

On a Singular Logistic Equation with the p -Laplacian

Dang Dinh Hai

Abstract. We prove the existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for the boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) - \frac{h(x)}{u^\alpha} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$, $p > 1$, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $g : \Omega \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is possibly singular at $u = 0$. An application to a singular logistic-like equation is given.

Keywords. Sup-supersolutions, singular, positive solutions

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 35J, secondary 35J75, 35J92

1. Introduction

Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) - \frac{h(x)}{u^\alpha} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$, $p > 1$, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : \Omega \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$.

In [4, Theorem 5.3], Drabek and Hernandez show that the logistic equation involving the p -Laplacian

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda m(x) u^{p-1} - u^{\gamma-1} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

D. D. Hai: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS 39762, USA; email: dang@math.msstate.edu

where $1 < p < \gamma, m \in L^r(\Omega), r > \frac{N(\gamma-1)}{p(\gamma-p)}, m(x) \geq m_0 > 0$ in Ω , has a unique positive solution u with $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ for $\lambda > \lambda_1$. Here λ_1 denotes the first eigenvalue of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda m(x)|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Note that the nonlinearity $g(x, u) = \lambda m(x)u^{p-1} - u^{\gamma-1}$ is continuous in u for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, and satisfies

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, u)}{m(x)u^{p-1}} = -\infty, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x, u)}{m(x)u^{p-1}} = \lambda > \lambda_1. \tag{4}$$

uniformly for $x \in \Omega$.

When $p = 2$, Lee et al. [8] consider the singular problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u - f(u) - \frac{c}{u^\alpha} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where λ, c, α are positive constants with $\alpha < 1, f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies

$$\lambda u - M \leq f(u) \leq Au^q$$

for all $u \geq 0$, where M, A, q are positive constants with $q > 1$. Under these assumptions, they show that (5) has a solution $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ for $\lambda > \frac{2\lambda_1}{1+\alpha}$ and c is sufficiently small [8, Theorem 2.1]. Here λ_1 corresponds to $m(x) \equiv 1$. Note that the nonlinearity $g(u) = \lambda u - f(u)$ is continuous and satisfies

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(u)}{u} \leq 0, \quad \liminf_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(u)}{u} \geq \lambda > \frac{2\lambda_1}{1+\alpha}. \tag{6}$$

Note that for $f(u) = u^q$, (5) is a singular perturbation problem of (2) with $p = 2$ and $m(x) \equiv 1$, but the result in [8] is not as good as the corresponding one in [4] when $c = 0$. In this paper, we shall study positive solutions to the general problem (1) when h is a bounded function with small $\sup_\Omega h$ and $g(\cdot, u)$ is allowed to be singular at $u = 0$ and satisfies a weaker condition than (4) and (6). To be precise, we shall assume the following:

(A1) $m \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and there exists a constant $m_0 > 0$ such that $m(x) \geq m_0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

(A2) $g : \Omega \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, u)}{m(x)u^{p-1}} < \lambda_1, \quad \liminf_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x, u)}{m(x)u^{p-1}} > \lambda_1$$

uniformly for $x \in \Omega$.

(A3) There exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow 0^+} u^\alpha g(x, u) < \infty$$

uniformly for $x \in \Omega$.

In particular, our result can be applied to the following singular perturbation problem of (2)

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda m(x) u^{p-1} - u^{\gamma-1} - \frac{h(x)}{u^\alpha} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $1 < p < \gamma$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, m is as above, gives the existence of a positive solution $u \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$ when $\lambda > \lambda_1$ and $\sup_\Omega h$ is sufficiently small. Also, if h is a constant, there exists a constant $h^* > 0$ such that (7) has a positive solution for $h < h^*$ and no positive solution for $h > h^*$.

Our main result complements the result in [4] and improves the corresponding result in [8] in many ways. Our approach is based on the method of sub- and supersolutions developed in [6] for singular problems. However, the type of nonlinearities $g(u)$ covered in [6] does not apply here as it requires

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(u)}{u^{p-1}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(u) > 0 \quad \text{for } u \text{ large,}$$

whereas the one in this paper allows

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(u)}{u^{p-1}} = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad g(u) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let λ_1 be the first eigenvalue of (3) with a positive, normalized corresponding eigenfunction ϕ_1 , i.e., $\|\phi_1\|_\infty = 1$. It is well known that $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\phi_1 \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, $\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial n} < 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, where n denotes the outer unit normal vector on $\partial\Omega$ (see [1]).

By a positive solution of (1) we mean a function $u \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$ with $u = 0$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} < 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ such that

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \xi \, dx = \int_\Omega \left(g(x, u) - \frac{h(x)}{u^\alpha} \right) \xi \, dx$$

for all $\xi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Here n denotes the outer unit normal vector. Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $h \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and suppose (A1)-(A3) hold. Then there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ such that Problem (1) has a positive solution when $\sup_\Omega h < \eta$. Moreover, if h is a constant, then there exists a positive number h^* such that (1) has a positive solution for $h < h^*$ and no positive solutions for $h > h^*$.*

2. Preliminary results

We shall denote the norms in $L^p(\Omega)$, $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ and $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ by $\|\cdot\|_p$, $\|\cdot\|_{1,p}$, $|\cdot|_1$ and $|\cdot|_{1,\alpha}$, respectively.

For $x \in \Omega$, let $d(x)$ denote the distance from x to $\partial\Omega$. The following regularity result in [6, Lemma 3.1] plays a key role in the proof of the main results:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $h \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ and suppose there exist numbers $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$|h(x)| \leq \frac{C}{d^\alpha(x)} \tag{8}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Let $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = h & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

Then there exist constants $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $M > 0$ depending only on C, α, Ω such that $u \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $|u|_{1,\beta} < M$.

Remark 2.2. (i) Since $\frac{\partial\phi_1}{\partial n} < 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that $\phi_1(x) \geq kd(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$. Hence Lemma 2.1 holds if (8) is replaced by

$$|h(x)| \leq \frac{C}{\phi_1^\alpha(x)}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

(ii) Note that under the assumptions of Lemma 2.1, (9) has a unique solution $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Indeed, define $A : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ and $\hat{h} \in W_0^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$, where $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$, by

$$\langle Au, \xi \rangle = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \xi \, dx, \quad \hat{h}(\xi) = \int_\Omega h \xi \, dx.$$

By Hardy's inequality (see e.g. [2, p. 194]), we obtain

$$|\hat{h}(\xi)| \leq C \int_\Omega \left| \frac{\xi}{d^\alpha} \right| dx \leq C \|d\|_\infty^{1-\alpha} \int_\Omega \left| \frac{\xi}{d} \right| dx \leq \tilde{C} \|\xi\|_{1,p}$$

for all $\xi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, where \tilde{C} is a constant independent of ξ . Thus $\hat{h} \in W_0^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$. Since A is continuous, coercive, and strictly monotone, it follows from the Minty-Browder Theorem (see [2, p. 88]) that there exists a unique $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $Au = \hat{h}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $h, h_\varepsilon \in L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega)$ satisfy (8). Let $u, u_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the solutions of*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = h & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_\varepsilon = h_\varepsilon & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

respectively. Suppose $\|h_\varepsilon - h\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u\|_1 \rightarrow 0$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, there exist $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $M > 0$ such that $u, u_\varepsilon \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ and

$$\|u\|_{1,\beta}, \|u_\varepsilon\|_{1,\beta} < M. \quad (10)$$

Multiplying the equation $-\Delta_p u_\varepsilon - (-\Delta_p u) = h_\varepsilon - h$ in Ω by $u_\varepsilon - u$ and integrating, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \nabla u_\varepsilon - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \cdot (\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u) \, dx \leq 2M \|h_\varepsilon - h\|_1. \quad (11)$$

By [9, Lemma 30.1], for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(|x| + |y|)^{2-\min(p,2)} (|x|^{p-2} x - |y|^{p-2} y) \cdot (x - y) \geq C_0 |x - y|^{\max(p,2)}, \quad (12)$$

where $C_0 = (\frac{1}{2})^{p-1}$ if $p \geq 2$, $C_0 = p - 1$ if $p < 2$.

Using (12) with $x = \nabla u_\varepsilon, y = \nabla u$ and the fact that $|x| + |y| < 2M$, we obtain from (11) that

$$C_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_\varepsilon - u)|^q \, dx \leq 2M \|h_\varepsilon - h\|_1,$$

where $C_1 = C_0 \cdot (2M)^{\min(p,2)-2}$ and $q = \max(p, 2)$.

Hence, by Poincaré's inequality,

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u\|_q \rightarrow 0 \quad (13)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Suppose $\|u_\varepsilon - u\|_1 \not\rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists a sequence (ε_n) which converges to 0 such that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon_n} - u\|_1 \not\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (14)$$

By (10), (u_{ε_n}) is bounded in $C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$, and since $C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ is compactly embedded in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, there exist $v \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ and a subsequence $(u_{\varepsilon_{n_k}})$ of (u_{ε_n}) such that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon_{n_k}} - v\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (15)$$

From (13) and (15), we see that $u = v$ and so $\|u_{\varepsilon_{n_k}} - u\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction with (14). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. \square

Next, we recall some results in sub- and supersolutions method for singular boundary value problems in [6, Appendix A]. Related results can be found in [3]. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = h(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

where $h : \Omega \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

Let $\phi, \psi \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$. Suppose there exist constants $c_0, C, \alpha > 0$ with $\alpha < 1$ such that $\phi(x), \psi(x) \geq c_0 d(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$ and

$$|h(x, w)| \leq \frac{C}{d^\alpha(x)} \tag{17}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $w \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\phi \leq w \leq \psi$ in Ω . Suppose ϕ, ψ are sub- and supersolutions of (16) respectively, i.e., for all $\xi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\xi \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \xi \, dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} h(x, \phi) \xi \, dx, \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^{p-2} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \xi \, dx &\geq \int_{\Omega} h(x, \psi) \xi \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

and $\phi \leq 0 \leq \psi$ on $\partial\Omega$. Note that the integrals on the right-hand side are defined by virtue of Hardy’s inequality.

Lemma 2.4. *Under the above assumptions, there exists a constant $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that (16) has a solution $u \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\phi \leq u \leq \psi$ in Ω .*

3. Proof of the main result

Now, we are ready to give the proof of the main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By (A2), there exists $\lambda_0 > \lambda_1$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$g(x, u) \geq \lambda_0 m(x) u^{p-1} \tag{18}$$

for $x \in \Omega$ and $u \in (0, \delta_0]$. Choose $\delta \in (0, 1)$ so that $\lambda_0 \delta^{p-1} > \lambda_1$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $z_\varepsilon > 0$ be the solution of

$$-\Delta_p z_\varepsilon = h_\varepsilon \equiv \begin{cases} \lambda_1 m(x) (\delta_0 \phi_1)^{p-1} & \text{in } \{\phi_1 > \varepsilon\} \\ -\phi_1^{-\alpha} & \text{in } \{\phi_1 < \varepsilon\} \end{cases}, \quad z_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Note that the existence of z_ε follows from Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.2. Since

$$-\Delta_p(\delta_0 \phi_1) = h \equiv \lambda_1 m(x) (\delta_0 \phi_1)^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

the weak maximum principle [10, Lemma A.2] implies $z_\varepsilon \leq \delta_0 \phi_1 \leq \delta_0$ in Ω .

Next,

$$\|h_\varepsilon - h\|_1 = \int_{\phi_1 < \varepsilon} |\lambda_1 m(x)(\delta_0 \phi_1)^{p-1} + \phi_1^{-\alpha}| dx \leq C_0 \int_{\phi_1 < \varepsilon} \phi_1^{-\alpha} dx$$

and since $\int_\Omega \phi_1^{-\alpha} dx < \infty$ (see [7, p. 726], it follows that $\|h_\varepsilon - h\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 2.3, $|z_\varepsilon - \delta_0 \phi_1|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence $|z_\varepsilon - \delta_0 \phi_1|_1 < \frac{\delta_0(1-\delta)}{k}$, if ε is sufficiently small, where $k > 0$ is such that $\frac{d}{\phi_1} \leq k$ in Ω .

By the Mean Value Theorem,

$$|z_\varepsilon(x) - \delta_0 \phi_1(x)| \leq \frac{\delta_0(1-\delta)}{k} d(x) \leq \delta_0(1-\delta)\phi_1(x)$$

for $x \in \Omega$, which implies

$$z_\varepsilon \geq \delta \delta_0 \phi_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (19)$$

if ε is sufficiently small, which we assume.

Suppose $\sup_\Omega h < \eta$, where

$$\eta = \min \{ (\lambda_0 \delta^{p-1} - \lambda_1) m_0 \delta^\alpha (\delta_0 \varepsilon)^{p-1+\alpha}, (\delta \delta_0)^\alpha \}.$$

We shall verify that z_ε is a subsolution of (1). Let $\xi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\xi \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla z_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \nabla z_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \xi dx &= - \int_\Omega (\Delta_p z_\varepsilon) \xi dx \\ &= \lambda_1 \int_{\phi_1 > \varepsilon} m(x) (\delta_0 \phi_1)^{p-1} \xi dx - \int_{\phi_1 < \varepsilon} \frac{\xi}{\phi_1^\alpha} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

In the set $\{\phi_1 > \varepsilon\}$, we have

$$(\lambda_0 \delta^{p-1} - \lambda_1) m(x) (\delta_0 \phi_1)^{p-1} \geq (\lambda_0 \delta^{p-1} - \lambda_1) m_0 (\delta_0 \varepsilon)^{p-1} \geq \frac{\eta}{(\delta \delta_0 \varepsilon)^\alpha},$$

which, together with (18), (19), implies

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, z_\varepsilon) - \frac{h(x)}{z_\varepsilon^\alpha} &\geq \lambda_0 m(x) z_\varepsilon^{p-1} - \frac{1}{z_\varepsilon^\alpha} \sup_\Omega h \\ &\geq \lambda_0 \delta^{p-1} m(x) (\delta_0 \phi_1)^{p-1} - \frac{\eta}{(\delta \delta_0 \varepsilon)^\alpha} \\ &\geq \lambda_1 m(x) (\delta_0 \phi_1)^{p-1} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

in $\{\phi_1 > \varepsilon\}$. On the other hand, since $\eta \leq (\delta \delta_0)^\alpha$,

$$g(x, z_\varepsilon) - \frac{h(x)}{z_\varepsilon^\alpha} \geq -\frac{1}{z_\varepsilon^\alpha} \sup_\Omega h \geq -\frac{\eta}{(\delta \delta_0 \phi_1)^\alpha} \geq -\frac{1}{\phi_1^\alpha} \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (22)$$

Combining (20)–(22), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} \nabla z_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \xi \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(g(x, z_{\varepsilon}) - \frac{h(x)}{z_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}} \right) \xi \, dx,$$

i.e., z_{ε} is a subsolution of (1).

Next, in view of (A2) and (A3), there exist constants $b \in (0, \lambda_1)$ and $d_0 > 0$ such that

$$g(x, u) \leq bm(x)u^{p-1} + \frac{d_0}{u^{\alpha}} \tag{23}$$

for all $u > 0$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Choose $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_1, M_0 > 0$ so that

$$(1 + \gamma)^{p-1} \left(b + \frac{d_0}{m_0 M_0^{p-1+\alpha}} \right) < \tilde{\lambda}_1 < \lambda_1, \tag{24}$$

and

$$\frac{(1 + \gamma)^{p-1} \|h\|_{\infty}}{m_0 M_0^{p-1+\alpha}} < \lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1. \tag{25}$$

Let ψ_{ε} be the solution of

$$-\Delta_p \psi_{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 m(x) \phi_1^{p-1} & \text{in } \{\phi_1 > \varepsilon\} \\ \lambda_1 m(x) + \phi_1^{-\alpha} & \text{in } \{\phi_1 < \varepsilon\} \end{cases}, \quad \psi_{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Then, since $-\Delta_p \phi_1 = \lambda_1 m(x) \phi_1^{p-1}$ in Ω , it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $|\psi_{\varepsilon} - \phi_1|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence, if ε is small enough,

$$(1 - \gamma)\phi_1 \leq \psi_{\varepsilon} \leq (1 + \gamma)\phi_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{26}$$

which we assume. We shall verify that $Z_{\varepsilon} = M\psi_{\varepsilon}$ is a supersolution for (1) with $Z_{\varepsilon} \geq z_{\varepsilon}$ in Ω if M is large enough. Let $\xi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\xi \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla Z_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} \nabla Z_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \xi \, dx &= \lambda_1 \int_{\phi_1 > \varepsilon} m(x) (M\phi_1)^{p-1} \xi \, dx \\ &+ M^{p-1} \int_{\phi_1 < \varepsilon} (\lambda_1 m(x) + \phi_1^{-\alpha}) \xi \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Suppose $M > \frac{M_0}{(1-\gamma)\varepsilon}$. Then

$$Z_{\varepsilon} \geq M(1 - \gamma)\varepsilon > M_0 \tag{28}$$

in $\{\phi_1 > \varepsilon\}$. Since $M\phi_1 \geq (1 + \gamma)^{-1} Z_{\varepsilon}$ in Ω , it follows from (23)–(25) that in $\{\phi_1 > \varepsilon\}$, $\frac{g(x, Z_{\varepsilon})}{m(x)(M\phi_1)^{p-1}} \leq \frac{(1+\gamma)^{p-1} g(x, Z_{\varepsilon})}{m(x)Z_{\varepsilon}^{p-1}} \leq (1 + \gamma)^{p-1} \left(b + \frac{d_0}{m(x)Z_{\varepsilon}^{p-1+\alpha}} \right) \leq (1 + \gamma)^{p-1} \left(b + \frac{d_0}{m_0 M_0^{p-1+\alpha}} \right) < \tilde{\lambda}_1$, and

$$\frac{\|h\|_{\infty}}{m(x)(M\phi_1)^{p-1} Z_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}} \leq \frac{(1 + \gamma)^{p-1} \|h\|_{\infty}}{m(x)Z_{\varepsilon}^{p-1+\alpha}} \leq \frac{(1 + \gamma)^{p-1} \|h\|_{\infty}}{m_0 M_0^{p-1+\alpha}} < \lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1.$$

Hence

$$g(x, Z_\varepsilon) - \frac{h(x)}{Z_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq g(x, Z_\varepsilon) + \frac{\|h\|_\infty}{Z_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq \lambda_1 m(x) (M\phi_1)^{p-1} \quad (29)$$

in $\{\phi_1 > \varepsilon\}$. From (23), (24), and (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, Z_\varepsilon) - \frac{h(x)}{Z_\varepsilon^\alpha} &\leq bm(x)Z_\varepsilon^{p-1} + \frac{d_0 + \|h\|_\infty}{Z_\varepsilon^\alpha} \\ &\leq b(1 + \gamma)^{p-1}m(x)(M\phi_1)^{p-1} + \frac{d_0 + \|h\|_\infty}{(M(1 - \gamma))^\alpha}\phi_1^{-\alpha} \\ &\leq \lambda_1 m(x)M^{p-1} + M^{p-1}\phi_1^{-\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

if M is large enough so that $M^{p-1+\alpha} > (d_0 + \|h\|_\infty)(1 - \gamma)^{-\alpha}$, which we assume.

Combining (27), (29), and (30), we get

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla Z_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \nabla Z_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \xi \, dx \geq \int_\Omega \left(g(x, Z_\varepsilon) - \frac{h(x)}{Z_\varepsilon^\alpha} \right) \xi \, dx,$$

i.e., Z_ε is a supersolution of (1) with $Z_\varepsilon \geq z_\varepsilon$ for large M .

Finally, it follows from (A3) and (19) that there exists a constant $K > 0$ depending on $\|Z_\varepsilon\|_\infty$ such that

$$|g(x, w)| \leq \frac{K}{w^\alpha} \leq \frac{K}{z_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq \frac{K}{(\delta\delta_0\phi_1)^\alpha}$$

for all $w \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $z_\varepsilon \leq w \leq Z_\varepsilon$ in Ω . The existence of a positive solution for (1) now follows from Lemma 2.4.

Next, suppose that h is a constant. Then, as in the above, we see that there exists a constant $h_0 > 0$ such that (1) has a positive solution for $h < h_0$. We claim that (1) has no positive solutions for large h . Indeed, let u be a positive solution of (1) with $h > 0$. Multiplying the equation $-\Delta_p u = g(x, u) - \frac{h}{u^\alpha}$ in Ω by u and integrating, we obtain, by (23),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx &= \int_\Omega g(x, u)u \, dx - h \int_\Omega u^{1-\alpha} \, dx \\ &\leq b \int_\Omega m(x)u^p \, dx + (d_0 - h) \int_\Omega u^{1-\alpha} \, dx \\ &\leq b \int_\Omega m(x)u^p \, dx \end{aligned}$$

for $h \geq d_0$. Since

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{\substack{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx}{\int_\Omega m(x)u^p \, dx},$$

it follows that $\left(1 - \frac{b}{\lambda_1}\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \leq 0$, which implies $u \equiv 0$, a contradiction. Hence the claim is proved.

Define $h^* = \sup\{h > 0 : (1) \text{ has a positive solution}\}$. Then $h^* \in (0, \infty)$ and (1) has no positive solutions for $h > h^*$. Let $h < h^*$. Then there exists $\tilde{h} > h$ such that (1) with $h = \tilde{h}$ has a positive solution $u_{\tilde{h}}$. Since

$$g(x, u_{\tilde{h}}) - \frac{\tilde{h}}{\tilde{u}_h^\alpha} \leq g(x, u_{\tilde{h}}) - \frac{h}{\tilde{u}_h^\alpha},$$

in Ω , it follows that $u_{\tilde{h}}$ is a subsolution for (1). As above, we obtain a supersolution Z_ε for (1) with $Z_\varepsilon \geq u_{\tilde{h}}$ in Ω , and the existence of a positive solution to (1) follows. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

References

- [1] Anane, A., Simplicité et isolation de la premier propre du p -Laplacian avec poids (in French). *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I Math.* 305 (1987), 725 – 728.
- [2] Brezis, H., *Analyse Fonctionnelle, Théorie et Applications* (in French). Second edition. Paris: Masson 1983.
- [3] Decoster, C. and Nicaise, S., Lower and upper solutions for elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains. *J. Diff. Equ.* 244 (2008), 599 – 629.
- [4] Drabek, P. and Hernandez, J., Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for some quasilinear elliptic problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* 44 (2001), 189 – 204.
- [5] Giacomoni, J., Schindler, I. and Takac, P., Sobolev versus Hölder local minimizers and existence of multiple solutions for a singular quasilinear equation. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* 6 (2007), 117 – 158.
- [6] Hai, D. D., On a class of singular p -Laplacian boundary value problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 383 (2011), 619 – 626.
- [7] Lazer, A. C. and Mckenna, P. J., On a singular nonlinear elliptic boundary-value problem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 111 (1991), 721 – 730.
- [8] Lee, E., Shivaji, R. and Ye, J., Positive solutions for infinite semipositone problems with falling zeros. *Nonlinear Anal.* 72 (2010), 4475 – 4479.
- [9] Oden, T., *Qualitative Methods in Nonlinear Mechanics*. Englewood Cliffs (NJ): Prentice-Hall 1986.
- [10] Sakaguchi, S., Concavity properties of solutions to some degenerate quasilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* 14 (1987), 403 – 421.

Received March 18, 2011; revised July 16, 2012