

On the Whitney-Schwartz Theorem

By

Takao KAKITA*

Let F be a closed set in R^n . Then, according to L. Schwartz [6], F is called regular if for each $x \in F$ there are numbers $d(>0)$, $\omega(\geq 0)$ and $q(\geq 1)$ such that any two points y, z of F with $r_{xy} \leq d$ and $r_{xz} \leq d$, are joined by a rectifiable curve in F , of length not greater than $\omega r_{yz}^{1/q}$ where r_{xy} is the distance between x and y . This definition is a generalization of "Property (P) local" by H. Whitney ([9]). Schwartz stated in [6] the following theorem without proof.

Theorem (Whitney-Schwartz). *Let T be a distribution in R^n of order m whose support is contained in a compact regular set F . Then*

(A) $\langle T, \varphi_j \rangle \rightarrow 0$ provided $\varphi_j \in C^\infty(R^n)$ and their derivatives of order not greater than m' converge to zero uniformly on F , where m' is any integer $\geq q(F)m$ and $q(F)$ is a number ≥ 1 , depending on F .

(B) T is represented by a finite sum of derivatives of measures whose supports are contained in F .

A similar result to the part (A) of Theorem was given for a general compact set F by G. Glaeser, in such a sense that it has an advantage not making the behavior of φ_j interfered in a 'neighborhood' of F (see Proposition II, Chap III in [2]). We shall give an elementary proof of Theorem for a distribution in an open set Ω of R^n . For the proof we make use the reproduction of Whitney's extension theorem by L. Hörmander ([4]). The key lemma is the following:

Lemma. *Let u be a distribution of order m in Ω with support in a compact regular set $F \subset \Omega$. Then there is a constant C depending on m' and F such that for any $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$*

$$|\langle u, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{m', F} \quad (1)$$

where $q = q(F)$ is a positive number depending on F , m' is any integer $\geq qm$, and

$$\|\varphi\|_{k, F} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{x \in F} |(\partial/\partial x)^\alpha \varphi(x)|.$$

Communicated by S. Matsuura, November 10, 1989, Revised April 8, 1991.
1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46F05.

* Department of Information and Computer Sciences, School of Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 160, Japan.

In case F is a closed ball, a simple proof of (1) was given by S. Mizohata in proving that evolution equations with finite propagation speed should be of kowalevskian type (see [5]). Now we shall restate the Whitney-Schwartz theorem in our form.

Theorem. *Let u be a distribution in Ω of order m with support in a compact regular set $F \subset \Omega$. Then*

(A) $\langle u, \varphi_j \rangle \rightarrow 0$ provided $\varphi_j \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and their derivatives of order not greater than m' converge to zero uniformly on F , where m' is any integer $\geq q(F)m$.

(B) u is represented by a finite sum of derivatives of measures in Ω whose supports are in F .

Proof of (A) is immediate from Lemma. For proving (B) we can apply the Hahn-Banach theorem to the inequality (1) through the well-known method. We omit the details (see [6]).

Before proceeding to prove our lemma, we shall give a sketch of the partition of unity by Whitney in [8], following the reproduction by Hörmander.

Let A be a closed set in R^n . The partition of unity is constructed as follows. First, divide R^n into n -cubes of side 1, and let K_0 be the set of all those cubes whose distance from A are at least \sqrt{n} . Next, divide the remaining cubes into 2^n cubes of side $1/2$, and let K_1 be the set of those distant from A at least $\sqrt{n}/2$. Repeating such a division process, we have a series of the sets $\{K_0, K_1, \dots\}$ where the union of all cubes of them is $R^n \setminus A$. Arrange all cubes in order of a series Q_1, Q_2, \dots ; the center and side of each Q_j are denoted by y^j and s_j , respectively. Now take $\chi_0 \in C_0^\infty$ being equal to 1 on the cube

$$|x_i| \leq 1/2, \quad i=1, \dots, n$$

and vanishing outside the cube

$$|x_i| \leq 1/2 + 1/8, \quad i=1, \dots, n.$$

Then define $\chi_j \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$ by

$$\chi_j(x) = \chi_0\left(\frac{x-y^j}{s_j}\right) / \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_0\left(\frac{x-y^k}{s_k}\right), \quad j=1, 2, \dots.$$

As for the denominator, it is verified

$$1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_0\left(\frac{x-y^k}{s_k}\right) \leq 4^n.$$

The sequence χ_j in $C_0^\infty(R^n)$ is locally finite in $R^n \setminus A$ and has the properties:

- (i) $\chi_j \geq 0$; $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi_j(x) = 1$ for $x \in R^n \setminus A$
- (ii) for each α , there is a constant C_α such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |D^\alpha \chi_j(x)| \leq C_\alpha (d(x, A))^{-|\alpha|+1}$$

for $x \in R^n \setminus A$ where $D = \partial/\partial x$

$$(iii) \text{ (the diameter of } \text{supp}\chi_j \leq Cd(\text{supp}\chi_j, A), \quad j=1, 2, \dots$$

for some constant C .

In the following we quote each of (i), (ii), (iii) as the property of χ_j . Let $x \in \text{supp}\chi_j$. Then it can be easily verified $d(x, A) > 1$, provided $s_j = 1$ (see [4]). So we note $d(x, A) \leq 1$ implies $s_j < 1$.

Proof of Lemma. Since F is regular, to each $x \in F$ there corresponds an open ball $B_d(x)$ of center x , with radius d in Ω such that any two points y, z of $F \cap \overline{B_d(x)}$ can be joined by a rectifiable curve in F . Here we note the radius d depends on x . Since F is compact, we can choose a finite family $\{B_{d_1}(x_1), \dots, B_{d_m}(x_m)\}$ from the open cover $\{B_d(x) | x \in F\}$ of F so that

$$F \subset B_{d_1}(x_1) \cup \dots \cup B_{d_m}(x_m).$$

Take a partition of unity ϕ_j subordinate to the finite open cover $\{B_{d_j}(x_j)\}$. Then u is represented in the form

$$u = \phi_1 u + \dots + \phi_m u = u_1 + \dots + u_m \tag{2}$$

where $\text{supp } u_j = \text{supp } \phi_j u \subset F_j = F \cap \overline{B_{d_j}(x_j)}$, F_j being also compact and regular. Suppose the estimate (1) is valid for each u_j, F_j, q_j instead of u, F, q . Then for $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, there is a constant C_j such that

$$|\langle u_j, \varphi \rangle| \leq C_j \|\varphi\|_{m_j, F_j}. \tag{3}$$

where m_j is any integer $\geq mq_j$, q_j being a number (≥ 1) related to the regularity of F_j . Clearly, Lemma is a consequence of (2) and (3), with $q = q(F) = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq m} q_j$ and $C = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq m} C_j$. So it suffices to derive (3) for each $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, in which we write $F = F_j$ and $q = q_j$, dropping the subscript j for simplicity of notation.

Take φ in $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and extend it to a function in $C_0^\infty(R^n)$ by setting zero outside Ω , which we denote φ again. We shall give a function $\psi \in C^m(\Omega)$ so that $\psi^{(\alpha)}(x) = \varphi^{(\alpha)}(x)$ in F when $|\alpha| \leq m$ where $D^\alpha f = f^{(\alpha)}$. This can be carried out by the method of Whitney's extension theorem, as follows. Making use of the partition of unity χ_j in $R^n \setminus F$ just constructed above, we define a function ψ by

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x) & \text{for } x \in F \\ \sum_j' \chi_j(x) \varphi_m(x; y^j) & \text{for } x \in R^n \setminus F \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where $y^j \in F$ is taken so that

$$d(\text{supp}\chi_j, F) = d(\text{supp}\chi_j, y^j)$$

$$\varphi_m(x; y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \varphi^{(\alpha)}(y) (x-y)^\alpha$$

and \sum_j' stands for the sum with $s_j < 1$. Then $\psi \in C_0^m(R^n)$ and satisfies

$$D^\alpha \phi = D^\alpha \varphi \quad \text{in } F$$

when $|\alpha| \leq m$ ([6]). What we are going to obtain is the estimate

$$\|\phi\|_{m, \Omega} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{m', F} \quad (5)$$

where C is a constant depending only on m, q and F . Let $x \in \Omega \setminus F$ be fixed. Then $d(x, F) > 0$. To derive (5) we divide the case into 1) $d(x, F) > 1$ and 2) $d(x, F) \leq 1$. It is enough to show for the case where $m \geq 1$.

1) $d(x, F) > 1$.

Differentiation of ϕ in (4) gives, by Leibniz's formula,

$$\phi^{(\alpha)}(x) = \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \sum_j \chi_j^{(\beta)}(x) \varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(x; y^j).$$

Since $\sum_j |\chi_j^{(\beta)}(x)| \leq 2C_\beta$ by the property (ii) of $\{\chi_j\}$, we have

$$|\phi^{(\alpha)}(x)| \leq C'_\alpha \|\varphi\|_{m, F}$$

for a constant C'_α when $|\alpha| \leq m$, which implies (5).

2) $0 < d(x, F) \leq 1$.

In this case, as we noted before, $s_j < 1$ provided $x \in \text{supp } \chi_j$. Hence we have $\sum_j \chi_j(x) = \sum_j \chi_j(x) = 1$, which gives

$$\phi(x) = \varphi_m(x; y) + \sum_j \chi_j(x) [\varphi_m(x; y^j) - \varphi_m(x; y)] \quad (6)$$

where $y \in F$ is so chosen as $d(x, F) = |x - y|$. Further we take $x^j \in \text{supp } \chi_j$ so as to satisfy $d(\text{supp } \chi_j, F) = |x^j - y^j|$. Then

$$|x - x^j| \leq \text{diam}(\text{supp } \chi_j) \leq C d(\text{supp } \chi_j, F) \leq C d(x, F)$$

for some constant C where we used the property (iii) of $\{\chi_j\}$. Thus in view of the definitions of y, x^j and y^j , we get the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |x - y^j| &\leq |x - x^j| + |x^j - y^j| \leq (C+1)d(x, F) \\ |y - y^j| &\leq |y - x| + |x - y^j| \leq (C+2)d(x, F) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

which will be needed later. Denoting by $R_m(x; y)$ the remainder term of Taylor's formula at y , we have

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi_m(x; y) + R_m(x; y). \quad (8)$$

Our basic concern is to estimate the derivatives of the difference $\varphi_m(x; y^j) - \varphi_m(x; y)$ in (6). The Taylor polynomial of $\varphi^{(\gamma)}(z'')$

$$\varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(z''; z') = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m - |\gamma|} \frac{1}{\beta!} \varphi^{(\beta+\gamma)}(z')(z'' - z')^\beta$$

combined with the formula for any z, z', z''

$$\varphi^{(\beta+\gamma)}(z') = \varphi_m^{(\beta+\gamma)}(z'; z) + R_m^{(\beta+\gamma)}(z'; z)$$

obtained by differentiating (8), gives

$$\varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(z''; z') = \sum_{|\delta| \leq m - |\gamma|} \frac{1}{\delta!} [\varphi_m^{(\gamma+\delta)}(z'; z) + R_m^{(\gamma+\delta)}(z'; z)](z'' - z')^\delta. \quad (9)$$

On the other hand

$$\varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(z''; z) = \sum_{|\delta| \leq m - |\gamma|} \frac{1}{\delta!} \varphi_m^{(\gamma+\delta)}(z'; z)(z'' - z')^\delta. \quad (10)$$

Thus the subtraction of (10) from (9) yields

$$\varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(z''; z') - \varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(z''; z) = \sum_{|\delta| \leq m - |\gamma|} \frac{1}{\delta!} R_m^{(\gamma+\delta)}(z'; z)(z'' - z')^\delta, \quad (11)$$

so that changing z, z', z'' to y, y^j, x gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(x; y^j) - \varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(x; y)| &\leq \sum_{|\eta| \leq m - |\gamma|} |R_m^{(\gamma+\eta)}(y^j; y)(x - y^j)^\eta| \\ &\leq C_0 \|\varphi\|_{m', F} + \sum_{|\eta| \leq m' - |\gamma|} |R_{m'}^{(\gamma+\eta)}(y^j; y)(x - y^j)^\eta| \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

since $R_m(x; y) = \varphi_{m'}(x; y) - \varphi_m(x; y) + R_{m'}(x; y)$, where m' is any integer $\geq m$. So we are left with estimation of $R_{m'}^{(\gamma+\eta)}(y^j; y)$. This will be worked out by a technical modification of [7]. As $y, y^j \in F$, there is a rectifiable curve C in F of length, say L , joining y and y^j . Let $\Delta: y = z^0, z^1, \dots, z^p = y^j$ be a subdivision of C in F and let $|\Delta| = \sup_{1 \leq i \leq p} |z^i - z^{i-1}|$. Note that

$$\varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(z''; z') - \varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(z''; z) = R_m^{(\gamma)}(z''; z) - R_m^{(\gamma)}(z''; z')$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{(\gamma)}(z'') &= \varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(z''; z) + R_m^{(\gamma)}(z''; z) \\ &= \varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(z''; z') + R_m^{(\gamma)}(z''; z'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get by (11)

$$R_{m'}^{(\gamma+\eta)}(z''; z) - R_{m'}^{(\gamma+\eta)}(z''; z') = \sum_{|\delta| \leq m' - |\gamma + \eta|} \frac{1}{\delta!} R_{m'}^{(\gamma+\eta+\delta)}(z'; z)(z'' - z')^\delta.$$

Changing z, z', z'' to z^{i-1}, z^i, y^j in this equation, summing over i and noting $R_{m'}^{(\kappa)}(y^j; y^j) = 0$ when $|\kappa| \leq m'$, we consequently have

$$R_{m'}^{(\gamma+\eta)}(y^j; y) = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{|\delta| \leq m' - |\gamma + \eta|} \frac{1}{\delta!} R_{m'}^{(\gamma+\delta+\eta)}(z^i; z^{i-1})(y^j - z^i)^\delta. \quad (13)$$

Note that by the classical formula for the remainder term

$$|R_{m'}^{(\gamma+\delta+\eta)}(z^i; z^{i-1})| \leq |z^i - z^{i-1}|^{m' - |\gamma + \delta + \eta|} \varepsilon(|z^i - z^{i-1}|) \quad (14)$$

where $\varepsilon(h) \rightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow 0$.

Now split the sum for δ in (13) into the sums for $|\delta| < m' - |\gamma + \eta|$ and for $|\delta| = m' - |\gamma + \eta|$, and then denote the former by I_Δ and the latter by J_Δ , res-

pectively. Since $|z^i - z^{i-1}| \leq L$ and $|y^j - z^i| \leq L$, in view of (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{\Delta}| &\leq \sum_{|\delta| < m' - |\gamma + \eta|} \frac{1}{\delta!} L^{m' - |\gamma + \eta|} \sum_{i=1}^p |z^i - z^{i-1}| \varepsilon(|\Delta|) \\ &\leq \sum_{|\delta| < m' - |\gamma + \eta|} \frac{1}{\delta!} L^{m' + 1 - |\gamma + \eta|} \varepsilon(|\Delta|) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to 0 when $|\Delta| \rightarrow 0$.

On the other hand

$$J_{\Delta} = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{|\delta| = m' - |\gamma + \eta|} \frac{1}{\delta!} [\varphi_m^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z^i) - \varphi_m^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z^{i-1})] (y^j - z^i)^{\delta}$$

since for $|\delta| = m' - |\gamma + \eta|$,

$$\varphi_m^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z^i; z^{i-1}) = \varphi^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z^{i-1})$$

and so

$$\varphi^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z^i) = \varphi^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z^{i-1}) + R_m^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z^i; z^{i-1}).$$

Now for each fixed δ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^p [\varphi^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z^i) - \varphi^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z^{i-1})] (y^j - z^i)^{\delta} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} [\varphi^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z^i) - \varphi^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z^0)] [(y^j - z^{i+1})^{\delta} - (y^j - z^i)^{\delta}], \end{aligned}$$

which tends to a Stieltjes integral

$$- \int_0^L [\varphi^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z(s)) - \varphi^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z^0)] d(y^j - z(s))^{\delta} \quad (15)$$

when $|\Delta| \rightarrow 0$, where $z(s)$ denotes the point on the curve C of length s along C from y .

After the differentiation in the integral, (15) becomes

$$\sum_{\kappa=1}^{\delta} \frac{\delta!}{(\delta - \kappa)!} \int_y^{y^j} [\varphi^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(z) - \varphi^{(\gamma + \delta + \eta)}(y)] (y^j - z)^{\delta - \kappa} (dz)^{\kappa}. \quad (16)$$

Denote the sum of integrals (16) by $I_{\gamma, \delta, \eta}$. Then we have

$$R_m^{(\gamma + \eta)}(y^j; y) = \sum_{|\delta| = m' - |\gamma + \eta|} \frac{1}{\delta!} I_{\gamma, \delta, \eta} = \lim_{|\Delta| \rightarrow 0} J_{\Delta}.$$

Hence, taking the regularity of F into consideration, we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |R_m^{(\gamma + \eta)}(y^j; y)| &\leq C_1 L^{m' - |\gamma + \eta|} \|\varphi\|_{m', F} \\ &\leq C_1 d(x, F)^{(m' - |\gamma + \eta|)/q} \|\varphi\|_{m', F} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C_1 and for any γ and η with $|\gamma + \eta| \leq m'$. The last estimate combined with (7) and (12) implies

$$|\varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(x; y^j) - \varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(x; y)| \leq C_2 \|\varphi\|_{m', F} \sum_{|\eta| \leq m' - |\gamma|} d(x, F)^{(m' - |\gamma + \eta|)/q} d(x, F)^{|\eta|}$$

where C_2 is a constant depending only on m' and F . Now, the differentiation of ψ in (6) with respect to x gives

$$\psi^{(\alpha)}(x) = \varphi_m^{(\alpha)}(x; y) + \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi_j^{\beta \gamma}(x) [\varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(x; y^j) - \varphi_m^{(\gamma)}(x; y)].$$

Thus in view of the property (ii) of $\{\chi_j\}$,

$$|\psi^{(\alpha)}(x)| \leq \|\varphi\|_{m, F} + C_2 \|\varphi\|_{m', F} \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} (d(x, F)^{-1\beta_1} + 1) \sum_{|\eta| \leq m' - |\gamma|} d(x, F)^{\langle (m' - |\gamma + \eta|)/q \rangle + |\eta|}.$$

As for the exponent of $d(x, F)$, if $|\alpha| \leq m$

$$\begin{aligned} ((m' - |\gamma + \eta|)/q) + |\eta| - |\beta| &\geq \frac{1}{q} \{mq - |\alpha - \beta| + (q-1)|\eta| - q|\beta|\} \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \{q(m - |\beta| + |\eta|) - (|\alpha| - |\beta| + |\eta|)\} \\ &\geq \frac{q-1}{q} (m - |\beta| + |\eta|) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(x, F) \leq 1$, we finally have

$$|\psi^{(\alpha)}(x)| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{m', F}$$

when $|\alpha| \leq m$, where C is a constant depending only on m' and F . Collecting the results obtained so far, we consequently proved the estimate (5). Recall a property of distributions with compact support that if $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and its derivatives of order up to m vanish on F , then $\langle u, \chi \rangle = 0$ (cf. [6]). Suppose $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is equal to 1 on a neighborhood of F . Then $\eta\psi$ can be regarded as a function in $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $(\eta\varphi)^{(\alpha)} = (\eta\psi)^{(\alpha)}$ on F when $|\alpha| \leq m$. Thus we get

$$\langle u, \eta\varphi \rangle = \langle u, \eta\psi \rangle,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle u, \varphi \rangle| &= |\langle u, \eta\varphi \rangle| = |\langle u, \eta\psi \rangle| \\ &\leq C_1 \|\psi\|_{m, \Omega} \\ &\leq C_2 \|\varphi\|_{m', F} \quad (\text{by (5)}) \end{aligned}$$

for any integer $m' \geq mq$ where C_1, C_2 are constants depending only on m' and F which completes the proof of Lemma.

Remark. A typical example of regular set is a convex set, where $q=1$. In this particular case, the proof of Lemma is carried out much more readily than the above, since it is enough to use the classical formula for $R_m^{(\gamma+\eta)}(y^j; y)$ in (12). Today, we know a large family of regular sets, that is, compact sub-

analytic sets in R^n (or in real analytic manifolds) (see [1], [3]).

Acknowledgement

The author thanks the referee for his advice.

References

- [1] Bierstone, E., Differentiable functions, *Bol. Soc. Brasil Mat.*, **II** (1980), 139-180.
- [2] Glaeser, G., Etude de quelques algèbres tayloriennes, *J. Anal. Math.*, **6** (1958), 1-124.
- [3] Hardt, R.M., Some analytic bounds for subanalytic sets, *Differential geometric control theory*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1983), 259-267.
- [4] Hörmander, L., On the division of distributions by polynomials, *Ark. Mat.*, **3** (1958), 555-568.
- [5] Mizohata, S., On evolution equations with finite propagation speed, *Israel Jour. of Math.*, **13** (1972), 173-185.
- [6] Schwartz, L., *Théorie des distributions*, Hermann, Paris, 1966.
- [7] Whitney, H., Functions differentiable on the boundaries of regions, *Ann. of Math.*, **35** (1934), 482-485.
- [8] ———, Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **36** (1934), 63-89.
- [9] ———, On the extension of differentiable functions, *Bull. AMS*, **50-2** (1944), 76-81.