo "Mauro Picone", via P. Castellino, 111, 80131 Napoli (Italy); capone@na.iac.cnr.it

A. Fiorenza: Università di Napoli "Federico II", Dipartimento di Costruzioni e Metodi Matematici in Architettura, via Monteoliveto, 3, 80134 Napoli (Italy); and C.N.R. - Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo "Mauro Picone", via P. Castellino, 111, 80131 Napoli (Italy); fiorenza@unina.it

G. E. Karadzhov: Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences 1113 Sofia; and Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, GC University Lahore, Pakistan; geremika@yahoo.com

Waqas Nazeer: Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, GC University Lahore, Pakistan; waqaster@yahoo.com

is generated by a quasi-norm ρ_E , defined on L with values in $[0, \infty]$, in the sense that $\|f\|_E = \rho_E(f^*)$. In this way equivalent quasi-norms ρ_E give the same space E . We suppose that E is nontrivial. Here f^* is the decreasing rearrangement of f , given by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \mu_f(\lambda) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0,$$

where μ_f is the distribution function of f , defined by

$$\mu_f(\lambda) = |\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}|_n,$$

$|\cdot|_n$ denoting the Lebesgue n -measure.

There is an equivalent quasi-norm ρ_p that satisfies the triangle inequality $\rho_p^p(g_1 + g_2) \leq \rho_p^p(g_1) + \rho_p^p(g_2)$ for some $p \in (0, 1)$ that depends only on the space E (see [20]). We say that the quasi-norm ρ_E is K -monotone (cf. [6, p. 84] and also [5, p. 305]) if

$$\int_0^t g_1^*(s) ds \leq \int_0^t g_2^*(s) ds \quad \text{implies} \quad \rho_E(g_1^*) \leq \rho_E(g_2^*), \quad g_1 \in L, \quad g_2 \in L. \quad (1)$$

Then ρ_E is monotone, i.e., $g_1 \leq g_2$ implies $\rho_E(g_1) \leq \rho_E(g_2)$.

We use the notations $a_1 \lesssim a_2$ or $a_2 \gtrsim a_1$ for nonnegative functions or functionals to mean that the quotient a_1/a_2 is bounded; also, $a_1 \approx a_2$ means that $a_1 \lesssim a_2$ and $a_1 \gtrsim a_2$. We say that a_1 is equivalent to a_2 if $a_1 \approx a_2$.

Recall that the relation $g_1^{**} \leq g_2^{**}$, $g_1, g_2 \in L$ is equivalent to $g_1 = Cg_2$, where C is a positive contraction in the couple (L^1, L^∞) (see [21, Theorem 3.4, p. 89]).

We say that the quasi-norm ρ_E satisfies Minkovski inequality if for the equivalent quasi-norm ρ_p ,

$$\rho_p^p\left(\sum g_j\right) \lesssim \sum \rho_p^p(g_j), \quad g_j \in L. \quad (2)$$

For example, if E is a rearrangement invariant Banach function space as in [5], then by the Luxemburg representation theorem $\|f\|_E = \rho_E(f^*)$ for some norm ρ_E satisfying (1) and (2). More general example is given by the Riesz-Fischer monotone spaces as in [5, p. 305].

Recall the definition of the lower and upper Boyd indices α_E and β_E . Let

$$h_E(u) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\rho_E(g_u^*)}{\rho_E(g^*)} : g \in L \right\}, \quad g_u(t) := g\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)$$

be the dilation function generated by ρ_E . Then

$$\alpha_E := \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{\log h_E(t)}{\log t} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_E := \inf_{1 < t < \infty} \frac{\log h_E(t)}{\log t}.$$

If ρ_E is monotone, then the function h_E is submultiplicative, increasing, $h_E(1) = 1$, $h_E(u)h_E(\frac{1}{u}) \geq 1$, hence $0 \leq \alpha_E \leq \beta_E$. If ρ_E is K -monotone, then by interpolation, (analogously to [5, p. 148]) we see that $h_E(s) \leq \max(1, s)$. Hence in this case we have also $\beta_E \leq 1$.

Using the Minkovski inequality for the equivalent quasi-norm ρ_p and monotonicity of f^* , we see that

$$\rho_E(f^*) \approx \rho_E(f^{**}) \quad \text{if } \beta_E < 1, \tag{3}$$

where $f^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds$.

Consider the Gamma spaces $\Gamma^q(w)$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, w - positive weight, i.e., positive function from L (see also [15] for a class of generalized Gamma spaces), with a quasi-norm $\|f\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} := \rho_{w,q,\Gamma}(f^*)$, where

$$\rho_{w,q,\Gamma}(g) := \left(\int_0^\infty [g^{**}(t)w(t)]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

The condition $\left(\int_0^\infty \min(1, t^{-q})w^q(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty$ should be satisfied (otherwise the space will be trivial). Then this space is continuously embedded in the sum $L^1 + L^\infty$. Using this embedding, the completeness of the space can be established in a standard way. The space $E = \Gamma^q(w)$ with $\rho_E = \rho_{w,q,\Gamma}$ satisfies the conditions (1), (2).

Consider the classical Lorentz spaces $\Lambda^q(w)$, $0 < q \leq \infty$; $f \in \Lambda^q(w)$ if

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_w^q} := \rho_{w,q}(f^*), \quad \rho_{w,q}(g) := \left(\int_0^\infty [g^*(t)w(t)]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

This is a quasi-normed space if $w(2t) \approx w(t)$. If $w(t) = t^{\frac{1}{r}}$, $1 \leq r < \infty$, the usual notation $L^{r,q}$ is used. Then $\alpha_E = \beta_E = \frac{1}{r}$. In some cases the Lorentz space $E = \Lambda^q(w)$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ also satisfies the conditions (1), (2). For example, if $\frac{w^q(t)}{t}$ is not increasing, then (see [5, p. 218]), the functional $\rho_{w,q}$ is a K -monotone norm. It is easy to check that this space is continuously embedded in $L^q + L^\infty$.

We have the equivalence

$$\|f\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} \approx \|f\|_{\Lambda^q(w)} \tag{4}$$

in the following cases.

If $1 \leq q < \infty$ then (4) is satisfied if and only if w is such that (see [2])

$$t^q \int_t^\infty s^{-q}[w(s)]^q \frac{ds}{s} \lesssim \int_0^t [w(s)]^q \frac{ds}{s}. \tag{5}$$

If $q = \infty$ then (4) is valid if and only if (see [9])

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \lesssim \frac{1}{w(t)}, \quad \text{where } w(t) := \int_0^t v(s) ds \text{ for some } v.$$

For weights satisfying $\int_0^t w(s) \frac{ds}{s} \lesssim w(t)$, the condition (5) with $q = 1$ is equivalent to

$$\int_t^\infty \frac{w(s)}{s} \frac{ds}{s} \lesssim \frac{w(t)}{t}. \tag{6}$$

Indeed, the condition (6) implies (5) with $q = 1$ by integration and Fubini's theorem. Conversely, (6) follows from (5) with $q = 1$ if $\int_0^t w(s) \frac{ds}{s} \lesssim w(t)$. Note that (4) is equivalent to $\beta_E < 1$ (see [5, p. 150]).

The main goal of this paper is to prove continuity of the Riesz potential operator $R^s : E \mapsto G$ in optimal couples of rearrangement invariant function spaces E and G , where $\|f\|_G := \rho_G(f^*)$. It is convenient to introduce the following classes of quasi-norms:

- N_d consists of all quasi-norms ρ_E that are monotone, rearrangement invariant, and such that $\alpha_E \geq \frac{s}{n}, \beta_E \leq 1$;
- $N_{d,1}$ consists of all quasi-norms ρ_E that are monotone, rearrangement invariant, satisfy Minkovski inequality and $\alpha_E \geq \frac{s}{n}, \beta_E < 1$;
- $N_{d,2}$ consists of all quasi-norms ρ_E that are monotone, rearrangement invariant, satisfy Minkovski inequality and $\alpha_E > \frac{s}{n}, \beta_E \leq 1$;
- $N_{d,3}$ consists of all quasi-norms ρ_E that are K -monotone, rearrangement invariant, satisfy Minkovski inequality, and $\alpha_E \geq \frac{s}{n}$;
- N_t consists of all quasi-norms ρ_G that are monotone and $\beta_G \leq 1 - \frac{s}{n}$;
- $N_{t,1}$ consists of all quasi-norms ρ_G that are monotone, satisfy Minkovski inequality and $\beta_G < 1 - \frac{s}{n}$;
- $N_{t,2}$ consists of all quasi-norms ρ_G that are monotone, satisfy Minkovski inequality and $\alpha_G > 0, \beta_G \leq 1 - \frac{s}{n}$.

Definition 1.1 (admissible couple). We say that the couple $\rho_E \in N_d, \rho_G \in N_t$ is admissible for the Riesz potential if the following estimate is valid:

$$\rho_G((R^s f)^{**}) \lesssim \rho_E(f^*). \tag{7}$$

Moreover, ρ_E (respectively E) is called domain quasi-norm (domain space), and ρ_G (respectively G) is called target quasi-norm (target space).

For example, by Theorem 2.2 below (the sufficient part), the couple $E = \Lambda^q(t^{\frac{s}{n}}w), G = \Lambda^q(v), 1 \leq q \leq \infty$, is admissible if $\beta_E < 1$ and v is related to w by the Muckenhoupt condition [27]:

$$\left(\int_0^t [v(s)]^q \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_t^\infty [w(s)]^{-r} \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim 1, \quad \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1.$$

Definition 1.2 (optimal target quasi-norm). Given the domain quasi-norm $\rho_E \in N_d$, the optimal target quasi-norm, denoted by $\rho_{G(E)}$, is the strongest target quasi-norm, i.e.,

$$\rho_G(g^*) \lesssim \rho_{G(E)}(g^*), \quad g \in L,$$

for any target quasi-norm $\rho_G \in N_t$ such that the couple ρ_E, ρ_G is admissible.

Definition 1.3 (optimal domain quasi-norm). Given the target quasi-norm $\rho_G \in N_t$, the optimal domain quasi-norm, denoted by $\rho_{E(G)}$, is the weakest domain quasi-norm, i.e.,

$$\rho_{E(G)}(g^*) \lesssim \rho_E(g^*), \quad g \in L,$$

for any domain quasi-norm $\rho_E \in N_d$ such that the couple ρ_E, ρ_G is admissible.

Definition 1.4 (optimal couple). The admissible couple ρ_E, ρ_G is said to be optimal if $\rho_E = \rho_{E(G)}$ and $\rho_G = \rho_{G(E)}$.

We prove that optimal quasi-norms are uniquely determined up to equivalence, while the corresponding optimal quasi-Banach spaces are unique. We give a characterization of all admissible couples, optimal target quasi-norms, optimal domain quasi-norms, and optimal couples. It is convenient to consider two cases: subcritical and critical.

Definition 1.5 (subcritical case). The subcritical case is defined by the condition

$$\int_0^1 u^{-p\frac{s}{n}} h_E^p(u) \frac{du}{u} < \infty, \quad \text{or equivalently,} \quad \frac{s}{n} < \alpha_E. \tag{8}$$

The equivalence in (8) can be established as in [5, p. 147]. For example, if $E = L^r$, $1 \leq r < \infty$, then the condition (8) means that $s < \frac{n}{r}$.

In the subcritical case and if $\beta_E < 1$ we prove that the optimal target quasi-norm satisfies $\rho_{G(E)}(g^*) \approx \rho_E(t^{-\frac{s}{n}} g^*(t))$, $g \in L$. Moreover, the couple $\rho_E, \rho_{G(E)}$ is optimal.

Definition 1.6 (critical case). The critical case is defined by the condition $\frac{s}{n} = \alpha_E$.

In the critical case we use real interpolation similarly to [11], but in a simpler way [1] and consider domain quasi-norms $\rho_E(g) := \rho_H\left(\left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} b(t) g^*(t)\right)_\mu^{**}\right)$, where ρ_H is K -monotone quasi-norm on $(0, \infty)$, satisfying $\beta_H < 1$, and h_μ^* means the rearrangement of h with respect to the Haar measure on $(0, \infty)$, $d\mu := \frac{dt}{t}$, $h_\mu^{**}(t) := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t h_\mu^*(u) du$. In this case the optimal target quasi-norm $\rho_{G(E)}$ is

$$\rho_{G(E)}(g) := \rho_H\left((cg)_\mu^{**}\right). \tag{9}$$

Here b and c belong to a large class of Muckenhoupt slowly varying weights (see Theorem 4.1 below).

Recall that w is slowly varying on $(1, \infty)$ (in the sense of Karamata), if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ the function $t^\varepsilon w(t)$ is equivalent to a non-decreasing function, and the

function $t^{-\varepsilon}w(t)$ is equivalent to a non-increasing function. By symmetry, we say that w is slowly varying on $(0, 1)$ if the function $t \mapsto w\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$ is slowly varying on $(1, \infty)$. Finally, w is slowly varying if it is slowly varying on $(0, 1)$ and $(1, \infty)$.

For example, if $\rho_H(g) := \left(\int_0^\infty [g(t)]^q dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$, $1 < q \leq \infty$, then $\beta_H = \frac{1}{q} < 1$, and

$$\rho_E(g^*) \approx \left(\int_0^\infty \left[(t^{\frac{s}{n}}b(t)g^*(t))_\mu^*(u)\right]^q du\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\int_0^\infty \left[t^{\frac{s}{n}}b(t)g^*(t)\right]^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Hence $E = \Lambda^q(t^{\frac{s}{n}}b(t))$ and $G(E) = \Lambda^q(c)$.

The problem of the optimal target space for potential type operators defined on L^p is considered in [17] by different methods. The case $s = 2$ is treated in [10]. Since $f = cR^k(\nabla^k f)$, $f \in C_0^\infty$, where ∇^k is the k th order gradient (see for example [13]), the results about the optimal couples for the Riesz potential imply optimal embeddings for the homogeneous Sobolev space $w^k E$ with a quasi-norm $\|f\|_{w^k E} = \|\nabla^k f\|_E$, $f \in C_0^\infty$. A direct approach to the same problem for the homogeneous Sobolev space with a norm $\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|D^\alpha f\|_E$ is used in [1] and similar results are proved. The problem of optimal embeddings of inhomogeneous Sobolev spaces, defined on a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n , is treated by somewhat different methods in [13, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22–26].

In this paper we will use the standard notation for the Hardy operators

$$Pg(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t g(u) du, \quad Qg(t) = \int_t^\infty g(u) \frac{du}{u}.$$

2. Admissible couples

Here we give a characterization of all admissible couples ρ_E, ρ_G . It is convenient to define the case $\beta_E = 1$ as limiting and the case $\beta_E < 1$ as sublimiting.

Theorem 2.1 (general case $\beta_E \leq 1$). *The couple $\rho_E \in N_d, \rho_G \in N_t$ is admissible if and only if*

$$\rho_G(Sg) \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \in L, \tag{10}$$

where

$$S = T + T', \quad Tg(t) := \int_t^\infty u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \frac{du}{u}, \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < s < n, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{11}$$

and $T'g(t) = t^{\frac{s}{n}-1} \int_0^t g(u) du$ is the operator adjoint to T .

Proof. First we prove

$$(R^s f)^{**} \lesssim Sf^*. \tag{12}$$

We are going to use real interpolation for quasi-Banach spaces. First we recall some basic definitions. Let (A_0, A_1) be a couple of two quasi-Banach spaces (see [6, 7]) and let

$$K(t, f) = K(t, f; A_0, A_1) = \inf_{f=f_0+f_1} \{ \|f_0\|_{A_0} + t \|f_1\|_{A_1} \}, \quad f \in A_0 + A_1,$$

be the K -functional of Peetre (see [6]). By definition, the K -interpolation space $A_\Phi = (A_0, A_1)_\Phi$ has a quasi-norm $\|f\|_{A_\Phi} = \|K(t, f)\|_\Phi$, where Φ is a quasi-normed function space with a monotone quasi-norm on $(0, \infty)$ with the Lebesgue measure and such that $\min\{1, t\} \in \Phi$. Then (see [7])

$$A_0 \cap A_1 \hookrightarrow A_\Phi \hookrightarrow A_0 + A_1.$$

where by $X \hookrightarrow Y$ we mean that X is continuously embedded in Y . If $\|g\|_\Phi = \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-\theta q} g^q(t) \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$, $0 < \theta < 1$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, we write $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}$ instead of $(A_0, A_1)_\Phi$ (see [6]).

Using the Hardy-Littlewood inequality $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)|dx \leq \int_0^\infty f^*(t)g^*(t)dt$, we get the well known mapping property $R^s: \Lambda^1\left(t^{\frac{s}{n}}\right) \mapsto L^\infty$. and by the Minkovski inequality for the norm f^{**} we get $R^s: L^1 \mapsto \Lambda^\infty\left(t^{1-\frac{s}{n}}\right)$. Hence $t^{1-\frac{s}{n}}(R^s f)^{**}(t) \lesssim K\left(t^{1-\frac{s}{n}}, f; L^1, \Lambda^1\left(t^{\frac{s}{n}}\right)\right)$, therefore (see [6, Section 5.7])

$$(R^s f)^{**}(t) \lesssim S f^*(t).$$

It is clear that (7) follows from (12) and (10).

Now we prove that (7) implies (10). To this end we choose the test function in the form $f(x) = g(c|x|^n)$, $g \in L$, so that $f^*(t) = g^*(t)$ for some positive constant c (cf. [10]). Then

$$R^s f(x) = \int_{|y|<|x|} g(c|y|^n)|x - y|^{s-n} dy + \int_{|y|>|x|} g(c|y|^n)|x - y|^{s-n} dy,$$

whence

$$|R^s f(x)| \gtrsim |x|^{s-n} \int_0^{c|x|^n} g(u) du + \int_{c|x|^n}^\infty u^{\frac{s}{n}-1} g(u) du \gtrsim (Sg)(c|x|^n).$$

Note that $S = \frac{n}{n-s}QT'$, hence Sg is decreasing, therefore

$$|R^s f|^*(t) \gtrsim Sg(t), \quad S = T + T'. \tag{13}$$

Thus, if (7) is given, then (13) implies (10). □

In the sublimiting case $\beta_E < 1$ we can simplify the condition (10), replacing S by T .

Theorem 2.2 (Sublimiting case $\beta_E < 1$). *The couple $\rho_E \in N_{d,1}$, $\rho_G \in N_t$ is admissible if and only if*

$$\rho_G(Tg) \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \in M, \tag{14}$$

where $M := \{g \in L : t^m g(t) \text{ is increasing for some } m > 0\}$.

Proof. We need to prove sufficiency only. But from (12) it follows that $(R^s f)^{**} \lesssim T f^{**}$, therefore (7) follows from (14) and (3) since $\beta_E < 1$. \square

In the case $\alpha_E > \frac{s}{n}$ we have another simplification of (10).

Theorem 2.3 (case $\alpha_E > \frac{s}{n}$). *The couple $\rho_E \in N_d$, $\rho_G \in N_{t,2}$ is admissible if and only if*

$$\rho_G(T'g) \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \in M_1 := \{g \in L : g \text{ is decreasing}\}. \tag{15}$$

Proof. It is enough to check that (15) implies (10). First we prove the estimate for $\rho_E \in N_d$:

$$\rho_E(t^{-a}Qg(t)) \lesssim \rho_E(t^{-a}g(t)), \quad 0 \leq a < 1, \quad g \in M, \quad \alpha_E > a, \tag{16}$$

where $Qg(t) = \int_t^\infty g(u) \frac{du}{u}$. The proof is standard, we just have to use the Minkovski inequality for the equivalent quasi-norm ρ_p and that $\alpha_E > a$ is equivalent to $\int_0^1 u^{-ap} h_E^p(u) \frac{du}{u} < \infty$. (cf. [5])

On the other hand, it is $Tg \lesssim T'(t^{-\frac{s}{n}}Tg(t))$, hence by (15), $\rho_G(Tg) \lesssim \rho_E(t^{-\frac{s}{n}}Tg)$, $g \in M$, therefore if $\alpha_E > \frac{s}{n}$, then (16) implies $\rho_G(Tg) \lesssim \rho_E(g)$. \square

For example, the couple $E = \Gamma^q(tw)$, $G = \Lambda^q(t^{1-\frac{s}{n}}v)$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, is admissible if $\alpha_G > 0$ and v is related to w by [27]

$$\left(\int_t^\infty [v(u)]^q \frac{du}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^t [w(u)]^{-r} \frac{du}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim 1, \quad \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1.$$

2.1. Optimal quasi-norms. Here we give a characterization of the optimal domain and optimal target quasi-norms. Let

$$E \hookrightarrow L^1 + \Lambda^1\left(t^{\frac{s}{n}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad G \hookrightarrow \Lambda^\infty\left(t^{1-\frac{s}{n}}\right) + L^\infty.$$

We can define an optimal target quasi-norm by using Theorem 2.1.

Definition 2.4 (construction of the optimal target quasi-norm). For a given domain quasi-norm $\rho_E \in N_d$ we set

$$\rho_{G(E)}(g) := \inf\{\rho_E(h) : g \leq Sh, \quad h \in L\}. \tag{17}$$

Note that $\alpha_{G(E)} \geq \alpha_E - \frac{s}{n}$, $\beta_{G(E)} \leq \beta_E - \frac{s}{n}$. In particular, if $\alpha_E = \beta_E$ then $\alpha_{G(E)} = \beta_{G(E)} = \alpha_E - \frac{s}{n}$.

Proposition 2.5. *The couple $\rho_E \in N_d$, $\rho_{G(E)} \in N_t$ is admissible and the target quasi-norm is optimal. Also,*

$$G(E) \hookrightarrow \Lambda^\infty(t^{1-\frac{s}{n}}) + L^\infty. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Since ρ_E is a monotone quasi-norm it follows that $\rho_{G(E)}$ is also monotone quasi-norm. The couple is admissible due to $\rho_{G(E)}(Sh) \leq \rho_E(h)$, $h \in L$ and Theorem 2.1. Suppose that the couple $\rho_E \in N_d$, $\rho_G \in N_t$ is admissible. Then by Theorem 2.1, $\rho_G(Sg) \lesssim \rho_E(g)$, $g \in L$. Therefore if $g^* \leq Sh$, $h \in L$, then $\rho_G(g^*) \leq \rho_G(Sh) \lesssim \rho_E(h)$, whence $\rho_G(g^*) \lesssim \rho_{G(E)}(g^*)$.

It remains to check (18). Note that (see [6])

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda^\infty(t^{1-\frac{s}{n}})+L^\infty} \approx \sup_{0 < t < 1} t^{1-\frac{s}{n}} f^*(t) + \sup_{t > 1} f^*.$$

Let $f^* \leq Sh$, $h \in L$. Then $f^* \lesssim Sh^*$ and

$$\sup_{0 < t < 1} t^{1-\frac{s}{n}} Sh^*(t) \leq \int_0^1 h^*(u) du + \int_1^\infty u^{\frac{s}{n}-1} h^*(u) du.$$

Since (see [6]) $\|f\|_{L^1+\Lambda^1(t^{\frac{s}{n}})} \approx \int_0^1 f^*(u) du + \int_1^\infty u^{\frac{s}{n}-1} f^*(u) du$, we obtain $\sup_{0 < t < 1} t^{1-\frac{s}{n}} Sh(t) \lesssim \rho_E(h)$. Analogously, $\sup_{t > 1} Sh(t) \lesssim \rho_E(h)$. Therefore, $\|f\|_{\Lambda^\infty(t^{1-\frac{s}{n}})+L^\infty} \lesssim \rho_E(h)$. Taking the infimum, we get (18). \square

In the sublimiting case $\beta_E < 1$ we can simplify the optimal target quasi-norm.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\rho_E \in N_{d,1}$. Then*

$$\rho_{G(E)}(g^*) \approx \rho(g^*), \quad \rho(g) := \inf\{\rho_E(h) : g \leq Th, h \in M\}. \tag{19}$$

Proof. If $g^* \leq Th$, $h \in M$, then $g^* \leq Sh$, therefore $\rho(g^*) \geq \rho_{G(E)}(g^*)$. For the reverse, if $g^* \leq Sh$, $h \in L$, then $g^* \lesssim Sh^*$ and using $T'h \leq t^{\frac{s}{n}} h^{**}$, we get $g^* \lesssim Th^{**}$. Hence $\rho(g^*) \lesssim \rho_E(h^{**})$ and because $\beta_E < 1$, we derive $\rho(g^*) \lesssim \rho_E(h)$. Taking the infimum, we get $\rho(g^*) \lesssim \rho_{G(E)}(g^*)$. \square

A simplification of the optimal target quasi-norm is possible also in the subcritical case $\alpha_E > \frac{s}{n}$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $\rho_E \in N_{d,2}$. Then*

$$\rho_{G(E)}(g^*) \approx \rho_1(g^*), \quad \rho_1(g) := \inf\{\rho_E(h) : g \leq T'h, h \in M_1\}. \tag{20}$$

Proof. If $g^* \leq T'h$, $h \in M_1$, then $g^* \leq Sh$, therefore $\rho_1(g^*) \geq \rho_{G(E)}(g^*)$. For the reverse, if $g^* \leq Sh$, $h \in L$, then using $Th(t) \lesssim T'(t^{-\frac{s}{n}}Th)$, we get $g^* \lesssim T'(h^* + t^{-\frac{s}{n}}Th)$. Hence $\rho_1(g^*) \lesssim \rho_E(h) + \rho_E(t^{-\frac{s}{n}}Th) \lesssim \rho_E(h)$ using $\alpha_E > \frac{s}{n}$ and (16). Taking the infimum, we get $\rho_1(g^*) \lesssim \rho_{G(E)}(g^*)$. \square

We can construct an optimal domain quasi-norm $\rho_{E(G)}$ by Theorem 2.1 as follows.

Definition 2.8 (construction of an optimal domain quasi-norm). For a given target quasi-norm $\rho_G \in N_t$, we construct an optimal domain quasi-norm $\rho_{E(G)} \in N_d$ by

$$\rho_{E(G)}(g) := \sup\{\rho_G(Sh) : h^{**} \leq g^{**}, h \in L\}, \quad g \in L.$$

Note that $\alpha_{E(G)} \geq \frac{s}{n} + \alpha_G$ and $\beta_{E(G)} \leq \frac{s}{n} + \beta_G$.

Theorem 2.9. Let $\rho_G \in N_t$. Then $\rho_{E(G)} \in N_{d,3}$, the couple $\rho_{E(G)}, \rho_G$ is admissible and the domain quasi-norm $\rho_{E(G)}$ is optimal. Also

$$E(G) \hookrightarrow L^1 + \Lambda^1(t^{\frac{s}{n}}). \tag{21}$$

Proof. First we prove a few properties of the functional $\rho_{E(G)}$. We suppose that $\rho_G \in N_t$. To prove that $\rho_{E(G)}$ satisfies the triangle inequality for quasi-norms, let $h^{**} \leq (g_1 + g_2)^{**}$. Then there is ([5, 21]) a positive contraction C in the couple (L^1, L^∞) such that $h = C(g_1 + g_2)$. If $h_j := Cg_j$ then $h_j \in L$ and $h = h_1 + h_2$. Since $\rho_G(Sh) \lesssim \rho_G(Sh_1) + \rho_G(Sh_2) \lesssim \rho_{E(G)}(g_1) + \rho_{E(G)}(g_2)$, we have $\rho_{E(G)}(g_1 + g_2) \lesssim \rho_{E(G)}(g_1) + \rho_{E(G)}(g_2)$. Evidently, the quasi-norm $\rho_{E(G)}$ is rearrangement invariant. It is K -monotone, because if $g_1^{**} \leq g_2^{**}$ and $h^{**} \leq g_1^{**}$, then $\rho_{E(G)}(g_2) \geq \rho_G(Sh)$, whence $\rho_{E(G)}(g_1) \leq \rho_{E(G)}(g_2)$.

Further, the couple $\rho_{E(G)}, \rho_G$ is admissible since $\rho_{E(G)}(g) \geq \rho_G(Sg)$. Moreover, $\rho_{E(G)}$ is optimal, since for any admissible couple ρ_E, ρ_G we have $\rho_G(Sh) \lesssim \rho_E(h) = \rho_E(h^*)$, where $h \in L$. Therefore,

$$\rho_{E(G)}(g) \leq \sup\{\rho_E(h) : h^{**} \leq g^{**}, h \in L\} \lesssim \rho_E(g)$$

by K -monotonicity of ρ_E . To prove the property (21), we notice that

$$\rho_{E(G)}(f^*) \geq \rho_G(Sf^*) \gtrsim \int_0^1 f^*(t) dt + \int_1^\infty u^{\frac{s}{n}-1} f^*(u) du \approx \|f\|_{L^1 + \Lambda^1(t^{\frac{s}{n}})}. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.10. If ρ_G satisfies Minkovski inequality then $\rho_{E(G)}$ also satisfies Minkovski inequality. Indeed, let $g = \sum g_j$, $g, g_j \in L$. If $h^{**} \leq g^{**}$, then $h = Cg$. Define $h_j := Cg_j$. Then $h = \sum h_j$ and $Sh = \sum Sh_j$, therefore (for the equivalent quasi-norms)

$$\rho_G^p(Sh) \lesssim \sum \rho_G^p(Sh_j) \lesssim \sum \rho_{E(G)}^p(g_j),$$

whence $\rho_{E(G)}^p(g) \leq \sum \rho_{E(G)}^p(g_j)$.

In the case $\alpha_G > 0$ we can simplify the formula for the optimal domain quasi-norm.

Proposition 2.11. *Let $\rho_G \in N_{t,2}$. Then $\rho_{E(G)} \in N_{d,2} \cap N_{d,3}$ and*

$$\rho_{E(G)}(g) \approx \rho_G \left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} g^{**}(t) \right) \approx \rho_G(Tg^{**}).$$

Proof. We have $\rho_{E(G)}(g^*) \geq \rho_G(Sg^*) \geq \rho_G(T'g^*) = \rho_G \left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} g^{**} \right)$. On the other hand, the quasi-norm $\rho_1(g) := \rho_G \left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} g^{**}(t) \right)$ is in the class $N_{d,2} \cap N_{d,3}$ and the couple ρ_1, ρ_G is admissible since $\rho_G(T'h) \leq \rho_G(T'h^*) = \rho_1(h)$. Therefore, $\rho_{E(G)} \lesssim \rho_1$. \square

A simplification is possible also in the case $\beta_G < 1 - \frac{s}{n}$.

Theorem 2.12. *Let $\rho_G \in N_{t,1}$. Then $\rho_{E(G)} \in N_{d,1} \cap N_{d,3}$ and $\rho_{E(G)}(g) \approx \rho_2(g) := \rho_G(Tg^{**})$. Moreover, the couple $\rho_{E(G)}, \rho_G$ is optimal.*

*Also, if $\alpha_G > 0$, then $\rho_{E(G)}(g) \approx \rho_G \left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} g^{**}(t) \right)$.*

Proof. We have $\rho_{E(G)}(g^*) \geq \rho_G(Sg^*) \geq \rho_G(Tg^*) \approx \rho_2(g^*)$, since the upper Boyd index for ρ_2 is $\leq \frac{s}{n} + \beta_G < 1$. On the other hand the couple ρ_2, ρ_G is admissible since for $g \in M$, $\rho_G(Tg) \lesssim \rho_G(Tg^{**}) = \rho_2(g)$. Therefore, $\rho_{E(G)} \lesssim \rho_2$.

Now we check that the couple $\rho_{E(G)}, \rho_G$ is optimal. We need only to prove that ρ_G is an optimal target quasi-norm, i.e., $\rho(g^*) \lesssim \rho_G(g^*)$, where $\rho = \rho_{E(G)}$ is defined by (19) since $\beta_{E(G)} < 1$. We have $g^{**} = Th$, $h(t) = t^{-\frac{s}{n}} [g^{**}(t) - g^*(t)] \in M$, therefore

$$\rho(g^*) \leq \rho_{E(G)}(h) \approx \rho_G(Th^{**}).$$

Since $h^* \lesssim Qh$, we have $h^{**} = Ph^* \lesssim QPh$, therefore $Th^{**} \lesssim TQ(Ph) \lesssim T(Ph)$. Also $T(Ph) \approx Th + t^{\frac{s}{n}} Ph$ and $Ph \leq h^{**}$. Therefore,

$$\rho(g^*) \lesssim \rho_G(Th) + \rho_G \left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} h^{**} \right).$$

Since $h(t) \leq t^{-\frac{s}{n}} g^{**}(t)$ we have $h^*(t) \leq t^{-\frac{s}{n}} g^{**}$, thus we have $\rho_G \left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} h^{**}(t) \right) \leq \int_0^1 u^{-\frac{s}{n}} h_G \left(\frac{1}{u} \right) du \leq \rho_G(g^{**})$. Therefore $\rho(g^*) \lesssim \rho_G(g^{**}) \approx \rho_G(g^*)$. \square

Now we give some examples.

Example 2.13. Consider the space $G = \Lambda^1(v)$ and let $\beta_G < 1 - \frac{s}{n}$. This is true in the particular case when v is slowly varying. Using Theorem 2.12, we can construct the optimal couple E, G , where

$$\rho_E(g) = \rho_G(Tg^{**}) = \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{s}{n}} w(t) g^{**}(t) \frac{dt}{t},$$

and $w(t) = \int_0^t v(u) \frac{du}{u}$, $w(\infty) = \infty$. Hence $E = \Gamma^1 \left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} w \right)$. Also $\alpha_E = \beta_E = \frac{s}{n}$ if v is slowly varying.

Example 2.14. If $G = C_0$ consists of all bounded functions such that $f^*(\infty) = 0$ and $\rho_G(g) = g^*(0) = g^{**}(0)$, then $\alpha_G = \beta_G = 0$ and $\rho_{E(G)}(g) \approx \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{s}{n}} g^{**} \frac{dt}{t}$, i.e., $E = \Gamma^1(t^{\frac{s}{n}})$ and the couple E, G is optimal.

Example 2.15. Let $G = \Lambda^\infty(v)$ with $v(\infty) = \infty$, $\beta_G < 1 - \frac{s}{n}$ and let

$$\rho_E(g) = \sup v(t) \int_t^\infty u^{\frac{s}{n}} g^{**}(u) \frac{du}{u}.$$

Then by Theorem 2.12, the couple E, G is optimal and $\beta_E < 1$. In particular, this is true if v is slowly varying since then $\alpha_G = \beta_G = 0$ and $\alpha_E = \beta_E = \frac{s}{n} < 1$.

Example 2.16. Let $E = \Lambda^\infty(t^{\frac{s}{n}} w(t))$, where w is slowly varying. If

$$\frac{1}{v(t)} = \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{w(u)} \frac{du}{u},$$

then $G = \Lambda^\infty(v)$ is optimal target space. Indeed, $\beta_E = \frac{s}{n} < 1$, and $\rho_G(Tg) \lesssim \rho_E(g)$, which means that the couple is admissible. In order to prove that ρ_G is optimal, take any $g \in L$, and define h from $t^{\frac{s}{n}} w(t) h(t) = \sup_{0 < u \leq t} v(u) g^*(u)$. Then $h \in M$, $t^{\frac{s}{n}} w(t) h(t) \leq \rho_G(g^*)$, therefore $t^{\frac{s}{n}} w(t) h^*(t) \lesssim \rho_G(g^*)$, whence $\rho_E(h) \lesssim \rho_G(g^*)$. On the other hand

$$Th(t) = \int_t^\infty \sup_{0 < x \leq u} v(x) g^*(x) \frac{1}{w(u)} \frac{du}{u} \geq \sup_{0 < u \leq t} v(u) g^*(u) \frac{1}{v(t)} \geq g^*(t).$$

Hence $\rho_{G(E)}(g^*) \leq \rho_E(h) \lesssim \rho_G(g^*)$, therefore ρ_G is optimal.

Example 2.17. Let $\rho_E(g) := \rho_F(tw(t)g^{**}(t))$, $\rho_G(g) := \rho_F(t^{1-\frac{s}{n}}w(t)g(t))$, where w is slowly varying and ρ_F is a monotone quasi-norm with $\alpha_F = \beta_F = 0$. Then $\alpha_E = \beta_E = 1$, $\alpha_G = \beta_G = 1 - \frac{s}{n}$, the couple ρ_E, ρ_G is admissible since $\rho_G(T'g) \leq \rho_E(g)$ and according to Proposition 2.11 the domain quasi-norm is optimal. For example we can take $\rho_F(g) = (\int_0^\infty g^q(t) \frac{dt}{t})^{\frac{1}{q}}$, $0 < q \leq \infty$. But this couple is not optimal. If $w\chi_{(0,1)} \in F$ and $f^*(t) = t^{\frac{s}{n}-1}$, then $f \in G$ and $\rho_{G(E)}(f^*) = \inf \rho_E(h)$, where infimum is taken with respect to all $h \in M_1$ such that $1 \leq \int_0^t h(u) du$. Hence $\int_0^\infty h(u) du = \infty$. Since we have $\rho_E(h) \geq \rho_F(w\chi_{(0,1)}) \int_0^t h(u) du$ for $t > 1$, it follows $\rho_E(h) = \infty$, therefore $f \notin G(E)$.

3. Subcritical case

Here we suppose that $\frac{s}{n} < \alpha_E$.

Theorem 3.1 (sublimiting case). *Let $\beta_E < 1$ and $\rho_E \in N_{d,3}$. Then the optimal target quasi-norm $\rho_{G(E)}$ is equivalent to $\rho_1(g) := \rho_E(t^{-\frac{s}{n}}g)$, $\rho_{G(E)}(g^*) \approx \rho_1(g^*)$. Moreover, the couple $\rho_E, \rho_{G(E)}$ is optimal.*

Proof. Let $g \leq T'h$, $h \in M_1$. Then $t^{-\frac{s}{n}}g(t) \leq Ph(t) := t^{-1} \int_0^t h(u) du$, whence $\rho_1(g) \lesssim \rho_E(h)$, since $\beta_E < 1$. Taking the infimum, we get $\rho_1(g) \lesssim \rho_{G(E)}(g)$. On the other hand, $g^* \lesssim T'(t^{-\frac{s}{n}}g^*(t))$, therefore $\rho_{G(E)}(g^*) \lesssim \rho_E(t^{-\frac{s}{n}}g^*(t)) = \rho_1(g^*)$. Finally, the couple $\rho_E, \rho_{G(E)}$ is optimal, since

$$\rho_{E(G(E))}(g^*) \geq \rho_{G(E)}(Tg^*) \approx \rho_E(t^{-\frac{s}{n}}Tg^*) \gtrsim \rho_E(g^*). \quad \square$$

Example 3.2. Let $E = \Lambda^q(t^\alpha w_1) \cap \Lambda^r(t^\beta w_2)$, $\frac{s}{n} < \alpha \leq \beta < 1$, $0 < q, r \leq \infty$, where w_1, w_2 are slowly varying. Then $G(E) = \Lambda^q(t^{\alpha-\frac{s}{n}}w_1) \cap \Lambda^r(t^{\beta-\frac{s}{n}}w_2)$ and this couple is optimal. In particular, we can take $E = L^p$, $1 < p < \frac{n}{s}$. Then $G(E) = L^{q,p}$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{s}{n}$. This is a classical result, see [17]. Now we know that the domain space L^p is also optimal.

In the limiting case $\beta_E = 1$ we do not know how to simplify the formula (20) for the optimal target quasi-norm. In the next example we provide a construction of an optimal target quasi-norm.

Example 3.3. Let $E = \Lambda^\infty(tw)$, w is slowly varying and $\frac{1}{v(t)} = \int_0^t \frac{1}{w(u)} \frac{du}{u}$. Then $\beta_E = 1$. If $G = \Lambda^\infty(t^{1-\frac{s}{n}}v)$ then $\alpha_G > 0$ and the couple E, G is admissible since $\rho_G(T'g) \lesssim \rho_E(g)$, $g \in M_1$. Moreover, the target space is optimal. Indeed, choose h so that $tw(t)h(t) = \sup_{u>t} u^{1-\frac{s}{n}}v(u)g^*(u)$. Then $tw(t)h(t) \leq \rho_G(g^*)$, hence $tw(t)h^*(t) \lesssim \rho_G(g^*)$ and $\rho_E(h) \lesssim \rho_G(g^*)$. On the other hand,

$$T'h(t) \geq t^{\frac{s}{n}-1} \int_0^t \frac{1}{w(u)} \frac{du}{u} \sup_{u>t} u^{1-\frac{s}{n}}v(u)g^*(u) \geq g^*(t).$$

Therefore $\rho_{G(E)}(g^*) \lesssim \rho_G(g^*)$.

4. Critical case

Here we are going to use real interpolation for quasi-normed spaces, similarly to [11, 12].

First we construct the needed couples of Muckenhoupt weights. Let the function b satisfy the following properties:

$$\text{It is non-decreasing, slowly varying on } (0, \infty), \quad b(t^2) \approx b(t), \quad (22)$$

$$\text{for some } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ the function } (1 + \ln t)^{-1-\varepsilon}b(t) \text{ is increasing for } t > 1. \quad (23)$$

Let

$$c(t) = \frac{b(t)}{1 + |\ln t|}. \quad (24)$$

Then

$$\int_t^\infty \frac{1}{b(u)} \frac{du}{u} \lesssim \frac{1}{c(t)}, \quad t > 0. \quad (25)$$

Indeed, if $0 < t < 1$ we can write:

$$\int_t^\infty \frac{1}{b(u)} \frac{du}{u} = \int_t^1 \frac{1}{b(u)} \frac{du}{u} + \int_1^\infty \frac{(1 + \ln u)^{-1-\varepsilon}}{b(u)(1 + \ln u)^{-1-\varepsilon}} \frac{du}{u}.$$

Using monotonicity properties (22), (23) and $c(t) \lesssim 1$ for $0 < t < 1$, we get (25). The case $t > 1$ is analogous, but simpler.

We denote by $L_*^r(v)$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, v - positive weight, the weighted Lebesgue space on $(0, \infty)$ with the Haar measure $d\mu = \frac{dt}{t}$ and norm

$$\|g\|_{L_*^r(v)} := \left(\int_0^\infty |g(t)v(t)|^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

We write L_*^r when $v = 1$. Let L_v^∞ be the weighted Lebesgue space on $(0, \infty)$ with the Lebesgue measure and a norm $\|g\|_{L_v^\infty} := \sup |g(t)v(t)|$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let ρ_H be a K -monotone quasi-norm on L and let H be the corresponding quasi-Banach space with $\beta_H < 1$. Let b, c be given by (22), (24). Let ρ_E be defined by*

$$\rho_E(g) := \rho_F \left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} b(t) g^*(t) \right), \tag{26}$$

$$F := (L_*^1, L_*^\infty)_{H(\frac{1}{t})}, \tag{27}$$

and $H(\frac{1}{t})$ has a quasi-norm $\|g\|_{H(\frac{1}{t})} := \rho_H \left(\frac{g(t)}{t} \right)$. Then the optimal target quasi-norm is given by $\rho_{G(E)}(g) := \rho_F(gc)$.

Proof. The operator T , defined by (11) is bounded in the following couple of spaces:

$$T : L_*^1 \left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} b(t) \right) \mapsto L_b^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad T : L_*^\infty \left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} b(t) \right) \mapsto L_c^\infty,$$

where b, c are given by (22), (24). Define F by (27). It is well known [6] that

$$\rho_F(g) = \rho_H(g_\mu^{**}) \approx \rho_H(g_\mu^*), \tag{28}$$

where $g_\mu^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t g_\mu^*(s) ds$. The equivalence in (28) is true because $\beta_H < 1$. By interpolation, $T : E_1 \mapsto G_1$, where

$$E_1 := \left(L_*^1 \left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} b(t) \right), L_*^\infty \left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} b(t) \right) \right)_{H(\frac{1}{t})}, \quad G_1 := (L_b^\infty, L_c^\infty)_{H(\frac{1}{t})}.$$

Denote the quasi-norm in E_1 by ρ_1 and let $\rho_E(g) = \rho_1(g^*)$. We have

$$\rho_E(g) = \rho_F \left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} b(t) g^*(t) \right) = \rho_H \left(\left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} b(t) g^*(t) \right)_\mu^{**} \right) \approx \rho_H \left(\left(t^{\frac{s}{n}} b(t) g^*(t) \right)_\mu^* \right).$$

Hence ρ_E is a K -monotone quasi-norm with both Boyd indices equal to $\frac{s}{n} < 1$ (here we are using the fact that b is slowly varying).

Now we characterize the space G_1 . Since (see [6])

$$K(t, g; L_b^\infty, L_c^\infty) = tK\left(\frac{1}{t}, g; L_c^\infty, L_b^\infty\right) = t \sup_s |g(s)| \min\left(c(s), \frac{b(s)}{t}\right),$$

we get the formula

$$\rho_{G_1}(g) = \rho_H(h_g), \quad h_g(u) := \sup_s |g(s)| \min\left(c(s), \frac{b(s)}{t}\right). \tag{29}$$

Also, since $L_b^\infty \leftrightarrow L_c^\infty$ it follows $h_g(u) \approx \sup |g(s)|c(s)$ if $0 < u < 1$. Let

$$H_g(t) := h_g(1 + |\ln t|), \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Then $(H_g)_\mu^*(t) \leq h_g\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$, hence, by (28) and (29), $\rho_F(H_g) \lesssim \rho_{G_1}(g)$. Note that $H_g \gtrsim gc$, hence, if we define the quasi-norm $\rho_G(g) := \rho_F(gc)$, we get the relation

$$\rho_G(Tg) \lesssim \rho_{G_1}(Tg) \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \in M.$$

Since $\beta_E < 1$ Theorem 2.2 shows that the couple ρ_E, ρ_G is admissible.

Now we want to prove that ρ_G is an optimal target quasi-norm. It is sufficient to see that $\rho_G(g) \approx \rho_{G(E)}(g)$, $g \in L$, g decreasing, where $\rho_{G(E)}$ is defined by (17). And since the quasi-norm $\rho_{G(E)}$ is optimal, we need only to prove that $\rho_{G(E)}(g) \lesssim \rho_G(g)$, $g \in L$, g decreasing. To this end first for any such g we construct a decreasing h such that $g \lesssim Th$ and $\rho_E(h) \lesssim \rho_G(g)$. Let $t^{\frac{s}{n}}b(t)h_1(t) = g_1(t)$, where $g_1(t) = g\left(\frac{t^2}{e^2}\right)c(t^2)$ for $0 < t < 1$ and $g_1(t) = g\left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{e}}\right)c(\sqrt{t})$ if $t > 1$. Note that $h_1 \approx h_1^*$. Then $\rho_E(h_1) \approx \rho_F\left(t^{\frac{s}{n}}b(t)h_1^*(t)\right) \approx \rho_F(gc) = \rho_G(g)$. On the other hand, for $0 < t < 1$,

$$Th_1(t) \geq \int_t^{\sqrt{te}} g\left(\frac{u^2}{e^2}\right) \frac{c(u^2)}{b(u)} \frac{du}{u} \geq g(t)A(t) \gtrsim g(t),$$

since

$$A(t) = \int_t^{\sqrt{te}} \frac{c(u^2)}{b(u)} \frac{du}{u} \approx \int_t^{\sqrt{te}} \frac{1}{1 + |\ln u|} \frac{du}{u} \gtrsim 1.$$

Similarly, for $t > 1$ we obtain

$$Th_1(t) \gtrsim \int_t^{et^2} g\left(\frac{\sqrt{u}}{\sqrt{e}}\right) \frac{1}{1 + \ln u} \frac{du}{u} \gtrsim g(t).$$

Therefore we can find $h \approx h_1$ such that $\rho_E(h) \approx \rho_G(g)$ and $Th \geq g$. This means that $\rho_{G(E)} \lesssim \rho_G$. □

The above result suggests the following construction. Let

$$\rho_E(g) = \rho_F\left(t^{\frac{s}{n}}b(t)g^*(t)\right), \quad \rho_G(g) = \rho_F(cg),$$

where ρ_F is a monotone quasi-norm satisfying $\rho_F(g(t^2)) \lesssim \rho_F(g(t))$ with $\alpha_F = \beta_F = 0$ and b, c are slowly varying weights such that $c(t^2) \approx c(t)$ and

$$\int_0^\infty m(t) \frac{c(u)}{b(u)} \frac{du}{u} \gtrsim 1, \quad m = \begin{cases} \chi_{(t, \sqrt{te})} & \text{if } t < 1 \\ \chi_{(t, et^2)} & \text{if } t > 1. \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

Then if $\rho_F(cQg) \lesssim \rho_F(bg)$ the couple ρ_E, ρ_G is admissible and the same argument as above shows that the target quasi-norm is optimal.

Example 4.2. Let $G = \Lambda^q(c)$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $E = \Lambda^q(t^{\frac{s}{n}}b(t))$, where b, c are slowly varying on $(0, \infty)$, satisfying (30), $c(t^2) \approx c(t)$ and

$$\left(\int_0^t c^q(s) \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_t^\infty [b(s)]^{-r} \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim 1, \quad \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1.$$

Then the couple E, G is admissible and G is an optimal target space. In particular, if $b(t) = 1$, $0 < t < 1$ and $b(t) = (1 + \ln t)^2$, $t > 1$, then $c(t) = (1 - \ln t)^{-1}$, $0 < t < 1$ and $c(t) = 1 + \ln t$, $t > 1$. Therefore $E = \Lambda^{\frac{n}{s}}(t^{\frac{s}{n}}b(t))$, $G(E) = \Lambda^{\frac{n}{s}}(c)$, the result that corresponds to the optimal embedding proved in [17] in the critical case.

5. An application to the maximal operator

The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M (see, e.g., [5, p. 117]) is defined by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy, \quad f \in L_{loc}$$

where the supremum extends over all balls B containing $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. The methods from the previous sections can be applied to the problem of optimal couples of rearrangement invariant spaces for M . More precisely, we consider optimality in the following classes:

- \mathcal{N}_d consists of all quasi-norms ρ_E that are monotone, rearrangement invariant, and such that $0 \leq \alpha_E \leq \beta_E \leq 1$;
- $\mathcal{N}_{d,1}$ consists of all quasi-norms $\rho_E \in \mathcal{N}_d$ such that $\beta_E < 1$;
- \mathcal{N}_t consists of all quasi-norms ρ_G that are monotone and $0 \leq \alpha_G \leq \beta_G \leq 1$.

We say that the couple $\rho_E \in \mathcal{N}_d, \rho_G \in \mathcal{N}_t$ is admissible for M if $\rho_G((Mf)^*) \lesssim \rho_E(f^*)$ Since $(Mf)^* \approx f^{**}$ (see, e.g., [5, Theorem 3.8 p. 122]), admissibility is equivalent to $\rho_G(g^{**}) \lesssim \rho_E(g), g \in L$. Using the same arguments as in Section 3, we can prove the following results. Let $\int_0^a g(t)dt \lesssim \rho_E(g), g \in L, 0 < a < \infty$. Given the domain quasi-norm $\rho_E \in \mathcal{N}_d$, the optimal target quasi-norm $\rho_{G(E)}$ satisfies (cf. Proposition 2.5)

$$\rho_{G(E)}(g) := \inf\{\rho_E(h) : g \leq h^{**}, h \in L\}.$$

Evidently, $E \hookrightarrow G(E)$. If $\rho_G \in \mathcal{N}_t$ is given, then the optimal domain quasi-norm $\rho_{E(G)}$ satisfies $\rho_{E(G)}(g) = \rho_G(g^{**})$ and $E(G) \hookrightarrow G$. Moreover, if $\rho_E \in \mathcal{N}_{d,1}$ then $G(E) = E$ and the couple (E, E) is optimal in the class $\mathcal{N}_{d,1}$. In the limiting case $\beta_E = 1$ we have the following example, that corresponds to Example 3.3. If $E = \Lambda^\infty(tw)$, w is slowly varying and $\frac{1}{v(t)} = \int_0^t \frac{1}{w(u)} \frac{du}{u}$, then $G(E) = \Lambda^\infty(tw)$ and $G(E) \supsetneq E$.

Acknowledgement. This research has been partially supported by the Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, GC University Lahore, by a grant from HEC, Pakistan and by the Short Term Mobility Program 2009 from C.N.R., Italy.

The authors are also grateful to the referees whose suggestions improved the paper.

References

- [1] Ahmed, I. and Karadzhov, G. E., Optimal embeddings of generalized homogeneous Sobolev spaces. *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* 61 (2008), 967 – 972.
- [2] Arino, M. and Muckenhoupt, B., Maximal functions on classical Lorentz spaces and Hardy's inequality with weights for non-increasing functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 320 (1990), 727 – 735.
- [3] Bastero, J., Milman, M. and Ruiz, F., A note on $L(\infty, q)$ spaces and Sobolev embeddings. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 52 (2003), 1215 – 1230.
- [4] Bennett, C., De Vore, R. and Sharpley, R., Weak- L^∞ and BMO. *Annals of Math.* 113 (1981), 601 – 611.
- [5] Bennett, C. and Sharpley, R., *Interpolation of Operators*. New York: Academic Press 1988.
- [6] Berg, J. and Löfström, J., *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction*. New York: Springer 1976.
- [7] Brudnyi, Ju. A. and Krugliak, N. Ja., *Interpolation Spaces and Interpolation Functors*. Amsterdam: North-Holland 1991.
- [8] Carro, M. J., Garcia del Amo, A. and Soria, J., Weak-type weights and normable Lorentz spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124 (1996), 849 – 857.
- [9] Carro, M. J., Pick, L., Soria, J. and Stepanov, V. D., On embeddings between classical Lorentz spaces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 4 (2001), 397 – 428.
- [10] Cianchi, A., Symmetrization and second order Sobolev inequalities. *Annali di Matem.* 183 (2004), 45 – 77.
- [11] Cwikel, M. and Pustilnik, E., Sobolev type embeddings in the limiting case. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 4 (1998), 433 – 446.
- [12] Cwikel, M. and Pustilnik, E., Weak type interpolation near "endpoint" spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* 171 (2000), 235 – 277.

- [13] Edmunds, D. E., Kerman, R. and Pick, L., Optimal Sobolev embeddings involving rearrangement invariant quasinorms. *J. Funct. Anal.* 170 (2000), 307 – 355.
- [14] Edmunds, D. E. and Triebel, H., Sharp Sobolev embeddings and related Hardy inequalities: the critical case. *Math. Nachr.* 207 (1999), 79 – 92.
- [15] Fiorenza, A. and Rakotoson, J. M., Some estimates in $GF(p, m, w)$ spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 340 (2008), 793 – 805.
- [16] Gogatishvili, A. and Ovchinnikov, V. I., Interpolation orbits and optimal Sobolev's embeddings. *J. Funct. Anal.* 253 (2007)(1), 1 – 17.
- [17] Hansson, K., Imbedding theorems of Sobolev type in potential theory. *Math. Scand.* 45 (1979), 77 – 102.
- [18] Kerman, R. and Pick, L., Optimal Sobolev imbeddings. *Forum Math.* 18 (2006), 535 – 579.
- [19] Kolyada, V. I., Rearrangements of functions and embedding theorems. *Russian Math. Surveys* 44 (1989), 73 – 117.
- [20] Köthe, G., *Topologische Lineare Räume. I* (in German). Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bd. 107. Berlin: Springer 1960.
- [21] Krein, S. G., Petunin, U. I. and Semenov, E. M., *Interpolation of Linear Operators*. Translation of Mathematical Monographs 54. Providence: Amer. Math. Soc. 1982.
- [22] Maly, J. and Pick, L., An elementary proof of sharp Sobolev embeddings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 130 (2002), 555 – 563.
- [23] Martin, J. and Milman, M., Symmetrization inequalities and Sobolev embeddings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 134 (2006), 2235 – 2247.
- [24] Martin, J. and Milman, M., Higher order symmetrization inequalities and applications. *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.* 330 (2007), 91 – 113.
- [25] Martin, J., Milman, M. and Pustylnik, E., Sobolev inequalities: Symmetrization and self-improvement via truncation. *J. Funct. Anal.* 252 (2007), 677 – 695.
- [26] Milman, M. and Pustylnik, E., On sharp higher order Sobolev embeddings. *Comm. Contemp. Math.* 6 (2004), 495 – 511.
- [27] Muckenhoupt, B., Hardy's inequality with weights. *Studia Math.* 44 (1972), 31 – 38.
- [28] Stein, E. M., *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*. Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press 1970.

Received December 22, 2009