

# Solvability of Linear Functional Equations in Lebesgue Spaces

By

Sen-Yen SHAW\*

## §1. Introduction

Let  $A$  be a closed linear operator on a Banach space  $X$ . This paper is concerned with the solvability and approximate solutions of the equation  $Ax=y$  for a given  $y \in X$ , especially when  $X$  is a Lebesgue space  $L_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . The domain, null space, and range will be denoted by  $D(A)$ ,  $N(A)$ , and  $R(A)$ , respectively.

Let  $\{A_\alpha\}$  and  $\{B_\alpha\}$  be two nets, indexed by a directed set  $\mathcal{A}$  of bounded linear operators on  $X$  with the following properties:

- (a)  $\|A_\alpha\| \leq M$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ;
- (b)  $R(B_\alpha) \subset D(A)$  and  $B_\alpha A \subset AB_\alpha = I - A_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ;
- (c)  $R(A_\alpha) \subset D(A)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $w\text{-}\lim_\alpha A A_\alpha x = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , and  $s\text{-}\lim_\alpha A_\alpha A x = 0$  for all  $x \in D(A)$ .
- (d)  $B_\alpha^* x^* = \phi(\alpha) x^*$  for all  $x^* \in R(A)^\perp (=N(A^*))$  in case  $D(A)=X$  with  $\lim_\alpha |\phi(\alpha)| = \infty$ .

We call  $\{A_\alpha\}$  a system of *almost invariant integrals* for  $A+I$  and  $\{B_\alpha\}$  the system of *companion integrals*. The terminologies go back to those of Eberlein [4] and Dotson [2] for the case  $A=T-I$  with  $T$  bounded. The following two theorems concerning the convergence of  $\{A_\alpha x\}$  and  $\{B_\alpha y\}$  have been established in [8]:

(i)  $\{A_\alpha x\}$  converges if and only if it contains a weakly convergent subnet, if and only if  $x \in N(A) \oplus \overline{R(A)}$ , and the mapping  $P: x \rightarrow s\text{-}\lim_\alpha A_\alpha x$  is a bounded projection with  $R(P)=N(A)$ ,  $N(P)=\overline{R(A)}$  and  $D(P)=N(A) \oplus \overline{R(A)}$ ,

(ii)  $\{B_\alpha y\}$  converges if and only if it contains a weakly convergent subnet, if and only if  $y \in A(D(A) \cap \overline{R(A)})$ . The limit  $x = s\text{-}\lim_\alpha B_\alpha y$  is the unique solution of the equation  $Ax=y$  in  $\overline{R(A)}$ .

In a reflexive space, the weak sequential precompactness of bounded sets

---

Communicated by S. Matsuura, January 5, 1990.

\* Department of Mathematics, National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan, R.O.C.

implies that  $D(P)=X$  and  $R(A)=A(D(A)\cap\overline{R(A)})$ . The following theorem [8, Corollary 1.8] is then easily deduced from (ii).

**Theorem 1.** *If  $X$  is a reflexive space, then, under the conditions (a), (b), (c), and (d), the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $y\in R(A)$ ;
- (2)  $\{B_\alpha y\}$  is bounded;
- (3) There is a subnet  $\{B_\beta\}$  of  $\{B_\alpha\}$  such that  $x=\text{w-lim}_\beta B_\beta y$  exists;
- (4)  $x=\text{s-lim}_\alpha B_\alpha y$  exists.

Moreover, the  $x$  in (3) and (4) is the unique solution of  $Ax=y$  in  $\overline{R(A)}$ .

This theorem holds in particular for any Lebesgue space  $L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ . In general, while the implications “(3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)” always hold (due to (ii), (a), and (b)), the other two implications “(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)” and “(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4)” may not hold in a nonreflexive space (cf. [9] and [8, Remark 1.7]). However, with some additional assumption, we shall prove in section 2 the following positive result for  $L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X=L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  with  $\mu$  a  $\sigma$ -finite measure. If  $\{A_\alpha\}$  and  $\{B_\alpha\}$  satisfy (a) with  $M=1$ , (b), (c), and (d), then (1) and (2) are equivalent. If, in addition to the above assumption,  $\mu$  is a finite measure and  $\|A_\alpha f\|_\infty \leq K\|f\|_\infty$  for all  $f\in L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $\alpha\in\mathcal{A}$ , then the statements (1), (2), (3), and (4) are equivalent, and the limit  $x$  in (3) and (4) is the unique solution of  $Ax=y$  in  $\overline{R(A)}$ .*

These general theorems can be used to study the solvability and various approximate solutions of the linear functional equation  $Ag=f$  in  $L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For illustration we shall display in sections 3 and 4 applications to  $n$ -times integrated semigroups and cosine operator functions, respectively. Applications to other methods of solving  $(I-T)x=y$  such as those considered in [8] are also possible. In particular, theorems of Lin and Sine [7], and of Krengel and Lin [6, Theorem 3.1] can be deduced from this result. In section 3, the almost everywhere pointwise convergence of the approximate solutions of  $Ag=f$  will also be observed for the case that  $A$  is the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on  $L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  that also fulfills the condition that  $\|T(t)f\|_\infty \leq K\|f\|_\infty$  for all  $f\in L_1\cap L_\infty$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

## §2. Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose (1) holds, i. e.,  $y=Ax$ . Then (a) and (b) imply that  $\|B_\alpha y\| = \|B_\alpha Ax\| = \|(I-A_\alpha)x\| \leq (1+M)\|x\|$  for all  $\alpha\in\mathcal{A}$ , i. e., (2) holds.

Conversely, if  $\{B_\alpha y\}$  is bounded, we first show that  $A_\alpha y \rightarrow 0$ . Indeed, (d) implies that for each  $x^*\in R(A)^\perp$  we have

$$\|B_\alpha y\| \|x^*\| \geq |\langle B_\alpha y, x^* \rangle| = |\langle y, \phi(\alpha)x^* \rangle| = |\phi(\alpha)| |\langle y, x^* \rangle|,$$

which would be unbounded unless  $\langle y, x^* \rangle = 0$ . Hence  $y$  belongs to  ${}^+(R(A))^+ = \overline{R(A)}$ . This fact with assumptions (a) and (c) implies that  $A_\alpha y$  converges in norm to 0.

Next, let  $\text{LIM}_\beta$  be a Banach limit on the space of bounded functions on  $\mathcal{A}$ , and define a linear functional  $q$  on  $L_1(\mu)^* = L_\infty(\mu)$  by  $q(x^*) = \text{LIM}_\beta \langle B_\beta y, x^* \rangle$ ,  $x^* \in L_\infty(\mu)$ . Then  $q$  belongs to  $X^{**} = L_\infty(\mu)^* = ba(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ , the space of bounded finitely additive measures (=charges)  $\ll \mu$ , and  $\|q\| \leq \sup_\alpha \|B_\alpha y\|$ . We have for  $x^* \in X^*$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} [A_\alpha^{**}q](x^*) &= q(A_\alpha^* x^*) = \text{LIM}_\beta \langle B_\beta y, A_\alpha^* x^* \rangle \\ &= \text{LIM}_\beta \langle (I - B_\alpha A) B_\beta y, x^* \rangle \\ &= \text{LIM}_\beta \langle (B_\beta y - B_\alpha (I - A_\beta) y), x^* \rangle \\ &= \text{LIM}_\beta \langle (B_\beta y, x^*) - \langle B_\alpha y, x^* \rangle + \lim_\beta \langle A_\beta y, B_\alpha^* x^* \rangle \rangle \\ &= q(x^*) - \langle B_\alpha y, x^* \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

(b) and the fact that  $Py = 0$  having been used. Hence  $A_\alpha^{**}q = q - B_\alpha y$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ .

$L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  can be identified, via the Radon-Nikodym theorem, with  $M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ , the subspace of  $ba(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  which consists of all countably additive measures  $\ll \mu$ . Decomposing  $q = q_1 + q_2$  with  $q_1 \in M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $q_2$  a pure charge (cf. [12]), and using the contraction assumption and the fact that the norm of an element of  $ba(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  is the sum of the norms of its two parts, we obtain the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_2\| &\geq \|A_\alpha^{**}q_2\| = \|q_1 - B_\alpha y - A_\alpha^{**}q_1 + q_2\| \\ &= \|q_1 - B_\alpha y - A_\alpha q_1\| + \|q_2\|, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $q_1 = B_\alpha y + A_\alpha q_1 \in D(A)$  and  $Aq_1 = AB_\alpha y + AA_\alpha q_1 = y - A_\alpha y + AA_\alpha q_1$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . Taking limits yields that  $y = Aq_1 \in R(A)$ . Thus we have proved the equivalence of (1) and (2).

Since, as mentioned in the introduction, the conditions (3) and (4) are equivalent to that  $y$  belongs to  $A(D(A) \cap \overline{R(A)}) = A(D(A) \cap D(P))$ , which is equal to  $R(A)$  when  $D(P) = X$ , the second part of Theorem 2 follows from the next lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a finite measure space and let  $\{A_\alpha\}$  and  $\{B_\alpha\}$  be bounded operators on  $X = L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  as well as on  $L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  which satisfy (b),*

(c), and (d). Suppose further that  $\|A_\alpha f\|_1 \leq M\|f\|_1$  for all  $f \in L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $\|A_\alpha h\|_\infty \leq K\|h\|_\infty$  for all  $h \in L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  and for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\{A_\alpha f\}$  converges in  $L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  for all  $f$  in  $L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ .

*Proof.* If  $h$  is a simple function, then  $\left| \int_E (A_\alpha h) d\mu \right| \leq K\|h\|_\infty \mu(E)$  which converges to 0 uniformly for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  as  $\mu(E) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $\{A_\alpha h; \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is weakly sequentially precompact in  $L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  (see [3, Corollary IV.8.11]). It follows from (i) in the introduction that  $\{A_\alpha h\}$  converges in  $L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Since the set of all simple functions is dense in  $L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  and since  $\{A_\alpha\}$  is uniformly bounded, the convergence of  $\{A_\alpha f\}$  holds for all  $f$  in  $L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ .

### § 3. Generators of $n$ -times Integrated Semigroups

A strongly continuous family  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  of bounded operators on  $X$  is called a  $n$ -times integrated semigroup if  $T(0) = I$  and  $T(t)T(s) = T(t+s)$  ( $t, s \geq 0$ ) in case  $n=0$ , and if  $T(0) = 0$  and

$$T(t)T(s) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left\{ \int_t^{t+s} (t+s-r)^{n-1} T(r) dr - \int_0^s (t+s-r)^{n-1} T(r) dr \right\} \quad (t, s \geq 0)$$

in case  $n \geq 1$ . A 0-times integrated semigroup is just the classical  $C^0$ -semigroup.  $T(\cdot)$  is said to be *non-degenerate* if  $T(t)x = 0$  for all  $t > 0$  implies  $x = 0$ , and *exponentially bounded* if  $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{wt}$  for some  $M \geq 1, w > 0$  and for all  $t \geq 0$ . For a non-degenerate and exponentially bounded  $T(\cdot)$  there exists a uniquely determined closed operator  $A$ , called the *generator* of  $T(\cdot)$ , such that  $(w, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$  and  $(\lambda - A)^{-1}x = \int_0^\infty \lambda^n e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x dt$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\lambda > w$ . For the definitions and basic properties we refer to Arendt [1], and Tanaka and Miyadera [11].

It is known [1, Proposition 3.3] that  $\int_0^t T(s)x ds \in D(A)$  and  $A \int_0^t T(s)x ds = T(t)x - (t^n/n!)x$  for all  $x \in X$ ;  $\int_0^t T(t)Ax ds = T(t)x - (t^n/n!)x$  for all  $x \in D(A)$ . Hence, if we put  $A_t := (n+1)!t^{-n-1} \int_0^t T(s)ds$  and  $B_t := -(n+1)!t^{-n-1} \int_0^t \int_0^s T(u)duds$  for  $t > 0$ , then the closedness of  $A$  implies that  $B_t A \subset A B_t = I - A_t$  and  $A_t A \subset A A_t = (n+1)!t^{-n-1}T(t) - (n+1)t^{-1}I$ . Thus (c) holds if  $t^{-n-1}T(t)$  converges strongly to 0 as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, both (a) and (c) will hold in case  $\|T(t)\| = O(t^n)(t \rightarrow \infty)$ . To verify (d) let  $x^* \in R(A)^\perp$ . Then  $\langle T(u)x - (u^n/n!)x, x^* \rangle = \left\langle A \int_0^u T(s)x ds, x^* \right\rangle = 0$  for all  $u \geq 0$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, B_t^* x^* \rangle &= \langle B_t x, x^* \rangle = -(n+1)!t^{-n-1} \int_0^t \int_0^s T(u)x, x^* > duds \\ &= -(n+1)!t^{-n-1} \int_0^t \int_0^s u^n duds \langle x, x^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\frac{t}{n+2} \langle x, x^* \rangle \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

That is, the condition (d) holds with  $\phi(t) = -\frac{t}{n+2}$ .

On the other hand, if  $\|A_t\| \leq M$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}x\| &\leq \left\| \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \lambda^n T(t)x dt \right\| \\ &\leq \lambda^{n+1} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \left\| \int_0^t T(s)x ds \right\| dt \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} M \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} t^{n+1} dt \|x\| \\ &= \frac{M}{\lambda} \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , so that  $\{\lambda(\lambda - A)^{-1}\}_{\lambda > 0}$  is a system of almost invariant integrals and  $\{(\lambda - A)^{-1}\}_{\lambda > 0}$  the associated system of companion integrals (see [8, Example V]).

Now Theorems 1 and 2 can be applied to the two pairs  $\{A_t\}, \{B_t\}$  and  $\{\lambda(\lambda - A)^{-1}\}, \{(\lambda - A)^{-1}\}$  to deliver the next theorem, which is concerned with the equivalence of the following conditions:

(S1)  $y \in R(A)$ ;

(S2)  $\sup_{\lambda > 0} \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}y\| < \infty$ ;

(S3)  $x = \text{w-lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} (A - \lambda_k)^{-1}y$  exists for some sequence  $\{\lambda_k\} \rightarrow 0^+$ ;

(S4)  $x = \text{s-lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} (A - \lambda)^{-1}y$  exists;

(S5)  $\sup_{t > 0} \left\| t^{-n-1} \int_0^t \int_0^s T(u)y du ds \right\| < \infty$ ;

(S6)  $x = -\text{w-lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)! t_k^{-n-1} \int_0^{t_k} \int_0^s T(u)y du ds$  exists for some sequence  $\{t_k\} \rightarrow \infty$ ;

(S7)  $x = -\text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)! t^{-n-1} \int_0^t \int_0^s T(u) du ds$  exists;

(S8)  $\sup_{t > 0} \left\| t^{-n} \int_0^t T(s)y ds \right\| < \infty$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $T(\cdot)$  be a non-degenerate, exponentially bounded,  $n$ -times integrated semigroup on  $X$ , and  $A$  be its generator. Suppose that  $\left\| (n+1)! t^{-n-1} \int_0^t T(s)x ds \right\| \leq M \|x\|$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $t > 0$  and that  $t^{-n-1}T(t) \rightarrow 0$*

strongly as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

(i) If  $X$  is reflexive, then the conditions (S1)-(S7) are equivalent to each other.

(ii) If  $X=L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  with  $\mu$  a  $\sigma$ -finite measure, and if  $M=1$ , then conditions (S1), (S2), and (S5) are equivalent; they are also equivalent to (S3), (S4), (S6) and (S7) in case  $\mu$  is a finite measure and  $\left\| t^{-n-1} \int_0^t T(s) f ds \right\|_{\infty} \leq K \|f\|_{\infty}$  for all  $f \in L_{\infty}(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  and all  $t > 0$ .

*Remark.* If  $T(\cdot)$  satisfies the growth condition  $\|T(t)\| \leq Mt^n/(n+1)!$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , then the hypothesis of Theorem 4 is satisfied and (S8) can be added as another equivalent condition. In fact, it is easy to see that (S1)  $\Rightarrow$  (S8)  $\Rightarrow$  (S5) in this case.

The following corollary for contraction  $C_0$ -semigroups on  $L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a specialization of Theorem 4; the first part of it is due to Krengel and Lin [6] (see also [9]).

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $A$  be the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T(\cdot)$  of contractions on  $L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ , with  $\mu$  a  $\sigma$ -finite measure. Then with  $n=0$  the conditions (S1), (S2), (S5), and (S8) are equivalent. If, in addition,  $\mu$  is finite and  $\sup_{t>0} \left\| t^{-1} \int_0^t T(s) f ds \right\|_{\infty} \leq K \|f\|_{\infty}$  for all  $f \in L_{\infty}(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ , then (S1)-(S8), with  $n=0$ , all are equivalent.*

For a given function  $f \in R(A)$  in  $L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we now consider the almost everywhere convergence of  $B_t f$ . Suppose a pointwise ergodic theorem for the system  $\{A_t\}$  holds so that  $A_t x$  converges almost everywhere on  $S$  for all  $x \in L_p$ . Then for any solution  $g$  of the equation  $Ag=f$ ,  $B_t f = B_t Ag = (I - A_t)g$  surely converges almost everywhere. If  $Ag=f$  has a solution  $g$  in  $D(A) \cap \overline{R(A)}$  (This is always the case when  $\{A_t\}$  is mean ergodic, i.e.  $D(P)=X$ ), then  $A_t g$  converges to  $Pg=0$  almost everywhere on  $S$  and  $B_t f$  converges to  $g$  almost everywhere on  $S$ . In what follows we deduce from Theorem 4, Corollary 5, and the Cesàro and Abelian pointwise ergodic theorems in [5] a pointwise convergence theorem for the approximate solutions  $\{B_t f\}$  of  $Ag=f$ .

Let  $A$  be the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T(\cdot)$  of contractions on  $L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that, for some  $K \geq 1$ ,  $\sup_{t>0} \|T(t)f\|_{\infty} \leq K \|f\|_{\infty}$  for all  $f \in L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu) \cap L_{\infty}(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Then, given any  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , each  $T(t)$  can be extended to a linear operator, still denoted by  $T(t)$ , on  $L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  with  $\|T(t)\|_p \leq K$  and  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  is also a  $C_0$ -semigroup of operators on  $L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  (cf. [5, p. 96]). Let  $A$  still denote the generator of the semigroup thus obtained. Under these assumptions we can formulate the following Theorem.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . (i)  $f \in L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  satisfies  $\sup_{t>0} \left\| \int_0^t T(u)f du \right\|_p < \infty$  if and only if  $Ag=f$  is solvable in  $L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ . (ii) If  $Ag=f$  is solvable, then the limits

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \int_0^t \int_0^u (-T(v)f)(s)dvdu \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} [(A-\lambda)^{-1}f](s)$$

exist and coincide almost everywhere on  $S$ . (iii) If  $1 < p < \infty$ , or if  $p=1$  and  $\mu$  is a finite measure, then the limits in (ii) converge in  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and the limit function  $g$  is the unique solution of  $Ag=f$  in the  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -closure of  $R(A|L_p)$ .

We end this section with a concrete application to the equation  $\Delta g=f$  in  $L_p(R^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$ . It is known that  $\Delta$  generates the Gauss-Weierstrass semigroup  $T(\cdot)$ , which is defined by  $T(0)=I$  and

$$(T(t)f)(x) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}\right) f(y) dy, \quad f \in L_p(R^n), t > 0.$$

This is a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on  $L_p(R^n)$ . Hence we can formulate the following specialization of Theorem 6.

**Corollary 7.** Let  $f$  be a function in  $L_p(R^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then the equation  $\Delta g=f$  is solvable if and only if

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \left\| \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}\right) f(y) dy dt \right\|_p < \infty,$$

if and only if

$$\sup_{t > 0} \left\| \int_0^t (4\pi s)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4s}\right) f(y) dy ds \right\|_p < \infty.$$

When  $p > 1$ , a solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= -\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}\right) f(y) dy dt, \\ &= -\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \int_0^t \int_0^s (4\pi u)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4u}\right) f(y) dy du ds, \end{aligned}$$

the convergence being valid in the sense of pointwise almost everywhere as well as in the sense of  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .

#### § 4. Generators of Cosine Operator Functions

A strongly continuous family  $\{C(t); t \in R\}$  of bounded linear operators on  $X$  is called a cosine operator function if  $C(0)=I$  and  $C(t+s)+C(t-s)=2C(t)C(s)$ ,

$s, t \in R$ . The associated sine function  $S(\cdot)$  is defined by  $S(t)x = \int_0^t C(s)x ds$ ,  $x \in X$ . The generator  $A := C''(0)$  is a densely defined closed operator. There exist  $M \geq 1$  and  $w \geq 0$  such that  $\|C(t)\| \leq Me^{wt}$ ,  $t \in R$ . The resolvent set  $\rho(A)$  contains all  $\lambda^2$  with  $\lambda > w$ , and for each such  $\lambda$

$$\lambda(\lambda^2 - A)^{-1}x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} C(t)x dt \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

See, e. g., Sova [10] for these and other properties of  $C(\cdot)$ .

For  $t > 0$ , let  $A_t := 2t^{-2} \int_0^t S(s)ds$  and  $B_t := -2t^{-2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^u S(v)dvdu ds$ . Then we have  $B_t A \subset A B_t = I - A_t$ ,  $A_t A \subset A A_t = 2t^{-2}(C(t) - I)$ , and  $B_t^* x^* = \frac{t^2}{12} x^*$  for all  $x^* \in N(A^*)$  (see [8], Example VII). Hence  $\{A_t\}$  is a system of almost invariant integrals for  $A + I$  and  $\{B_t\}$  is its associated system of companion integrals if  $\|A_t\| \leq M$  and if  $t^{-2}C(t) \rightarrow 0$  strongly as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, as was in the case of semigroup, the condition  $\|A_t\| \leq M$  also implies that  $\{\lambda(\lambda - A)^{-1}\}_{\lambda > 0}$  is a system of almost invariant integrals and  $\{(\lambda - A)^{-1}\}_{\lambda > 0}$  the associated system of companion integrals.

From Theorems 1 and 2 we can immediately deduce the next theorem, which is concerned with the equivalence among the following conditions:

- (C1)  $y \in R(A)$ ;
- (C2)  $\sup_{\lambda > 0} \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}y\| < \infty$ ;
- (C3)  $x = w\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (A - \lambda_k)^{-1}y$  exists for some sequence  $\{\lambda_k\} \rightarrow 0^+$ ;
- (C4)  $x = s\text{-}\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} (A - \lambda)^{-1}y$  exists;
- (C5)  $\sup_{t > 0} \left\| t^{-2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^u S(v)ydvdu ds \right\| < \infty$ ;
- (C6)  $x = -w\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2t_k^{-2} \int_0^{t_k} \int_0^s \int_0^u S(v)ydvdu ds$  exists for some sequence  $\{t_k\} \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (C7)  $x = -s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} 2t^{-2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^u S(v)ydvdu ds$  exists;
- (C8)  $\sup_{t > 0} \left\| \int_0^t S(s)y ds \right\| \leq \infty$ .

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $C(\cdot)$  be a cosine operator function on  $X$ . Suppose that  $\left\| 2t^{-2} \int_0^t S(s)ds \right\| \leq M$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $t^{-2}C(t) \rightarrow 0$  strongly as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

- (i) *If  $X$  is reflexive, then condition (C1)-(C7) are equivalent to each other.*
- (ii) *If  $X = L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  with  $\mu$  a  $\sigma$ -finite measure, and if  $M = 1$ , then conditions (C1), (C2), and (C5) are equivalent; moreover, they are also equivalent to condi-*

tions (C3), (C4), (C6), and (C7) when  $\mu$  is finite and  $\left\| 2t^{-2} \int_0^t S(s) f ds \right\|_{\infty} \leq K \|f\|_{\infty}$  for all  $f \in L_{\infty}(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ .

*Remark.* If  $\|C(t)\| \leq M$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , then both cases (i) and (ii), the condition (C8) can be added as an equivalent condition, because (C1)  $\Rightarrow$  (C8)  $\Rightarrow$  (C2).

### References

- [1] Arendt, W., Vector valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems, *Israel J. Math.*, **59** (1987), 327-352.
- [2] Dotson, W.G. Jr., An application of ergodic theory to the solution of linear functional equations in Banach spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **75** (1969), 347-352.
- [3] Dunford, N. and Schwartz, J.T., *Linear Operators*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [4] Eberlein, W.F., Abstract ergodic theorems and weak almost periodic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **67** (1949), 217-240.
- [5] Hasegawa, S., Sato, R. and Tsurumi, S., Vector valued ergodic theorems for a one-parameter semigroup of linear operators, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **30** (1978), 95-106.
- [6] Krengel, U. and Lin, M., On the range of the generator of a Markovian semigroup, *Math. Z.*, **185** (1984), 553-565.
- [7] Lin, M. and Sine, R., Ergodic theory and the functional equation  $(I-T)x=y$ , *J. Operator Theory*, **10** (1983), 153-166.
- [8] Shaw, S.-Y., Mean ergodic theorems and linear functional equations, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **87** (1989), 428-441.
- [9] ———, On the range of a closed operator, *J. Operator Theory*, **22** (1989), 157-163.
- [10] Sova, M., Cosine operator functions, *Rozprawy Math.*, **49** (1966), 1-47.
- [11] Tanaka, N. and Miyadera, I., Some remarks on  $C$ -semigroups and integrated semigroups, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **63A** (1987), 139-142.
- [12] Yosida, K. and Hewitt, E., Finitely additive measures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72** (1952), 46-66.

