Quantum Topol. 4 (2013), 353–376 DOI 10.4171/QT/42

New proofs of certain finite filling results via Khovanov homology

Liam Watson¹

Abstract. We give a Khovanov homology proof that hyperbolic twist knots do not admit non-trivial Dehn surgeries with finite fundamental group.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 57M12, 57M27, 57M50.

Keywords. Finite fillings, twist knots, two-fold branched covers, tangles, Montesinos trick, Khovanov homology, homological width.

1. Introduction

Twists knots provide the simplest infinite family of hyperbolic knots. These arise by considering various twisted Whitehead doubles of the trivial knot, for example. Alternatively, and better suited to the purpose of this paper, let K_t be the (1, t + 1, 1)pretzel knot and consider the family $\{K_t\}$ where $t \ge 0$ (see Figure 1). With this convention, K_0 is the left-handed trefoil knot and K_1 is the figure eight knot. For t > 0 the knot K_t is hyperbolic.



Figure 1. The twist knots K_0 , K_1 and K_2 (left), and a the general twist knot K_t (right) with strong inversion indicated, where t + 1 gives the number of vertical half twists.

¹Partially supported by an NSERC postdoctoral fellowship.

L. Watson

Given a knot K in S^3 , consider the three-manifold with torus boundary $M = S^3 < v(K)$ where v(K) is an open tubular neighbourhood of the knot. Define

$$S_r^3(K) = M \cup_h (D^2 \times S^1)$$

where

$$h: \ \partial(D^2 \times S^1) \longrightarrow \partial M$$

is the homeomorphism determined by $h(\partial D^2 \times \{\text{point}\}) = p\mu + q\lambda$. In this notation, μ is the knot meridian, λ is the Seifert longitude, and $r = \frac{p}{q}$ is an extended reduced rational number. The resulting closed three-manifold is the result of *r*-surgery on *K*; notice that the trivial surgery corresponds to the extended rational $\frac{1}{0}$. For background consistent with these conventions we refer the reader to Boyer [3].

A non-trivial surgery with finite fundamental group is called a finite filling. These fillings give a special type of exceptional surgery on a hyperbolic knot; a result of Thurston states that hyperbolic knots admit finitely many exceptional surgeries (see [16] and [17]). The aim of this paper is to use Khovanov homology to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Delman [7] and Tanguay [15]). *Hyperbolic twist knots do not admit finite fillings*.

This result was first proved by Delman using essential laminations [7] and independently by Tanguay via character variety methods [15]. A complete classification of exceptional surgeries on two-bridge knots was subsequently obtained by Brittenham and Wu [6]. Note also that Boyer, Mattman, and Zhang give a complete description of the fundamental polygon of any twist knot, from which Tanguay's proof may be recovered [4].

An alternate proof of Theorem 1.1 may be obtained via Heegaard Floer homology. Since twist knots are alternating, it follows that hyperbolic twist knots do not admit L-space surgeries (see [13], Theorem 1.5). As manifolds with elliptic geometry are L-spaces (see [13], Proposition 2.3), Theorem 1.1 follows. This appeals to an equivalence between manifolds with finite fundamental group and manifolds admitting elliptic geometry, though in the present setting geometrization in full generality is not required; the presence of a strong inversion (as described below) ensures that geometrization for orbifolds of cyclic type is sufficient (see [2] and [17]).

A strong inversion is an orientation preserving involution of a three-manifold with one-dimensional fixed point set. For example, every two-fold branched cover of S^3 admits a strong inversion. A knot K in S^3 is strongly invertible if the standard strong inversion on S^3 induces a strong inversion on the knot complement $M = S^3 \setminus v(K)$. That is, M admits an involution with one-dimensional fixed point set meeting ∂M transversally in exactly four points. The twist knot K_t is strongly invertible for all t; the relevant symmetry is exhibited in Figure 1.

Given a strongly invertible knot, the involution on the knot complement may be extended to a strong inversion on any surgery [12]. This is referred to as the

Montesinos trick, and as a result every surgery on a strongly invertible knot may be realized as a two-fold branched cover of S^3 branched over a link. In this setting, the work in [20] establishes that Khovanov homology may be used to provide obstructions to finite fillings. This makes use of the Khovanov homology of the branch sets associated with a surgery on the knot via Montesinos' work. For example, Khovanov homology easily recovers the fact that the figure eight knot does not admit finite fillings; see [20], Theorem 7.2, (this result is originally due to Thurston [16]). The key observation is that, to a certain degree, relatively simple two-fold branched covers (in terms of geometry) have branch sets with simple Khovanov homology.

Using the strong inversion on K_t , the goal of this paper is to apply the results in [20] to prove Theorem 1.1. The proof is entirely combinatorial and new, in the sense that it does not appeal to any of the machinery described above (Heegaard Floer homology, character varieties, essential laminations, etc.). However, the relationship between Heegaard Floer homology and Khovanov homology suggests that the particular obstructions from these two theories may be related. Part of the motivation for pursuing a proof via Khovanov homology stems from an interest in comparing the obstructions from Heegaard Floer homology and from Khovanov homology. Further motivation is in establishing methods for applying obstructions from Khovanov homology to infinite families. This poses the immediate challenge of pushing calculation techniques beyond the limits imposed by machine calculation, and in turn is a central focus of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The feature of Khovanov homology exploited in this proof is homological width. For a given link *L* this is the integer w(L) recording the number of diagonals supporting non-trivial homology (see Definition 3.1). Let $\Sigma(S^3, L)$ denote the two-fold branched cover of S^3 branched over a link *L*. The following result is proved in [20].

Theorem 1.2 ([20, Theorem 6.3]). If $\Sigma(S^3, L)$ has finite fundamental group then $w(L) \leq 2$.

With this result in hand the aim of this paper is to establish the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. The surgery $S_r^3(K_t)$ may be realized as the two-fold branched cover $\Sigma(S^3, \tau_t(r))$, where the branch set $\tau_t(r)$ satisfies $w(\tau_t(r)) \ge t + 1$.

When t > 1, Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. The case t = 1 corresponds to surgery on the figure eight knot and we appeal to the amphichirality of K_1 combined with the fact that the width bound of Theorem 1.2 for finite fillings is violated for non-negative surgery coefficients (compare [20], Theorem 7.2).

The remainder of the paper is devoted to establishing Theorem 1.3, and is organised as follows. The proof has essentially two parts: first describe the exterior of each K_t as the two-fold branched cover of a tangle (see Proposition 2.1), and second determine the coarse behaviour of the Khovanov homology (precisely, the homological width) of various rational closures of these tangles (see Proposition 4.2). The former is established in Section 2 and is a straightforward application of the Montesinos trick, while calculations pertaining to the latter occupy Section 4.

A key observation is that relatively few integer surgeries need to be considered in order to infer the homological width for the infinite family of branch sets associated with each knot K_t . This exploits some particularly stable behaviour of the families of links that arise, as developed in [20]. The sense in which the homological width might be considered coarse information is elucidated through these calculations. Indeed, we will only calculate the Khovanov homology for certain branch sets up to indeterminate summands (see Remark 3.4), thus the homological width is obtained without a full description of the Khovanov homology. Since Khovanov homology can be difficult to compute for knots with a high number of crossings, this suggests that it may be possible to calculate (or bound) the homological width in certain settings without needing to calculate the entire invariant.

For the reader's reference, we have collected the requisite properties of reduced Khovanov homology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ in Section 3. This section constitutes the bulk of the paper, and may be skipped at first reading by those already familiar with the invariant.

Acknowledgements. Much of the work associated with this paper was completed while in residence at MSRI in 2010. The author thanks the organisers of the program *Homology Theories of Knots and Links* for providing a stimulating work environment. Thanks also to Tye Lidman for helpful discussions pertaining to spectral sequences, Paul Turner for comments on an earlier draft of this paper, and Jeremy Van Horn-Morris for valuable comments regarding open book decompositions. Finally, the detailed comments provided by the referees improved the exposition of the paper throughout.

2. Branch sets

Given a manifold obtained by Dehn surgery on a strongly invertible knot, the Montesinos trick provides an alternate description of the manifold as a two-fold branched cover of S^3 [12]. To make this precise we review the notation introduced in [20], Section 3. When *K* is strongly invertible, the knot exterior $S^3 \\ \nu(K)$ is the two-fold branched cover of a tangle $T = (B^3, \tau)$ where B^3 is a three-ball and τ is a pair of properly embedded arcs. Denote this two-fold branched cover by $\Sigma(B^3, \tau)$. The arcs τ are the image of the fixed point set in the quotient of the involution on the exterior $S^3 \\ \nu(K)$. As a result, the tangle *T* is well-defined up to homeomorphism of the pair (B^3, τ) , and any diagram of *T* may be regarded as a choice of representative for the homeomorphism class. The boundary of the knot exterior has a preferred generating set for homology given by the knot meridian μ and the Seifert longitude λ . It is always possible to make a choice of corresponding preferred representative for the homeomorphism class of the pair (B^3, τ) as illustrated in Figure 2 (see [20], Corollary 3.8, for example). That is, $S^3 \cong \Sigma(S^3, \tau(\frac{1}{0}))$ and $S_0^3(K) \cong \Sigma(S^3, \tau(0))$ provide explicit descriptions of the branch sets corresponding to the trivial and zero surgeries, respectively. This may be thought of as fixing a framing on the tangle (B^3, τ) , just as λ corresponds to the preferred representative of the associated quotient tangle of a given strongly invertible knot.



Figure 2. The arcs μ and λ in the boundary of a tangle (left), labelled – abusing notation – by their respective lifts in the two-fold branched cover; and the closures $\tau(\frac{1}{0})$ and $\tau(0)$ corresponding to the trivial and zero surgeries in the cover, respectively.

More generally, branch sets for the integer surgeries are given by the links $\tau(n)$ as in Figure 3 so that $S_n^3(K) \cong \Sigma(S^3, \tau(n))$. In particular, notice that the half twist in the branch set lifts to a full Dehn twist in the cover, so that $\tau(n)$ is the branch set for the manifold obtained by filling along the slope $n\mu + \lambda$ in the boundary of the knot exterior. It follows that $\det(\tau(n)) = |n|$ (recall that $\det(L) = |H_1(\Sigma(S^3, L); \mathbb{Z})|$ whenever $H_1(\Sigma(S^3, L); \mathbb{Z})$ is finite, and zero otherwise). It is also possible to describe $\frac{p}{q}$ -surgery on a strongly invertible knot (having fixed a continued fraction expansion of the surgery coefficient) so that $S_{p/q}^3(K) \cong \Sigma(S^3, \tau(\frac{p}{q}))$; see [20], Section 3, for details.



Figure 3. The closure $\tau(n)$ (left) giving rise to the branch set for integer surgeries (that is, Dehn fillings along slopes $n\mu + \lambda$), and the closure $\frac{13}{10} = [1, 3, 3]$ (right) corresponding to $13\mu + 10\lambda$ Dehn filling, or $\frac{13}{10}$ -surgery, in the cover.

The goal of this section is to determine the tangle associated with the strong inversion on the twist knot K_t illustrated in Figure 1; this will establish the first part of Theorem 1.3. As a first approximation, we determine the tangle associated

with the quotient of $S^3 \\ \nu(K)$, without keeping track of the preferred representative (as described above) corresponding to the pair { μ , λ }. This quotient is described in Figure 4 and is simplified in Figure 5.



Figure 4. The quotient of the exterior of a strongly invertible knot determines a tangle: On the left the knot K_t is shown (with axis of symmetry passing through a point at infinity), and on the right is the fundamental domain of the involution on the knot exterior. Note that the latter is always homeomorphic to a ball, and the image of the fixed point set descends to a properly embedded pair of arcs.



Figure 5. Simplifying the tangle resulting from the quotient of K_t by the strong inversion. Note that the tangle diagram on the right yields the trivial knot when the endpoints labelled *a* and *b* are identified and the endpoints labelled *c* and *d* are identified.

The closure of the tangle diagram in Figure 5 that gives the trivial knot (by joining *a* to *b* and *c* to *d*) identifies the trivial surgery in the cover, and therefore the preferred representative (B^3, τ_t) for this tangle is obtained by adding some collection of horizontal twists. That is, the tangle diagram in Figure 5 describes a representative for the associated quotient tangle that is compatible with some integer framing, but not necessarily the Seifert framing. In particular, the right hand half twist between the strands meeting c and d lifts to a full Dehn twist along the knot meridian μ in the two-fold branched cover.

Recall that $\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 | \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle$ is a presentation for the three-strand braid group, where braid words are read from left to right. Our convention for the generators is given in Figure 6. Figure 5 suggests that the desired collection of branch sets $\tau_t(n)$ may be represented by closures of three-strand braids (see Figure 7). From this observation the relevant family of braids to consider is given by $\sigma_2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 (\sigma_2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2)^t \sigma_1^N$, and determining the preferred representative amounts to establishing the appropriate framing, controlled by the integer N.



Figure 6. Standard generators for the three-strand braid group.



Figure 7. A closure of the quotient tangle associated with some (yet to be determined) integer framed surgery on K_t on the left. With the braid axis indicated, this gives rise to the positive braid associated with the quotient of the knot K_t on the right. Changes in framing in the two-fold branched cover (that is, Dehn twists along the meridian) are realized by the generator σ_1 in the base.

We claim that the branch set $\tau_t(n)$ is the closure of the braid

$$\sigma_2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2(\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2)^t\sigma_1^{N+n}$$

where

$$N = \begin{cases} 2+2t & \text{for } t \text{ odd,} \\ 6+2t & \text{for } t \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

so that $S_n^3(K_t) \cong \Sigma(S^3, \tau_t(n))$. Note that the non-negative integer *t* determines the twist knot, while the integer *n* is the surgery coefficient.

L. Watson

To see that this is the correct framing, it suffices to verify that $\det(\tau_t(0)) = 0$, since $|H_1(S_n^3(K_t); \mathbb{Z})| = |n|$ and σ_1 lifts to the positive Dehn twist about the meridian. We leave this verification to the reader (for the moment), but note that calculations in Section 4 will provide a proof (see Remark 4.7).

The braid relation establishes that $\sigma_2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_1^2$ and $\Delta = (\sigma_2 \sigma_1)^3$ are equivalent, the latter representing the full twist on three strands. As this element is central in the braid group, have now shown the following result.

Proposition 2.1. The branch set $\tau_t(n)$ is given by the closure of the braid

$$\beta_{t,n} = \begin{cases} \sigma_1^{2+n}(\sigma_2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2) \Delta^t & \text{for } t \text{ odd,} \\ \sigma_1^{6+n}(\sigma_2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2) \Delta^t & \text{for } t \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta = (\sigma_2 \sigma_1)^3$.

Remark 2.2. It is possible to find the preferred representative directly (as in Bleiler [1], for example). This amounts to (carefully) carrying the image of the longitude through the quotient shown in Figure 4 and the subsequent tangle isotopies of Figure 5. In the present setting, the key observation is that a full twist in the cover (taking K_t to K_{t+2}) corresponds to the addition of Δ^2 in the base. One checks that the addition of the full twist Δ^2 in the branch set preserves the Seifert framing in the cover, and as a result it is possible to induct in *t* (in fact, two separate inductions for *t* odd and *t* even) to determine the preferred representative (B^3, τ_t) . The base case t = 0 may be extracted from [20], Section 3.2, together with the Seifert fibre structure on the trefoil exterior, while the base case t = 1 may be extracted from [20], Section 7.1.

This calculation sets up our second task towards the proof of Theorem 1.1: determine the reduced Khovanov homology for closures of the family of three-braids $\beta_{t,n}$. To conclude this discussion, Jeremy Van Horn-Morris points out that realising the branch set as the closure of a three-strand braid also establishes the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. The result of integer Dehn surgery on K_t admits a genus one open book decomposition, for each $t \ge 0$. Moreover, for positive integer Dehn surgeries the corresponding open book decomposition has positive monodromy.

3. Khovanov homology

3.1. Grading conventions for reduced Khovanov homology. Throughout this work we make use of the reduced Khovanov homology of a link *L*, with coefficients in $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, denoted $\widetilde{Kh}(L)$; see [8] and [9]. For our purposes, this is a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded group, with primary (cohomological) grading δ and secondary

(quantum) grading q. Note that by setting $u = \delta + q \in \mathbb{Z}$ the Jones polynomial of L is given by

$$\sum_{u,q} (-1)^u t^q \operatorname{rk} \widetilde{\operatorname{Kh}}^u_q(L).$$

In particular, our primary grading is by *diagonals* of slope 2 in the (u, q)-plane for the standard bigrading in Khovanov homology [8].

Definition 3.1. The homological width of a link *L* is the integer w(L) > 0 determined by the number of δ -gradings supporting non-trivial homology in $\widetilde{Kh}(L)$.

This grading convention, specifically suited to considerations involving homological width, is consistent with that of Manolescu and Ozsváth [11]. For example, let U denote the trivial knot. Then $\widetilde{Kh}(U) = \mathbb{F}$ supported in bigrading (0, 0) (with w(U) = 1), while $\widetilde{Kh}(U \sqcup U) = \mathbb{F} \oplus \mathbb{F}$, with one generator supported in each bigrading $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ (with $w(U \sqcup U) = 2$). These examples are illustrated in Figure 8.



Figure 8. The reduced Khovanov homology of the trivial knot U, the two component trivial link $U \sqcup U$, and the positively clasped Hopf link H^+ , from left to right. The primary grading is read horizontally and the secondary grading is read vertically. The entries indicate the rank of the group at the given bigrading; trivial homology in a given bigrading is left blank.

3.2. The skein exact sequence as a mapping cone. With the above conventions in place, the long exact sequence in Khovanov homology related to the resolution of a positive crossing may be expressed as a mapping cone

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\mathbf{X}) \cong H_*\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\mathbf{X})[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}] \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\mathbf{)}(\mathbf{)}[-\frac{1}{2}c,\frac{1}{2}(3c+2)]\right) \tag{1}$$

where the connecting homomorphism raises the primary grading by one and fixes the secondary grading. The shift in bigrading is defined by

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}_{q}^{\delta}(L)[i,j] = \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}_{q-j}^{\delta-i}(L).$$

The constant *c* measures the difference in negative crossings $n_{-}()()-n_{-}(\aleph)$ for some choice of orientation on the components of the resolution) (that do not inherit an orientation from \aleph . Notice that when *L* is the closure of a positive braid $c = n_{-}()$ (). These conventions are consistent with those of Rasmussen [14] and of Manolescu and Ozsváth [11].

3.3. Spectral sequences from iterated mapping cones. There are various settings in which the mapping cone described above may be efficiently iterated to calculate Khovanov homology. For the present purposes, we establish one such situation given a link represented as the closure of a positive braid. To this end, let $L = \bar{\beta}$ where β is a positive braid, and fix *n* distinguished crossings. Fixing, additionally, an order on the distinguished crossings gives rise to a collection of positive braids β_i obtained by replacing the first *i* crossings χ with the oriented resolution \succeq . Similarly, the link $\bar{\beta}$ produces a collection of resolutions R_i obtained by replacing the first i - 1crossings χ by the oriented resolution \succeq and the *i*th with unoriented resolution) (. (A schematic illustrating the case n = 2 in an example is shown in Figure 12.) Of course, the R_i are no longer link diagrams in closed-braid form. For each link R_i fix the constant $c_i = n_-(R_i)$ for some choice of orientation on R_i compatible with the orientation on the unaffected components of $\bar{\beta}_i$, as in the previous section. As a result, from the grading shifts in (1) we have that

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\overline{\beta_{i-1}}) \cong H_*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\overline{\beta_i})[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}] \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(R_i)[-\frac{1}{2}c_i,\frac{1}{2}(3c_i+2)])$$
(2)

for $1 \le i \le n$, where $\beta = \beta_0$. Now define

$$\mathcal{C}_{i} = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\text{CKh}}(R_{i})[-\frac{1}{2}(c_{i}+i-1),\frac{1}{2}(3c_{i}+2+i-1)], & 1 \le i \le n, \\ \widetilde{\text{CKh}}(\overline{\beta_{n}})[-\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}], & i = n+1. \end{cases}$$
(3)

Consulting the definition of $\widetilde{CKh}(L)$, we see that $\widetilde{CKh}(L) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{C}_i$ as a bigraded \mathbb{F} -vector space. Moreover, omitting the internal differentials on the \mathcal{C}_i for brevity, the structure of the differential relative to this decomposition is given by



(in the case n = 3) so that, by construction, this decomposition of the chain complex comes with a filtration of the form $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{i} \mathcal{C}_j \subset \bigoplus_{j=1}^{i+1} \mathcal{C}_j$ for $1 \le i \le n$. (The differential takes the form $\sum_{i\ge j} \partial_{i,j}$ in general, where $\partial_{i,j} \colon \mathcal{C}_i \to \mathcal{C}_j$.) As a result, there is an associated spectral sequence with $E_0(\beta) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{C}_i$, converging to $E_{\infty}(\beta) \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(L)$. We will be most interested in the E_1 page of this spectral sequence given by

$$E_1(\beta) \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\bar{\beta}_n)[-\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}] \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(R_i)[-\frac{1}{2}(c_i - 1 + i), \frac{1}{2}(3c_i + 1 + i)]).$$
(4)

This notation does not reference the order chosen on the resolved crossings, however the crossings and their order will always be made explicit in applications. Notice that the differential on the E_1 page is lower triangular (and filtered by diagonals) if we choose a basis corresponding to

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\bar{\beta_n}) \oplus \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(R_n) \oplus \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(R_{n-1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(R_1)$$

(the grading shifts have been omitted for brevity). In particular, $\widetilde{Kh}(\overline{\beta_n})[-\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}]$ is never in the target of the differential.

While the higher differentials may be difficult to calculate in general, the construction ensures that each of these raises δ -grading by one and fixes the *q*-grading, as in the case of the mapping cone from which this spectral sequence is derived. (Note that we are ignoring the spectral sequence grading and working with the grading inherited from $\widetilde{CKh}(L)$.) Indeed, this construction in the case n = 1 gives the two step complex

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\bar{\beta_1})[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]\longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(R_1)[-\frac{1}{2}c_1,\frac{1}{2}(3c_1+2)]$$

associated with the mapping cone, as in the previous section.

Even in the case n = 1, obtaining the differential essentially amounts to calculating the reduced Khovanov homology directly from the definition. In practice, computing the homology by way of this spectral sequence is tantamount to n (careful) iterations of the long exact sequence. However, the E_1 page described here can be useful when combined with additional structure (described below). It should be noted that this spectral sequence is closely related to the spectral sequence used by Turner [19] to compute the (unreduced) Khovanov homology of (3, q)-torus links (with coefficients in \mathbb{Q}). Indeed, we will revisit this calculation in Section 3.5. However, we reiterate that the set-up here ignores the spectral sequence gradings; we opt instead to use the grading inherited from \widetilde{CKh} . Another related instance of this construction is given in [20], Lemma 4.10; see also Proposition 4.3.

3.4. Turner's spectral sequence. There is an analogy to Lee's spectral sequence [10] for reduced Khovanov homology due to Turner [18], summarized as follows.

Theorem 3.2 (Turner [18]). There is a perturbed version of the reduced Khovanov complex with homology denoted $\widetilde{Kh}'(L)$ for which $\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{Kh}'(L) = 2^{|L|-1}$. Moreover, there is a spectral sequence converging to $E'_{\infty} = \widetilde{Kh}'(L)$, with $E'_1 = \widetilde{Kh}(L)$, satisfying the properties that

- (1) the differential d_i on the E'_i page is of bi-degree (1-i,i) and
- (2) for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\operatorname{rk} \bigoplus_{\delta+q=n} \widetilde{\operatorname{Kh}}_{q}^{\prime\delta}(L) = \frac{1}{2} \Big| \big\{ X \subset \{1, \dots, |L|\} \colon \delta+q = 2 \sum_{l \in X, m \notin X} \operatorname{lk}(L_{l}, L_{m}) \big\} \Big|$$
(5)

where the L_i denote the components of the link L; therefore $\operatorname{rk} \bigoplus_{\delta+q=n} \widetilde{\operatorname{Kh}}_q^{\delta}(L)$ is at least this quantity.

Our main computational tool will be in combining the structure of $\widetilde{Kh}'(L)$ with the iterated the mapping cone. Since these both arise from spectral sequence constructions, we will endeavour to refer to *pairings* when higher differentials computing

 $\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}'(L)$ are in question, and *differentials* when referring to the iterated mapping cone in an attempt to avoid confusion.

Remark 3.3. Note that our usage of the label *Turner's spectral sequence* differs from certain instances of the same term in the literature. Our usage refers to the perturbation described in Theorem 3.2 (the subject of [18]) and not the spectral sequence defined and exploited in [19].

3.5. The reduced Khovanov homology of a full twist. The reduced Khovanov homology for the first 3 non-trivial torus links on three strands is described in Figure 9. The δ -grading is read horizontally and the *q*-grading is read vertically. The values at a given lattice point denote the rank of the \mathbb{F} -vector space in that bigrading; empty lattice points are read as rank zero.



Figure 9. The Khovanov homology of the (3, 2)-, (3, 3)- and (3, 4)-torus links, from left to right. Note that the generators have been paired in each case illustrating the higher differentials present in Turner's spectral sequence.

Using Lee's spectral sequence, Turner establishes the full Khovanov homology (with coefficients in \mathbb{Q}) for three-strand torus links [19]. Following this proof, a similar result may be established in the present setting, modulo a particular indeterminate summand described in Figure 10. We will ultimately be interested in the (3, 3t)-torus links $T_{3,3t}$ for t > 0. Note that this is the closure of the braid $(\sigma_2 \sigma_1)^{3t} = \Delta^t$.



Figure 10. The two possibilities for the indeterminate summand, with pairings labelled corresponding to higher differentials in Turner's spectral sequence.

Remark 3.4. Since we will be interested only in coarse properties of the Khovanov homology of the full twist in the sequel (in particular, the homological width), the

actual value of this indeterminate summand will have no contribution. In practice, the actual rank of this summand is 2 (as in $\widetilde{Kh}(T_{3,4})$ for example, see Figure 9), though we will not prove this in general. Calculation up to indeterminate summands is relatively easy, and in general the homological width of a link seems easier to determine than the complete Khovanov homology.

Proposition 3.5. The reduced Khovanov homology of the positive $T_{3,q}$ torus link is described in Figure 11 for q > 0.



Figure 11. The reduced Khovanov homology of the torus links $T_{3,3t-1}$, $T_{3,3t}$ and $T_{3,3t+1}$, for t > 0, from left to right. Note that $\widetilde{Kh}(T_{3,3t-1})$ and $\widetilde{Kh}(T_{3,3t})$ have only t - 1 indeterminate summands.

Following Turner [19], the proof of Proposition 3.5 is by induction in t (with base case t = 1 provided by Figure 9) in three steps.

Claim 3.6. If the result holds for $T_{3,3t-1}$ then it holds for $T_{3,3t}$.

Claim 3.7. If the result holds for $T_{3,3t}$ then it holds for $T_{3,3t+1}$.

Claim 3.8. If the result holds for $T_{3,3t+1}$ then it holds for $T_{3,3t+2} = T_{3,3(t+1)-1}$.

The strategy to prove each claim is identical: for appropriately chosen q, iterate the skein exact sequence as summarized in Figure 12 to obtain $E_1((\sigma_2\sigma_1)^{q+1})$ as described in Section 3.3. In each case this expresses $\widetilde{Kh}(T_{3,q+1})$ in terms of $\widetilde{Kh}(T_{3,q})$

together with a collection of possible new generators. With these possible new generators in hand, the structure of $\widetilde{Kh}'(T_{3,q+1})$ forces the behaviour of the differentials to give the result in each case.



Figure 12. Schematic for proving the claims: the constants c_1 and c_2 count the number of negative crossings – relevant to the grading shifts – in the unoriented resolution R_1 and R_2 at each step to construct $E_1((\sigma_2\sigma_1)^{q+1})$.



Figure 13. Proving Claims 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8 from left to right: two iterations of the long exact sequence provide a collection of possible new generators (these have been shaded in each case) contributing to $E_1((\sigma_2\sigma_1)^{q+1})$ calculating $\widetilde{Kh}(T_{3,q+1})$. Shaded but otherwise unmarked lattice points correspond to indeterminate summands, as usual.

Proof of Claim 3.6. For t > 1 we have that $c_1 = c_2 = 4t - 1$ where the unoriented resolutions are the links $R_1 \simeq U \sqcup U$ and $R_2 \simeq U$. As a result, the relevant grading shift on $\widetilde{Kh}(R_i)$ from (3) is

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}(c_i+i-1), \frac{1}{2}(3c_i+2+i-1)\right] = \left[-2t+1-\frac{i}{2}, 6t-1+\frac{i}{2}\right]$$

for i = 1, 2. Now $\widetilde{Kh}(T_{3,3t})$ is computed from $E_1((\sigma_2 \sigma_1)^{3t})$, which according to (4) is described by

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(T_{3,3t-1})[-1,1] \oplus (\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U)[-2t,6t] \oplus \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U \sqcup U)[-2t + \frac{1}{2},6t - \frac{1}{2}])$$

Hence we need only consider 3 possible new generators added to $\widetilde{Kh}(T_{3,3t-1})[-1, 1]$ in order to calculate $\widetilde{Kh}(T_{3,3t})$; the relevant portion of this calculation is illustrated in Figure 13. Since the possible differentials raise δ -grading by 1 and fix the *q*-grading, there is only one possible non-trivial differential to consider.

Now notice that rk $\widetilde{Kh}'(T_{3,3t}) = 4$ as $T_{3,3t}$ is a three component link. Moreover, applying Theorem 3.2 we see that the three new generators added to $\delta + q = 4t$ must survive in $\widetilde{Kh}'(T_{3,3t})$ (along with the generator in $\delta + q = 0$). Since the remaining generators admit a unique pairing corresponding to the higher differentials calculating $\widetilde{Kh}'(T_{3,3t})$, we conclude that the possible differential under consideration must be zero, and

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(T_{3,3t})$$

$$\cong \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(T_{3,3t-1})[-1,1] \oplus \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U)[-2t,6t] \oplus \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U \sqcup U)[-2t + \frac{1}{2},6t - \frac{1}{2}]$$

establishing the desired result.

Proof of Claim 3.7. In this case we have that $c_i = 4t$ and the unoriented resolutions are $R_1 \simeq U$ and $R_2 \simeq U \sqcup U$ respectively. The relevant grading shift on $\widetilde{Kh}(R_i)$ from (3) is

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}(c_i+i-1), \frac{1}{2}(3c_i+2+i-1)\right] = \left[-2t + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2}, 6t + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}\right]$$

for i = 1, 2. As a result $\widetilde{Kh}(T_{3,3t+1})$ is computed from $E_1((\sigma_2 \sigma_1)^{3t+1})$, which according to (4) is described by

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(T_{3,3t})[-1,1] \oplus (\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U \sqcup U)[-2t - \frac{1}{2}, 6t + \frac{3}{2}] \oplus \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U)[-2t, 6t + 1]).$$

The relevant portion of this calculation, again featuring three new possible generators, is shown in Figure 13. In this case, the differentials are necessarily non-trivial. Indeed, since $\widetilde{Kh}'(T_{3,3t+1}) \cong \mathbb{F}$ supported in $\delta + q = 0$, all other generators of $\widetilde{Kh}(T_{3,3t+1})$ (in particular, those illustrated in Figure 13) must pair according to higher differentials computing $\widetilde{Kh}'(T_{3,3t+1})$. As a result, there must be a non-trivial differential corresponding to $\mathbb{F}^2 \to \mathbb{F}^2$ in Figure 13, however this is precisely the setting wherein the indeterminate summand plays a role. That is, we note that there are two possibilities for such a pairing (as in Figure 10), and hence two possibilities for the rank of the differential in question. Regardless of which occurs however, we obtain the result as claimed.

Proof of Claim 3.8. Here $c_1 = 4t + 2$ while $c_2 = 4t + 1$, however the resolutions R_i are trivial knots in each case. As a result we have only two new possible generators to consider in computing $\widetilde{Kh}(T_{3,3t+2})$ using $E_1((\sigma_2\sigma_1)^{3t+2})$:

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(T_{3,3t+1})[-1,1] \oplus (\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U)[-2t-1,6t+3] \oplus \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U)[-2t-1,6t+4]).$$

L. Watson

This is again summarized in Figure 13. Notice that $\widetilde{Kh}'(T_{3,3t+2}) \cong \mathbb{F}$ supported in $\delta + q = 0$. As a result, the new generators must cancel in $\widetilde{Kh}'(T_{3,3t+2})$, and the only way this can occur is for the new generators to pair with each other. As a result, the only possible non-trivial differential in this case must be zero, so that $\widetilde{Kh}(T_{3,3t+2}) \cong E_1((\sigma_2\sigma_1)^{3t+2})$ described by

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(T_{3,3t+1})[-1,1] \oplus \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U)[-2t-1,6t+3] \oplus \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U)[-2t-1,6t+4].$$

Consulting Figure 11 (replacing t with t + 1 so that $T_{3,3(t+1)-1} = T_{3,3t+2}$), this is the desired result.

4. Homological width

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 it remains to establish the second part of Theorem 1.3.

Definition 4.1. Given a strong inversion on a knot *K*, and preferred representative for the associated quotient tangle (B^3, τ) , define $w_K = \min_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} \{w(\tau(r))\}$.

It is established in [20], Section 4, that this value is both well-defined and calculable. Our goal then is to determine w_{K_t} for the twist knots K_t described in Figure 1.

Proposition 4.2. $w_{K_t} = t + 1$ for all $t \ge 0$.

In the present setting w_{K_t} is completely determined by the reduced Khovanov homology of two branch sets corresponding to integer surgeries (for any $t \ge 0$). To see this, let

$$\ell = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for } t \text{ odd,} \\ -5 & \text{for } t \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

and consider the particular branch set $\tau_t(\ell)$ (so that $S^3_{\ell}(K_t) \cong \Sigma(S^3, \tau_t(\ell))$). Before turning to the proof of Proposition 4.2, we will need to calculate $\widetilde{Kh}(\tau_t(\ell))$. As in Section 3.5, $\widetilde{Kh}(\tau_t(\ell))$ will be expressed up to indeterminate summands.

4.1. ℓ -framed surgery on twist knots. The following proposition gives a useful special case of the iterated mapping cone construction of Section 3.5.

Proposition 4.3. Let $\beta = \beta_1 \sigma_i^n \beta_2$ be a positive braid. According to (4), by applying the iterated mapping cone to the crossings σ_i^n , $\widetilde{Kh}(\overline{\beta})$ may be computed by a spectral sequence with

$$E_1(\beta_1 \sigma_i^n \beta_2) \cong \left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\overline{\beta_1 \beta_2}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{q=0}^{n-1} \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(R)[-\frac{1}{2}(c-1), \frac{1}{2}(3c+1+2q)]\right)\right)[-\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}]$$

where R is the link obtained by replacing the entire twist region corresponding to σ_i^n with the unoriented resolution) (and $c = n_-(R)$. In particular, the links R_i of Section 3.3 differ from R by a series of Reidemeister 1 moves and $c_i = c + n - i$.

As before, this provides a candidate collection of generators that may be used to determine the homology by making use of the additional structure of Theorem 3.2. Now notice that the knot $\tau_t(\ell)$ is the closure of the braid $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \Delta^t$ (see Proposition 2.1). Using the result in Proposition 3.5, and a similar strategy of proof employing Proposition 4.3, it is possible to determine the reduced Khovanov homology, up to indeterminate summands, for the branch set $\tau_t(\ell)$.

Proposition 4.4. The reduced Khovanov homology of the branch set $\tau_t(\ell)$ is described in Figure 14 for $t \ge 0$.



Figure 14. The reduced Khovanov homology of the (3, 3t)-torus link as computed in Proposition 3.5 (left), and the reduced Khovanov homology of the branch set $\tau_t(\ell)$ (right).

Proof. The strategy of proof is to iterate Proposition 4.3, as in the schematic of Figure 15, to the closure of the braid $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \Delta^t$:

$$\underline{\sigma_1}\sigma_2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\Delta^t \xrightarrow{\text{oriented}} \sigma_2\underline{\sigma_1^3}\sigma_2\Delta^t \xrightarrow{\text{oriented}} \sigma_2\underline{\sigma_2^3}\Delta_t \xrightarrow{\text{oriented}} \Delta_t^t$$

It is straightforward to verify that the constants and unoriented resolutions are as claimed in Figure 15. The relevant piece of the group corresponding to each of the following steps is summarized in Figure 16.



Figure 15. Schematic of the proof of Proposition 4.4: at each step the number $\times n$ indicates the number of iterations of the long exact sequence using Proposition 4.3 to produce $E_1(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\Delta^t)$ computing $\widetilde{Kh}(\tau_t(\ell))$. Recall that H^+ denotes the positively clasped Hopf link, with $\widetilde{Kh}(H^+)$ as in Figure 8.

We have

$$E_1(\sigma_2^2 \Delta^t)$$

$$\cong \left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\overline{\Delta^t}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{q=0}^1 \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U \sqcup U)[-2t + \frac{1}{2}, 6t + \frac{1}{2} + q]\right)\right)[-1, 1],$$

$$E_1(\sigma_2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\Delta^t)$$

$$\cong \left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\overline{\sigma_2^2\Delta^t}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{q=0}^2\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(H^+)[-2t + \frac{1}{2}, 6t + \frac{1}{2} + q]\right)\right)[-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}],$$

$$E_1(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\Delta^t)$$

$$\cong \left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\overline{\sigma_2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\Delta^t}) \oplus \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U)[-2t-1+\frac{1}{2},6t+4-\frac{1}{2}]\right)[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]$$



Figure 16. The potential new generators (shaded) appearing in $E_1(\sigma_2^2 \Delta^t)$, $E_1(\sigma_2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \Delta^t)$ and $E_1(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \Delta^t)$ (left to right) when using Proposition 4.3 to compute $\widetilde{Kh}(\overline{\sigma_2^2 \Delta^t})$, $\widetilde{Kh}(\overline{\sigma_2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \Delta^t})$ and $\widetilde{Kh}(\overline{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2 \Delta^t})$.

This illustrates how Proposition 4.3 is used to generate a collection of new generators, and these have been highlighted in Figure 16. In particular, $\widetilde{Kh}(\tau_t(\ell))$ may be computed by considering

$$E_{1}(\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}\sigma_{1}^{3}\sigma_{2}\Delta^{t})$$

$$\cong \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(T_{3,3t})[3,3] \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \bigoplus_{q=0}^{1} \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U \sqcup U)[-2t + \frac{1}{2}, 6t + \frac{1}{2} + q][-3,3] \\ \bigoplus_{q=0}^{2} \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(H^{+})[-2t + \frac{1}{2}, 6t + \frac{1}{2} + q][-2,2] \\ \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U)[-2t - 1, 6t + 4] \end{pmatrix}$$

in combination with $\widetilde{Kh}'(\tau_t(\ell))$. Notice that only 11 possible new generators appear (see the right-most shaded group described in Figure 16).

Since $\tau_t(\ell)$ is a knot, the single generator of $\widetilde{Kh}'(\tau_t(\ell))$ appears in grading (-2 - 3t, 2 + 3t), see Figure 14. As a result, the portion of the homology group shown in Figure 16 must collapse in $\widetilde{Kh}'(\tau_t(\ell))$, placing constraints on the potential differentials calculating $\widetilde{Kh}(\tau_t(\ell))$. This analysis is shown in Figure 17 and described below.

First notice that the top- and bottom-most generators must each pair as in Figure 17(b). Since this leaves nothing with which the right-most generator can pair, there must be a differential cancelling this generator. Similarly, as shown in Figure 17(c), the upper most \mathbb{F}^2 cannot be cancelled by any higher differential as is required; this forces a second non-trivial differential as shown and determines the pairing in Figure 17(d).



Figure 17. Using the pairing computing $\widetilde{Kh}'(\tau_t(\ell))$ to determine $\widetilde{Kh}(\tau_t(\ell))$ up to indeterminate summands.

This determines the homology, up to indeterminate summands. In particular, as in the proof of Claim 3.7, there is a single differential of the form $\mathbb{F}^2 \to \mathbb{F}^2$ that is non-trivial whereby either a single pair or all 4 generators are cancelled (see Figure 17(d)). This gives rise to the *t*th indeterminate summand shown in Figure 14.

4.2. A lower bound for homological width. Much of the strength of Khovanov homology is retained by relaxing the absolute $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -grading to a relative $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ -grading. Moreover, by ignoring the secondary *q*-grading, the reduced Khovanov homology takes the form $\widetilde{Kh}(L) \cong \bigoplus_{\delta=1}^{w(L)} (\mathbb{F}^{b_{\delta}})_{\delta}$; the positive integer w(L) is the homological width of the link *L* (as in Definition 3.1). Given this relatively \mathbb{Z} -graded version, it is easy to verify that det $(L) = |\sum_{\delta} (-1)^{\delta} b_{\delta}| = \chi(\widetilde{Kh}(L))$ (see [20], Proposition 2.2, for example). In the present setting, we have the following consequence of Proposition 4.4:

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\tau_t(\ell)) \cong (\mathbb{F}^{b_1})_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathbb{F}^{b_{t+1}})_{t+1}$$

as a relatively \mathbb{Z} -graded group, where $b_i > 0$ for each $1 \le i \le t + 1$ (of course, due to indeterminate summands, the integers b_i have not been determined exactly).

Proposition 4.5. The reduced Khovanov homology of the branch set $\tau_t(\ell + 1)$, as a relatively \mathbb{Z} -graded group, is

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\tau_t(\ell)) \cong (\mathbb{F}^{b_1})_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathbb{F}^{b_{t+1}})_{t+1} \oplus (\mathbb{F})_{t+2}.$$

Moreover, the quantum grading of the generator in the $(t + 2)^{nd}$ (relative) grading, is strictly smaller than the largest quantum grading in the $(t + 1)^{st}$ (relative) grading supporting non-trivial homology.

Proof. First we determine $\widetilde{Kh}(\tau(\ell + 1))$ as an absolutely bigraded group. Applying the mapping cone (1),

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\tau(\ell+1)) &\cong H_{\ell}\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\tau(\ell))[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}] \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(U)[-2t-\frac{3}{2},6t+\frac{11}{2}])\\ &\cong H_{*}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\tau(\ell)) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{F})_{q=6t+5}^{\delta=-2t-1})[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}] \end{split}$$

since c = 4t + 3. Notice that $\widetilde{Kh}_{6t+7}^{-2-2t}(\tau(\ell)) \cong \mathbb{F}$ from Figure 14, verifying the second claim of the proposition.

To verify the first claim, we analyse the potential new generator as in Figure 18 (note that the pairings in the portion the group that is not displayed are uniquely determined). In particular, by applying Theorem 3.2 we have that $\widetilde{Kh}'(\tau(\ell+1)) \cong \mathbb{F}^2$ with generators supported in $\delta + q = 0$ and $\delta + q = 4t + 4$. The latter must be the generator that does not pair with the top-most generator in Figure 18 (for absolute gradings, consult Figure 14). Despite this indeterminacy Turner's spectral sequence, as in the proof of Proposition 4.4, ensures that this new generator is present and

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\tau_t(\ell+1)) \cong (\mathbb{F}^{b_1})_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathbb{F}^{b_{t+1}})_{t+1} \oplus (\mathbb{F})_{t+2}$$

as a relatively \mathbb{Z} -graded group.



Figure 18. Determining $\widetilde{Kh}(\tau_t(\ell+1))$, showing only the (relative) δ -gradings t, t+1, t+2. Notice that the $(t+2)^{nd} \delta$ -grading is forced to appear in the group $\widetilde{Kh}(\tau_t(\ell+1))$, despite the indeterminacy in the pairing for higher differentials as shown.

Corollary 4.6. The tangle (B^3, τ_t) is generic in the sense of [20], Definition 5.15.

Proof. Each of the b_i in the expression for $\widetilde{Kh}(\tau_t(\ell+1))$ are positive so there are no blank diagonals (see [20], Remark 4.19). Note that $w(\tau(\ell+1)) = w(\tau(\ell)) + 1$; the second part of Proposition 4.5 verifies expansion long form for $\tau(\ell+1)$, while $\tau(\ell)$ has expansion short form by Proposition 4.4; see [20], Definition 5.3. These observations ensure that the tangle is expansion generic [20], Definition 5.7, hence generic [20], Definition 5.15.

L. Watson

Proof of Proposition 4.2. First notice that $w(\tau_t(\ell)) = t + 1 \le w(\tau_t(n)) \le t + 2 = w(\tau_t(\ell + 1))$ for all *n*, by [20], Lemma 4.20; we have identified the single integer framing at which the branch sets change homological width. By Corollary 4.6 the tangle is generic. Hence the minimum width on branch sets corresponding to integer surgeries provides a lower bound for the width of a branch set associated with any non-trivial surgery [20], Theorem 5.16. That is, $w_{K_t} = t + 1$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. Notice that in the case t = 1 (where K_1 is the figure eight knot), we only have $w(\tau_1(n)) > 2$ for $n \ge 0$. From this it follows that $w(\tau_1(r)) > 2$ for any rational $r \ge 0$, confirming that $\pi_1(S_r^3(K_1))$ must be infinite for non-negative surgery coefficients; see [20], Theorem 7.2. However $S_r^3(K_1) \cong S_{-r}^3(K_1)$ since K_1 is amphichiral.

Remark 4.7. In general

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\tau_t(\ell+m)) \cong (\mathbb{F}^{b_1})_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathbb{F}^{b_t})_t \oplus (\mathbb{F}^{b_{t+1}^*})_{t+1} \oplus (\mathbb{F}^{b_{t+2}})_{t+2}$$

where $0 < b_{t+1}^* \le b_{t+1}$ and $0 < b_{t+2} \le m$, as can be seen from the spectral sequence with $E_1(\sigma_1^{1+m}\sigma_2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\Delta^t)$ (resolving the first *m* crossings) following an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 4.5. Note that setting

$$m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t \text{ odd,} \\ 5 & \text{for } t \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

gives

$$\det(\tau_t(0)) = \chi(\widetilde{\mathrm{Kh}}(\tau(0)) = \chi(E_1(\sigma_1^{1+m}\sigma_2\sigma_1^3\sigma_2\Delta^t)) = 0$$

as claimed (and left to the reader) in the proof of Proposition 2.1.

This calculation of $\widetilde{Kh}(\tau_t(\ell + m))$ should be compared with [20], Lemma 4.10, which establishes the same stable behaviour for tangles associated with a strongly invertible knot in general. While we have appealed to the machinery of [20] in order to establish the width bound of Proposition 4.2, the reader should be assured that the computations required for this paper could be made entirely self contained. One need only fix a convention for the branch sets $\tau_t(r)$ when $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ (as in [20], Section 3), and iterate the mapping cone from Section 3.2. The condition that the tangle be *generic* simply ensures that this calculation is possible without the need to calculate any differentials.

It seems worth noting that results of Boyer and Zhang ensure that a finite filling on a knot in S^3 can only occur with integer or half-integer surgery coefficient [5]. While our proof does not appeal to this fact, it is interesting that the Khovanov homology of only two branch sets associated with integer surgeries suffice to prove Theorem 1.1.

References

- S. A. Bleiler, Prime tangles and composite knots. In D. Rolfsen (ed.), *Knot theory and manifolds*. Proceedings of the conference held in Vancouver, B.C., June 2–4, 1983. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1144. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1985, 1–13. MR 823278 Zbl 0596.57003
- [2] M. Boileau and J. Porti, *Geometrization of 3-orbifolds of cyclic type*. Astérisque 272. Appendix A "Limit of hyperbolicity for spherical 3-orbifolds" by M. Heusener and J. Porti. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2001. MR 1844891 Zbl 0971.57004
- [3] S. Boyer, Dehn surgery on knots. In R. J. Daverman and R. B. Sher, *Handbook of geometric topology*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, 165–218. MR 1886670 Zbl 1058.57004
- [4] S. Boyer, T. Mattman, and X. Zhang, The fundamental polygons of twist knots and the (-2, 3, 7) pretzel knot. In S. Suzuki (ed.), *KNOTS '96*. Proceedings of the International Conference and Workshop on Knot Theory held at Waseda University, Tokyo, July 22–26, 1996. World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 1997, 159–172. MR 1664959 Zbl 0971.57015
- [5] S. Boyer and X. Zhang, Finite Dehn surgery on knots. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 1005–1050. MR 1333293 Zbl 0936.57010
- [6] M. Brittenham and Y.-Q. Wu, The classification of exceptional Dehn surgeries on 2-bridge knots. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 9 (2001), 97–113. MR 1807953 Zbl 0964.57013
- [7] C. Delman, Essential laminations and Dehn surgery on 2-bridge knots. *Topology Appl.* 63 (1995), 201–221. MR 1334307 Zbl 0873.57007
- [8] M. Khovanov, A categorification of the Jones polynomial. Duke Math. J. 101 (2000), 359–426. MR 1740682 Zbl 0960.57005
- M. Khovanov, Patterns in knot cohomology I. *Experiment. Math.* 12 (2003), 365–374.
 MR 2034399 Zbl 1073.57007
- [10] E. S. Lee, An endomorphism of the Khovanov invariant. Adv. Math. 197 (2005), 554–586.
 MR 2173845 Zbl 1080.57015
- [11] C. Manolescu and P. Ozsváth, On the Khovanov and knot Floer homologies of quasialternating links. In S. Akbulut, T. Önder, and R. J. Stern. (eds.), *Proceedings of Gökova Geometry–Topology Conference 2007*. Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2008 60–81. MR 2509750 Zbl 1195.57032
- [12] J. M. Montesinos, Surgery on links and double branched covers of S³. In L. P. Neuwirth. (ed.), *Knots, groups, and 3-manifolds*. Papers dedicated to the memory of R. H. Fox. Annals of Mathematics Studies 84. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., and University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1975, 227–259. MR 0380802 Zbl 0325.55004
- [13] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, On knot Floer homology and lens space surgeries. *Topology* 44 (2005), 1281–1300. MR 2168576 Zbl 1229.57006
- [14] J. Rasmussen, Knot polynomials and knot homologies. In y H. U. Boden, I. Hambleton, A. J. Nicas, and B. Doug Park (eds.), *Geometry and topology of manifolds*. Fields Institute Communications 47. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, 261–280. MR 2189938 Zbl 1095.57016
- [15] D. Tanguay, *Chirurgie finie et nœuds rationnels*. Ph.D. thesis. Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, 1996.

- [16] W. P. Thurston, *The geometry and topology of three-manifolds*. Lecture notes. library.msri.org/books/gt3m/
- W. P. Thurston, Three-dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 6 (1982), 357–381. MR 0648524 Zbl 0496.57005
- [18] P. Turner, Calculating Bar-Natan's characteristic two Khovanov homology. J. Knot Theory Ramifications 15 (2006), 1335–1356. MR 2286127 Zbl 1114.57015
- [19] P. Turner, A spectral sequence for Khovanov homology with an application to (3, *q*)-torus links. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **8** (2008), 869–884. MR 2443099 Zbl 1158.57016
- [20] L. Watson, Surgery obstructions from Khovanov homology. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 18 (2012), 417–472. MR 2927239 Zbl 06062088

Received November 9, 2010; revised June 15, 2012

Liam Watson, School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Glasgow, 15 University Gardens, Glasgow G12 8QW, U.K.

Homepage: www.maths.gla.ac.uk/~lwatson/ E-mail: Liam.Watson@glasgow.ac.uk