

The Wulff shape minimizes an anisotropic Willmore functional

ULRICH CLARENZ[†]

Nordstrasse 13, 53111 Bonn, Germany

[Received 2 July 2003]

The aim of this paper is to find a fourth order energy having Wulff shapes as minimizers. This question is motivated by surface restoration problems. In surface restoration usually a damaged region of a surface has to be replaced by a surface patch which restores the region in a suitable way. In particular one aims for C^1 -continuity at the patch boundary. A fourth order energy is considered to measure fairness and to allow appropriate boundary conditions ensuring continuity of the normal field. Here, anisotropy comes into play if edges and corners of a surface are destroyed. In the present paper we define a generalization of the classical Willmore functional and prove that Wulff shapes are its only minimizers.

1. Introduction

Consider a closed, immersed, oriented, smooth surface $x : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with a two-dimensional parameter manifold \mathcal{M} . The differential of the normal mapping $n : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow S^2$ induces the shape operator S via $Dx \circ S = Dn$. The classical *Willmore functional* is defined as

$$W[x] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} h^2 \, dA, \quad (1)$$

where dA is the induced area element and $h = \operatorname{tr} S$ is the mean curvature. This functional is used e.g. for modelling elastic surfaces.

A geometric analysis concerning the structure of integrands $f(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ appearing in elasticity is due to Nitsche. Here, κ_1 and κ_2 are the principal curvatures of x . Nitsche considered integrands $f(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ which are symmetric, definite and of polynomial growth of order at most two. He shows that such integrands are of the form

$$\alpha + \beta(h - h_0)^2 - \sigma k,$$

where α, β, σ and h_0 are constants fulfilling certain structural inequalities [11]. Furthermore, $k = \det S$ is the classical Gauß curvature. Nevertheless, the physical meaning of the pure Willmore functional ($\alpha = \sigma = h_0 = 0, \beta = 1$) is limited. Any round sphere is a minimizer and the area of Willmore surfaces cannot be bounded.

On the other hand, it is a well known fact that spheres are the only minimizers of W . For the construction of minimizers in the classes of fixed genus we refer to [18] in the case of genus one, and to [1] for arbitrary genus.

Recently, the corresponding L^2 -gradient flow of W —the *Willmore flow*—was considered analytically as well as numerically. For initial data close to spheres in the $C^{2,\alpha}$ topology, Simonett

[†] Email: ulrich@clarenz.com

is able to show global existence of the flow and convergence to a sphere [19]. Kuwert and Schätzle [8] prove a lower bound on the maximal time of smooth existence of the Willmore flow in terms of the concentration of the curvature. In [7, 9] they are able to show that for surfaces of sphere type and initial energy less than or equal to 8π , Willmore flow converges to a round sphere. (Note that in the present paper spheres have energy 8π in contrast to 4π which is the usual convention in geometry.)

Mayer and Simonett present a numerical scheme for axisymmetric solutions based on finite differences [10]. Their numerical experiments predict the appearance of singularities under Willmore flow in finite time. Furthermore, these experiments show that the result obtained in [9] is optimal.

The case of curves moving in space under Willmore flow (and also curve diffusion) is considered in [5] analytically and numerically. Here, results of Polden for planar curves [13, 14] are generalized and a semi-implicit discretization scheme based on a mixed formulation is given.

A discretization scheme for triangulated surfaces without boundary is obtained by Rusu [15]. A generalization of this to bounded surfaces is used in [2] for applications in surface restoration.

The present work is motivated by restoration problems. Restoring a surface usually means replacing a damaged domain of a surface by a patch which restores the region in a suitable way. This means in particular C^1 -continuity at the boundary [22]. Therefore, it is crucial to use at least fourth order methods if one wants to obtain smoothness at the boundary. With the aid of Willmore flow, one is able to prescribe boundary conditions for the position vector and the normal. Often, real-world restoration problems are of anisotropic nature, e.g., if the edge of a surface is destroyed. In such cases, using the isotropic Willmore functional will not lead to results respecting this anisotropy (see [2]). Therefore, one is interested in anisotropic fourth order functionals with corresponding minimizers. In this paper, we propose to replace the integrand h^2 by a generalized mean curvature appearing as first variation of the functional

$$A_\gamma[x] = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \gamma(n) \, dA.$$

Here γ is a smooth function

$$\gamma : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, \quad z \mapsto \gamma(z), \quad (2)$$

and we may assume that γ is given as a one-homogeneous function on \mathbb{R}^3 , i.e., for $\lambda > 0$ we have $\gamma(\lambda z) = \lambda \gamma(z)$. In addition, we assume there is a positive constant m such that for the second derivative we have

$$D^2(\gamma(z) - m|z|) \geq 0.$$

In this case, γ is called *elliptic* and the eigenvalues of $D^2\gamma(z)$ restricted to $z^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x \cdot z = 0\}$ are bounded from below by m . Let us mention here that the Euclidean scalar product of two vectors $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ will always be denoted by $a \cdot b$.

Considering a surface $x : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, we can give a version of the second derivative of γ on its tangential space as follows:

$$a_\gamma : T_\xi \mathcal{M} \rightarrow T_\xi \mathcal{M}, \quad v \mapsto Dx^{-1} \gamma_{zz}(n) Dx(v). \quad (3)$$

The endomorphism field a_γ is well defined due to the fact that $D^2\gamma(z)z = 0$ for all $z \neq 0$. By ellipticity, a_γ is positive definite. The classical area functional is obtained for the function $\gamma(z) = |z|$. In this case, a_γ is the identity.

The first variation of A_γ in direction ϑ may be represented in the L^2 -metric by a generalized mean curvature vector:

$$\langle A'_\gamma[x], \vartheta \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{M}} h_\gamma n \cdot \vartheta \, dA. \tag{4}$$

Here, $h_\gamma = \text{tr}(a_\gamma S)$ will be called the γ -mean curvature.

It is known since the beginning of the last century [21] that solutions of the isoperimetric problem for A_γ exist and are given by the so called *Wulff shapes* \mathcal{W}_γ that may be obtained from γ via the following parametrization over the unit sphere:

$$\gamma_z : S^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\gamma, \quad z \mapsto \gamma_z(z). \tag{5}$$

For a proof of the (unique) isoperimetric property of the Wulff shape and more references to the literature see [6].

In this paper we want to give a different characterization of Wulff shapes. For the application in anisotropic restoration problems one seeks for a fourth order functional W_γ which has the Wulff shape \mathcal{W}_γ as minimizer. Here we show that one possible choice is

$$W_\gamma[x] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} h_\gamma^2 \, dA. \tag{6}$$

In this way we have found a fourth order functional which is well suited for anisotropic restoration problems. For a second order approach to surface fairing by locally prescribing Wulff shapes we refer to [3].

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains a proof of a formula for the linearization of a generalized resp. anisotropic mean curvature (Theorem 2.2). This formula may also be interesting in other applications because the anisotropy we consider there is not related to an integrand γ as in (2).

In Section 3 we apply the result of Section 2 in the special case of anisotropic mean curvature obtained by an integrand γ to derive the Euler equation of the functional (6). An important tool is a generalized Codazzi equation (12). Moreover, we show that the Wulff shape is a solution of the Euler equation.

In Section 4 we prove the main result of this paper. Here, it is shown that Wulff shapes are not only stationary points of W_γ but also minimizers and essentially the only minimizers. The proof is based on a symmetrization argument (16) and the generalized Codazzi equation (12). For a related reasoning see the work on stable surfaces of constant γ -mean curvature [12].

2. Linearization of generalized mean curvature

In this section we will consider a family of surfaces $x : \mathcal{M} \times (-\eta, \eta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, thus $x(t) = x(\cdot, t)$ is an immersion of a two-dimensional orientable manifold \mathcal{M} and η is a small positive real number. This family is considered as a perturbation of a surface $x : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, i.e., $x(\cdot, 0) = x$. The evolution of $x(t)$ is assumed to be given by the equation

$$\partial_t x = \varphi(t)n(t) + Dx(v(t)), \tag{7}$$

where $\varphi(t)$ is a smooth function and $v(t)$ is a smooth vector field on \mathcal{M} .

The following notion is essential in our considerations:

DEFINITION 2.1 Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ be a symmetric endomorphism depending on $z \in S^2$ with the property $\alpha(z)z = 0$ for all $z \in S^2$. Then α induces an endomorphism field on \mathcal{M} ,

$$\bar{\alpha} : \mathcal{T}\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{M}, \quad (8)$$

given by $\bar{\alpha} = Dx^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ Dx$. The corresponding *generalized mean curvature* is defined as

$$h_{\bar{\alpha}} = \text{tr}(\bar{\alpha} \circ S).$$

In the next section we will apply this notion in the case of $\alpha(z) = \gamma_{zz}(z)$ for an elliptic integrand γ as in (2). In this case we assume that $\alpha(z) : z^\perp \rightarrow z^\perp$ is positive definite for all $z \in S^2$. The main result of this section will be

THEOREM 2.2 Let x be a family of surfaces evolving according to (7). The generalized mean curvature $h_{\bar{\alpha}}$ fulfils the following evolution equation:

$$-\partial_t h_{\bar{\alpha}} = \Delta_{\bar{\alpha}} \varphi + |S|_{\bar{\alpha}}^2 \varphi - g(\text{div } \bar{\alpha}, \text{grad } \varphi) - \text{tr}(\bar{\alpha} \circ [(\nabla \bullet S)v]) - g^{ij}(\partial_t \alpha \partial_i x) \cdot \partial_j n. \quad (9)$$

Here we use $\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}} = \text{div}(\bar{\alpha} \text{ grad})$ and $|S|_{\bar{\alpha}}^2 = \text{tr}(\bar{\alpha} \circ S^2)$.

Proof. Equation (7) implies the following equation for the normal n :

$$\partial_t n = -Dx(\text{grad } \varphi) + Dn(v). \quad (10)$$

With the classical notation for g_{ij} , g^{ij} and $h_{\bar{\alpha},ij} = -g(\bar{\alpha} \partial_i, S \partial_j) = -(\alpha \partial_i x) \cdot \partial_j n$, the mean curvature $h_{\bar{\alpha}}$ can be written as

$$-h_{\bar{\alpha}} = g^{ij} h_{\bar{\alpha},ij}$$

and consequently $-\partial_t h_{\bar{\alpha}} = \partial_t g^{ij} h_{\bar{\alpha},ij} + g^{ij} \partial_t h_{\bar{\alpha},ij} = I + II$. Here and in the following we will use the Einstein summation convention. Since

$$\partial_t g^{ij} = -g^{ik} \partial_t g_{kl} g^{lj}$$

we can state ($h_{ij} = -\partial_i x \cdot \partial_j n$)

$$\partial_t g^{ij} = 2\varphi g^{ik} h_{kl} g^{lj} - g^{ik} Dx(\nabla_k v) \cdot \partial_l x g^{lj} - g^{ik} \partial_k x \cdot Dx(\nabla_l v) g^{lj}.$$

Note that for the tangential part of $\partial_k Dx(v)$ we have $[\partial_k Dx(v)]^{\text{tan}} = Dx(\nabla_k v)$. Thus for the term I we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= 2\varphi g^{ik} h_{kl} g^{lj} h_{\bar{\alpha},ij} - g^{ik} Dx(\nabla_k v) \cdot \partial_l x g^{lj} h_{\bar{\alpha},ij} - g^{ik} \partial_k x \cdot Dx(\nabla_l v) g^{lj} h_{\bar{\alpha},ij} \\ &= 2\varphi |S|_{\bar{\alpha}}^2 + g^{ik} g(S \bar{\alpha} \partial_i, \nabla_k v) + g^{lj} g(\nabla_l v, \bar{\alpha} S \partial_j) = 2\varphi |S|_{\bar{\alpha}}^2 + \text{tr}((\bar{\alpha} S + S \bar{\alpha}) \nabla \bullet v). \end{aligned}$$

The computation of $\partial_t h_{\bar{\alpha},ij}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t h_{\bar{\alpha},ij} &= -\partial_t \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j n - \alpha \partial_i (\varphi n + Dx(v)) \cdot \partial_j n - \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j (-Dx(\text{grad } \varphi) + Dn(v)) \\ &= -\partial_t \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j n - \varphi \alpha \partial_i n \cdot \partial_j n - \alpha Dx(\nabla_i v) \cdot \partial_j n \\ &\quad + \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j Dx(\text{grad } \varphi) - \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j Dn(v) \\ &= -\partial_t \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j n - \varphi \alpha \partial_i n \cdot \partial_j n - \alpha Dx(\nabla_i v) \cdot \partial_j n \\ &\quad + \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j Dx(\text{grad } \varphi) - \alpha \partial_i x \cdot Dx(S \nabla_j v) - \alpha \partial_i x \cdot Dx((\nabla_j S)v). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the term II can be geometrically interpreted as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} II &= -g^{ij} \partial_t \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j n - \varphi g^{ij} \alpha \partial_i n \cdot \partial_j n - g^{ij} \alpha Dx(\nabla_i v) \cdot \partial_j n \\ &\quad + g^{ij} \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j Dx(\text{grad } \varphi) - g^{ij} \alpha \partial_i x \cdot Dx(S \nabla_j v) - g^{ij} \alpha \partial_i x \cdot Dx((\nabla_j S) v) \\ &= -g^{ij} \partial_t \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j n - \varphi |S|_{\bar{a}}^2 - \text{tr}(S \bar{a} \nabla_{\bullet} v) \\ &\quad + g^{ij} \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j Dx(\text{grad } \varphi) - \text{tr}(\bar{a} S \nabla_{\bullet} v) - \text{tr}(\bar{a} \circ [(\nabla_{\bullet} S) v]). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we finish the proof. The linearization of $h_{\bar{a}}$ is the sum of I and II :

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_t h_{\bar{a}} &= \varphi |S|_{\bar{a}}^2 + g^{ij} \partial_i x \cdot \alpha \partial_j Dx(\text{grad } \varphi) - g^{ij} \partial_t \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j n - \text{tr}(\bar{a} \circ [(\nabla_{\bullet} S) v]) \\ &= \varphi |S|_{\bar{a}}^2 + g^{ij} \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j (\alpha Dx(\text{grad } \varphi)) - g^{ij} \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j \alpha Dx(\text{grad } \varphi) \\ &\quad - g^{ij} \partial_t \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j n - \text{tr}(\bar{a} \circ [(\nabla_{\bullet} S) v]) \\ &= \Delta_{\bar{a}} \varphi + |S|_{\bar{a}}^2 \varphi - g^{ij} \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j \alpha Dx(\text{grad } \varphi) - \text{tr}(\bar{a} \circ [(\nabla_{\bullet} S) v]) - g^{ij} \partial_t \alpha \partial_i x \cdot \partial_j n. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show $g(\text{div } \bar{a}, w) = g^{ij} \partial_i \alpha \partial_j x \cdot Dx(w)$ for all vector fields w :

$$\begin{aligned} g(\text{div } \bar{a}, w) &= g^{ij} g(\nabla_i \bar{a} \partial_j, w) = g^{ij} Dx((\nabla_i \bar{a}) \partial_j) \cdot Dx(w) \\ &= g^{ij} Dx(\nabla_i (\bar{a} \partial_j) - \bar{a} \nabla_i \partial_j) \cdot Dx(w) \\ &= g^{ij} \partial_i Dx(\bar{a} \partial_j) - \alpha Dx(\nabla_i \partial_j) \cdot Dx(w) = g^{ij} \partial_i \alpha \partial_j x \cdot Dx(w). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

□

3. Anisotropic Willmore energies

Now we want to apply the result on the linearization of generalized mean curvature to compute the derivative of anisotropic Willmore functionals.

We consider the energy W_{γ} defined as in (6). For a test function $\vartheta \in C^1(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ we have

$$\langle W'_{\gamma}[x], \vartheta \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} W_{\gamma}[x_t]_{t=0},$$

where x_t fulfils $\partial_t x_t|_{t=0} = \vartheta$. According to Section 2 we split ϑ into a normal component φn and a tangential component $Dx(v)$, i.e., $\vartheta = \varphi n + Dx(v)$.

To derive the Euler equation we have to compute the derivative of $h_{\gamma, t}^2$ and of the area element dA_t . The latter is contained in [17] and the result is $\partial_t dA_t|_{t=0} = \text{div } \vartheta dA$.

In the special case where the endomorphism field \bar{a} from Section 2 is given by the second derivative of an elliptic integrand γ , Theorem 2.2 simplifies in the following way:

By (11) for $\alpha = \gamma_{zz}$ we have, due to $\partial_t n_t|_{t=0} = -Dx(\text{grad } \varphi) + Dn(v)$,

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ij} (\partial_t \alpha_t|_{t=0} \partial_i x) \cdot \partial_j n &= g^{ij} \gamma_{zzz}(n) [\partial_t n_t|_{t=0}, \partial_i x, \partial_j n] \\ &= g^{ij} \partial_j (\gamma_{zz}(n)) (-Dx(\text{grad } \varphi) + Dn(v)) \cdot \partial_i x \\ &= g(\text{div } a_{\gamma}, -\text{grad } \varphi + Sv), \end{aligned}$$

where a_{γ} is defined as in (3). For the linearization of $h_{\gamma} := h_{a_{\gamma}}$ we therefore obtain, with $\Delta_{\gamma} = \text{div}(a_{\gamma} \text{ grad})$ and $|S|_{\gamma}^2 = \text{tr}(a_{\gamma} S^2)$,

$$-\partial_t h_{\gamma} = \Delta_{\gamma} \varphi + |S|_{\gamma}^2 \varphi - \text{tr}(a_{\gamma} \circ [(\nabla_{\bullet} S) v]) - g(\text{div } a_{\gamma}, Sv).$$

The Codazzi equation as well as the symmetry of S and a_γ imply the following

LEMMA 3.1 For the divergence of the endomorphism field Sa_γ and all vector fields v the identity

$$g(\operatorname{div}(Sa_\gamma), v) = \operatorname{tr}(a_\gamma \circ [(\nabla_\bullet S)v]) + g(\operatorname{div} a_\gamma, Sv)$$

is valid. Furthermore, for $\varphi = 0$ in (7) the tangential part of $\partial_t h_\gamma$ is $g(\operatorname{grad} h_\gamma, v)$ from which one concludes

$$\operatorname{div}(Sa_\gamma) = \operatorname{grad} h_\gamma. \tag{12}$$

Proof. By definition of the divergence, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} g(\operatorname{div}(Sa_\gamma), v) &= g^{ik} g(\nabla_i(Sa_\gamma)\partial_k, v) = g^{ik} g((\nabla_i S)a_\gamma\partial_k, v) + g^{ik} g(S(\nabla_i a_\gamma)\partial_k, v) \\ &= g^{ik} g(a_\gamma\partial_k, (\nabla_i S)v) + g^{ik} g((\nabla_i a_\gamma)\partial_k, Sv) \\ &= g^{ik} g(\partial_i, (\nabla_{a_\gamma\partial_k} S)v) + g(\operatorname{div} a_\gamma, Sv) = \operatorname{tr}((\nabla_{a_\gamma\bullet} S)v) + g(\operatorname{div} a_\gamma, Sv). \end{aligned}$$

Defining the endomorphism Σ via $\Sigma w = (\nabla_w S)v$ we have

$$\operatorname{tr}((\nabla_{a_\gamma\bullet} S)v) = \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma \circ a_\gamma) = \operatorname{tr}(a_\gamma \circ \Sigma)$$

and the result is shown. □

The Euler equation of W_γ

From the above considerations we obtain the identity

$$-\partial_t h_\gamma = \Delta_\gamma \varphi + |S|_\gamma^2 \varphi - g(\operatorname{grad} h_\gamma, v). \tag{13}$$

Using this result on the linearization of γ -mean curvature, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W'_\gamma[x], \vartheta \rangle &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(h_\gamma(-\Delta_\gamma \varphi - |S|_\gamma^2 \varphi + g(\operatorname{grad} h_\gamma, v)) + \frac{1}{2} h_\gamma^2 \operatorname{div} \vartheta \right) dA \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(h_\gamma(-\Delta_\gamma \varphi - |S|_\gamma^2 \varphi) + \frac{1}{2} h h_\gamma^2 \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(h_\gamma^2 v) \right) dA. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

This relation implies the Euler equation of the anisotropic Willmore functional for surfaces without boundary:

$$-\Delta_\gamma h_\gamma - h_\gamma |S|_\gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2} h h_\gamma^2 = 0. \tag{15}$$

In particular we obtain the following

PROPOSITION 3.2 The Wulff shape \mathcal{W}_γ is a solution of the Euler equation for the anisotropic Willmore functional.

Proof. We use the parametrization of the Wulff shape given in (5). The normal of \mathcal{W}_γ at $D\gamma(z)$ is given by z because $D^2\gamma(z)z = 0$ for $z \neq 0$. Therefore, one gets $S = a_\gamma^{-1}$ for the shape operator. This in particular implies that on the Wulff shape we have $h_\gamma = \operatorname{const}$. The result will be shown if we can prove

$$|S|_\gamma^2 - \frac{1}{2} h_\gamma h = 0;$$

but this is a consequence of the above considerations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |S|_\gamma^2 &= \text{tr}(a_\gamma S^2) = \text{tr} a_\gamma^{-1}, \\
 \frac{1}{2} h_\gamma h &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\text{Id}) \text{tr} a_\gamma^{-1} = \text{tr} a_\gamma^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}
 \quad \square$$

This proposition clearly follows from the result of the next section. Nevertheless, the discussion of the Euler equation seems worth recording.

4. Main result

As was pointed out in the introduction, spheres are not only extremals but also minimizers of the classical Willmore functional. The aim of this section is to prove an anisotropic version of this result. We will show

THEOREM 4.1 Let $x : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be an immersion of a compact surface \mathcal{M} without boundary into \mathbb{R}^3 . We can estimate the anisotropic Willmore energy $W_\gamma[x]$ from below by

$$W_\gamma[x] \geq 2|\mathcal{W}_\gamma|,$$

where $|\mathcal{W}_\gamma|$ is the area of the Wulff shape \mathcal{W}_γ . The Wulff shape itself is the unique minimizer of W_γ .

Proof. We follow the classical proof given e.g. in [20, pp. 270]. First we want to estimate W_γ by a total curvature term. In the case of elliptic integrands, the γ -mean curvature h_γ may also be written as

$$h_\gamma = \text{tr}(a_\gamma S) = \text{tr}(a_\gamma^{1/2} S a_\gamma^{1/2}). \tag{16}$$

The endomorphism field $a_\gamma^{1/2} S a_\gamma^{1/2}$ is symmetric and may be diagonalized with eigenvalues μ_1, μ_2 . Related symmetrizations were also used in [16] and [4]. Introducing the corresponding anisotropic Gauß curvature $k_\gamma = \det(a_\gamma S) = \det(a_\gamma^{1/2} S a_\gamma^{1/2})$, one obtains the relation

$$h_\gamma^2 - 4k_\gamma = (\mu_1 + \mu_2)^2 - 4\mu_1\mu_2 = (\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2 \geq 0$$

and therefore we can give the following estimate:

$$W_\gamma[x] \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{k_\gamma^+} h_\gamma^2 \, dA \geq 2 \int_{k_\gamma^+} k_\gamma \, dA,$$

where $k_\gamma^+ = \{\xi \in \mathcal{M} \mid k_\gamma(\xi) \geq 0\}$. By the area formula, the expression $\int_{k_\gamma^+} k_\gamma \, dA$ is the area (counted with multiplicity) of $D\gamma(n(k_\gamma^+))$. Due to the ellipticity of γ , we conclude that

$$k_\gamma^+ = \{\xi \in \mathcal{M} \mid k(\xi) \geq 0\},$$

where k is the classical Gauß curvature. Therefore, and on account of the compactness of \mathcal{M} , we obtain $n(k_\gamma^+) = S^2$ and in particular

$$\int_{k_\gamma^+} k_\gamma \, dA \geq |\mathcal{W}_\gamma|.$$

Assume now that equality holds in all of the above inequalities. Then we conclude that $\mu_1 = \mu_2 =: \mu$. Thus we have $a_\gamma^{1/2} S a_\gamma^{1/2} = \mu \text{Id}$, from which we get $S a_\gamma = \mu \text{Id}$ and therefore

$$\text{div}(S a_\gamma) = \text{grad } \mu.$$

On the other hand we know by Lemma 3.1 (see (12)) that

$$\text{div}(S a_\gamma) = \text{grad } h_\gamma.$$

This implies $h_\gamma - \mu = \text{const}$. Since $h_\gamma = \text{tr}(S a_\gamma) = 2\mu$ one gets $\mu = \text{const}$. Thus we obtain

$$Dx(a_\gamma S - \mu \text{Id}) = D\gamma_z(n) - \mu Dx = 0$$

and integration leads to

$$x = x_0 + \frac{1}{\mu} \gamma_z(n),$$

where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is a constant vector, and the result is shown. \square

Acknowledgements

The author enjoyed the hospitality of the Mathematisches Institut at the University of Freiburg in September 2002 and March 2003. He would like to thank Prof. Dr. Gerhard Dziuk for offering the possibility to be in Freiburg and Prof. Dr. Ernst Kuwert for discussing the problem of minimizers of anisotropic Willmore energies.

REFERENCES

1. BAUER, M. & KUWERT, E. Existence of minimizing Willmore surfaces of prescribed genus. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2003**, no. 10, 553–576. Zbl 1029.53073 MR 1941840
2. CLARENZ, U., DIEWALD, U., DZIUK, G., RUMPF, M., & RUSU, R. A finite element method for surface restoration with smooth boundary conditions. *Comput. Aided Geom. Design* **21** (2004), 427–445. MR 2058390
3. CLARENZ, U., DZIUK, G., & RUMPF, M. On generalized mean curvature flow. *Geometric Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, H. Karcher and S. Hildebrandt (eds.), Springer (2003), 217–248. Zbl 1035.53091 MR 2008341
4. CLARENZ, U. & VON DER MOSEL, H. Compactness theorems and an isoperimetric inequality for critical points of elliptic parametric functionals. *Calc. Var.* **12** (2000), 85–107. Zbl 0968.35039 MR 1808108
5. DZIUK, G., KUWERT, E., & SCHÄTZLE, R. Evolution of elastic curves in \mathbb{R}^n : existence and computation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **33** (2002), 1228–1245. Zbl 1031.53092 MR 1897710
6. FONSECA, I. & MÜLLER, S. A uniqueness proof for the Wulff theorem. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **119** (1991), 125–136. Zbl 0752.49019 MR 1130601
7. KUWERT, E. & SCHÄTZLE, R. The Willmore flow with small initial energy. *J. Differential Geom.* **57** (2001), 409–441. Zbl 1035.53092 MR 1882663
8. KUWERT, E. & SCHÄTZLE, R. Gradient flow for the Willmore functional. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **10** (2002), 307–339. Zbl 1029.53082 MR 1900754
9. KUWERT, E. & SCHÄTZLE, R. Removability of point singularities of Willmore surfaces. Preprint SFB 611, Bonn, 47 (2002).

10. MAYER, U. F. & SIMONETT, G. A numerical scheme for axisymmetric solutions of curvature driven free boundary problems with applications to the Willmore flow. *Interfaces Free Bound.* **4** (2002), 89–109. Zbl 1005.65095 MR 1877537
11. NITSCHKE, J. C. C. Periodic surfaces that are extremal for energy functionals containing curvature functions. *Statistical Thermodynamics and Differential Geometry of Microstructured Materials*, H. T. Davis and J. C. C. Nitsche (eds.), Springer (1993), 69–98. Zbl 0794.53007 MR 1226921
12. PALMER, B. Stability of the Wulff shape. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), 3661–3667. Zbl 0924.53009 MR 1473676
13. POLDEN, A. Closed curves of least total curvature. SFB 382 Tübingen, Preprint, 13 (1995).
14. POLDEN, A. Curves and surfaces of least total curvature and fourth-order flows. Dissertation, Universität Tübingen (1996).
15. RUSU, R. An algorithm for the elastic flow of surfaces. Preprint Mathematische Fakultät Freiburg, 01-35 (2002).
16. SAUVIGNY, F. Curvature estimates for immersions of minimal surface type via uniformization and theorems of Bernstein type. *Manuscripta Math.* **67** (1990), 69–97. Zbl 0703.53050 MR 1037997
17. SIMON, L. *Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory*. Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ. 3 (1984). Zbl 0546.49019 MR 0756417
18. SIMON, L. Existence of surfaces minimizing the Willmore functional. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **1** (1993), 281–326. Zbl 0848.58012 MR 1243525
19. SIMONETT, G. The Willmore flow near spheres. *Differential Integral Equations* **14** (2001), 1005–1014. Zbl pre01832854 MR 1827100
20. WILLMORE, T. J. *Riemannian Geometry*. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1993). Zbl 0797.53002 MR 1261641
21. WULFF, G. Zur Frage der Geschwindigkeit des Wachstums und der Auflösung der Kristallflächen. *Z. Kristallographie* **34** (1901), 449–530.
22. YOSHIKAWA, S. & BELYAEV, A. G. Fair triangle mesh generation with discrete elastica. *Geometric Modeling and Processing*, RIKEN, Saitama (2002), 119–123.