

# The Profile of Blow-Up for a Neumann Problem of Nonlocal Nonlinear Diffusion Equation with Reaction

*Rong-Nian Wang, Zhi-Xue Liu and Yong Zhou*

**Abstract.** In this note, we consider a Neumann problem for nonlocal nonlinear diffusion equation with reaction, which may be seen as a significant generalization of the usual Neumann problem for the heat equation. For the blow-up solutions, the blow-up rate estimates and spacial localization of blow-up set are studied.

**Keywords.** Nonlocal nonlinear diffusion, blow-up rate, blow-up set

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** Primary 35B40, secondary 45A07, 45G10

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, various nonlocal problems governed by differential equations have been studied deeply due to their important values in sciences and technologies, and many interesting results have been established, please see, for example, [8, 10, 13–15, 17]) and some references mentioned below. In particular, in the study of the population biology, a class of nonlocal diffusion equations was proposed to model the spatial diffusion of certain types of populations (see, e.g., [9]). Such class of equations shares many properties with the classical heat equation and has, in some cases, better effects in applications than the traditional models such as reaction-diffusion equations, a prototype of which involves the distribution  $u$  of the density of a single population satisfying the following integral equation

$$u_t(x, t) = J * u - u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J(x - y)u(y, t)dy - u(x, t), \quad (1)$$

---

R.-N. Wang: College of Mathematics and Science, Shanghai Normal University, P. R. China; rnwang@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Z.-X. Liu: Department of Mathematics, Nanchang University, P. R. China; zxliumath@163.com

Y. Zhou: School of Mathematics and Computational Science, Xiangtan University, P. R. China; yzhou@xtu.edu.cn (Corresponding author)

where  $J(x - y)$  is interpreted as the probability distribution of jumping from location  $y$  to location  $x$ ,  $(J * u)(x, t)$  is the rate at which individuals are arriving to position  $x$  from all other places and  $-u(x, t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J(y - x)u(x, t)dy$  is the rate at which they are leaving location  $x$  to travel to all other sites. Neumann problem (1) is called a nonlocal diffusion equation, since the diffusion of the density  $u$  at a point  $x$  and time  $t$  does not only depend on  $u(x, t)$ , but on all the values of  $u$  in a neighborhood of  $x$  through the convolution term  $J * u$ .

Equations (1) and its variations have been extensively studied through the last decades. In view of the biologically meaningful question whether or not populations spontaneously form aggregates, some studies focused on the issue whether solutions exist globally or blow up. Some significant contributions along this line have been made, among them for instance are [6, 9, 16] and the references therein.

A significant generalization for Neumann problem (1) is following the nonlinear nonlocal diffusion equation with reaction

$$u_t(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} \left( J\left(\frac{x-y}{u^\alpha(y, t)}\right) u^{1-n\alpha}(y, t) - J\left(\frac{x-y}{u^\alpha(x, t)}\right) u^{1-n\alpha}(x, t) \right) dy + \chi u^k(x, t), \quad (2)$$

$x \in \Omega$ ,  $t > 0$ , where  $\Omega$  is a connected bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ),  $\chi > 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{n}$  are constants, and the kernel  $J : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , with compact support in the unit ball and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J(x)dx = 1$ , is a non-negative, smooth, symmetric radially and strictly decreasing function.

Let us note that in (2), the integral is only in  $\Omega$ , which means the diffusion takes place only in  $\Omega$ , no individual may enter or leave the domain, this is called Neumann boundary conditions (see [1] for details).

Here, some recent work closely related to Neumann problem (2) is mentioned. Pazoto and Rossi [11] studied the global well-posedness and asymptotic behavior of the solutions for (2) when  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\chi = 1$  and  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Pérez-Llanos and Rossi [12] considered the blow-up conditions, blow-up rates, and blow-up set for (2) when  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\chi = 1$  and  $\Omega$  is bounded. As to  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\chi = 0$  and  $\Omega = [-L, L]$ , Bogoya et al. [4] established the existence and uniqueness of solutions and a comparison principle for (2), and proved that the solutions approach the mean value of the initial conditions asymptotically as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, from Bogoya et al. [5] and Cortazar et al. [7] one can find results on the asymptotic behavior of the solutions for a nonlinear nonlocal diffusion operator under blowing-up boundary conditions of Dirichlet or Neumann type. Let us notice in particular that in [3] the blow-up phenomenon for Neumann problem (2) with a more general source function is analyzed and the blow-up rate estimates are given for some particular sources. However, the author did not consider the spacial location of the set where the solution blows up.

Following these work, in the present paper we are interested in studying the

Neumann problem (2) equipped with an initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{3}$$

The line, which we will go along is that we establish the blow-up rate estimates for the blow-up solutions, which allows us to localize the blow-up set near any point in  $\Omega$  just by taking an initial datum being very large near that point and not so large in the rest of the domain. The theorems formulated are extensions of many previous results on the nonlocal diffusion equations.

## 2. Results and proofs

Denote  $Q_T = \bar{\Omega} \times (0, T)$ . We begin by introducing the Banach space  $Y_T = C([0, T]; C(\bar{\Omega}))$  equipped the norm

$$\|z\|_{Y_T} = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\bar{\Omega})} = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |z(x, t)|.$$

We use the following nature definition of solution, sub- and supersolution.

**Definition 2.1.** A pair of nonnegative functions  $\tilde{u}, \hat{u} \in C([0, T]; C(\bar{\Omega}))$  are, respectively, called supersolution and subsolution of problem (2), (3) in  $Q_T$  if  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_t(x, t) \geq \int_{\Omega} \left( J\left(\frac{x-y}{\tilde{u}^\alpha(y, t)}\right) \tilde{u}^{1-n\alpha}(y, t) - J\left(\frac{x-y}{\tilde{u}^\alpha(x, t)}\right) \tilde{u}^{1-n\alpha}(x, t) \right) dy \\ \quad + \chi \tilde{u}^k(x, t), \\ \tilde{u}(x, 0) \geq u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

and  $\hat{u}$  satisfies (4) in the reverse order. Further, we say that  $u$  is a solution of problem (2), (3) if it is both a subsolution and a supersolution of (2)- (3) in  $Q_T$ .

On  $Y_T$  we define the mapping  $\Gamma$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(u)(x, t) &= \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left( J\left(\frac{x-y}{u^\alpha(y, \tau)}\right) u^{1-n\alpha}(y, \tau) - J\left(\frac{x-y}{u^\alpha(x, \tau)}\right) u^{1-n\alpha}(x, \tau) \right) dy d\tau \\ &\quad + \chi \int_0^t |u|^{k-1} u(x, \tau) d\tau + u_0(x) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $u \in Y_T$ .

Similar to [12, Theorem 1.1], we can prove that  $\Gamma$  is well defined. Moreover,  $\Gamma$  is a strict contraction on an appropriate ball of  $Y_T$ . This enables us to obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Given a positive initial datum  $u_0$ . Then there exists  $t_{max} = t_{max}(u_0) > 0$  and a unique solution  $u \in C([0, t_{max}); C(\bar{\Omega}))$  of problem (2), (3). Also, if  $t_{max} < +\infty$ , then*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow t_{max}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\bar{\Omega})} = +\infty.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) dx + \chi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t u^k(x, \tau) d\tau dx$$

for every  $t \in [0, t_{max})$ .

We shall also need the following comparison principle for Neumann problem (2), (3).

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\tilde{u}, \hat{u}$  be supersolution and subsolution of Neumann problem (2), (3) in  $Q_T$ , respectively. Then  $\tilde{u}(x, t) \geq \hat{u}(x, t)$  for all  $(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_T$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is a trivial modification of that of [2, Theorem 3.6], hence we omit it here. □

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $u \in C([0, t_{max}); C(\bar{\Omega}))$  be a solution of problem (2), (3) with a positive initial datum  $u_0$ . Then  $u(x, t) > 0$  for all  $(x, t) \in Q_{t_{max}}$ .*

In the following theorem we will analyze the blow-up condition and blow-up rate.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Given a positive initial datum  $u_0$ . We have the following assertions:*

- (1) *if  $0 < k \leq 1$ , then the solution of problem (2), (3) is global.*
- (2) *if  $k > 1$ , then the solution  $u$  of problem (2), (3) blows up in a finite time  $t_{max}$ . Moreover, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow t_{max}} (t_{max} - t)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x, t) &= \left( \frac{1}{\chi(k-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \\ t_{max} &\leq \frac{1}{\chi(k-1)} \left( \frac{|\Omega|}{\int_{\Omega} u_0(x) dx} \right)^{k-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

*Proof.* Let us consider the following ODE

$$w'(t) = \chi w^k(t). \tag{6}$$

It is easy to verify that if  $0 < k \leq 1$ , then the continuous solution of (6) with

$$w(0) = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u_0(x)$$

is a global supersolution of problem (2), (3), which together with Theorem 2.3 proves that the assertion (1) holds.

For the case when  $k > 1$ , we see that the continuous solution of (6) with

$$w(0) = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u_0(x) > 0$$

is a subsolution of problem (2), (3), which, thanks to Theorem 2.3, implies that the corresponding solution blows up in a finite time.

In the sequel, let  $t_{max} < \infty$  be the maximal existence time of the solution  $u$  corresponding to a positive initial datum  $u_0$ . To establish the blow-up rate, we can estimate

$$u_t(x, t) \geq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J\left(\frac{x-y}{u^\alpha(x, t)}\right) u^{1-N\alpha}(x, t) dy + \chi u^k(x, t) = -u(x, t) + \chi u^k(x, t).$$

So,

$$\max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u_t(x, t) \geq \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u^k(x, t) \left( \chi - \left( \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x, t) \right)^{1-k} \right). \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, letting  $x^* \in \bar{\Omega}$  such that  $\max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x, t) = u(x^*, t)$ , we have

$$J\left(\frac{x^*-y}{u^\alpha(y, t)}\right) u^{1-n\alpha}(y, t) \leq J\left(\frac{x^*-y}{u^\alpha(x^*, t)}\right) u^{1-n\alpha}(x^*, t), \quad y \in \bar{\Omega}, t \in (0, t_{max}).$$

Accordingly, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x^*, t) &= \int_{\Omega} \left( J\left(\frac{x^*-y}{u^\alpha(y, t)}\right) u^{1-n\alpha}(y, t) - J\left(\frac{x^*-y}{u^\alpha(x^*, t)}\right) u^{1-n\alpha}(x^*, t) \right) dy + \chi u^k(x^*, t) \\ &\leq \chi u^k(x^*, t), \end{aligned}$$

which enables us to conclude that  $u(x^*, t) \geq \left( \frac{1}{\chi(k-1)(t_{max}-t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$ . Therefore, invoking (7) it follows that

$$\max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u_t(x, t) \geq \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u^k(x, t) \chi (1 - (k-1)(t_{max}-t)).$$

Integrating the inequality above in  $(t, t_{max})$ , we thus have

$$\max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x, t) \leq (\chi(t_{max}-t))^{\frac{1}{1-k}} \left( (k-1) - \frac{(k-1)^2(t_{max}-t)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-k}}. \tag{8}$$

Taking the limit as  $t \rightarrow t_{max}$ , we obtain the first assertion in (5).

Finally, integrating problem (2), (3) in  $\Omega$  and applying Fubini's theorem one has

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx = \chi \int_{\Omega} u^k(x, t) dx \geq \chi |\Omega|^{1-k} \left( \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx \right)^k,$$

which implies that the second assertion in (5) remains true. □

Next we deal with the spacial location of the set where the solution blows up. The blow-up set of solution  $u$  will be denoted by

$$S(u) = \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : \text{there exists } x_n \rightarrow x, t_n \rightarrow t_{max}, u(x_n, t_n) \rightarrow \infty\},$$

where  $t_{max}$  is the maximal existence time of  $u$ .

We denote  $D_r(x)$  the ball in  $\bar{\Omega}$  centered at  $x$  with radius  $r$ . Below the letters  $C_i$  will denote various positive constants.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $k \geq 2 - n\alpha$ . Then for every  $x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an initial datum  $u_0$  such that  $S(u)$  is contained in  $D_\varepsilon(x_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let

$$u_0(x) = Mg(x) + \mu, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega},$$

where  $g$  is a nonnegative smooth function with  $\text{supp}(g) \subset D_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x_0)$  and  $M, \mu > 0$  are constants to be specified later. Note that  $u_0(x) = \mu$  for every  $x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus D_\varepsilon(x_0)$ . Also, since  $t_{max} \leq \frac{C_1}{M^{k-1}}$  due to (5), one can choose an appropriate  $M$  such that  $t_{max}$  is as small as we need below.

From (8) it follows that

$$\max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x, t) \leq C_2(\chi(t_{max} - t))^{-\frac{1}{k-1}}$$

for small  $t_{max}$  as appropriate, which enables us to estimate

$$u_t(x, t) \leq \int_{\Omega} J\left(\frac{x-y}{u^\alpha(y, t)}\right) u^{1-n\alpha}(y, t) dy + \chi u^k(x, t) \leq C_3(t_{max} - t)^{-\frac{1-n\alpha}{k-1}} + \chi u^k(x, t).$$

Let  $v$  be the continuous solution of the following ODE:

$$v'(t) = C_3(t_{max} - t)^{-\frac{1-n\alpha}{k-1}} + \chi v^k(t)$$

with initial datum  $v(0) = \mu$ . Then from Theorem 2.3 it follows that  $u(x, t) \leq v(t)$  for  $x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus D_\varepsilon(x_0)$ .

In the sequel, we write

$$\lambda(s) = e^{-\frac{1-n\alpha}{k-1}s} v(t),$$

where  $s = -\ln(t_{max} - t)$ . It is clear that  $\lambda(s) > 0$ . Moreover, we have

$$\lambda'(s) = -\frac{1-n\alpha}{k-1}\lambda(s) + C_3e^{-s} + \chi e^{-n\alpha s}\lambda^k(s), \tag{9}$$

which implies that  $\lambda'(-\ln t_{max}) < 0$  for  $\mu, t_{max}$  small enough.

We claim that

$$\lambda'(s) < 0 \quad \text{for all } s > -\ln t_{max}. \tag{10}$$

Indeed, if this is not the case, there exists a first time  $s^*$  such that  $\lambda'(s^*) = 0$ . At the time  $s^*$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda''(s^*) &= -\frac{1-n\alpha}{k-1}\lambda'(s^*) - C_3e^{-s^*} + \chi e^{-n\alpha s^*}\lambda^{k-1}(s^*)(k\lambda'(s^*) - n\alpha\lambda(s^*)) \\ &= -C_3e^{-s^*} - \chi n\alpha e^{-n\alpha s^*}\lambda^k(s^*). \end{aligned}$$

From which we see that  $\lambda''(s^*) < 0$ , a contradiction. Hence, from (9) and (10) one finds that

$$\lambda(s) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{11}$$

Assume that  $\gamma$  is a constant satisfying

$$\frac{1-kn\alpha}{k} \leq \gamma(1-n\alpha) \leq \frac{(k-1)(1-n\alpha)}{k}.$$

From (9) we have

$$\lambda'(s) + \frac{\gamma(1-n\alpha)}{k-1}\lambda(s) = \lambda(s) \left( -\frac{(1-\gamma)(1-n\alpha)}{k-1} + \chi e^{-n\alpha s}\lambda^{k-1}(s) \right) + C_3e^{-s}.$$

Letting  $s$  be large enough, we have, thanks to (11), that

$$\lambda'(s) + \frac{\gamma(1-n\alpha)}{k-1}\lambda(s) \leq C_3e^{-s}.$$

Accordingly,  $\lambda(s) \leq C_4e^{-\frac{\gamma(1-n\alpha)}{k-1}s}$ , which together with (9) enables us to obtain

$$\lambda'(s) + \frac{1-n\alpha}{k-1}\lambda(s) \leq C_3e^{-s} + \chi C_4^k e^{-\left(\frac{k\gamma(1-n\alpha)}{k-1} + n\alpha\right)s}.$$

So,  $\lambda'(s) + \frac{1-n\alpha}{k-1}\lambda(s) \leq C_5e^{-\left(\frac{k\gamma(1-n\alpha)}{k-1} + n\alpha\right)s}$ . Therefore, we get

$$\lambda(s) \leq C_6e^{-\frac{1-n\alpha}{k-1}s},$$

which implies that  $v(t) \leq C_6$  and hence  $u(x, t)$  is bounded for every  $x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus B_\mu(x_0)$ , as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The authors also want to express their thanks to the anonymous referees for their suggestions and comments that improved the quality of the paper. The first author acknowledges support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11471083, 11101202), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (No. 2014A030313578), and Innovative School Project in Higher Education of Guangdong, China (No. GWTP-GC-2014-02). The third author acknowledges support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11271309) and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20114301110001).

## References

- [1] Andreu, F., Mazon, J. M., Rossi, J. D. and Toledo, J., The Neumann problem for nonlocal nonlinear diffusion equations. *J. Evol. Equ.* 8 (2008), 189 – 215.
- [2] Bogoya, M., A nonlocal nonlinear diffusion equation in higher space dimensions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 344 (2008), 601 – 615.
- [3] Bogoya, M., Blow-up for a nonlocal nonlinear diffusion equation with source. *Rev. Colombiana Mat.* 46 (2012)(1), 1 – 13.
- [4] Bogoya, M., Ferreira, R. and Rossi, J. D., Neumann boundary conditions for a nonlocal nonlinear diffusion operator. Continuous and discrete models. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 135 (2007), 3837 – 3846.
- [5] Bogoya, M., Ferreira, R. and Rossi, J. D., A nonlocal nonlinear diffusion equation with blowing up boundary conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 337 (2008), 1284 – 1294.
- [6] Bogoya, M. and Gómez, S. C. A., On a nonlocal diffusion model with Neumann boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012), 3198 – 3209.
- [7] Cortazar, C., Elgueta, M., Rossi, J. D. and Wolanski, N., Boundary fluxes for non-local diffusion. *J. Differential Equ.* 234 (2007), 360 – 390.
- [8] Deng, K., Exponential decay of solutions of semilinear parabolic equations with nonlocal initial conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 179 (1993), 630 – 637.
- [9] Fife, P., Some nonclassical trends in parabolic and parabolic-like evolution. In: *Trends in Nonlinear Analysis* (eds.: M. Kirkilionis et al.). Berlin: Springer 2003, pp. 153 – 191.
- [10] Gladkov, A. and Guedda, M., Blow-up problem for semilinear heat equation with absorption and a nonlocal boundary condition. *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011), 4573 – 4580.
- [11] Pazoto, A. F. and Rossi, J. D., Asymptotic behavior for a semilinear nonlocal equation. *Asymptot. Anal.* 52 (2007), 143 – 155.
- [12] Pérez-Llanos, M. and Rossi, J. D., Blow-up for a non-local diffusion problem with Neumann boundary conditions and a reaction term. *Nonlinear Anal.* 70 (2009), 1629 – 1640.
- [13] Wang, R. N., Xiao, T. J. and Liang, J., A comparison principle for nonlocal coupled systems of fully nonlinear parabolic equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 19 (2006), 1272 – 1277.
- [14] Wang, R. N., Xiao, T. J. and Liang, J., Coupled non-local periodic parabolic systems with time delays. *Appl. Anal.* 87 (2008)(4), 479 – 495.
- [15] Wang, R. N., Xiao, T. J. and Liang, J., A note on the fractional Cauchy problems with nonlocal initial conditions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (2011), 1435 – 1442.
- [16] Zhou, S. M., Mu, C. L. and Zeng, R., Quenching for a non-local diffusion equation with a singular absorption term and Neumann boundary condition. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 62 (2011), 483 – 493.
- [17] Zhou, Y., *Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations*. Singapore: World Scientific 2014.

Received April 21, 2014; revised July, 24, 2015