Interfaces and Free Boundaries **19** (2017), 31–77 DOI 10.4171/IFB/376

Zero width limit of the heat equation on moving thin domains

TATSU-HIKO MIURA

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan E-mail: thmiura@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

[Received 16 October 2015 and in revised form 23 May 2016]

We study the behavior of a variational solution to the Neumann type problem of the heat equation on a moving thin domain $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ that converges to an evolving surface $\Gamma(t)$ as the width of $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ goes to zero. We show that, under suitable assumptions, the average in the normal direction of $\Gamma(t)$ of a variational solution to the heat equation converges weakly in a function space on $\Gamma(t)$ as the width of $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ goes to zero, and that the limit is a unique variational solution to a limit equation on $\Gamma(t)$, which is a new type of linear diffusion equation involving the mean curvature and the normal velocity of $\Gamma(t)$. We also estimate the difference between variational solutions to the heat equation on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ and the limit equation on $\Gamma(t)$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35K05; Secondary 35B25, 35R01, 35R37.

Keywords: Heat equation, moving thin domains, evolving surfaces.

1. Introduction

For $t \in [0, T]$, T > 0, let $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ be a moving thin domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 2$, with width of order $\varepsilon > 0$ that converges to an evolving closed hypersurface $\Gamma(t)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We consider the Neumann type problem of the heat equation of the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^{\varepsilon} - \Delta u^{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{in } Q_{\varepsilon,T}, \\ \partial_{v_{\varepsilon}} u^{\varepsilon} + v_{\varepsilon}^N u^{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{\ell} Q_{\varepsilon,T}, \\ u^{\varepsilon}(0) = u_0^{\varepsilon} & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0). \end{cases}$$
(*H*_ε)

Here $Q_{\varepsilon,T} := \bigcup_{t \in (0,T)} \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t) \times \{t\}$, $\partial_{\ell} Q_{\varepsilon,T} := \bigcup_{t \in (0,T)} \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t) \times \{t\}$, and v_{ε} , v_{ε}^{N} are the unit outward normal vector field of $\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ and the outer normal velocity of $\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$, respectively. The term $v_{\varepsilon}^{N} u^{\varepsilon}$ in the boundary condition is added so that the total amount of heat $\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u^{\varepsilon} dx$ is conserved, see the beginning of Section 3. Also, if u^{ε} denotes the concentration of some chemicals, the boundary condition says that chemicals near the boundary move along it and do not go into and out of the moving thin domain.

We are interested in the behavior of a solution u^{ε} to (H_{ε}) as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Our goal is to characterize its limit as well as its convergence. Let us explain the simplest case when $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^n with distance less than ε from $\Gamma(t)$ so that the width of $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is 2ε . Let ν be the unit outward normal vector field of $\Gamma(t)$ and $V_{\Gamma} = v_{\Gamma}^N \nu + V_{\Gamma}^T$ be the total velocity of $\Gamma(t)$, where v_{Γ}^N and V_{Γ}^T are the outer normal velocity of $\Gamma(t)$ and a given tangential velocity field. Then our main

© European Mathematical Society 2017

result formally implies that, under suitable assumptions on the initial data u_0^{ε} of (H_{ε}) , the limit v is a solution to

$$\partial^{\circ} v - v_{\Gamma}^{N} H v - \Delta_{\Gamma(t)} v = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S_{T}.$$
 (1.1)

Here $S_T := \bigcup_{t \in (0,T)} \Gamma(t) \times \{t\}$ and $\partial^\circ v = \partial_t v + v_\Gamma^N v \cdot \nabla v$ is the normal time derivative of v. (The notation ∂° is used in [2, 5]. We refer to [3] for the normal time derivative.) Also, $H := -\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} v$ and $\Delta_{\Gamma(t)} := \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}$ are the mean curvature of $\Gamma(t)$ and the Laplace–Beltrami operator on $\Gamma(t)$, where $\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}$ and $\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}$ are the surface divergence operator and the tangential gradient on $\Gamma(t)$, respectively (see Section 2 for their definitions). We will give a heuristic derivation of the limit equation (1.1) in Appendix A. The equation (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\partial^{\bullet} v + (\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) v - \Delta_{\Gamma(t)} v - \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} \left(v V_{\Gamma}^{T} \right) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S_{T},$$
(1.2)

which we will actually derive in Section 6. Here $\partial^{\bullet} v = \partial^{\circ} v + V_{\Gamma}^{T} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v$ denotes the material derivative of v (see Section 4 for its precise definition). Note that the equation (1.1) is independent of the tangential velocity V_{Γ}^{T} . In other words, the evolution of the limit v is not affected by advection along $\Gamma(t)$. Such a phenomenon does not occur in an advection-diffusion equation widely studied in recent years [2, 4–9, 19, 28]:

$$\partial^{\bullet} v + (\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) v - \Delta_{\Gamma(t)} v = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S_T.$$
 (1.3)

This equation is derived from a conservation law such that, for an arbitrary portion $\mathfrak{M}(t)$ of $\Gamma(t)$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathfrak{M}(t)} v \, d\, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} = -\int_{\partial \mathfrak{M}(t)} q \cdot \mu \, d\, \mathcal{H}^{n-2}$$

holds, where \mathcal{H}^k is the k-dimensional Hausdorff measure for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, μ is the co-normal to the boundary $\partial \mathbb{M}(t)$, and q is the surface flux, see [4, Section 3] and [5, Section 3.1] for details.

Partial differential equations on thin domains are studied over the years [12–16, 20–24, 26, 27], and many researchers deal with a nonmoving thin domain of the form

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \mid x' \in \omega, \, \varepsilon g_0(x') < x_n < \varepsilon g_1(x') \right\}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where ω is a domain in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and g_0 , g_1 are functions on ω . In their pioneering works [12, 13], Hale and Raugel compared the dynamics of reaction-diffusion equations and damped wave equations on Ω_{ε} of the form (1.4) (with $g_0 = 0$ and slightly modified g_1) and that of corresponding limit equations on ω by the scaling argument. They transformed the equations on Ω_{ε} into scaled equations on a fixed reference domain $\Omega_0 = \omega \times (0, 1)$ by the change of variables, and formally derived the limit equations on ω by letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the scaled equations on Ω_0 and omitting divergent terms. Then they compared the dynamics of the scaled equations on Ω_0 and that of the limit equations on ω by analyzing weighted bilinear forms that appear in variational formulations of the scaled equations and the limit equations. Their scaling argument is applicable to more general thin domains such as a thin L-shaped domain [14] and a moving thin domain of the form (1.4) where $g_0 = 0$ and g_1 depends on time [23]. Prizzi and Rybakowski [21] generalized the scaling argument in [12, 13] to study reaction-diffusion equations on a (nonmoving) thin domain with holes around a lower dimensional domain. The generalized scaling argument in [21] is also valid for a (nonmoving) thin domain with holes around a lower dimensional manifold [20, 22]. We refer to [24] and references therein for other examples of thin domains.

In contrast to the above papers, the limit hypersurface $\Gamma(t)$ of our thin domain $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ evolves. Such a situation has been considered only in the paper [8], which deals with a diffuse interface model for the advection-diffusion equation (1.3). See also [9] for numerical computations of the advectiondiffusion equation (1.3) based on the diffuse interface model. In [8], however, the limit equation (1.3) on the evolving surface is given and a bulk equation on the moving thin domain involves a weight function that vanishes on the boundary of the domain. Therefore, there is no literature on initialboundary value problems of partial differential equations on moving thin domains around evolving surfaces whose limit equations are unknown in advance, even in the case of the heat equation.

The difficulty caused by the evolution of the hypersurface $\Gamma(t)$ is in transforming equations on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ and $\Gamma(t)$ into equations on fixed (in time and width) domain and hypersurface. In particular, transformations of differential operators on $\Gamma(t)$ into those on a fixed hypersurface is so complicated that we can hardly find a limit equation on the fixed hypersurface and convert it into an equation on $\Gamma(t)$, see [7] for the actual transformations of differential operators.

To avoid this difficulty, we employ another method that does not require transformations of $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ and $\Gamma(t)$. Let us explain our idea of derivation of a limit equation on $\Gamma(t)$. We start from a variational formulation of (H_{ε}) (see (3.2)) that consists of integrals over the noncylindrical domain $Q_{\varepsilon,T}$ of a variational solution u^{ε} to (H_{ε}) and a test function defined on $Q_{\varepsilon,T}$. In this variational formulation, we take a test function independent of the normal direction of $\Gamma(t)$ and apply the coarea formula (see (5.1)) and a weighted average operator M_{ε} (see Definition 5.1) to get a variational formulation (with some residual term) of the average $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}$ (see (6.1)) that consists of integrals over the space-time manifold S_T of $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}$ and a test function defined on S_T . Then we obtain a variational formulation of a limit equation on $\Gamma(t)$ (see (6.13)) by omitting the residual term in the variational formulation of $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}$. Moreover, we prove that $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}$ converges weakly in a function space on S_T as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and that the limit is a unique variational solution to the limit equation (see Theorem 6.9), and estimate the $L^2(Q_{\varepsilon,T})$ -norm of the difference between variational solutions to (H_{ε}) and the limit equation (see Theorem 6.12). These results indicate that our limit equation on $\Gamma(t)$ derived as above is indeed the "limit" of (H_{ε}) .

In our derivation of a limit equation, Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.13 play an important role. In Lemma 5.6 we approximate an H^1 -bilinear form on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$ by that on $\Gamma(t)$ with the tangential gradient of the average $M_{\varepsilon}u$ of a function u on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$. The proof of Lemma 5.6 is based on simple representations of the gradient in \mathbb{R}^n and the tangential gradient on $\Gamma(t)$ under a special local coordinate system for each fixed point on $\Gamma(t)$. On the other hand, Lemma 5.13 gives an integral formula that formally represents a relation between the weak time derivative of a function u on $Q_{\varepsilon,T}$ and the weak material derivative of its average $M_{\varepsilon}u$ (in fact, we do not explicitly deal with the time derivative of u). Lemma 5.13 essentially follows from Lemma 5.11, which gives a relation between the time derivative and the material derivative of functions defined on S_T .

Average operators in the thin direction were originally introduced by Hale and Raugel [12, 13], but they took the average of functions on the scaled domain $\Omega_0 = \omega \times (0, 1)$. Average operators on actual thin domains Ω_{ε} appears in the study of the Navier-Stokes equations on three-dimensional thin domains [15, 16, 26, 27]. Temam and Ziane [26, 27] first employed them to study the global existence of strong solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations for large initial data and external forces and the behavior of solutions as $\varepsilon \to 0$ when Ω_{ε} is a three-dimensional thin product domain $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \omega \times (0, \varepsilon)$ with a bounded domain ω in \mathbb{R}^2 and a thin spherical domain

 $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid a < |x| < (1 + \varepsilon)a\}$ with a constant a > 0. In [15, 16], average operators were employed to study the dynamics of the Navier-Stokes equations on Ω_{ε} of the form (1.4). In particular, the authors of [16] compared the dynamics of the Navier-Stokes equations with that of limit equations by estimating the difference of the average of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations and solutions to the limit equations.

We point out that our weighted average operator given in Definition 5.1 is a generalization of average operators given in [15, 16, 26] and that its weight function is different from that of an average operator given in [27]. In fact, the weight function of our average operator is a Jacobian that appears when we change variables of integrals over a tubular neighborhood of $\Gamma(t)$ in terms of the normal coordinate system around $\Gamma(t)$. Our choice of the weight function enables us to avoid including the material derivative of a test function in the estimate for the residual term in the variational formulation of the average of a variational solution to (H_{ε}) , which is essential for derivation of its energy estimate, see Lemma 5.13 and Remark 5.14. We also note that, contrary to our case, Kublik, Tanushev, and Tsai [17] employed the same Jacobian and co-area formula to transform integrals over boundaries of domains into those over their tubular neighborhoods. Based on this transformation, they proposed a new approach to numerical computations of boundary integrals without explicit parametrizations of boundaries and a simple formulation for constructing boundary integral methods to solve Poisson's equation. Their method of the numerical computations of boundary integrals is also applicable to integrals over nonclosed manifolds of higher codimension, such as curves in \mathbb{R}^3 with different endpoints, see [18] for details.

Finally we mention variational formulations of partial differential equations on evolving surfaces. There are several kinds of variational frameworks for equations on evolving surfaces, mainly the advection-diffusion equation (1.3), see [4, 19, 28] for example. In addition, Alphonse, Elliott, and Stinner [1, 2] proposed an abstract variational setting with evolving Hilbert spaces and applied it to some equations on moving domains and evolving surfaces. Among these variational frameworks, we adopt the one introduced by Olshanskii, Reusken, and Xu [19]. Their variational formulation is imposed on function spaces on S_T , which is suitable for our calculation of bilinear forms on function spaces on S_T and $Q_{\varepsilon,T}$ performed in Section 5 and Section 6.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce notations related to the evolving surface $\Gamma(t)$ and define the moving thin domain $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$. In Section 3 we define a variational solution to (H_{ε}) and prove its existence and uniqueness. We also derive an energy estimate of a variational solution to (H_{ε}) with a constant independent of ε . In Section 4 we define function spaces on S_T introduced in [19] and give their properties. In Section 5 we define the weighted average operator M_{ε} and establish estimates and formulas related to M_{ε} . In Section 6, we derive a limit equation on $\Gamma(t)$ of the form (1.2) via its variational formulation and prove our main theorems (Theorem 6.9 and Theorem 6.12). In Appendix A, we give a heuristic derivation of the limit equation (1.1) when $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^n with distance less than ε from $\Gamma(t)$. In Appendix B, we give complete proofs of some results in Section 4 related to integrals over $\Gamma(t)$. In Appendix C, we show detailed calculations in proofs of some lemmas in Section 5 involving the differential geometry of tubular neighborhoods of $\Gamma(t)$.

2. Evolving surfaces and moving thin domains

For each $t \in [0, T]$, let $\Gamma(t)$ be a closed (that is, compact and without boundary), connected and oriented smooth hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n . We set $\Gamma_0 := \Gamma(0)$ and define a space-time manifold $S_T \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ as $S_T := \bigcup_{t \in (0,T)} \Gamma(t) \times \{t\}$. We assume that each point y on $\Gamma(t)$ evolves with velocity $V_{\Gamma}(y, t)$, which is not necessarily normal to $\Gamma(t)$, and the velocity field $V_{\Gamma}: \overline{S_T} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is smooth. Let $\Phi(\cdot, t): \Gamma_0 \to \Gamma(t)$ be the flow map of V_{Γ} , that is, $\Phi(\cdot, t)$ is a diffeomorphism from Γ_0 onto $\Gamma(t)$ with its inverse $\Phi^{-1}(\cdot, t)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$ and satisfies

$$\Phi(Y,0) = Y, \quad \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(Y,t) = V_{\Gamma}(\Phi(Y,t),t) \text{ for all } Y \in \Gamma_0, t \in [0,T].$$

We assume that Φ and Φ^{-1} are smooth on $\Gamma_0 \times [0, T]$ and $\overline{S_T}$, respectively. Due to this assumption, $\overline{S_T}$ is a compact smooth manifold in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Let $v : \overline{S_T} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the unit outward normal vector field of $\Gamma(t)$. The velocity V_{Γ} is decomposed into $V_{\Gamma} = v_{\Gamma}^N v + V_{\Gamma}^T$, where $v_{\Gamma}^N : \overline{S_T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the outer normal velocity and $V_{\Gamma}^T : \overline{S_T} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a tangential velocity field. Note that to describe the geometric motion of $\Gamma(t)$ it is sufficient to prescribe the normal velocity. However, to describe a limit equation on $\Gamma(t)$ we will derive in Section 6, we also need to consider a tangential velocity, which represents advection along $\Gamma(t)$.

For each $t \in [0, T]$, let $d(\cdot, t)$ be the signed distance function from $\Gamma(t)$ that increases in the direction of $\nu(\cdot, t)$. By the smoothness (in space and time) and compactness of $\Gamma(t)$, there is an open set N(t) in \mathbb{R}^n of the form $N(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid -\delta < d(x, t) < \delta\}$ for each $t \in [0, T]$, where $\delta > 0$ is a constant independent of t, that satisfies the following conditions:

- The signed distance function d is smooth on $\overline{N_T}$, where $N_T := \bigcup_{t \in (0,T)} N(t) \times \{t\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.
- For each $(x, t) \in \overline{N_T}$, there is a unique point $p(x, t) \in \Gamma(t)$ such that

$$x = p(x,t) + d(x,t)\nu(p(x,t),t), \quad \nabla d(x,t) = \nu(p(x,t),t).$$

The set N(t) is called a tubular neighborhood of $\Gamma(t)$. Based on the above equality, we extend the outward normal ν to $\overline{N_T}$ by setting $\nu(x,t) := \nabla d(x,t)$ for $(x,t) \in \overline{N_T}$. Then, by the smoothness of d, the extended outward normal ν and the projection mapping p are smooth on $\overline{N_T}$. Also, the normal velocity v_{Γ}^N of $\Gamma(t)$ is given by $v_{\Gamma}^N = -\partial_t d$ on $\overline{S_T}$.

Next, we give definitions of differential operators on evolving surfaces. For a function v and a vector field F on S_T , we define the tangential gradient of v and the surface divergence of F as

$$\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v(y,t) := \left[I_n - v(y,t) \otimes v(y,t)\right] \nabla \overline{v}(y,t),$$

$$\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}F(y,t) := \operatorname{trace}\left[\{I_n - v(y,t) \otimes v(y,t)\} \nabla \overline{F}(y,t)\right]$$

for $(y,t) \in S_T$. Here I_n is the identity matrix of size n and $v \otimes v := (v_i v_j)_{i,j}$ is the tensor product of v. Also, \overline{v} and \overline{F} are the constant extensions of v and F in the normal direction of $\Gamma(t)$ given by

$$\overline{v}(x,t) := v(p(x,t),t), \quad \overline{F}(x,t) := F(p(x,t),t), \quad (x,t) \in N_T.$$

By definition, $v \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v = 0$ holds. Hereafter we use the same notations for functions and vector fields on $\Gamma(t)$ with each fixed $t \in [0, T]$.

Finally, we define a moving thin domain. Let g_0 and g_1 be smooth functions on $\overline{S_T}$. We assume that there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$g(y,t) := g_1(y,t) - g_0(y,t) \ge c \quad \text{for all} \quad (y,t) \in \overline{S_T}.$$
(2.1)

Then we define a moving thin domain $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t) := \{ y + \rho v(y, t) \mid y \in \Gamma(t), \, \varepsilon g_0(y, t) < \rho < \varepsilon g_1(y, t) \}, \quad t \in [0, T], \, \varepsilon > 0$$

and a space-time noncylindrical domain $Q_{\varepsilon,T} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ as $Q_{\varepsilon,T} := \bigcup_{t \in (0,T)} \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t) \times \{t\}$. Note that $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ does not necessarily include $\Gamma(t)$, since we do not assume that g_0 is negative and g_1 is positive. Since g_0 and g_1 are smooth and thus bounded on the compact manifold $\overline{S_T}$, there is a positive number ε_0 such that $\overline{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} \subset N(t)$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Hereafter we assume that $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$.

3. Heat equation on moving thin domains

In this section, we consider the initial-boundary problem (H_{ε}) of the heat equation on the moving thin domain $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$. First we show that the boundary condition of (H_{ε}) yields the conservation of the total amount of heat. Suppose that u^{ε} satisfies the heat equation in $Q_{\varepsilon,T}$. By the Reynolds transport theorem and Green's formula (see [10, Appendix C]) we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u^{\varepsilon} \, dx &= \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} \partial_{t} u^{\varepsilon} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} v_{\varepsilon}^{N} u^{\varepsilon} \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} \Delta u^{\varepsilon} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} v_{\varepsilon}^{N} u^{\varepsilon} \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} \left(\partial_{v_{\varepsilon}} u^{\varepsilon} + v_{\varepsilon}^{N} u^{\varepsilon} \right) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \end{split}$$

Hence if u^{ε} additionally satisfies the boundary condition of (H_{ε}) , then $\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u^{\varepsilon} dx = 0$ for all $t \in (0, T)$, that is, the total amount of heat $\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u^{\varepsilon} dx$ is conserved.

Next, we give a definition of a variational solution to (H_{ε}) . For each $\varepsilon > 0$, we define a function space $L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$ on $Q_{\varepsilon,T}$ and an inner product on $L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$ as

$$L_{H^{1}(\varepsilon)}^{2} := \left\{ u \in L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon,T}) \mid \nabla u \in L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon,T}) \right\},$$

$$(u_{1}, u_{2})_{L_{H^{1}(\varepsilon)}^{2}} := \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} (u_{1}u_{2} + \nabla u_{1} \cdot \nabla u_{2}) \, dx \, dt.$$
(3.1)

The space $L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$ is a Hilbert space endowed with the above inner product. Let $\|\cdot\|_{L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}}$ denote the norm of $L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$ induced by the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}}$.

DEFINITION 3.1 Let $u_0^{\varepsilon} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$. A function $u^{\varepsilon} \in L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$ is said to be a variational solution to the initial-boundary value problem (H_{ε}) if it satisfies

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} (-u^{\varepsilon} \partial_t w + \nabla u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla w) \, dx \, dt - \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)} u_0^{\varepsilon} w(0) \, dx = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for all $w \in C^1(\overline{Q_{\varepsilon,T}})$ with w(T) = 0 in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(T)$.

The variational formulation (3.2) is derived as follows. Suppose that u^{ε} is a classical solution to (H_{ε}) . We multiply both sides of the heat equation in $Q_{\varepsilon,T}$ by an arbitrary function $w \in C^1(\overline{Q_{\varepsilon,T}})$ with w(T) = 0 in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(T)$ and integrate them over $Q_{\varepsilon,T}$ to get

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} (\partial_t u^{\varepsilon} - \Delta u^{\varepsilon}) w \, dx \, dt = 0$$

We calculate the left-hand side of the above equality. By the Reynolds transport theorem and the conditions $u^{\varepsilon}(0) = u_0^{\varepsilon}$ in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$ and w(T) = 0 in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(T)$, we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} (\partial_t u^{\varepsilon}) w \, dx \, dt = -\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u^{\varepsilon} \partial_t w \, dx \, dt - \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} v_{\varepsilon}^N u^{\varepsilon} w \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt - \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)} u_0^{\varepsilon} w(0) \, dx.$$

On the other hand, by integration by parts,

$$-\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} (\Delta u^{\varepsilon}) w \, dx \, dt = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} \nabla u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla w \, dx - \int_{\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} (\partial_{v_{\varepsilon}} u^{\varepsilon}) w \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} (-u^{\varepsilon} \partial_t w + \nabla u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla w) \, dx \, dt - \int_0^T \int_{\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} (\partial_{v_{\varepsilon}} u^{\varepsilon} + v_{\varepsilon}^N u^{\varepsilon}) w \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt - \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)} u_0^{\varepsilon} w(0) \, dx = 0$$

and we obtain (3.2) by applying the boundary condition of (H_{ε}) to the second term of the left-hand side in the above equality.

Our goal in this section is to obtain a unique variational solution to (H_{ε}) that satisfies an energy estimate with a constant independent of ε . To this end, we transform (3.2) into a variational formulation of some equation on the fixed (in time) domain $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$ with the aid of a suitable diffeomorphism between $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$ and $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$.

Lemma 3.2 For each $t \in [0, T]$, there exists a diffeomorphism $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, t): \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0) \to \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ with its inverse $\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\cdot, t): \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t) \to \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$ such that Ψ_{ε} and Ψ_{ε}^{-1} are smooth on $\overline{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)} \times [0, T]$ and $\overline{Q_{\varepsilon,T}}$, respectively, and $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, 0)$ is the identity mapping on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$. Moreover, there exists a constant c > 0 independent of ε such that

$$\left|\partial_{X}^{\alpha}\partial_{t}^{k}\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t)\right| \leq c, \qquad \left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{t}^{k}\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x,t)\right| \leq c \tag{3.3}$$

for all $(X,t) \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0) \times (0,T)$, $(x,t) \in Q_{\varepsilon,T}$, and $|\alpha| + k \leq 2, k = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof. We observe that for each $X \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$ there is a unique $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$X = p(X,0) + \varepsilon \{ (1-\theta)g_0(p(X,0),0) + \theta g_1(p(X,0),0) \} \nu(p(X,0),0),$$
(3.4)

that is, X divides the line segment A_0A_1 internally in the ratio $\theta: 1 - \theta$, where

$$A_i := p(X, 0) + \varepsilon g_i (p(X, 0), 0) \nu (p(X, 0), 0), \quad i = 0, 1.$$

Based on this observation we define $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X, t) \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ as

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t) := \Phi\left(p(X,0),t\right) + \varepsilon\left\{\left(1-\theta\right)g_0\left(\Phi\left(p(X,0),t\right),t\right) + \theta g_1\left(\Phi\left(p(X,0),t\right),t\right)\right\}\nu\left(\Phi\left(p(X,0),t\right),t\right), \quad (3.5)$$

that is, $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X, t)$ divides the line segment B_0B_1 internally in the ratio $\theta: 1 - \theta$, where

$$B_i := \Phi\left(p(X,0),t\right) + \varepsilon g_i\left(\Phi\left(p(X,0),t\right),t\right)\nu\left(\Phi\left(p(X,0),t\right),t\right), \quad i = 0, 1.$$

To eliminate θ in (3.5), we take the inner product of both sides of (3.4) and $\nu(p(X, 0), 0)$. Then

$$\{X - p(X, 0)\} \cdot \nu(p(X, 0), 0) = \varepsilon\{(1 - \theta)g_0(p(X, 0), 0) + \theta g_1(p(X, 0), 0)\}.$$

Since $\{X - p(X, 0)\} \cdot v(p(X, 0), 0) = d(X, 0)$ and $g_1 - g_0 = g > 0$, it follows that

$$\theta = \frac{d(X,0) - \varepsilon g_0(p(X,0),0)}{\varepsilon g(p(X,0),0)}.$$

Hence, by substituting this for θ in (3.5), we obtain

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t) = \Phi\left(p(X,0),t\right) + \left\{d(X,0)\phi_1(X,t) + \varepsilon\phi_2(X,t)\right\}\nu\left(\Phi\left(p(X,0),t\right),t\right)$$
(3.6)

for $X \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$ and $t \in [0, T]$, where

$$\phi_1(X,t) := \frac{g\Big(\Phi\big(p(X,0),t\big),t\Big)}{g\big(p(X,0),0\big)}, \quad \phi_2(X,t) := g_0\Big(\Phi\big(p(X,0),t\big),t\Big) - \phi_1(X,t)g_0\big(p(X,0),0\big).$$

Similarly we define a mapping Ψ_{ε}^{-1} as

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x,t) := \Phi^{-1}(p(x,t),t) + \{d(x,t)\phi_3(x,t) + \varepsilon\phi_4(x,t)\}\nu(\Phi^{-1}(p(x,t),t),0)$$
(3.7)

for $(x, t) \in Q_{\varepsilon,T}$, where

$$\phi_{3}(x,t) := \frac{g\left(\Phi^{-1}(p(x,t),t),0\right)}{g(p(x,t),t)},$$

$$\phi_{4}(x,t) := g_{0}\left(\Phi^{-1}(p(x,t),t),0\right) - \phi_{3}(x,t)g_{0}(p(x,t),t).$$

By definition, $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, t): \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0) \to \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is a bijection with its inverse $\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\cdot, t): \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t) \to \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$. Also, since $\Phi(\cdot, 0)$ is the identity mapping on Γ_0 , we have $\phi_1(X, 0) = 1$, $\phi_2(X, 0) = 0$ and thus

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,0) = p(X,0) + d(X,0)\nu(p(X,0),0) = X \text{ for all } X \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0),$$

that is, $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, 0)$ is the identity mapping on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$. Due to the smoothness of Φ , Φ^{-1} , d, p, g_0 , and g_1 , the right-hand sides of (3.6) and (3.7) are smooth on the compact sets $\overline{N(0)} \times [0, T]$ and $\overline{N_T}$, respectively, and thus bounded independently of ε along with their derivatives. From this fact and the inclusion $\overline{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} \subset N(t)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$, it follows that Ψ_{ε} and Ψ_{ε}^{-1} are smooth on $\overline{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)} \times [0, T]$ and $\overline{Q_{\varepsilon,T}}$, respectively, and that the inequalities (3.3) hold with a constant c > 0 independent of ε . In particular, $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, t): \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0) \to \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is a diffeomorphism for each $t \in [0, T]$.

Let Ψ_{ε} and Ψ_{ε}^{-1} be the mappings given by Lemma 3.2. In (3.2), we set

$$U^{\varepsilon}(X,t) := u^{\varepsilon} \big(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t), t \big), \quad W(X,t) := w \big(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t), t \big), \quad (X,t) \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0) \times (0,T).$$

Then, by the change of variables $x = \Psi_{\varepsilon}(X, t)$, we transform (3.2) into

$$\int_0^T \left\{ -\left(U^{\varepsilon}(t), J^{\varepsilon}(t)\partial_t W(t)\right)_{L^2} + \left(A^{\varepsilon}(t)\nabla U^{\varepsilon}(t) - U^{\varepsilon}(t)B^{\varepsilon}(t), \nabla W(t)\right)_{L^2} \right\} dt - (u_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}, W(0))_{L^2} = 0.$$
(3.8)

Here $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2}$ denotes the inner product of $L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$ and

$$J^{\varepsilon}(X,t) := \left| \det \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t) \right| \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$A^{\varepsilon}(X,t) := J^{\varepsilon}(X,t) \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \left(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t),t \right) \left[\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \left(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t),t \right) \right]^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

$$B^{\varepsilon}(X,t) := J^{\varepsilon}(X,t) \partial_{t} \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \left(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t),t \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

for $(X, t) \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0) \times (0, T)$, where

$$\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1} := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1})_1 & \dots & \partial_n(\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1})_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial_1(\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1})_n & \dots & \partial_n(\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1})_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1})_1 \\ \vdots \\ (\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1})_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and $[\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}]^T$ denotes the transposed matrix of $\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}$. Note that the vector field B^{ε} comes from the differentiation of $w(x,t) = W(\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x,t),t)$ with respect to t holding $x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ fixed:

$$\partial_t w(x,t) = \partial_t W \big(\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x,t), t \big) + \partial_t \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x,t) \cdot \nabla W \big(\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x,t), t \big).$$

Since w(T) = 0 in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(T)$ and $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, 0)$ is the identity mapping on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$, we have W(T) = 0 and $J^{\varepsilon}(0) = 1$ in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$. Thus, by integration by parts with respect to *t*, we further transform (3.8) into

$$\int_{0}^{T} \{ {}_{(H^{1})'} \langle \partial_{t} U^{\varepsilon}(t), J^{\varepsilon}(t) W(t) \rangle_{H^{1}} + \left(U^{\varepsilon}(t), W(t) \partial_{t} J^{\varepsilon}(t) \right)_{L^{2}} + \left(A^{\varepsilon}(t) \nabla U^{\varepsilon}(t) - U^{\varepsilon}(t) B^{\varepsilon}(t), \nabla W(t) \right)_{L^{2}} \} dt = 0.$$
(3.9)

Here $_{(H^1)'}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^1}$ is the duality product between $H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$ and its dual space $(H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)))'$.

Theorem 3.3 For every $u_0^{\varepsilon} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$, there exists a unique function

$$U^{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}(0,T; L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))) \cap L^{2}(0,T; H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)))$$

with $\partial_{t}U^{\varepsilon} \in L^{2}(0,T; (H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)))')$

that satisfies (3.9) for all $W \in L^2(0,T; H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)))$ and $U^{\varepsilon}(0) = u_0^{\varepsilon}$ in $L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$. Moreover, there exists a constant c > 0 independent of u_0^{ε} , U^{ε} , and ε such that

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T)} \|U^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))}^{2} + \int_{0}^{T} \|\nabla U^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))}^{2} dt \leq c \|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))}^{2}.$$
(3.10)

Proof. For i, j = 1, ..., n, let A_{ij}^{ε} be the (i, j)-entry of A^{ε} and B_i^{ε} be the *i*-th component of B^{ε} . Suppose that there is a positive constant *C* independent of ε such that

$$C^{-1} \leqslant J^{\varepsilon}(X,t) \leqslant C, \tag{3.11}$$

$$|\nabla J^{\varepsilon}(X,t)| \leq C, \quad |\partial_t J^{\varepsilon}(X,t)| \leq C, \quad |A_{ij}^{\varepsilon}(X,t)| \leq C, \quad |B_i^{\varepsilon}(X,t)| \leq C, \quad (3.12)$$

$$A^{\varepsilon}(X,t)\zeta \cdot \zeta \ge C |\zeta|^2 \tag{3.13}$$

for all $(X,t) \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0) \times (0,T)$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and i, j = 1, ..., n. Then the theorem is proved by a standard Galerkin method and Gronwall argument, see [10, Section 7.1] for details. In particular, the constant c in (3.10) depends only on the above C and thus it is independent of ε .

Let us prove (3.11), (3.12), and (3.13). The inequalities (3.12) and the right-hand inequality of (3.11) immediately follow from (3.3). Since $\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t),t)\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t) = I_n$, it follows that

$$\left|\det\nabla\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t),t)\right|J^{\varepsilon}(X,t)=1,\quad\left[\nabla\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t)\right]^{T}\left[\nabla\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t),t)\right]^{T}=I_{n}$$

for all $(X,t) \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0) \times (0,T)$. The first equality yields the left-hand inequality of (3.11) because $|\det \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}|$ is bounded on $Q_{\varepsilon,T}$ independently of ε by (3.3). Moreover, the above equality and (3.3) imply that, for all $(X,t) \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0) \times (0,T)$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$\begin{split} |\zeta|^{2} &= \left| \left[\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t) \right]^{T} \left[\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \left(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t),t \right) \right]^{T} \zeta \right|^{2} \\ &\leq c \left| \left[\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \left(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t),t \right) \right]^{T} \zeta \right|^{2} \\ &= c \left\{ \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \left(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t),t \right) \left[\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \left(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t),t \right) \right]^{T} \zeta \right\} \cdot \zeta \\ &= c \left| \det \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \left(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t),t \right) \right| A^{\varepsilon}(X,t) \zeta \cdot \zeta \leq c A^{\varepsilon}(X,t) \zeta \cdot \zeta \end{split}$$

with a constant c > 0 independent of ε . Thus (3.13) follows.

Now we can show the existence and uniqueness of a variational solution to (H_{ε}) and its energy estimate with a constant independent of ε .

Theorem 3.4 For every $u_0^{\varepsilon} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$, there exists a unique variational solution u^{ε} to (H_{ε}) . Moreover, u^{ε} satisfies that $u^{\varepsilon}(0) = u_0^{\varepsilon}$ in $L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$ and

$$\sup_{t \in (0,T)} \|u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))}^{2} + \int_{0}^{T} \|\nabla u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))}^{2} dt \leq c \|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))}^{2}$$
(3.14)

with a constant c > 0 independent of u_0^{ε} , u^{ε} , and ε .

Proof. For each $u_0^{\varepsilon} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$, let U^{ε} be the unique function given by Theorem 3.3 and we set

$$u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) := U^{\varepsilon} \big(\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x,t), t \big), \quad (x,t) \in Q_{\varepsilon,T}.$$

Since $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, 0)$ is the identity mapping on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$ by Lemma 3.2 and $U^{\varepsilon}(0) = u_0^{\varepsilon}$ in $L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$ by Theorem 3.3, we have $u^{\varepsilon}(0) = u_0^{\varepsilon}$ in $L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$. Let us show that u^{ε} satisfies (3.2) for all $w \in C^1(\overline{Q_{\varepsilon,T}})$ with w(T) = 0 in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(T)$. Since Ψ_{ε} is smooth on $\overline{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)} \times [0, T]$, a function

$$W(X,t) := w(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t),t), \quad (X,t) \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0) \times [0,T]$$

40

is in $C^1(\overline{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)} \times [0, T])$ and satisfies W(T) = 0 in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$. Hence we can substitute it for W in (3.9) and integrate by parts with respect to t to get (3.8). By changing variables $X = \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x, t)$ in (3.8), we obtain (3.2).

Next we prove the energy estimate (3.14). By the change of variables $x = \Psi_{\varepsilon}(X, t)$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} \left| u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) \right|^{2} dx = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)} \left| U^{\varepsilon}(X,t) \right|^{2} \left| \det \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t) \right| dX,$$
$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} \left| \nabla u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) \right|^{2} dx = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)} \left| \left[\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \left(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t),t \right) \right]^{T} \nabla U^{\varepsilon}(X,t) \right|^{2} \left| \det \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}(X,t) \right| dX.$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Hence the inequalities (3.3) yield

$$\|u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))}^{2} \leq c \|U^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))}^{2}, \qquad \|\nabla u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))}^{2} \leq c \|\nabla U^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))}^{2}$$

with a constant c > 0 independent of ε . By these inequalities and (3.10), we obtain (3.14) and thus $u^{\varepsilon} \in L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$. Hence u^{ε} is a variational solution to (H_{ε}) .

Finally, the uniqueness of a variational solution to (H_{ε}) follows from that of a function given by Theorem 3.3. The proof is complete.

REMARK 3.5 Let u^{ε} be the unique variational solution to (H_{ε}) with initial data $u_0^{\varepsilon} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$. Then it immediately follows from (3.14) that

$$\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}_{H^{1}(\varepsilon)}} \leq c \|u^{\varepsilon}_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))},$$
(3.15)

where c > 0 is a constant independent of u_0^{ε} , u^{ε} , and ε . We will use this inequality in Section 6.

4. Basic function spaces on evolving surfaces

In this section, we define function spaces on the space-time manifold S_T introduced by Olshanskii, Reusken, and Xu [19] and give their properties. These spaces will give an appropriate variational formulation of a limit equation on $\Gamma(t)$ we will derive in Section 6. All results in this section are originally obtained in [19] for the three-dimensional case. They can be easily extended for arbitrary dimensions and we give proofs of them for the readers' convenience.

For each fixed T > 0, we define a function space H_T and an inner product on H_T as

$$H_T := \{ v \in L^2(S_T) \mid \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v \in L^2(S_T) \},\$$

$$(v_1, v_2)_{H_T} := \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma(t)} \{ v_1(y, t) v_2(y, t) + \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v_1(y, t) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v_2(y, t) \} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) dt.$$

(4.1)

This inner product induces the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_T}$ that is equivalent to the one induced by the inner product $\int_{S_T} \{v_1(\sigma)v_2(\sigma) + \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v_1(\sigma) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v_2(\sigma)\} d\mathcal{H}^n(\sigma)$, since the identity

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma(t)} f(y,t) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \, dt = \int_{S_T} f(\sigma) (1+|v_\Gamma^N(\sigma)|^2)^{-1/2} \, d\mathcal{H}^n(\sigma) \tag{4.2}$$

holds and v_T^N is bounded on S_T . This identity is stated in [19] without proof. We give the proof of (4.2) in Appendix B for the readers' convenience. If $T_1 < T_2$, then H_{T_2} is continuously embedded into H_{T_1} just by restricting elements of H_{T_2} on S_{T_1} .

Next we define an auxiliary space. Let $H^1(\Gamma_0) := \{ V \in L^2(\Gamma_0) \mid \nabla_{\Gamma_0} V \in L^2(\Gamma_0) \}$ with the inner product $(V_1, V_2)_{H^1(\Gamma_0)} := \int_{\Gamma_0} (V_1 V_2 + \nabla_{\Gamma_0} V_1 \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_0} V_2) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$, where ∇_{Γ_0} is the tangential gradient on Γ_0 . Then we define a Hilbert space \hat{H}_T as

$$\widehat{H}_T := L^2(0,T; H^1(\Gamma_0)), \quad (V_1, V_2)_{\widehat{H}_T} := \int_0^T (V_1(t), V_2(t))_{H^1(\Gamma_0)} dt$$

and let $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{H}_T}$ denote the norm of \widehat{H}_T induced by the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\widehat{H}_T}$.

Let $\Phi(\cdot, t): \Gamma_0 \to \Gamma(t)$ be the flow map of V_{Γ} and $\Phi^{-1}(\cdot, t)$ be its inverse mapping (see Section 2). For a function V on $\Gamma_0 \times (0, T)$, we define a function v = LV on S_T as

> $v(y,t) := V(\Phi^{-1}(y,t),t), \quad (y,t) \in S_T.$ (4.3)

Also, for a function v on S_T , we define a function $V = L^{-1}v$ on $\Gamma_0 \times (0, T)$ as

$$V(Y,t) := v(\Phi(Y,t),t), \quad (Y,t) \in \Gamma_0 \times (0,T).$$

Clearly L and L^{-1} are linear mappings and satisfy $L^{-1}(LV) = V$ and $L(L^{-1}v) = v$ for all functions V on $\Gamma_0 \times (0, T)$ and v on S_T .

Lemma 4.1 The linear mapping L given by (4.3) defines an isomorphism between \hat{H}_T and H_T .

A short proof is given in [19]. We give a detailed proof in Appendix B for the completeness.

Let $C_0^1(S_T)$ be the space of all functions in $C^1(S_T)$ with compact support in S_T . That is, each function in $C_0^1(S_T)$ vanishes near t = 0 and t = T.

Lemma 4.2 The space H_T is a Hilbert space and $C_0^1(S_T)$ is dense in H_T .

Proof. Since \hat{H}_T is a Hilbert space, Lemma 4.1 implies that H_T is a Hilbert space. Also, since $C_0^1(\Gamma_0 \times (0,T))$ is dense in \widehat{H}_T (see [19, Lemma 3.1]) and $C_0^1(S_T)$ includes $L[C_0^1(\Gamma_0 \times (0,T))]$, Lemma 4.1 again implies that $C_0^1(S_T)$ is dense in H_T .

The space H_T is continuously embedded into $L^2(S_T)$. Moreover, H_T is dense in $L^2(S_T)$ since it includes a dense subspace $C_0^1(S_T)$ of $L^2(S_T)$. Hence we have continuous and dense embeddings $H_T \hookrightarrow L^2(S_T) \hookrightarrow H'_T$, where H'_T is the dual space of H_T . For $v \in C^1(S_T)$, we define its (strong) material derivative $\partial^{\bullet} v$ as

$$\partial^{\bullet} v \left(\Phi(Y, t), t \right) := \frac{d}{dt} \left(v \left(\Phi(Y, t), t \right) \right), \quad (Y, t) \in \Gamma_0 \times (0, T).$$

$$(4.4)$$

From the Leibniz formula (see [4, Lemma 2.2])

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Gamma(t)} v\,d\,\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \int_{\Gamma(t)} (\partial^{\bullet}v + v\,\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}V_{\Gamma})\,d\,\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, \quad v \in C^{1}(S_{T}),$$

we have the integration by parts identity

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} (v_{2}\partial^{\bullet}v_{1} + v_{1}\partial^{\bullet}v_{2} + v_{1}v_{2}\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}V_{\Gamma}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} dt$$
$$= \int_{\Gamma(T)} v_{1}(T)v_{2}(T) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \int_{\Gamma(0)} v_{1}(0)v_{2}(0) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \quad (4.5)$$

for all $v_1, v_2 \in C^1(S_T)$. Based on this identity, we define the weak material derivative of $v \in H_T$ as a functional $\partial^{\bullet} v$ such that

$$\langle \partial^{\bullet} v, \psi \rangle_{T} := -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} (v \,\partial^{\bullet} \psi + v \psi \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt, \quad \psi \in C_{0}^{1}(S_{T}).$$
(4.6)

If $v \in C^1(S_T)$, then its weak material derivative agrees with the strong one. We set

$$\|\partial^{\bullet}v\|_{H_{T}'} := \sup_{\psi \in C_{0}^{1}(S_{T}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle \partial^{\bullet}v, \psi \rangle_{T}}{\|\psi\|_{H_{T}}}, \quad v \in H_{T}.$$

If $\|\partial^{\bullet}v\|_{H'_T}$ is finite for some $v \in H_T$, then $\partial^{\bullet}v$ can be extended to a bounded linear functional on H_T because $C_0^1(S_T)$ is dense in H_T (see Lemma 4.2). In this case, we say that $\partial^{\bullet}v$ is in H'_T and we define a function space W_T and its norm as

$$W_T := \left\{ v \in H_T \mid \partial^{\bullet} v \in H_T' \right\}, \quad \|v\|_{W_T} := \left(\|v\|_{H_T}^2 + \|\partial^{\bullet} v\|_{H_T'}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$
(4.7)

For $T_1 < T_2$, the space W_{T_2} is continuously embedded into W_{T_1} since $C_0^1(S_{T_1}) \subset C_0^1(S_{T_2})$ and H_{T_2} is continuously embedded into H_{T_1} .

To investigate properties of W_T , we define an auxiliary Hilbert space and its norm as

$$\widehat{W}_T := \left\{ V \in \widehat{H}_T \mid \partial_t V \in \widehat{H}_T' \right\}, \quad \|V\|_{\widehat{W}_T} := \left(\|V\|_{\widehat{H}_T}^2 + \|\partial_t V\|_{\widehat{H}_T'}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

Here \widehat{H}'_T is the dual space of \widehat{H}_T and $\partial_t V$ is the weak time derivative of $V \in \widehat{H}_T$ defined as

$$[\partial_t V, \Psi]_T := -\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_0} V \,\partial_t \Psi \, d \,\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt, \quad \Psi \in C_0^1 \big(\Gamma_0 \times (0, T) \big),$$

and we say $\partial_t V \in \widehat{H}'_T$ if $\|\partial_t V\|_{\widehat{H}'_T} := \sup_{\Psi \in C_0^1(\Gamma_0 \times (0,T)) \setminus \{0\}} [\partial_t V, \Psi]_T / \|\Psi\|_{\widehat{H}_T}$ is finite.

Lemma 4.3 The linear mapping L given by (4.3) defines an isomorphism between \widehat{W}_T and W_T .

A proof for the three-dimensional case is given in [19] and easily extended for arbitrary dimensions. We give a complete proof in Appendix B for the readers' convenience.

Lemma 4.3 shows that W_T has similar properties to those of W_T .

Lemma 4.4 The space W_T is a Hilbert space and $C^1(S_T)$ is dense in W_T . Moreover, the trace operator $v \mapsto v(t)$ from $C^1(S_T)$ into $L^2(\Gamma(t))$ for each $t \in [0, T]$ can be extended to a bounded linear operator from W_T to $L^2(\Gamma(t))$ and there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\max_{t \in [0,T]} \|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma(t))} \le c \|v\|_{W_T}$$

for all $v \in W_T$.

Proof. Since \widehat{W}_T is a Hilbert space, Lemma 4.3 implies that W_T is a Hilbert space. For the rest of the proof, see [19, Theorem 3.6].

Finally we show an integration by parts formula which we will use in Section 6.

Lemma 4.5 For all $v_1, v_2 \in W_T$, we have

$$\langle \partial^{\bullet} v_{1}, v_{2} \rangle_{T} + \langle \partial^{\bullet} v_{2}, v_{1} \rangle_{T} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} v_{1} v_{2} \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} dt = \int_{\Gamma(T)} v_{1}(T) v_{2}(T) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \int_{\Gamma_{0}} v_{1}(0) v_{2}(0) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$
 (4.8)

Note that, by Lemma 4.4, $v_i(0)$ and $v_i(T)$, i = 1, 2, are well-defined as functions in $L^2(\Gamma_0)$ and $L^2(\Gamma(T))$, respectively.

Proof. For $v \in C^1(S_T)$, its weak material derivative agrees with the strong one. Thus we have

$$\langle \partial^{\bullet} v, \psi \rangle_T = \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma(t)} (\partial^{\bullet} v) \psi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt, \quad \psi \in C_0^1(S_T)$$

Moreover, since $C_0^1(S_T)$ is dense in H_T (see Lemma 4.2), the above equality holds for all $\psi \in H_T$ and thus (4.8) follows from (4.5) when $v_1, v_2 \in C^1(S_T)$. Since $C^1(S_T)$ is dense in W_T (see Lemma 4.4), a density argument shows that (4.8) holds for general $v_1, v_2 \in W_T$.

5. Average operator

5.1 Definition and basic properties of the average operator

In this section we define and investigate a weighted average operator. Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.13 are fundamental to derivation of a limit equation of (H_{ε}) in Section 6. Other results in this section are also useful themselves.

For $(y,t) \in \overline{S_T}$, let $\kappa_1(y,t), \ldots, \kappa_{n-1}(y,t)$ be the principal curvatures of $\Gamma(t)$ at y (see [11, Section 14.6]). We define a function J on $\overline{S_T} \times (-\delta, \delta)$ as

$$J(y,t,\rho) := \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\{ 1 - \rho \kappa_i(y,t) \right\}, \quad (y,t) \in \overline{S_T}, \ \rho \in (-\delta,\delta).$$

Here $\delta > 0$ is a half of the width of the tubular neighborhood N(t) of $\Gamma(t)$, which is independent of $t \in [0, T]$ (see Section 2). The function J is the Jacobian appearing in the transformation formula

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u(x) \, dx = \int_{\Gamma(t)} \int_{\varepsilon g_0(y,t)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y,t)} u(y + \rho v(y,t)) J(y,t,\rho) \, d\rho \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \tag{5.1}$$

for a function u on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ with each fixed $t \in [0, T]$, see (14.98) in [11]. This formula can be viewed as a co-area formula. Based on this formula, we define a weighted average operator M_{ε} as follows.

DEFINITION 5.1 For a function u on $Q_{\varepsilon,T}$, we define its weighted average $M_{\varepsilon}u$ as

$$M_{\varepsilon}u(y,t) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon g(y,t)} \int_{\varepsilon g_0(y,t)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y,t)} u(y + \rho \nu(y,t),t) J(y,t,\rho) \, d\rho, \quad (y,t) \in S_T.$$
(5.2)

We use the same notation $M_{\varepsilon}u$ for the average of a function u on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ with each fixed $t \in [0, T]$.

44

Before starting to derive properties of the average operator, we give inequalities which we use throughout Section 5 and Section 6. Since $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_{n-1}$ are smooth on $\overline{S_T}$, they are bounded on $\overline{S_T}$ along with their derivatives. Hence, by taking $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, we may assume that there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$c^{-1} \leq 1 - \rho \kappa_i(y, t) \leq c \quad \text{for all} \quad (y, t) \in \overline{S_T}, \ \rho \in (-\delta, \delta), \ i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$
(5.3)

Then J is smooth and bounded on $\overline{S_T} \times (-\delta, \delta)$ along with its derivatives and satisfies

$$c^{-1} \leq J(y,t,\rho) \leq c \quad \text{for all} \quad (y,t) \in \overline{S_T}, \ \rho \in (-\delta,\delta).$$
 (5.4)

Moreover, since $J(y, t, \rho)$ is of the form

$$J(y,t,\rho) = 1 - \rho \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \kappa_i(y,t) + \rho^2 P(\kappa_1(y,t),\ldots,\kappa_{n-1}(y,t),\rho),$$

where P(z) is a polynomial in $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\left|1 - J(y,t,\rho)\right| \leq c\varepsilon, \quad \left|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}J(y,t,\rho)\right| \leq c\varepsilon, \quad \left|\frac{\partial J}{\partial\rho}(y,t,\rho)\right| \leq c$$
 (5.5)

for all $(y,t) \in \overline{S_T}$ and $\rho \in (\varepsilon g_0(y,t), \varepsilon g_1(y,t))$ with a constant c > 0 independent of ε .

Now let us derive properties of the average operator M_{ε} . For a function u on $Q_{\varepsilon,T}$, we set

$$u^{\sharp}(y,t,\rho) := u\big(y + \rho v(y,t),t\big), \quad (y,t) \in S_T, \ \rho \in \big(\varepsilon g_0(y,t), \varepsilon g_1(y,t)\big). \tag{5.6}$$

For simplicity, we omit arguments of functions unless we need to specify them. For example, the co-area formula (5.1) is referred to as

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u \, dx = \int_{\Gamma(t)} \int_{\varepsilon g_0}^{\varepsilon g_1} u^{\sharp} J \, d\rho \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

Throughout the rest of this subsection and the next subsection, we fix $t \in [0, T]$ and omit it. For example, we refer to $\Gamma(t)$ as Γ . Also, let *c* denote a general positive constant independent of *t*.

Lemma 5.2 If $v \in L^2(\Gamma)$, then its constant extension \overline{v} in the normal direction of Γ is in $L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$. Moreover, there exists a constant c > 0 independent of ε such that

$$\|\overline{v}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leqslant c\varepsilon^{1/2} \|v\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}.$$
(5.7)

Proof. By the co-area formula (5.1) and (5.4),

$$\|\overline{v}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2} = \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\varepsilon g_{1}}^{\varepsilon g_{0}} |v|^{2} J \, d\rho \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq c \int_{\Gamma} \varepsilon g |v|^{2} \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq c \varepsilon \|v\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}.$$
(p) follows.

Thus (5.7) follows.

Lemma 5.3 If $u \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$, then $M_{\varepsilon}u \in L^2(\Gamma)$ and

$$\|M_{\varepsilon}u\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} \leq c\varepsilon^{-1/2} \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}$$
(5.8)

with a constant c > 0 independent of ε .

Proof. By Hölder's inequality, (5.4), (2.1), and the co-area formula (5.1),

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma} |M_{\varepsilon}u|^2 \, d\,\mathcal{H}^{n-1} &\leq \int_{\Gamma} (\varepsilon g)^{-2} \bigg(\int_{\varepsilon g_0}^{\varepsilon g_1} J \, d\rho \bigg) \bigg(\int_{\varepsilon g_0}^{\varepsilon g_1} |u^{\sharp}|^2 J \, d\rho \bigg) d\,\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &\leq c \int_{\Gamma} (\varepsilon g)^{-1} \int_{\varepsilon g_0}^{\varepsilon g_1} |u^{\sharp}|^2 J \, d\rho \, d\,\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq c \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |u|^2 \, dx. \end{split}$$

Thus (5.8) follows.

By Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3, the constant extension of $M_{\varepsilon}u$ in the normal direction of Γ is in $L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ for all $u \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$. Let us estimate the difference between u and $M_{\varepsilon}u$ in $L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$.

Lemma 5.4 There exists a constant c > 0 independent of ε such that

$$\left\| u - \overline{M_{\varepsilon}u} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq c \varepsilon \| u \|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}$$
(5.9)

for all $u \in H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$. Here $\overline{M_{\varepsilon}u}$ is the constant extension of $M_{\varepsilon}u$ in the normal direction of Γ . *Proof.* For $y \in \Gamma$ and $\rho \in (\varepsilon g_0(y), \varepsilon g_1(y))$, we set

$$I_1(y,\rho) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon g(y)} \int_{\varepsilon g_0(y)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y)} \left\{ u^{\sharp}(y,\rho) - u^{\sharp}(y,r) \right\} dr,$$
$$I_2(y) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon g(y)} \int_{\varepsilon g_0(y)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y)} u^{\sharp}(y,r) \left\{ 1 - J(y,r) \right\} dr.$$

Then we have $u^{\sharp}(y,\rho) - M_{\varepsilon}u(y) = I_1(y,\rho) + I_2(y)$. Let us estimate I_1 and I_2 . Since

$$\begin{aligned} |u^{\sharp}(y,\rho) - u^{\sharp}(y,r)| &= \left| \int_{r}^{\rho} \frac{d}{d\eta} \Big(u \big(y + \eta v(y) \big) \Big) d\eta \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{r}^{\rho} v(y) \cdot \nabla u \big(y + \eta v(y) \big) d\eta \right| \leq \int_{\varepsilon g_{0}(y)}^{\varepsilon g_{1}(y)} \left| (\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y,\eta) \right| d\eta \end{aligned}$$

for all $\rho, r \in (\varepsilon g_0(y), \varepsilon g_1(y))$ and the right-hand side of the above inequality is independent of r,

$$|I_1(y,\rho)| \leq \int_{\varepsilon g_0(y)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y)} |(\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y,\eta)| \, d\eta.$$

On the other hand, by (2.1) and (5.5) we have

$$|I_2(y)| \leq c \int_{\varepsilon g_0(y)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y)} |u^{\sharp}(y,r)| \, dr.$$

These inequalities and Hölder's inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned} \left| u^{\sharp}(y,\rho) - M_{\varepsilon}u(y) \right| &\leq \left| I_{1}(y,\rho) \right| + \left| I_{2}(y) \right| \leq c \int_{\varepsilon g_{0}(y)}^{\varepsilon g_{1}(y)} \left(\left| u^{\sharp}(y,r) \right| + \left| (\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y,r) \right| \right) dr \\ &\leq c \left(\varepsilon g(y) \int_{\varepsilon g_{0}(y)}^{\varepsilon g_{1}(y)} (\left| u^{\sharp}(y,r) \right|^{2} + \left| (\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y,r) \right|^{2}) dr \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the last term is independent of ρ . Hence by the co-area formula (5.1) and (5.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u - \overline{M_{\varepsilon}u} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2} &= \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\varepsilon g_{0}(y)}^{\varepsilon g_{1}(y)} \left| u^{\sharp}(y,\rho) - M_{\varepsilon}u(y) \right|^{2} J(y,\rho) \, d\rho \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \\ &\leq c \int_{\Gamma} \left\{ \varepsilon g(y) \right\}^{2} \int_{\varepsilon g_{0}(y)}^{\varepsilon g_{1}(y)} \left(\left| u^{\sharp}(y,r) \right|^{2} + \left| (\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y,r) \right|^{2} \right) dr \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \\ &\leq c \varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\varepsilon g_{0}(y)}^{\varepsilon g_{1}(y)} \left(\left| u^{\sharp}(y,r) \right|^{2} + \left| (\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y,r) \right|^{2} \right) J(y,r) \, dr \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \\ &= c \varepsilon^{2} \| u \|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (5.9) follows.

5.2 Tangential gradient of the average operator

In this subsection, we investigate relations between the usual gradient operator in Ω_{ε} and the tangential gradient operator on Γ . We first establish estimates for the gradient of the constant extension of a function on Γ in the normal direction of Γ .

Lemma 5.5 If $v \in H^1(\Gamma)$, then its constant extension \overline{v} in the normal direction of Γ is in $H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$. Moreover, there exists a constant c > 0 independent of ε such that

$$\left\|\nabla\overline{v}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq c\varepsilon^{1/2} \left\|\nabla_{\Gamma}v\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}, \quad \left\|\nabla\overline{v}-\overline{\nabla_{\Gamma}v}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq c\varepsilon^{3/2} \left\|\nabla_{\Gamma}v\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}.$$
(5.10)

Proof. The first inequality of (5.10) and Lemma 5.2 imply $\overline{v} \in H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ for all $v \in H^1(\Gamma)$. The inequalities (5.10) follow from the co-area formula (5.1), (5.4), and the inequalities

$$\left|\nabla\overline{v}(y+\rho\nu(y))\right| \leq c \left|\nabla_{\Gamma}v(y)\right|, \quad \left|\nabla\overline{v}(y+\rho\nu(y))-\nabla_{\Gamma}v(y)\right| \leq c\varepsilon \left|\nabla_{\Gamma}v(y)\right|$$
(5.11)

for all $y \in \Gamma$ and $\rho \in (\varepsilon g_0(y), \varepsilon g_1(y))$. We prove (5.11) in Appendix C. Here we give the main idea for the proof. We fix each $y_0 \in \Gamma$. By a rotation of coordinates, we can take a smooth function $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$ with an open set U in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} such that Γ is described as the graph of f near y_0 and

$$\nabla' f(s_0) = 0, \quad (\nabla')^2 f(s_0) = \text{diag}[\kappa_1(y_0), \dots, \kappa_{n-1}(y_0)],$$

where $y_0 = (s_0, f(s_0))$ with $s_0 \in U$ and ∇' is the gradient in $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ (see [11, Section 14.6]). Then (5.11) at y_0 is proved by direct calculations under this local coordinate system.

Next we approximate an H^1 -bilinear form on Ω_{ε} by that on Γ with the tangential gradient of the weighted average of a function on Ω_{ε} .

Lemma 5.6 For $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \cap H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ and $\varphi \in H^{1}(\Gamma)$, let

$$I_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u,\varphi) := \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \overline{\varphi} \, dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma} g \nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$
(5.12)

Then there exists a constant c > 0 independent of u, φ , and ε such that

$$\left|I_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u,\varphi)\right| \leq c\varepsilon^{3/2} \|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \|\nabla_{\Gamma}\varphi\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}.$$
(5.13)

REMARK 5.7 The bilinear form $I_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u, \varphi)$ is well-defined for $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \cap H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ and $\varphi \in H^{1}(\Gamma)$, since $\overline{\varphi} \in H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ by Lemma 5.5 and $M_{\varepsilon}u$ is smooth on Γ and thus in $H^{1}(\Gamma)$ by the compactness of Γ . We will observe later that $I_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u, \varphi)$ is well-defined and (5.13) holds for all $u \in H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ and $\varphi \in H^{1}(\Gamma)$, see Remark 5.9.

Proof of Lemma 5.6. By the co-area formula (5.1) we have $I_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u, \varphi) = \int_{\Gamma} I(y) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y)$, where

$$I(y) := \int_{\varepsilon g_0(y)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y)} (\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y,\rho) \cdot (\nabla \overline{\varphi})^{\sharp}(y,\rho) J(y,\rho) \, d\rho - \varepsilon g(y) \nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u(y) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi(y).$$

Here we used the notation (5.6). Suppose that there is a constant c > 0 independent of ε such that

$$\left|I(y)\right| \leq c\varepsilon \left|\nabla_{\Gamma}\varphi(y)\right| \int_{\varepsilon g_{0}(y)}^{\varepsilon g_{1}(y)} \left(\left|u^{\sharp}(y,\rho)\right| + \left|(\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y,\rho)\right|\right) d\rho$$
(5.14)

for all $y \in \Gamma$. Then, by (5.14), Hölder's inequality, and (5.4) we have

$$\begin{split} \left| I_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u,\varphi) \right| &\leq c \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma} \left| \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi \right| \int_{\varepsilon g_{0}}^{\varepsilon g_{1}} \left(\left| u^{\sharp} \right| + \left| (\nabla u)^{\sharp} \right| \right) d\rho \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &\leq c \varepsilon \Big(\int_{\Gamma} \left| \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi \right|^{2} d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \Big)^{1/2} \Biggl\{ \int_{\Gamma} \left(\int_{\varepsilon g_{0}}^{\varepsilon g_{1}} \left(\left| u^{\sharp} \right| + \left| (\nabla u)^{\sharp} \right| \right) d\rho \right)^{2} d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \Biggr\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq c \varepsilon \| \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi \|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} \Big(\int_{\Gamma} \varepsilon g \int_{\varepsilon g_{0}}^{\varepsilon g_{1}} \left(\left| u^{\sharp} \right|^{2} + \left| (\nabla u)^{\sharp} \right|^{2} \right) J \, d\rho \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \Biggr\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq c \varepsilon^{3/2} \| \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi \|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} \| u \|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}. \end{split}$$

Hence (5.13) holds. The inequality (5.14) is proved by direct calculations under the local coordinate system we took in the proof of Lemma 5.5. We give a complete proof in Appendix C. \Box

Lemma 5.6 gives an estimate for the $L^2(\Gamma)$ -norm of $\nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u$ for $u \in H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$.

Lemma 5.8 If $u \in H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$, then $M_{\varepsilon}u \in H^1(\Gamma)$ and

$$\|\nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} \leq c \varepsilon^{-1/2} \|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}$$
(5.15)

with a constant c > 0 independent of ε .

Proof. First, we show (5.15) for all $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \cap H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$. For such u, its average $M_{\varepsilon}u$ is smooth on Γ and thus in $H^{1}(\Gamma)$ by the compactness of Γ . We substitute $M_{\varepsilon}u$ for φ in (5.12), (5.13) to get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma} g |\nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u|^2 \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} &= \varepsilon^{-1} \Big(\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \overline{M_{\varepsilon} u} \, dx - I_{\varepsilon}^1(u, M_{\varepsilon} u) \Big), \\ \left| I_{\varepsilon}^1(u, M_{\varepsilon} u) \right| &\leq c \varepsilon^{3/2} \| u \|_{H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \| \nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u \|_{L^2(\Gamma)}. \end{split}$$

Hence, by (2.1), Hölder's inequality, and (5.10) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} &\leq c \int_{\Gamma} g |\nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u|^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &\leq c \varepsilon^{-1} \Big(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \|\nabla \overline{M_{\varepsilon} u}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + \left|I_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u, M_{\varepsilon} u)\right| \Big) \\ &\leq c \varepsilon^{-1} (\varepsilon^{1/2} + \varepsilon^{3/2}) \|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \|\nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} \\ &\leq c \varepsilon^{-1/2} \|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \|\nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} \end{split}$$

and thus (5.15) follows when $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \cap H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$. Since Ω_{ε} is bounded, $C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \cap H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ is dense in $H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$, see [10, Section 5.3.2] for the proof. Hence a density argument together with Lemma 5.3 yields that $M_{\varepsilon}u \in H^{1}(\Gamma)$ and (5.15) holds for all $u \in H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$.

REMARK 5.9 By Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.8, the bilinear form $I_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u, \varphi)$ given by (5.12) is welldefined for all $u \in H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ and $\varphi \in H^{1}(\Gamma)$. Moreover, since $C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \cap H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ is dense in $H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$, a density argument implies that (5.13) also holds for all $u \in H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ and $\varphi \in H^{1}(\Gamma)$.

5.3 Material derivative of the average operator

Now let us return to the evolving surface $\Gamma(t)$. Recall the function spaces $L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$ and H_T given by (3.1) and (4.1), respectively. By Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.8 we immediately get the next lemma.

Lemma 5.10 If $u \in L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$, then $M_{\varepsilon}u \in H_T$ and

$$\|M_{\varepsilon}u\|_{H_T} \leq c\varepsilon^{-1/2} \|u\|_{L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}}$$

with a constant c > 0 independent of ε .

Lemma 5.10 enables us to consider the weak material derivative of $M_{\varepsilon}u \in H_T$ for $u \in L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$. Our goal in this subsection is to give a relation between the weak time derivative of u and the weak material derivative of $M_{\varepsilon}u$. To this end, we show an auxiliary statement about the material derivative of a function on S_T .

Lemma 5.11 Let $\varphi \in C^1(S_T)$ and $\overline{\varphi}$ be its constant extension in the normal direction of $\Gamma(t)$. Then

$$\partial^{\bullet}\varphi(p(x,t),t) = \partial_{t}\overline{\varphi}(x,t) + \{V_{\Gamma}(p(x,t),t) + a(x,t)\} \cdot \nabla\overline{\varphi}(x,t)$$
(5.16)

holds for all $(x, t) \in N_T$ with a vector field $a : N_T \to \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$a(x,t) := d(x,t) \Big\{ \partial_t \nu \big(p(x,t),t \big) + \nabla \nu \big(p(x,t),t \big) V_\Gamma \big(p(x,t),t \big) \Big\}.$$
(5.17)

Here $\nabla v := (\partial v_i / \partial x_j)_{i,j}$ *is the gradient matrix of* v.

Proof. For $X \in N(0)$ and $t \in (0, T)$ we set

$$\Psi(X,t) := \Phi\left(p(X,0),t\right) + d(X,0)\nu\left(\Phi\left(p(X,0),t\right),t\right),$$

where $\Phi(\cdot, t): \Gamma_0 \to \Gamma(t)$ is the flow map of V_{Γ} (see Section 2). By the definition of the constant extension $\overline{\varphi}$ and the formula $p(\Psi(X, t), t) = \Phi(p(X, 0), t)$ we have

$$\overline{\varphi}\big(\Psi(X,t),t\big) = \varphi\big(\Phi\big(p(X,0),t\big),t\big)$$

for all $X \in N(0)$ and $t \in (0, T)$. Differentiating both sides with respect to t and observing that each $x \in N(t)$ is represented as $x = \Psi(X, t)$ with a unique $X \in N(0)$, we get the formula (5.16). For detailed calculations, see Appendix C.

REMARK 5.12 Let $\varphi \in C^1(S_T)$. Since p(y,t) = y and d(y,t) = 0 for all $(y,t) \in S_T$, we have

$$\partial^{\bullet}\varphi = \partial_t\overline{\varphi} + V_{\Gamma}\cdot\nabla\overline{\varphi} = \partial_t\overline{\varphi} + v_{\Gamma}^N\nu\cdot\nabla\overline{\varphi} + V_{\Gamma}^T\cdot\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\overline{\varphi} \quad \text{on} \quad S_T$$

by Lemma 5.11. Here the last equality follows from the fact that V_{Γ}^{T} is tangent to $\Gamma(t)$. Based on this equality, the material derivative operator acting on functions on $\Gamma(t)$ is formally represented as $\partial^{\bullet} = \partial_{t} + V_{\Gamma} \cdot \nabla = \partial_{t} + v_{\Gamma}^{N} v \cdot \nabla + V_{\Gamma}^{T} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}$.

Using Lemma 5.11, we derive an integral formula related to the weak time derivative of a function $u \in L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$ and the weak material derivative of its average $M_{\varepsilon}u \in H_T$.

Lemma 5.13 Let $u \in L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$, $\varphi \in C^1_0(S_T)$, and $\overline{\varphi}$ be its constant extension. Then we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u \,\partial_{t} \overline{\varphi} \,dx \,dt = -\varepsilon \langle \partial^{\bullet} M_{\varepsilon} u, g\varphi \rangle_{T} - \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} (\partial^{\bullet} g + g \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) (M_{\varepsilon} u) \varphi \,d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \,dt \\ - \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g(M_{\varepsilon} u) V_{\Gamma}^{T} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} \varphi \,d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \,dt + I_{\varepsilon}^{2}(u, \varphi; T).$$
(5.18)

Here $I_{\varepsilon}^{2}(u,\varphi;T)$ is a residual term that satisfies

$$\left|I_{\varepsilon}^{2}(u,\varphi;T)\right| \leq c\varepsilon^{3/2} \int_{0}^{T} \|u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))} dt$$
(5.19)

with a constant c > 0 independent of u, φ , and ε .

Note that the tangential velocity V_{Γ}^{T} appears instead of the total velocity V_{Γ} in the third term of the right-hand side of (5.18), see Remark 5.15 below.

Proof. By (5.16), we have $\overline{\partial^{\bullet}\varphi} = \partial_t \overline{\varphi} + (\overline{V_{\Gamma}} + a) \cdot \nabla \overline{\varphi}$ on N_T , where *a* is the vector field on N_T given by (5.17). Hence if we set

$$I_{\varepsilon}^{2}(u,\varphi;T) := -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u\left\{ a \cdot \nabla \overline{\varphi} + \overline{V_{\Gamma}} \cdot \left(\nabla \overline{\varphi} - \overline{\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi} \right) \right\} dx dt$$

then we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u \,\partial_t \overline{\varphi} \,dx \,dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u \left(\overline{\partial^\bullet \varphi} - \overline{V_{\Gamma}} \cdot \overline{\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} \varphi}\right) dx \,dt + I_{\varepsilon}^2(u,\varphi;T).$$
(5.20)

Let us compute the first term of the right-hand side of (5.20). By the co-area formula (5.1) and the definition of the weighted average $M_{\varepsilon}u$ (see (5.2)),

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u(x,t)\overline{\partial^{\bullet}\varphi}(x,t) \, dx &= \int_{\Gamma(t)} \int_{\varepsilon g_{0}(y,t)}^{\varepsilon g_{1}(y,t)} u(y + \rho v(y,t),t) \partial^{\bullet}\varphi(y,t) J(y,t,\rho) \, d\rho \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \\ &= \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma(t)} g(y,t) M_{\varepsilon} u(y,t) \partial^{\bullet}\varphi(y,t) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \end{split}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$. On the other hand, since the weak material derivative is given by (4.6),

$$\begin{split} \langle \partial^{\bullet} M_{\varepsilon} u, g\varphi \rangle_{T} &= -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} \left\{ (M_{\varepsilon} u) \partial^{\bullet} (g\varphi) + (M_{\varepsilon} u) g\varphi \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma} \right\} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} dt \\ &= -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} \left\{ (\partial^{\bullet} g + g \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) (M_{\varepsilon} u) \varphi + g(M_{\varepsilon} u) \partial^{\bullet} \varphi \right\} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} dt. \end{split}$$

Thus it follows that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u \,\overline{\partial^{\bullet}\varphi} \, dx \, dt$$

= $-\varepsilon \langle \partial^{\bullet} M_{\varepsilon} u, g\varphi \rangle_{T} - \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} (\partial^{\bullet} g + g \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) (M_{\varepsilon} u) \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt.$ (5.21)

Since $V_{\Gamma} = v_{\Gamma}^{N}v + V_{\Gamma}^{T}$ and $v \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi = 0$, we have $V_{\Gamma} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi = V_{\Gamma}^{T} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi$ on S_{T} . This equality together with the co-area formula (5.1) yields

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u(x,t) \overline{V_{\Gamma}}(x,t) \cdot \overline{\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi}(x,t) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Gamma(t)} \int_{\varepsilon g_0(y,t)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y,t)} u(y + \rho v(y,t),t) V_{\Gamma}(y,t) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi(y,t) J(y,t,\rho) \, d\rho \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \\ &= \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma(t)} g(y,t) M_{\varepsilon} u(y,t) V_{\Gamma}^T(y,t) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi(y,t) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \end{split}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$ and thus

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u\left(\overline{V_{\Gamma}} \cdot \overline{\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi}\right) dx \, dt = \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma(t)} g(M_{\varepsilon}u) V_{\Gamma}^T \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt.$$
(5.22)

Substituting (5.21) and (5.22) for (5.20), we obtain the equality (5.18).

Let us show the inequality (5.19). In (5.17), the first-order derivatives of ν are bounded on N_T and V_{Γ} is bounded on S_T . Hence there is a constant c > 0 independent of ε such that

$$|a(x,t)| \leq c |d(x,t)| \leq c\varepsilon \max_{i=1,2} \sup_{(y,\tau)\in\overline{S_T}} |g_i(y,\tau)| \leq c\varepsilon$$

for all $(x, t) \in Q_{\varepsilon,T}$. By this inequality, Hölder's inequality, and (5.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| I_{\varepsilon}^{2}(u,\varphi;T) \right| &\leq c \int_{0}^{T} \|u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))} \Big(\varepsilon \|\nabla\overline{\varphi}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))} + \left\|\nabla\overline{\varphi}(t) - \overline{\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi(t)}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))} \Big) dt \\ &\leq c \varepsilon^{3/2} \int_{0}^{T} \|u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))} dt. \end{aligned}$$
Thus (5.19) holds.

Thus (5.19) holds.

REMARK 5.14 If $M_{\varepsilon}u$ is in the Hilbert space W_T given by (4.7), then the right-hand side of (5.18) is well-defined for $\varphi \in H_T$ since $C_0^1(S_T)$ is dense in H_T (see Lemma 4.2). In particular, we can substitute $M_{\varepsilon}u$ for φ in the right-hand side of (5.18). This fact is essential for derivation of the energy estimate for the weighted average of a variational solution to (H_{ε}) (see Lemma 6.4). If we replace M_{ε} in (5.18) by a usual unweighted average operator

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\varepsilon}u(y,t) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon g(y,t)} \int_{\varepsilon g_0(y,t)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y,t)} u(y + \rho v(y,t),t) d\rho,$$

then the estimate for the residual term becomes

$$\left|I_{\varepsilon}^{2}(u,\varphi;T)\right| \leq c\varepsilon^{3/2} \int_{0}^{T} \|u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))} \left(\|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))} + \|\partial^{\bullet}\varphi(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}\right) dt.$$

Because of the term $\|\partial^{\bullet}\varphi(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}$ in the above inequality, the right-hand side of (5.18) with M_{ε} replaced by $\mathfrak{M}_{\varepsilon}$ is not well-defined for $\varphi \in H_T$. Therefore we can not derive the energy estimate for the unweighted average of a variational solution to (H_{ε}) .

REMARK 5.15 Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, connected, and oriented smooth hypersurface. Then, since $\partial \Gamma = \emptyset$, the integral formula (see [25, Section 7.2])

$$\int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} V \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} = -\int_{\Gamma} (V \cdot \nu) H \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

holds for smooth vector fields $V: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Here v is the unit outward normal vector of Γ and $H := -\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} v$ is the mean curvature of Γ . This formula yields the equality

$$\int_{\Gamma} V \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} = -\int_{\Gamma} \left\{ \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} V + (V \cdot \nu) H \right\} \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

for smooth functions φ on Γ . In this equality we decompose $V = v^N v + V^T$ into the normal component $v^N := V \cdot v$ and the tangential component $V^T := V - (V \cdot v)v$. Then, since

$$\nu \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} \left(v^{N} \nu \right) = \nabla_{\Gamma} v^{N} \cdot \nu + v^{N} \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} \nu = 0 + v^{N} \cdot (-H) = -(V \cdot \nu)H,$$

we obtain a usual integration by parts formula

$$\int_{\Gamma} V^{T} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi \, d \,\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = -\int_{\Gamma} \varphi \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma} V^{T} \, d \,\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, \tag{5.23}$$

which we will use to recover a limit equation on $\Gamma(t)$ from its variational formulation. This is the reason the tangential velocity V_{Γ}^{T} appears in (5.18) instead of the total velocity V_{Γ} of $\Gamma(t)$.

52

6. Convergence and characterization of the limit

6.1 Variational formulations of the average of solutions to the heat equation

Let us return to the initial-boundary value problem (H_{ε}) of the heat equation. By Theorem 3.4, for every $u_0^{\varepsilon} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$ there exists a unique variational solution $u^{\varepsilon} \in L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$ to (H_{ε}) .

Let M_{ε} be the weighted average operator defined in Definition 5.1. Our goal in this subsection is to derive a variational formulation of $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}$.

Lemma 6.1 Let $u_0^{\varepsilon} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$ and $u^{\varepsilon} \in L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$ be the unique variational solution to (H_{ε}) given by Theorem 3.4. Then $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} \in H_T$ and it satisfies

$$\langle \partial^{\bullet} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}, g\varphi \rangle_{T} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} (\partial^{\bullet} g + g \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) (M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}) \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g \{ \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} + (M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}) V_{\Gamma}^{T} \} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt = I_{\varepsilon} (u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi; T)$$
(6.1)

for all $\varphi \in C_0^1(S_T)$. Here $I_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi; T)$ is a residual term that satisfies

$$|I_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon},\varphi;T)| \leq c\varepsilon^{1/2} \int_{0}^{T} \|u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))} dt$$
(6.2)

with a constant c > 0 independent of u^{ε} , φ , and ε .

Proof. Since $u^{\varepsilon} \in L^{2}_{H^{1}(\varepsilon)}$, we have $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} \in H_{T}$ by Lemma 5.10. For each $\varphi \in C^{1}_{0}(S_{T})$, its constant extension $\overline{\varphi}$ is in $C^{1}(\overline{Q_{\varepsilon,T}})$ and satisfies $\overline{\varphi}(0) = 0$ in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$ and $\overline{\varphi}(T) = 0$ in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(T)$. Thus, by substituting $\overline{\varphi}$ for w in the variational formulation (3.2) we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} (-u^{\varepsilon} \partial_t \overline{\varphi} + \nabla u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \overline{\varphi}) \, dx \, dt = 0.$$
(6.3)

Moreover, from Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.13 we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} \nabla u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \overline{\varphi} \, dx \, dt = \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt + I_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi; T) \quad (6.4)$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} u^{\varepsilon} \partial_{t} \overline{\varphi} \, dx \, dt$$

= $-\varepsilon \langle \partial^{\bullet} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}, g\varphi \rangle_{T} - \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} \left(\partial^{\bullet} g + g \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma} \right) (M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}) \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt$
 $- \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g(M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}) V_{\Gamma}^{T} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt + I_{\varepsilon}^{2} (u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi; T), \quad (6.5)$

where $I_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u^{\varepsilon},\varphi;T)$ and $I_{\varepsilon}^{2}(u^{\varepsilon},\varphi;T)$ satisfy

$$\left|I_{\varepsilon}^{k}(u^{\varepsilon},\varphi;T)\right| \leq c\varepsilon^{3/2} \int_{0}^{T} \|u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))} dt, \quad k = 1, 2,$$
(6.6)

with some constant c > 0 independent of ε . Hence, by substituting (6.4) and (6.5) for (6.3) and dividing both sides by ε , we obtain (6.1) with the residual term

$$I_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon},\varphi;T) := \varepsilon^{-1} \{ I_{\varepsilon}^{2}(u^{\varepsilon},\varphi;T) - I_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u^{\varepsilon},\varphi;T) \},\$$

which satisfies (6.2) because $I_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi; T)$ and $I_{\varepsilon}^{2}(u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi; T)$ satisfy (6.6).

6.2 Estimates for the average $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}$ in the space W_T

In this subsection, we estimate $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}$ in the Hilbert space W_T given by (4.7).

Lemma 6.2 Let $u_0^{\varepsilon} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$ and $u^{\varepsilon} \in L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$ be the unique variational solution to (H_{ε}) given by Theorem 3.4. Then $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} \in W_T$ and there exists a constant c > 0 independent of u^{ε} and ε such that

$$\|\partial^{\bullet} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}\|_{H_{T}^{\prime}} \leq c \left(\|M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}\|_{H_{T}} + \varepsilon^{1/2} \|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}_{H^{1}(\varepsilon)}} \right).$$

$$(6.7)$$

Proof. Let φ be an arbitrary function in $C_0^1(S_T)$. By substituting $g^{-1}\varphi \in C_0^1(S_T)$ for φ in (6.1), we obtain $\langle \partial^{\bullet} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi \rangle_T = I(u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi) + I_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}, g^{-1}\varphi; T)$, where

$$\begin{split} I(u^{\varepsilon},\varphi) &:= \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma(t)} \left\{ g^{-1} (V_{\Gamma}^T \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} g - \partial^{\bullet} g) - \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma} \right\} (M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}) \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt \\ &- \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma(t)} \left\{ \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} + (M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}) V_{\Gamma}^T \right\} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma(t)} g^{-1} (\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} g \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}) \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt. \end{split}$$

Since g and V_{Γ} are smooth on $\overline{S_T}$, they are bounded on S_T along with their derivatives. Moreover, g^{-1} and V_{Γ}^T are bounded on S_T . Thus we have $|I(u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi)| \leq c ||M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}||_{H_T} ||\varphi||_{H_T}$ with a constant c > 0 independent of u^{ε}, φ , and ε . Also, by (6.2),

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}, g^{-1}\varphi; T)| &\leq c\varepsilon^{1/2} \int_{0}^{T} \|u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}(g^{-1}\varphi)(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))} dt \\ &\leq c\varepsilon^{1/2} \int_{0}^{T} \|u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))} \big(\|\varphi(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))} + \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}\big) dt \\ &\leq c\varepsilon^{1/2} \|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}_{H^{1}(\varepsilon)}} \|\varphi\|_{H_{T}} \end{aligned}$$

with some c > 0 independent of u^{ε} , φ , and ε . Hence we obtain

$$\left| \langle \partial^{\bullet} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi \rangle_{T} \right| \leq \left| I(u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi) \right| + \left| I_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}, g^{-1}\varphi; T) \right| \leq c \left(\| M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} \|_{H_{T}} + \varepsilon^{1/2} \| u^{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{2}_{H^{1}(\varepsilon)}} \right) \| \varphi \|_{H_{T}}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^1(S_T)$, which implies $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} \in W_T$ and the inequality (6.7).

REMARK 6.3 Since $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} \in W_T$ and $C_0^1(S_T)$ is dense in H_T (see Lemma 4.2), the equality (6.1) also holds for all $\varphi \in H_T$. Moreover, since W_{T_1} is continuously embedded into W_{T_2} when $T_1 > T_2$, we have $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} \in W_{\tau}$ for each $\tau \in [0, T]$. Hence (6.1) and (6.2) with T replaced by each $\tau \in [0, T]$ are also valid for all $\varphi \in H_{\tau}$.

Lemma 6.4 Let u_0^{ε} and u^{ε} be as in Lemma 6.2. Then there exists a constant c > 0 independent of u_0^{ε} , u^{ε} , and ε such that the energy estimate

$$\|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(\tau))}^{2} + \int_{0}^{\tau} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}^{2} dt \leq c \left(\|M_{\varepsilon}u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})}^{2} + \varepsilon\|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))}^{2}\right)$$

$$(6.8)$$

holds for all $\tau \in [0, T]$.

Proof. As we mentioned in Remark 6.3, the equality (6.1) holds with T replaced by each $\tau \in [0, T]$. Hence, by substituting $g^{-1}M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} \in H_{\tau}$ for φ in (6.1) with T replaced by τ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\langle \partial^{\bullet} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}, M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{\tau} + \int_{0}^{\tau} \| \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}(t) \|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}^{2} dt \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Gamma(t)} \left\{ g^{-1} (\partial^{\bullet} g - V_{\Gamma}^{T} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} g) + \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma} \right\} |M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}|^{2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} dt \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Gamma(t)} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} (V_{\Gamma}^{T} - g^{-1} \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} g) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} dt = I_{\varepsilon} (u^{\varepsilon}, g^{-1} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}; \tau). \end{split}$$

Moreover, from (4.8) with T replaced by τ ,

$$\langle \partial^{\bullet} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}, M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{\tau} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Gamma(t)} |M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}|^{2} \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} dt + \frac{1}{2} ||M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}(\tau)||_{L^{2}(\Gamma(\tau))}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} ||M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}(0)||_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})}^{2} .$$

Applying this equality and the relation $u^{\varepsilon}(0) = u_0^{\varepsilon}$ in $L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$ (see Theorem 3.4) to the above equality, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(\tau))}^{2} + \int_{0}^{\tau} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}^{2} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|M_{\varepsilon}u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})}^{2} + I_{1}(\tau) + I_{2}(\tau) + I_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}, g^{-1}M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}; \tau), \quad (6.9)$$

where

$$I_{1}(\tau) := -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Gamma(t)} \left\{ 2g^{-1} (\partial^{\bullet}g - V_{\Gamma}^{T} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}g) + \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma} \right\} |M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}|^{2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} dt,$$

$$I_{2}(\tau) := -\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Gamma(t)} M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} (V_{\Gamma}^{T} - g^{-1}\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}g) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} dt.$$

Since g and V_{Γ} are smooth on $\overline{S_T}$, they are bounded on S_T along with their derivatives. Also, g^{-1} and V_{Γ}^T are bounded on S_T . Thus it follows that

$$|I_{1}(\tau)| \leq c \int_{0}^{\tau} \|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}^{2} dt,$$

$$|I_{2}(\tau)| \leq c \int_{0}^{\tau} \|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))} dt.$$
(6.10)

On the other hand, the inequality (6.2) with T replaced by τ yields

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}, g^{-1}M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}; \tau)| \\ &\leq c\varepsilon^{1/2} \int_{0}^{\tau} \|u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}(g^{-1}M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon})(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))} dt \\ &\leq c\varepsilon^{1/2} \int_{0}^{\tau} \|u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))} \left(\|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))} + \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$
(6.11)

Thus, by applying (6.10) and (6.11) to (6.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(\tau))}^{2} + \int_{0}^{\tau} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}^{2} dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|M_{\varepsilon}u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{\tau} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}^{2} dt \\ & + c\int_{0}^{\tau} \left(\|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}^{2} + \varepsilon\|u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))}^{2}\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We multiply both sides by two and subtract $\int_0^\tau \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} M_\varepsilon u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma(t))}^2 dt$ to get

$$\begin{split} \|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(\tau))}^{2} &+ \int_{0}^{\tau} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}^{2} dt \\ &\leq \|M_{\varepsilon}u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})}^{2} + c\int_{0}^{\tau} \left(\|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}^{2} + \varepsilon\|u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t))}^{2}\right) dt. \end{split}$$

Hence Gronwall's inequality implies

$$\|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(\tau))}^{2} + \int_{0}^{\tau} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}^{2} dt \leq c \left(\|M_{\varepsilon}u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})}^{2} + \varepsilon\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}_{H^{1}(\varepsilon)}}^{2}\right)$$

for all $\tau \in [0, T]$, and we obtain (6.8) by applying (3.15) to the second term of the right-hand side of the above inequality.

Lemma 6.5 Let u_0^{ε} and u^{ε} be as in Lemma 6.2. Then there exists a constant c > 0 independent of u_0^{ε} , u^{ε} , and ε such that

$$\|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}\|_{W_{T}} \leq c \left(\|M_{\varepsilon}u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})} + \varepsilon^{1/2}\|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))}\right).$$
(6.12)

Proof. It follows from (6.8) that

$$\|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}\|_{H_{T}} \leq c \big(\|M_{\varepsilon}u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})} + \varepsilon^{1/2}\|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))}\big).$$

Moreover, by applying this inequality and (3.15) to (6.7) we have

$$\|\partial^{\bullet} M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}\|_{H_{T}'} \leq c \left(\|M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}\|_{H_{T}} + \varepsilon^{1/2} \|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}_{H^{1}(\varepsilon)}} \right) \leq c \left(\|M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})} + \varepsilon^{1/2} \|u^{\varepsilon}_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))} \right).$$

hus we obtain (6.12).

Thus we obtain (6.12).

56

6.3 Limit equation on evolving surfaces and weak convergence of $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}$

Assume that $I_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi; T) = 0$ holds for all $\varphi \in C_0^1(S_T)$ and $v = M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}$ is independent of ε in the variational formulation (6.1). Then v satisfies

$$\langle \partial^{\bullet} v, g\varphi \rangle_{T} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} (\partial^{\bullet} g + g \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) v \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g \left(\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v + v V_{\Gamma}^{T} \right) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt = 0$$
 (6.13)

for all $\varphi \in C_0^1(S_T)$. In addition we assume that v is sufficiently smooth. Since vector fields gvV_{Γ}^T and $g\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v$ are tangent to $\Gamma(t)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$, we can apply the integration by parts formula (5.23) to obtain

$$\langle \partial^{\bullet} v, g\varphi \rangle_{T} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} \left\{ (\partial^{\bullet} g + g \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) v - \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} \left[g (\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v + v V_{\Gamma}^{T}) \right] \right\} \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt = 0.$$

Since this equality holds for all $\varphi \in C_0^1(S_T)$, we conclude that v satisfies

$$\partial^{\bullet}(gv) + (g\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}V_{\Gamma})v - \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}[g(\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v + vV_{\Gamma}^{T})] = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S_{T}$$

This is the limit equation of (H_{ε}) . To justify the above argument, we employ a variational framework introduced by Olshanskii, Reusken, and Xu [19].

DEFINITION 6.6 Let $v_0 \in L^2(\Gamma_0)$. A function $v \in W_T$ is said to be a *variational solution* to the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial^{\bullet}(gv) + (g\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}V_{\Gamma})v - \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}[g(\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v + vV_{\Gamma}^{T})] = 0 & \text{on } S_{T}, \\ v(0) = v_{0} & \text{on } \Gamma_{0}, \end{cases}$$
(H₀)

if v satisfies (6.13) for all $\varphi \in H_T$ and $v(0) = v_0$ in $L^2(\Gamma_0)$.

Note that the condition $v(0) = v_0$ in $L^2(\Gamma_0)$ makes sense for $v \in W_T$ by Lemma 4.4.

REMARK 6.7 Suppose that $v \in W_T$ is a variational solution to (H_0) . Then we have $v \in W_\tau$ for each $\tau \in [0, T]$ since W_T is continuously embedded into W_τ . Moreover, by taking test functions φ from $C_0^1(S_\tau)$ we observe that v is a variational solution to (H_0) with T replaced by τ .

We first prove the uniqueness of a variational solution to the initial value problem (H_0) .

Lemma 6.8 For each $v_0 \in L^2(\Gamma_0)$, there is at most one variational solution to (H_0) .

Proof. Since (H_0) is linear, it is sufficient to show that if $v \in W_T$ is a variational solution to (H_0) with zero initial data then v = 0.

Let v be a variational solution to (H_0) with v(0) = 0 in $L^2(\Gamma_0)$. For each $\tau \in [0, T]$, we substitute $g^{-1}v \in H_{\tau}$ for φ in (6.13) with T replaced by τ and compute as in the proof of Lemma 6.4 (replace $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}$ by v and omit $I_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi; \tau)$). Then we have

$$\|v(\tau)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(\tau))}^{2} + \int_{0}^{\tau} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}^{2} dt \leq \|v(0)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})}^{2} + c \int_{0}^{\tau} \|v(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))}^{2} dt.$$

Since v(0) = 0 in $L^2(\Gamma_0)$, the above inequality yields

$$\|v(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Gamma(\tau))}^2 \leq \int_0^\tau \|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma(t))}^2 dt.$$

Hence by Gronwall's inequality we obtain $v(\tau) = 0$ in $L^2(\Gamma(\tau))$ for all $\tau \in [0, T]$.

Now let us show that $\{M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ converges weakly in W_T and that the limit is a unique variational solution to the initial value problem (H_0) .

Theorem 6.9 Let $u_0^{\varepsilon} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$ and $u^{\varepsilon} \in L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$ be the unique variational solution to (H_{ε}) given by Theorem 3.4. Suppose that the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (a) There exist constants c > 0 and $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$ such that $\|u_0^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))} \leq c\varepsilon^{-\gamma}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.
- (b) There exists $v_0 \in L^2(\Gamma_0)$ such that $\{M_{\varepsilon}u_0^{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ converges weakly to v_0 in $L^2(\Gamma_0)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Then $\{M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ converges weakly in W_T as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Moreover, the weak limit $v \in W_T$ of $\{M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ is the unique variational solution to (H_0) with initial data v_0 .

Proof. By the condition (b), $\{M_{\varepsilon}u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})$. From this fact, the inequality (6.12), and the condition (a) it follows that

$$\|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}\|_{W_{T}} \leq c \left(\|M_{\varepsilon}u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})} + \varepsilon^{1/2}\|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))}\right) \leq c \left(1 + \varepsilon^{-\gamma + 1/2}\right) \leq c$$
(6.14)

with some constant c > 0 independent of ε . Here the last inequality follows from the condition $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$. Hence $\{M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in the Hilbert space W_T and there exist $v \in W_T$ and a sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}_k$ of positive numbers with $\lim_{k\to\infty} \varepsilon_k = 0$ such that $\{M_{\varepsilon_k}u^{\varepsilon_k}\}_k$ converges weakly to v in W_T as $k \to \infty$.

Let us show that v is the unique variational solution to (H_0) with initial data v_0 . First we show that v satisfies the variational formulation (6.13) for all $\varphi \in H_T$. To this end, we return to the variational formulation (6.1) of $M_{\varepsilon_k} u^{\varepsilon_k}$:

$$\langle \partial^{\bullet} M_{\varepsilon_{k}} u^{\varepsilon_{k}}, g\varphi \rangle_{T} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} (\partial^{\bullet} g + g \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) (M_{\varepsilon_{k}} u^{\varepsilon_{k}}) \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g \{ \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} M_{\varepsilon_{k}} u^{\varepsilon_{k}} + (M_{\varepsilon_{k}} u^{\varepsilon_{k}}) V_{\Gamma}^{T} \} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt = I_{\varepsilon_{k}} (u^{\varepsilon_{k}}, \varphi; T).$$
(6.15)

Let $k \to \infty$ in (6.15). Since $\{M_{\varepsilon_k} u^{\varepsilon_k}\}_k$ converges weakly to v in W_T as $k \to \infty$ and g, V_{Γ} are bounded on S_T along with their derivatives, the left-hand side of (6.15) converges to

$$\begin{split} \langle \partial^{\bullet} v, g\varphi \rangle_{T} &+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} (\partial^{\bullet} g + g \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) v\varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt \\ &+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g \big(\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v + v V_{\Gamma}^{T} \big) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (6.2) and (3.15) that

$$|I_{\varepsilon_{k}}(u^{\varepsilon_{k}},\varphi;T)| \leq c\varepsilon_{k}^{1/2} \int_{0}^{T} \|u^{\varepsilon_{k}}(t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon_{k}}(t))} \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}\varphi(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma(t))} dt$$
$$\leq c\varepsilon_{k}^{1/2} \|u^{\varepsilon_{k}}\|_{L^{2}_{H^{1}(\varepsilon_{k})}} \|\varphi\|_{H_{T}} \leq c\varepsilon_{k}^{1/2} \|u_{0}^{\varepsilon_{k}}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon_{k}}(0))} \|\varphi\|_{H_{T}}$$

with a constant c > 0 independent of ε_k . This inequality and the condition (a) imply that

$$|I_{\varepsilon_k}(u^{\varepsilon_k},\varphi;T)| \le c\varepsilon_k^{-\gamma+1/2} \|\varphi\|_{H_T} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty,$$
(6.16)

since $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$ and c is independent of ε_k . Hence v satisfies (6.13) for all $\varphi \in H_T$.

Next we show that $v(0) = v_0$ in $L^2(\Gamma_0)$. Let $\eta \in C^{\infty}([0, T])$ satisfy $\eta(0) = 1$ and $\eta(T) = 0$. We take an arbitrary $\varphi_0 \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma_0)$ and set $\varphi(y,t) := \varphi_0(\Phi^{-1}(y,t))\eta(t)$ for $(y,t) \in \overline{S_T}$, where $\Phi^{-1}(\cdot,t)$ is the inverse mapping of the flow map $\Phi(\cdot,t):\Gamma_0 \to \Gamma(t)$ (see Section 2). Due to the smoothness of Φ^{-1} , the function φ is smooth on $\overline{S_T}$ and thus $\varphi \in W_T$. Moreover, it satisfies $\varphi(0) = \varphi_0$ on Γ_0 and $\varphi(T) = 0$ on $\Gamma(T)$. Substituting $g^{-1}\varphi$ for φ in (6.13) and (6.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial^{\bullet} v, \varphi \rangle_{T} &+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} (g^{-1} \partial^{\bullet} g + \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) v \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt \\ &+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g \left(\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v + v V_{\Gamma}^{T} \right) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} (g^{-1} \varphi) \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \langle \partial^{\bullet} M_{\varepsilon_{k}} u^{\varepsilon_{k}}, \varphi \rangle_{T} &+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} (g^{-1} \partial^{\bullet} g + \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) (M_{\varepsilon_{k}} u^{\varepsilon_{k}}) \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt \\ &+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g \big\{ \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} M_{\varepsilon_{k}} u^{\varepsilon_{k}} + (M_{\varepsilon_{k}} u^{\varepsilon_{k}}) V_{\Gamma}^{T} \big\} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} (g^{-1} \varphi) \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt \\ &= I_{\varepsilon_{k}} (u^{\varepsilon_{k}}, g^{-1} \varphi; T). \end{split}$$

Since φ , v, and $M_{\varepsilon_k} u^{\varepsilon_k}$ are in W_T , we can apply the identity (4.8) to get

$$\langle \partial^{\bullet} v, \varphi \rangle_{T} = -\langle \partial^{\bullet} \varphi, v \rangle_{T} - \int_{\Gamma_{0}} v(0)\varphi_{0} \, d \,\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} v\varphi \, \mathrm{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma} \, d \,\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt$$

and the same identity for $M_{\varepsilon_k} u^{\varepsilon_k}$. Here we used the conditions $\varphi(0) = \varphi_0$ on Γ_0 and $\varphi(T) = 0$ on $\Gamma(T)$. Thus we have

$$-\langle \partial^{\bullet} \varphi, v \rangle_{T} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g^{-1} (\partial^{\bullet} g) v \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g \left(\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v + v V_{\Gamma}^{T} \right) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} (g^{-1} \varphi) \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt = \int_{\Gamma_{0}} v(0) \varphi_{0} \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \quad (6.17)$$

and

$$-\langle \partial^{\bullet}\varphi, M_{\varepsilon_{k}}u^{\varepsilon_{k}}\rangle_{T} + \int_{0}^{T}\int_{\Gamma(t)}g^{-1}(\partial^{\bullet}g)(M_{\varepsilon_{k}}u^{\varepsilon_{k}})\varphi \,d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\,dt$$
$$+ \int_{0}^{T}\int_{\Gamma(t)}g\{\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}M_{\varepsilon_{k}}u^{\varepsilon_{k}} + (M_{\varepsilon_{k}}u^{\varepsilon_{k}})V_{\Gamma}^{T}\}\cdot\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}(g^{-1}\varphi)\,d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\,dt$$
$$= \int_{\Gamma_{0}}(M_{\varepsilon_{k}}u_{0}^{\varepsilon_{k}})\varphi_{0}\,d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + I_{\varepsilon_{k}}(u^{\varepsilon_{k}},g^{-1}\varphi;T). \quad (6.18)$$

Let $k \to \infty$ in (6.18). Since $\{M_{\varepsilon}u_0^{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ converges weakly to v_0 in $L^2(\Gamma_0)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Gamma_0} M_{\varepsilon_k} u_0^{\varepsilon_k} \varphi_0 \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \int_{\Gamma_0} v_0 \, \varphi_0 \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

Moreover, since $\{M_{\varepsilon_k}u^{\varepsilon_k}\}_k$ converges weakly to v in W_T as $k \to \infty$ and (6.16) holds with φ replaced by $g^{-1}\varphi$, both sides of (6.18) converge to

$$-\langle \partial^{\bullet} \varphi, v \rangle_{T} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g^{-1} (\partial^{\bullet} g) v \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g \big(\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v + v V_{\Gamma}^{T} \big) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} (g^{-1} \varphi) \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt = \int_{\Gamma_{0}} v_{0} \, \varphi_{0} \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \quad (6.19)$$

Comparing (6.17) and (6.19), we obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} v(0)\varphi_0 \, d\,\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \int_{\Gamma_0} v_0 \,\varphi_0 \, d\,\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \quad \text{for all} \quad \varphi_0 \in C^\infty(\Gamma_0)$$

Since $C^{\infty}(\Gamma_0)$ is dense in $L^2(\Gamma_0)$, it follows that $v(0) = v_0$ in $L^2(\Gamma_0)$. Hence v is the unique variational solution to (H_0) with initial data v_0 . Here the uniqueness follows from Lemma 6.8.

Finally, using the boundedness of $\{M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ in W_T (see (6.14)) and the uniqueness of a variational solution to (H_0) (see Lemma 6.8), we can prove by contradiction that the full sequence $\{M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ converges weakly to v in W_T as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The argument is standard and thus we omit the details. \Box

Corollary 6.10 For every $v_0 \in L^2(\Gamma_0)$, there exists a unique variational solution to (H_0) .

Proof. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, we define a function u_0^{ε} on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0)$ as

$$u_0^{\varepsilon}(X) := \frac{v_0(p(X,0))}{J(p(X,0), 0, d(X,0))}, \quad X \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(0).$$

Clearly $M_{\varepsilon}u_0^{\varepsilon} = v_0$ holds on Γ_0 . Moreover, by the co-area formula (5.1) and (5.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_0^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))} &= \left(\int_{\Gamma_0} \int_{\varepsilon g_0(Y,0)}^{\varepsilon g_1(Y,0)} |v_0(Y)|^2 J(Y,0,\rho)^{-1} \, d\rho \, d\, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(Y)\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c \Big(\int_{\Gamma_0} \varepsilon g(Y,0) |v_0(Y)|^2 \, d\, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(Y)\Big)^{1/2} \leq c \varepsilon^{1/2} \|v_0\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} \end{aligned}$$

with a constant c > 0 independent of ε . Hence $u_0^{\varepsilon} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$ and u_0^{ε} , v_0 satisfy the conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 6.9. Thus the corollary follows from Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 6.9.

REMARK 6.11 Let $H = -\text{div}_{\Gamma(t)}v$ be the mean curvature of $\Gamma(t)$. Since the material derivative operator is formally of the form $\partial^{\bullet} = \partial_t + v_{\Gamma}^N v \cdot \nabla + V_{\Gamma}^T \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}$ (see Remark 5.12) and the formula $\text{div}_{\Gamma(t)}(v_{\Gamma}^N v) = -v_{\Gamma}^N H$ holds (see Remark 5.15), the limit equation (H_0) is formally equivalent to

$$\partial^{\circ}(gv) - v_{\Gamma}^{N}Hgv - \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}(g\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S_{T}.$$

60

Here $\partial^{\circ} = \partial_t + v_{\Gamma}^N v \cdot \nabla$ is the normal time derivative (see [2, 3, 5]). This equation depends on v_{Γ}^N , v, and H, which represent the geometric motion of $\Gamma(t)$. On the other hand, it is independent of the tangential velocity V_{Γ}^T , which represents advection along $\Gamma(t)$. Hence, as we mentioned in Section 1, the evolution of the limit v given by Theorem 6.9 is not affected by advection along $\Gamma(t)$, but the geometric motion of $\Gamma(t)$.

6.4 Estimates for the difference between solutions to the heat equation and the limit equation

Let us estimate the difference between variational solutions to (H_{ε}) and (H_0) . For a function v on S_T , let \overline{v} be its constant extension in the normal direction of $\Gamma(t)$. For a function u on $Q_{\varepsilon,T}$, we set

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon,T})} := \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t)} |u|^2 \, dx \, dt\right)^{1/2}.$$

Theorem 6.12 Let $u_0^{\varepsilon} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))$ and $u^{\varepsilon} \in L^2_{H^1(\varepsilon)}$ be a unique variational solution to (H_{ε}) . Also, let $v_0 \in L^2(\Gamma_0)$ and $v \in W_T$ be a unique variational solution to (H_0) . Then there exists a constant c > 0 independent of u_0^{ε} , u^{ε} , v_0 , v, and ε such that

$$\|u^{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon,T})} \leq c \left(\|u_{0}^{\varepsilon} - \overline{v_{0}}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))} + \varepsilon^{3/2}\|v_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})}\right).$$

$$(6.20)$$

In particular, for each $\alpha \in [0, 3/2)$ we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-\alpha} \| u^{\varepsilon} - \overline{v} \|_{L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon,T})} = 0 \quad provided \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-\alpha} \| u_0^{\varepsilon} - \overline{v_0} \|_{L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}(0))} = 0.$$

We first estimate the difference between $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}$ and v in the space W_T .

Lemma 6.13 Let u_0^{ε} , u^{ε} , v_0 , and v be as in Theorem 6.12. Then there exists a constant c > 0 independent of u_0^{ε} , u^{ε} , v_0 , v, and ε such that

$$\|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} - v\|_{W_{T}} \leq c \left(\|M_{\varepsilon}u_{0}^{\varepsilon} - v_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})} + \varepsilon^{1/2}\|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}\right).$$
(6.21)

In particular, if $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|M_{\varepsilon}u_0^{\varepsilon} - v_0\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} = 0$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{1/2} \|u_0^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))} = 0$, then $\{M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$ converges strongly to v in W_T .

Proof. For each $\tau \in [0, T]$, we subtract both sides of (6.13) with T replaced by τ from those of (6.1). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \partial^{\bullet} (M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} - v), g\varphi \right\rangle_{\tau} &+ \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Gamma(t)} (\partial^{\bullet} g + g \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) (M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} - v) \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Gamma(t)} g \left\{ \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} (M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} - v) + (M_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} - v) V_{\Gamma}^{T} \right\} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} \varphi \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt = I_{\varepsilon} (u^{\varepsilon}, \varphi; \tau) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in H_{\tau}$. Hence, by calculating as in the proof of Lemma 6.2, Lemma 6.4, and Lemma 6.5 (replace $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}$ by $M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} - v$), we obtain (6.21).

Proof of Theorem 6.12. First we show the inequality

$$\|u^{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon,T})} \leq c\varepsilon^{1/2} \big(\|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}_{0} - v_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})} + \varepsilon^{1/2} \|u^{\varepsilon}_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))} \big).$$
(6.22)

To this end, we use the triangle inequality

$$\|u^{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon,T})} \leq \|u^{\varepsilon} - \overline{M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon,T})} + \|\overline{M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}} - \overline{v}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon,T})}$$

and estimate the right-hand side of the above inequality. By (5.9) and (3.15), we have

$$\left\|u^{\varepsilon} - \overline{M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon,T})} \leq c\varepsilon \|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}_{H^{1}(\varepsilon)}} \leq c\varepsilon \|u^{\varepsilon}_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}(0))}$$

with a constant c > 0 independent of ε . On the other hand, by (5.7) and (6.21),

$$\left\|\overline{M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}}-\overline{v}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon,T})} \leq c\varepsilon^{1/2} \|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}-v\|_{H_{T}} \leq c\varepsilon^{1/2} \left(\|M_{\varepsilon}u_{0}^{\varepsilon}-v_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})}+\varepsilon^{1/2}\|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}\right).$$

Hence (6.22) follows.

Next we estimate the right-hand side of (6.22) to get (6.20). We use the notation

$$(u_0^{\varepsilon})^{\sharp}(Y,\rho) := u_0^{\varepsilon}(Y+\rho\nu(Y,0)), \quad Y \in \Gamma_0, \ \rho \in \big(\varepsilon g_0(Y,0), \varepsilon g_1(Y,0)\big),$$

and omit the variables Y, ρ , and t = 0. We set

$$I_1 := \frac{1}{\varepsilon g} \int_{\varepsilon g_0}^{\varepsilon g_1} \left\{ (u_0^{\varepsilon})^{\sharp} - v_0 \right\} J \, d\rho, \quad I_2 := \frac{v_0}{\varepsilon g} \int_{\varepsilon g_0}^{\varepsilon g_1} (J-1) \, d\rho.$$

Then $M_{\varepsilon}u_0^{\varepsilon} - v_0 = I_1 + I_2$ on Γ_0 . By Hölder's inequality and (2.1), (5.4), we have

$$|I_1|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon g} \int_{\varepsilon g_0}^{\varepsilon g_1} \left| (u_0^{\varepsilon})^{\sharp} - v_0 \right|^2 J^2 \, d\rho \leq c \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\varepsilon g_0}^{\varepsilon g_1} \left| (u_0^{\varepsilon})^{\sharp} - v_0 \right|^2 J \, d\rho.$$

On the other hand, (5.5) yields $|I_2| \leq c\varepsilon |v_0|$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \|M_{\varepsilon}u_{0}^{\varepsilon}-v_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})}^{2} &\leq c \int_{\Gamma_{0}} \left(|I_{1}|^{2}+|I_{2}|^{2}\right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &\leq c \int_{\Gamma_{0}} \left(\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\varepsilon g_{0}}^{\varepsilon g_{1}} |(u_{0}^{\varepsilon})^{\sharp}-v_{0}|^{2} J \, d\rho + \varepsilon^{2} |v_{0}|\right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= c \left(\varepsilon^{-1} \|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}-\overline{v_{0}}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))}^{2} + \varepsilon^{2} \|v_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})}^{2}\right). \end{split}$$

Here we used the co-area formula (5.1) in the last equality. The above inequality is equivalent to

$$\|M_{\varepsilon}u_{0}^{\varepsilon} - v_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})} \leq c \left(\varepsilon^{-1/2} \|u_{0}^{\varepsilon} - \overline{v_{0}}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))} + \varepsilon \|v_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})}\right).$$
(6.23)

Moreover, by the triangle inequality and (5.7),

$$\|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))} \leq \|u_{0}^{\varepsilon} - \overline{v_{0}}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))} + \|\overline{v_{0}}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))}$$

$$\leq \|u_{0}^{\varepsilon} - \overline{v_{0}}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}(0))} + c\varepsilon^{1/2}\|v_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})}.$$
 (6.24)

Finally, by applying (6.23) and (6.24) to (6.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon,T})} &\leq c\varepsilon^{1/2} \left(\|M_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}_{0} - v_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})} + \varepsilon^{1/2} \|u^{\varepsilon}_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}(0))} \right) \\ &\leq c\varepsilon^{1/2} \left((\varepsilon^{-1/2} + \varepsilon^{1/2}) \|u^{\varepsilon}_{0} - \overline{v_{0}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}(0))} + \varepsilon \|v_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})} \right) \\ &\leq c \left(\|u^{\varepsilon}_{0} - \overline{v_{0}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}(0))} + \varepsilon^{3/2} \|v_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{0})} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with a constant c > 0 independent of ε . Hence (6.20) holds.

A. Heuristic derivation of the limit equation

Let us give a heuristic derivation of the limit equation (1.1) from (H_{ε}) when $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is of the form $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid -\varepsilon < d(x,t) < \varepsilon\}$. In this case, the unit outward normal vector field v_{ε} of $\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ and the outer normal velocity v_{ε}^N of $\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ are of the form

$$v_{\varepsilon}(x,t) = \pm v(p(x,t),t), \quad v_{\varepsilon}^{N}(x,t) = \pm v_{\Gamma}^{N}(p(x,t),t), \quad (x,t) \in \partial_{\ell} Q_{\varepsilon,T},$$

according to $d(x, t) = \pm \varepsilon$ (double-sign corresponds). Thus we start from the heat equation

$$\partial_t u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) - \Delta u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in Q_{\varepsilon,T}$$

with the boundary condition

$$\nu(p(x,t),t) \cdot \nabla u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) + v_{\Gamma}^{N}(p(x,t),t)u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \partial_{\ell} \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon,T}.$$
(A.1)

To derive the limit equation, we make the following assumptions:

- (1) The signed distance d(x,t) of $x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is negligible $(d(x,t) \approx 0)$, although the quantity $\varepsilon^{-1}d(x,t)$ is not negligible.
- (2) The relation $v_{\Gamma}^{N}(p(x,t),t) \approx -\partial_{t}d(x,t)$ holds for all $(x,t) \in Q_{\varepsilon,T}$.
- (3) The boundary condition (A.1) also holds in the noncylindrical domain $Q_{\varepsilon,T}$.

These assumptions come from the smallness of the width 2ε of $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$. Taking the third assumption into account, we consider the two equations

$$\partial_t u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) - \Delta u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) = 0, \tag{A.2}$$

$$v(p(x,t),t) \cdot \nabla u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) + v_{\Gamma}^{N}(p(x,t),t)u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) = 0$$
(A.3)

for $(x, t) \in Q_{\varepsilon,T}$. Recall that each $x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is represented as

$$x = p(x,t) + d(x,t)\nu(p(x,t),t), \quad \nabla d(x,t) = \nu(x,t) = \nu(p(x,t),t).$$

First, we consider the gradient matrix of the projection p(x, t) onto $\Gamma(t)$ given by

$$\nabla p = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 p_1 & \dots & \partial_n p_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial_1 p_n & \dots & \partial_n p_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad p = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

By differentiating both sides of x = p(x, t) + d(x, t)v(x, t) and using $\nabla d(x, t) = v(x, t)$, we have

$$I_n = \nabla p(x,t) + \nu(x,t) \otimes \nu(x,t) + d(x,t) \nabla \nu(x,t).$$

According to the assumption (1), the above equality reads

$$\nabla p(x,t) \approx I_n - \nu(x,t) \otimes \nu(x,t) = I_n - \nu(p(x,t),t) \otimes \nu(p(x,t),t).$$
(A.4)

We define a function $v: S_T \times (-1, 1) \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$v(y,t,r) := u^{\varepsilon} (y + \varepsilon r v(y,t),t), \quad (y,t) \in S_T, r \in (-1,1).$$

Then u^{ε} is represented by v as

$$u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) = v(p(x,t), t, \varepsilon^{-1}d(x,t)), \quad (x,t) \in Q_{\varepsilon,T}.$$
(A.5)

For abbreviation, we write p and d for p(x,t) and d(x,t) in arguments of functions unless we would like to emphasize them. For example, we write v(p,t) for v(p(x,t),t) and $v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d)$ for $v(p(x,t),t,\varepsilon^{-1}d(x,t))$. By the chain rule of differentiation we have

$$\nabla u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) = \left[\nabla p(x,t)\right]^{T} \nabla v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) + \varepsilon^{-1} \partial_{r} v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) \nabla d(x,t).$$

By (A.4) and $\nabla d(x, t) = v(p(x, t), t)$, this equality reads

$$\nabla u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) \approx \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) + \varepsilon^{-1} \partial_r v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) v(p,t).$$
(A.6)

Here we abused the definition of the tangential gradient $\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} = (I_n - \nu \otimes \nu) \nabla$. Applying (A.6) to (A.3) and observing that $\nu(p, t) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} \nu(p, t, \varepsilon^{-1}d) = 0$, we obtain

$$\varepsilon^{-1}\partial_r v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) \approx -v_\Gamma^N(p,t)v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d)$$
(A.7)

and thus (A.6) becomes

$$\nabla u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) \approx \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) - v_{\Gamma}^{N}(p,t)v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d)v(p,t).$$
(A.8)

Next we compute $\Delta u^{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{div} \nabla u^{\varepsilon}$. For a vector field F on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ with each fixed $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\operatorname{div} F(x) = \operatorname{trace}[\nabla F(x)]$$

=
$$\operatorname{trace}[\{I_n - \nu(x,t) \otimes \nu(x,t)\}\nabla F(x)] + \operatorname{trace}[\nu(x,t) \otimes \nu(x,t)\nabla F(x)]$$

=
$$\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}F(x) + \nu(x,t) \cdot \partial_{\nu}F(x)$$

holds since $v \otimes v$ is a projection matrix onto the *v*-direction. Hence we have

$$\operatorname{div}[\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d)] = \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}[\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d)] + v(x,t) \cdot \partial_{\nu}[\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d)].$$

Moreover, since $p(x + h\nu(x, t), t) = p(x, t)$ and $d(x + h\nu(x, t), t) = d(x, t) + h$ for sufficiently small $h \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that

$$\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v\Big(p\big(x+hv(x,t),t\big),t,\varepsilon^{-1}d\big(x+hv(x,t),t\big)\Big) = \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v(p(x,t),t,\varepsilon^{-1}d(x,t)+\varepsilon^{-1}h)$$

and thus

$$\partial_{\nu} \left[\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) \right] = \varepsilon^{-1} \partial_{r} \left[\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) \right]$$

by the formula $\partial_{\nu} f(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \{f(x + h\nu(x, t)) - f(x)\}/h$ for functions f on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ with fixed $t \in [0, T]$. Hence we obtain

$$\operatorname{div} \left[\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) \right] = \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} \left[\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) \right] + \varepsilon^{-1} v(x,t) \cdot \partial_r \left[\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) \right].$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} & \left[v_{\Gamma}^{N}(p,t)v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d)v(p,t) \right] \\ &= \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} \left[v_{\Gamma}^{N}(p,t)v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d)v(p,t) \right] + \nu(x,t) \cdot \left\{ \varepsilon^{-1}v_{\Gamma}^{N}(p,t)\partial_{r}v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d)\nu(p,t) \right\} \\ &\approx \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} \left[v_{\Gamma}^{N}(p,t)v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d)v(p,t) \right] - \left\{ v_{\Gamma}^{N}(p,t) \right\}^{2} v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d). \end{aligned}$$

Here the last approximation follows from v(x, t) = v(p(x, t), t) and (A.7). Hence, by (A.8),

$$\Delta u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) \approx \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} \left[\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) \right] + \varepsilon^{-1} v(x,t) \cdot \partial_{r} \left[\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) \right] - \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} \left[v_{\Gamma}^{N}(p,t) v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) v(p,t) \right] + \left\{ v_{\Gamma}^{N}(p,t) \right\}^{2} v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d).$$
(A.9)

On the other hand, we differentiate both sides of (A.5) with respect to t to get

$$\partial_t u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) = \partial_t p(x,t) \cdot \nabla v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) + \partial_t v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) + \varepsilon^{-1} \partial_t d(x,t) \partial_r v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d).$$

To this equality we apply (A.7) and

$$\partial_t p(x,t) = -\partial_t d(x,t) \nu(x,t) - d(x,t) \partial_t \nu(x,t) \approx \nu_{\Gamma}^N(p,t) \nu(p,t),$$

where the last approximation follows from the assumptions (1), (2), and v(x,t) = v(p(x,t),t). Then we have

$$\partial_t u^{\varepsilon}(x,t) \approx v_{\Gamma}^N(p,t)v(p,t) \cdot \nabla v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) + \partial_t v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) + \left\{v_{\Gamma}^N(p,t)\right\}^2 v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d).$$
(A.10)

Substituting (A.9) and (A.10) for the equation (A.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) + v_{\Gamma}^N(p,t)v(p,t) \cdot \nabla v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) + \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} \left[v_{\Gamma}^N(p,t)v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d)v(p,t) \right] \\ &- \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} \left[\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) \right] - \varepsilon^{-1}v(x,t) \cdot \partial_r \left[\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v(p,t,\varepsilon^{-1}d) \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us make an additional assumption: the function v(y, t, r) is independent of the variable r. Then, the above equation reads

$$\partial_t v(y,t) + v_{\Gamma}^N(y,t)v(y,t) \cdot \nabla v(y,t) + \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} \left[v_{\Gamma}^N(y,t)v(y,t)v(y,t) \right] - \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} \left[\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v(y,t) \right] = 0$$

with $y = p(x, t) \in \Gamma(t)$. Finally we observe that

$$\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}(v_{\Gamma}^{N}vv) = \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}(v_{\Gamma}^{N}v) \cdot v + v_{\Gamma}^{N}v \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}v = 0 + v_{\Gamma}^{N}v \cdot (-H) = -v_{\Gamma}^{N}Hv,$$

where $H = -\text{div}_{\Gamma(t)}\nu$ is the mean curvature of $\Gamma(t)$, to obtain

$$\partial_t v(y,t) + v_{\Gamma}^N(y,t)v(y,t) \cdot \nabla v(y,t) - v_{\Gamma}^N(y,t)H(y,t)v(y,t) - \Delta_{\Gamma(t)}v(y,t) = 0$$

for $(y, t) \in S_T$. This is the limit equation (1.1) we mentioned in Section 1.

B. Elementary facts on integrals over evolving surfaces

In this appendix we give complete proofs of several facts on integrals over evolving surfaces which are essentially known or easily proved but there is no detailed proof for the readers' convenience. We first show the transformation formula (4.2).

Proof of (4.2). By a localization argument with a partition of unity of S_T , it is sufficient to show

$$\int_{I} \int_{\mu_{t}(U)} f(y,t) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \, dt = \int_{\xi(U \times I)} f(\sigma) \big(1 + \big| v_{\Gamma}^{N}(\sigma) \big|^{2} \big)^{-1/2} \, d\mathcal{H}^{n}(\sigma), \tag{B.1}$$

where I is an open interval in (0, T), U is an open set in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , $\mu_t: U \to \Gamma(t)$ is a smooth local parametrization of $\Gamma(t)$ for each $t \in I$, and $\zeta: U \times I \to S_T$ is given by $\zeta(s, t) = (\mu_t(s), t)$. Moreover, by rotating coordinates and taking I sufficiently small, we may assume that there exists a smooth function h on $U \times I$ such that $\mu_t(s) = (s, h(s, t))$ for all $s \in U$ and $t \in I$. Then $\zeta(s, t) = (s, h(s, t), t)$ and the outward normal velocity v_I^N of $\Gamma(t)$ is given by

$$v_{\Gamma}^{N}(\mu_{t}(s),t) = \frac{\partial_{t}h(s,t)}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla' h(s,t)|^{2}}}, \quad (s,t) \in U \times I.$$
(B.2)

Here ∇' is the gradient in $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and we assume that the *n*-th component of the normal ν is positive on $\zeta(U \times I)$. For $t \in I$ the Riemannian metric on $\Gamma(t)$ is locally given by

$$\frac{\partial \mu_t}{\partial s_i}(s) \cdot \frac{\partial \mu_t}{\partial s_j}(s) = \delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial s_i}(s,t) \frac{\partial h}{\partial s_j}(s,t), \quad s \in U, \, i, j = 1, \dots, n-1$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. Hence the left-hand side of (B.1) is

$$\int_{I} \int_{\mu_{t}(U)} f(y,t) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \, dt = \int_{I} \int_{U} f\left(\mu_{t}(s), t\right) \sqrt{1 + |\nabla' h(s,t)|^{2}} \, ds \, dt. \tag{B.3}$$

On the other hand, since the Riemannian metric on S_T is locally given by

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial s_i}(s,t) \cdot \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial s_j}(s,t) = \delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial s_i}(s,t) \frac{\partial h}{\partial s_i}(s,t),$$
$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial s_i}(s,t) \cdot \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}(s,t) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial s_i}(s,t) \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}(s,t), \quad \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}(s,t) \cdot \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}(s,t) = 1 + \left|\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}(s,t)\right|^2$$

for $s \in U$, $t \in I$, and i, j = 1, ..., n - 1, the right-hand side of (B.1) is

$$\int_{\zeta(U\times I)} f(\sigma) (1 + |v_{\Gamma}^{N}(\sigma)|^{2})^{-1/2} d\mathcal{H}^{n}(\sigma) = \int_{U\times I} f(\mu_{t}(s), t) (1 + |v_{\Gamma}^{N}(\mu_{t}(s), t)|^{2})^{-1/2} \sqrt{\det A(s, t)} \, ds \, dt. \quad (B.4)$$

Here A is a matrix of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} + \nabla' h \otimes \nabla' h & \partial_t h \nabla' h \\ \partial_t h (\nabla' h)^T & 1 + |\partial_t h|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $(\nabla' h)^T$ is the transpose of the column vector $\nabla' h$. By elementary row operations we have

$$\det A = \det \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} + \{1 - |\partial_t h|^2 / (1 + |\partial_t h|^2)\} \nabla h \otimes \nabla h & 0 \\ \partial_t h (\nabla h)^T & 1 + |\partial_t h|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (1 + |\partial_t h|^2) \det \left[I_{n-1} + \left(1 - \frac{|\partial_t h|^2}{1 + |\partial_t h|^2}\right) \nabla h \otimes \nabla h \right]$$
$$= (1 + |\partial_t h|^2) \left\{ 1 + \left(1 - \frac{|\partial_t h|^2}{1 + |\partial_t h|^2}\right) |\nabla h|^2 \right\}$$
$$= \left(1 + \frac{|\partial_t h|^2}{1 + |\nabla h|^2}\right) (1 + |\nabla h|^2).$$

Hence, by (B.2),

det
$$A(s,t) = (1 + |v_{\Gamma}^{N}(\mu_{t}(s),t)|^{2})(1 + |\nabla' h(s,t)|^{2}).$$

Substituting this for the right-hand side of (B.4) and applying Fubini's theorem, we get the right-hand side of (B.3) and thus conclude that (B.1) holds.

Next we give complete proofs of Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3. Before starting to prove, let us construct a partition of unity of $\Gamma(t)$ by that of Γ_0 . Since Γ_0 is compact, we can take a finite family $\{U_k\}_{k=1}^N$ of open sets in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and smooth local parametrizations $\mu_0^k: U_k \to \Gamma_0, k = 1, ..., N$ such that $\{\mu_0^k(U_k)\}_{k=1}^N$ is an open covering of Γ_0 . Let $\{\psi_0^k\}_{k=1}^N$ be a partition of unity of Γ_0 subordinate to the covering $\{\mu_0^k(U_k)\}_{k=1}^N$. For k = 1, ..., N and $t \in [0, T]$ we set

$$\mu_t^k(s) := \Phi(\mu_0^k(s), t), \quad s \in U_k, \quad \psi_t^k := \psi_0^k \circ \mu_0^k \circ (\mu_t^k)^{-1}, \tag{B.5}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot, t): \Gamma_0 \to \Gamma(t)$ is the flow map of V_{Γ} (see Section 2). Then for each k = 1, ..., N the mapping $\mu_t^k: U_k \to \Gamma(t)$ is a local parametrization of $\Gamma(t)$ and $\{\mu_t^k(U_k)\}_{k=1}^N$ is an open covering of $\Gamma(t)$. Moreover, $\{\psi_t^k\}_{k=1}^N$ is a partition of unity of $\Gamma(t)$ subordinate to $\{\mu_t^k(U_k)\}_{k=1}^N$. We use these partitions of unity to localize integrals over $\Gamma(t)$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Let V be a function on $\Gamma_0 \times (0, T)$ and v := LV. Our goal is to show

$$c_1 \|V(t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} \leq \|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma(t))} \leq c_2 \|V(t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)},$$

$$c_1 \|\nabla_{\Gamma_0} V(t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} \leq \|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma(t))} \leq c_2 \|\nabla_{\Gamma_0} V(t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$ with some positive constants c_1 , c_2 independent of t. These inequalities yield $c_1 \|V\|_{\widehat{H}_T} \leq \|v\|_{H_T} \leq c_2 \|V\|_{\widehat{H}_T}$, which means that L is an isomorphism between \widehat{H}_T and H_T . By a localization argument with the partitions of unity given by (B.5), it is sufficient to show that

$$c_{1} \int_{\mu_{0}(Q)} |V(t)|^{2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \int_{\mu_{t}(Q)} |v(t)|^{2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq c_{2} \int_{\mu_{0}(Q)} |V(t)|^{2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, \quad (B.6)$$

$$c_{1} \int_{\mu_{0}(Q)} |\nabla_{\Gamma_{0}} V(t)|^{2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \int_{\mu_{t}(Q)} |\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(t)|^{2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq c_{2} \int_{\mu_{0}(Q)} |\nabla_{\Gamma_{0}} V(t)|^{2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \quad (B.7)$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$ and all V supported in $\mu_0(Q) \times (0, T)$. Here $\mu_0: U \to \Gamma_0$ be a smooth local parametrization of Γ_0 with an open set U in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , Q is a compact subset of U, and $\mu_t: U \to \Gamma(t)$ is the local parametrization of $\Gamma(t)$ given by $\mu_t(s) := \Phi(\mu_0(s), t)$ for $s \in U$. Note that in this case v = LV is supported in $\bigcup_{t \in (0,T)} \mu_t(Q) \times \{t\}$. Let $\theta_t = (\theta_{t,ij})_{i,j}$ be a matrix given by

$$\theta_{t,ij}(s) := \frac{\partial \mu_t}{\partial s_i}(s) \cdot \frac{\partial \mu_t}{\partial s_j}(s), \quad (s,t) \in U \times [0,T], \, i,j = 1, \dots, n-1, \tag{B.8}$$

and $\theta_t^{-1} = (\theta_t^{ij})_{ij}$ be the inverse matrix of θ_t . By the definition of integrals over hypersurfaces,

$$\int_{\mu_0(Q)} |V(Y,t)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(Y) = \int_Q |V(\mu_0(s),t)|^2 \sqrt{\det \theta_0(s)} \, ds,$$
$$\int_{\mu_t(Q)} |v(y,t)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) = \int_Q |v(\mu_t(s),t)|^2 \sqrt{\det \theta_t(s)} \, ds.$$

Since $\sqrt{\det \theta_t(s)}$ is continuous and does not vanish as a function of (s, t) on the compact set $Q \times [0, T]$, there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$c^{-1} \leq \sqrt{\det \theta_t(s)} \leq c \quad \text{for all} \quad (s,t) \in Q \times [0,T].$$
 (B.9)

Moreover, by the definitions of L and μ_t ,

$$v(\mu_t(s), t) = V(\Phi^{-1}(\mu_t(s), t), t) = V(\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\mu_0(s), t), t), t) = V(\mu_0(s), t)$$
(B.10)

for all $(s, t) \in U \times [0, T]$. Hence (B.6) follows. Similarly, by (B.9) and the equality

$$\int_{\mu_0(Q)} |\nabla_{\Gamma_0} V(Y,t)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(Y) = \int_Q |\nabla_{\Gamma_0} V(\mu_0(s),t)|^2 \sqrt{\det \theta_0(s)} ds,$$
$$\int_{\mu_t(Q)} |\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(y,t)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) = \int_Q |\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(\mu_t(s),t)|^2 \sqrt{\det \theta_t(s)} ds,$$

it is sufficient for (B.7) to show that

$$c_1 |\nabla_{\Gamma_0} V(\mu_0(s), t)|^2 \leq |\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(\mu_t(s), t)|^2 \leq c_2 |\nabla_{\Gamma_0} V(\mu_0(s), t)|^2$$
(B.11)

for all $(s,t) \in Q \times [0,T]$. The tangential gradients $\nabla_{\Gamma_0} V$ and $\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v$ are locally expressed as (see [6, Section 2.1 and Section 2.2] for example)

$$\nabla_{\Gamma_0} V(\mu_0(s), t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \theta_0^{ij}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_j} (V(\mu_0(s), t)) \frac{\partial \mu_0}{\partial s_i}(s),$$
$$\nabla_{\Gamma(t)} v(\mu_t(s), t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \theta_t^{ij}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_j} (v(\mu_t(s), t)) \frac{\partial \mu_t}{\partial s_i}(s)$$

for $(s, t) \in U \times [0, T]$ and their Euclidean norms are

$$\left|\nabla_{\Gamma_{0}}V(\mu_{0}(s),t)\right|^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \theta_{0}^{ij}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{i}} \left(V(\mu_{0}(s),t)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{j}} \left(V(\mu_{0}(s),t)\right)$$
$$\left|\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}v(\mu_{t}(s),t)\right|^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \theta_{t}^{ij}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{i}} \left(v(\mu_{t}(s),t)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{j}} \left(v(\mu_{t}(s),t)\right).$$

Then, by (B.10), it is sufficient for (B.11) to show

 $c_1\theta_0^{-1}(s)a \cdot a \leq \theta_t^{-1}(s)a \cdot a \leq c_2\theta_0^{-1}(s)a \cdot a$ for all $(s, t, a) \in Q \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. (B.12) To this end, we consider a real-valued function

$$F(s,t,a) := \theta_t^{-1}(s)a \cdot a, \quad (s,t,a) \in Q \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

It is continuous on $Q \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and satisfies $F(s, t, a) = |B(s, t, a)|^2$, where

$$B(s,t,a) := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i(s,t,a) \frac{\partial \mu_t}{\partial s_i}(s), \quad b = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) := \theta_t^{-1}(s)a.$$

For $a \neq 0$ we have $b \neq 0$ and thus $B \neq 0$. Hence *F* does not vanish on the compact set $Q \times [0, T] \times S^{n-2}$, where S^{n-2} is the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . From this fact and the continuity of *F* there is a constant c > 0 such that $c^{-1} \leq F(s, t, a) \leq c$ for all $(s, t, a) \in Q \times [0, T] \times S^{n-2}$ and thus

$$|c^{-1}|a|^2 \leq \theta_t^{-1}(s)a \cdot a \leq c|a|^2$$
 for all $(s, t, a) \in Q \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

This inequality yields (B.12) and we conclude that (B.7) is valid.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. First we give transformation formulas of integrals over Γ_0 and $\Gamma(t)$. Let U be an open set in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and $\mu_0: U \to \Gamma_0$ be a smooth local parametrization of Γ_0 . Moreover, let $\mu_t: U \to \Gamma(t)$ be the local parametrization of $\Gamma(t)$ given by $\mu_t(s) := \Phi(\mu_0(s), t)$. We set

$$\Lambda(\mu_0(s),t) := \sqrt{\frac{\det \theta_t(s)}{\det \theta_0(s)}}, \quad \lambda(\mu_t(s),t) := \sqrt{\frac{\det \theta_0(s)}{\det \theta_t(s)}}, \quad (s,t) \in U \times [0,T],$$

where $\theta_t = (\theta_{t,ij})_{ij}$ is given by (B.8). We can show that the right-hand sides of the above definitions are independent of the choice of the local parametrization μ_0 . From this fact and the smoothness assumption on Φ , the functions Λ and λ are well-defined and smooth on the compact manifolds $\Gamma_0 \times [0, T]$ and $\overline{S_T}$, respectively. In particular, they are bounded on $\Gamma_0 \times [0, T]$ and $\overline{S_T}$ along with their derivatives. Moreover, by a localization argument with the partitions of unity given by (B.5), we get the integral transformation formulas

$$\int_{\Gamma(t)} v(y,t) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) = \int_{\Gamma_0} V(Y,t) \Lambda(Y,t) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(Y), \tag{B.13}$$

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} V(Y,t) \, d\,\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(Y) = \int_{\Gamma(t)} v(y,t)\lambda(y,t) \, d\,\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \tag{B.14}$$

for all functions V on $\Gamma_0 \times (0, T)$ and all $t \in (0, T)$, where v = LV.

Now let us prove the statement of Lemma 4.3. For $V \in \widehat{W}_T$ we set v := LV. Then Lemma 4.1 yields $v \in H_T$ and $||v||_{H_T} \leq c ||V||_{\widehat{H}_T}$. We next show that $\partial^{\bullet} v \in H'_T$ and $||\partial^{\bullet} v||_{H'_T} \leq c ||V||_{\widehat{W}_T}$. Let $\psi \in C_0^1(S_T)$. Then $\Psi := L^{-1}\psi$ is in $C_0^1(\Gamma_0 \times (0,T))$ and $\partial^{\bullet} \psi(\Phi(Y,t),t) = \partial_t \Psi(Y,t)$ for all $Y \in \Gamma_0$. Hence (B.13) yields

$$\begin{split} \langle \partial^{\bullet} v, \psi \rangle_{T} &= -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma(t)} (v \, \partial^{\bullet} \psi + v \psi \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)} V_{\Gamma}) \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt \\ &= -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{0}} (V \, \partial_{t} \Psi + V \Psi F) \Lambda \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt, \end{split}$$

where $F := L^{-1}(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma(t)}V_{\Gamma}) \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma_0 \times [0, T])$. Moreover, since $\Psi \Lambda \in C_0^1(\Gamma_0 \times (0, T))$,

$$-\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_0} V\Lambda \,\partial_t \Psi \,d\,\mathcal{R}^{n-1} \,dt = [\partial_t V, \Psi\Lambda]_T + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_0} V\Psi \,\partial_t \Lambda \,d\,\mathcal{R}^{n-1} \,dt$$

by the definition of the weak time derivative $\partial_t V$. From these formulas and the boundedness of F and Λ on $\Gamma_0 \times (0, t)$ along with their derivatives, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \partial^{\bullet} v, \psi \rangle_{T}| &= \left| [\partial_{t} V, \Psi \Lambda]_{T} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{0}} (V \Psi \, \partial_{t} \Lambda - V \Psi \Lambda F) \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \, dt \right| \\ &\leq c(\|\partial_{t} V\|_{\widehat{H}_{T}'} \|\Psi \Lambda\|_{\widehat{H}_{T}} + \|V\|_{\widehat{H}_{T}} \|\Psi\|_{\widehat{H}_{T}}) \leq c \|V\|_{\widehat{W}_{T}} \|\psi\|_{H_{T}} \end{aligned}$$

with a constant c > 0 independent of V and ψ , which implies $\partial^{\bullet} v \in H'_{T}$ and $\|\partial^{\bullet} v\|_{H'_{T}} \leq c \|V\|_{\widehat{W}_{T}}$. Hence v = LV is in W_{T} and $\|v\|_{W_{T}} \leq c \|V\|_{\widehat{W}_{T}}$ for every $V \in \widehat{W}_{T}$.

Similarly, by (B.14) and the smoothness of λ on $\overline{S_T}$ we can show that $V := L^{-1}v$ is in \widehat{W}_T and $\|V\|_{\widehat{W}_T} \leq c \|v\|_{W_T}$ for every $v \in W_T$. Hence L is an isomorphism between \widehat{W}_T and W_T .

C. Calculations involving the differential geometry of tubular neighborhoods

The purpose of this appendix is to show detailed calculations in the proofs of Lemma 5.5, Lemma 5.6, and Lemma 5.11. We fix $t \in [0, T]$ and omit it until the end of the proof of Lemma 5.6.

The proofs of Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6 involve calculations of the usual gradient in N and the tangential gradient on Γ under a local coordinate system. Let $\mu: U \to \Gamma$ be a local parametrization of Γ with an open set U in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . We set

$$\theta_{ij}(s) := \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial s_i}(s) \cdot \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial s_j}(s), \quad s \in U, \, i, j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Then, the tangential gradient of a function v on Γ is locally expressed as

$$\nabla_{\Gamma} v(y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \theta^{ij}(s) \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}}{\partial s_j}(s) \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial s_i}(s), \quad y = \mu(s) \in \mu(U), \tag{C.1}$$

where $\widetilde{v}(s) := v(\mu(s))$ and $\theta^{-1} = (\theta^{ij})_{i,j}$ denotes the inverse matrix of $\theta = (\theta_{ij})_{i,j}$. We define a mapping $M: U \times (-\delta, \delta) \to N$ as $M(s, \rho) := \mu(s) + \rho v(\mu(s))$ for $(s, \rho) \in U \times (-\delta, \delta)$ and set

$$\Theta_{ij}(s,\rho) := \frac{\partial M}{\partial s_i}(s,\rho) \cdot \frac{\partial M}{\partial s_j}(s,\rho), \quad (s,\rho) \in U \times (-\delta,\delta), \ i,j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $s_n := \rho$. Then the gradient (in \mathbb{R}^n) of a function u on N is locally expressed as

$$\nabla u(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \Theta^{ij}(s,\rho) \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}}{\partial s_j}(s,\rho) \frac{\partial M}{\partial s_i}(s,\rho), \quad x = M(s,\rho) \in M(U \times (-\delta,\delta)),$$
(C.2)

where $\widetilde{u}(s,\rho) := u(M(s,\rho))$ and $\Theta^{-1} = (\Theta^{ij})_{i,j}$ is the inverse matrix of $\Theta = (\Theta_{ij})_{i,j}$.

70

Let v be a function on Γ and \overline{v} be its constant extension in the normal direction of Γ . Then their local representations $\widetilde{v} := v \circ \mu$ and $\widetilde{\overline{v}} := \overline{v} \circ M$ satisfy

$$\widetilde{\overline{v}}(s,\rho) = \overline{v}\Big(p\big(M(s,\rho)\big)\Big) = v\big(\mu(s)\big) = \widetilde{v}(s), \quad (s,\rho) \in U \times (-\delta,\delta).$$

Hereafter we use this fact without mention.

Proof of Lemma 5.5. Let $v \in H^1(\Gamma)$. Our goal is to show the inequalities

$$\left|\nabla \overline{v}(y+\rho v(y))\right| \leq c \left|\nabla_{\Gamma} v(y)\right|, \quad \left|\nabla \overline{v}(y+\rho v(y))-\nabla_{\Gamma} v(y)\right| \leq c \varepsilon \left|\nabla_{\Gamma} v(y)\right| \tag{C.3}$$

for all $y \in \Gamma$ and $\rho \in (\varepsilon g_0(y), \varepsilon g_1(y))$ with a constant c > 0 independent of y, ρ , and ε . For each fixed $y_0 \in \Gamma$, by a rotation of coordinates we can take an open set U in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and a local parametrization $\mu: U \to \Gamma$ such that $y_0 = \mu(s_0)$ with $s_0 \in U$ and μ is of the form $\mu(s) = (s, f(s))$ with a smooth function f on U satisfying

$$\nabla' f(s_0) = 0, \quad (\nabla')^2 f(s_0) = \text{diag}[\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-1}],$$
 (C.4)

where ∇' is the gradient in $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $\kappa_i := \kappa_i(y_0)$ for i = 1, ..., n-1 (see [11, Section 14.6]). We set the direction of $\nu(y_0)$ in the positive direction of the x_n -axis to get

$$\nu(\mu(s)) = \frac{\left(-\nabla' f(s), 1\right)}{\sqrt{1 + \left|\nabla' f(s)\right|^2}}, \quad s \in U.$$

Then we have $v(y_0) = v(\mu(s_0)) = e_n$ and

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial s_i}(s_0) = e_i, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s_i} \left(\nu(\mu(s)) \right) \Big|_{s=s_0} = -\kappa_i e_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1$$
(C.5)

by (C.4), where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n . This equality yields

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial s_i}(s_0,\rho) = (1-\rho\kappa_i)e_i, \quad i = 1,\dots,n-1, \quad \frac{\partial M}{\partial \rho}(s_0,\rho) = \nu(\mu(s_0)) = e_n.$$
(C.6)

Hence we have $\theta(s_0) = I_{n-1}$, $\Theta(s_0, \rho) = \text{diag}[(1 - \rho \kappa_1)^2, \dots, (1 - \rho \kappa_{n-1})^2, 1]$, and

$$\theta^{-1}(s_0) = I_{n-1}, \quad \Theta^{-1}(s_0, \rho) = \text{diag}[(1 - \rho \kappa_1)^{-2}, \dots, (1 - \rho \kappa_{n-1})^{-2}, 1].$$
 (C.7)

Applying (C.5), (C.6), and (C.7) to (C.1) and (C.2) with $u = \overline{v}$, we obtain

$$\nabla_{\Gamma} v(y_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}}{\partial s_i}(s_0) e_i, \quad \nabla \overline{v} (y_0 + \rho v(y_0)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \rho \kappa_i)^{-1} \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}}{\partial s_i}(s_0) e_i$$

and thus (5.3) implies that

$$\left|\nabla \overline{v} (y_0 + \rho v(y_0))\right|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \rho \kappa_i)^{-2} \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}}{\partial s_i}(s_0)\right)^2 \le c \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}}{\partial s_i}(s_0)\right)^2 = \left|\nabla_{\Gamma} v(y_0)\right|^2,$$

which yields the first inequality of (C.3) with y replaced by y_0 . Moreover, by (5.3) we have

$$\left|(1-\rho\kappa_i)^{-1}-1\right| = \left|\rho\kappa_i(1-\rho\kappa_i)^{-1}\right| \leq c\varepsilon$$

for all $\rho \in (\varepsilon g_0(y_0), \varepsilon g_1(y_0))$ and $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and thus

$$\left|\nabla \overline{v}(y_0 + \rho v(y_0)) - \nabla_{\Gamma} v(y_0)\right|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\{ (1 - \rho \kappa_i)^{-1} - 1 \right\}^2 \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{v}}{\partial s_i}(s_0) \right)^2 \le c \varepsilon^2 \left| \nabla_{\Gamma} v(y_0) \right|^2.$$

Hence the second inequality of (C.3) with y replaced by y_0 is valid.

To prove Lemma 5.6, we need a differentiation formula of the average operator under a local coordinate system. Let U be an open set in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and $\mu: U \to \Gamma$ be a local parametrization of Γ . The weighted average of a function u on Ω_{ε} is locally expressed as

$$\widetilde{M_{\varepsilon}u}(s) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon \widetilde{g}(s)} \int_{\varepsilon \widetilde{g}_0(s)}^{\varepsilon \widetilde{g}_1(s)} \widetilde{u}(s,\rho) \widetilde{J}(s,\rho) \, d\rho, \quad s \in U,$$
(C.8)

where $\widetilde{M_{\varepsilon}u}(s) = M_{\varepsilon}u(\mu(s)), \widetilde{u}(s,\rho) = u(M(s,\rho))$, and

$$\widetilde{J}(s,\rho) := J(\mu(s),\rho) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \{1 - \rho \kappa_i(\mu(s))\}.$$
(C.9)

Lemma C.1 Let $u \in H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$. Then

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{M_{\varepsilon}u}}{\partial s_{i}}(s) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon \widetilde{g}(s)} \int_{\widetilde{\varepsilon g}_{0}(s)}^{\widetilde{\varepsilon g}_{1}(s)} \left\{ \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}}{\partial s_{i}}(s,\rho) \widetilde{J}(s,\rho) + \widetilde{u}(s,\rho) \frac{\partial \widetilde{J}}{\partial s_{i}}(s,\rho) \right\} d\rho + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \widetilde{g}(s)} \int_{\widetilde{\varepsilon g}_{0}(s)}^{\widetilde{\varepsilon g}_{1}(s)} \left\{ \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}}{\partial \rho}(s,\rho) \widetilde{J}(s,\rho) + \widetilde{u}(s,\rho) \frac{\partial \widetilde{J}}{\partial \rho}(s,\rho) \right\} \chi_{i}(s,\rho) d\rho \quad (C.10)$$

for all $s \in U$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, where

$$\chi_i(s,\rho) := \frac{1}{\widetilde{g}(s)} \left\{ (\rho - \varepsilon \widetilde{g}_0(s)) \frac{\partial \widetilde{g}_1}{\partial s_i}(s) + (\varepsilon \widetilde{g}_1(s) - \rho) \frac{\partial \widetilde{g}_0}{\partial s_i}(s) \right\}.$$
 (C.11)

Proof. For simplicity, we set $\partial_i = \partial/\partial s_i$ and $\partial_\rho = \partial/\partial \rho$. For each i = 1, ..., n-1, we differentiate both sides of (C.8) with respect to s_i to get

$$\partial_{i}\widetilde{M_{\varepsilon}u} = \frac{I}{\varepsilon \widetilde{g}} - \frac{\partial_{i}\widetilde{g}}{\varepsilon(\widetilde{g})^{2}} \int_{\varepsilon \widetilde{g}_{0}}^{\varepsilon \widetilde{g}_{1}} \widetilde{u}\widetilde{J} \, d\rho + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \widetilde{g}} \int_{\varepsilon \widetilde{g}_{0}}^{\varepsilon \widetilde{g}_{1}} \left\{ (\partial_{i}\widetilde{u})\widetilde{J} + \widetilde{u}(\partial_{i}\widetilde{J}) \right\} d\rho, \tag{C.12}$$

where I = I(s) is given by

$$I(s) := \varepsilon \partial_i \widetilde{g}_1(s) \widetilde{u}(s, \varepsilon \widetilde{g}_1(s)) \widetilde{J}(s, \varepsilon \widetilde{g}_1(s)) - \varepsilon \partial_i \widetilde{g}_0(s) \widetilde{u}(s, \varepsilon \widetilde{g}_0(s)) \widetilde{J}(s, \varepsilon \widetilde{g}_0(s)).$$

Since $I = [\widetilde{u}(\rho)\widetilde{J}(\rho)\chi_i(\rho)]_{\rho=\widetilde{eg}_0}^{\widetilde{eg}_1} = \int_{\widetilde{eg}_0}^{\widetilde{eg}_1} \partial_\rho(\widetilde{u}\widetilde{J}\chi_i) d\rho \text{ and } \partial_\rho\chi_i = \partial_i\widetilde{g}/\widetilde{g}, \text{ we have}$ $\frac{I}{\widetilde{eg}} = \frac{\partial_i\widetilde{g}}{\widetilde{e(g)}^2} \int_{\widetilde{eg}_0}^{\widetilde{eg}_1} \widetilde{u}\widetilde{J} d\rho + \frac{1}{\widetilde{eg}} \int_{\widetilde{eg}_0}^{\widetilde{eg}_1} \{(\partial_\rho\widetilde{u})\widetilde{J} + \widetilde{u}(\partial_\rho\widetilde{J})\}\chi_i d\rho.$ (C.13)

Substituting (C.13) for (C.12), we obtain (C.10).

Proof of Lemma 5.6. As in the proof of Lemma C.1, we write $\partial_i = \partial/\partial s_i$ and $\partial_{\rho} = \partial/\partial \rho$. Let $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \cap H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon}), \varphi \in H^1(\Gamma)$, and

$$I(y) := \int_{\varepsilon g_0(y)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y)} (\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y,\rho) \cdot (\nabla \overline{\varphi})^{\sharp}(y,\rho) J(y,\rho) \, d\rho - \varepsilon g(y) \nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u(y) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi(y).$$

Here we used the notation (5.6). Our goal is to show

$$\left|I(y)\right| \leq c\varepsilon \left|\nabla_{\Gamma}\varphi(y)\right| \int_{\varepsilon g_{0}(y)}^{\varepsilon g_{1}(y)} \left(\left|u^{\sharp}(y,\rho)\right| + \left|(\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y,\rho)\right|\right) d\rho$$
(C.14)

for all $y \in \Gamma$ with a constant c > 0 independent of y and ε . As in the proof of Lemma 5.5, we fix $y_0 \in \Gamma$ and take a local parametrization $\mu(s) = (s, f(s))$ of Γ near $y_0 = \mu(s_0), s_0 \in U$, where U is an open set in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and f is a smooth function on U satisfying (C.4). We set the direction of $\nu(y_0)$ in the positive direction of the x_n -axis. Then by (C.5), (C.6), and (C.7) we have

$$(\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y_{0},\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1-\rho\kappa_{i})^{-1} \partial_{i} \widetilde{u}(s_{0},\rho) e_{i} + \partial_{\rho} \widetilde{u}(s_{0},\rho) e_{n}, \quad \nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u(y_{0}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{i} \widetilde{M_{\varepsilon}} u(s_{0}) e_{i},$$
$$(\nabla \overline{\varphi})^{\sharp}(y_{0},\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1-\rho\kappa_{i})^{-1} \partial_{i} \widetilde{\varphi}(s_{0}) e_{i}, \quad \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi(y_{0}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{i} \widetilde{\varphi}(s_{0}) e_{i},$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n and $\kappa_i := \kappa_i(y_0), i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Hereafter we omit the variables ρ and s_0 unless we need to specify them. The above equality yields

$$(\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y_{0},\rho) \cdot (\nabla \overline{\varphi})^{\sharp}(y_{0},\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1-\rho\kappa_{i})^{-2} \partial_{i} \widetilde{u} \, \partial_{i} \widetilde{\varphi}, \qquad (C.15)$$
$$\varepsilon g(y_{0}) \nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u(y_{0}) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi(y_{0}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon \widetilde{g} \Big(\partial_{i} \widetilde{M_{\varepsilon} u} \Big) \partial_{i} \widetilde{\varphi}.$$

Moreover, (C.10) implies that

$$\varepsilon \widetilde{g} \left(\partial_i \widetilde{M_{\varepsilon} u} \right) = \int_{\varepsilon \widetilde{g}_0}^{\varepsilon \widetilde{g}_1} \left\{ (\partial_i \widetilde{u}) \widetilde{J} + \widetilde{u} (\partial_i \widetilde{J}) + (\partial_{\rho} \widetilde{u}) \widetilde{J} \chi_i + \widetilde{u} (\partial_{\rho} \widetilde{J}) \chi_i \right\} d\rho$$

where χ_i is given by (C.11), and thus

$$\varepsilon g(y_0) \nabla_{\Gamma} M_{\varepsilon} u(y_0) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi(y_0)$$

$$= \int_{\widetilde{\varepsilon g}_0}^{\widetilde{\varepsilon g}_1} \widetilde{J} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_i \widetilde{u} \, \partial_i \widetilde{\varphi} \, d\rho + \int_{\widetilde{\varepsilon g}_0}^{\widetilde{\varepsilon g}_1} \widetilde{u} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_i \widetilde{J} \, \partial_i \widetilde{\varphi} \, d\rho + \int_{\widetilde{\varepsilon g}_0}^{\widetilde{\varepsilon g}_1} \left\{ (\partial_{\rho} \widetilde{u}) \widetilde{J} + \widetilde{u} (\partial_{\rho} \widetilde{J}) \right\} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \chi_i \, \partial_i \widetilde{\varphi} \, d\rho.$$

From this equality and (C.15), we obtain $I(y_0) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3$ with

$$I_{1} = \int_{\widetilde{\varepsilon g_{0}}}^{\widetilde{\varepsilon g_{1}}} \widetilde{J} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \{ (1 - \rho \kappa_{i})^{-2} - 1 \} \partial_{i} \widetilde{u} \, \partial_{i} \widetilde{\varphi} \, d\rho,$$

$$I_{2} = -\int_{\widetilde{\varepsilon g_{0}}}^{\widetilde{\varepsilon g_{1}}} \widetilde{u} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{i} \widetilde{J} \, \partial_{i} \widetilde{\varphi} \, d\rho, \quad I_{3} = -\int_{\widetilde{\varepsilon g_{0}}}^{\widetilde{\varepsilon g_{1}}} \{ (\partial_{\rho} \widetilde{u}) \widetilde{J} + \widetilde{u} (\partial_{\rho} \widetilde{J}) \} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \chi_{i} \, \partial_{i} \widetilde{\varphi} \, d\rho.$$

Let us estimate these integrals. By the definition of \widetilde{J} (see (C.9)), we have

$$\nabla_{\Gamma} J(y_0,\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_i \widetilde{J}(s_0,\rho) e_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_i \widetilde{J}(s_0,\rho) \partial_i \widetilde{\varphi}(s_0) = \nabla_{\Gamma} J(y_0,\rho) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi(y_0).$$

Hence I_2 is of the form

$$I_2 = -\int_{\varepsilon g_0(y_0)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y_0)} u^{\sharp}(y_0,\rho) \nabla_{\Gamma} J(y_0,\rho) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi(y_0) \, d\rho$$

and by applying (5.5) to the right-hand side we obtain

$$|I_2| \leq c\varepsilon |\nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi(y_0)| \int_{\varepsilon g_0(y_0)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y_0)} |u^{\sharp}(y_0, \rho)| \, d\rho.$$
(C.16)

Next we estimate I_3 . By the definitions of \widetilde{u} , \widetilde{J} , and χ_i (see (C.9) and (C.11)),

$$\partial_{\rho}\widetilde{u}(s_{0},\rho) = \nu(y_{0}) \cdot (\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y_{0},\rho), \quad \partial_{\rho}\widetilde{J}(s_{0},\rho) = \partial_{\rho}J(y_{0},\rho),$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \chi_{i}(s_{0},\rho)\partial_{i}\widetilde{\varphi}(s_{0}) = \chi_{\varepsilon}(y_{0},\rho) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma}\varphi(y_{0}),$$

where

$$\chi_{\varepsilon}(y_0,\rho) := \frac{\left(\rho - \varepsilon g_0(y_0)\right) \nabla_{\Gamma} g_1(y_0) + \left(\varepsilon g_1(y_0) - \rho\right) \nabla_{\Gamma} g_0(y_0)}{g(y_0)}.$$

Hence I_3 is of the form

$$I_{3} = -\int_{\varepsilon g_{0}(y_{0})}^{\varepsilon g_{1}(y_{0})} \chi_{\varepsilon}(y_{0},\rho) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi(y_{0}) \{ v(y_{0}) \cdot (\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y_{0},\rho) J(y_{0},\rho) + u^{\sharp}(y_{0},\rho) \partial_{\rho} J(y_{0},\rho) \} d\rho.$$

Since $\nabla_{\Gamma} g_0$, $\nabla_{\Gamma} g_1$ are bounded and $g_1 - g_0 = g$,

$$\left|\chi_{\varepsilon}(y_{0},\rho)\right| \leq \frac{\left|\nabla_{\Gamma}g_{0}(y_{0})\right| + \left|\nabla_{\Gamma}g_{1}(y_{0})\right|}{g(y_{0})}\left\{\left(\rho - \varepsilon g_{0}(y_{0})\right) + \left(\varepsilon g_{1}(y_{0}) - \rho\right)\right\} \leq c\varepsilon$$

for all $\rho \in (\varepsilon g_0(y_0), \varepsilon g_1(y_0))$. This inequality together with (5.4) and (5.5) yields

$$|I_3| \leq c\varepsilon \left|\nabla_{\Gamma}\varphi(y_0)\right| \int_{\varepsilon g_0(y_0)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y_0)} \left(\left|u^{\sharp}(y_0,\rho)\right| + \left|\left(\nabla u\right)^{\sharp}(y_0,\rho)\right|\right) d\rho.$$
(C.17)

Let us estimate I_1 . For all $\rho \in (\varepsilon g_0(y_0), \varepsilon g_1(y_0))$ and $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, we have

$$\left|(1-\rho\kappa_i)^{-2}-1\right| = \left|\rho\kappa_i(2-\rho\kappa_i)(1-\rho\kappa_i)^{-2}\right| \leq c\varepsilon$$

by (5.3). From this inequality, Hölder's inequality, and (5.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\{ (1-\rho\kappa_i)^{-2} - 1 \right\} \partial_i \widetilde{u} \, \partial_i \widetilde{\varphi} \right| &\leq c \varepsilon \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\partial_i \widetilde{u})^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\partial_i \widetilde{\varphi})^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c \varepsilon \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1-\rho\kappa_i)^{-2} (\partial_i \widetilde{u})^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\partial_i \widetilde{\varphi})^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c \varepsilon \left| (\nabla u)^{\sharp} (y_0, \rho) \right| \left| \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi(y_0) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using this inequality and (5.4) we obtain

$$|I_1| \leq c\varepsilon \left| \nabla_{\Gamma} \varphi(y_0) \right| \int_{\varepsilon g_0(y_0)}^{\varepsilon g_1(y_0)} \left| (\nabla u)^{\sharp}(y_0, \rho) \right| d\rho.$$
(C.18)

By (C.16), (C.17), and (C.18) we conclude that (C.14) with y replaced by y_0 holds.

Finally we give the complete proof of Lemma 5.11.

Proof of Lemma 5.11. Let $\Phi(\cdot, t)$: $\Gamma_0 \to \Gamma(t)$ be the flow map of V_{Γ} and $\Phi^{-1}(\cdot, t)$ be its inverse mapping (see Section 2). For $X \in N(0)$ and $t \in (0, T)$ we set

$$\Psi(X,t) := \Phi(p(X,0),t) + d(X,0)\nu(\Phi(p(X,0),t),t).$$
(C.19)

For each $t \in (0, T)$ the mapping $\Psi(\cdot, t): N(0) \to N(t)$ is a bijection whose inverse is given by

$$\Psi^{-1}(x,t) := \Phi^{-1}(p(x,t),t) + d(x,t)\nu(\Phi^{-1}(p(x,t),t),0), \quad (x,t) \in N_T.$$

Let $\varphi \in C^1(S_T)$ and $\overline{\varphi}$ be its constant extension in the normal direction of $\Gamma(t)$. By the definition of $\overline{\varphi}$ and the formula $p(\Psi(X,t),t) = \Phi(p(X,0),t)$ we have

$$\overline{\varphi}\big(\Psi(X,t),t\big) = \varphi\Big(\Phi\big(p(X,0),t\big),t\Big), \quad (X,t) \in N(0) \times (0,T).$$

We differentiate both sides with respect to t. The time derivative of the left-hand side is

$$\partial_t \overline{\varphi} (\Psi(X,t),t) + \partial_t \Psi(X,t) \cdot \nabla \overline{\varphi} (\Psi(X,t),t).$$

On the other hand, the time derivative of the right-hand side is

$$\partial^{\bullet}\varphi\Big(\Phi\big(p(X,0),t\big),t\Big) = \partial^{\bullet}\varphi\Big(p\big(\Psi(X,t),t\big),t\Big)$$

by the definition of the strong material derivative (see (4.4)). Hence

$$\partial^{\bullet}\varphi\Big(p\big(\Psi(X,t),t\big),t\Big) = \partial_t\overline{\varphi}\big(\Psi(X,t),t\big) + \partial_t\Psi(X,t)\cdot\nabla\overline{\varphi}\big(\Psi(X,t),t\big)$$

for all $(X, t) \in N(0) \times (0, T)$. Substituting $\Psi^{-1}(x, t)$ for X in this equality we further get

$$\partial^{\bullet}\varphi(p(x,t),t) = \partial_t\overline{\varphi}(x,t) + \partial_t\Psi(\Psi^{-1}(x,t),t) \cdot \nabla\overline{\varphi}(x,t)$$
(C.20)

for all $(x, t) \in N_T$. Let us show

$$\partial_t \Psi \left(\Psi^{-1}(x,t), t \right) = V_\Gamma \left(p(x,t), t \right) + a(x,t), \tag{C.21}$$

where a(x, t) is given by (5.17). We differentiate both sides of (C.19) with respect to t to get

$$\partial_t \Psi(X,t) = \partial_t \Phi(p(X,0),t) + d(X,0) \Big\{ \partial_t \nu \Big(\Phi(p(X,0),t),t \Big) + \nabla \nu \Big(\Phi(p(X,0),t),t \Big) \partial_t \Phi(p(X,0),t) \Big\}$$

for $(X, t) \in N(0) \times (0, T)$. Moreover, since

$$d(X,0) = d(\Psi(X,t),t), \quad \Phi(p(X,0),t) = p(\Psi(X,t),t),$$

$$\partial_t \Phi(p(X,0),t) = V_{\Gamma} \Big(\Phi(p(X,0),t), t \Big) = V_{\Gamma} \Big(p(\Psi(X,t),t), t \Big),$$

it follows that

$$\partial_t \Psi(X,t) = V_{\Gamma} \Big(p \big(\Psi(X,t),t \big), t \Big) \\ + d \big(\Psi(X,t),t \big) \Big\{ \partial_t v \Big(p \big(\Psi(X,t),t \big), t \Big) + \nabla v \Big(p \big(\Psi(X,t),t \big), t \Big) V_{\Gamma} \Big(p \big(\Psi(X,t),t \big), t \Big) \Big\}.$$

Substituting $\Psi^{-1}(x,t)$ for X in this equality we obtain (C.21). Finally, the formula (5.16) follows from (C.20) and (C.21).

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Professor Yoshikazu Giga for his suggestion about this problem and helpful comments on this work. The author is also grateful to anonymous referees for their careful reading and valuable comments to improve the readability of the paper. The work of the author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows No. 16J02664 and the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan.

References

- Alphonse, A., Elliott, C. M. & Stinner, B., An abstract framework for parabolic PDEs on evolving spaces. *Port. Math.* 72 (2015), 1–46. Zb11323.35103 MR3323509
- Alphonse, A., Elliott, C. M. & Stinner, B., On some linear parabolic PDEs on moving hypersurfaces. *Interfaces Free Bound.* 17 (2015), 157–187. Zb11333.35306 MR3391968
- Cermelli, P., Fried, E. & Gurtin, M. E., Transport relations for surface integrals arising in the formulation of balance laws for evolving fluid interfaces. J. Fluid Mech. 544 (2005), 339–351. Zbl1083.76061 MR2262061
- Dziuk, G. & Elliott, C. M., Finite elements on evolving surfaces. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 27 (2007), 262–292. Zb11120.65102 MR2317005
- Dziuk, G. & Elliott, C. M., L²-estimates for the evolving surface finite element method. *Math. Comp.* 82 (2013), 1–24. Zb11269.65087 MR2983013
- 6. Dziuk, G. & Elliott, C. M., Finite element methods for surface PDEs. Acta Numer. 22 (2013), 289–396. Zb11296.65156 MR3038698
- 7. Elliott, C. M. & Fritz, H., Time-periodic solutions of advection-diffusion equations on moving hypersurfaces. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **47** (2015), 1693–1718. Zb11320.35021 MR3342696

- 8. Elliott, C. M. & Stinner, B., Analysis of a diffuse interface approach to an advection diffusion equation on a moving surface. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **19** (2009), 787–802. Zb11200.35018 MR2531040
- 9. Elliott, C. M., Stinner, B., Styles, V. & Welford, R., Numerical computation of advection and diffusion on evolving diffuse interfaces. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **31** (2011), 786–812. Zbl1241.65081 MR2832780
- Evans, L. C., *Partial Differential Equations*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. 19, 2nd ed., American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2010). Zb11194.35001 MR2597943
- 11. Gilbarg, D. & Trudinger, N. S., *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2001). Zbl1042.35002 MR1814364
- Hale, J. K. & Raugel, G., A damped hyperbolic equation on thin domains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 329 (1992), 185–219. Zb10761.35052 MR1040261
- Hale, J. K. & Raugel, G., Reaction-diffusion equation on thin domains. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 71 (1992), 33–95. Zb10840.35044 MR1151557
- Hale, J. K. & Raugel, G., A reaction-diffusion equation on a thin L-shaped domain. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 125 (1995), 283–327. Zb10828.35055 MR1331562
- 15. Hoang, L. T. & Sell, G. R., Navier-Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions for an oceanic model. *J. Dynam. Differential Equations* 22 (2010), 563–616. Zb11204.86010 MR2719921
- Iftimie, D., Raugel, G., & Sell, G. R., Navier-Stokes equations in thin 3D domains with Navier boundary conditions. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 56 (2007), no. 3, 1083–1156. Zb11129.35056 MR2333468
- 17. Kublik, C., Tanushev, N. M. & Tsai, R., An implicit interface boundary integral method for Poisson's equation on arbitrary domains. *J. Comput. Phys.* **247** (2013), 279–311. Zb11349.65661 MR3066175
- Kublik, C. & Tsai, R., Integration over curves and surfaces defined by the closest point mapping. *Res. Math. Sci.* 3 (2016), 3:3. Zbl1336.65021 MR3486053
- Olshanskii, M. A., Reusken, A. & Xu, X., An Eulerian space-time finite element method for diffusion problems on evolving surfaces. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 52 (2014), 1354–1377. Zb11320.76072 MR3215065
- Prizzi, M., Rinaldi, M. & Rybakowski, K. P., Curved thin domains and parabolic equations. *Studia Math.* 151 (2002), 109–140. Zb10999.35005 MR1917228
- 21. Prizzi, M. & Rybakowski, K. P., The effect of domain squeezing upon the dynamics of reaction-diffusion equations. J. Differential Equations 173 (2001), 271–320. Zb11003.35062 MR1834117
- 22. Prizzi, M. & Rybakowski, K. P., On inertial manifolds for reaction-diffusion equations on genuinely highdimensional thin domains. *Studia Math.* **154** (2003), 253–275. Zb11029.35045 MR1961111
- Pereira, J. V. & Silva, R. P., Reaction-diffusion equations in a noncylindrical thin domain. *Bound. Value Probl.* 2013 (2013), 10. Zb11295.35037 MR3341386
- Raugel, G., Dynamics of partial differential equations on thin domains. In: *Dynamical systems* (Montecatini Terme, 1994), Lecture Notes in Math. 1609, Springer, Berlin (1995), 208–315. Zb10851. 58038 MR1374110
- Simon, L., *Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory*. Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University. 3, Australian National University, Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Canberra (1983). Zb10546.49019 MR0756417
- 26. Temam, R. & Ziane, M., Navier-Stokes equations in three-dimensional thin domains with various boundary conditions. *Adv. Differential Equations* **1** (1996), 499–546. Zb10864.35083 MR1401403
- Temam, R. & Ziane, M., Navier-Stokes equations in thin spherical domains. In: *Optimization Methods in Partial Differential Equations* (South Hadley, MA, 1996), Contemp. Math. 209, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1997), 281–314. Zb10891.35119 MR1472301
- Vierling, M., Parabolic optimal control problems on evolving surfaces subject to point-wise box constraints on the control – theory and numerical realization. *Interfaces Free Bound.* 16 (2014), 137–173. Zbl1295. 49004 MR3231969