

Analysis of the Allen–Cahn–Ohta–Nakazawa model in a ternary system

SOOKYUNG JOO

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Old Dominion University,
Norfolk, VA 23529, USA
E-mail: sjoo@odu.edu*

XIANG XU

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Old Dominion University,
Norfolk, VA 23529, USA
E-mail: x2xu@odu.edu*

YANXIANG ZHAO

*Department of Mathematics, George Washington University,
Washington, DC 20052, USA
E-mail: yxzha@email.gwu.edu*

[Received 22 September 2020 and in revised form 14 April 2021]

In this paper we study the global well-posedness of the Allen–Cahn–Ohta–Nakazawa model with two fixed nonlinear volume constraints. Utilizing the gradient flow structure of its free energy, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution by following De Giorgi’s minimizing movement scheme in a novel way.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35Qxx; Secondary 35Q56.

Keywords: Allen–Cahn–Ohta–Nakazawa model, minimizing movement scheme, gradient flow.

1. Introduction

Ohta–Nakazawa (ON) model was originally introduced in [7] and has drawn much attention in materials science, particularly for the study of phase separation of triblock copolymers. Due to their remarkable ability for self-assembly into nanoscale ordered structures [6], triblock copolymers have generated much interest in materials engineering. Triblock copolymers are chain molecules made by three different segment species, say A , B and C species. Due to the chemical incompatibility, the three species tend to be phase-separated; on the other hand, the two species are connected by covalent chemical bonds, which leads to the so-called microphase separation. The ON model can describe such microphase separation for triblock copolymers by the ON free energy functional:

$$E^{\text{ON}}(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\frac{\epsilon}{2} (|\nabla \phi_1|^2 + |\nabla \phi_2|^2 + \nabla \phi_1 \cdot \nabla \phi_2) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} W_{\text{T}}(\phi_1, \phi_2) \right] dx \\ + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f(\phi_i) - \omega_i) \times (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f(\phi_j) - \omega_j) \right] dx \quad (1.1)$$

Here $\mathbb{T}^3 = \prod_{i=1}^3 [-X_i, X_i] \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ denotes a periodic box, and $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ is an interface parameter that indicates the system is in the deep segregation regime. Phase field label functions that represent

the density of A and B species are denoted by $\phi_i = \phi_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2$, respectively. Meanwhile, the concentration of C species can be implicitly represented by $1 - \phi_1(x) - \phi_2(x)$ since the system is considered to be incompressible. The triple-well potential W_T is of the form

$$W_T(\phi_1, \phi_2) := W(\phi_1) + W(\phi_2) + W(1 - \phi_1 - \phi_2),$$

with $W(s) = 18(s^2 - s)^2$. It is noted that W_T has three minima at $(1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$, which correspond to the phase separation between the A, B, C species. It is also worth pointing out that the first integral in (1.1) represents the short-range interaction accounting for the interfacial free energy of the system and favors large domains with small surface area, while the second integral term in (1.1) describes long range interaction between chain molecules. We denote by γ_{ij} , $i, j = 1, 2$ the strength of such long range interactions, and the constant matrix $[\gamma_{ij}]_{2 \times 2}$ is assumed to be symmetric and positive definite.

The newly introduced term

$$f(\phi_i) = 3\phi_i^2 - 2\phi_i^3, \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{1.2}$$

is adopted to mimic ϕ_i , as the indicators for the A and B species, respectively. In our earlier work [9, 10], a similar term has been introduced to some binary system with long-range interaction in order to study the associated L^2 gradient flow dynamics and maintain a better hyperbolic tangent profile for the solution and preserve its maximum principle at both continuous and discrete level. Meanwhile, we impose the usual fixed volume constraints

$$\overline{f(\phi_i)} := \frac{1}{|\mathbb{T}^3|} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f(\phi_i(x)) \, dx = \omega_i, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{1.3}$$

For technical reasons (see the proof of Proposition 2.5), we assume

$$\omega_i \neq 0, 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{1.4}$$

namely, no single species occupies the entire region of \mathbb{T}^3 . The operator $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}u$ is the square root of the operator $(-\Delta)^{-1}u$ with periodic boundary condition. Note that u has to have zero mean for the operator $(-\Delta)^{-1}u$ to be well defined, we will take $(-\Delta)^{-1}u := (-\Delta)^{-1}(u - \bar{u})$ when u is not zero mean. In other words, removal of the zeroth Fourier mode for u will make $(-\Delta)^{-1}u$ always well defined. Besides, hereafter for any function u , we always set $(-\Delta)^{-1}u$ and $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}u$ to be with zero mean.

In order to study the equilibria of the ON model, we consider the L^2 gradient flow $\partial_t \phi_i = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial \phi_i} - \lambda_i f'(\phi_i)$ generated by the ON energy functional

$$\partial_t \phi_i = \epsilon \Delta \phi_i + \frac{\epsilon \Delta \phi_j}{2} - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \frac{\partial W_T}{\partial \phi_i} - \sum_{k=1}^2 \gamma_{ik} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_k) - \omega_k) f'(\phi_i) - \lambda_i(t) f'(\phi_i), \tag{1.5}$$

$$\phi_i(x, 0) = \phi_{i0}(x), \tag{1.6}$$

for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times (0, +\infty)$, $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$, subject to the volume constraints

$$\overline{f(\phi_i(t))} = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{T}^3|} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f(\phi_i(t, x)) \, dx = \omega_i, \quad \forall t \in [0, +\infty), \omega_i \neq 0, 1. \tag{1.7}$$

Here ω_i are given constants and $\lambda_i(t)$ are the corresponding Lagrange multipliers to (1.7):

$$\lambda_i = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} -\frac{\delta E^{\text{ON}}}{\delta \phi_i} f'(\phi_i) \, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_i)|^2 \, dx}. \tag{1.8}$$

Hereafter, we will refer to (1.5)–(1.6) as Allen–Cahn–Ohta–Nakazawa (ACON) equations. If $(\phi_1(x, t), \phi_2(x, t))$ is a solution of the ACON dynamics (1.5)–(1.6), it is well known that it satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} E^{\text{ON}}(\phi_1, \phi_2) = - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left| \frac{\delta E^{\text{ON}}}{\delta \phi_1} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\delta E^{\text{ON}}}{\delta \phi_2} \right|^2 \, dx \leq 0, \tag{1.9}$$

which implies that the ON energy is decreasing along the solution trajectory $(\phi_1(x, t), \phi_2(x, t))$. This is the so-called energy dissipation law for the general gradient flow dynamics.

The contribution in this paper is that we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution for ACON system by following the De Giorgi’s minimizing movement scheme in a novel way. Different from all existing literature in utilizing this classical implicit Euler scheme to derive the Euler–Lagrange equations at the discrete level, we identify the limit curve first and use an approximation of this limit curve to establish the nonlinear terms caused by the nonlinear volume constraints in discrete Euler–Lagrange equations.

De Giorgi’s minimizing movement scheme [1, 4], which is also referred to as the Rothe’s method, is an implicit Euler scheme specialized at gradient flows in separable Hilbert spaces (later extended to general metric spaces). Given a gradient flow $\partial_t u = -\nabla F(u)$, where the energy F is coercive and lower semicontinuous, this very scheme provides an energy-driven implicit-time discretization to solve the evolution equation within a natural framework. Considering the gradient flow (2.2) with the nonlinear volume constraints (1.7), the great advantage to apply De Giorgi’s minimizing movement scheme is that it ensures the preservation of such volume constraints at each discrete step. Nevertheless, to prove the existence of solutions to (2.2) there are still essential difficulties arising from (1.7): after acquiring a discrete sequence $(\phi_{1\tau}^k, \phi_{2\tau}^k)$, usually the next step is to establish the Euler–Lagrange equations for this discrete sequence; however, if we follow the standard procedures the denominators in the corresponding Lagrange multiplier terms caused by (1.7) cannot be ensured to be nonzero. To solve this issue, alternatively we identify the limit curve $(\phi_1(t), \phi_2(t))$ of the piecewise constant interpolation functional $(\phi_{1\tau}(t), \phi_{2\tau}(t))$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ first, based on the uniform bounds achieved in Lemma 2.4. The assumption (1.4) together with the refined Arzelà–Ascoli theorem in [2] ensures that the quantities $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_i(t))|^2 \, dx, i = 1, 2$, related to the limit curve, stay away from 0, which plays the crucial role to further derive the Euler–Lagrange equations of the discrete sequence as well as the uniform bound of the discrete Lagrange multipliers. To the best of our knowledge, this has been the first time that the De Giorgi’s minimizing movement scheme is used in such a manner. Meanwhile, we also want to point out that the success of such derivation might be undermined due to the non-integrability of certain terms in the discrete Lagrange multipliers. Therefore, instead of using the limit curve directly, we shall perform approximations first by virtue of the classical resolvent operator $J_\lambda = (I - \lambda\Delta)^{-1}$ for sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$.

Some conventional notations adopted throughout the paper are collected here. We will denote by $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$ the standard norms for the periodic Sobolev spaces $L^p_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and $H^s_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{T}^3)$. The standard L^2 inner product will be denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

2. Existence and uniqueness of the solution of ACON system

Without loss of generality, throughout this section, we consider $\epsilon = 1$ in (1.1) and $|\mathbb{T}^3| = 1$. Accordingly E^{ON} is replaced by an energy functional E :

$$E(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\nabla\phi_1|^2 + |\nabla\phi_2|^2 + \nabla\phi_1 \cdot \nabla\phi_2) + \frac{1}{2} W_{\mathbb{T}}(\phi_1, \phi_2) \right] dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f(\phi_i) - \omega_i) \times (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f(\phi_j) - \omega_j) \right] dx. \tag{2.1}$$

The associated L^2 gradient flow dynamics (1.5)–(1.6) is replaced by

$$\partial_t \phi_i = \Delta\phi_i + \frac{\Delta\phi_j}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial W_{\mathbb{T}}}{\partial \phi_i} - \sum_{k=1}^2 \gamma_{ik} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_k) - \omega_k) f'(\phi_i) - \lambda_i(t) f'(\phi_i), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\phi_i(x, 0) = \phi_{i0}(x), \tag{2.3}$$

for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times (0, +\infty)$, $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$, subject to the volume constraints (1.7). Here $\lambda_i(t)$ are the corresponding Lagrange multipliers to (1.7) by replacing E^{ON} by E :

$$\lambda_i = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} -\frac{\delta E}{\delta \phi_i} f'(\phi_i) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_i)|^2 dx}. \tag{2.4}$$

2.1 *Implicit Euler scheme*

We define functional spaces

$$H_{\omega_i}^1 = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^3), \overline{f(u)} = \omega_i \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{2.5}$$

and start the argument from the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $\phi_i \in H_{\omega_i}^1, i = 1, 2$, one has*

$$\|\phi_i\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 \leq 4E(\phi_1, \phi_2) + 2. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. Using Young’s inequality, we get

$$\phi_i^2 \leq \frac{\phi_i^4}{4} + 1 = \frac{\phi_i^2(\phi_i - 1 + 1)^2}{4} + 1 \leq \frac{\phi_i^2[2(\phi_i - 1)^2 + 2]}{4} + 1 = \frac{\phi_i^2(\phi_i - 1)^2}{2} + \frac{\phi_i^2}{2} + 1.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\phi_i(x)|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\phi_i^2 - \phi_i)^2 dx + 2|\mathbb{T}^3| = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\phi_i^2 - \phi_i)^2 dx + 2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} W(\phi_1) dx + 2.$$

Note that the energy $E(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\phi_1, \phi_2) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi_1|^2 + W(\phi_1) \right] + \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi_2|^2 + W(\phi_2) \right] \\
 &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla(1 - \phi_1 - \phi_2)|^2 + W(1 - \phi_1 - \phi_2) \right] dx \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f(\phi_i) - \omega_i) \times (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f(\phi_j) - \omega_j) \right] dx
 \end{aligned}$$

and the positive definiteness of $[\gamma_{ij}]$ implies the nonnegativity of the second part of $E(\phi_1, \phi_2)$, the proof is finished by using (2.1). \square

Next, for any fixed time step $\tau > 0$ and $(\phi_1^*, \phi_2^*) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$, we consider the functional

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_\tau(\phi_1, \phi_2; \phi_1^*, \phi_2^*) &= E(\phi_1, \phi_2) + \frac{\|\phi_1 - \phi_1^*\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 + \|\phi_2 - \phi_2^*\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2}{2\tau}, \\
 \phi_i &\in H_{\omega_i}^1(\mathbb{T}^3), \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

and prove the existence of its minimizers. To this end, we need to derive the following inequalities first.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $w \in L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and $\Psi = (-\Delta)^{-1}w = G * w$, where G is Green’s function for the Laplacian operator coupled with periodic boundary condition. Then there exists a generic constant $C > 0$, such that*

$$\|\Psi\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq C \|w\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\|\nabla \Psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq C \|w\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{T}^3)}. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Since Ψ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \Psi = w, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \Psi(x) dx = 0, \end{cases}$$

we multiply both sides of the first equation above by Ψ , and then integrate over \mathbb{T}^3 . It yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\nabla \Psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} w(x)\Psi(x) dx \leq \|\Psi\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\
 &\leq C \|\Psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq C \|\nabla \Psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{T}^3)},
 \end{aligned}$$

in which the last inequality is due to Poincaré’s inequality and hence (2.9) is proved. Furthermore, (2.8) comes directly from the Sobolev inequality $\|\Psi\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq C \|\Psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}$, Poincaré’s inequality and (2.9). \square

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, it is immediate to check the following result.

Lemma 2.3. *The functional F_τ has a minimizer in $H_{\omega_1}^1 \times H_{\omega_2}^1$.*

Proof. First, F_τ is nonnegative thus there exists a minimizing sequence $\{(\phi_{1n}, \phi_{2n})\}$ in $H^1_{\omega_1} \times H^1_{\omega_2}$ satisfying

$$0 \leq \inf_{\psi_i \in H^1_{\omega_i}} F_\tau(\psi_1, \psi_2) \leq F_\tau(\phi_{1n}, \phi_{2n}) \leq \inf_{\psi_i \in H^1_{\omega_i}} F_\tau(\psi_1, \psi_2) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Hence $E(\phi_{1n}, \phi_{2n})$ is bounded. By Lemma 2.1, $\{(\phi_{1n}, \phi_{2n})\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{T}^3) \times H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)$, and (up to a subsequence) we get $\phi_{in_k} \rightharpoonup \phi_i$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)$, $\phi_{in_k} \rightarrow \phi_i$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)$, for $p \in [1, 6)$ and $i = 1, 2$. Hence $\phi_i \in H^1_{\omega_i}$, and we can further derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (|\nabla\phi_1|^2 + |\nabla\phi_2|^2 + \nabla\phi_1 \cdot \nabla\phi_2) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\phi_1 + \nabla\phi_2|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\phi_1|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\phi_2|^2 \, dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\phi_{1n_k} + \nabla\phi_{2n_k}|^2 \, dx + \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\phi_{1n_k}|^2 \, dx + \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\phi_{2n_k}|^2 \, dx \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (|\nabla\phi_{1n_k}|^2 + |\nabla\phi_{2n_k}|^2 + \nabla\phi_{1n_k} \cdot \nabla\phi_{2n_k}) \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} W_T(\phi_{1n_k}, \phi_{2n_k}) = W_T(\phi_1, \phi_2).$$

Besides, denoting $\Psi_{in_k} = G * (f(\phi_{in_k}) - \omega_i)$, $\Psi_i = G * (f(\phi_i) - \omega_i)$, by (2.9), it yields

$$0 \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla\Psi_{in_k} - \nabla\Psi_i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq C \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f(\phi_{in_k}) - f(\phi_i)\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{T}^3)} = 0,$$

which implies $\nabla\Psi_{in_k} \rightarrow \nabla\Psi_i$ in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$. As a consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f(\phi_{in_k}) - \omega_i) \times (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f(\phi_{jn_k}) - \omega_j) \, dx \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla\Psi_{in_k} \cdot \nabla\Psi_{jn_k} \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla\Psi_i \cdot \nabla\Psi_j \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f(\phi_i) - \omega_i) \times (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f(\phi_j) - \omega_j) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

To sum up, we conclude that

$$E(\phi_1, \phi_2) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(\phi_{1n_k}, \phi_{2n_k}),$$

and henceforth

$$F_\tau(\phi_1, \phi_2) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_\tau(\phi_{1n_k}, \phi_{2n_k}) = \inf_{\psi_i \in H^1_{\omega_i}} F_\tau(\psi_1, \psi_2),$$

which finishes the proof. □

As a consequence, for any initial data $(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}) \in H^1_{\omega_1} \times H^1_{\omega_2}$, using Lemma 2.3, one may define a discrete sequence $\{(\phi_{1\tau}^k, \phi_{2\tau}^k)\}$ recursively by

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_{1\tau}^0, \phi_{2\tau}^0) = (\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}), \\ (\phi_{1\tau}^{k+1}, \phi_{2\tau}^{k+1}) := \operatorname{argmin}_{\phi_i \in H^1_{\omega_i}} F_\tau(\phi_1, \phi_2; \phi_{1\tau}^k, \phi_{2\tau}^k), \quad \forall k \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

Correspondingly, we consider a piecewise constant interpolation $t \in [0, +\infty) \mapsto (\phi_{1\tau}(t), \phi_{2\tau}(t))$ by

$$(\phi_{1\tau}(t), \phi_{2\tau}(t)) = (\phi_{1\tau}^k, \phi_{2\tau}^k) \quad \text{for } k\tau \leq t < (k+1)\tau. \tag{2.11}$$

Then we can collect the following estimates for the piecewise constant functional $(\phi_{1\tau}(t), \phi_{2\tau}(t))$.

Lemma 2.4. *For any $T > 0$, $\tau \in (0, 1)$, $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, and $i = 1, 2$, the piecewise constant interpolation functional $(\phi_{1\tau}(t), \phi_{2\tau}(t))$ satisfies*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\phi_{i\tau}(t)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq \sqrt{4E(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}) + 2}, \tag{2.12}$$

$$E(\phi_{1\tau}(t), \phi_{2\tau}(t)) \leq E(\phi_{1\tau}(s), \phi_{2\tau}(s)) \leq E(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\|\phi_{i\tau}(t) - \phi_{i\tau}(s)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq C(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}, p)(t - s + \tau)^{\frac{6-p}{4p}}, \quad \forall p \in [2, 6). \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. First, since $(\phi_{1\tau}^{k+1}, \phi_{2\tau}^{k+1})$ is a minimizer of F_τ with $(\phi_1^*, \phi_2^*) = (\phi_{1\tau}^k, \phi_{2\tau}^k)$, we know that

$$E(\phi_{1\tau}^{k+1}, \phi_{2\tau}^{k+1}) + \frac{1}{2\tau} \left(\|\phi_{1\tau}^{k+1} - \phi_{1\tau}^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 + \|\phi_{2\tau}^{k+1} - \phi_{2\tau}^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 \right) \leq E(\phi_{1\tau}^k, \phi_{2\tau}^k), \tag{2.15}$$

$\forall k \geq 0,$

which implies that for all $t \in [0, T]$ it holds

$$E(\phi_{1\tau}(t), \phi_{2\tau}(t)) \leq E(\phi_{1\tau}^0, \phi_{2\tau}^0) = E(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}).$$

As a consequence, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\|\phi_{i\tau}(t)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 \leq 4E(\phi_{1\tau}(t), \phi_{2\tau}(t)) + 2 \leq 4E(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}) + 2.$$

Moreover, for all $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, let us write $m = \lfloor s/\tau \rfloor$, $n = \lfloor t/\tau \rfloor$. Repeated use of (2.15) directly yields

$$E(\phi_{1\tau}(t), \phi_{2\tau}(t)) = E(\phi_{1\tau}^n, \phi_{2\tau}^n) \leq E(\phi_{1\tau}^m, \phi_{2\tau}^m) = E(\phi_{1\tau}(s), \phi_{2\tau}(s)).$$

Meanwhile using Hölder’s inequality and summing (2.15) over $k = m, \dots, n - 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{i\tau}^n - \phi_{i\tau}^m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \|\phi_{i\tau}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau}^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq \sqrt{n-m} \sqrt{\sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \|\phi_{i\tau}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau}^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2\tau n - 2\tau m} \sqrt{E(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20})}, \quad i = 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$

which further indicates

$$\|\phi_{i\tau}(t) - \phi_{i\tau}(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq \sqrt{2E(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20})} \sqrt{t - s + \tau}. \tag{2.16}$$

Therefore, using Sobolev interpolation, (2.12) and (2.16), we have that for all $p \in [2, 6)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{i\tau}(t) - \phi_{i\tau}(s)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} &\leq C \|\phi_{i\tau}(t) - \phi_{i\tau}(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{\frac{6-p}{2p}} \|\phi_{i\tau}(t) - \phi_{i\tau}(s)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{\frac{3p-6}{2p}} \\ &\leq C \|\phi_{i\tau}(t) - \phi_{i\tau}(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{\frac{6-p}{2p}} \|\phi_{i\tau}(t) - \phi_{i\tau}(s)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{\frac{3p-6}{2p}} \\ &\leq C(t - s + \tau)^{\frac{6-p}{4p}} (4E(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}) + 2)^{\frac{3p-6}{2p}} \end{aligned}$$

which leads to (2.14). □

The next result immediately follows from Lemma 2.4.

Proposition 2.5. *There exists a sequence $\{\tau_n\} \searrow 0^+$, such that*

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{1\tau_n}(t) \rightarrow \phi_1(t) \\ \phi_{2\tau_n}(t) \rightarrow \phi_2(t) \end{cases} \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^3), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall p \in [2, 6), \tag{2.17}$$

where $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{T}^3))$. Besides, for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $i = 1, 2$

$$\overline{f(\phi_i(t))} = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{T}^3|} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f(\phi_i(t, x)) dx = \omega_i, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_i(t, x))|^2 dx = 36 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\phi_i^2(t, x) - \phi_i(t, x))^2 dx \geq \beta, \tag{2.19}$$

where $\beta > 0$ is some positive constant depending on the initial data $\{\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}\}$.

Proof. To begin with, using (2.12), (2.14), the compact embedding of $H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)$ into $L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)$, and a celebrated refined version of the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem (see [2, Proposition 3.3.1]), we can extract a subsequence $\tau_n \searrow 0^+$, such that

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{1\tau_n}(t) \rightarrow \phi_1(t) \\ \phi_{2\tau_n}(t) \rightarrow \phi_2(t) \end{cases} \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^3), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall p \in [2, 6), \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$\phi_i \in C([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)), \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{2.21}$$

Moreover, it is easy to check (2.18) is also valid.

To prove (2.19), suppose there exists $\tilde{t} \in [0, T]$, such that

$$f'(\phi_i(\tilde{t}, x)) = 6(\phi_i(\tilde{t}, x) - \phi_i^2(\tilde{t}, x)) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{T}^3.$$

That is, $\phi_i(\tilde{t}, x) = 0$ or 1 a.e. in \mathbb{T}^3 . Approximating f' by bounded functions, we deduce $\nabla f(\phi_i(\tilde{t}, x)) = f'(\phi_i(\tilde{t}, x))\nabla\phi_i = 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{T}^3 . Hence $f(\phi_i(\tilde{t}))$ is constant a.e. in \mathbb{T}^3 . Since $f(0) \neq f(1)$, so either $\phi_i(\tilde{t}, x) = 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{T}^3 or $\phi_i(\tilde{t}, x) = 1$ a.e. in \mathbb{T}^3 . But neither case results in (2.18) because $\omega_i \neq 0, 1$ in (1.7). Therefore, $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_i(t, x))|^2 dx > 0$, for all $t \in [0, T]$. Thus (2.19) is valid due to the fact that $\phi_i \in C([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{T}^3))$, for $2 \leq p < 6$. □

2.2 Euler–Lagrange equations for the discrete sequence

Before the derivation of the Euler–Lagrange equations for the discrete sequence $\{(\phi_{1\tau_n}^k, \phi_{2\tau_n}^k)\}$, we need to first establish from (2.19) the following result concerning the approximation of the limit curve (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) by more regular functions. Such approximation is necessary, otherwise some terms in the Lagrange multipliers could not be kept under control (see Remark (2.9) below for details)

Proposition 2.6. *Let (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) be the limits in Proposition 2.5, then there exist $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in C([0, T]; W^{2,p}(\mathbb{T}^3))$, for all $p \in [2, 6)$, satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\xi_i(t, x)) - f'(\phi_i(t, x))|^2 dx \leq \frac{\beta}{16}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], i = 1, 2. \tag{2.22}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove for $i = 1$. First, it is easy to check $-\Delta : W^{2,p}(\mathbb{T}^3) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)$ is an infinitesimal generator of a linear semigroup of contractions. For any $\lambda > 0$, we consider the resolvent operator $J_\lambda = (I - \lambda \Delta)^{-1}$. Then J_λ is a linear bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)$ into itself, and (see [11, Lemma 2.2.1])

$$\|J_\lambda\| \leq 1, \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \tag{2.23}$$

Since $\phi_1 \in C([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{T}^3))$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\tilde{\delta} = \tilde{\delta}(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\|\phi_1(s) - \phi_1(\tilde{s})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \text{whenever } |s - \tilde{s}| < \tilde{\delta}. \tag{2.24}$$

Choosing $K \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently big such that $T/K < \tilde{\delta}$, and letting

$$t_m = \frac{mT}{K}, \quad \forall 0 \leq m \leq K$$

By [11, Lemma 2.2.1], there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\|J_\lambda \phi_1(t_m) - \phi_1(t_m)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \forall 0 < \lambda < \delta, 0 \leq m \leq K. \tag{2.25}$$

In all, for any $t \in [0, T]$, there exists some t_j ($0 \leq j \leq K$), such that $|t - t_j| < \tilde{\delta}$, hence we get from (2.23), (2.24) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|J_\lambda \phi_1(t) - \phi_1(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\ & \leq \|J_\lambda \phi_1(t) - J_\lambda \phi_1(t_j)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} + \|J_\lambda \phi_1(t_j) - \phi_1(t_j)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} + \|\phi_1(t_j) - \phi_1(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\ & \leq \|\phi_1(t) - \phi_1(t_j)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} + \|J_\lambda \phi_1(t_j) - \phi_1(t_j)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} + \|\phi_1(t_j) - \phi_1(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

provided $\lambda < \delta$. Note that $\phi_1(t) \in C([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{T}^3))$ and that inequality (2.23) implies $J_\lambda \phi_1(t) \in C([0, T]; W^{2,p}(\mathbb{T}^3))$.

Finally, choosing λ sufficiently small and setting $\xi_1 = J_\lambda \phi_1$, we finish the proof. □

To proceed with our proof, we shall show that along the decreasing sequence $\{\tau_n\}$, the minimizers to F_{τ_n} satisfy the following Euler–Lagrange equations provided n is sufficiently large. To simplify the notation, we write $N_n = \lfloor T/\tau_n \rfloor$.

Remark 2.7. It is worth mentioning that we only consider the rest of the sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ as n becomes large enough because it ensures the denominator in the Lagrange multipliers will be kept away from zero, see (2.30) below.

Lemma 2.8. *There exists $N = N(\beta) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $n \geq N$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\frac{\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau_n}^k}{\tau_n} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} W'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \frac{1}{2} W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}) + \sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_{j\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) + \lambda_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) \right] v_i(x) dx = 0, \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq N_n - 1, \quad \forall v_i \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^3), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (2.26)$$

where $\lambda_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}$ is given by (2.32). Further, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \frac{1}{2} W'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) + \frac{1}{2} W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_{j\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \lambda_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\ & \leq \frac{\|\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau_n}^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}}{\tau_n}, \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq N_n - 1, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.27) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First of all, by (2.17) and (2.19), there exists $N = N(\beta) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $n > N$ and all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(t, x))|^2 dx \geq \frac{7\beta}{8}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(t, x)) - f'(\phi_i(t, x))|^2 dx \leq \frac{\beta}{16}, \quad (2.28)$$

which together with Proposition 2.6 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(t, x)) - f'(\xi_i(t, x))|^2 dx \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(t, x)) - f'(\phi_i(t, x))|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_i(t, x)) - f'(\xi_i(t, x))|^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{\beta}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, by (2.10), for all $n \geq N$ and all $0 \leq k \leq N_n$, it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^k(x)) f'(\xi_i(\tau_n k, x)) dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^k(x))|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^k(x)) [f'(\xi_i(\tau_n k, x)) - f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^k(x))] dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(\tau_n k, x))|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(\tau_n k, x)) [f'(\xi_i(\tau_n k, x)) - f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(\tau_n k, x))] dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(\tau_n k, x))|^2 dx \\
&\quad - \|f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(\tau_n k, x))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(\tau_n k, x)) - f'(\xi_i(\tau_n k, x))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\
&\geq \sqrt{\frac{7\beta}{8}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{7\beta}{8}} - \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{2} \right) > \frac{\beta}{8}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, given each $\phi_{i\tau_n}^k \in H_{\omega_i}^1$, let us choose

$$w_{i\tau_n}^k(x) = f'(\xi_i(\tau_n k, x)), \quad (2.29)$$

which yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}(x)) w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}(x) dx > \frac{\beta}{8}, \quad \forall n \geq N, \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq N_n - 1. \quad (2.30)$$

Consequently, we derive that $\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}$ satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation (see the Appendix for details)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\frac{\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau_n}^k}{\tau_n} + \frac{1}{2} W'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \frac{1}{2} W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}) \right] v_i(x) dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_{j\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) + \lambda_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) \right] v_i(x) dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\frac{1}{2} \nabla \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} \right] \cdot \nabla v_i dx
\end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

where the corresponding Lagrange multiplier is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} &= - \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} dx} \\
&\quad \times \left[\frac{1}{\tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau_n}^k) w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\nabla \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} + \nabla \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1} + \nabla \phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) \nabla w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} dx \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} dx} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\frac{1}{2} W'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \frac{1}{2} W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}) \right] w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} dx} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_{j\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) \right] w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} dx.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Meanwhile, note that $(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau_n}^k)/\tau_n$, $W'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1})$, $W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1})$, $(-\Delta)^{-1}(f(\phi_{j\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1})$, $\lambda_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1})$ are all in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$, hence $\Delta \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} + \Delta \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1} + \Delta \phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and (2.26) is derived.

To proceed further, for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ we denote by $\eta_{i\epsilon} \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ the unique solution (see for instance [3, Prop. 7.1]) to the elliptic problem

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{i\epsilon} - \epsilon \Delta \eta_{i\epsilon} &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \frac{1}{2} W'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) + \frac{1}{2} W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_{j\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \lambda_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from classical result (see for instance [3, Prop. 7.2]) that as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{i\epsilon} \longrightarrow &\frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \frac{1}{2} W'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) + \frac{1}{2} W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}) \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_{j\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \lambda_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^3). \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

Moreover, by choosing $v_i = \eta_{i\epsilon}$ in (2.26), we get after integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_{i\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \eta_{i\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau_n}^k}{\tau_n} \eta_{i\epsilon}(x) \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{\|\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau_n}^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}}{\tau_n} \|\eta_{i\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Hence (2.27) is proved by combining (2.33) and (2.34). □

Remark 2.9. The main motivation to use the approximating function ξ_i given in Proposition 2.6 is due to the term

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla \phi_{j\tau_n}^{k+1} \nabla w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} = 6 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla \phi_{j\tau_n}^{k+1} \nabla [\xi_i(\tau_n k + \tau_n) - \xi_i^2(\tau_n k + \tau_n)]$$

in the Lagrange multiplier (2.32). If we simply use ϕ_i instead of ξ_i , the right-hand side above might not be integrable.

From now on in this section and the Appendix, when we say “for $n > N$ ”, it is always the $N = N(\beta)$ given in Lemma 2.8.

For each fixed $n \geq N$, based on the Lagrange multipliers $\lambda_{i\tau_n}^k$, $i = 1, 2$, we introduce a piecewise constant interpolation $t \in [0, T] \mapsto (\lambda_{1\tau_n}(t), \lambda_{2\tau_n}(t))$ by

$$\lambda_{i\tau_n}(t) = \lambda_{i\tau_n}^k, \quad \text{for } \tau_n k \leq t < \tau_n(k + 1), \tag{2.35}$$

where $\lambda_{i\tau_n}^k$ are given in (2.32). Then for the piecewise-constant interpolation functional sequence $\{(\phi_{1\tau_n}, \phi_{2\tau_n})\}$ ($n \geq N$) defined in (2.11), one may further retrieve the following *a priori* estimates.

Lemma 2.10. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ that may only depend on $T, \phi_{10}, \phi_{20}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \beta$, and γ_{ij} ($i, j = 1, 2$), such that along the sequence $\{\tau_n\}$, it holds that for all $n \geq N$*

$$\int_0^T \|\phi_{i\tau_n}(t)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 \, dt \leq C, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{2.36}$$

Proof. Consider (2.27) for any fixed $n \geq N$. Summing over k from 0 to $N_n - 2$, we get from (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau_n}^{N_n \tau_n} \left\| \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{1\tau_n}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{2\tau_n}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{i\tau_n}(t) - \frac{1}{2} W'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(t)) + \frac{1}{2} W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}(t) - \phi_{2\tau_n}(t)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} (-\Delta)^{-1} \left(f(\phi_{j\tau_n}(t)) - \omega_j \right) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(t)) - \lambda_{i\tau_n}(t) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(t)) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{N_n-2} \int_{(k+1)\tau_n}^{(k+2)\tau_n} \left\| \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \frac{1}{2} W'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) + \frac{1}{2} W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} (-\Delta)^{-1} \left(f(\phi_{j\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \omega_j \right) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \lambda_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{N_n-2} \frac{\|\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau_n}^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2}{\tau_n} \leq 2E(\phi_{1\tau_n}^0, \phi_{2\tau_n}^0) = 2E(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

From (2.8), Hölder’s inequality and (2.12), we obtain that for all $n \geq N$, all $t \in [0, T]$ and $j = 1, 2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_{j\tau_n}(t)) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\ & \leq \|G * (f(\phi_{j\tau_n}(t)) - \omega_j)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(t))\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\ & \leq C \|f(\phi_{j\tau_n}(t)) - \omega_j\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{T}^3)} (\|\phi_{i\tau_n}(t)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 + 1) \\ & \leq C(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}, \omega_1, \omega_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

Furthermore, in (2.32) let us use the notation

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} = -\frac{\frac{1}{\tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau_n}^k) w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} dx}.$$

It is easy to infer from (2.8), (2.4), (2.30) that for all $n \geq N$, $0 \leq k \leq N_n - 1$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \tilde{\lambda}_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}| & \leq \frac{C}{\beta} \left[\left(\|\nabla \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}\|_{L^2} \right) \|\nabla w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}\|_{L^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\|W'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1})\|_{L^2} + \|W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1})\|_{L^2} \right) \|w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}\|_{L^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^2 |\gamma_{ij}| \cdot \|G * (f(\phi_{j\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \omega_j)\|_{L^6} \|f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1})\|_{L^3} \|w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}\|_{L^2} \right] \\ & \leq C(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \beta, \gamma_{i1}, \gamma_{i2}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.30), we have

$$|\tilde{\lambda}_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}| \leq \frac{8 \|\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau_n}^k\|_{L^2} \|w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}\|_{L^2}}{\beta \tau_n} \leq C(\beta) \frac{\|\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau_n}^k\|_{L^2}}{\tau_n}.$$

Henceforth we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\tau_n}^{N_n \tau_n} \|\lambda_{i\tau_n} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt \\
 & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{N_n-2} \int_{(k+1)\tau_n}^{(k+2)\tau_n} 2(|\lambda_{i\tau_n}^k - \tilde{\lambda}_{i\tau_n}^k|^2 + |\tilde{\lambda}_{i\tau_n}^k|^2) \|f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt \\
 & \leq C(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \beta, \gamma_{i1}, \gamma_{i2}) + C(\beta) \sum_{k=0}^{N_n-2} \frac{\|\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau_n}^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2}{\tau_n} \\
 & \leq C(T, \phi_{10}, \phi_{20}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \beta, \gamma_{i1}, \gamma_{i2}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

In all, summing up Young’s inequality, (2.12), (2.37), (2.38), (2.39), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\tau_n}^{N_n \tau_n} \|\Delta\phi_{1\tau_n}(t) + \Delta\phi_{2\tau_n}(t) + \Delta\phi_{i\tau_n}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt \\
 & \leq 16E(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}) + 16 \int_{\tau_n}^{N_n \tau_n} \|W'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(t)) + W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}(t) - \phi_{2\tau_n}(t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt \\
 & \quad + 16 \sum_{j=1}^2 |\gamma_{ij}| \int_{\tau_n}^{N_n \tau_n} \|(-\Delta)^{-1}(f(\phi_{j\tau_n}(t)) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt \\
 & \quad + 16 \int_{\tau_n}^{N_n \tau_n} \|\lambda_{i\tau_n} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt \\
 & \leq C(T, \phi_{10}, \phi_{20}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \beta, \gamma_{i1}, \gamma_{i2}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

Therefore, using Young’s inequality and (2.40), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\tau_n}^{N_n \tau_n} \|\Delta\phi_{1\tau_n}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt \\
 & = \frac{1}{9} \int_{\tau_n}^{N_n \tau_n} \|2[2\Delta\phi_{1\tau_n}(t) + \Delta\phi_{2\tau_n}(t)] - [2\Delta\phi_{2\tau_n}(t) + \Delta\phi_{1\tau_n}(t)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt \\
 & \leq \frac{8}{9} \int_{\tau_n}^{N_n \tau_n} \|2\Delta\phi_{1\tau_n}(t) + \Delta\phi_{2\tau_n}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt + \frac{2}{9} \int_{\tau_n}^{N_n \tau_n} \|2\Delta\phi_{2\tau_n}(t) + \Delta\phi_{1\tau_n}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt \\
 & \leq C(T, \phi_{10}, \phi_{20}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \beta, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{22}).
 \end{aligned}$$

and the estimate for $\int_{\tau_n}^{N_n \tau_n} \|\Delta\phi_{2\tau_n}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt$ can be established in a similar manner, which together with (2.12), and monotone convergence theorem leads to (2.36). \square

2.3 Convergence to the limit curve

After collecting all the *a priori* estimates and the Euler–Lagrange equation (2.26) established in the previous subsections, now we shall show that the limit curve (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) retrieved in Proposition 2.5 indeed solves the equation (2.2).

To begin with, for all $0 < t < T$ and all $n \geq N$, denote $\tilde{N}_n = \lfloor t/\tau_n \rfloor$. Summing k from 0 to $\tilde{N}_n - 1$ in (2.26), using (2.10), (2.11) and (2.35), it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} [\phi_{i\tau_n}(t, x) - \phi_{i0}(x)] v_i(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\tau_n}^{\tilde{N}_n \tau_n + \tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{1}{2} \left[\Delta \phi_{1\tau_n}(s, x) + \Delta \phi_{2\tau_n}(s, x) + \Delta \phi_{i\tau_n}(s, x) - W'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(s, x)) \right] v_i(x) \, dx ds \\ & \quad + \int_{\tau_n}^{\tilde{N}_n \tau_n + \tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\frac{1}{2} W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n} - \phi_{2\tau_n}) \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_{j\tau_n}(s, x)) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(s, x)) \right] v_i(x) \, dx ds \\ & \quad - \int_{\tau_n}^{\tilde{N}_n \tau_n + \tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\lambda_{i\tau_n}(s) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(s, x)) \right] v_i(x) \, dx ds, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

By equation (2.41), Proposition 2.5, Lemmas 2.4–2.10, we are ready to prove the main theorem regarding to the existence result.

Definition 2.11. We call $(\phi_1(t, x), \phi_2(t, x))$ a global weak solution to problem (2.2)–(1.7), if for all $T > 0$, (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) satisfies

$$\phi_i \in C([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H_{\omega_i}^1) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{T}^3)), \quad 2 \leq p < 6, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

the initial condition (2.3), and the volume constraint (1.7), for all $t \in [0, T]$. Further, for any $t \in (0, T)$ and arbitrary test functions $w_1, w_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \phi_i(t, x) w_i(x) \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_1 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_i - \frac{1}{2} W'(\phi_i) + \frac{1}{2} W'(1 - \phi_1 - \phi_2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_j) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_i) - \lambda_i f'(\phi_i) \right] w_i(x) \, dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

in the distributional sense in $(0, T)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 2.12. For any $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ that satisfy (1.4), $(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}) \in H_{\omega_1}^1 \times H_{\omega_2}^2$, there exists a unique global weak solution (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) to problem (2.2)–(2.3) with volume constraint (1.7). Further, the free energy $E(\phi_1(t), \phi_2(t))$ is decreasing in time.

Proof. Existence: To begin with, using (2.12), (2.36), we can further get up to a subsequence (for simplicity we shall not distinguish the sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ and its subsequence now and later) that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{i\tau_n}(t) &\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \phi_i(t), \quad \text{weak } * \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)), \\ \phi_{i\tau_n}(t) &\rightharpoonup \phi_i(t), \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{T}^3)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

From now on in the proof, we will take the test functions $v_i(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)$ with better regularity than $w_i(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ in (2.42), then by a classical density argument, it is easy to check that (2.54) below is valid for any test function $w_i \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$.

As a consequence, taking the limit $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in (2.41) we get by (2.20) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} [\phi_{i\tau_n}(t, x) - \phi_{i0}(x)]v_i(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} [\phi_i(t, x) - \phi_{i0}(x)]v_i(x) dx. \tag{2.44}$$

Meanwhile, using (2.12), (2.20) and the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\int_{\tau_n}^{\tilde{N}_n\tau_n+\tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} W'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(s, x))v_i(x) dx ds \rightarrow \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} W'(\phi_i(s, x))v_i(x) dx ds, \tag{2.45}$$

$$\int_{\tau_n}^{\tilde{N}_n\tau_n+\tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n} - \phi_{2\tau_n})v_i(x) dx ds \rightarrow \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} W'(1 - \phi_1 - \phi_2)v_i(x) dx ds. \tag{2.46}$$

It is worth pointing out that in (2.45) and (2.46) the bordering time integral (the lower bound τ_n) can be ignored because the above bordering time integrand is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\mathbb{T}^3))$.

To proceed, note that (2.36) and (2.43) together imply that

$$\Delta\phi_i \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)), \tag{2.47}$$

and henceforth we know from (2.43) and (2.47) that

$$\int_{\tau_n}^{\tilde{N}_n\tau_n+\tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \Delta\phi_{i\tau_n}(s, x)v_i(x) dx ds \rightarrow \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \Delta\phi_i(s, x)v_i(x) dx ds. \tag{2.48}$$

It is worth mentioning that bordering time integrals can be neglected for the same reason as in (2.45).

Next, using the dominated convergence theorem, we derive from (2.8), (2.12) and (2.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (-\Delta)^{-1}(f(\phi_{j\tau_n}) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n})v_i(x) dx ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (-\Delta)^{-1}(f(\phi_j) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_i)v_i(x) dx ds \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left| (-\Delta)^{-1}(f(\phi_{j\tau_n}) - f(\phi_j)) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n})v_i(x) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| (-\Delta)^{-1}(f(\phi_j) - \omega_j) [f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}) - f'(\phi_i)]v_i(x) \right| dx ds \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|G * (f(\phi_{j\tau_n}(s)) - f(\phi_j(s)))\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(s))\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|v_i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \|G * (f(\phi_j(s)) - \omega_j)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(s)) - f'(\phi_i(s))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|v_i\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T}^3)} ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \|f(\phi_{j\tau_n}(s)) - f(\phi_j(s))\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{T}^3)} ds \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t \|f(\phi_j(s)) - \omega_j\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(s)) - f'(\phi_i(s))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} ds \\ & \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, 2$. Note that the H^1 regularity of the test function $v_i(x)$ is to bound $\|v_i\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T}^3)}$ in the above estimate. Hence we get (after ignoring borderline time integrands) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} \int_{\tau_n}^{\tilde{N}_n \tau_n + \tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_{j\tau_n}(s, x)) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(s, x)) v_i(x) \, dx ds \\ & \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_j(s, x)) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_i(s, x)) v_i(x) \, dx ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

Finally, let us consider the convergence of the last term on the right-hand side of (2.41). By (2.12), (2.17), and the dominated convergence theorem we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(s, x)) v_i(x) \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_i(s, x)) v_i(x) \, dx \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T). \tag{2.50}$$

Moreover, in a similar manner as in the proof of Lemma 2.10 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau_n}^{T-\tau_n} |\lambda_{i\tau_n}(s)|^2 \, dt \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{N_n-2} \int_{(k+1)\tau_n}^{(k+2)\tau_n} 2(|\lambda_{i\tau_n}^k - \tilde{\lambda}_{i\tau_n}^k|^2 + |\tilde{\lambda}_{i\tau_n}^k|^2) \, dt \\ & \leq C(T, \phi_{10}, \phi_{20}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \beta, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{22}) + C(\beta) \sum_{k=0}^{N_n-2} \frac{\|\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{i\tau_n}^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2}{\tau_n} \\ & \leq C(T, \phi_{10}, \phi_{20}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \beta, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{22}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

which together with the monotone convergence theorem implies

$$\lambda_{i\tau_n}(s) \rightarrow \lambda_i(s) \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T), \text{ where } \lambda_i(s) \in L^2(0, T). \tag{2.52}$$

In all, (2.50)–(2.52) give

$$\int_{\tau_n}^{\tilde{N}_n \tau_n + \tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} [\lambda_{i\tau_n}(s) f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}(s, x))] v_i(x) \, dx ds \rightarrow \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} [\lambda_i(s) f'(\phi_i(s, x))] v_i(x) \, dx ds. \tag{2.53}$$

In conclusion, summing up the convergence results in (2.44), (2.45), (2.46), (2.48), (2.49), (2.53), we manage to establish for all $0 < t < T$ the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} [\phi_i(t, x) - \phi_{i0}(x)] v_i(x) \, dx \\ & = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_1 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi_i - \frac{1}{2} W'(\phi_i) + \frac{1}{2} W'(1 - \phi_1 - \phi_2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_j) - \omega_j) f'(\phi_i) - \lambda_i f'(\phi_i) \right] v_i(x) \, dx ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

Hence we obtain a weak solution to the problem (2.2)–(2.3) in its integral form, which is equivalent to (2.2) by [8, Lemma 1.1, Chapter 3]. Further, to establish (2.4), we multiply both sides of (2.2) by $f'(\phi_i)$ and then integrate over \mathbb{T}^3 . Note that (1.7) and (2.19) can be utilized.

Uniqueness: Suppose there are two global weak solutions, namely $(\phi_1, \phi_2), (\phi_1^*, \phi_2^*)$ to problem (2.2)–(2.3). First of all, we know that

$$\phi_i, \phi_i^* \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{T}^3)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_i)(t, x)|^2 dx \geq \beta_i > 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_i^*)(t, x)|^2 dx = \beta_i^* > 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Let us define $\tilde{\phi}_i = \phi_i - \phi_i^*, i = 1, 2$, then $(\tilde{\phi}_1, \tilde{\phi}_2)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{\phi}_i &= \Delta \tilde{\phi}_i + \frac{\Delta \tilde{\phi}_j}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial W_T}{\partial \phi_i} - \frac{\partial W_T}{\partial \phi_i^*} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^2 \gamma_{ik} \left[(-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_k) - \omega_k) f'(\phi_i) - (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_k^*) - \omega_k) f'(\phi_i^*) \right] \\ &\quad - \lambda_i(t) f'(\phi_i) + \lambda_i^* f'(\phi_i^*), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 2, i \neq j. \end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

subject to periodic boundary condition and the initial conditions

$$\tilde{\phi}_i(0, x) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{2.56}$$

Multiplying equation (2.55) with $2\tilde{\phi}_i$, and summing over i from 1 to 2, after integrating over \mathbb{T}^3 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (|\tilde{\phi}_1(t, x)|^2 + |\tilde{\phi}_2(t, x)|^2) dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_1(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{\phi}_2(t, x)|^2) dx \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla \tilde{\phi}_1 \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi}_2(t, x) dx - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\frac{\partial W_T}{\partial \phi_i} - \frac{\partial W_T}{\partial \phi_i^*} \right] \tilde{\phi}_i(t, x) dx \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \gamma_{ik} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_k) - f(\phi_k^*)) f'(\phi_i) \tilde{\phi}_i(t, x) dx \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \gamma_{ik} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_k^*) - \omega_k) [f'(\phi_i) - f'(\phi_i^*)] \tilde{\phi}_i(t, x) dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} [f'(\phi_i) - f'(\phi_i^*)] \tilde{\phi}_i(t, x) dx - \sum_{i=1}^2 [\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_i^*(t)] \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_i^*) \tilde{\phi}_i(t, x) dx \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -2 \|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 - 2 \|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + I_1 + \dots + I_6. \end{aligned} \tag{2.57}$$

We shall estimate I_1, \dots, I_6 individually. First, it is easy to check

$$I_1 \leq \|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.58}$$

Next, using the mean value theorem, the interpolation inequality and Young's inequality, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C \|\phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2 + \phi_1^{*2} + \phi_2^{*2} + 1\|_{L^2} (\|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\tilde{\phi}_2\|_{L^4}^2) \\ &\leq C (\|\tilde{\phi}_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{\phi}_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{4} (\|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.59)$$

To proceed further, by the mean value theorem and (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^2 |\gamma_{ik}| \|(-\Delta)^{-1}(f(\phi_k) - f(\phi_k^*))\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|f'(\phi_i)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\ &\leq \sum_{i,k=1}^2 C \|f(\phi_k) - f(\phi_k^*)\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\ &\leq \sum_{i,k=1}^2 C \|f'(\eta_k)\|_{L^3} \|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \text{where } \eta_k \in (\phi_k, \phi_k^*) \\ &\leq C (\|\tilde{\phi}_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{\phi}_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.60)$$

At the same time, by the mean value theorem, (2.8) and Young's inequality, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\leq 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^2 |\gamma_{ik}| \|(-\Delta)^{-1}(f(\phi_k^*) - \omega_k)\|_{L^6} \|f'(\phi_i) - f'(\phi_i^*)\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^3} \\ &\leq \sum_{i,k=1}^2 C \|f(\phi_k^*) - \omega_k\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} \|f''(\eta_i)\|_{L^6} \|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^3} \|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^3} \quad \text{where } \eta_i \in (\phi_i, \phi_i^*) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 C (\|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^2})^2 \\ &\leq C (\|\tilde{\phi}_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{\phi}_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{4} (\|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.61)$$

We proceed to estimate I_5 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 |\lambda_i(t)| \|f'(\phi_i) - f'(\phi_i^*)\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 |\lambda_i(t)| \|f''(\eta_i)\|_{L^6} \|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^3} \|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^2} \quad \text{where } \eta_i \in (\phi_i, \phi_i^*) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 C |\lambda_i(t)| \|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^2} (\|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\tilde{\phi}_i\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C (1 + |\lambda_1(t)|^2 + |\lambda_2(t)|^2) (\|\tilde{\phi}_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{\phi}_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} (\|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

Finally, to deal with I_6 , first we estimate $|\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_i^*(t)|$ for $i = 1, 2$. By (2.4), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(t) - \lambda_1^*(t) \\ &= \frac{-\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla \phi_1|^2 f''(\phi_1) dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla \phi_1^*|^2 f''(\phi_1^*) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx} \\ & \quad - \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\nabla \phi_1 \cdot \nabla \phi_2) f''(\phi_1) dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\nabla \phi_1^* \cdot \nabla \phi_2^*) f''(\phi_1^*) dx}{2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx} \\ & \quad - \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} W'(\phi_1) f'(\phi_1) dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} W'(\phi_1^*) f'(\phi_1^*) dx}{2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx} \\ & \quad + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} W'(1 - \phi_1 - \phi_2) f'(\phi_1) dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} W'(1 - \phi_1^* - \phi_2^*) f'(\phi_1^*) dx}{2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx} \\ & \quad - \sum_{k=1}^2 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \gamma_{1k} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_k) - \omega_k) f'(\phi_1) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx} \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^2 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \gamma_{1k} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_k^*) - \omega_k^*) f'(\phi_1^*) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx} \\ & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J_1 + \dots + J_6. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (|f'(\phi_1)|^2 - |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2) dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla \phi_1|^2 f''(\phi_1) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx} \\ & \quad + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (|\nabla \phi_1|^2 f''(\phi_1) - |\nabla \phi_1^*|^2 f''(\phi_1^*)) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 dx} \\ & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J_{1a} + J_{1b}, \end{aligned}$$

where, using the interpolation inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{1a}| &\leq \frac{1}{\beta_1 \beta_1^*} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1) - f'(\phi_1^*)| |f'(\phi_1) + f'(\phi_1^*)| dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f''(\phi_1)| |\nabla \phi_1|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\beta_1 \beta_1^*} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\tilde{\phi}_1| |f''(\eta_1)| |f'(\phi_1) + f'(\phi_1^*)| dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f''(\phi_1)| |\nabla \phi_1|^2 dx \\ & \hspace{25em} \text{where } \eta_1 \in (\phi_1, \phi_1^*) \\ &\leq C \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|f''(\phi_1)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\nabla \phi_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\nabla \phi_1\|_{L^3(\mathcal{T})} \\ &\leq C \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} (\|\nabla \phi_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta \phi_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla \phi_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}) \\ &\leq C (1 + \|\Delta \phi_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{1b}| &\leq \frac{1}{\beta_1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (|\nabla \phi_1|^2 - |\nabla \phi_1^*|^2) f''(\phi_1) dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla \phi_1^*|^2 (f''(\phi_1) - f''(\phi_1^*)) dx \right| \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla \tilde{\phi}_1| (|\nabla \phi_1| + |\nabla \phi_1^*|) |f''(\phi_1)| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\tilde{\phi}_1| |\nabla \phi_1^*|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \|f''(\phi_1)\|_{L^6} (\|\nabla\phi_1\|_{L^3} + \|\nabla\phi_1^*\|_{L^3}) \|\nabla\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} \|\nabla\phi_1^*\|_{L^4}^2 \\ &\leq C (1 + \|\Delta\phi_1\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\Delta\phi_1^*\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\nabla\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} + C (1 + \|\Delta\phi_1^*\|_{L^2}) \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

In all, we have

$$|J_1| \leq C \left(1 + \|\Delta\phi_1\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\Delta\phi_1^*\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \|\nabla\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} + C \left(1 + \|\Delta\phi_1\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta\phi_1^*\|_{L^2}\right) \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2}.$$

Similarly to the estimate of J_1 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2| &\leq C (1 + \|\Delta\phi_1^*\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\Delta\phi_2\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\nabla\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\tilde{\phi}_2\|_{L^2}) \\ &\quad + C (1 + \|\Delta\phi_1\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta\phi_2\|_{L^2}) \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Besides,

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3| &\leq \frac{1}{\beta_1\beta_1^*} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (f'(\phi_1^*)|^2 - |f'(\phi_1)|^2) dx \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} W'(\phi_1) f'(\phi_1) dx \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\beta_1^*} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (W'(\phi_1) f'(\phi_1) - W'(\phi_1^*) f'(\phi_1^*)) dx \right| \\ &\leq C \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (f'(\phi_1^*) - f'(\phi_1))(f'(\phi_1^*) + f'(\phi_1)) dx \right| \\ &\quad + C \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (W'(\phi_1) - W'(\phi_1^*)) f'(\phi_1) + W'(\phi_1^*) (f'(\phi_1) - f'(\phi_1^*)) dx \right| \\ &\leq C \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} \|f''(\eta_1)\|_{L^6} \|f'(\phi_1^*) + f'(\phi_1)\|_{L^3} + C \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} \|W''(\eta_1)\|_{L^3} \|f'(\phi_1)\|_{L^6} \\ &\quad + C \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} \|W'(\phi_1^*)\|_{L^3} \|f''(\eta_1)\|_{L^6} \quad \text{where } \eta_1 \in (\phi_1, \phi_1^*) \\ &\leq C \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} (1 + \|\phi_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\phi_1^*\|_{L^\infty}) \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \|\Delta\phi_1\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\Delta\phi_1^*\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to J_3 , we have

$$|J_4| \leq C \left(1 + \|\Delta\phi_1\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\Delta\phi_1^*\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\Delta\phi_2^*\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) (\|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{\phi}_2\|_{L^2}).$$

Meanwhile, it is easy to check from (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} |J_5 + J_6| &\leq \frac{1}{\beta_1\beta_1^*} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |f'(\phi_1) - f'(\phi_1^*)| |f'(\phi_1) + f'(\phi_1^*)| dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\gamma_{1k}| (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_k) - \omega_k) |f'(\phi_1)| dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\beta_1^*} \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\gamma_{1k}| |(-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_k) - f(\phi_k^*))| |f'(\phi_1)| dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\beta_1^*} \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\gamma_{1k}| |(-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_k^*) - \omega_k)| |f'(\phi_1) - f'(\phi_1^*)| dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq C \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} + C \sum_{k=1}^2 \|f(\phi_k) - f(\phi_k^*)\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} \|f'(\phi_1)\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} + C \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} + C \sum_{k=1}^2 \|f'(\eta_k)\|_{L^3} \|\tilde{\phi}_k\|_{L^2} \quad \text{where } \eta_k \in (\phi_k, \phi_k^*), 1 \leq k \leq 2 \\
 &\leq C \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|_{L^2} + C \|\tilde{\phi}_2\|_{L^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Summing up all the above estimates from J_1 to J_6 , after using Young’s inequality, we conclude that

$$|\lambda_1(t) - \lambda_1^*(t)| \leq C \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^2 (\|\Delta\phi_k\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta\phi_k^*\|_{L^2}) \right] \sum_{k=1}^2 (\|\tilde{\phi}_k\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\tilde{\phi}_k^*\|_{L^2}), \tag{2.63}$$

while the estimate for $|\lambda_2(t) - \lambda_2^*(t)|$ is identical to (2.63).

As a consequence, by (2.63) and Young’s inequality, we obtain

$$I_6 \leq C \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^2 (\|\Delta\phi_k\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta\phi_k^*\|_{L^2}^2) \right] \sum_{k=1}^2 \|\tilde{\phi}_k(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^2 \|\nabla\tilde{\phi}_k(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.64}$$

In conclusion, summing up (2.58)–(2.62) and (2.64), we arrive at the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{k=1}^2 \|\tilde{\phi}_k(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq C \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^2 (|\lambda_k(t)|^2 + \|\Delta\phi_k(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta\phi_k^*(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2) \right] \sum_{k=1}^2 \|\tilde{\phi}_k(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.65}$$

Note that $\lambda_k(t), \Delta\phi_k(t, \cdot), \Delta\phi_k^*(t, \cdot) \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2$, hence a direct application of Gronwall’s inequality to (2.56) yields $\tilde{\phi}_1(t, \cdot) = \tilde{\phi}_2(t, \cdot) \equiv 0$, which finishes the proof. \square

Remark 2.13. Theorem 2.12 is still valid if \mathbb{T}^3 is replaced by any smooth and bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 , provided that homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions are imposed. Besides Theorem 2.12 is also valid for two-dimensional case.

Remark 2.14. While Theorem 2.12 is in regard to the well-posedness of the ACON system in Lagrange multiplier form, a direct application of the De Giorgi’s minimization movement scheme can also lead to the well-posedness of the ACON system in penalty form as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t \phi_i &= \Delta\phi_i + \frac{\Delta\phi_j}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial W_\Gamma}{\partial \phi_i} - \sum_{k=1}^2 \gamma_{ik} (-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_k) - \omega_k) f'(\phi_i) \\
 &\quad - M \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (f(\phi_i) - \omega_i) dx \cdot f'(\phi_i), \tag{2.66}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi_i(x, 0) = \phi_{i0}(x), \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{2.67}$$

where $M \gg 1$ is the penalty constant. Indeed, in the penalty form, one does not need to handle any singularity arising from nontrivial denominators, which makes the application of the De Giorgi’s minimization movement scheme much more straightforward.

Remark 2.15. The well-posedness of the Allen–Cahn–Ohta–Kawasaki (ACOK) equation [10], the binary counterpart of the ACON system, either in the Lagrange multiplier form or penalty form, can be similarly established by following the De Giorgi’s minimization movement scheme.

3. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we prove the global well-posedness of the ACON system with two fixed nonlinear volume constraints. Different from the standard De Giorgi’s minimizing movement scheme, we identify the limit curve first and use an approximation of this limit curve to establish the nonlinear terms caused by the nonlinear volume constraint in the discrete Euler–Lagrange equation. This special treatment can be potentially used to study the well-posedness of other L^2 gradient flow dynamics with nonlinear constraints.

A. Appendix

In this appendix, we shall derive for all $n \geq N$ the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equation for the minimizer $(\phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1}, \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1})$ to the functional

$$F_\tau[\phi_1, \phi_2; \phi_{1\tau_n}^k, \phi_{2\tau_n}^k] = F_\tau[\phi_1, \phi_2] + \frac{\|\phi_1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 + \|\phi_2 - \phi_{2\tau_n}^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2}{2\tau}, \tag{A1}$$

in the admissible set $H_{\omega_1}^1 \times H_{\omega_2}^1$. This is an adapted version of [5, Theorem 2, Section 8.4], but for the sake of completeness we provide all details here. In the sequel the index i ranges from 1 to 2.

Step 1. Let $v_1, v_2 \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)$ be two independent functions. By (2.28), we know that

$$f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) \text{ is not equal to zero a.e. within } \mathbb{T}^3, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

And by the choice of (2.29), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}(x)) w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}(x) \, dx \neq 0, \quad \forall n \geq N, \forall 0 \leq k \leq N_n - 1. \tag{A2}$$

Let us consider the two functions

$$j_i(\delta, \sigma) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} [f(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} + \delta v_i + \sigma w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \omega_i] \, dx. \tag{A3}$$

Then it is clear that

$$j_i(0, 0) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} [f(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \omega_i] \, dx = 0. \tag{A4}$$

Besides, j is C^1 and satisfies

$$\frac{\partial j_i}{\partial \delta}(\delta, \sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} + \delta v_i + \sigma w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) v_i(x) \, dx, \tag{A5}$$

$$\frac{\partial j_i}{\partial \sigma}(\delta, \sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} + \delta v_i + \sigma w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}) w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}(x) \, dx. \tag{A6}$$

Note that (A2) implies

$$\frac{\partial j_i}{\partial \sigma}(0, 0) \neq 0.$$

As a consequence, using implicit function theorem, there exist C^1 functions $\eta_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\eta_i(0) = 0, \tag{A7}$$

$$j_i(\delta, \eta_i(\delta)) = 0, \quad \text{for all sufficiently small } |\delta| \leq \delta_0, \tag{A8}$$

for some $\delta_0 > 0$. Then we obtain after differentiating both sides of (A8) that

$$\frac{\partial j_i}{\partial \delta}(\delta, \eta_i(\delta)) + \frac{\partial j_i}{\partial \sigma}(\delta, \eta_i(\delta))\eta'_i(\delta) = 0,$$

which together with (A5) and (A6) gives

$$\eta'_i(0) = -\frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1})v_i(x) \, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f'(\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1})w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}(x) \, dx} \tag{A9}$$

Step 2. Next let us define

$$I(\delta) := F_\tau \left[\phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} + \delta v_1 + \eta_1(\delta)w_{1\tau_n}^{k+1}, \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1} + \delta v_2 + \eta_2(\delta)w_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}; \phi_{1\tau_n}^k, \phi_{2\tau_n}^k \right].$$

By (A8), $\phi_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} + \delta v_i + \eta_i(\delta)w_{i\tau_n}^{k+1} \in H_{\omega_i}^1$, for all $|\delta| \leq \delta_0, i = 1, 2$. Thus the C^1 function $I(\cdot)$ takes the minimum value at 0, which yields $0 = I'(0)$. Since v_1 and v_2 are independent, we get after expansion

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\frac{\phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{1\tau_n}^k}{\tau_n} + \frac{1}{2}W'(\phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \frac{1}{2}W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}) \right] [v_1(x) + \eta'_1(0)w_{1n}^{k+1}(x)] \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \sum_{l=1}^2 \gamma_{1l}(-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_{l\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \omega_l) f'(\phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1}) [v_1(x) + \eta'_1(0)w_{1\tau_n}^k(x)] \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\nabla \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1} \right) [\nabla v_1(x) + \eta'_1(0) \nabla w_{1\tau_n}^{k+1}(x)] \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left[\frac{\phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{2\tau_n}^k}{\tau_n} + \frac{1}{2}W'(\phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \frac{1}{2}W'(1 - \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} - \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}) \right] [v_2(x) + \eta'_2(0)w_{2n}^{k+1}(x)] \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \sum_{l=1}^2 \gamma_{2l}(-\Delta)^{-1} (f(\phi_{l\tau_n}^{k+1}) - \omega_l) f'(\phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}) [v_2(x) + \eta'_2(0)w_{2\tau_n}^k(x)] \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\nabla \phi_{2\tau_n}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \phi_{1\tau_n}^{k+1} \right) [\nabla v_2(x) + \eta'_2(0) \nabla w_{2\tau_n}^{k+1}(x)] \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\lambda_{i\tau_n}^{k+1}$ as in (2.32), then the above two equations lead to the Euler–Lagrange equations (2.31).

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the anonymous referees for their suggestions to improve the quality of our manuscript. S. Joo would like to acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation through grant DMS-1909268 and Simons Foundation Grant No. 422622. X. Xu's work is supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation through grant No. 635288 and NSF grant DMS-2007157. Y. Zhao's work is supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation through Grant No. 357963 and the Columbian College Facilitating Funds (CCFF) of George Washington University.

References

1. Ambrosio, L., Minimizing movements. *Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. Appl. (5)* **19** (1995), 191–246. [Zb10957.49029](#) [MR1387558](#)
2. Ambrosio, L., Gigli, N., & Savaré, G., *Gradient Flows in Metric Spaces and in the Space of Probability Measures*, second ed. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2008. [Zb11145.35001](#) [MR2401600](#)
3. Brezis, H., *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011. [Zb11220.46002](#) [MR2759829](#)
4. De Giorgi, E., New problems on minimizing movements. In *Boundary Value Problems for Partial Differential Equations and Applications*, vol. 29 of *RMA Res. Notes Appl. Math.* Masson, Paris, 1993, pp. 81–98. [Zb10851.35052](#) [MR1260440](#)
5. Evans, L. C., *Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. [Zb10902.35002](#) [MR1625845](#)
6. Hamley, I. W., *Developments in Block Copolymer Science and Technology*. Wiley, New York (2004).
7. Nakazawa, H. & Ohta, T., Microphase separation of ABC-type triblock copolymers. *Macromolecules* **26** (1993), 5503–5511.
8. Temam, R., *Navier–Stokes Equations. Theory and Numerical Analysis*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001. Reprint of the 1984 edition. [Zb10981.35001](#) [MR1846644](#)
9. Xu, X. & Zhao, Y., Energy stable semi-implicit schemes for Allen–Cahn–Ohta–Kawasaki model in binary system. *J. Sci. Comput.* **80** (2019), 1656–1680. [Zb11428.65015](#) [MR3995981](#)
10. Xu, X. & Zhao, Y., Maximum principle preserving schemes for binary systems with long-range interactions. *J. Sci. Comput.* **84** 2 (2020), Paper No. 33, 34. [Zb11461.76353](#) [MR4130549](#)
11. Zheng, S., *Nonlinear Evolution Equations*, vol. 133 of *Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004. [Zb11085.47058](#) [MR2088362](#)