

# Instantaneous convexity breaking for the quasi-static droplet model

Albert Chau and Ben Weinkove

**Abstract.** We consider a well-known quasi-static model for the shape of a liquid droplet. The solution can be described in terms of time-evolving domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We give an example to show that convexity of the domain can be instantaneously broken.

## 1. Introduction

We consider the following system of equations for a function  $u(x, t)$  and domains  $\Omega_t \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , for  $t \geq 0$ ; this system is used to model the quasi-static shape evolution of a liquid droplet of height  $u(x, t)$  occupying the region  $\Omega_t$ :

$$-\Delta u = \lambda_t \quad \text{on } \Omega_t, \quad (1.1a)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_t, \quad (1.1b)$$

$$V = F(|Du|) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_t, \quad (1.1c)$$

$$\int_{\Omega_t} u \, dx = 1. \quad (1.1d)$$

In the above,  $V$  is the velocity of the free boundary  $\partial\Omega_t$  in the direction of the outward unit normal and  $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an analytic function with  $F'(r) > 0$  for  $r > 0$ . The constant  $\lambda_t > 0$  is determined by the integral condition on  $u$ .

The initial data is given by a domain  $\Omega_0$ , which we assume is bounded with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega_0$ . Note that the domains  $\Omega_t$  (assuming they are bounded with sufficiently regular boundary  $\partial\Omega_t$ ) determine uniquely the solution  $x \mapsto u(x, t)$ . Thus, we may denote a solution of (1.1) by a family of evolving domains  $\Omega_t$ . In Section 2 we will explain what is meant by a *classical solution* to this problem.

The system of equations given in (1.1) has long been accepted as a model for droplet evolution in the physical literature [1, 6, 7, 10, 11]. There have been results on weak formulations of this equation by Glasner–Kim [5] and Grunewald–Kim [8]. Feldman–Kim [3] gave some conditions for global existence and convergence to an equilibrium. Escher–Guidotti [2] proved a short time existence result for classical solutions, which we describe in Section 2 below.

In this note we address the following natural question:

**Question 1.1.** Is the convexity of  $\Omega_t$  preserved by system (1.1)?

This question is implicit in the work of Glasner–Kim [5]. It was raised explicitly by Feldman–Kim [3, p. 822]: “Let us point out that, in particular, it is unknown whether the convexity of the drop is preserved in the system [(1.1)].”

In this note, we answer Question 1.1 by showing that convexity is *not* generally preserved. We make an assumption on  $F$ , namely that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F''(r)}{F'(r)} \geq \gamma \quad \text{for some } \gamma > 0. \tag{1.2}$$

This includes the important cases  $F(r) = r^3 - 1$  and  $F(r) = r^2 - 1$  considered in [5] and [3, 8], respectively.

We construct an example where  $\Omega_t$  is convex for  $t = 0$ , but not convex for  $t \in (0, \delta]$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume that  $F$  satisfies Assumption 1.2. There exist  $\delta > 0$  and a bounded convex domain  $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with smooth boundary such that the solution  $\Omega_t$  to (1.1) with this initial data is not convex for any  $t \in (0, \delta]$ .*

Escher–Guidotti [2] showed that as long as  $\Omega_0$  is a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary, there always exists a unique classical solution for a short time, and this is what is meant by “the solution  $\Omega_t$ ” in the statement of Theorem 1.2. In Section 2, we describe more precisely the results of [2].

In Section 3 we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. The starting point is an explicit solution of the equation  $-\Delta u = \lambda_0$  on an equilateral triangle [9]. We smooth out the corners to obtain our convex domain  $\Omega_0$  and show that it immediately breaks convexity.

## 2. Short time existence

In this section, we recall the short time existence result of Escher–Guidotti [2].

We first give a definition of a solution of (1.1), following [2]. Note that the domains  $\Omega_t$  determine uniquely the functions  $u$ , so we will describe the solution of (1.1) in terms of varying domains—given as graphs over the original boundary.

Fix  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Assume that  $\Omega_0$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose boundary  $\Gamma_0 := \partial\Omega_0$  is a smooth hypersurface. Let  $\nu(x)$  denote the unit outward normal to  $\Gamma_0$  at  $x$ . Then, there exists a maximal constant  $\sigma(\Omega_0) > 0$  such that for any given function  $\rho \in C^{2+\alpha}(\Gamma_0)$  with  $\|\rho\|_{C^1(\Gamma_0)} \leq \sigma$ , the set

$$\Gamma_\rho = \{x + \rho(x)\nu(x) \mid x \in \Gamma_0\}$$

is a  $C^{2+\alpha}$  hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which is the boundary of a bounded domain  $\Omega = \Omega(\rho)$ .

We can now describe a solution of (1.1) in terms of a time-varying family  $\rho(x, t)$ , that is, given

$$\rho \in C([0, T], C^{2+\alpha}(\Gamma_0)) \cap C^1([0, T], C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma_0))$$

with  $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_{C^1(\Gamma_0)} < \sigma(\Omega_0)$ , write  $\Omega_t, t \in [0, T]$ , for the corresponding family of domains, with boundaries  $\Gamma_t := \Gamma_{\rho(t)}$ . The velocity  $V$  of the boundary in the direction of the outward normal at a point  $y = x + \rho(x, t)v(x) \in \Gamma_t$  is given by

$$V = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}(x, t)v(x) \cdot n(y, t),$$

where  $n(y, t)$  is the outward unit normal to  $\Gamma_t$  at the point  $y$ .

Since the domains  $\Omega_t$  have  $C^{2+\alpha}$  boundaries, there exists for each  $t$  a unique solution  $u(\cdot, t) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_t})$  and  $\lambda_t \in \mathbb{R}$  of

$$-\Delta u = \lambda_t \quad \text{on } \Omega_t, \quad u|_{\Gamma_t} = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega_t} u \, dx = 1;$$

see, for example, [4, Theorem 6.14].

Then, we say that such a  $\rho$  is a *classical solution* of (1.1) with initial domain  $\Omega_0$  if the velocity  $V(y)$  at each  $y \in \Gamma_t$ , for  $t \in [0, T]$ , satisfies

$$V = F(|Du|).$$

The main theorem of Escher–Guidotti [2] implies, in particular, the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** *There exist a  $T > 0$  and a unique classical solution*

$$\rho \in C([0, T], C^{2+\alpha}(\Gamma_0)) \cap C^1([0, T], C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma_0))$$

of the quasi-static droplet model (1.1) with initial domain  $\Omega_0$  whose boundary  $\Gamma_0$  is smooth.

In fact, they prove more: they also allow their initial domain to have its boundary in  $C^{2+\alpha}$ . Note that this result does not require Assumption 1.2.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1.2. We work in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , using  $x$  and  $y$  as coordinates. The heart of the proof is the following lemma, which makes use of Assumption 1.2:

**Lemma 3.1.** *There exist a bounded convex domain  $\Omega_0$  with smooth boundary  $\Gamma_0$  and real numbers  $0 < x_0 < x_1$  with the following properties:*

- (i)  $\Omega_0$  is contained in  $\{y \geq 0\}$ .
- (ii)  $(x, 0) \in \partial\Omega_0$  for  $x_0 \leq x \leq x_1$ .

(iii) Let  $u(x, y)$  solve

$$-\Delta u = \lambda_0 \quad \text{on } \Omega_0, \quad u|_{\Gamma_0} = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega_0} u \, dx dy = 1$$

for a constant  $\lambda_0$ . Then,  $V(x) := F(|Du(x, 0)|)$  satisfies

$$\frac{V(x_0) + V(x_1)}{2} > V\left(\frac{x_0 + x_1}{2}\right).$$

*Proof.* We begin with the following explicit solution of the ‘‘torsion problem,’’  $-\Delta v = \text{const.}$ , on the equilateral triangle [9]. Let  $D$  be the equilateral triangle of side length  $2a$  given by

$$0 < y < \sqrt{3}(a - |x|).$$

The function

$$v = cy((y - a\sqrt{3})^2 - 3x^2) \quad \text{for } c := \frac{5}{3a^5}$$

satisfies

$$-\Delta v = 4ac\sqrt{3},$$

vanishes on the boundary of  $D$ , and satisfies

$$\int_D v \, dx dy = 1.$$

On the bottom edge of the triangle

$$E_1 = \{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid -a \leq x \leq a\},$$

we have

$$v_y(x, 0) = 3c(a^2 - x^2).$$

Hence,

$$V(x) = F(3c(a^2 - x^2)),$$

and

$$V''(x) = 36c^2x^2F''(3c(a^2 - x^2)) - 6cF'(3c(a^2 - x^2)). \tag{3.1}$$

Recalling that  $c = 5/(3a^5)$ , we may then choose  $a > 0$  sufficiently small so that

$$36c^2x^2 \geq 2\frac{6c}{\gamma} \quad \text{for } |x| \geq a/2, \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\gamma > 0$  is given by Assumption 1.2. From now on, we fix this  $a$  (and hence,  $c$ ).

It follows from (3.1), (3.2), and Assumption 1.2 that  $V''(x) > 0$  for  $|x|$  sufficiently close to  $a$ . In particular, there exists  $0 < x_0 < x_1 < a$  with

$$\frac{V(x_0) + V(x_1)}{2} > V\left(\frac{x_0 + x_1}{2}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

The above example readily implies the existence of a smooth domain  $\Omega_0$  satisfying the conditions in the lemma. Indeed, we only have to “smooth the corners” of the triangle domain  $D$ .

Denote the vertices of  $D$  by  $p_1, p_2, p_3$ . Let  $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of bounded convex domains with smooth boundaries such that for each  $k \geq 1$ :

- (1)  $D_k \subset D_{k+1} \subset D$  (the sequence is nested and increasing).
- (2)  $D \setminus D_k \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^3 B_{k^{-1}}(p_i)$ , where  $B_r(p)$  denotes the ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $p$ .

Such a sequence  $\{D_k\}$  can be constructed by “rounding out the corners” of the triangle  $D$  in a ball of radius  $k^{-1}$  centered at each corner.

For each  $k \geq 1$ , let  $u_k$  on  $D_k$  be the solutions of

$$-\Delta u_k = 4ac\sqrt{3} \quad \text{on } D_k, \quad u|_{\partial D_k} = 0,$$

where we recall that  $a$  and  $c$  are fixed constants.

It follows from property (1) above and the maximum principle that for each  $k \geq 1$

$$0 < u_k \leq u_{k+1} \leq v \quad \text{on } D_k, \tag{3.4}$$

from which we conclude a pointwise limit on the triangle  $D$

$$0 \leq u_\infty(x) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k(x) \leq v(x) \quad \text{for } x \in D, \tag{3.5}$$

and define  $u_\infty(x)$  to be zero on  $\partial D$ .

By standard elliptic estimates (see, for example, [4, Theorem 6.19] and the remark after it), the convergence above will hold in  $C^\ell(K)$  for any compact set  $K \subset\subset (\overline{D} \setminus \{p_1, p_2, p_3\})$  and any  $\ell \geq 0$ . Hence,  $u_\infty \in C^\infty(\overline{D} \setminus \{p_1, p_2, p_3\})$  and  $-\Delta u_\infty = 4ac\sqrt{3}$  on  $D$ . Moreover, by (3.4) and the continuity of  $v$ , it is easily verified that  $u_\infty$  is also continuous at the corners  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  and thus on all of  $\overline{D}$ . By the maximum principle,  $u_\infty = v$ . Note also that

$$\int_{D_k} u_k \, dx dy \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, for sufficiently large  $k$ , the domain  $\Omega_0 := D_k$  will satisfy conditions (i), (ii), and (iii), with

$$u := \frac{u_k}{\int_{D_k} u_k \, dx dy}, \quad \lambda_0 := \frac{4ac\sqrt{3}}{\int_{D_k} u_k \, dx dy}.$$

Here we are using (3.3) and the fact that  $x \mapsto F(|Du_k(x, 0)|)$  will converge uniformly to  $x \mapsto F(|Dv(x, 0)|)$  on  $[x_0, x_1]$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $\Omega_0$  and  $u$  be given as in Lemma 3.1. By Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique classical solution of (1.1) for a short time interval  $[0, T]$  with  $T > 0$ .

The boundary  $\Gamma_t$  of  $\Omega_t$  can be written as a graph over  $\Gamma_0 := \partial\Omega_0$ . In particular, using  $x$  as a coordinate, part of  $\Gamma_t$  is given by a graph  $y = g(x, t)$  for  $x_0 \leq x \leq x_1$ , with  $g(x, 0) = 0$  for  $x_0 \leq x \leq x_1$  and with the unit normal to  $\Omega_0$  being in the negative  $y$  direction.

We may assume that

$$g \in C([0, T], C^{2+\alpha}([x_0, x_1])) \cap C^1([0, T], C^{1+\alpha}([x_0, x_1])).$$

Moreover,  $(\partial g / \partial t)(x, 0)$  represents the *negative* of the velocity in the normal direction at time  $t = 0$ . Hence, by condition (iii) of Lemma 3.1,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(x_0, 0) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(x_1, 0) \right) < \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \left( \frac{x_0 + x_1}{2}, 0 \right).$$

Then, for  $t \in (0, \delta]$  for  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( g(x_0, t) + g(x_1, t) \right) < g \left( \frac{x_0 + x_1}{2}, t \right).$$

In particular,  $x \mapsto g(x, t)$  is not convex for  $(x, t) \in [x_0, x_1] \times (0, \delta]$ . Hence,  $\Omega_t$  is not a convex domain for  $t \in (0, \delta]$ . ■

**Funding.** This work was partially supported by NSERC grant #327637-06 and NSF grant DMS-2005311.

## References

- [1] R. G. Cox, [The spreading of a liquid on a rough solid surface](#). *J. Fluid Mech.* **131** (1983), 1–26 Zbl [0597.76102](#) MR [718031](#)
- [2] J. Escher and P. Guidotti, [Local well-posedness for a quasi-stationary droplet model](#). *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **54** (2015), no. 1, 1147–1160 Zbl [1329.35363](#) MR [3385195](#)
- [3] W. M. Feldman and I. C. Kim, [Dynamic stability of equilibrium capillary drops](#). *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **211** (2014), no. 3, 819–878 Zbl [1293.35240](#) MR [3158808](#)
- [4] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Class. Math., Springer, Berlin, 2001 Zbl [1042.35002](#) MR [1814364](#)
- [5] K. Glasner and I. C. Kim, [Viscosity solutions for a model of contact line motion](#). *Interfaces Free Bound.* **11** (2009), no. 1, 37–60 Zbl [1166.35386](#) MR [2487023](#)
- [6] K. B. Glasner, [A boundary integral formulation of quasi-steady fluid wetting](#). *J. Comput. Phys.* **207** (2005), no. 2, 529–541 Zbl [1213.76068](#) MR [2144627](#)
- [7] H. P. Greenspan, [On the motion of a small viscous droplet that wets a surface](#). *J. Fluid Mech.*, **84** (1978), no. 1, 125–143 Zbl [0373.76040](#)
- [8] N. Grunewald and I. Kim, [A variational approach to a quasi-static droplet model](#). *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **41** (2011), no. 1–2, 1–19 Zbl [1228.35087](#) MR [2782795](#)

- [9] G. Keady and A. McNabb, The elastic torsion problem: solutions in convex domains. *New Zealand J. Math.* **22** (1993), no. 2, 43–64 Zbl [0814.35133](#) MR [1244022](#)
- [10] L. Tanner, [The spreading of silicone oil drops on horizontal surfaces](#). *J. Phys. D* **12** (1979), 1473–1484
- [11] O. V. Voinov, [Hydrodynamics of wetting](#). *Fluid Dyn.* **11** (1976), 714–721

Received 4 November 2022.

**Albert Chau**

Department of Mathematics, The University of British Columbia, 1984 Mathematics Road, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z2, Canada; [chau@math.ubc.ca](mailto:chau@math.ubc.ca)

**Ben Weinkove**

Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, 2033 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL 60208, USA; [weinkove@math.northwestern.edu](mailto:weinkove@math.northwestern.edu)