

# Characterization of Besov Spaces on Nested Fractals by Piecewise Harmonic Functions

*Maryia Kabanava*

**Abstract.** In the present paper we characterize the Besov spaces  $B_{pq}^s(\Gamma, \mu)$  on nested fractals in terms of the coefficients of functions with respect to the piecewise harmonic basis.

**Keywords.** Besov spaces, traces, nested fractals

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** 46E35, 28A80

## 1. Introduction

Besov spaces  $B_{pq}^s(\Gamma, \mu)$  on  $d$ -sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be defined by traces of Besov spaces  $B_{pq}^{s+\frac{n-d}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . When the smoothness parameter  $s$  is small,  $B_{pq}^s(\Gamma, \mu)$  can be characterized by intrinsic building blocks, namely atoms. In the present paper first we give a characterization of Besov spaces by a new type of atoms, which we call  $(s, p, \sigma)$ -atoms.

On the other hand Besov spaces on the most trivial example of a  $d$ -set, the unit interval, can be described by means of Faber-Schauder basis [18]. We are looking for its counterpart for the  $d$ -set  $\Gamma$ . So we need to find the description of functions in Faber-Schauder basis in such a way that it can be transferred to other sets. Our approach is to start with a Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$ , see e.g. [8, 15]. Then the harmonic function on  $\Gamma$  with given boundary values can be defined as the unique function that minimizes  $\mathcal{E}(f)$ . Similarly we can define piecewise harmonic functions. Piecewise harmonic functions on the unit interval are exactly the functions forming the Faber-Schauder basis. Thus the family of piecewise harmonic functions may be regarded as the counterpart of Faber-Schauder basis. Piecewise harmonic functions are Lipschitz with respect to the

effective resistance metric. We additionally assume that  $\Gamma$  is a nested fractal. Then the effective resistance metric is equivalent to the Euclidean metric taken to some power and this enables us to treat piecewise harmonic functions as  $(s, p, \sigma)$ -atoms. Thus functions from  $B_{pq}^s(\Gamma, \mu)$  can be characterized in terms of the coefficients of its expansion in a piecewise harmonic basis.

The main result of the paper is contained in the Theorem 5.1. Our proof is based on the atomic characterization of Besov spaces. A similar result is also presented in the paper [13], where the harmonic representation of Lipschitz spaces  $(\Lambda_\alpha^{p,q})^{(1)}(\Gamma)$  introduced by Strichartz is stated. It was shown in [1] that  $(\Lambda_\alpha^{p,q})^{(1)}(\Gamma)$  coincide with  $\text{Lip}(\alpha/\alpha_0, p, q, \Gamma)$ , when  $\Gamma$  is a nested fractal. Thus the harmonic representation of Besov spaces might be also proved by using the discrete characterizations of Besov spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

**2.1. Basic notation and classical Besov spaces.** Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the collection of all natural numbers and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of all integers. Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be Euclidean  $n$ -space, where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The scalar product of  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by  $xy = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ . Put  $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^1$ , whereas  $\mathbb{C}$  is the complex plane. Let  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the Schwartz space of all complex-valued, rapidly decreasing, infinitely differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we denote its topological dual, the space of all tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $0 < p \leq \infty$ , is the standard quasi-Banach space with respect to Lebesgue measure, quasi-normed by

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

$$\|f\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \text{ess-sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|.$$

If  $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

denotes the Fourier transform of  $\varphi$ . The inverse Fourier transform is given by

$$\varphi^\vee(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

One extends  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  in the usual way from  $S$  to  $S'$ . For  $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}f(\varphi) = f(\mathcal{F}\varphi)$ , where  $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Let  $\varphi_0 \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$\varphi_0(x) = 1, \quad |x| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_0(x) = 0, \quad |x| \geq \frac{3}{2}, \tag{1}$$

and let

$$\varphi_k(x) = \varphi_0(2^{-k}x) - \varphi_0(2^{-k+1}x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2}$$

Then, since

$$1 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3}$$

the  $\varphi_j$  form a dyadic resolution of unity in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . According to the Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem  $(\varphi_k \widehat{f})^\vee$  is an entire analytic function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for any  $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In particular,  $(\varphi_k \widehat{f})^\vee(x)$  makes sense pointwise.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\varphi = \{\varphi_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  be the dyadic resolution of unity according to (1)-(3),  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and

$$\|f|_{B_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|_{\varphi} = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} \left\| (\varphi_k \widehat{f})^\vee \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

(with the usual modification if  $q = \infty$ ). Then the Besov space  $B_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consists of all  $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\|f|_{B_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|_{\varphi} < \infty$ .

**2.2. Trace spaces  $B_{pq}^s(\Gamma, \mu)$ .** We proceed with defining Besov spaces on  $d$ -sets.

**Definition 2.2.** A compact set  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a  $d$ -set with  $0 < d < n$  if there is a Radon measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with support  $\Gamma$  such that for some positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , holds

$$c_1 r^d \leq \mu(B(\gamma, r)) \leq c_2 r^d, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma, \quad 0 < r < 1. \tag{4}$$

where  $B(x, r)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  centered at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and of radius  $r > 0$ . The measure  $\mu$  satisfying (4) is called a  $d$ -measure.

If  $\Gamma$  is a  $d$ -set, then the restriction to  $\Gamma$  of the  $d$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure  $H^d$  satisfies (4) and any measure  $\mu$  satisfying (4) is equivalent to  $H^d|_{\Gamma}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mu$  be a Radon measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let

$$s > 0, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad 0 < q < \infty. \tag{5}$$

Let for some  $c > 0$ ,

$$\int_{\Gamma} |\varphi(\gamma)| \mu(d\gamma) \leq c \|\varphi|_{B_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{6}$$

Then the trace operator  $\text{tr}_{\mu}$ ,

$$\text{tr}_{\mu} : B_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_1(\Gamma, \mu),$$

is the completion of the pointwise trace  $(\text{tr}_\mu \varphi)(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma)$ ,  $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Furthermore, the image of  $\text{tr}_\mu$  is denoted by  $\text{tr}_\mu B_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and is quasi-normed by

$$\|g|_{\text{tr}_\mu B_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| = \inf \{ \|f|_{B_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| : \text{tr}_\mu f = g \}.$$

**Remark 2.4.** The above definition is justified since  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $B_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with (5). We refer to [16, Theorem 2.3.3, p. 48]. Due to (6), the trace of  $f$  is independent of the approximation of  $f$  in  $B_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -functions.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $d$ -set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $s > 0$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < q < \infty$ . Then

$$B_{pq}^s(\Gamma, \mu) = \text{tr}_\mu B_{pq}^{s+\frac{n-d}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with

$$\|f|_{B_{pq}^s(\Gamma, \mu)}\| = \inf \{ \|g|_{B_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| : \text{tr}_\mu g = f \}.$$

There is the extension operator which is closely connected to the trace operator. The following assertion is covered by [6, Theorem 3, p. 155], we also refer to [17, Section 1.17.2].

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a compact  $d$ -set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $0 < d < n$  and let  $\mu$  be a corresponding Radon measure. Let*

$$0 < s < 1, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad 1 \leq q \leq \infty, \quad t = s + \frac{n-d}{p},$$

and let  $\text{tr}_\mu$  be the trace operator. Then there is a linear and bounded extension operator  $\text{ext}_\mu$  with

$$\text{ext}_\mu : B_{pq}^s(\Gamma, \mu) \hookrightarrow B_{pq}^t(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{7}$$

and

$$\text{tr}_\mu \circ \text{ext}_\mu = \text{id} \quad (\text{identity in } B_{pq}^s(\Gamma, \mu)). \tag{8}$$

All our reasoning strongly uses the fact that  $B_{pp}^s(\Gamma, \mu)$  with  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < s < 1$  can be equivalently normed by  $\|f|_{B_{pp}^s(\Gamma, \mu)}\|_*$  with

$$\|f|_{B_{pp}^s(\Gamma, \mu)}\|_*^p = \int_\Gamma |f(\gamma)|^p \mu(d\gamma) + \int_\Gamma \int_\Gamma \frac{|f(\gamma) - f(\delta)|^p}{|\gamma - \delta|^{d+sp}} \mu(d\gamma)\mu(d\delta), \tag{9}$$

we refer to [6]. We simplify the notation and write  $B_p^s(\Gamma)$  instead of  $B_{pp}^s(\Gamma, \mu)$ .

### 3. Atomic characterizations of $B_p^s(\Gamma)$

Besov spaces  $B_p^s(\Gamma)$  with  $0 < s < 1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$  can be characterized in terms of intrinsic building blocks, namely atoms.

Let for  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\Gamma_\delta = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B(\gamma, \delta)$  where

$$B(\gamma, \delta) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - \gamma| < \delta\}, \quad (10)$$

be a  $\delta$ -neighbourhood of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $0 < r < 1$  be fixed. Let for  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\{\gamma_{j,m}\}_{m=1}^{M_j} \subset \Gamma$  be the lattice of points with the following properties:

- For some  $c_1 > 0$

$$|\gamma_{j,m_1} - \gamma_{j,m_2}| \geq c_1 r^j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad m_1 \neq m_2. \quad (11)$$

- For some some  $c_2 > 0$

$$\Gamma_{c_2 r^j} \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^{M_j} B(\gamma_{j,m}, r^j), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (12)$$

where  $B(\gamma_{j,m}, r^j)$  are given by (10).

Let

$$B_{j,m}^\Gamma = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma - \gamma_{j,m}| < r^j\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad m = 1, \dots, M_j, \quad (13)$$

be the intersection of balls  $B(\gamma_{j,m}, r^j)$  with  $\Gamma$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $d$ -set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < s < 1$ . Then a continuous function  $a_{jm}$  on  $\Gamma$  is called an  $(s, p)^*$ -atom, if for  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m = 1, \dots, M_j$ ,

$$\text{supp } a_{jm} \subset B_{j,m}^\Gamma, \quad (14)$$

$$|a_{jm}(\gamma)| \leq H^d(B_{j,m}^\Gamma)^{\frac{s}{d} - \frac{1}{p}}, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma, \quad (15)$$

and

$$|a_{jm}(\gamma) - a_{jm}(\delta)| \leq H^d(B_{j,m}^\Gamma)^{\frac{s-1}{d} - \frac{1}{p}} |\gamma - \delta| \quad (16)$$

with  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ , [17, Section 8.1.3].

Since  $\Gamma$  is a  $d$ -set, we can reformulate (15) and (16) as

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{jm}(\gamma)| &\leq c r^{j(s - \frac{d}{p})}, \\ |a_{jm}(\gamma) - a_{jm}(\delta)| &\leq c r^{j(s - 1 - \frac{d}{p})} |\gamma - \delta|. \end{aligned}$$

For our further purposes we need the following assertion which is covered by [17, Proposition 8.10].

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $d$ -set. Let  $r > 0$  and*

$$B^\Gamma(r) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma - \gamma_0| < r\} \quad \text{for some } \gamma_0 \in \Gamma,$$

and  $B(2r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - \gamma_0| < 2r\}$ . Let  $f \in B_p^s(\Gamma)$  with  $\text{supp } f \subset B^\Gamma(r)$ . Then

$$\|f|B_p^s(\Gamma)\| = \inf \|g|B_p^t(\mathbb{R}^n)\|, \quad t = s + \frac{n-d}{p},$$

where the infimum is taken over all  $g \in B_p^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $g|_\Gamma = f$ ,  $\text{supp } g \subset B(2r)$ .

Now we can formulate an intrinsic atomic decomposition of the trace spaces  $B_p^s(\Gamma)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $0 < s < 1$ . Then  $B_p^s(\Gamma)$  is the collection of all  $f \in L_1(\Gamma, \mu)$  which can be represented as*

$$f(\gamma) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \lambda_m^j a_{jm}(\gamma), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma, \tag{17}$$

where  $\|\lambda\| = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$ ,  $a_{jm}$  are  $(s, p)^*$ -atoms according to Definition 3.1 and (17) converges absolutely in  $L_1(\Gamma, \mu)$ . Furthermore,

$$\|f|B_p^s(\Gamma)\| \sim \inf \|\lambda\| \tag{18}$$

where infimum is taken over all admissible representations (17), [17, Chapter 8.1.3].

We introduce new type of atoms, that we call  $(s, p, \sigma)$ -atoms.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \sigma < 1$  and  $0 < s < \sigma$ . Then a continuous function  $a_{jm}$  on  $\Gamma$  is called an  $(s, p, \sigma)$ -atom, if for  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m = 1, \dots, M_j$ ,

$$\text{supp } a_{jm} \subset B_{j,m}^\Gamma, \tag{19}$$

$$|a_{jm}(\gamma)| \leq cr^{j(s-\frac{d}{p})}, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma, \tag{20}$$

and

$$|a_{jm}(\gamma) - a_{jm}(\delta)| \leq cr^{j(s-\sigma-\frac{d}{p})} |\gamma - \delta|^\sigma \tag{21}$$

with  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $a_{jm}$  be an  $(s, p)^*$ -atom and  $0 < s < \sigma$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{jm}(\gamma) - a_{jm}(\delta)| &\leq cr^{j(s-1-\frac{d}{p})} |\gamma - \delta| \\ &= cr^{j(s-1-\frac{d}{p})} |\gamma - \delta|^{1-\sigma} |\gamma - \delta|^\sigma \\ &\leq cr^{j(s-1-\frac{d}{p})} r^{j(1-\sigma)} |\gamma - \delta|^\sigma \\ &= cr^{j(s-\sigma-\frac{d}{p})} |\gamma - \delta|^\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that any  $(s, p)^*$ -atom is an  $(s, p, \sigma)$ -atom.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \sigma < 1$  and  $0 < s < \sigma$ . Then  $B_p^s(\Gamma)$  is the collection of all  $f \in L_1(\Gamma, \mu)$  which can be represented as*

$$f(\gamma) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \lambda_m^j a_{jm}(\gamma), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma, \quad (22)$$

where  $\|\lambda\| = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$ ,  $a_{jm}$  are  $(s, p, \sigma)$ -atoms according to Definition 3.4 and (22) converges absolutely in  $L_1(\Gamma, \mu)$ . Furthermore,

$$\|f|B_p^s(\Gamma)\| \sim \inf \|\lambda\|$$

where infimum is taken over all admissible representations (22).

*Proof.* The proof is the adaption of reasoning in [17, Section 8.1.3]. The representation (17) with  $(s, p)^*$ -atoms is a special case of the representation (22) and it holds (18). Hence it remains to show that from the representation (22) follows that

$$f \in B_p^s(\Gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \|f|B_p^s(\Gamma)\| \leq c \|\lambda\|.$$

First we estimate the norm of  $(s, p, \sigma)$ -atoms in  $B_p^s(\Gamma)$ . Let  $L$  be a number such that  $\text{diam } \Gamma \leq 2^L$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{|a_{jm}(\gamma) - a_{jm}(\delta)|^p}{|\gamma - \delta|^{d+sp}} \mu(d\delta) \mu(d\gamma) \\ & \leq c \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{|\gamma - \delta|^{d+(s-\sigma)p}} \mu(d\delta) \mu(d\gamma) \\ & = c \int_{\Gamma} \sum_{i=-\infty}^L \int_{B(\gamma, 2^i) \setminus B(\gamma, 2^{i-1})} \frac{1}{|\gamma - \delta|^{d+(s-\sigma)p}} \mu(d\delta) \mu(d\gamma) \\ & \leq c \int_{\Gamma} \sum_{i=-\infty}^L \int_{B(\gamma, 2^i) \setminus B(\gamma, 2^{i-1})} \frac{1}{2^{i(d+(s-\sigma)p)}} \mu(d\delta) \mu(d\gamma) \\ & \leq c \mu(\Gamma) \sum_{i=-\infty}^L \frac{2^{id}}{2^{i(d+(s-\sigma)p)}} \\ & = c \mu(\Gamma) \frac{2^{L(s-\sigma)p}}{1 - 2^{(s-\sigma)p}} \\ & \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{\Gamma} |a_{jm}(\gamma)|^p \mu(d\gamma) \leq \int_{B_{jm}} \mu(B_{jm})^{\frac{sp}{d}-1} \mu(d\gamma) \leq \mu(\Gamma)^{\frac{sp}{d}} = C.$$

This means that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|a_{jm}|B_p^s(\Gamma)\| \leq C$  for all  $(s, p, \sigma)$ -atoms. Furthermore, for  $0 < s \leq \bar{s} < \sigma$  we can write  $a_{jm}(\gamma) = r^{j(s-\bar{s})}b_{jm}(\gamma)$ , where  $b_{jm}(\gamma) = r^{j(\bar{s}-s)}a_{jm}(\gamma)$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m = 1, \dots, M_j$  we have

$$\text{supp } b_{jm} = \text{supp } a_{jm} \subset B_{jm}^\Gamma, \quad |b_{jm}(\gamma)| \leq cr^{j(\bar{s}-\frac{d}{p})}$$

and

$$|b_{jm}(\gamma) - b_{jm}(\delta)| \leq cr^{j(\bar{s}-\sigma-\frac{d}{p})} |\gamma - \delta|^\sigma.$$

This shows that  $b_{jm}$  are  $(\bar{s}, p, \sigma)$ -atoms and  $\|b_{jm}|B_p^{\bar{s}}(\Gamma)\| \leq C$ . Hence

$$\|a_{jm}|B_p^{\bar{s}}(\Gamma)\| \leq Cr^{j(s-\bar{s})}.$$

We apply Lemma 3.2 to  $a_{jm}$ . Then it follows that there are functions  $A_{jm} \in B_{pp}^{\bar{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\bar{t} = \bar{s} + \frac{n-d}{p}$ , such that

$$\text{tr}_\mu A_{jm} = a_{jm}, \quad \text{supp } A_{jm} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - \gamma_{jm}| \leq c_1 r^j\}$$

and

$$\|A_{jm}|B_{pp}^{\bar{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \leq c_2 r^{j(t-\bar{t})}, \quad t = s + \frac{n-d}{p}.$$

Then according to [17, Definition 2.7]  $A_{jm}$  are non-smooth atoms for  $B_{pp}^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and from [17, Theorem 2.3] it follows that

$$F = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \lambda_m^j A_{jm} \quad \text{with } \|\lambda\| < \infty$$

belongs to  $B_{pp}^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\|F|B_{pp}^t(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \leq c \|\lambda\|$ . Taking into account that  $f = \text{tr}_\mu F$ , we may conclude  $\|f|B_p^s(\Gamma)\| \leq c \|\lambda\|$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Self-similar sets

Typical examples of  $d$ -sets are self-similar sets with invariant measure  $\mu$ . Generally speaking, a self-similar set is a set that is made up of parts which are similar to the whole. The mathematical definition was given by Hutchinson in [4].

**Definition 4.1.** A mapping  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a similarity (similitude), if there is a constant  $0 < \rho < 1$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  holds

$$|F(x) - F(y)| = \rho |x - y|.$$

The constant  $\rho$  is called the contraction ratio of  $F$ .



**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be similarities in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exists a unique non-empty compact set  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  that satisfies*

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^N F_i(\Gamma). \quad (23)$$

$\Gamma$  is called a self-similar set with respect to  $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^N$ .

There are many books and papers dealing with self-similar sets, we refer to [2, 4, 8].

A set  $\Gamma_w$  with  $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_j)$ ,  $w_i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  defined by

$$\Gamma_w = F_w(\Gamma) = F_{w_1} \circ F_{w_2} \circ \dots \circ F_{w_j}(\Gamma),$$

is called  $j$ -simplex. We call  $w$  a word of length  $j = |w|$ . Then it holds  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{|w|=j} F_w(\Gamma)$ .

Let  $\Sigma = \{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) : \omega_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}\}$  be a set of all infinite sequence. For any  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Sigma$  define a continuous surjective map  $\pi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Gamma$  by

$$\pi(\omega) = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_m}.$$

Let  $C = \bigcup_{i \neq j} (\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j)$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = \pi^{-1}(C)$  and  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \sigma^n(\mathcal{C})$ , where  $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  is the shift map defined by

$$\sigma(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) = (\omega_2, \omega_3, \dots).$$

Let  $V_0 = \pi(\mathcal{P})$  and  $V_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^N F_i(V_{j-1})$ , or equivalently

$$V_j = \bigcup_{|w|=j} F_w(V_0).$$

Then  $V_j$  describes the set of boundary points of simplexes of fixed level  $j$ . It is clear that  $V_j \subset V_{j+1}$ . Let  $V_* = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} V_j$ , then  $\Gamma = \overline{V_*}$  in the Euclidean topology. When  $j$  is fixed,  $V_j$  is the natural lattice of points in the self-similar set  $\Gamma$  that satisfies (11) and (12). We followed [8, Sections 1.2–1.3]. We form a graph  $G_j$  with vertices  $V_j$  and edge relation  $\xi \sim_j \eta$  holding if and only if there exists a  $j$ -simplex containing both  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  as boundary points.

In this paper we consider sets  $\Gamma$  such that they are self-similar with respect to the similarities with the same contraction ratio  $0 < \rho < 1$ , that is

$$|F_i(x) - F_i(y)| = \rho |x - y|. \quad (24)$$

The unit interval  $I = [0, 1]$  is a self-similar set with respect to the similarities  $F_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$F_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}x, \quad F_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}.$$

The Koch curve  $K$  is a self-similar set with respect to the similarities  $F_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, i = 1, 2,$

$$F_1(x, y) = \left( \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}y, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}x - \frac{1}{2}y \right),$$

$$F_2(x, y) = \left( \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}y + \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}x - \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \right),$$

see [8], where mappings  $F_1, F_2$  are given in a complex form. The self-similar structure of the unit interval  $I$  and the Koch curve  $K$  can be used to establish the transform

$$H : I \rightarrow K, \tag{25}$$

such that

$$|H(x) - H(y)|^d \sim |x - y|, \tag{26}$$

where  $d = \frac{\ln 4}{\ln 3}$  is the Hausdorff dimension of the Koch curve  $K$ . For the analytical expression of  $H$  we refer to [8, Example 1.2.7], some information can be also find in [17, Section 8.2.2].

There is a special kind of sets that are self-similar with respect to similarities (24), satisfying some additional properties, known as nested fractals. They were first introduced by Lindstrøm [11], and afterwards were studied by many authors, e.g. [10, 12]. Nested fractals should satisfy following conditions:

C0.  $\#V_0 \geq 2.$

C1. **Open set condition.** The family of similarities  $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^N$  satisfies the open set condition if there exists an open, bounded, nonempty set  $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$F_i(O) \cap F_j(O) = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^N F_i(O) \subset O.$$

When the open set condition is satisfied, the Hausdorff dimension  $d$  of  $\Gamma$  is

$$d = \frac{\log N}{\log \frac{1}{\rho}},$$

we refer to [2, 4].

C2. **Nesting.** If  $j \geq 1$  and  $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_j)$  and  $w' = (w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_j)$  are distinct elements of  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}^n$ , then

$$\Gamma_w \cap \Gamma_{w'} = F_w(V_0) \cap F_{w'}(V_0).$$

C3. **Connectivity.** The graph  $(V_1, G_1)$  is connected.

C4. **Symmetry.** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $x \neq y$ , let  $H_{xy}$  denote the hyperplane given by

$$H_{xy} = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |z - x| = |z - y|\}$$

and let  $R_{xy}$  denote the reflection with respect to  $H_{xy}$ . Then for any  $x, y \in V_0$  with  $x \neq y$ ,  $R_{xy}$  maps  $j$ -cells to  $j$ -cells, and maps any  $j$ -cell which contains elements in both sides of  $H_{xy}$  to itself for each  $j \geq 0$ .

The simplest example of the nested fractal is the Sierpinski gasket SG, which is generated by three similarities in the plane  $F_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , defined by

$$F_i(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - \xi_i) + \xi_i, \tag{27}$$

where  $\xi_i$  are the vertices of an equilateral triangle, see [15, Section 1.1].

Further on we assume that the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is 1. Then the diameter of each  $j$ -simplex  $\Gamma_{w_1 \dots w_j}$  is  $\rho^j$ , where  $\rho$  is from (24). In case of  $I$  and SG we get  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$ , in case of  $K$  we have  $\rho = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ .

Suppose a real-valued function  $u$  is given on the vertices  $V_j$ . Then there is a natural Dirichlet form

$$E_j(u) = \sum_{\xi \sim_j \eta} (u(\xi) - u(\eta))^2.$$

We need to multiply  $E_j$  by the renormalization factor  $\alpha^j$  in order to have the following consistency property:

**Lemma 4.3.** *For every function  $u$  on  $V_j$  there exists a unique extension  $\tilde{u}$  to  $V_{j+1}$  minimizing  $E_{j+1}$ , i.e.,*

$$E_{j+1}(\tilde{u}) = \min \{ E_{j+1}(u') : u'|_{V_j} = u \},$$

and

$$\alpha^j E_j(u) = \alpha^{j+1} E_{j+1}(\tilde{u}). \tag{28}$$

For  $I$  and  $K$  the renormalization factor  $\alpha$  is equal to 2, for SG we have  $\alpha = \frac{5}{3}$ , [15, Section 1.3]. The number  $d_w = \frac{\log N\alpha}{\log \frac{1}{\rho}}$  is called the walk dimension of  $\Gamma$ . The renormalized graph energies are defined by  $\mathcal{E}_j(u) = \alpha^j E_j(u)$ . Then (28) can be reformulated as

$$\mathcal{E}_j(u) = \mathcal{E}_{j+1}(\tilde{u}).$$

The function  $\tilde{u}$  is called a harmonic extension of  $u$ .

**Definition 4.4.** A continuous function  $h : V_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called harmonic if it minimizes  $\mathcal{E}_j$  at all levels for given boundary values on  $V_0$ :

$$\mathcal{E}_j(h) = \min \{ \mathcal{E}_j(u) : u|_{V_0} = \rho \}.$$

According to [8, Theorem 3.2.4] for any harmonic function  $u$  there exists a unique extension  $\tilde{u} \in C(\Gamma)$  such that  $\tilde{u}|_{V_*} = u|_{V_*}$ . Thus, we identify  $u$  with its extension  $\tilde{u}$  and think of a harmonic function as a continuous function on  $\Gamma$ . The maximum and the minimum of the harmonic function are attained at the boundary  $V_0$ . This assertion is known as the maximum principle [8].

**Definition 4.5.** A continuous function  $\psi : V_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called piecewise harmonic of level  $j$  if  $\psi \circ F_w$  is harmonic for all  $|w| = j$ .

We denote the set of piecewise harmonic functions of level  $j$  by  $H_j$ . These functions minimize  $\mathcal{E}_m$  at all levels  $m \geq j$  for given boundary values on  $V_j$ .

For  $f : V_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  define

$$\mathcal{E}(f) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_j(f), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \{f : V_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}(f) < \infty\}.$$

If  $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ , then it is uniformly continuous on  $V_*$ , hence it has a unique continuous extension to  $\Gamma$ . Let

$$\mathcal{D} = \{f \in C(\Gamma) : \mathcal{E}(f) < \infty\}.$$

Then  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$  is regular Dirichlet form on  $L_2(\Gamma, \mu)$ .

By effective resistance metric on the set  $\Gamma$  we mean a function  $R : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  defined by  $R(x, x) = 0$  for  $x \in \Gamma$  and

$$R(x, y)^{-1} = \inf \{\mathcal{E}(f) : f(x) = 0, f(y) = 1\}.$$

Let  $\psi_\xi^j, \xi \in V_j$ , be a piecewise harmonic function of level  $j$  which equals 1 at  $\xi$  and 0 at any other vertex of  $V_j$ :

$$\psi_\xi^j(x) = \delta_{\xi x} = \begin{cases} 1, & x = \xi \\ 0, & x \in V_j \setminus \{\xi\}. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\text{supp } \psi_\xi^j \subset B(\xi, \rho^j)$ .

In the case of the unit interval I piecewise harmonic functions are just piecewise linear functions. In fact, for  $x = \frac{m}{2^{j-1}} + \frac{1}{2^j} \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}$

$$\psi_x^j(t) = \begin{cases} 2^j(t - \frac{m}{2^{j-1}}), & \frac{m}{2^{j-1}} \leq t < \frac{m}{2^{j-1}} + \frac{1}{2^j} \\ 2^j(\frac{m+1}{2^{j-1}} - t), & \frac{m}{2^{j-1}} + \frac{1}{2^j} \leq t < \frac{m+1}{2^{j-1}} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and it holds

$$|\psi_x^j(t) - \psi_x^j(s)| \leq c|t - s| \quad \text{for all } t, s \in I. \tag{29}$$

For the Koch curve  $\Gamma$  piecewise harmonic functions  $\tilde{\psi}_\xi^j$  with  $\xi = H(x)$  are the composition  $\psi_x^j$  with the transform  $H^{-1}$  from (25),  $\tilde{\psi}_\xi^j = \psi_x^j \circ H^{-1}$ . Taking into account (29) and (26) we get

$$\left| \tilde{\psi}_\xi^j(\gamma) - \tilde{\psi}_\xi^j(\delta) \right| \leq c|\gamma - \delta|^d, \tag{30}$$

where  $d = \frac{\ln 4}{\ln 3}$  is the Hausdorff dimension of  $\Gamma$ .

In general it was shown in [9] that harmonic functions on  $\Gamma$  are uniformly Lipschitz continuous with respect to the resistance metric  $R(x, y)$ . From [3] follows that for a certain class of nested fractals, that satisfy Assumption 2.2 in [10], there exist constants  $c, c' > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \Gamma$

$$c' |x - y|^{\frac{\log \frac{1}{\alpha}}{\log \rho}} \leq R(x, y) \leq c |x - y|^{\frac{\log \frac{1}{\alpha}}{\log \rho}},$$

note that  $\frac{\log \frac{1}{\alpha}}{\log \rho} = d_w - d$ . Thus piecewise harmonic functions on certain nested fractals satisfy

$$|\psi_\xi^j(x) - \psi_\xi^j(y)| \leq c |x - y|^\sigma, \tag{31}$$

with  $\sigma = d_w - d$ . In particular, piecewise harmonic functions on the Sierpinski gasket satisfy

$$|\psi_\xi^j(x) - \psi_\xi^j(y)| \leq c |x - y|^\beta, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \text{SG},$$

where  $\beta = \frac{\ln(5/3)}{\ln 2}$ .

### 5. Characterization of Besov spaces $B_{pq}^s(\Gamma, \mu)$ by piecewise harmonic functions

Let  $f \in C(\Gamma)$  and let  $P_n f, n \geq 0$ , be the unique piecewise harmonic function in  $H_n$  which interpolates  $f$  at all points in  $V_n$ :

$$P_0 f = \sum_{\xi \in V_0} f(\xi) \psi_\xi^0,$$

$$P_n f = \sum_{\xi \in V_0} f(\xi) \psi_\xi^0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}} c_\xi(f) \psi_\xi^j, \quad n \geq 1,$$

with

$$c_\xi(f) = f(\xi) - P_{j-1} f(\xi), \quad \xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \tag{32}$$

[8, Definition 3.2.18]. For  $\xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}$  there is an  $\omega \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\xi = \pi(\omega). \tag{33}$$

We define  $\Delta(\xi)$  by  $\Delta(\xi) = \{\eta \in V_{j-1} : \eta \in F_{\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_{j-1}}(\Gamma)\}$ , where  $\omega$  is chosen according to (33).  $\Delta(\xi)$  consists of vertices of  $(j - 1)$ -simplex that  $\xi$  belongs to. It is the same as the one defined in [5, Section 4.1]. Then (32) can be equivalently calculated as

$$c_\xi(f) = f(\xi) - \sum_{\eta \in \Delta(\xi)} \alpha_{\xi\eta} f(\eta), \quad \xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \tag{34}$$

with

$$\sum_{\eta \in \Delta(\xi)} \alpha_{\xi\eta} = 1. \tag{35}$$

Let  $V_{-1} = \emptyset$  and  $P_{-1}f \equiv 0$ , then  $P_n f = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{\xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}} c_\xi(f) \psi_\xi^j$ . From the maximum principle for harmonic functions and from [14, Proposition 1.3.2] it follows that  $P_n f$  tends to  $f$  uniformly on  $\Gamma$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $f \in C(\Gamma)$  has the unique representation

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}} c_\xi(f) \psi_\xi^j.$$

The question arises whether Besov spaces with a certain range of parameters can be characterized by coefficients  $c_\xi(f)$ . We give an affirmative answer in the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be the above  $d$ -set with  $\rho$  as in (24) and  $\sigma$  as in (31). Let*

$$1 < p < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{p} < s < \min \{1, \sigma\}. \tag{36}$$

*Then  $f \in C(\Gamma)$  belongs to  $B_p^s(\Gamma)$  if and only if it can be represented as*

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}} c_\xi(f) \psi_\xi^j, \tag{37}$$

*where  $C_p^s(f) = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^{j(\frac{d}{p}-s)p} \sum_{\xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}} |c_\xi(f)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$ , unconditional convergence being in  $C(\Gamma)$ . Furthermore,*

$$\|f|B_p^s(\Gamma)\| \sim C_p^s(f).$$

*Proof.* The idea of the proof is the same as in [5, Theorem 5.1]. Let

$$a_{j\xi}(x) = \rho^{j(s-\frac{d}{p})} \psi_\xi^j(x), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}.$$

Then  $a_{j\xi}$  satisfy (19)-(21). Taking into account that  $C(\Gamma) \subset L_1(\Gamma)$  we get that (37) is an atomic representation of  $f$  and from the Theorem 3.5 it follows that

$$\|f|B_p^s(\Gamma)\| \leq c C_p^s(f).$$

To prove the converse, let  $f \in B_p^s(\Gamma)$  and let  $f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \lambda_m^j a_{jm}$  be an atomic decomposition of  $f$  into  $(s, p, \sigma)$ -atoms with  $r = \rho$  in (13), (20) and (21) such that

$$\|\lambda\| \leq c \|f|B_p^s(\Gamma)\|. \tag{38}$$

Then taking into account (20) and that  $s > \frac{d}{p}$  we get

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \lambda_m^j a_{jm} \right| \leq \sup_m |\lambda_m^j| \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \rho^{j(s-\frac{d}{p})} \leq c\rho^{(s-\frac{d}{p})} \sup_m |\lambda_m^j| \leq c\rho^{(s-\frac{d}{p})} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The Weierstrass test together with the estimate (38) imply that the series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \lambda_m^j a_{jm}$  converges uniformly and it follows

$$c_{\xi}(f) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \lambda_m^j c_{\xi}(a_{jm}).$$

From the formula (34) together with (35) and the property (20) of  $(s, p, \sigma)$ -atoms follows

$$|c_{\xi}(a_{jm})| \leq 2\rho^{j(s-\frac{d}{p})}. \quad (39)$$

Moreover, for  $i > 0$  the property (21) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{\xi}(a_{jm})| &= \left| a_{jm}(\xi) - \sum_{\eta \in \Delta(\xi)} \alpha_{\xi\eta} a_{jm}(\eta) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\eta \in \Delta(\xi)} \alpha_{\xi\eta} (a_{jm}(\xi) - a_{jm}(\eta)) \right| \\ &\leq \rho^{i\sigma} \rho^{j(s-\sigma-\frac{d}{p})}, \quad \xi \in V_i \setminus V_{i-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Let us split  $c_{\xi}(f)$  into two parts

$$c_{\xi}(f) = \sum_{j=0}^i \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \lambda_m^j c_{\xi}(a_{jm}) + \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \lambda_m^j c_{\xi}(a_{jm}) = x_{\xi}(f) + y_{\xi}(f).$$

Taking into account the support condition for atoms (19), we get that for all  $\xi$  and  $j$  the number of atoms such that  $c_{\xi}(a_{jm}) \neq 0$  is finite.

First we deal with

$$X_{i,p} = \left( \sum_{\xi \in V_i \setminus V_{i-1}} |x_{\xi}(f)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Note that  $\{\xi \in V_i \setminus V_{i-1} : c_{\xi}(a_{jm}) \neq 0\} \subset \{\xi \in V_i \cap B^{\Gamma}(\gamma_{jm}, \rho^j)\}$ . The balls  $B^{\Gamma}(\xi, \frac{\rho^i}{2})$  corresponding to different  $\xi \in V_i \cap B^{\Gamma}(\gamma_{jm}, \rho^j)$  are disjoint and for  $j < i$  they are contained in  $B^{\Gamma}(\gamma_{jm}, 2\rho^j)$ . Thus

$$\sum_{\xi \in V_i \cap B^{\Gamma}(\gamma_{jm}, \rho^j)} \mu \left( B^{\Gamma} \left( \xi, \frac{\rho^i}{2} \right) \right) \leq \mu \left( B^{\Gamma}(\gamma_{j,m}, 2\rho^j) \right).$$

Since  $\mu$  is a  $d$ -measure this implies that  $\{\xi \in V_i \cap B^\Gamma(\gamma_{jm}, \rho^j)\}$  can have at most  $c \left(\frac{\rho^j}{\rho^i}\right)^d$  elements. Hence

$$\#\{\xi \in V_i \setminus V_{i-1} : c_\xi(a_{jm}) \neq 0\} \leq c\rho^{(j-i)d}, \quad j < i.$$

By Minskowski's and Hölder's inequalities together with (40)

$$\begin{aligned} X_{i,p} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^i \left( \sum_{\xi \in V_i \setminus V_{i-1}} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j| |c_\xi(a_{jm})| \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^i \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \left( \sum_{\xi \in V_i \setminus V_{i-1}} |\lambda_m^j|^p |c_\xi(a_{jm})|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^i \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j| \rho^{i\sigma} \rho^{j(s-\frac{d}{p})} \rho^{(j-i)\frac{d}{p}} \\ &\leq c\rho^{i(\sigma-\frac{d}{p})} \sum_{j=0}^i \rho^{j(s-\sigma)} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

and it follows  $X_{i,p,s} = \rho^{i(\frac{d}{p}-s)} X_{i,p} \leq c\rho^{i(\sigma-s)} \sum_{j=0}^i \rho^{j(s-\sigma)} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Jensen's inequality implies

$$X_{i,p,s}^p \leq c\rho^{i(\sigma-s)p} \rho^{i(s-\sigma)(p-1)} \sum_{j=0}^i \rho^{j(s-\sigma)} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p = c\rho^{i(\sigma-s)} \sum_{j=0}^i \rho^{j(s-\sigma)} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{i=0}^\infty X_{i,p,s}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq c \left( \sum_{i=0}^\infty \rho^{i(\sigma-s)} \sum_{j=0}^i \rho^{j(s-\sigma)} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= c \left( \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left( \sum_{i=j}^\infty \rho^{i(\sigma-s)} \right) \rho^{j(s-\sigma)} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq c \left( \sum_{j=0}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = c \|\lambda\|. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate

$$Y_{i,p} = \left( \sum_{\xi \in V_i \setminus V_{i-1}} |y_\xi(f)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$



we use Minkowski's and Hölder's inequalities together with the property (39). Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{i,p} &\leq \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\xi \in V_i \setminus V_{i-1}} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j| |c_\xi(a_{jm})| \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \left( \sum_{\xi \in V_i \setminus V_{i-1}} |\lambda_m^j|^p |c_\xi(a_{jm})|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq c \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j| \rho^{j(s-\frac{d}{p})} \\
 &\leq c \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \rho^{j(s-\frac{d}{p})} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $Y_{i,p,s} = \rho^{i(\frac{d}{p}-s)} Y_{i,p} \leq c \rho^{i(\frac{d}{p}-s)} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \rho^{j(s-\frac{d}{p})} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Applying Jensen's inequality we get

$$Y_{i,p,s}^p \leq c \rho^{i(\frac{d}{p}-s)p} \rho^{i(\frac{d}{p}-s)(p-1)} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \rho^{j(s-\frac{d}{p})} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p \leq c \rho^{i(\frac{d}{p}-s)} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \rho^{j(s-\frac{d}{p})} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Y_{i,p,s}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq c \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \rho^{i(\frac{d}{p}-s)} \rho^{j(s-\frac{d}{p})} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq c \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=0}^j \rho^{i(\frac{d}{p}-s)} \right) \rho^{j(s-\frac{d}{p})} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq c \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_m^j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = c \|\lambda\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $C_p^s(f) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho^{i(\frac{d}{p}-s)p} \sum_{\xi \in V_i \setminus V_{i-1}} |c_\xi(f)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  can be estimated by

$$C_p^s(f) \leq \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} X_{i,p,s}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Y_{i,p,s}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c \|\lambda\| \leq c \|f\|_{B_p^s(\Gamma)}. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let*

$$1 < p < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{p} < s < \min \{1, \sigma\}.$$

*The system of functions  $\{\psi_\xi^j, j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}\}$  is an unconditional basis in  $B_p^s(\Gamma)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in B_p^s(\Gamma)$ . Then  $f$  has the unique representation

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}} c_\xi(f) \psi_\xi^j \tag{41}$$

with the convergence first being in  $C(\Gamma)$ . It is left to show that (41) converges in  $B_p^s(\Gamma)$ .

Let us show that the sequence of partial sums  $S_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{\xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}} c_\xi(f) \psi_\xi^j$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $B_p^s(\Gamma)$ . For  $n > m$

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n - S_m\|_{B_p^s(\Gamma)} &= \left\| \sum_{j=m+1}^n \sum_{\xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}} c_\xi(f) \psi_\xi^j \right\|_{B_p^s(\Gamma)} \\ &\sim \left( \sum_{j=m+1}^n \rho^{j(\frac{d}{p}-s)p} \sum_{\xi \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}} |c_\xi(f)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 0, \quad n, m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $B_p^s(\Gamma)$  is complete, the series (41) converges to  $f$  in  $B_p^s(\Gamma)$ . □

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let*

$$\frac{d}{p} < s < \min \{1, \sigma\}, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad 1 \leq q < \infty.$$

*Then the Theorem 5.1 remains valid for  $B_{pq}^s(\Gamma, \mu)$ .*

The proof follows by the same arguments that were used in [7, Section 3.3].

## References

- [1] Bodin, M., Discrete characterisations of Lipschitz spaces on fractals. *Math. Nachr.* 282 (2009), 26 – 43.
- [2] Falconer, K. J., *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*. Chichester: Wiley 2001.
- [3] Hu, J. and Wang, X., Domains of Dirichlet forms and effective resistance estimates on p.c.f. fractals. *Studia Math.* 177 (2006), 153 – 172.

- [4] Hutchinson, J. E., Fractals and self similarity. *Indiana Univ. Math. Journ.* 30 (1981), 713 – 747.
- [5] Jonsson, A. and Kamont, A., Piecewise linear bases and Besov spaces on fractal sets. *Anal. Math.* 27 (2001), 77 – 117.
- [6] Jonsson, A. and Wallin, H., *Function Spaces on Subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$* . Math. Rep. 2(1). London: Harwood Acad. 1984.
- [7] Kabanava, M., Function spaces on the snowflake. In: *Function Spaces IX* (Proceedings Kraków (Poland) 2009; eds.: H. Hudzik et al.). Banach Centre Publications 92. Warsaw: Polish Acad. Sciences 2011, pp. 131 – 142.
- [8] Kigami, J., *Analysis on Fractals*. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press 2003.
- [9] Kigami, J., Harmonic analysis for resistance forms. *J. Funct. Anal.* 204 (2003), 399 – 444.
- [10] Kumagai, T., Estimates of transition densities for Brownian motion on nested fractals. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 96 (1993), 205 – 224.
- [11] Lindstrøm, T., *Brownian Motion on Nested Fractals*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 420 (1990).
- [12] Pietruska-Pałuba, K., Some function spaces related to the Brownian motion on simple nested fractals. *Stochastics* 67 (1999), 267 – 285.
- [13] Pietruska-Pałuba, K. and Bodin, M., Harmonic functions representation of Besov-Lipschitz functions on nested fractals. Umeå Univ., Dept. Math., Research Report in Math. 2 (2010).
- [14] Semadeni, Z., *Schauder Bases in Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions*. Lect. Notes Math. 918. Berlin: Springer 1982.
- [15] Strichartz, R., *Differential Equations on Fractals*. Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press 2006.
- [16] Triebel, H., *Theory of Function Spaces*. Basel: Birkhäuser 1983.
- [17] Triebel, H., *Theory of Function Spaces III*. Basel: Birkhäuser 2006.
- [18] Triebel, H., *Bases in Function Spaces, Sampling, Discrepancy, Numerical Integration*. Zürich: Europ. Math. Soc. 2010.

Received January 21, 2011