Interfaces and Free Boundaries **3**, (2001) 415–446

Characterization of facet breaking for nonsmooth mean curvature flow in the convex case

G. BELLETTINI†

Dipartimento di Matematica, Universita di Roma 'Tor Vergata', via della Ricerca Scientifica, ` 00133 Roma, Italy

M. NOVAGA[‡]

Dipartimento di Matematica, Universita di Pisa, via Buonarroti 2, 56127 Pisa, Italy `

AND

M. PAOLINI§

Dipartimento di Matematica, Universita Cattolica 'Sacro Cuore', via Musei 41, ` 25121 Brescia, Italy

[Received 29 June 2000]

We investigate the breaking and bending phenomena of a facet of a three-dimensional crystal which evolves under crystalline mean curvature flow. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for a facet to be calibrable, i.e. not to break or bend under the evolution process. We also give a criterion which allows us to predict exactly where a subdivision of a non-calibrable facet takes place in the evolution process.

Keywords: Crystalline mean curvature; anisotropic evolutions; calibrable facet.

1. Introduction

Motion by crystalline mean curvature in three dimensions is an important example of geometric evolution of solid sets. Besides its geometric interest, it finds applications in material sciences and crystal growth: see, for instance, [6, 7, 16, 23]. Among the geometric flows by anisotropic mean curvature, we say that the evolution is crystalline if the anisotropy ϕ is faceted, which means that ϕ is a piecewise linear convex function or, equivalently, that the Wulff shape $\mathcal{W}_{\phi} := \{\phi \leq 1\}$ is a polytope. It has been recently shown [3, 24] that a facet *F* of a polyhedron *E* evolving by crystalline mean curvature can subdivide into two or more regions, or can even bend, creating a curved portion on the surface ∂*E* (see also [22] for numerical computations). In this paper we investigate these phenomena for a generic nonsmooth anisotropy (including the crystalline ones) and give necessary and sufficient conditions for a facet not to break or bend during the evolution. Moreover, in the case of convex facets, we identify explicitly the velocity (denoted by κ_{ϕ}^{E}), and therefore we are able to predict exactly where a subdivision will take place. κ_{ϕ}^{E} is obtained as the solution of a global variational problem on the whole of ∂*E* [4], and is expected to coincide with the actual velocity of

† Email: belletti@mat.uniroma2.it

‡ Email: novaga@dm.unipi.it

c Oxford University Press 2001

[§] Email: paolini@dmf.bs.unicatt.it

the crystalline evolution. This conjecture is strongly supported by the expression of the first variation of the surface energy computed in [4].

It is remarkable that the analysis of facet breaking/bending phenomena turns out to be equivalent to the study of a variational problem on a given facet *F* of ∂*E*: more precisely, the sublevel sets of κ_{ϕ}^{E} in *F* are solutions of a prescribed anisotropic curvature problem with respect to an anisotropy ϕ , which is a sort of two-dimensional restriction of the original anisotropy ϕ . Prescribed mean curvature problems in the Euclidean case have been widely studied (see for instance [13, 15, 17]) also because of their connections with capillarity theory [8–10]. For the anisotropic case we refer to [18–20]. As a consequence of these results and the results in [21, 24], it turns out that the connected components of the level sets of κ_{ϕ}^{E} lying inside *F* are portions of the boundary of the corresponding two-dimensional Wulff shape $\{\phi \leq 1\}$. This fact is crucial in the present paper.

Let us describe more precisely the content of this paper. In Section 2 we introduce some notation. In Section 3 we collect some definitions and results from [4] and [5] which are necessary in the sequel. In particular, we recall the notion of Lipschitz ϕ -regular set (Definition 3.1): a Lipschitz set *E* ⊂ R³ is said to be Lipschitz *φ*-regular if ∂*E* admits a Lipschitz intrinsic normal vector field n_{ϕ} . The ϕ -mean curvature κ_{ϕ}^{E} is defined in (16), through a minimizer N_{min} of the variational problem (15) on vector fields on ∂*E*. This variational problem is meaningful only for nonsmooth ϕ . Indeed, when ϕ is smooth and strictly convex, κ_{ϕ}^{E} simply reduces to div n_{ϕ} ; for a nonsmooth ϕ , this is in general not the case, and the variational problem (15) is necessary in order to naturally define κ_{ϕ}^{E} . By the results of [4] and [5], it follows that κ_{ϕ}^{E} is bounded on ∂E and has bounded variation on the facets of ∂E . In particular, the jump set of κ_{ϕ}^{E} is well defined (on facets), and it should identify the subdivision regions in the geometric evolution problem. In Definition 3.12 we recall the notion of ϕ -calibrable facet, that is a facet $F \subset \partial E$ such that $\kappa \frac{E}{\phi}$ is constant on the interior of *F*. Such facets are expected not to break or bend during the evolution process. In Section 4 we localize the variational problem (15) on a facet *F*, see Propositions 4.5, 4.6 and Corollary 4.7. At the basis of the localization argument there is a trace property of the class of ϕ -normal vector fields having bounded divergence (the class $H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(\partial E)$). In order to prove that the normal trace for such a nonsmooth φ-normal vector field *N* on ∂*F* from 'both sides' of ∂*F* (with respect to the Lipschitz manifold ∂E) does not actually depend on $N \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(\partial E)$ and coincides with the function c_F defined in (8), we need some assumptions on the shape of ∂*E* locally around *F*: essentially we require that ∂*E* meets transversally the facet *F*, see Proposition 4.3. In Section 5 we introduce and study the anisotropic prescribed curvature problem on F , see Theorem 5.2. A first characterization of ϕ -calibrable facets is given in Theorem 6.1 of Section 6; in the case of a crystalline and even ϕ this result has been obtained in [24]. Here Theorem 6.1 is proved also in presence of a bounded forcing term *g*. In Section 7 we prove that, under the assumption that *F* is convex and that *E* is convex at *F* (which means that, locally around *F*, *E* lies on one side of the support plane *HF* through *F*), then the sublevel sets of κ_{ϕ}^{E} (restricted to *F*) are convex. In Section 8 we prove one of the main results of the paper, namely a characterization of convex ϕ -calibrable facets which can be concretely handled. More precisely (see Theorem 8.1) if *E* is convex at *F* and *F* is convex, then *F* is φ-calibrable if and only if the φ-curvature of ∂F is bounded by the quotient of the anisotropic $\widetilde{\phi}$ -perimeter of *F* with the measure of *F* (this quotient is the mean value of κ_{ϕ}^{E} on *F*, see (41)). In Section 9, under the assumptions that ϕ is crystalline, *F* is convex, and *E* is convex at *F*, we precisely identify the sublevel sets of κ_{ϕ}^{E} as union of all the $\widetilde{\phi}$ -Wulff shapes with a given radius contained in *F*, see Theorem 9.1. As a consequence we localize the subdivision region; moreover (see Corollary 9.5) we obtain that κ_{ϕ}^{E} is convex on *F*. This is an indication that convex sets remain convex under crystalline mean curvature flow. Finally, in Section 10 we apply the above results to an explicit example, partially discussed in [3]. This is an example of convex polyhedral set (very close to the Wulff shape) which has a non ϕ -calibrable facet and does not remain polyhedral under crystalline mean curvature flow.

All results of Sections 5–9 refer to a Lipschitz ϕ -regular set (E, n_{ϕ}) , to a facet *F* corresponding to a facet of the Wulff shape W_{ϕ} , and under the assumption that any $N \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(\partial E)$ has normal trace on ∂*F* coinciding with the function *cF* . The extension of the results of Sections 8 and 9 for nonconvex facets *F* seems to be nontrivial, and deserves further investigation.

2. Notation

In the following we denote by \cdot the Euclidean scalar product in \mathbb{R}^3 and by $|\cdot|$ the Euclidean norm of \mathbb{R}^3 . Given $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we set $v^{\perp} := \{w \in \mathbb{R}^3 : w \cdot v = 0\}$. If $\rho > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $k = 2, 3$, we set $B_{\rho}(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{k} : |y - x| < \rho\}.$

Given two vectors $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we denote by $[v, w]$ (resp.]v, w[) the closed (resp. open) segment joining v and w. With the notation $A \in B$ we mean that the set A is compactly contained in B.

The symbol \mathcal{H}^k denotes the *k*-dimensional Hausdorff measure in \mathbb{R}^3 , $k \in \{1, 2\}$. We often use the symbol |*B*| to denote the H^2 measure of *B*. When integrating on a plane of \mathbb{R}^3 , we will often use the notation dx in place of $d\mathcal{H}^2(x)$ for the integration measure. All sets and functions considered in this paper are Borel measurable.

If *A* ⊂ \mathbb{R}^k , *k* = 2, 3, we denote by 1_{*A*} the characteristic function of *A* and by ∂*A* the topological boundary of *A*.

We say that *A* ⊂ \mathbb{R}^k , *k* = 2, 3, is Lipschitz (or equivalently that ∂*A* is Lipschitz) if, for any *x* ∈ ∂*A*, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $B_0(x) \cap \partial A$ is the graph of a Lipschitz function *f* and $B_0(x) \cap A$ is the subgraph of *f* (with respect to a suitable orthogonal coordinate system). By Lip(∂A) (resp. Lip(∂A ; \mathbb{R}^h), $h = 2, 3$) we denote the class of all Lipschitz functions (resp. vector fields with values in \mathbb{R}^h) defined on ∂*A*.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded open set. The space $BV(\Omega)$ is defined as the set of all functions $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ whose distributional gradient *Du* is a Radon measure with bounded total variation in Ω , i.e. $|Du|(Ω) = \int_{Ω} |Du| < +\infty$, see [14]. $Ω$ will play the role, in most cases, of the interior of a facet *F* of a Lipschitz set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

We say that a set $B \subseteq \Omega$ is of finite perimeter in Ω if $1_B \in BV(\Omega)$. If *B* is of finite perimeter in Ω , $\partial^* B$ denotes the reduced boundary of *B*; $\partial^* B$ is rectifiable and can be endowed with a generalized exterior Euclidean unit normal \widetilde{v}^B .

We recall the following result, which is a particular case of a theorem proved in [2].

THEOREM 2.1 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded open set. Let $u \in BV(\Omega)$ and $X \in L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $div X \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then the linear functional

$$
(X, Du) : \varphi \to -\int_{\Omega} u\varphi \operatorname{div} X \, dx - \int_{\Omega} uX \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx, \qquad \varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega)
$$

defines a Radon measure (still denoted by (*X*, *Du*)) and satisfies

$$
|(X, Du)|(B) \leqslant \|X\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2)}|Du|(B)
$$

for any Borel set *B* $\subseteq \Omega$. If in addition Ω is Lipschitz, then there is a function $[X \cdot \tilde{v}^{\Omega}] \in L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$

such that $\| [X \cdot \tilde{\nu}^{\Omega}] \|_{L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)} \leq \| X \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)}$, and

$$
\int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} X \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \theta(X, Du) \, d|Du| = \int_{\partial \Omega} [X \cdot \widetilde{v}^{\Omega}] u \, d\mathcal{H}^{1}
$$
\n(1)

where $\theta(X, Du) \in L^{\infty}_{|Du|}(\Omega)$ denotes the density of (X, Du) with respect to $|Du|$.

The last part of Theorem 2.1 is still valid when Ω is a bounded open set which is locally Lipschitz continuous up to a finite set of points in $\partial\Omega$.

Finsler metrics and duality mappings. We indicate by $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to [0, +\infty[$ a Finsler metric on \mathbb{R}^3 , i.e. a convex function satisfying the properties

$$
\phi(\xi) \ge \Lambda |\xi|, \qquad \phi(a\xi) = a\phi(\xi), \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ a \ge 0,
$$
 (2)

for a suitable constant $\Lambda \in [0, +\infty[$. The function $\phi^o : \mathbb{R}^3 \to [0, +\infty[$ is defined as

$$
\phi^o(\xi^*) := \sup{\{\xi^* \cdot \xi : \phi(\xi) \leq 1\}},\tag{3}
$$

and is the dual of ϕ . We set

$$
\mathcal{W}_{\phi}^o := \{ \xi^* \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \phi^o(\xi^*) \leq 1 \}, \qquad \mathcal{W}_{\phi} := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \phi(\xi) \leq 1 \}.
$$

By a facet of ∂W_{ϕ} (or of ∂W_{ϕ}^{o}) we always mean a two-dimensional facet.

We say that ϕ is crystalline if W_{ϕ} is a (convex) polytope. If ϕ is crystalline, then also W_{ϕ}^o is a (convex) polytope. \mathcal{W}_{ϕ}^o is sometimes called the Frank diagram and \mathcal{W}_{ϕ} the Wulff shape.

By *T* and T^o we denote the possibly multivalued duality mappings defined by

$$
T(\xi) := \frac{1}{2} D^{-} (\phi(\xi))^{2}, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3},
$$

\n
$$
T^{o}(\xi^{*}) := \frac{1}{2} D^{-} (\phi^{o}(\xi))^{2}, \qquad \xi^{*} \in \mathbb{R}^{3},
$$
\n(4)

where *D*[−] denotes the subdifferential.

 ϕ *-distance function.* Given a nonempty set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we set

$$
dist_{\phi}(x, E) := \inf_{y \in E} \phi(x - y), \quad dist_{\phi}(E, x) := \inf_{y \in E} \phi(y - x),
$$

$$
d_{\phi}^{E}(x) := dist_{\phi}(x, E) - dist_{\phi}(\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus E, x).
$$

If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is Lipschitz, for \mathcal{H}^2 almost every $x \in \partial E$ we denote by $v^E(x)$ the outward unit Euclidean normal to ∂E at *x*. At each point *x* where d_{ϕ}^{E} is differentiable, there holds $\nabla d_{\phi}^{E}(x) \in \partial \mathcal{W}_{\phi}^{o}$; we set $v_{\phi}^{E}(x) := \nabla d_{\phi}^{E}(x)$ at those points $x \in \partial E$. We have $v_{\phi}^{E}(x) = \frac{v^{E}(x)}{\phi^{o}(v^{E}(x))}$. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is Lipschitz we define

$$
\text{Nor}_{\phi}(\partial E) := \{ N : \partial E \to \mathbb{R}^3 : N(x) \in T^o(\nu_{\phi}^E(x)) \text{ for } \mathcal{H}^2 \text{a.e. } x \in \partial E \},\tag{5}
$$

\n
$$
\text{Lip}_{\nu,\phi}(\partial E) := \text{Lip}(\partial E; \mathbb{R}^3) \cap \text{Nor}_{\phi}(\partial E).
$$

Note that if $N_1, N_2 \in \text{Nor}_{\phi}(\partial E)$, then $N_1 - N_2$ is tangent, since $N_1 \cdot v_{\phi} = 1 = N_2 \cdot v_{\phi}$.

We also set dP_{ϕ} to be the measure supported on ∂E with density $\phi^o(\nu^E)$, i.e.

$$
\mathrm{d}\mathcal{P}_{\phi}(B) := \int_{B} \phi^o(\nu^E) \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^2, \quad B \subseteq \partial E.
$$

If *E* is Lipschitz and $\psi \in \text{Lip}(\partial E)$ we denote by $\nabla_{\tau} \psi$ the Euclidean tangential gradient of ψ on ∂E and, if $v \in Lip(\partial E; \mathbb{R}^3)$, we denote by div_τ v the Euclidean tangential divergence of v. In the following, whenever there is no risk of confusion, we do not indicate the dependence on *E* of the unit normals v^E and v^E_ϕ , i.e. we set $v := v^E$ and $v_\phi := v^E_\phi$.

DEFINITION 2.2 We say that *F* is a facet of ∂*E* if *F* is the closure of a connected component of the relative interior of $\partial E \cap T_x \partial E$ for some $x \in \partial E$ such that the tangent plane $T_x \partial E$ to ∂E at *x* exists.

If *F* is a facet of ∂E , we denote by ∂F (resp. int(*F*)) the relative boundary (resp. the relative interior) of *F*. Let *F* be a facet of ∂E ; we define $v(F)$ to be the outer unit normal to int(*F*) (i.e. $\nu(F) := \nu^{E}(x)$ for any $x \in \text{int}(F) \subset \partial E$), we set $\nu_{\phi}(F) := \frac{\nu(F)}{\phi^o(\nu_{\phi}(F))}$, and

$$
\widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F := T^o(\nu_{\phi}(F)).
$$

We denote by H_F the affine plane spanned by the facet *F*. Whenever necessary, we identify H_F with the plane parallel to H_F and passing through the origin, and F with its orthogonal projection on this latter plane.

Fix $y \in \text{int}(\widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F)$ and let $\tau_y \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F := \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F - y$. Let $\widetilde{\phi}_y : H_F \to [0, +\infty[$ be the Finsler metric on H_F such that $\{\widetilde{\phi}_y \leq 1\} = \tau_y \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$. Define also sym $(\widetilde{\phi}_y)$ as the Finsler metric on H_F such that $\{sym(\widetilde{\phi}_y) \leq 1\} = -\tau_y \widetilde{W}^F_{\phi}$. The classes of Lipschitz $\widetilde{\phi}_y$ -regular sets and Lipschitz sym $(\widetilde{\phi}_y)$ -regular sets do not depend on the choice of *y*. We accordingly often omit specifying the point *y* (thus addressing, for instance, ϕ_y -regularity as ϕ -regularity).

We denote by $\tilde{\phi}^o$ the dual of $\tilde{\phi}$. The maps \tilde{T} , \tilde{T}^o are defined as in (4) with $\tilde{\phi}$ in place of ϕ and H_F in place of \mathbb{R}^3 .

If $\psi : H_F \to [0, +\infty[$ is a Finsler metric on H_F and *B* is a finite perimeter subset of H_F , we denote by \widetilde{v}_{ψ}^B the normalized outward unit normal $\frac{\widetilde{v}^B}{\widetilde{v}^o(\widetilde{v})}$ $\frac{\widetilde{\psi}^B}{\widetilde{\psi}^o(\widetilde{\psi}^B)}$ to $\partial^* B$. We use the symbol $\widetilde{\psi}^B_{\phi}$ in place of \widetilde{v}_{ϕ}^B . If there is no risk of confusion, we do not indicate the dependence on *B* of \widetilde{v}^B and \widetilde{v}_{ϕ}^B .

If $\psi : H_F \to [0, +\infty[$ is a Finsler metric on H_F and $B \subset H_F$ is Lipschitz, we set

$$
\text{Nor}_{\psi}(\partial B) := \{ \widetilde{N} : \partial B \to H_F, \widetilde{N}(x) \in \widetilde{T}^o(\widetilde{\nu}_{\psi}(x)) \text{ for } \mathcal{H}^1 \text{ a.e. } x \in \partial B \},\tag{6}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Lip}_{\widetilde{\nu},\psi}(\partial B) := \operatorname{Lip}(\partial B; H_F) \cap \operatorname{Nor}_{\psi}(\partial B). \tag{7}
$$

3. Preliminaries

In this section we collect some definitions and results taken from [4] and [5] which will be useful in the sequel.

3.1 *Lipschitz* φ*-regular sets*

DEFINITION 3.1 Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$. We say that *E* is Lipschitz ϕ -regular if ∂*E* is compact and Lipschitz continuous and there exists a vector field $n_{\phi}: \partial E \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with $n_{\phi} \in \text{Lip}_{\psi, \phi}(\partial E)$.

 n_{ϕ} is usually called a Cahn–Hoffman vector field; several different choices of n_{ϕ} are usually allowed for the same set *E*, due to the nonsmoothness of ϕ (notice for instance that if ϕ is crystalline then T and T° are necessarily multivalued).

The standard example of Lipschitz ϕ -regular set is (\mathcal{W}_{ϕ}, x) .

Notation. Throughout the paper, the symbols *E* or (E, n_{ϕ}) always denote a Lipschitz ϕ -regular set; *n*_φ will be a given selection in Lip_{ν,φ}(∂E) as in Definition 3.1. The symbol *F* will always denote a facet of ∂E such that \widetilde{W}_{ϕ}^F is a facet of \mathcal{W}_{ϕ} .

DEFINITION 3.2 We say that *E* is convex (resp. concave) at *F* if there exists an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $F \subset U$ and $F = \overline{E} \cap H_F \cap U$ (resp. $F = \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus E} \cap H_F \cap U$).

THEOREM 3.3 *F* is locally Lipschitz, out of a finite set of points in $\partial F \setminus \partial^* F$. Moreover, if *E* is convex or concave at *F*, then *F* is Lipschitz.

DEFINITION 3.4 We define the trace function $c_F \in L^{\infty}(\partial F)$ as

$$
c_F(x) := n_{\phi}(x) \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^F(x) \qquad \forall x \in \partial^* F. \tag{8}
$$

The next result shows that c_F is independent of the choice of $n_{\phi} \in Lip_{\nu,\phi}(\partial E)$, but depends only on *F*, on ∂*E* locally around *F*, and on the geometry of W_{ϕ} . We say that ∂*E* is weakly convex (resp. weakly concave) at $x \in \partial^* F$ if $\tilde{v}^F(x)$ points outside (resp. inside) *E*.

LEMMA 3.5 Let $\eta \in \text{Lip}_{\nu,\phi}(\partial E)$. Then, for any $x \in \partial^* F$ we have

$$
\eta(x) \cdot \widetilde{v}^F(x) = c_F(x) = \begin{cases} \max \{ p \cdot \widetilde{v}^F(x) : p \in \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F \} & \text{if } \partial E \text{ is weakly convex at } x, \\ \min \{ p \cdot \widetilde{v}^F(x) : p \in \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F \} & \text{if } \partial E \text{ is weakly concave at } x. \end{cases}
$$
(9)

DEFINITION 3.6 Let $\psi : H_F \to [0, +\infty[$ be a Finsler metric on H_F . Let $B \subset H_F$. We say that *B* is Lipschitz ψ -regular if ∂*B* is compact and Lipschitz continuous and there exists a vector field in $\mathrm{Lip}_{\widetilde{\nu},\psi}(\partial B).$

In the following proposition, *y* is any point in the interior of \widetilde{W}_{ϕ}^F , see the discussion after Definition 2.2.

PROPOSITION 3.7 If *E* is convex at *F* then $(F, n_\phi - y)$ is Lipschitz ϕ -regular. If *E* is concave at *F*, then $(F, y - n_{\phi})$ is Lipschitz sym (ϕ_y) -regular.

In the next definition we prefer to keep the notation P_{ϕ} instead of P_{ϕ} .

DEFINITION 3.8 Let *A* be an open subset of H_F . For any $B \subseteq F$, we set

$$
\widetilde{P_{\phi}}(B, A) := \sup \left\{ \int_{B} \operatorname{div}_{\tau} \eta \, dx : \eta \in C_{c}^{1}(A; \tau_{y} \widetilde{W_{\phi}^{F}}) \right\},
$$
\n(10)

$$
\widetilde{P_{\phi}}(B) := \widetilde{P_{\phi}}(B, H_F). \tag{11}
$$

Notice that $\widetilde{P}_{\phi}(F) < +\infty$ by Theorem 3.3.

3.2 φ*-tangential divergence*

Let us introduce the ϕ -tangential divergence for vector fields $v \in L^2(\partial E; \mathbb{R}^3)$ as bounded linear operator on Lip(∂E). Recall that (E, n_{ϕ}) is Lipschitz ϕ -regular.

DEFINITION 3.9 Let $v \in L^2(\partial E; \mathbb{R}^3)$. We define div_{$\phi, n_\phi, \tau \ v$: Lip(∂E) $\to \mathbb{R}$ as follows: for any} $\psi \in \text{Lip}(\partial E)$ we set

$$
\langle \operatorname{div}_{\phi,n_{\phi},\tau} v, \psi \rangle := \int_{\partial E} \psi v \cdot \nu_{\phi} \operatorname{div}_{\tau} n_{\phi} \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} - \int_{\partial E} [\nabla_{\tau} \psi - \nabla_{\tau} \psi \cdot n_{\phi} \, \nu_{\phi}] \cdot v \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi}.
$$
 (12)

Notice that, if $X \in L^2(\partial E; \mathbb{R}^3)$ is a tangent vector field, then

$$
\langle \operatorname{div}_{\phi, n_{\phi}, \tau} X, \psi \rangle = -\int_{\partial E} \nabla_{\tau} \psi \cdot X \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} \qquad \forall \psi \in \operatorname{Lip}(\partial E). \tag{13}
$$

We say that div_{$\phi, n_\phi, \tau v$ is independent of the choice of n_ϕ if, given $\eta \in Lip_{\nu,\phi}(\partial E)$ then} $\langle \text{div}_{\phi,n_\phi,\tau} v, \psi \rangle = \langle \text{div}_{\phi,\eta,\tau} v, \psi \rangle$ for any $\psi \in \text{Lip}(\partial E)$. When $\text{div}_{\phi,n_\phi,\tau} v$ is independent of the choice of n_{ϕ} , we simply set div_{$\phi, \tau v := \text{div}_{\phi, n_{\phi}, \tau} v$. It turns out that if $\eta \in \text{Lip}_{\nu, \phi}(\partial E)$ then} $\langle \text{div}_{\phi,n_\phi,\tau} \eta, \psi \rangle = \int_{\partial E} \psi \, \text{div}_{\tau} \eta \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi}$ for any $\psi \in \text{Lip}(\partial E)$. Moreover, if $N \in \text{Nor}_{\phi}(\partial E)$, then $div_{\phi, n_{\phi}, \tau} N$ is independent of the choice of n_{ϕ} and, on $int(F)$, $div_{\phi, \tau} N$ coincides with $div_{\tau} N$ (we will accordingly use the notation div_τ *N* in place of div_{ϕ}_{,τ} *N* on int(*F*)).

3.3 *The minimum problem on* ∂*E*

We define

$$
H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}}(\partial E) := \{ N \in \text{Nor}_{\phi}(\partial E) : \text{div}_{\phi,\tau} N \in L^2(\partial E) \},
$$

$$
H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(\partial E) := \{ N \in \text{Nor}_{\phi}(\partial E) : \text{div}_{\phi,\tau} N \in L^{\infty}(\partial E) \}.
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}: H^{\text{div}}_{\nu,\phi}(\partial E) \to [0, +\infty[$ be the functional defined as

$$
\mathcal{F}(N) := \int_{\partial E} (\text{div}_{\phi,\tau} N)^2 \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi}.
$$
 (14)

The minimum problem

$$
\inf \{ \mathcal{F}(N) : N \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}}(\partial E) \}
$$
 (15)

admits a solution and, if N_1 and N_2 are two minimizers, then div_{ϕ}, $\tau N_1(x) = \text{div}_{\phi}$, $\tau N_2(x)$ for \mathcal{H}^2 almost every $x \in \partial E$.

Except for Section 6, in the following we denote by N_{min} a solution of (15), and we set

$$
\kappa_{\phi}^{E} := \text{div}_{\phi, \tau} N_{\min} \in L^{2}(\partial E). \tag{16}
$$

 κ_{ϕ}^{E} is the natural definition of ϕ -mean curvature of ∂E . The following regularity results hold.

THEOREM 3.10 $\kappa_{\phi}^{E} \in L^{\infty}(\partial E)$. Moreover $\kappa_{\phi}^{E} \in BV(\text{int}(F))$.

We set

$$
\kappa_{\min}(F) := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{F} \kappa_{\phi}^{E}, \qquad \kappa_{\max}(F) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{F} \kappa_{\phi}^{E},
$$

and for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$
\Omega_{\lambda}^{F} := \{ x \in \text{int}(F) : \kappa_{\phi}^{E}(x) < \lambda \}, \qquad \Theta_{\lambda}^{F} := \{ x \in \text{int}(F) : \kappa_{\phi}^{E}(x) \leq \lambda \}.
$$

THEOREM 3.11 For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ the set Ω_{λ}^F is a solution of the following variational problem:

$$
\inf \{ \widetilde{P_{\phi}}(B, \mathrm{int}(F)) - \lambda |B| : (B \setminus \Omega_{\lambda}^{F}) \cup (\Omega_{\lambda}^{F} \setminus B) \Subset \mathrm{int}(F) \}.
$$
 (17)

Moreover, if $\lambda \neq 0$, every connected component of $\text{int}(F) \cap \partial \Omega_{\lambda}^F$ is contained in a translated of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \partial \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$, and has extrema on ∂F . Same assertions hold for the sets Θ_{λ}^F .

DEFINITION 3.12 We say that *F* is ϕ -calibrable if κ_{ϕ}^{E} is constant on int(*F*).

The following technical result will be very useful in the sequel.

THEOREM 3.13 For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
-\theta(N_{\min}, D1_{\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}})(x) = \max\{p \cdot \widetilde{v}^{\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}}(x) : p \in \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F}\} \qquad \mathcal{H}^{1} \text{ a.e. } x \in \text{int}(F) \cap \partial^{*} \Omega_{\lambda}^{F},
$$

$$
-\theta(N_{\min}, D1_{\Theta_{\lambda}^{F}})(x) = \max\{p \cdot \widetilde{v}^{\Theta_{\lambda}^{F}}(x) : p \in \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F}\} \qquad \mathcal{H}^{1} \text{ a.e. } x \in \text{int}(F) \cap \partial^{*} \Theta_{\lambda}^{F},
$$

where $\theta(N_{\text{min}}, \cdot)$ is given by Theorem 2.1.

We conclude this section with the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.14 If $P \subseteq H_F$ is Lipschitz $\widetilde{\phi}$ -regular, we denote by $\widetilde{\kappa}_p^P$ the $\widetilde{\phi}$ -curvature of ∂P , obtained by taking the divergence of a minimizer of a functional as in (14) with *P* in place of *E* and ϕ in place of ϕ .

4. Normal traces on ∂*F***. Localized minimum problem on facets**

The aim of this section is to extend the validity of the first equality in (9) under weaker regularity assumptions on η. In doing this, however, we strengthen the regularity assumptions of ∂*E* locally around *F*. We miss the proof of the first equality of (9) for a facet *F* of a generic (Lipschitz ϕ regular) set and a generic $N \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(\partial E)$. We recall that, thanks to Theorems 2.1 and 3.3, any $N \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(\partial E)$ admits a normal trace $[N \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^F] \in L^{\infty}(\partial F)$.

We begin with the simplest case, where we assume that ∂*F* is locally the intersection of two half-planes. This situation covers the case when *E* is polyhedron.

PROPOSITION 4.1 Let $N \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(\partial E)$. Assume that there exist $\bar{x} \in \partial F$ and $\rho > 0$ such that $B_\rho(\overline{x}) \cap \partial E$ is the union of $B_\rho(\overline{x}) \cap F$ and $B_\rho(\overline{x}) \cap F_1$, where $F_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is a half-plane nonparallel to H_F . Then

$$
[N \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^F] = c_F \qquad \mathcal{H}^1 \text{a.e. on } B_\rho(\overline{x}) \cap \partial F. \tag{18}
$$

FIG. 1. Case (i) of Proposition 4.1 ($F_2 := F$).

Proof. Let $N \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(\partial E)$ and let χ be the tangent vector field defined by $\chi := N - n_{\phi}$. Let $x \in B_\rho(\overline{x}) \cap \partial F$ be a Lebesgue point of $[\chi \cdot \widetilde{v}^F]$. Set $F_2 := F$. Let *l* be a fixed positive number small enough, and let $0 < \epsilon \ll l$. Let $R_{\epsilon} := R_{\epsilon}^1 \cup R_{\epsilon}^2 \subset B_{\rho}(\overline{x})$ be the set 'centred' at *x* as in Fig. 1, where we identify the rectangle R_{ϵ}^2 (resp. the rectangle R_{ϵ}^1) with $[-\epsilon, 0] \times [-l, l]$ (resp. $[0, \epsilon] \times [-l, l]$). We also sometimes identify the edges of the rectangles with their lengths.

To prove the assertion, it is enough to show that

$$
\int_{\{0\} \times [-l,l]} [\chi \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^F] d\mathcal{H}^1 = 0.
$$
\n(19)

Indeed, since (19) holds for any *l* small enough we deduce $[\chi \cdot \tilde{\nu}^F](x) = 0$, and (18) follows recalling (8).

Let δ be a positive number with $\delta \ll \epsilon$. For any $y \in \partial E$ define $\psi(y) := \frac{1}{\delta}$ dist $(y, \partial E \setminus R_{\epsilon}) \wedge 1$. Then $\psi \in \text{Lip}(\partial E)$ and $\text{spt}(\psi) \subseteq R_{\epsilon}$.

Recalling that div_{$\phi, \tau \chi$} is a bounded function on ∂E , it is immediate to check that

$$
\left| \int_{R_{\epsilon}} \psi \operatorname{div}_{\phi, \tau} \chi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} \right| = lO(\epsilon), \qquad \left| \int_{R_{\epsilon}^{i}} \psi \operatorname{div}_{\tau} \chi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} \right| = lO(\epsilon), \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{20}
$$

We also claim that

$$
\int_{R_{\epsilon}^{i}} \nabla_{\tau} \psi \cdot \chi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} = lO(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon), \qquad i = 1, 2.
$$
\n(21)

Indeed, from (20) we get

$$
-\int_{R_{\epsilon}^2} \nabla_{\tau} \psi \cdot \chi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} = lO(\epsilon) + \int_{R_{\epsilon}^1} \nabla_{\tau} \psi \cdot \chi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi}.
$$
 (22)

By general properties of Lipschitz ϕ -regular sets (see [5: Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.4]) it follows that, if $z \in B_\rho(\overline{x}) \cap F_1 \cap F_2$, then $n_\phi(z) \in \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F_1} \cap \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F_2}$, and $\widetilde{v}^{F_i}(z)$ belongs to the outward normal cone to $\partial \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F_i}$ at $n_{\phi}(z)$. Therefore

$$
\widetilde{\nu}^{F_i}(z) \cdot (p - n_{\phi}(z)) \leq 0 \qquad \text{for any } p \in \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F_i}, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{23}
$$

Given $y \in R_{\epsilon}^{i}$, we denote by $\pi_{i}(y) \in [-l, l]$ the point of minimal distance of *y* from $[-l, l]$. Clearly $|y - \pi_i(y)| = O(\epsilon)$. Since n_{ϕ} is Lipschitz continuous on ∂*E* and $N(y) \in \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F_2}$ (resp. $N(y) \in \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F_1}$) for \mathcal{H}^2 almost every $y \in F_2$ (resp. for \mathcal{H}^2 almost every $y \in F_1 \cap \partial E$), using (23) we have, for $i = 1, 2$ and $y \in F_i$,

$$
\widetilde{v}^{F_i}(\overline{x}) \cdot \chi(y) = \widetilde{v}^{F_i}(\overline{x}) \cdot (N(y) - n_{\phi}(\pi_i(y))) + \widetilde{v}^{F_i}(\overline{x}) \cdot (n_{\phi}(\pi_i(y)) - n_{\phi}(y))
$$

\n
$$
= \widetilde{v}^{F_i}(\pi_i(y)) \cdot (N(y) - n_{\phi}(\pi_i(y))) + \widetilde{v}^{F_i}(\overline{x}) \cdot (n_{\phi}(\pi_i(y)) - n_{\phi}(y)))
$$

\n
$$
\leq \widetilde{v}^{F_i}(\overline{x}) \cdot (n_{\phi}(\pi_i(y)) - n_{\phi}(y)) = O(\epsilon).
$$
\n(24)

Recalling the definition of ψ and the properties of the distance function, we have

$$
-\int_{R_{\epsilon}^2} \nabla_{\tau} \psi \cdot \chi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} = \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{A_{\delta}} \widetilde{\nu}^{F_2}(\overline{x}) \cdot \chi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} + \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{B_{\delta}} \widetilde{\nu}^p \cdot \chi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi},\tag{25}
$$

where $A_{\delta} := [-\epsilon, -\epsilon + \delta] \times [-l, l], B_{\delta} := \{y \in R_{\epsilon}^2 \setminus A_{\delta} : \text{dist}(y, \partial E \setminus R_{\epsilon}) \leq \delta\}$, and \tilde{v}^p denotes the outward unit normal to the level sets of ψ . A similar formula holds when R_{ϵ}^2 is replaced by R_{ϵ}^1 . Therefore, using (24) and (25), we get

$$
-\int_{R_{\epsilon}^{i}} \nabla_{\tau} \psi \cdot \chi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} \leqslant lO(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon), \qquad i = 1, 2.
$$

From (26) and (22) we deduce

$$
lO(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon) \geqslant -\int_{R_{\epsilon}^2} \nabla_{\tau} \psi \cdot \chi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} = lO(\epsilon) + \int_{R_{\epsilon}^1} \nabla_{\tau} \psi \cdot \chi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} \geqslant lO(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon),
$$

which proves claim (21).

Using (20) and (1) we have

$$
lO(\epsilon) = \int_{R_{\epsilon}^1} \psi \operatorname{div}_{\tau} \chi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} = -\int_{R_{\epsilon}^1} \nabla_{\tau} \psi \cdot \chi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} + \int_{\partial R_{\epsilon}^1} \psi \, [\chi \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^{R_{\epsilon}^1}] \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi}.
$$
 (27)

Observe that ψ vanishes on ∂R_{ϵ} and, when restricted to ∂R_{ϵ}^1 , is nonzero only on the segment $[-l, l]$, and is equal to one on $[-l + \delta, l - \delta]$. Hence

$$
\int_{\partial R_{\epsilon}^1} \psi \, [\chi \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^{R_{\epsilon}^1}] \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} = \int_{[-l+\delta, l-\delta]} [\chi \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^{R_{\epsilon}^1}] \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} + O(\delta). \tag{28}
$$

Inserting (28) into (27) and using (21) we have

$$
\int_{[-l+\delta, l-\delta]} [\chi \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^{R_{\epsilon}^1}] d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} = lO(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon) + O(\delta).
$$

Letting first $\delta \to 0^+$ and then $\epsilon \to 0^+$, we get (19), and the proposition is proved.

We now extend the class of sets *E* for which Proposition 4.1 is valid. For any $x \in \partial E$ and $\rho > 0$ we let $E_{\rho}(x) := \frac{E - x}{\rho}$. Recall that (E, n_{ϕ}) is a Lipschitz ϕ -regular set, and that $v_{\phi} = v_{\phi}^{E}$. We beging with the following lemma on the structure of the blow-up of ∂*E*.

LEMMA 4.2 Let *x* ∈ ∂E . There exist a set $E_0 = E_0(x)$ ⊂ \mathbb{R}^3 and a sequence $(\rho_n)_n$ of positive numbers converging to 0 such that

- (a) $1_{E_{\rho_n}(x)} \rightharpoonup 1_{E_0}$ weakly in $BV_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$,
- (b) $∂E_0$ is an entire Lipschitz graph and $nφ(x) ∈ T^o(v_φ^{E₀}(y))$ for $H²$ almost every $y ∈ ∂E_0$,
- (c) E_0 minimizes P_ϕ between all subsets of \mathbb{R}^3 of finite perimeter which coincide with E_0 out of some ball.

In contrast with the Euclidean case, in general E_0 is not a cone over x .

Proof. Point (a) is standard in the theory of finite-perimeter sets. Let us prove (b). Let $x = 0$ for simplicity. Let $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a plane and $f : \Pi \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz function such that ∂E coincide with the graph of *f* in a neighbourhood of 0. Then ∂E_{ρ} can be written (locally around 0) as the graph of the Lipschitz function $f_{\rho}(y) := \frac{f(\rho y)}{\rho}$. Since f_{ρ} are equi-Lipschitz on any bounded set, using the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, f_ρ converges uniformly on compact subsets of Π (possibly passing to a subsequence) to a Lipschitz function f_0 whose subgraph is E_0 . We can also assume that f_ρ converges to f_0 weakly in $H^1_{loc}(II)$. By [5], Lemma 4.2, we have that for any $R > 0$

$$
\lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \sup_{y \in B_R(0) \cap \partial^* E_\rho} \text{dist}(\nu_{\phi}^{E_\rho}(y), T(n_{\phi}(0))) = 0. \tag{29}
$$

Since $T(n_\phi(0))$ is a convex set and $v_\phi^{E_\rho}(\cdot + f_\rho(\cdot)v^{\Pi})$ converges to $v_\phi^{E_0}(\cdot + f_0(\cdot)v^{\Pi})$ weakly in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Pi)$, from (29) it follows that

$$
\nu_{\phi}^{E_0}(y) \in T(n_{\phi}(0)) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^2 \text{ a.e. } y \in \partial E_0.
$$

It follows $T^o(\nu_{\phi}^{E_0}(y)) \supseteq T^o(\text{int}(T(n_{\phi}(0)))) \ni n_{\phi}(0)$, and (b) is proved (note therefore that ∂E_0 admits a constant ϕ -normal vector field $n_{\phi}(0)$).

Let us prove (c). Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a set of finite perimeter such that $(E_0 \setminus A) \cup (A \setminus E_0) \Subset B_R :=$ $B_R(0)$ for some $R > 0$. From the Gauss–Green theorem we get

$$
0 = \int_{B_R} \text{div} n_{\phi}(0) (1_{E_0} - 1_A) \, \text{d}x = (D1_{E_0}(B_R) - D1_A(B_R)) \cdot n_{\phi}(0)
$$

\n
$$
\geq (D1_{E_0}(B_R)) \cdot n_{\phi}(0) - P_{\phi}(A, B_R),
$$

where the last inequality follows from the inequality $v^A \cdot n_\phi(0) \leq \phi^o(v^A)$. Since $v_\phi^{E_0} \cdot n_\phi(0) = 1$ on $\partial^* E_0$, we obtain $P_{\phi}(A, B_R) \ge P_{\phi}(E_0, B_R)$, and (c) is proved.

PROPOSITION 4.3 Assume that for \mathcal{H}^1 almost any $x \in \partial^* F$ the boundary $\partial E_0(x)$ of the blow-up set $E_0(x)$ defined in Lemma 4.2 is the union of two closed nonparallel half-planes P_1 , P_2 , with P_2 parallel to *F*. Assume also that the Lipschitz functions f_ρ in the proof of Lemma 4.2, converge to *f*₀ strongly in $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Pi)$, and that $|D1_{\frac{F-x}{\rho}}|(K) \to |D1_{P_2}|(K)$ for any compact set *K* contained in

the plane spanned by *P*₂. Then, for any $N \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}}(\partial E)$ we have

$$
[N \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^F] = c_F \qquad \mathcal{H}^1 \text{ a.e. on } \partial F. \tag{30}
$$

Proof. Fix $\overline{x} \in \partial^* F$ and assume for simplicity $\overline{x} = 0$. In a neighbourhood *V* of $\overline{x} = 0$, the set *E* coincides with the subgraph of a Lipschitz function $f: \Pi \to \mathbb{R}$. Up to a translation, we can assume that $0 \in \Pi$ and $f(0) = 0$. Let also $U := V \cap \Pi$ and $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \Pi$ be the orthogonal projection such that $\pi(y, f(y)) = y$ for $y \in \Pi$. For $\rho > 0$ we let $U_\rho := U/\rho$, and we define $N_\rho \in L^\infty(U_\rho; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $n_{\rho} \in \text{Lip}(U_{\rho}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\xi_{\rho} \in L^{\infty}(U_{\rho}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ as

$$
N_{\rho}(y) := N(\rho(y, f(y))), \quad n_{\rho}(y) := n_{\phi}(\rho(y, f(y))),
$$

\n
$$
\xi_{\rho}(y) := \phi^o(-\nabla f_{\rho}(y), 1)(N_{\rho}(y) - n_{\rho}(y)),
$$
\n(31)

where $y \in U_\rho$. We divide the proof into four steps.

Step 1. We have div $\xi_{\rho} \in L^{\infty}(U_{\rho})$.

Indeed, for any function $\psi \in C_c^1(U_\rho)$ we have, setting $\hat{\psi} := \psi \circ \pi$,

$$
\int_{U_{\rho}} \xi_{\rho}(y) \cdot \nabla \psi(y) dy = \int_{U_{\rho}} (N_{\rho}(y) - n_{\rho}(y)) \cdot \nabla \psi(y) \phi^{\rho}(-\nabla f_{\rho}(y), 1) dy
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{\partial E_{\rho} \cap (V/\rho)} (N_{\rho} - n_{\rho}) \cdot \nabla \hat{\psi} dP_{\phi}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_{\partial E \cap V} (N(x) - n_{\phi}(x)) \cdot (\nabla \hat{\psi})(x/\rho) dP_{\phi}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\partial E \cap V} (N(x) - n_{\phi}(x)) \cdot \nabla (\hat{\psi}(x/\rho)) dP_{\phi}.
$$

Since $N - n_{\phi} \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(\partial E)$ is a tangent vector field, from the previous equality we deduce

$$
\int_{U_{\rho}} \xi_{\rho}(y) \cdot \nabla \psi(y) dy \leqslant \frac{C}{\rho} \int_{\partial E} |\hat{\psi}(x/\rho)| d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} = C\rho ||\hat{\psi}||_{L^{1}(\partial E_{\rho})} \leqslant \widetilde{C}\rho ||\psi||_{L^{1}(U_{\rho})},
$$

for some positive constant *C*, *C* independent of ρ . This proves Step 1.

Step 2. Definition of ξ₀.

Letting $\rho \to 0$, up to a subsequence, we can assume that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, ξ_{ρ} weakly* converges, in $H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(B_n(0) \cap \Pi)$ to a divergence free vector field $\xi_0 \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(\Pi)$, that f_ρ converge to $f_0 \in \text{Lip}(\Pi)$ uniformly on compact subsets of Π , strongly in $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Pi)$ (by assumption) and $\nabla f_\rho \to \nabla f_0$ almost everywhere in Π .

Step 3. We have

$$
\xi_0(y) \in C_0(y) := [T^o(\nu_{\phi}^{E_0}(y)) - n_{\phi}(0)]\phi^o(-\nabla f_0(y), 1) \quad \text{for a.e. } y \in \Pi.
$$

Indeed

$$
\xi_\rho(y)\in C_\rho(y):=[T^o(v_\phi(\rho y,\rho f(y)))-n_\phi(\rho y,\rho f(y))] \phi^o(-\nabla f_\rho(y),1)\qquad\text{for a.e. }y\in U_\rho.
$$

From the upper semicontinuity of T° it follows that for almost every $y \in \Pi$

$$
\bigcap_{\epsilon>0}\overline{\bigcup_{\rho<\epsilon}C_{\rho}(y)}\subseteq C_0(y).
$$

Since $C_0(y)$ is a convex set and $\xi_\rho \to \xi_0$ weakly in $L^2_{loc}(H)$, it follows $\xi_0(y) \in C_0(y)$ for almost every $y \in \Pi$.

Step 4. Definition of *N*0.

For \mathcal{H}^2 almost every $x \in \partial E_0$ let us define

$$
N_0(x) := n_{\phi}(0) + \frac{\xi_0(\pi(x))}{\phi^o(-\nabla f_0(\pi(x)), 1)}.
$$

Clearly, $N_0 \in T^o(\nu_{\phi}^{E_0})$; we now prove that $N_0 \in H^{\text{div}_{\infty}}_{\nu,\phi}(\partial E_0)$. Indeed, since $\xi_0 \in H^{\text{div}_{\infty}}_{\nu,\phi}(H)$ and $\text{div}\xi_0 = 0$, for any $\psi \in \text{Lip}(\partial E_0)$ with compact support, we have

$$
\int_{\partial E_0} (N_0 - n_{\phi}(0)) \cdot \nabla \psi \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} = \int_{\Pi} \xi_0 \cdot \nabla (\psi \circ \pi^{-1}) \, dy = 0,
$$

which implies $N_0 \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}}(\partial E_0)$ and $\text{div}_{\phi,\tau} N_0 = 0$.

We now conclude the proof of the proposition. Assume that $\overline{x} \in \partial^* F$ is a Lebesgue point for $[N \cdot \tilde{v}^F]$ on ∂F . For simplicity we let $\bar{x} = 0$. Recalling that $\tilde{v}^{P_2} = \tilde{v}^F(0)$, by Proposition 4.1 we have

$$
[N_0 \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^{P_2}] = c_F(0), \qquad \mathcal{H}^1 \text{ a.e. on } P_1 \cap P_2.
$$

To conclude it is enough to show

$$
[N_0 \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^{P_2}] = [N \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^F](0), \qquad \mathcal{H}^1 \text{ a.e. on } P_1 \cap P_2.
$$
 (32)

Let $\psi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $0 \le \psi \le 1$ be a radially symmetric function such that $\psi \equiv 1$ in $B_1(0)$ and $spt(\psi) \subset B_2(0)$. We have

$$
[N \cdot \widetilde{v}^F](0) = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\int_{\partial F} \psi(x/\rho) d\mathcal{H}^1} \int_{\partial F} [N \cdot \widetilde{v}^F] \psi(x/\rho) d\mathcal{H}^1
$$

\n
$$
= \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho \int_{\partial F/\rho} \psi d\mathcal{H}^1} \int_{\partial F} [N \cdot \widetilde{v}^F] \psi(x/\rho) d\mathcal{H}^1
$$

\n
$$
= \lim_{\rho \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{\rho \int_{\partial F/\rho} \psi d\mathcal{H}^1} \int_F \text{div}_{\tau} N \psi(x/\rho) dx \right)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{\rho^2 \int_{\partial F/\rho} \psi d\mathcal{H}^1} \int_F N \cdot \nabla_{\tau} \psi(x/\rho) dx \right)
$$

\n
$$
= \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\int_{\partial F/\rho} \psi d\mathcal{H}^1} \int_{F/\rho} N_\rho \cdot \nabla_{\tau} \psi dx
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\int_{\partial P_2} \psi d\mathcal{H}^1} \int_{P_2} N_0 \cdot \nabla_{\tau} \psi dx = [N_0 \cdot \widetilde{v}^{P_2}],
$$

where, in the first equality of the last line, we used the convergence assumption on ∂*F*/ρ. The proof of (32) is complete. \Box REMARK 4.4 Notice that any convex set *E* such that $\partial E \setminus F$ intersects *F* transversally verifies the assumptions of Proposition 4.3.

ASSUMPTION In what follows, we will always assume that *E* and *F* are such that any vector field $N \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(\partial E)$ verifies $[N \cdot \tilde{\nu}^F] = c_F$ on ∂F (see the hypotheses in Propositions 4.1 and 4.3).

We let

$$
H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}}(F) := \{ N \in \text{Nor}_{\phi}(F) : \text{div}_{\tau} N \in L^{2}(F), \ [N \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^{F}] = c_{F} \},
$$

$$
H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(F) := \{ N \in \text{Nor}_{\phi}(F) : \text{div}_{\tau} N \in L^{\infty}(F), \ [N \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^{F}] = c_{F} \},
$$

where Nor_{ϕ}(*F*) is as in (5) with ∂*E* replaced by *F*, and we define the functional $\mathcal{F}(\cdot, F)$: $H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}}(F) \to [0,+\infty[$ as

$$
\mathcal{F}(N, F) := \int_F (\text{div}_{\tau} N)^2 \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} = \phi^o(\nu(F)) \int_F (\text{div}_{\tau} N)^2 \, dx.
$$
 (33)

PROPOSITION 4.5 The minimum problem

$$
\inf \{ \mathcal{F}(N, F) : N \in H_{\nu, \phi}^{\text{div}}(F) \}
$$
 (34)

admits a solution. Moreover, if N_1 and N_2 are two minimizers, then div_τ $N_1(x) = \text{div}_{\tau} N_2(x)$ for \mathcal{H}^2 almost every $x \in \text{int}(F)$.

Proof. Let $C := \{ \text{div}_{\tau} N : N \in H^{\text{div}}_{\nu, \phi}(F), \ N \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^F \} = c_F \}.$ Then *C* is a convex subset of $L^2(F)$. Let us prove that *C* is closed in $L^2(F)$. Let $f_k := \text{div}_{\tau} N_k \in C$ be such that $f_k \to f$ in $L^2(F)$ as $k \to \infty$. We have to prove that $f \in C$. Localizing the arguments of Proposition 6.1 in [4] to the facet *F*, one can prove that $f = \text{div}_{\tau} N$, for some $N \in L^2(F; \mathbb{R}^3)$. It remains to check that $[N \cdot \widetilde{v}^F] = c_F$. Let $u \in C^1(F)$; since $[N_k \cdot \widetilde{v}^F] = c_F$ for any *k*, we have

$$
\int_{F} u \operatorname{div}_{\tau} N_{k} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{F} N_{k} \cdot \nabla u \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\partial F} c_{F} u \, \mathrm{d}H^{1}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Noticing that $\sup_k \|N_k\|_{L^\infty(F)} < +\infty$, we may, possibly extracting a subsequence, pass to the limit as $k \to \infty$, and we get

$$
\int_F u \operatorname{div}_{\tau} N \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_F N \cdot \nabla u \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\partial F} c_F u \, \mathrm{d}H^1.
$$

As $u \in C^1(F)$ is arbitrary, we obtain that $[N \tilde{v}^F] = c_F$. The existence of a (unique in the divergence) minimizer of (34) is a standard consequence of minimization on convex sets of convex functionals on Hilbert spaces.

The following proposition, based on the trace property discussed in Propositions 4.1 and 4.3, shows that the divergence of a solution to (34) is the divergence of N_{min} restricted to *F*.

PROPOSITION 4.6 $N_{\text{min}|F}$ is a solution of (34).

Proof. By our assumptions on *E* and *F* we have that $[N_{\text{min}} \cdot \tilde{v}^F] = c_F$ on ∂*F*. Assume by contradiction that $N_{\min|F}$ is not a solution of (34). Let $\eta \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}_{\infty}}(F)$ be a solution of (34), and define

$$
\overline{\eta} := \begin{cases} \eta & \text{on int}(F), \\ N_{\min} & \text{on } \partial E \setminus F. \end{cases}
$$

To reach a contradiction, it is enough to show that

$$
\operatorname{div}_{\phi,\tau}\overline{\eta} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}_{\tau}\eta & \text{on int}(F), \\ \operatorname{div}_{\phi,\tau}N_{\min} & \text{on }\partial E \setminus F, \end{cases}
$$
 (35)

since this implies that $\mathcal{F}(\overline{\eta}) < \mathcal{F}(N_{\text{min}})$, thus violating the minimality of N_{min} . Relation (35) is equivalent to showing that

$$
\langle \operatorname{div}_{\phi,\tau} \overline{\eta}, \psi \rangle = \int_F \psi \, \operatorname{div}_{\tau} \eta \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} + \int_{\partial E \backslash F} \psi \, \operatorname{div}_{\phi,\tau} N_{\min} \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} \qquad \forall \psi \in \operatorname{Lip}(\partial E). \tag{36}
$$

We first observe that $[\eta \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^F] = c_F$ on ∂F , hence

$$
\int_{\partial F} \psi \, [(\eta - n_{\phi}) \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^{F}] \, d\mathcal{H}^{1} = 0. \tag{37}
$$

As $\eta - n_{\phi}$ is a tangent vector field, (37) implies that

$$
\int_{F} \psi \operatorname{div}_{\tau} (\eta - n_{\phi}) \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} = -\int_{F} \nabla_{\tau} \psi \cdot (\eta - n_{\phi}) \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi}.
$$
\n(38)

Equality (38) holds also with N_{min} in place of η ; since, moreover, by (13)

$$
\int_{\partial E} \psi \operatorname{div}_{\phi,\tau} (N_{\min} - n_{\phi}) \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi} = - \int_{\partial E} \nabla_{\tau} \psi \cdot (N_{\min} - n_{\phi}) \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi},
$$

we deduce

$$
\int_{\partial E \backslash F} \psi \, \text{div}_{\phi, \tau} (N_{\min} - n_{\phi}) \, \text{d} \mathcal{P}_{\phi} = - \int_{\partial E \backslash F} \nabla_{\tau} \psi \cdot (N_{\min} - n_{\phi}) \, \text{d} \mathcal{P}_{\phi}.
$$
 (39)

To conclude the proof, it is now enough to observe that (36) is equivalent to the sum of (38) and (39) (recall that $N_{\text{min}} \cdot v_{\phi} = \eta \cdot v_{\phi} = 1$).

The following result is a consequence of Propositions 4.5, 4.6 and Theorem 3.10.

COROLLARY 4.7 If *N* is a solution of (34) then div_t *N* coincides with κ_{ϕ}^E restricted to *F*, hence belongs to $L^{\infty}(F) \cap BV(\text{int}(F))$.

5. Prescribed anisotropic curvature problem on convex facets

The following result will be useful in the sequel.

PROPOSITION 5.1 Assume that *E* is convex at *F*. Then for any $\lambda \in [\kappa_{min}(F), \kappa_{max}(F)]$ we have

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}} \kappa_{\phi}^{E} dx = \widetilde{P_{\phi}}(\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}), \qquad \int_{\Theta_{\lambda}^{F}} \kappa_{\phi}^{E} dx = \widetilde{P_{\phi}}(\Theta_{\lambda}^{F}). \tag{40}
$$

In particular

$$
\int_{F} \kappa_{\phi}^{E} dx = \widetilde{P_{\phi}}(F). \tag{41}
$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in [\kappa_{\min}(F), \kappa_{\max}(F)]$. We apply (1) with the choice $\Omega := \text{int}(F)$ (recall Theorem 3.3), $X := N_{\min}, u = 1_{\Omega_{\lambda}^F}$, so that, being $[N_{\min} \cdot \tilde{v}^F] = c_F$ on ∂F ,

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\lambda}^F} \kappa_{\phi}^E dx = -\int_{\text{int}(F)\cap\partial^*\Omega_{\lambda}^F} \theta(N_{\min}, D1_{\Omega_{\lambda}^F}) d\mathcal{H}^1 + \int_{\partial F} [N_{\min} \cdot \widetilde{v}^F] 1_{\Omega_{\lambda}^F} d\mathcal{H}^1.
$$

Then the first equality in (40) follows, using a localization argument, from the definition of $\widetilde{P_{\phi}}$, from Theorem 3.13 and from the expression of c_F given by the second equality in (9) in the weakly convex case (recall that, if *E* is convex at *F*, then ∂E is weakly convex at any $x \in \partial F$). The proof of the second equality in (40) follows in a similar way. \Box

The following result is crucial to characterize ϕ -calibrable facets and extends the first assertion of Theorem 3.11; it shows that the sets Ω_{λ}^{F} solve a minimum problem which is the anisotropic version of the so-called prescribed curvature problem: see for instance [9] and references therein, [18–20].

Define

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(B) := \widetilde{P_{\phi}}(B) - \lambda |B|, \qquad B \subseteq F.
$$

THEOREM 5.2 Assume that *E* is convex at *F*. Then for every $\lambda \in [\kappa_{\min}(F), \kappa_{\max}(F)]$ the sets Ω_{λ}^{F} and Θ_{λ}^{F} are solutions of the following variational problem:

$$
\inf \{ \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(B) : B \subseteq F \}. \tag{42}
$$

In addition, if Ω is a solution of (42) then

$$
\Omega_{\lambda}^{F} \subseteq \widetilde{\Omega} \subseteq \Theta_{\lambda}^{F}.\tag{43}
$$

Proof. For any $B \subseteq F$ it holds

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(B) \geqslant \int_{B} (\kappa_{\phi}^{E} - \lambda) \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{44}
$$

Since $\Omega_{\lambda}^{F} = \text{int}(F) \cap \{\kappa_{\phi}^{E} - \lambda < 0\}$, if follows that

$$
\int_{B} (\kappa_{\phi}^{E} - \lambda) dx \geqslant \int_{\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}} (\kappa_{\phi}^{E} - \lambda) dx.
$$
\n(45)

As *E* is convex at *F*, using Proposition 5.1, we get

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}} (\kappa_{\phi}^{E} - \lambda) dx = \widetilde{P}_{\phi}(\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}) - \lambda |\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}|.
$$
\n(46)

From (44)–(46) it follows that Ω_{λ}^F is a solution of (42). In a similar way one proves that Θ_{λ}^F is also a solution of (42).

Finally, let Ω be another solution of (42). Then the equality must hold in (45) with *B* replaced by $\tilde{\Omega}$. Similarly, the equality in (45) must hold with *B* replaced by $\tilde{\Omega}$ and Ω_{λ}^F replaced by Θ_{λ}^F . These observations imply (43). \Box

REMARK 5.3 Assume that *E* is convex at *F*. Then

$$
\kappa_{\min}(F) \geqslant 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{|F|}}.\tag{47}
$$

Indeed, if λ is such that $\Omega_{\lambda}^F \neq \emptyset$, then by the isoperimetric inequality (see for instance [11]) it follows $\widetilde{P_\phi}(\Omega_\lambda^F) \geqslant 2\sqrt{\pi\,|\Omega_\lambda^F|}$. Therefore, by Theorem 5.2 we have

$$
0 = \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(\emptyset) \geq \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}) \geq 2\sqrt{\pi |\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}|} - \lambda |\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}|.
$$

Hence

$$
|F| \geqslant |{\Omega_\lambda^F}| \geqslant \frac{4\pi}{\lambda^2},\tag{48}
$$

which implies (47). Notice that from (48) it follows that $\Theta_{\kappa_{\min}(F)}^F \neq \emptyset$, since $\Theta_{\kappa_{\min}(F)}^F = \bigcap_{\kappa_{\min}(F)} \Omega_{\kappa_{\min}(F)}^F$. $\lambda > \kappa_{\min}(F)$ Ω_{λ}^{F} .

6. Characterization of general φ**-calibrable facets**

This is the only section of the paper where we consider also the presence of a forcing term *g*. We also do not assume here any convexity-type assumption on *E* and *F*.

Let $g \in L^{\infty}(\partial E)$; all results of Section 3.3 still hold [4], [5] when the functional $\mathcal F$ in (14) is replaced by

$$
\int_{\partial E} (\text{div}_{\phi,\tau} N - g)^2 \, d\mathcal{P}_{\phi}, \qquad N \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\text{div}}(\partial E), \tag{49}
$$

provided we replace κ_{ϕ}^{E} with $d_{\min}^{E} - g$, where $d_{\min}^{E} := \text{div}_{\phi, \tau} \mathcal{N}_{\min}$, \mathcal{N}_{\min} a minimizer of (49). Accordingly, the functional $\mathcal{F}(\cdot, F)$ in (33) must be modified into

$$
\int_{F} (\operatorname{div}_{\tau} N - g)^2 d\mathcal{P}_{\phi}, \qquad N \in H_{\nu,\phi}^{\operatorname{div}}(F). \tag{50}
$$

Again (see Corollary 4.7) if *N* is a minimizer of the functional in (50), then div_τ *N* − *g* coincides with $d_{\min}^E - g$ restricted to *F*.

For any $B \subseteq F$ we set

$$
\overline{g}_B := \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B g \, \mathrm{d}x.
$$

We also define the constant V_F as follows:

$$
V_F := \frac{1}{|F|} \int_{\partial F} c_F \, d\mathcal{H}^1 - \overline{g}_F.
$$

Notice that by the results of Sections 4 and by (1) (we recall that by Theorem 3.3 *F* is Lipschitz up to a finite set of points) we have

$$
V_F = \frac{1}{|F|} \int_{\partial F} [\mathcal{N}_{\min} \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^F] d\mathcal{H}^1 - \overline{g}_F = \frac{1}{|F|} \int_F (d_{\min}^E - g) dx.
$$
 (51)

If *B* has finite perimeter in H_F , for $x \in \partial^* B$ we define

$$
c_B(x) := \begin{cases} \max\left\{p \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^B(x) : p \in \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F\right\} & \text{if } x \in \partial^* B \setminus \partial F \\ c_F(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
(52)

A weaker form of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of the following result was proved in [3].

THEOREM 6.1 The following two conditions are equivalent.

- (i) *F* is ϕ -calibrable (i.e. $d_{\min}^E g$ is constant on $\text{int}(F)$);
- (ii) for any $B \subseteq F$ of finite perimeter in H_F there holds

$$
\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial^* B} c_B \, d\mathcal{H}^1 - \overline{g}_B \ge \frac{1}{|F|} \int_{\partial F} c_F \, d\mathcal{H}^1 - \overline{g}_F. \tag{53}
$$

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose by contradiction that *F* is not ϕ -calibrable, i.e. $d_{\min}^E - g$ is not constantly equal to V_F on $int(F)$. It follows that $\Omega_{V_F}^F = \{d_{\min}^E - g \leq V_F\} \cap int(F)$ is nonempty. By Corollary 4.7, we can find $\overline{\lambda} < V_F$ such that $\Omega_{\overline{\lambda}}^F$ is a nonempty set of finite perimeter. Set for simplicity $Q := \Omega_{\overline{\lambda}}^F$. From (1) we have

$$
\int_{Q} d_{\min}^{E} dx = -\int_{\text{int}(F)\cap\partial^{*}Q} \theta(\mathcal{N}_{\min}, D1_{Q}) d\mathcal{H}^{1} + \int_{\partial F} [\mathcal{N}_{\min} \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^{F}] 1_{Q} d\mathcal{H}^{1}
$$
\n
$$
= -\int_{\text{int}(F)\cap\partial^{*}Q} \theta(\mathcal{N}_{\min}, D1_{Q}) d\mathcal{H}^{1} + \int_{\partial F\cap\partial^{*}Q} [\mathcal{N}_{\min} \cdot \widetilde{\nu}^{F}] d\mathcal{H}^{1}.
$$

Recalling Theorem 3.13 (which is still valid for \mathcal{N}_{min} [5]) and definition (52) of c_Q , we have $-\theta(\mathcal{N}_{\min}, D_1_Q) = c_Q \text{ on } \partial^*Q \cap \text{int}(F)$; moreover $[\mathcal{N}_{\min} \cdot \tilde{v}^F] = c_F = c_Q \text{ on } \partial F \cap \partial^*Q$. Therefore $\int_Q d_{\text{min}}^E dx = \tilde{J}_{\partial^*Q} c_Q \tilde{d} \mathcal{H}^1$. It follows, using (ii),

$$
V_F > \overline{\lambda} > \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q d_{\min}^E dx - \overline{g}_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\partial^*Q} c_Q d\mathcal{H}^1 - \overline{g}_Q \geqslant V_F,
$$
 (54)

which is a contradiction.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let *B* ⊆ *F* be a set of finite perimeter in *H_F*. If we integrate $d_{\min}^E - g$ over *B*, using (1) and (52), we get

$$
V_F = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V_F \, dx = -\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{int(F) \cap \partial^* B} \theta(\mathcal{N}_{\text{min}}, D1_B) \, d\mathcal{H}^1
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial F \cap \partial^* B} c_F \, d\mathcal{H}^1 - \overline{g}_B \le \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial^* B} c_B \, d\mathcal{H}^1 - \overline{g}_B,
$$

which is (ii).

7. Convexity of the sets Ω_{λ}^{F} and Θ_{λ}^{F}

Our aim is to prove the following result.

THEOREM 7.1 Assume that *E* is convex at *F* and that *F* is convex. Then Ω_{λ}^{F} is convex for any $\lambda > \kappa_{\min}(F)$, and Θ_{λ}^{F} is convex for any $\lambda \geq \kappa_{\min}(F)$.

In Corollary 9.5 we will prove a stronger result, namely that κ_{ϕ}^{E} is (continuous and) convex on *F*. We will prove Theorem 7.1 only for the sets Ω_{λ}^{F} since the assertion on Θ_{λ}^{F} follows from the convexity of Ω_{λ}^{F} and the equality

$$
\Theta_{\lambda}^{F} = \bigcap_{\mu > \lambda} \Omega_{\mu}^{F}, \qquad \forall \lambda \geq \kappa_{\min}(F). \tag{55}
$$

To prove Theorem 7.1 we need some preliminary lemmas.

LEMMA 7.2 Assume that *E* is convex at *F* and that *F* is convex. Let $\lambda > \kappa_{\min}(F)$. Then $\text{int}(\Omega_{\lambda}^{F})$ consists of a finite union of convex open sets whose closures are pairwise disjoint.

Proof. Since Ω_{λ}^{F} has finite perimeter, by [1] it follows that

$$
int(\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}) = \bigcup_{i \in I} C_{i}, \qquad \widetilde{P}_{\phi}(\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}) = \sum_{i \in I} \widetilde{P}_{\phi}(C_{i}), \qquad (56)
$$

where *I* is at most countable and C_i are nonempty open connected sets, pairwise disjoint. Observe that each C_i is simply connected by Theorem 5.2, because filling the holes strictly decreases the functional \mathcal{G}_{λ} (we use here the property that, if *E* is convex at *F*, then $\lambda > \kappa_{\min}(F) > 0$, see (47)). This fact, together with the property that ∂*Ci* has finite length, implies that ∂*Ci* is parametrizable in a Lipschitz way by a closed Jordan curve. Let us show that C_i is convex for any $i \in I$. Let $co(C_i)$ be the (open) convex envelope of C_i , and assume by contradiction that $\text{co}(C_i) \neq C_i$ for some $i \in I$. It follows that the set $A := \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{co}(C_i)$ properly contains Ω_{λ}^F , hence $|A| > |\Omega_{\lambda}^F|$; moreover *A* is contained in *F*, since *F* is convex. Parametrizing ∂C_i , we can use Jensen's inequality to prove that $\widetilde{P_{\phi}}(C_i) \geq \widetilde{P_{\phi}}(\text{co}(C_i))$. Therefore, by (56)

$$
\widetilde{P_{\phi}}(\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}) = \sum_{i \in I} \widetilde{P_{\phi}}(C_{i}) \geqslant \sum_{i \in I} \widetilde{P_{\phi}}(\text{co}(C_{i})) \geqslant \widetilde{P_{\phi}}(A).
$$

Hence $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(A) < \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(\Omega_{\lambda}^{F})$, which contradicts Theorem 5.2. It follows that each C_i is convex. In view of the different scaling factors of $\widetilde{P}_{\phi}(\cdot)$ and $|\cdot|$ it is easy to see that *I* is finite. Indeed, eliminating the connected components with volume sufficiently small decreases the functional G_λ . It remains to prove that $\overline{C_i} \cap \overline{C_j} = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Assume by contradiction that $\overline{C_i} \cap \overline{C_j} \neq \emptyset$. By Jensen's inequality it follows again that G_λ strictly decreases by substituting $C_i \cup C_j$ with co($C_i \cup C_j$), thus contradicting Theorem 5.2 contradicting Theorem 5.2.

In the following lemma we prove that the part of ∂*F* lying 'above' or 'below' a connected component of $int(F) \cap \Omega_{\lambda}^F$ can be written as a graph on a segment [*x*, *y*], with possibly a 'vertical' part at *x* or at *y*, but not at *x* and at *y*, see Fig. 2.

LEMMA 7.3 Let *F* be convex. Let $\lambda > 0$ be such that $\Omega_{\lambda}^{F} \notin {\emptyset}$, int(*F*)}. Denote by Σ the closure of a connected component of $int(F) \cap \partial \Omega_{\lambda}^F$, and set $\{x, y\} := \Sigma \cap \partial F$. Let $\tilde{\nu}^{\Sigma}$ be the outward unit

FIG. 2. Lemma 7.3: ∂*F* is locally graph of a function *f* , possibly discontinuous at one extremum.

normal on [*x*, *y*] to the convex set bounded by Σ and [*x*, *y*] (when $\Sigma = [x, y]$ we set $\widetilde{\nu}^{\Sigma} := -\widetilde{\nu}^{\Omega_k^{\Sigma}}$). Then there exist a vector v such that $v \cdot \tilde{v}^{\Sigma} < 0$ and a convex function $f : [x, y] \to \mathbb{R}v$ such that either $f(x) = 0$ or $f(y) = 0$, and graph $(f) \cup [x, x + f(x)] \cup [y, y + f(y)] \subseteq \partial F$. A similar statement holds for Θ_{λ}^{F} .

Proof. Let $\Pi := \{w : (w - x) \cdot \tilde{v}^{\Sigma} \leq 0\}$. Let τ_x , τ_y be the tangent unit vectors to $\partial F \cap \Pi$ at *x* and *y* respectively, pointing inside Π (τ_x and τ_y exist because *F* is convex). Let us prove that τ_x and τ_y are 'weakly convergent', i.e. $(\tau_y - \tau_x) \cdot (y - x) \leq 0$. Assume by contradiction that $(\tau_y - \tau_x) \cdot (y - x) > 0$. Choose $\tilde{v}, |\tilde{v}| = 1$, such that $\tau_y \cdot (y - x) > \tilde{v} \cdot (y - x) > \tau_x \cdot (y - x)$. Let *C* be the (convex) connected component of Ω_{λ}^{F} such that $\partial C \supset \Sigma$. It is easy to realize that we can slightly translate *C* in the direction of \tilde{v} still remaining inside *F*, and this translated set does not intersect $\Omega_{\lambda}^{F} \setminus C$ (recall Lemma 7.2). Precisely, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$
s\widetilde{v} + C \subset F, \qquad (\varOmega_{\lambda}^{F} \setminus C) \cap (s\widetilde{v} + C) = \emptyset, \quad \forall s \in [0, \epsilon[.
$$

Let us fix $0 < s_1 < \epsilon$ and define $\widetilde{\Omega} := (\Omega^F_\lambda \setminus C) \cup (s_1 \widetilde{v} + C)$. Then $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is a minimum of \mathcal{G}_λ which does not contain Ω_{λ}^{F} , contradicting (43).

It follows that $(\tau_y - \tau_x) \cdot (y - x) \leq 0$. This and the convexity of *F* imply that there are a unit vector v and a convex function $f : [x, y] \to \mathbb{R}v$ such that $\partial F \cap \Pi = \text{graph}(f) \cup [x, x + f(x)] \cup$ $[y, y + f(y)]$. It remains to check that either $f(x) = 0$ or $f(y) = 0$. Indeed, if by contradiction $f(x) \cdot v > 0$ and $f(y) \cdot v > 0$, then we can perform a slight translation of *C* in the direction of *v* obtaining a contradiction, exactly as in the previous argument.

The assertion on Θ_{λ}^F follows from similar considerations.

$$
\qquad \qquad \Box
$$

REMARK 7.4 As $\Sigma \subseteq F$ and Σ is contained in a translated of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ (Theorem 3.11), from Lemma 7.3 it follows that Σ can be written as a graph of a convex function $\sigma : [x, y] \to \mathbb{R}v$ such that $\sigma(x) = \sigma(y) = 0$.

We are now in the position to prove Theorem 7.1.

Proof. By Lemma 7.2, it is enough to show that Ω_{λ}^{F} is connected. Assume by contradiction that Ω_{λ}^{F} has (at least) two connected components *C*, *C'* and let $\Sigma \subset \partial C$, $x, y \in \Sigma$, $\tau_x, \tau_y, \Pi, v, f$ be as in Lemma 7.3 and its proof. We can assume, without loss of generality, that $C' \subset (F \setminus C) \cap \Pi$. In the same way, we can find $\Sigma' \subset \partial C', x', y' \in \Sigma', \tau_{x'}, \tau_{y'}, \Pi'$ such that $C \subset (F \setminus C') \cap \Pi'$. By Lemma 7.3 we have

$$
(\tau_y - \tau_x) \cdot (y - x) \leq 0, \qquad (\tau_{y'} - \tau_{x'}) \cdot (y' - x') \leq 0. \tag{58}
$$

Since *F* is convex and $C \subset (F \setminus C') \cap \Pi'$, from the first inequality in (58) it follows

$$
(\tau_{y'}-\tau_{x'})\cdot(y'-x')\geqslant 0.
$$

Hence $(\tau_{y'} - \tau_{x'}) \cdot (y' - x') = 0$. In the same way we obtain $(\tau_y - \tau_x) \cdot (y - x) = 0$. It follows that $\partial F \cap \Pi \cap \Pi'$ is the union of two parallel segments, which implies $f(x) \cdot v > 0$ and $f(y) \cdot v > 0$, contradicting Lemma 7.3.

8. Characterization of φ**-calibrable facets in the convex case**

The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem, which is one of the main results of this paper.

THEOREM 8.1 Assume that *E* is convex at *F* and that *F* is convex. Then *F* is ϕ -calibrable if and only if

$$
\underset{\partial F}{\text{ess sup}} \widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^{F} \leqslant \frac{\widetilde{P_{\phi}}(F)}{|F|}.
$$
\n
$$
(59)
$$

Proof of the implication:

$$
\underset{\partial F}{\text{ess sup}} \widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^{F} \leq \frac{\widetilde{P_{\phi}}(F)}{|F|} \Rightarrow F \text{ is } \phi\text{-calibrable.}
$$
\n
$$
(60)
$$

We need the following local comparison lemma, whose proof (well known in the crystalline case [12]) is omitted. Recall that, if $\lambda > 0$, the $\widetilde{\phi}$ -curvature of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ is constantly equal to λ .

LEMMA 8.2 Let $P \subseteq H_F$ be a closed convex Lipschitz ϕ -regular set, let $x \in \partial P$ and $\lambda > 0$. Assume that there exist a neighbourhood $N(x)$ of *x* and a translated $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ such that $x \in \partial \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$, and

$$
P \supseteq N(x) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}.
$$

Then

$$
\text{ess} \inf_{\partial P \cap N(x)} \widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^P \leqslant \lambda.
$$

Similarly, if

$$
P \cap N(x) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}},
$$

then

$$
\text{ess} \sup_{\partial P \cap N(x)} \widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^P \geqslant \lambda.
$$

Assume by contradiction that (60) is false, i.e. *F* is not ϕ -calibrable. Since *E* is convex at *F*, by (41) we have

$$
\frac{1}{|F|} \int_F \kappa_{\phi}^E dx = \frac{P_{\phi}(F)}{|F|}.
$$

Therefore we can pick $\overline{\lambda} > 0$ with the following properties:

$$
\overline{\lambda} > \frac{P_{\phi}(F)}{|F|}, \qquad \Omega_{\overline{\lambda}}^F \notin \{ \emptyset, \text{int}(F) \}, \qquad \Omega_{\overline{\lambda}}^F \text{ of finite perimeter.}
$$
 (61)

Let $\Sigma \subset \partial \Omega^F_\lambda$, *x*, *y*, *v*, *Π* be as in Lemma 7.3 and its proof. From Lemma 7.3 and Remark 7.4 it follows that there exist two convex functions $f, \sigma : [x, y] \to \mathbb{R}v$ such that $f \cdot v \geq \sigma \cdot v$, $\Sigma = \text{graph}(\sigma)$ and $\Pi \cap \partial F = \text{graph}(f) \cup [x, x + f(x)] \cup [y, y + f(y)]$. Let

$$
M := \{ z \in [x, y] : f(z) - \sigma(z) = \max_{[x, y]} (f - \sigma) \}.
$$

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. Assume that $M \cap [x, y] \neq \emptyset$.

Let $z \in M \cap [x, y]$. Then *F* is a convex set which is Lipschitz ϕ -regular by Proposition 3.7, and is contained, locally in a neighbourhood of the point $z + f(z)v$, in the set $f(z)v + \Omega \frac{F}{\lambda}$. Recall that, by Theorem 3.11, we know that Σ is contained in a translated of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \widetilde{W}_{\overline{\lambda}}^F$. Therefore, using Lemma 8.2, it follows

$$
\underset{\partial F}{\text{ess sup}} \widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^F \geqslant \overline{\lambda}.\tag{62}
$$

From (62) and the inequality in (61) it follows ess $\sup_{\partial F} \widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^F > \frac{P_{\phi}(F)}{|F|}$, which contradicts (59).

Case 2. Assume that $M \cap [x, y] = \emptyset$.

In this case we can suppose $M = \{x\}$, since by Lemma 7.3 if $x \in M$ then $f(x) \neq \sigma(x) = 0$ and $f(y) = \sigma(y) = 0$, which implies $y \notin M$.

Define $\sigma_{\epsilon}(\cdot) := \sigma(\cdot + \epsilon(y - x))$ on $I_{\epsilon} := [x - \epsilon(y - x), y - \epsilon(y - x)]$. If $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, the set $M_{\epsilon} := \{z \in I_{\epsilon} : f(z) - \sigma_{\epsilon}(z) = \max_{I_{\epsilon}} (f - \sigma_{\epsilon})\}$ cannot intersect ∂I_{ϵ} . We now reason as in Case 1 considering σ_{ϵ} in place of σ and taking a point $z' \in M_{\epsilon}$ in place of *z*. The proof of (60) is concluded.

Proof of the implication:

$$
F \text{ is } \phi\text{-calibrable} \Rightarrow \underset{\partial F}{\text{ess sup}} \widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^F \leqslant \frac{\widetilde{P}_{\phi}(F)}{|F|}.
$$
 (63)

We need some preliminaries. The following lemma is a sort of converse of Lemma 8.2. It concerns the existence of an 'obsculating' Wulff shape. By definition, we set inf $\emptyset = +\infty$.

LEMMA 8.3 Let $P \subseteq H_F$ be a closed convex Lipschitz ϕ -regular set. Let $x \in \partial P$ be a point of differentiability of ∂P and where $\tilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^{P}(x)$ exists. Define O(*x*) as the set of all $R > 0$ such that P is locally contained, in a neighbourhood of *x*, in a translated B_R of $R\widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ with $x \in \partial B_R$; define also

I(*x*) as the set of all $r > 0$ such that a translated \mathcal{B}_r of $r\widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ with $x \in \partial \mathcal{B}_r$ is locally contained, in a neighbourhood of *x*, in *P*. Then

$$
\widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^{P}(x) = (\sup I(x))^{-1} = (\inf O(x))^{-1}.
$$

Proof. The assertion is well known when ϕ is smooth and strictly convex. Here, we shall give the proof only in the crystalline case. Since *P* is Lipschitz ϕ -regular, there exists $\widetilde{n}_{\phi} \in \text{Lip}(\partial P; H_F)$ with $\widetilde{n}_{\phi}(x) \in \widetilde{T}^{\circ}(\widetilde{\nu}_{\phi}^P(x))$ for \mathcal{H}^1 almost every $x \in \partial P$. As *P* is also convex and ϕ is crystalline, only two possibilities occur: either *x* is in the interior of an arc or of an edge where \widetilde{n}_{ϕ} is constantly equal to a vertex of \widetilde{W}_{ϕ}^F or *x* is in the interior of an edge of $L \subset \partial P$ parallel to some edge $l \subset \partial \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$. In the first case we have $\tilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^{P}(x) = 0$, and since $\tilde{\phi}$ is crystalline and ∂P is differentiable at *x*, it is immediate to check that $O(x) = \emptyset$ and $I(x) = [0, +\infty[$. In the second case we have $\widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^P(x) = \frac{I}{L}$ and $I(x) = \frac{0}{0}$, $L/I[$, $O(x) = \frac{1}{L}L/I$, $+\infty$ [, which gives the assertion.

The following lemma concerns minimizers of the functional G_λ computed on graphs of functions *u*.

LEMMA 8.4 Let *a*, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$, $\lambda > 0$ and $G_{\lambda}: H_0^1([a, b]) \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$
G_{\lambda}(u) := \int_{[a,b]} \widetilde{\phi}^{\circ}(-u'(s), 1) - \lambda u(s) d\mathcal{H}^{1}(s).
$$
 (64)

Assume that there exists a function $u_{\lambda} \in H_0^1([a, b])$ whose graph is contained in a translated of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \partial \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$. Then u_{λ} minimizes *G* in $H_0^1([a, b])$.

Proof. Assume first that \widetilde{W}_{ϕ}^F is smooth and strictly convex, and let $\widetilde{\phi}^o = \widetilde{\phi}^o(\xi_1, \xi_2)$, $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq H_F$. Then the Euler equation associated to G_λ reads as

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{\phi}^o}{\partial \xi_1} (-u'(s), 1) \right) = \lambda,
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\frac{\partial \widetilde{\phi}^o}{\partial \xi_1}(-u'(s), 1)) = \lambda s + c, \qquad \text{for some } c \in \mathbb{R}.
$$
 (65)

Since the functional G_{λ} is strictly convex in $H_0^1([a, b])$, if we prove that u_{λ} solves (65), then *u*_λ minimizes G_λ in $H_0^1([a, b])$. By assumption, there exists a point $\overline{z} = (\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that graph $(u_\lambda) \subset \bar{z} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \partial \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$. Letting $\widetilde{\nu}_{\phi}^{\lambda}(s) := (-u'_\lambda(s), 1) / \widetilde{\phi}^o(-u'_\lambda(s), 1)$ we have

$$
\nabla \widetilde{\phi}^o(-u'_\lambda(s), 1) = \widetilde{T}^o(\widetilde{\nu}^\lambda_\phi(s)) = \lambda(s, u_\lambda(s)) - \overline{z} = (\lambda s - \overline{x}, \lambda u_\lambda(s) - \overline{y})
$$

which implies (65) with $c = -\bar{x}$. Then u_{λ} minimizes G_{λ} on $H_0^1([a, b])$.

Let us consider now a general Finsler metric ϕ . Choose a sequence of functions $(\widetilde{\phi}_k^o)_k$, with $\widetilde{\phi}_{k}^{\circ} > \widetilde{\phi}^{\circ}$, which converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^{2} to $\widetilde{\phi}^{\circ}$ and such that $\{\widetilde{\phi}_{k}^{\circ} \leq 1\}$ are smooth and strictly convex. Let G_k be defined as G_λ with $\widetilde{\phi}^o$ replaced by $\widetilde{\phi}_k^o$. The functionals G_k converge uniformly, as $k \to +\infty$, to G_λ on bounded subsets of $H_0^1([a, b])$. Since $\widetilde{\phi}_k^o > \widetilde{\phi}^o$, we can find functions $u^k_\lambda \in H_0^1([a, b])$ whose graphs are contained in a translated of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \partial {\phi_k} \leq 1$. By the previous argument, u^k_λ minimizes G_k on $H_0^1([a, b])$. Since $u^k_\lambda \to u_\lambda$ in $H_0^1([a, b])$ as $k \to +\infty$, we obtain that u_{λ} minimizes G_{λ} . Let us now prove (63). Assume that F is ϕ -calibrable, so that

$$
int(F) = \Omega_{\lambda}^{F} \qquad \forall \lambda > \kappa_{\min}(F), \tag{66}
$$

and suppose by contradiction that (59) does not hold. Let $x \in \partial F$ be a point where ∂F is differentiable, where there exists $\tilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^{F}(x)$ and $\tilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^{F}(x) > \frac{P_{\phi}(F)}{|F|}$. Choose

$$
\lambda \in \left] \frac{\widetilde{P_{\phi}}(F)}{|F|}, \widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^{F}(x) \right[. \tag{67}
$$

By Lemma 8.3, there exist $\rho > 0$ and a translated $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ such that $x \in \partial \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ and

$$
F \cap B_{\rho}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}.
$$

We divide the proof into three cases.

Case 1. Assume that $\widetilde{T}^o(\widetilde{v}_\phi^F(x))$ is a singleton.

In this case we have, for $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$
\partial F \cap \partial B_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \cap B_{\rho}(x) = \{x\}.
$$

Choose a unit vector v and $\rho > 0$ small enough such that $\partial F \cap B_{\rho}(x)$ and $\partial B_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap B_{\rho}(x)$ are both graphs of two convex functions of class H^1 along v, with $F \cap B_\rho(x)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \cap B_\rho(x)$ as corresponding subgraphs. Let $A_{\delta} := \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - \delta v$, for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. Let $\{y_1, y_2\} := \partial F \cap$ ∂ *A*δ. Denote by Π the half-plane containing v and with *y*1, *y*² in its boundary. Then ∂*F* ∩ Π and ∂ *A*^δ ∩ Π are both graphs of two convex functions on [*y*1, *y*2] along v. Applying Lemma 8.4 (and a suitable change of coordinates) we have that, letting $H_\lambda := (F \setminus \Pi) \cup (A_\delta \cap \Pi)$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(H_{\lambda}) \leq \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(F)$. By (66) we have $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(F) = \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(\Omega_{\lambda}^{F})$. We deduce $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(H_{\lambda}) \leq \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(\Omega_{\lambda}^{F})$, and this contradicts Theorem 5.2, since H_{λ} does not contain $\hat{\Omega}_{\lambda}^{F}$.

Case 2. Assume that $\widetilde{T}^o(\widetilde{v}_\phi^F(x))$ is not a singleton and that $\partial \widetilde{W}_\phi^F$ can be written as the graph of a convex function (with respect to some direction) in a neighbourhood of $\tilde{T}^o(\tilde{v}_\phi^F(x))$.

Note that necessarily $\widetilde{T}^o(\widetilde{v}_\phi^F(x))$ is an edge of $\partial \widetilde{W}_\phi^F$. As *F* is a convex Lipschitz $\widetilde{\phi}$ -regular set, we have that *x* belongs to an edge *L* of ∂F . Since we may avoid subsets of ∂F with \mathcal{H}^1 zero measure in the computation of the essential supremum, we can assume that x belongs to the interior of an edge *L* of ∂F . Reasoning as in Case 1, we can find a neighbourhood $N(L)$ of *L* and a translated $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \partial \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F}$ such that $x \in \partial \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ and

$$
F\cap N(L)\subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}.
$$

Possibly reducing *N*(*L*), we can also assume

$$
\partial F \cap \partial \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \cap N(L) = L.
$$

Noticing that ∂*F* can be written as a graph of a convex function in a neighbourhood of *L*, we conclude as in Case 1, making use of Lemma 8.4.

FIG. 3. Case 3 of the proof of (63): \widetilde{W}_{ϕ}^F is not locally graph around *l*.

Case 3. Assume that $\widetilde{T}^o(\widetilde{v}_\phi^F(x))$ is not a singleton and that $\partial \widetilde{W}_\phi^F$ cannot be written as a graph in a neighbourhood of $\widetilde{T}^o(\widetilde{v}_{\phi}^F(x))$, see Fig. 3.

Let *L* be the edge of ∂*F* containing *x* in its interior, and denote by *x*1, *x*² its extrema. We often identify *L* with its length. We need the following lemma. We denote by $y \in \text{int}(\widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F)$ the point such that $\phi = \phi_y$, see the comments after Definition 2.2.

LEMMA 8.5 Let $\mu > 0$ and let $C \subset H_F$ be an open cone centred at μy . Then

$$
\widetilde{P_{\phi}}(\mu \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F, C) = \frac{2}{\mu} |C \cap \mu \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F|.
$$

Proof. We take $\mu = 1$, the general case follows by rescaling. For $x \in \partial \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ we have $\widetilde{\phi}^o(\widetilde{v}^{\widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F}(x)) =$ $\widetilde{v}^{\widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F}}(x) \cdot x$, while for $x \in \partial C \setminus \{y\}$ we have $\widetilde{v}^{C}(x) \cdot x = 0$. Therefore

$$
\widetilde{P}_{\phi}(\widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F}, C) = \int_{C \cap \partial \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F}} \widetilde{\phi}^{o}(\widetilde{v}^{\widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F}}(x)) d\mathcal{H}^{1} = \int_{\partial (C \cap \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F})} \widetilde{v}^{\widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F}}(x) \cdot x d\mathcal{H}^{1}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{C \cap \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F}} \text{div}x \, dx = 2|C \cap \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F}|.
$$

We now prove the assertion in Case 3. Let $\epsilon > 0$; we denote by F_{ϵ} the set of all points of *F* whose (Euclidean) distance from the line passing through *L* is greater than $\epsilon > 0$. We will prove that, if ϵ is small enough, then

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(F_{\epsilon}) < \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(F). \tag{68}
$$

Denote by *l* the (length of the) edge of \widetilde{W}_{ϕ}^F corresponding to *L*. We claim that

$$
\widetilde{P_{\phi}}(F) - \widetilde{P_{\phi}}(F_{\epsilon}) = \epsilon l + o(\epsilon).
$$
\n(69)

If ϵ is small enough, we can assume that *F*, in a neighbourhood of *L* coincides with a corresponding portion of $w + \frac{L}{l} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ for some $w \in H_F$. Indeed, if we modify *F* locally around *L* into a new set *F'* which coincides with a portion of a translated of $\frac{L}{l} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$, then $\widetilde{P}_{\phi}(F') = \widetilde{P}_{\phi}(F)$. Let y_1, y_2 be the extrema of the edge of F_{ϵ} parallel to *L*, let z_1 , z_2 be the orthogonal projections of y_1 , y_2 onto the line passing through *L* and let δ_i , $i \in \{1, 2\}$, be equal to 0 if the point z_i belongs to *L* and equal to 1 otherwise (see Fig. 3 where $\delta_1 = 1$ and $\delta_2 = 0$). Let $O := w + \frac{L}{l}y$, where $\widetilde{\phi} = \widetilde{\phi}_y$. Finally let X_1, X_2 be the intersection of *F* with the triangles with vertices O, x_1, z_1 and O, x_2, z_2 respectively, let Y_1 , Y_2 be the intersection of *F* with the triangles with vertices O, z_1 , y_1 and O, z_2 , y_2 respectively, and let Z_1 , Z_2 be the quadrilaterals with vertices O, x_1 , z_1 , y_1 and O, x_2 , z_2 , y_2 .

Notice that $2(|Y_1| + |Y_2|) = L\epsilon + o(\epsilon)$ since $|Y_1|, |Y_2|$ have a basis with length $|y_i - z_i| = \epsilon$ and the sum of their heights is $|y_1 - y_2| = L + O(\epsilon)$. Recalling the observation that *F* coincides with a portion of a translated of $\frac{L}{l} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ locally around *L*, we can apply Lemma 8.5 with $\mu := \frac{L}{l}$ to the cones containing X_i and Y_i , $i = 1$, 2 and we obtain

$$
\widetilde{P_{\phi}}(F) - \widetilde{P_{\phi}}(F_{\epsilon}) = \frac{2l}{L}(|Z_1| + |Z_2| - \delta_1|X_1| - \delta_2|X_2|) + o(\epsilon)
$$

$$
= \frac{2l}{L}(|Y_1| + |Y_2|) + o(\epsilon) = \epsilon l + o(\epsilon),
$$

where we have used the fact that the area of the triangles $x_1 y_1 z_1$, $x_2 y_2 z_2$ is of order $o(\epsilon)$. The proof of (69) is complete.

Observe now that

$$
|F| - |F_{\epsilon}| = \epsilon L + o(\epsilon). \tag{70}
$$

Moreover, by (67) we have that the $\widetilde{\phi}$ curvature of *L*, which is $\frac{l}{L}$, is strictly larger than λ , hence $\lambda L - l < 0$. Using (69) and (70) we have

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(F_{\epsilon}) = P_{\phi}(F_{\epsilon}) - \lambda |F_{\epsilon}|
$$

= $\widetilde{P}_{\phi}(F) - \epsilon l + o(\epsilon) - \lambda(|F| - \epsilon L + o(\epsilon))$
= $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(F) + \epsilon(\lambda L - l) + o(\epsilon) < 0$

for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough. This gives (68). From (68) we deduce that *F* is not a minimizer of \mathcal{G}_{λ} and this fact, coupled with (66), contradicts Theorem 5.2. The proof of Case 3, and therefore the proof of the implication (63), is complete.

9. Characterization of the sets Ω_{λ}^{F} and Θ_{λ}^{F} in the convex case

Given a set $A \subseteq F$ and $r > 0$, we set

$$
A_r^- := \{ x \in F : \text{dist}_{\phi}^{\sim}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A, x) > r \}, \qquad A_r^+ := \{ x \in F : \text{dist}_{\phi}^{\sim}(x, A) < r \},
$$
\n
$$
A_-^r := \{ x \in F : \text{dist}_{\phi}^{\sim}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A, x) \ge r \}, \qquad A_+^r := \{ x \in F : \text{dist}_{\phi}^{\sim}(x, A) \le r \},
$$
\n
$$
A_r^{\pm} := (A_r^-)_r^+ \qquad A_{\pm}^r := (A_{-}^r)_+^r.
$$

Notice that

$$
A_r^{\pm} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{B}_r : \mathcal{B}_r \subseteq \text{int}(A) \text{ is a translated of } r \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F \},
$$

$$
A_{\pm}^r = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{B}_r : \mathcal{B}_r \subseteq \overline{A} \text{ is a translated of } r \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F \}.
$$
 (71)

Moreover $A_r^{\pm} \subseteq \text{int}(A)$, $A_{\pm}^r \subseteq \overline{A}$, and $r < \rho$ implies $A_r^{\pm} \supseteq A_{\rho}^{\pm}$ and $A_{\pm}^r \supseteq A_{\pm}^{\rho}$. Note also that $\partial A_r^{\pm} \cap \partial F \neq \emptyset$ and $\partial A_{\pm}^r \cap \partial F \neq \emptyset$.

The aim of this section is to prove the following result, which exactly identifies the sublevels of κ_{ϕ}^E on $\text{int}(F)$.

THEOREM 9.1 Let ϕ be crystalline. Assume that *E* is convex at *F* and that *F* is convex. Then

$$
int(\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}) = F_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^{\pm} \qquad \forall \lambda > \kappa_{\min}(F), \tag{72}
$$

$$
\overline{\Theta_{\lambda}^{F}} = F_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \qquad \forall \lambda \geq \kappa_{\min}(F). \tag{73}
$$

In general, it may happen that, for some $\lambda < \kappa_{\min}(F)$, the sets F_1^{\pm} are nonempty, whereas the sets Ω_{λ}^{F} are obviously empty: see Section 10 for a concrete example of this phenomenon.

To prove Theorem 9.1 we need some preliminary lemmas.

LEMMA 9.2 Let $P \subset H_F$ be a Lipschitz ϕ -regular closed convex set and let $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$
\operatorname{ess} \sup_{\partial P} \widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^P \leq \lambda \Rightarrow P = P_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}.
$$

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1. Let us prove that $P_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \neq \emptyset$.

Fix $\mu > \lambda$ and let $x \in \partial P$ be a point where ∂P is differentiable and there exists $\tilde{k}_{\phi}^{P}(x) < \mu$. Since $P_{\pm}^{\rho} = \bigcap_{r < \rho} P_{\pm}^r$, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\mu}}$ is contained in *P*. Indeed, in this case $P_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \neq \emptyset$, and we conclude by compactness, letting $\mu \to \lambda$, that $P_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \neq \emptyset$.

By Lemma 8.3, there exist an open neighbourhood $N(x)$ of *x* and a translated $B_{\frac{1}{\mu}}$ of $\frac{1}{\mu} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ such that $x \in \partial \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{n}}$ and $N(x) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{n}} \subseteq P$.

Assume by contradiction that $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\mu}}$ is not contained in *P*. So $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\mu}}$ is locally (around *x*) but not globally contained in *P*. The connected component Γ of $\partial P \int_{\mu}$ containing *x* is homeomorphic to the interval [0, 1]. Then $\Gamma \setminus \{x\} = \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$, where Λ_i are two arcs, whose interior parts are pairwise disjoint, having *x* as the common extremum. There are only two possible cases.

Case 1. One of these two arcs, say Λ1, can be written as the union of a (possibly empty) segment and the graph of a convex function with respect to a suitable orthogonal coordinate system. Reasoning exactly as in the proof of (60) of Theorem 8.1 (with *F* replaced by *P* and $\Omega_{\overline{\lambda}}^F$ replaced by $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\mu}}$ we deduce that there exists a point $y \in \Lambda_1$ such that $\tilde{k}_{\phi}^P(y) \ge \mu > \lambda$, which is a contradiction.

FIG. 4. The set $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\mu}}$ locally but not globally contained in *P*.

Case 2. Both Λ¹ and Λ² are union of two segments and the graph of a convex function which is not continuous at the extrema.

We are in the situation depicted in Fig. 4, where ∂ *P* contains two parallel segments l_1 , l_2 , and \mathcal{B}_1 is 'tangent' to one of them, say l_1 , from inside, and $int(\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{n}})$ intersects l_2 . We now slightly translate $\overline{\mu}$ $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\mu}}$ in the direction of $\tilde{v}^P(x)$ (i.e. toward the left in Fig. 4) in such a way that the interior part of the new translated set intersects both *l*¹ and *l*2. Reasoning as in the proof of (60) of Theorem 8.1, we conclude as in Case 1. The proof of Step 1 is complete.

Step 2. Let us prove that $P = P_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$.

Assume by contradiction that $P_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ is strictly contained in *P*. This implies that $P_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\mu}}$ is strictly contained in *P* for some $\mu > \lambda$. Let *A* be a connected component of $int(P) \setminus P_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\mu}}$ and let $\Sigma :=$ $\partial A \cap \partial P_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\mu}}$. Recalling (71) with $r = 1/\mu$ and using the fact that $P_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\mu}}$ is convex, it follows that Σ is contained in a translated of $\frac{1}{\mu} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$. Recalling again (71) and the fact that *F* is convex, with similar arguments as in Lemma 7.3, it follows that both $\overline{\partial A \setminus \Sigma}$ and Σ can be written as graphs (in the same direction) of two convex functions *f* , σ respectively, such that *f* can be discontinuous in at most one of the extrema. We can reason again as in the proof of (60) of Theorem 8.1 obtaining a contradiction as in Step 1. \Box

The following lemma proves that there is a point x in the boundary of a convex not Lipschitz ϕ regular set *P* with the following property: *P* is, locally around *x*, contained in any (translated of the) ϕ -Wulff shape with the proper radius and having *x* in its boundary. Heuristically, the ϕ -curvature of ∂P at *x* is $+\infty$.

LEMMA 9.3 Let ϕ be crystalline. Let $P \subset H_F$ be a compact convex set which is *not* Lipschitz ϕ -regular. Then we can find a point $x \in \partial P$ having the following property: for any $\lambda > 0$ there exist $\rho > 0$ and a translated $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ such that $x \in \partial \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ and $P \cap \mathcal{B}_{\rho}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$.

Proof. Since *P* is convex and ϕ is crystalline, *P* is Lipschitz ϕ -regular if and only if any edge of $\partial \widetilde{W}^F_\phi$ has a corresponding parallel edge of ∂P . Therefore, if *P* is not Lipschitz $\widetilde{\phi}$ -regular there exist a point $x \in \partial P$ and a straight line *s* parallel to some edge of $\partial \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ such that $s \cap \partial P = \{x\}$. One can verify that *x* satisfies the thesis.

LEMMA 9.4 Let $\widetilde{\phi}$ be crystalline. Let $\lambda > \kappa_{\min}(F)$. Then Ω_{λ}^F is Lipschitz $\widetilde{\phi}$ -regular and

$$
\underset{\partial \Omega_{\lambda}^{F}}{\text{ess sup}} \widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^{\Omega_{\lambda}^{F}} \leqslant \lambda. \tag{74}
$$

Similarly, if $\lambda \geq \kappa_{\min}(F)$, then Θ_{λ}^{F} is Lipschitz $\widetilde{\phi}$ -regular and

$$
\underset{\partial \Theta_{\lambda}^{F}}{\text{ess sup}} \,\widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^{\Theta_{\lambda}^{F}} \leqslant \lambda. \tag{75}
$$

Proof. Let us prove that Ω_{λ}^{F} verifies the assertions. Let $\lambda > \kappa_{\min}(F)$. By Theorem 7.1 we know that Ω_{λ}^F is a convex subset of *F*. We argue by contradiction. If Ω_{λ}^F is Lipschitz ϕ -regular and $\cos \sup_{\partial \Omega_{\lambda}^F} \widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^{\Omega_{\lambda}^F} > \lambda$, then by Lemma 8.3 there exist $x \in \partial \Omega_{\lambda}^F$, a neighbourhood $N(x)$ of *x* and a translated $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ such that $x \in \partial \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \supseteq N(x) \cap \Omega_{\lambda}^F$. We then reach a contradiction reasoning as in the proof of (63) of Theorem 8.1.

Assume now that Ω_{λ}^{F} is not Lipschitz ϕ -regular. We apply Lemma 9.3 and we reach a contradiction as in the previous case.

Finally, the assertions on Θ_{λ}^{F} follow from the assertions on Ω_{λ}^{F} and (55).

We are now in the position to prove Theorem 9.1.

We will prove Theorem 9.1 only for the sets Θ_{λ}^{F} , since the assertion on Ω_{λ}^{F} follows then from the equality $\Omega_{\lambda}^{F} = \bigcup_{\mu < \lambda} \Theta_{\mu}^{F}$.

Fix $\lambda \geq \kappa_{\min}(F)$. From Lemma 9.4 we have that Θ_{λ}^{F} is Lipschitz $\widetilde{\phi}$ -regular and (75) holds. Therefore, from Lemma 9.2 we have $\overline{\Theta_{\lambda}^{F}} = (\Theta_{\lambda}^{F})_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$. Since $\Theta_{\lambda}^{F} \subseteq F$ we have $\overline{\Theta_{\lambda}^{F}} \subseteq F_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$, which proves that $F_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ is not empty.

Assume by contradiction that $\overline{\Theta_{\lambda}^F}$ is strictly contained in $F_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$. Let $\Sigma \subseteq \partial \overline{\Theta_{\lambda}^F}$, $\{x, y\} := \Sigma \cap \partial F$, *II* be as in Lemma 7.3 such that $Σ ∩ int(F_±^{1/2}) ≠ ∅$. By Lemma 9.2 and Lemma 9.4, there exists a translated $\mathcal{B}^1_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \widetilde{W}^F_{\phi}$ such that $\mathcal{B}^1_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \subseteq \overline{\Theta^F_{\lambda}}$ and $\Sigma \subset \partial \mathcal{B}^1_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$. Moreover, by definition of $F_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$, there exists a translated $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^2 \subseteq F$ of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^2 \cap (F \setminus \Theta_{\lambda}^F) \cap \Pi \neq \emptyset$. Since *F* is convex it must contain the convex combination of $\mathcal{B}^1_{\frac{1}{s}}$ and $\mathcal{B}^2_{\frac{1}{s}}$, which implies that $\partial F \cap \Pi$ cannot be written as the graph of a (convex) function over $[x, y]$, which is continuous at one extreme, and this contradicts Lemma 7.3. The proof of Theorem 9.1 is concluded.

The following result suggests that, at least initially, convex sets remain convex during the evolution by crystalline mean curvature.

COROLLARY 9.5 The function κ_{ϕ}^{E} is continuous and convex on *F*.

Proof. Thanks to Theorem 9.1, we have $(int(F) \cap \partial \Omega_{\lambda}^{F}) \cap \overline{int(F) \cap \partial \Omega_{\mu}^{F}} = \emptyset$ for $\lambda \neq \mu$, which implies that κ_{ϕ}^{E} is continuous on *F*.

Let us prove that κ_{ϕ}^{E} is convex on *F*. Let *x*, $y \in F$, and let $\lambda := \kappa_{\phi}^{E}(x)$, $\mu := \kappa_{\phi}^{E}(y)$. We have to prove that $\frac{x+y}{2} \in \Theta_{\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}}^F$. If $\lambda = \mu$ the assertion follows from the convexity of Θ_{λ}^F (Theorem 7.1), so we can assume $\lambda > \mu$. Since $x \in \Theta_{\lambda}^{F}$ and $y \in \Theta_{\mu}^{F}$, by Theorem 9.1 there exist $z_x, z_y \in F$ such that

$$
x \in z_x + \frac{1}{\lambda} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F \subseteq F, \qquad y \in z_y + \frac{1}{\mu} \widetilde{W}_{\phi}^F \subseteq F.
$$

Using the convexity of *F* we observe that

$$
\frac{x+y}{2}\in \frac{z_x+z_y}{2}+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2\lambda\mu}\ \widetilde{W}^F_{\phi}\subseteq F.
$$

Therefore $\frac{x+y}{2} \in F_{\pm}^{\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2\lambda\mu}}$. Since $\frac{2}{\lambda+\mu} \leq \frac{\lambda+\mu}{2\lambda\mu}$, we have $\frac{x+y}{2} \in F_{\pm}^{\frac{2}{\lambda+\mu}} = \Theta_{\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}}^F$, where the last equality follows again by Theorem 9.1. \Box

The assumption that ϕ is crystalline in Theorem 9.1 is necessary because we apply Lemma 9.3, where it is required that ϕ is crystalline. We expect that Lemma 9.3 is still valid for a generic ϕ , and therefore that Theorem 9.1 is still valid for a generic anisotropy ϕ .

10. An example of a convex set with non φ**-calibrable facets**

We show an example of Lipschitz ϕ -regular set, partially discussed in [3]. We justify the computation of the 'velocity' κ_{ϕ}^{E} given in [3] and the subsequent crystalline mean curvature evolution. This flow shows that the frontal facet F_{ϵ} of *E*, for ϵ in a suitable range, bends inside *E* at the initial time [22]. In this example we make use of both Theorems 6.1 and 8.1: we could avoid the use of these two results together, but we find it interesting to apply both of them.

Let $W_{\phi} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the prism with hexagonal basis in Fig. 5; the apothem of the hexagon has unit length. Let also E be the convex Lipschitz ϕ -regular set as depicted in Fig. 5. The apothem of the frontal hexagonal facet F_{ϵ} of *E* has unit length. Notice that *E* satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 4.1.

PROPOSITION 10.1 Let $\bar{\epsilon} := 7 - \sqrt{42} \in]0, 1[$. Then F_{ϵ} is ϕ -calibrable if and only if $\epsilon \in [\bar{\epsilon}, 1]$.

Proof. Let us prove that if F_{ϵ} is ϕ -calibrable, then $\epsilon \in [\bar{\epsilon}, 1]$. Given $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ we have $|F_{\epsilon}| =$ √ 1 $\overline{J}_{\overline{3}}(7-\epsilon^2), \widetilde{P}_{\phi}(F_{\epsilon}) = \int_{\partial F_{\epsilon}} c_{F_{\epsilon}} d\mathcal{H}^1 = \mathcal{H}^1(\partial F_{\epsilon}) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{2}{3}(7 - \epsilon)$. Hence

$$
V_{F_{\epsilon}} := \frac{\widetilde{P_{\phi}}(F_{\epsilon})}{|F_{\epsilon}|} = \frac{2(7 - \epsilon)}{7 - \epsilon^2} \leq 2, \qquad \forall \epsilon \in [0, 1].
$$
 (76)

The function $\epsilon \to V_{F_{\epsilon}}$ is strictly convex on [0, 1], with $V_{F_0} = V_{F_1} = 2$, and attains its minimum For $\epsilon = \overline{\epsilon}$, with value $V_{F_{\epsilon}} = (7 + \sqrt{42})/7 < 2$. In particular

 $V_{F_{\overline{\epsilon}}} < V_{F_{\epsilon}}$ and $F_{\overline{\epsilon}} \subset F_{\epsilon}$ $\forall \epsilon \in [0, \overline{\epsilon}].$

Hence, by Theorem 6.1 (here $g = 0$), the facet F_{ϵ} is not ϕ -calibrable for any $\epsilon \in [0, \overline{\epsilon}]$.

FIG. 5. For $\epsilon \in]0, \overline{\epsilon}[$ the frontal facet $F_{\epsilon} \subset \partial E$ is not ϕ -calibrable. The dotted line *l'* separates the region where $\kappa \frac{E}{\phi}$ is constant from the region *T* where κ_{ϕ}^{E} is continuous but not constant.

Let us now prove that if $\epsilon \in [\overline{\epsilon}, 1]$ then F_{ϵ} is ϕ -calibrable. Thanks to Theorem 8.1 and (76), it is enough to prove that

$$
\underset{\partial F_{\epsilon}}{\mathrm{ess}} \underset{\partial F_{\epsilon}}{\mathrm{sup}} \widetilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^{F_{\epsilon}} \leq \frac{2(7-\epsilon)}{7-\epsilon^2} \qquad \forall \epsilon \in [\overline{\epsilon}, 1]. \tag{77}
$$

Denote by [*p*, *q*] the shortest edge of ∂F_{ϵ} , see Fig. 5. Observe that the supremum of $\tilde{\kappa}_{\phi}^{F_{\epsilon}}$ is attained on *l* and is equal to $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}|p-q|$ (recall that the length of the edges of $\widetilde{W}_{\phi}^{F_{\epsilon}}$ is $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$). In addition $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}|p-q|}$ = $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$. Since $\frac{1}{\epsilon} \leq \frac{2(7-\epsilon)}{7-\epsilon^2}$ for any $\epsilon \in [\overline{\epsilon}, 1]$, (77) follows.

Proposition 10.1 identifies κ_{ϕ}^{E} on the frontal facet F_{ϵ} and on its opposite one. Since, by [3: Lemma 5.1] all remaining facets of *E* are ϕ -calibrable, we can compute explicitly κ_{ϕ}^{E} on the whole of ∂*E*.

E.
We finally observe that, given $\epsilon \in [0, \overline{\epsilon}],$ we have $\kappa_{\min}(F_{\epsilon}) = \frac{7+\sqrt{42}}{7}$, hence $\Omega_{\lambda}^{F_{\epsilon}} = \emptyset$ for any $\lambda \leq \frac{7+\sqrt{42}}{7}$, whereas $F_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^{\pm} \neq \emptyset$ for any $\lambda \in [1, \frac{7+\sqrt{42}}{7}]$.

REFERENCES

- 1. AMBROSIO, L., CASELLES, V., MASNOU, S., & MOREL, J. M. Connected components of sets of finite perimeter and applications to image processing. *European J. Appl. Math.* **3**, (2001) 39–92.
- 2. ANZELLOTTI, G. Pairings between measures and bounded functions and compensated compactness. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **135**, (1983) 293–318.
- 3. BELLETTINI, G., NOVAGA, M., & PAOLINI, M. Facet–breaking for three–dimensional crystals evolving by mean curvature. *Interfaces and Free Boundaries* **1**, (1999) 39–55.
- 4. BELLETTINI, G., NOVAGA, M., & PAOLINI, M. On a crystalline variational problem, part i: first variation and global *l* [∞] regularity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **157**, (2001) 165–191.
- 5. BELLETTINI, G., NOVAGA, M., & PAOLINI, M. On a crystalline variational problem, part ii: BV regularity and structure of minimizers on facets. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **157**, (2001) 193–217.
- 6. CAHN, J. W., HANDWERKER, C. A., & TAYLOR, J. E. Geometric models of crystal growth. *Acta Metall.* **40**, (1992) 1443–1474.
- 7. CAHN, J. W. & HOFFMAN, D. W. A vector thermodynamics for anisotropic interfaces. 2. Curved and faceted surfaces. *Acta Metall.* **22**, (1974) 1205–1214.
- 8. EMMER, M., GONZALEZ, E., & TAMANINI, I. Sets of finite perimeter and capillarity phenomena. In *Free Boundary Problems, Theory and Applications*, vol. I, *Proc. Interdisc. Symp., Montecatini 1981*; *Res. Notes Math.* 78, pp. 29–38. (1983).
- 9. FINN, E. Equilibrium capillary surfaces. *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 284*. Springer, 1986.
- 10. FINN, R. On the contact angle in capillarity. In: BAKELMAN, I. R. *et al.* (ed), ii*. A Tribute to Ilya Bakelman. Proceedings of a Conference, College Station, TX, USA, October 1993. College Station, TX: Texas A & M University; Discourses Math. Appl.* 3. (1994) pp. 37–42.
- 11. FONSECA, I. The Wulff theorem revisited. *Proc. R. Soc. London* A **432**, (1991) 125–145.
- 12. GIGA, Y. & GURTIN, M. E. A comparison theorem for crystalline evolutions in the plane. *Quart. Appl. Math.* **54**, (1996) 727–737.
- 13. GIUSTI, E. On the equation of surfaces of prescribed mean curvature. Existence and uniqueness without boundary conditions. *Invent. Math.* **46**, (1978) 111–137.
- 14. GIUSTI, E. *Minimal Surfaces and Functions of Bounded Variation*. Birkhauser, Boston (1984). ¨
- 15. GONZALES, E. H.A., MASSARI, U., & TAMANINI, I. Boundaries of prescribed mean curvature. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat., IX. Ser., Rend. Lincei, Mat. Appl.* **3**, (1993) 197–206.
- 16. GURTIN, M. *Thermomechanics of Evolving Phase Boundaries in the Plane*. Clarendon, Oxford (1993). 17. MASSARI, U. Esistenza e regolarità delle ipersuperfici di curvatura media assegnata in R^n . *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **55**, (1974) 357–382.
- 18. MORGAN, F. The cone over the Clifford torus in R⁴ is *Φ*-minimizing. *Math. Ann.* **289**, (1991) 341–354.
- 19. MORGAN, F. Clusters minimizing area plus length of singular curves. *Math. Ann.* (1994).
- 20. MORGAN, F., FRENCH, C., & GREENLEAF, S. Wulff clusters in R2. *J. Geom. Anal.* **8**, (1998) 97–115.
- 21. NOVAGA, M. & PAOLINI, E. Regularity results for crystalline curves. (2000), in preparation.
- 22. PAOLINI, M. & PASQUARELLI, F. Numerical simulations of crystalline curvature flow in 3D by interface diffusion. In: KENMOCHI, N. (ed), *Free Boundary Problems: Theory and Applications II, GAKUTO Intern. Ser. Math. Sci. Appl. 14.* pp. 376–389. Gakkötosho, (2000).
- 23. TAYLOR, J. E. II-mean curvature and weighted mean curvature. *Acta Metall. Mater.* **40**, (1992) 1475– 1485.
- 24. YUNGER, J. Facet stepping and motion by crystalline curvature, PhD Thesis, Rutgers University, (1998).