Quantum Topol. 6 (2015), 1[–37](#page-36-0) DOI 10.4171/QT/59

# **Module categories over affine group schemes**

# Shlomo Gelaki<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** Let *k* be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$ . Let *G* be an affine group scheme over  $k$ . We classify the indecomposable exact module categories over the rigid tensor category  $\text{Coh}_{f}(G)$  of coherent sheaves of finite dimensional k-vector spaces on G, in terms of  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on G. We deduce from it the classification of indecomposable *geometrical* module categories over  $Rep(G)$ . When G is finite, this yields the classification of *all* indecomposable exact module categories over the finite tensor category  $\text{Rep}(G)$ . In particular, we obtain a classification of twists for the group algebra  $k[G]$  of a finite group scheme G. Applying this to  $u(g)$ , where g is a finite dimensional<br>  $\overline{u}$ , Lie algebra away havith assisting above training and have (now) finite dimensional age.  $p$ -Lie algebra over k with positive characteristic, produces (new) finite dimensional noncommutative and noncocommutative triangular Hopf algebras in positive characteristic. We also introduce and study group scheme theoretical categories, and study isocategorical finite group schemes.

## **Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** 16T05; 17B37, 18D10, 20G15, 81R50.

**Keywords.** Affine group schemes, p-Lie algebras, tensor categories, module categories, twists, triangular Hopf algebras.

## **Contents**



<sup>1</sup> The research was partially supported by The Israel Science Foundation (grants No. 317/09 and 561/12).

#### **1. Introduction**

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ . Let G be a finite group. Consider the fusion category  $Vec(G)$  of finite dimensional G-graded vector spaces over k, and the finite tensor category  $\text{Rep}(G)$  of finite dimensional representations of G over  $k$ . Etingof and Ostrik classified the indecomposable exact module categories over  $Rep(G)$ , cf. [\[13\]](#page-35-0), generalizing the classification of Ostrik in zero characteristic [\[24\]](#page-36-1). Alternatively, one could use the duality between  $Vec(G)$  and  $Rep(G)$  (provided by the usual fiber functor on  $Rep(G)$ ) and the classification of the indecomposable exact module categories over  $Vec(G)$  to obtain the same result. In particular, the classification of the semisimple module categories of rank 1 provides the classification of twists for the group algebra  $k[G]$ , reproducing the classification given by Movshev in zero characteristic [\[20\]](#page-35-1). The classification of twists for finite groups, together with Deligne's theorem [\[6\]](#page-34-1), enabled Etingof and the author to classify triangular semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebras over k, cf. [\[9\]](#page-35-2) (see also [\[14\]](#page-35-3)).

The goal of this paper is to extend the classification of Etingof and Ostrik mentioned above to *finite group schemes* G over k, and in particular thus obtain (new) finite dimensional noncommutative and noncocommutative triangular Hopf algebras in positive characteristic by twisting  $k[G]$ . However, in absence of Deligne's theorem in positive characteristic, the classification of finite dimensional triangular Hopf algebras in positive characteristic remains out of reach.

Let G be a finite group scheme over  $k$ . The idea is to first classify the indecomposable exact module categories over Rep( $k[G]^*$ ), where  $k[G]^*$  is the dual Hopf algebra of the group algebra  $k[G]$  of  $G$ , and then use the fact that they are in bijection with the indecomposable exact module categories over  $\text{Rep}(G)$  [\[13\]](#page-35-0) to get the classification of the latter ones. The reason we approach it in this way is that  $k[G]^*$ is just the Hopf algebra  $O(G)$  representing the group scheme G, so Rep $(k[G]^*)$  is tensor equivalent to the tensor category  $Coh_f(G) = Coh(G)$  of coherent sheaves of *finite dimensional* k-vector spaces on G with the tensor product of convolution of sheaves, which allows us to use geometrical tools and arguments. For example, when G is an abstract finite group,  $Coh(G) = \text{Vec}(G)$ .

In fact, in Theorem [3.7](#page-14-0) we classify the indecomposable exact module categories over  $Coh_f(G)$ , where G is *any* affine group scheme over k (i.e., G is not necessarily finite). The classification is given in terms of  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant co-herent sheaves on G (see Definition [3.2\)](#page-11-0). Since  $\text{Coh}_f(G)$  is no longer finite when G is not, the proof requires working with Ind and Pro objects, which makes it technically more involved. Furthermore, when  $G$  is not finite, not all indecomposable exact module categories over  $\text{Rep}(G)$  are obtained from those over  $\text{Coh}_{f}(G)$  (see Theorem [4.5](#page-20-0) and Remark [4.6\)](#page-20-1); we refer to those which are as *geometrical*. So the classification of module categories (even fiber functors) over  $\text{Rep}(G)$  for infinite affine group schemes  $G$  remains unknown (even when  $G$  is a linear algebraic group over C).

In Section [5](#page-21-0) we introduce the class of *group scheme theoretical categories*, which extends both  $Coh_f(G)$  and  $Rep(G)$ , and generalize to them the results from Sections [3](#page-9-0) and [4](#page-18-0) mentioned above.

As a consequence of our results, combined with [\[2,](#page-34-2) [13\]](#page-35-0), we obtain in Corol-lary [6.3](#page-25-0) that gauge equivalence classes of twists for the group algebra  $k[G]$  of a *finite* group scheme G over k are parameterized by conjugacy classes of pairs  $(H, J)$ , where  $H$  is a closed group subscheme of  $G$  and  $J$  is a *nondegenerate* twist for  $k[H]$  (just as in the case of abstract finite groups). Furthermore, in Proposition  $6.7$  we show that a twist for G is nondegenerate if and only if it is *minimal* (again, as for abstract finite groups), by showing directly, that is, without using Deligne's theorem, that a quotient of a Tannakian category is also Tannakian (Proposition  $6.5$ ). We use this in Sections  $6.4$ ,  $6.5$  to give some examples of twists for  $k[A]$  and  $u(\mathfrak{g})$ , where A is a finite commutative group scheme over<br>k with positive characteristic and  $\sigma$  is a finite dimensional n I is algebra over k k with positive characteristic and q is a finite dimensional  $p$ -Lie algebra over k of positive characteristic p. In particular, applying this to  $u(\mathfrak{g})$  yields (new) finite dimensional noncommutative and noncocommutative triangular Hopf algebras in positive characteristic.

We conclude the paper with Section [7](#page-30-0) in which we extend [\[10\]](#page-35-4) by giving the construction of all finite group schemes which are isocategorical to a fixed finite group scheme. In particular, it follows that two isocategorical finite group schemes are necessarily isomorphic as schemes (but not as groups [\[10\]](#page-35-4), [\[4,](#page-34-3) [5\]](#page-34-4)).

**Acknowledgement.** The author is grateful to Pavel Etingof for stimulating and helpful discussions.

### **2. Preliminaries**

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Throughout the paper we fix an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ .

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**2.1. Affine group schemes.** Let G be an affine group scheme over  $k$ , with unit morphism  $e: Spec(k) \rightarrow G$ , inversion morphism  $i: G \rightarrow G$ , and multiplication morphism  $m: G \times G \rightarrow G$ , satisfying the usual group axioms. Let  $O(G)$  be the coordinate algebra of  $G$ , i.e.,  $O(G)$  is the commutative Hopf algebra such that  $G = \text{Spec}_k(\mathcal{O}(G))$ . In other words, we are given a collection of group structures

on the sets

$$
G(R) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}_{Alg}(\mathcal{O}(G), R)
$$

of R-valued points of G, where R is a commutative algebra over  $k$ , which is functorial in  $R$ . (See, e.g., [\[17\]](#page-35-5) for the general theory of group schemes.)

A *closed* group subscheme of G is, by definition, an affine group scheme H whose coordinate algebra  $O(H)$  is a quotient of  $O(G)$  by a radical Hopf ideal  $J(H)$ :  $O(H) = O(G)/J(H)$ . The ideal  $J(H)$  is referred to as the *defining ideal* of H in  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ . For example, the connected component of the identity in G is a normal closed group subscheme of G, denoted by  $G^0$ . Let

$$
\pi_0(G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G/G^0.
$$

Then  $\mathcal{O}(\pi_0(G))$  is the unique maximal finite dimensional semisimple Hopf subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ .

We let  $\text{Rep}(G)$  denote the category of finite dimensional rational representations of G over k (equivalently,  $\text{Rep}(G)$  is the category of finite dimensional comodules over  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ ; it is a *symmetric rigid tensor category*. (See, e.g., [\[8\]](#page-35-6) for the definition of a tensor category and its general theory.)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**2.1.1. Finite group schemes.** An affine group scheme G is called *finite* if  $O(G)$ is finite dimensional. In this case,  $O(G)^*$  is a finite dimensional cocommutative Hopf algebra, which is called the *group algebra* of  $G$ , and denoted by  $k[G]$ . In particular,  $\text{Rep}(G)$  is a *finite* symmetric tensor category and  $\text{Rep}(G) = \text{Rep}(k[G])$ as symmetric tensor categories. A finite group scheme G is called *constant* if its representing Hopf algebra  $O(G)$  is the Hopf algebra of functions on some finite abstract group with values in  $k$ , and is called *étale* if  $O(G)$  is semisimple. Since  $k$  is algebraically closed, it is known that  $G$  is étale if and only if it is a constant group scheme  $[29]$ . A finite group scheme G is called *infinitesimal* if  $O(G)$  is a local algebra.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Theorem 2.1** ( $[29, 6.8, p.52]$  $[29, 6.8, p.52]$ ). Let G be a finite group scheme. Then  $\pi_0(G)$  is *étale,* G<sup>0</sup> *is infinitesimal, and* G *is a semidirect product*

$$
G=G^0\rtimes \pi_0(G).
$$

*If the characteristic of* k *is* 0 *then*  $G = \pi_0(G)$  *is étale.* 

Let G be a finite *commutative* group scheme over  $k$ , i.e.,  $O(G)$  is a finite dimensional commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebra. In this case,  $k[G]$  is also a finite dimensional commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebra, so it represents a finite commutative group scheme  $G<sup>D</sup>$  over k, which is called the *Cartier dual* of G. For example, the Cartier dual of the group scheme  $\alpha_p$  (= the Frobenius kernel of the additive group  $\mathbb{G}_a$ ) is  $\alpha_p$ , while the Cartier dual of  $\mu_p$  (= the Frobenius kernel of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{G}_m$ ) is the constant group scheme  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 2.2** ([\[29,](#page-36-2) 6.8, p. 52]). *Let* G *be a finite commutative group scheme over* k. Then  $G = G_{ee} \times G_{ee} \times G_{ce} \times G_{cc}$  decomposes canonically as a direct *product of four finite commutative group schemes over* k *of the following types*:

- $G_{ee}$  *is étale with étale dual (i.e., an abstract abelian group A such that*  $p \nmid |A|$ ),
- $G_{ec}$  *is étale with connected dual (e.g.,*  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ),
- $G_{ce}$  *is connected with étale dual (e.g.,*  $\mu_p$ *), and*
- $G_{cc}$  *is connected with connected dual (e.g.,*  $\alpha_p \cong \alpha_p^D$ ).

Recall that a finite commutative group scheme G is called *diagonalizable* if  $O(G)$  is the group algebra  $k[A]$  of a finite abelian group A. For example,  $\mu_n$  is diagonalizable since  $\mathcal{O}(\mu_n) = k[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}]$ . In fact, any diagonalizable finite group scheme G is a direct product of various  $\mu_n$ . Clearly, the group algebra of a finite diagonalizable group scheme is semisimple.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Theorem 2.3** (Nagata, see [\[1,](#page-34-5) p. 223]). *Let* G *be a finite group scheme over* k*. The group algebra* kŒG- *is semisimple if and only if* G<sup>0</sup> *is diagonalizable and* p *does not divide the order of*  $G(k)$ *. In particular, for an infinitesimal group scheme*  $G$ *, if*  $k[G]$  *is semisimple then G is diagonalizable.* 

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**2.2.** *p***-Lie algebras.** Assume that the ground field k has characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let g be a finite dimensional p-Lie algebra over k and let  $u(g)$  be its p-restricted universal enveloping algebra (see, e.g., [\[16\]](#page-35-7), [\[28\]](#page-36-3)). Then  $u(\mathfrak{g})$  is a cocommutative Hopf algebra of dimension  $p^{\dim(g)}$  and its dual (commutative) Hopf algebra  $u(g)^*$ is a local algebra satisfying  $x^p = 0$  for any x in the augmentation ideal of  $u(\mathfrak{g})^*$ .<br>Becall that there is an equivalence of actorogies between the estegeny of infinites. Recall that there is an equivalence of categories between the category of infinitesimal group schemes G over k of *height* 1 and the category of finite dimensional *p*-Lie algebras  $\mathfrak g$  over  $k$ , given by  $G \mapsto \mathfrak g$ , where  $k[G] = u(\mathfrak g)$ .

An <sup>n</sup>-dimensional *torus*is an <sup>n</sup>-dimensional abelian <sup>p</sup>-Lie algebra t over <sup>k</sup> with a basis consisting of *toral* elements  $h_i$  (i.e.,  $h_i^p = h_i$ ). By a theorem of Hochschild (see Theorem  $2.3$  above), tori are precisely those finite dimensional  $p$ -Lie algebras whose representation categories are semisimple (see [\[28\]](#page-36-3)). In other words,  $u(t)$  is a semisimple commutative (and cocommutative) Hopf algebra, and

$$
Rep(t) = Rep(u(t))
$$

is a fusion category. Moreover, it is known that  $u(t)$  is isomorphic to the Hopf algebra Fun $((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n, k)$  of functions on the elementary abelian p-group of rank n, so

$$
Rep(u(\mathfrak{t})) = Vec((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n)
$$

is the fusion category of finite dimensional  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ -graded vector spaces.

**2.3. Module categories over tensor categories.** Let C be a rigid tensor category over  $k$ , i.e., a  $k$ -linear locally finite abelian category, equipped with an associative tensor product, a unit object and a rigid structure (see, e.g., [\[8\]](#page-35-6)). In particular, every object in C has *finite length*.

Let  $Ind(\mathcal{C})$  and  $Pro(\mathcal{C})$  denote the categories of Ind-objects and Pro-objects of C, respectively (see, e.g., [\[18\]](#page-35-8)). The rigid structure on C induces two duality functors

$$
Pro(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow Ind(\mathcal{C})
$$

("continuous dual") and

 $Ind(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow Pro(\mathcal{C})$ 

("linear dual"), which we shall both denote by

$$
X\longmapsto X^*;
$$

they are antiequivalence inverses of each other.

It is well known that the tensor structure on C extends to a tensor structure on Ind $(\mathcal{C})$  and Pro $(\mathcal{C})$  (however, Ind $(\mathcal{C})$  and Pro $(\mathcal{C})$  are not rigid). It is also known that Ind(c) has enough injectives. More generally, recall that a (left) *module category* over  $C$  is a locally finite abelian category  $M$  over  $k$  equipped with a (left) action

$$
\otimes^{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M},
$$

such that the bifunctor  $\otimes^{\mathcal{M}}$  is bilinear on morphisms and biexact. Similarly, the C-module structure on M extends to a module structure on  $Ind(\mathcal{M})$  over  $Ind(\mathcal{C})$ .

One can define a *dual internal Hom* in a C-module category M as follows: for  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ , let  $\overline{\text{Hom}}(M_1, M_2) \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$  be the pro-object representing the left exact functor

$$
\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \text{Vec},
$$
  

$$
X \longmapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(M_2, X \otimes^{\mathcal{M}} M_1),
$$

i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(M_2, X \otimes^{\mathcal{M}} M_1) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}(\overline{\operatorname{Hom}}(M_1, M_2), X).
$$

For any  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ , the pro-object  $\overline{Hom}(M, M)$  has a canonical structure of a coalgebra. If M is *indecomposable* (i.e., M is not equivalent to a direct sum of two nontrivial module subcategories) and *exact* (see definition below) then the category Comod<sub>Pro $(\mathcal{C})$ </sub> (Hom $(M, M)$ ) of right comodules over  $\overline{\text{Hom}}(M, M)$  in Pro $(\mathcal{C})$ , equipped with its canonical structure of a C-module category, is equivalent to M. (This is a special case of Barr-Beck Theorem in category theory; see [\[13,](#page-35-0) Theo-rem 3.17].) We note that in terms of internal Hom's [\[13\]](#page-35-0), the algebra  $\text{Hom}(M, M)$ in Ind(c) is isomorphic to the dual algebra of the coalgebra  $\overline{\text{Hom}}(M, M)$  under the duality functor

\*:  $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}).$ 

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Example 2.4.** Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra over  $k$ , let

$$
\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Rep}(H)
$$

be the rigid tensor category of finite dimensional representations of  $H$  over  $k$ , let

$$
\mathcal{M} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Vec}
$$

be the module category over C with respect to the forgetful functor  $C \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ (equipped with the usual tensor structure), and let

 $\delta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k$ 

be the trivial representation. Then

$$
\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\delta,\delta)=H^\circ
$$

is the *finite dual* of H (i.e., the Hopf algebra of linear functionals on H vanishing on a finite codimensional ideal of H). Indeed, let  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  and denote its underlying vector space by  $\overline{X}$ . On one hand,

$$
HomInd(e)(X, Hom(k, k)) = HomVec(X \otimes k, k)
$$
  
= Hom<sub>Vec</sub>( $\overline{X}$ , k)  
=  $\overline{X}^*$ .

On the other hand, since  $X$  is finite dimensional, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(X, H^{\circ}) &= \text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(X, H^*) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(X \otimes H, k) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(\overline{X} \otimes_k H, k) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(H, \overline{X}^*) \\ &= \overline{X}^*. \end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the claim follows from Yoneda's lemma.

Consequently,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\delta,\delta) = (H^\circ)^* = \widehat{H}
$$

is the profinite completion of H with respect to its algebra structure (i.e.,  $\hat{H}$  is the inverse limit  $\varprojlim H/I$  over all finite codimensional proper ideals I of H).

**Definition 2.5** (cf. [\[13\]](#page-35-0)). A module category M over C is called *exact* if any additive module functor  $M \to M_1$  from M to any other C-module category  $M_1$  is exact.

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Remark 2.6.** The collection of exact module categories over C forms a 2-category  $Mod(\mathcal{C})$ : the 1-morphisms are  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functors, and the 2-morphisms are natural transformations of C-module functors.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Proposition 2.7** (cf. [\[13\]](#page-35-0)). *Let* C *be a rigid tensor category over* k*, and let* M *be a module category over* C*. Then the following are equivalent*:

- 1) M *is exact*;
- 2) for any  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  and any injective object  $I \in \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $I \otimes M$  is injective *in*  $Ind(\mathcal{M})$ ;
- 3) *for any*  $M \in \mathbb{M}$  *and any projective object*  $P \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ *,*  $P \otimes M$  *is projective*  $in$  Pro $(\mathcal{M})$ *.*

*Proof.* The proof that 1) implies 2) is exactly as the proof of [\[13,](#page-35-0) Proposition 3.16] (after replacing "projective" by "injective" and "Hom" by "Hom"). More precisely, if M is exact then the C-module functor

$$
\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M, ?): \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})
$$

is exact. Therefore the functor

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{P}, I \otimes M) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(\overline{\operatorname{Hom}}(M, \mathcal{P}), I)
$$

is exact for any injective object I in  $Ind(\mathcal{C})$ , so  $I \otimes M$  is injective in Ind(M). (Here by  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(\text{Hom}(M, ?), I)$  we mean  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathbf{1}, \text{Hom}(M, ?)^* \otimes I)$ .)

The proof that 2) implies 1) is exactly as the proof of  $[13,$  Proposition 3.11] (after replacing "projective" by "injective").

Finally, 2) is equivalent to 3) by duality.

Let  $C$  be a rigid tensor category over k, and let  $M$  be a module category over  $C$ . Following [\[13\]](#page-35-0), we say that two simple objects  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{M}$  are *related* if there exists an object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $M_1$  appears as a subquotient in  $X \otimes M_2$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *(*[\[13\]](#page-35-0)*) Let* C *be a rigid tensor category over* k *and let* M *be an exact module category over* C*. Then the following hold:*

- 1) *The above relation is an equivalence relation.*
- 2) M *decomposes into a direct sum*

$$
\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus \mathcal{M}_i
$$

*of indecomposable exact module subcategories indexed by the equivalence classes of the above relation.*

*Proof.* 1) The proof is essentially the proof of [\[13,](#page-35-0) Lemma 3.8 and Proposition 3.9]. Namely, the proof that the relation is reflexive and transitive is exactly the same. Suppose that  $M_1$  appears as a subquotient in  $X \otimes M_2$ , and let  $E(1) \in Ind(C)$  be the injective hull of the unit object  $1 \in \mathcal{C}$ . By Proposition [2.7,](#page-7-0)  $E(1) \otimes X \otimes M_2$  is injective in  $Ind(\mathcal{M})$ , and hence

$$
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{M})}(X^* \otimes E(\mathbf{1})^* \otimes M_1, M_2) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{M})}(M_1, E(\mathbf{1}) \otimes X \otimes M_2) \neq 0.
$$

This implies the existences of  $Y \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(Y \otimes M_1, M_2) \neq 0$ , which proves that the relation is also symmetric.

2) For an equivalence class i let  $\mathcal{M}_i$  be the full subcategory of M consisting of objects all simple subquotients of which lie in i. Clearly,  $\mathcal{M}_i$  is an indecomposable module subcategory of M and  $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus \mathcal{M}_i$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{M}_i$  is exact since so is M. is M.

# $\Box$

**Definition 2.9** (cf. [\[13\]](#page-35-0)). Let M be an exact module category over C. We say that an object  $\delta \in \mathcal{M}$  *generates* M if for any  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  there exists  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  such that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(X \otimes^{\mathcal{M}} \delta, M) \neq 0.
$$

**Remark 2.10** (cf. [\[13\]](#page-35-0)). It is known that  $\delta$  generates M if and only if its simple subquotients represent all equivalence classes of simple objects in M. Therefore,  $\delta$  generates M if and only if for any  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  there exists  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  such that M is a subquotient of  $X \otimes^{\mathcal{M}} \delta$ .

<span id="page-9-2"></span>**Corollary 2.11.** *Let* M *be an indecomposable exact module category over a rigid tensor category* C, and let  $\delta \in M$  *be a simple object. Then*  $\delta$  generates M.  $\Box$ 

### **3. Exact module categories over**  $Coh_f(G)$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Let G be an affine group scheme over  $k$  (see Section [2.1\)](#page-3-0).

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**3.1. The category**  $\text{Coh}_f(G)$ **.** We shall denote by  $\text{Coh}_f(G)$  (resp.,  $\text{Coh}(G)$ ) the abelian category of coherent sheaves of *finite dimensional* k-vector spaces on G, i.e., coherent sheaves supported on finite sets in G (resp., *all* coherent sheaves of k-vector spaces on G). Recall that  $Coh<sub>f</sub>(G)$  (resp.,  $Coh(G)$ ) is a rigid tensor category (resp., tensor category) with the convolution product

$$
X \otimes Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_*(X \boxtimes Y)
$$

as the tensor product (where  $m_*$  is the direct image functor of m). It is well known that  $Coh_f(G)$  (resp.,  $Coh(G)$ ) is tensor equivalent to the rigid tensor category  $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{O}(G))$  of *finite dimensional* k-representations of the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ (resp., the tensor category of *finitely generated* k-representations of the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ ). Recall also that Ind(Coh<sub>f</sub>(G)) is the category of locally finite representations of  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ , i.e., representations in which every vector generates a finite dimensional subrepresentation, while

$$
Ind(Coh(G)) = QCoh(G)
$$

is the category of quasicoherent sheaves of  $k$ -vector spaces on  $G$ , i.e., the category of *all* k-representations of the Hopf algebra  $O(G)$ .

Let  $\delta_g$  denote the *simple* object in Coh<sub>f</sub>  $(G)$  corresponding to the closed point  $g \in G$ . It is well known that  $\text{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}(G)}(\delta_g, \delta_h) = 0$  if  $g \neq h$ , and hence  $\text{Coh}_f(G)$ decomposes, as an abelian category, into a direct sum

$$
\mathrm{Coh}_f(G) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathrm{Coh}_f(G)_g,
$$

where  $\text{Coh}_{f}(G)_{g}$  is the abelian subcategory of sheaves supported at g. Since each of these subcategories has a unique simple object, there is a unique *indecomposable projective* object in the pro-completion category, which is

$$
P_g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widehat{\mathcal{O}(G)_g}
$$
 (= the completion of  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  at g).

Therefore any projective in  $\text{Pro}(\text{Coh}_f(G))$  is a completed direct sum of such  $P_g$ .

**Example 3.1.** If G is a finite abstract group, i.e., a constant group scheme over  $k$ , then  $Coh_f(G) = Coh(G)$  is nothing but the fusion category Vec $(G)$  of finite dimensional  $G$ -graded vector spaces over  $k$ .

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**3.2. Equivariant coherent sheaves.** Let H be a closed group subscheme of G (see Section [2.1\)](#page-3-0), and let

$$
\mu\colon G\times H\longrightarrow G
$$

be its free action on G by right translations (in other words, the free actions of  $H(R)$  on  $G(R)$  by right translations are functorial in R, R a commutative algebra over  $k$ ). Set

$$
\eta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu(\text{id} \times m_{|H}) = \mu(\mu \times \text{id}) : G \times H \times H \longrightarrow G.
$$

Let

$$
p_{GH}^1: G \times H \longrightarrow G,
$$
  
\n
$$
p_{GHH}^1: G \times H \times H \longrightarrow G,
$$
  
\n
$$
p_{GHH}^{12}: G \times H \times H \longrightarrow G \times H
$$

denote the projections on  $G$ ,  $G$  and  $G \times H$ , respectively. We clearly have that

$$
p_{GH}^1 \circ p_{GHH}^{12} = p_{GHH}^1.
$$

Let  $\psi : H \times H \to \mathbb{G}_m$  be a normalized 2-cocycle. Equivalently,  $\psi$  is a *Drinfeld twist* for  $O(H)$ , i.e.,  $\psi \in O(H) \otimes O(H)$  is an invertible element satisfying the equations

$$
(\Delta \otimes id)(\psi)(\psi \otimes 1) = (id \otimes \Delta)(\psi)(1 \otimes \psi),
$$
  

$$
(\varepsilon \otimes id)(\psi) = (id \otimes \varepsilon)(\psi) = 1.
$$

We let  $O(H)_\psi$  be the ("twisted") coalgebra with underlying vector space  $O(H)$ and comultiplication  $\Delta_{\psi}$  given by

$$
\Delta_{\psi}(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Delta(f)\psi,
$$

where  $\Delta$  is the standard comultiplication of  $\mathcal{O}(H)$ .

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Note that  $\psi$  (like any other regular non-vanishing function) defines an automorphism of any coherent sheaf on  $H \times H$  by multiplication.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\psi$ :  $H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  be a normalized 2-cocycle on a closed group subscheme  $H$  of  $G$ .

1) An  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant coherent sheaf  $(S, \lambda_S)$  on G is a pair consisting of an object  $S \in \text{Coh}(G)$  and an isomorphism

$$
\lambda_S \colon (\text{p}_{GH}^1)^*(S) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mu^*(S)
$$

of sheaves on  $G \times H$ , such that the diagram of morphisms of sheaves on  $G \times H \times H$ 

$$
(\text{id} \times m_{|H})^*(S) \xrightarrow{(\text{p}_{GHH}^2)^*(\lambda_S)} \mu^*(S)
$$
  
(
$$
\text{id} \times m_{|H})^*(\lambda_S)
$$
  

$$
\eta^*(S) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \boxtimes \psi} \eta^*(S)
$$

is commutative.

2) Let  $(S, \lambda_S)$  and  $(T, \lambda_T)$  be two  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on G. A morphism  $\phi: S \to T$  in Coh(G) is said to be  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant if the diagram of morphisms of sheaves on  $G \times H$ 

$$
(p_{GH}^1)^*(S) \xrightarrow{\qquad (p_{GH}^1)^*(\phi)} \qquad (p_{GH}^1)^*(T)
$$
  

$$
\downarrow_S \qquad \qquad \downarrow_T
$$
  

$$
\mu^*(S) \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad \mu^*(\phi)} \qquad \qquad \mu^*(T)
$$

is commutative.

3) Let Coh $f^{(H,\psi)}(G)$  be the abelian category of  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on G with finite support in G/H (i.e., sheaves supported on finitely many H-cosets), with  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant morphisms.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Example 3.3.**  $\text{Coh}_f^{\left(\lbrace 1 \rbrace, 1\right)}(G) = \text{Coh}_f(G)$ , and  $\text{Coh}_f^{\left(G, \psi\right)}(G) = \text{Vec}$  (the unique simple object being the regular representation  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ .

<span id="page-12-2"></span>**Remark 3.4.** Let  $(H', \psi')$  be another pair consisting of a closed group subscheme H' of G and a normalized 2-cocycle  $\psi'$  on it. By considering the free right action of  $H' \times H$  on G given by

$$
g(a,b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a^{-1}gb,
$$

we can similarly define  $((H', \psi'), (H, \psi))$ -biequivariant coherent sheaves on G, and the abelian category  $\text{Coh}_f^{((H',\psi'),(H,\psi))}(G)$ .

**Remark 3.5.** 1) In [\[21,](#page-36-4) p. 110], an H-equivariant sheaf  $(S, \lambda_S)$  on G is referred to as a sheaf S on G together with a *lift*  $\lambda_S$  of the H-action  $\mu$  on G to S.

2) It is well known that the (geometric, hence also categorical) quotient scheme  $G/H$  exists. Let  $Coh_f$   $(G/H)$  be the abelian category of coherent sheaves of *finite dimensional* k-vector spaces on  $G/H$ , and let  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$  be the canonical H-invariant morphism. It is known that the inverse image functor

$$
\pi^* \colon \mathrm{Coh}_f(G/H) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(G)
$$

determines an equivalence of categories between  $\text{Coh}_{f}(G/H)$  and  $\text{Coh}_{f}^{(H,1)}(G)$ , with  $\pi_*^H$  as its inverse (where  $\pi_*^H$  is the subsheaf of *H*-invariants of  $\pi_*$ ).

<span id="page-12-1"></span>This following lemma will be very useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let* H *be a closed group subscheme of an affine group scheme* G *over* k*, acting on itself and on* G *by right translations*

$$
\mu_H: H \times H \to H
$$
 and  $\mu_G: G \times H \to G$ ,

*respectively. Let*

 $\iota \colon H \hookrightarrow G$ 

*be the inclusion morphism, and let be a normalized* 2*-cocycle on* H*. The following hold*:

- 1) *the structure sheaf*  $O(H)$  *has a canonical structure of an*  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant *coherent sheaf on* H*, making it the unique (up to isomorphism) simple object*  $of \text{Coh}^{(H,\psi)}_f(H);$
- 2) *the sheaf*  $\iota_* \mathfrak{O}(H) \in \text{Coh}(G)$  (*i.e., the representation of*  $\mathfrak{O}(G)$  *on*  $\mathfrak{O}(H)$  *com*ing from the morphism *i*) is a simple object in  $\text{Coh}^{(H,\psi)}_f(G)$ ;
- 3)  $m_*(X \boxtimes M) \in \text{Coh}_f^{(H,\psi)}(G)$ , for all  $X \in \text{Coh}_f(G)$  and  $M \in \text{Coh}_f^{(H,\psi)}(G)$ .

*Proof.* 1) Consider the isomorphism

$$
\varphi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mu_H, p_{HH}^2) : H \times H \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} H \times H,
$$

where  $p_{HH}^2$ :  $H \times H \rightarrow H$  is the projection on the second coordinate. It is clear that  $p_{HH}^1 \circ \varphi = \mu_H$ , so

$$
(\rho_{HH}^1 \circ \varphi)^* \mathcal{O}(H) = \mu_H^* \mathcal{O}(H).
$$

Now, multiplication by  $\psi$  defines an isomorphism

$$
\mu_H^* \mathcal{O}(H) = (p_{HH}^1 \circ \varphi)^* \mathcal{O}(H) \xrightarrow{\psi} (\varphi^* \circ (p_{HH}^1)^*) \mathcal{O}(H),
$$

and since we have that  $(p_{HH}^1)^* \mathcal{O}(H) = \mathcal{O}(H) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)$ , we get an isomorphism

$$
\lambda \colon (\rho_{HH}^1)^* \mathcal{O}(H) \xrightarrow{\equiv} \mathcal{O}(H) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \varphi^* (\mathcal{O}(H) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)) \xrightarrow{\psi^{-1}} \mu_H^* \mathcal{O}(H).
$$

The fact that  $(\mathcal{O}(H), \lambda)$  is an  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant coherent sheaf on H can be checked now in a straightforward manner. Clearly,  $(\mathcal{O}(H), \lambda)$  is the unique (up to isomorphism) simple object of  $\text{Coh}_f^{(H,\psi)}(H)$  (see Example [3.3\)](#page-12-0).

2) Since  $\iota$  is affine, the commutative diagrams

$$
H \times H \xrightarrow{p_{HH}^{1}} H \longrightarrow H \qquad H \times H \xrightarrow{\mu_{H}} H
$$
  
\n
$$
G \times H \xrightarrow{p_{GH}^{1}} G \qquad G \times H \xrightarrow{\mu_{G}} G
$$

yield isomorphisms

$$
(p_{GH}^1)^* \iota_* \mathcal{O}(H) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\iota \times \mathrm{id}_H)_* (p_{HH}^1)^* \mathcal{O}(H) \tag{3.1}
$$

and

<span id="page-13-1"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
(\iota \times \mathrm{id}_H)_* \mu_H^* \mathcal{O}(H) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mu_G^* \iota_* \mathcal{O}(H) \tag{3.2}
$$

("base change").

Let

$$
\lambda: (p_{HH}^1)^* \mathcal{O}(H) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mu_H^* \mathcal{O}(H)
$$

be the isomorphism constructed in 1). Since  $\iota$  is H-equivariant, we get an isomorphism

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
(\iota \times \mathrm{id}_H)_*(p^1_{HH})^* \mathcal{O}(H) \xrightarrow{(\iota \times \mathrm{id}_H)_*(\lambda)} (\iota \times \mathrm{id}_H)_*\mu_H^* \mathcal{O}(H). \tag{3.3}
$$

It is now straightforward to check that the composition of isomorphisms [\(3.1\)](#page-13-0), [\(3.3\)](#page-14-1) and [\(3.2\)](#page-13-1)

$$
(p_{GH}^1)^* \iota_* \mathcal{O}(H) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mu_G^* \iota_* \mathcal{O}(H)
$$

endows  $\iota_* \mathcal{O}(H)$  with a structure of an  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant coherent sheaf on G. Clearly,  $\iota_* \mathcal{O}(H)$  is simple.

3) Consider the right action id  $\times \mu$ :  $G \times G \times H \rightarrow G \times G$  of H on  $G \times G$ , and identify H with the subgroup  $\{1\} \times H \subseteq G \times G$ . Since  $M \in \text{Coh}_f^{(H,\psi)}(G)$ , it is clear that  $X \boxtimes M \in \text{Coh}(G \times G)$  is an  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant coherent sheaf on it is clear that  $X \boxtimes M \in \text{Coh}(G \times G)$  is an  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant coherent sheaf on  $G \times G$ . But since  $m: G \times G \rightarrow G$  is  $H$ -equivariant,  $m_*$  carries  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on  $G \times G$  to  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on G.  $\cdot$   $\frac{1}{2}$ 

**3.3. Exact module categories.** Let G, H and  $\psi$  be as in Sections [3.1](#page-9-1) and [3.2,](#page-10-0) and consider the coalgebra  $\mathcal{O}(H)_\psi$  in  $\mathrm{Coh}(G).$  Let  $\mathcal{O}(H)_\psi$  be its profinite completion with respect to the algebra structure of  $O(H)$  (see Example [2.4\)](#page-6-0); it is a coalgebra object in both Pro(Coh(G)) and Pro(Coh<sub>f</sub>(G)). Let Comod<sub>Pro(Coh<sub>f</sub>(G))</sub>( $\mathcal{O}(H)_\psi$ ) be the abelian category of right comodules over  $\mathcal{O}(H)_\psi$  in  $\text{Pro}(\text{Coh}_f(G))$ .

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Proposition 3.7.** *Let* G *be an affine group scheme over* k*, let* H *be a closed group subscheme of* G*, let*

 $\iota: H \hookrightarrow G$ 

*be the inclusion morphism, let be a normalized* 2*-cocycle on* H*, and let*

$$
\delta = \delta_{(H,\psi)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \iota_* \mathcal{O}(H) \in \mathrm{Coh}_f^{(H,\psi)}(G).
$$

*The following hold.*

1) *Set*

$$
\mathcal{M} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Coh}^{(H,\psi)}_f(G).
$$

*The bifunctor*

$$
\otimes^{\mathcal{M}} : \mathrm{Coh}_{f}(G) \boxtimes \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow M,
$$

$$
X \boxtimes M \longmapsto m_{*}(X \boxtimes M),
$$

*defines on*  $M$  *a structure of an indecomposable*  $Coh_f(G)$ *-module category.* 

2) *Set*

 $V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Comod}_{\text{Pro}(\text{Coh}_{f}(G))}(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}(H)_{\psi}}).$ 

*The bifunctor*

$$
\otimes^{\mathcal{V}} : \mathrm{Coh}_{f}(G) \boxtimes \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V},
$$

$$
X \boxtimes V \longmapsto m_{*}(X \boxtimes V),
$$

*defines on*  $\mathcal V$  *a structure of an*  $Coh_f(G)$ *-module category.* 

3) *The categories*  $\text{Coh}_{f}^{(H,\psi)}(G)$  and  $\text{Comod}_{\text{Pro}(\text{Coh}_{f}(G))}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}(H)_{\psi}})$  are equivalent as module categories over  $\text{Coh}_{f}(G)$ . In particular,  $\text{Hom}(\delta, \delta)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(H)_{\psi}$ *are isomorphic as coalgebras in*  $\text{Pro}(\text{Coh}_f(G))$ *.* 

*Proof.* 1) Since  $m(m \times id) = m(id \times m)$  and  $\psi$  is a 2-cocycle, it follows from Lemma [3.6](#page-12-1) that  $\otimes^{\mathcal{M}}$  defines on M a structure of an Coh<sub>f</sub>(G)-module category. Clearly,  $Coh_f(H)$  is the subcategory of  $Coh_f(G)$  consisting of those objects X for which  $X \otimes^{\mathcal{M}} \delta$  is a multiple of  $\delta$ , and any object  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  is of the form  $X \otimes^{\mathcal{M}} \delta$  for some  $X \in \text{Coh}_f(G)$ . In particular, the simple object  $\delta$  (see Lemma [3.6\)](#page-12-1) generates M, so M is indecomposable.

2) An object  $(V, \rho_V)$  in  $V$  is a pair consisting of an object  $V \in \text{Pro}(\text{Coh}_f(G))$ and a morphism

$$
\rho_V\colon V\to V\otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}(H)_\psi}
$$

in Pro( $\text{Coh}_f(G)$ ) satisfying the comodule axioms. Clearly, if  $X \in \text{Coh}_f(G)$  then  $m_*(X \boxtimes V) \in \text{Pro}(\text{Coh}_f(G))$ , and

$$
\rho_{m_*(X\boxtimes V)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{id}_X \otimes \rho_V
$$

is a morphism in  $\text{Pro}(\text{Coh}_f(G))$  defining on  $m_*(X \boxtimes V)$  a structure of a right comodule over  $\mathcal{O}(H)_{\psi}$ .

3) For any  $S \in \text{Pro}(\text{Coh}_f(G))$  there is a natural isomorphism

Hom<sub>G×H</sub>(
$$
\mu^*(S)
$$
,  $(p_{GH}^1)^*(S)$ )  $\cong$  Hom<sub>G</sub>(S,  $\mu_*(p_{GH}^1)^*(S)$ )

("adjunction"). Since  $\mu_*(p_{GH}^1)^*(S) \cong S \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{O}(H)}$ , we can assign to any isomorphism ا<br>سال به با<br>سال به با

$$
\lambda : \mu^*(S) \longrightarrow (p_{GH}^1)^*(S)
$$

a morphism

$$
\rho_{\lambda}: S \longrightarrow S \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}(H)}.
$$

It is now straightforward to verify that  $(S, \lambda^{-1})$  is an  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant sheaf on G if and only if the morphism

$$
\rho_{\lambda}: S \longrightarrow S \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(H)_{\psi}
$$

is a comodule map.

**Example 3.8.** We have that  $\text{Coh}_f^{\{1\},1)}(G) = \text{Coh}_f(G)$  is the regular module, and  $\text{Coh}_f^{(G,1)}(G)$  = Vec is the usual fiber functor on  $\text{Coh}_f(G)$ .

<span id="page-16-0"></span>We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let* G *be an affine group scheme over* k*. There is a bijection between conjugacy classes of pairs*  $(H, \psi)$  and equivalence classes of indecomposable exact module categories over  $\mathrm{Coh}_f(G)$ , assigning  $(H, \psi)$  to  $\mathrm{Coh}_f^{(H, \psi)}(G)$ .

*Proof.* We first show that the indecomposable module category

$$
\mathcal{M} \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \mathrm{Coh}_f^{(H,\psi)}(G)
$$

over  $Coh_f(G)$  is exact. To this end, we have to show that if  $P \in \text{Pro}(\text{Coh}_f(G))$  is projective and  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $P \otimes^{\mathcal{M}} X$  is projective (see Proposition [2.7\)](#page-7-0). Clearly, it is sufficient to show it for

$$
X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta = \delta_{(H,\psi)}.
$$

Moreover, since any projective in  $\text{Pro}(\text{Coh}_f(G))$  is a completed direct sum of  $P_g$ (see Section [3.1\)](#page-9-1), it suffices to check that  $P_g \otimes^{\mathcal{M}} \delta$  is projective. Furthermore, since  $P_a = \delta \otimes P_b$  and  $\delta \otimes^{\mathcal{M}} 2$  is an autoequivalence of M as an abelian category  $P_g = \delta_g \otimes P_1$ , and  $\delta_g \otimes^{\mathcal{M}}$ ? is an autoequivalence of M as an abelian category (since  $\delta_g$  is invertible), it suffices to do so for  $g = 1$ . Finally, this is done just by computing this product explicitly using the definition, which yields that

$$
P_1\otimes^{\mathcal{M}}\delta=\widehat{\mathcal{O}(H)_1}\otimes_k P(\delta),
$$

where  $P(\delta)$  is the projective cover of  $\delta$  (i.e., the unique indecomposable projective in the block of Pro $(M)$  containing  $\delta$ ; as a sheaf on G, it is the function algebra on the formal neighborhood of  $H$ ), and hence projective as desired.

Conversely, we have to show that any indecomposable exact module category M over  $\mathrm{Coh}_f(G)$  is of the form  $\mathrm{Coh}_f^{(H,\psi)}(G).$  Indeed, let  $\delta\in\mathbb{M}$  be a simple object generating  $M$  (such  $\delta$  exists by Corollary [2.11\)](#page-9-2), and consider the full subcategory

$$
\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ X \in \text{Coh}_{f}(G) \mid X \otimes^{\mathcal{M}} \delta = \dim_{k}(X)\delta \}
$$

 $\Box$ 

of  $Coh_f(G)$ . Clearly, C is a tensor subcategory of  $Coh_f(G)$ . Therefore, there exists a closed group subscheme H of G such that  $\mathcal{C} \cong \text{Coh}_{f}(H)$  as tensor categories, and we may identify C with  $\text{Coh}_{f}(H)$ . Moreover, the functor

$$
F: \mathcal{C} = \text{Coh}_{f}(H) \longrightarrow \text{Vec},
$$

$$
X \longmapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(\delta, X \otimes^{\mathcal{M}} \delta),
$$

together with the tensor structure

$$
F(\cdot) \otimes F(\cdot) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(\cdot \otimes \cdot)
$$

coming from the associativity constraint, is a fiber functor on  $\text{Coh}_{f}(H)$ . Letting  $\overline{X}$ denote the underlying vector space of X (where we view X as an  $O(H)$ -module), we see that  $F(X) = \overline{X}$ . We therefore get a functorial isomorphism

$$
\overline{X} \otimes \overline{Y} \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{X \otimes Y},
$$

which is nothing but an invertible element  $\psi$  of  $O(H) \otimes O(H) = O(H \otimes H)$ taking values in  $\mathbb{G}_m(k)$ . Clearly,  $\psi$  is a twist for  $\mathcal{O}(H)$ . To summarize, we have obtained that the C-submodule category  $\langle \delta \rangle$  of M consisting of all multiples of  $\delta$ is equivalent to  $\text{Coh}_f^{(H,\psi)}(H)$  as a module category over  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Coh}_f(H)$ .

Finally, let  $X \in \text{Coh}_{f}(G)$ , and let  $X_H \in \text{Coh}_{f}(H)$  be the maximal subsheaf of X which is scheme theoretically supported on H (i.e.,  $\overline{X_H}$  consists of all vectors in  $\overline{X}$  which are annihilated by the defining ideal of H in  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ ). Now, on the one hand, since for any  $g \in G$ ,  $\delta_g \otimes^{\mathcal{M}} \delta$  is simple, and  $\delta_g \otimes^{\mathcal{M}} \delta \cong \delta$  if and only if  $g \in H$ , it is clear that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Pro}(\operatorname{Coh}_{f}(G))}(\overline{\operatorname{Hom}}(\delta,\delta),X)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(\delta,X\otimes^{\mathcal{M}}\delta)=\overline{X_{H}}
$$

(since it holds for any simple  $X$ ). On the other hand, it is clear that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Pro}(\operatorname{Coh}_{f}(G))}(\widehat{\mathbb{O}(H)}_{\psi}, X) = \overline{X_H}.
$$

Thus, by Yoneda's lemma, the two coalgebras  $\text{Hom}(\delta, \delta)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(H)_\psi$  are isomorphic in  $\text{Pro}(\text{Coh}_f(G))$ . But this implies that M is equivalent as a module category over  $\mathrm{Coh}_f(G)$  to  $\mathrm{Comod}_{\mathrm{Pro}(\mathrm{Coh}_f(G))}(\mathfrak{O}(H)_\psi)$  (as  $\mathfrak M$  is indecomposable, exact, and generated by  $\delta$ ), hence also to  $\text{Coh}_f^{(H,\psi)}(G)$  by Proposition [3.7.](#page-14-0)  $\Box$ 

### **4. Exact module categories over**  $\text{Rep}(G)$

<span id="page-18-0"></span>Let  $\mathcal C$  be a rigid tensor category. Given two exact module categories  $\mathcal M$ ,  $\mathcal N$  over  $\mathcal C$ , let  $Func_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  denote the abelian category of C-functors from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$ . The *dual category of* C *with respect to* M is the category

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})
$$

of C-endofunctors of M. If M is indecomposable,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}^*$  is a rigid tensor category, and M is an indecomposable exact module category over  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}^*$ . Also, Fun $_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$ is an exact module category over  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}^*$  via the composition of functors.

**4.1. Module categories.** Let us keep the notation from Section [3,](#page-9-0) and set

$$
\mathcal{M}(H,\psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Coh}_f^{(H,\psi)}(G);
$$

in particular,  $\mathcal{M}(G, 1) = \text{Vec}$  is the usual fiber functor on  $\text{Coh}_{f}(G)$ . We also set

$$
\mathcal{M}(G,(H,\psi)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Coh}_f^{(G,(H,\psi))}(G)
$$

(see Remark [3.4\)](#page-12-2).

Recall that the 2-cocycle  $\psi$  determines a central extension  $H_{\psi}$  of H by  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . By an  $(H, \psi)$ -representation of H we shall mean a rational representation of the group scheme  $H_{\psi}$  on which  $\mathbb{G}_m$  acts with weight 1 (i.e., via the identity character). Let us denote the category of finite dimensional  $(H, \psi)$ -representations of the group scheme H by Rep $(H, \psi)$ . Clearly, Rep $(H, \psi)$  is equivalent to the category  $Corep(\mathcal{O}(H)_w)$  of finite dimensional comodules over the twisted coalgebra  $\mathcal{O}(H)_\psi$  (see Section [3.2\)](#page-10-0).

### <span id="page-18-1"></span>**Lemma 4.1.** *The following hold.*

- 1) *The categories*  $\text{Fun}_{\text{Coh}_F(G)}(\mathcal{M}(G, 1), \mathcal{M}(H, \psi))$  and  $\mathcal{M}(G, (H, \psi))$  are equiv*alent as abelian categories. In particular, Rep(G) is equivalent as a tensor category to*  $\text{Coh}_{f}(G)_{\mathcal{M}(G,1)}^{*}$ .
- 2) *The categories*  $\text{Fun}_{\text{Coh}_F(G)}(\mathcal{M}(G, 1), \mathcal{M}(H, \psi))$  and  $\text{Rep}(H, \psi)$  are equiva*lent as module categories over*  $Rep(G)$ *.*

*Proof.* 1) Since  $\mathcal{M}(G, 1) = \text{Vec}$ , an abelian functor  $\mathcal{M}(G, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(H, \psi)$  is just an  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant sheaf X on G. The fact that the functor is a  $Coh_f(G)$ -module functor gives  $X$  a commuting  $G$ -equivariant structure for the left action of  $G$ on itself, i.e., X is  $(G, (H, \psi))$ -biequivariant. In the other direction, it is clear that any  $(G, (H, \psi))$ -biequivariant sheaf on G defines a  $Coh<sub>f</sub>(G)$ -module functor  $\mathcal{M}(G, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(H, \psi).$ 

Finally, the category of  $(G, G)$ -biequivariant sheaves on G is equivalent to the category  $\text{Rep}(G)$  as a tensor category, and the second claim follows.

2) By 1), we may identify  $\text{Fun}_{\text{Coh}_F(G)}(\mathcal{M}(G, 1), \mathcal{M}(H, \psi))$  and  $\mathcal{M}(G, (H, \psi))$ as abelian categories.

Now, if X is a  $(G, (H, \psi))$ -biequivariant sheaf on G then the inverse image sheaf  $e^*(X)$  on Spec $(k)$  ("the stalk at 1") acquires a structure of an  $(H, \psi)$ -representation via the action of the element  $(h, h^{-1})$  in  $G \times H$ , i.e., it is an object in  $Rep(H, \psi)$ . We have thus defined a functor

$$
\mathcal{M}(G,(H,\psi)) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}(H,\psi),
$$

$$
X \longmapsto e^*(X).
$$

Conversely, an  $(H, \psi)$ -representation V can be spread out over G and made into a  $(G, (H, \psi))$ -biequivariant sheaf X on G, with global sections  $\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_k V$ . We have thus defined a functor

$$
Rep(H, \psi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(G, (H, \psi)),
$$

$$
V \longmapsto \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_k V.
$$

Finally, it is straightforward to verify that the two functors constructed above are mutually inverse.  $\Box$ 

**Example 4.2.** The Rep(G)-module category  $\text{Rep}({1}, 1) = \text{Vec}$  is the usual fiber functor on  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

<span id="page-19-0"></span>The proof of the next lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma [4.1.](#page-18-1)

**Lemma 4.3.** We have that  $\text{Coh}_{f}(G)$  is equivalent to  $\text{Rep}(G)_{\text{Rep}(\{1\},1)}^{*}$  as a tensor *category, and the categories*  $\text{Fun}_{\text{Rep}(G)}(\text{Rep}(\{1\},1), \text{Rep}(H, \psi))$  and  $\mathcal{M}(H, \psi)$  are *equivalent as module categories over*  $Coh<sub>f</sub>(G)$ *.* П

Lemma [4.3](#page-19-0) prompts the following definition.

**Definition 4.4.** A *geometrical* module category  $N$  over  $Rep(G)$  is an exact module category N such that  $Fun_{\text{Ren}}(G)$  (Rep({1}, 1),  $\mathcal{N}_i$ )  $\neq 0$  for any indecomposable direct summand module category  $N_i$  of N.

Clearly, geometrical module categories over  $Rep(G)$  form a full 2-subcategory  $Mod_{\text{geom}}(\text{Rep}(G))$  of the 2-category  $Mod(\text{Rep}(G))$  (see Remark [2.6\)](#page-7-1).

We can now deduce from Lemmas [4.1,](#page-18-1) [4.3](#page-19-0) the main result of this section, which says that geometrical module categories over  $\text{Rep}(G)$  are precisely those exact module categories which come from exact module categories over  $\text{Coh}_f(G)$ . More precisely, we have the following theorem, which generalizes a known result in the finite group case (see [\[24\]](#page-36-1)).

<span id="page-20-0"></span>**Theorem 4.5.** *Let* G *be an affine group scheme over* k*. Then the* 2*-functors*

$$
Mod(Coh_f(G)) \longrightarrow Mod_{\text{geom}}(\text{Rep}(G)),
$$

$$
\mathcal{M} \longmapsto \text{Fun}_{\text{Coh}_f(G)}(\mathcal{M}(G, 1), \mathcal{M}),
$$

*and*

$$
Mod_{\text{geom}}(\text{Rep}(G)) \longrightarrow \text{Mod}(\text{Coh}_{f}(G)),
$$

$$
\mathcal{N} \longmapsto \text{Fun}_{\text{Rep}(G)}(\text{Rep}(\{1\}, 1), \mathcal{N}),
$$

*are* 2*-equivalences which are mutually inverse. In particular, there is a bijection between conjugacy classes of pairs*  $(H, \psi)$  and equivalence classes of in*decomposable geometrical module categories over*  $Rep(G)$ *, assigning*  $(H, \psi)$  to  $\text{Rep}(H, \psi) = \text{Corep}(\mathcal{O}(H)_\psi).$  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-20-1"></span>**Remark 4.6.** 1) If G is *not* finite,  $\text{Rep}(G)$  may very well have nongeometrical module categories (even fiber functors). For example, let

$$
G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{G}_a^2 \quad \text{over } \mathbb{C},
$$

let

$$
J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp(x \otimes y),
$$

where  $x, y$  are a basis of the (nilpotent) Lie algebra  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (this makes sense on G-modules, since on them  $x$ ,  $y$  are nilpotent so the Taylor series for exponential terminates), and let N be the semisimple  $Rep(G)$ -module category of rank 1 corresponding to the twist J. Then the twisted algebra  $\mathcal{O}(G)_J$  is the Weyl algebra generated by x, y with  $yx - xy = 1$ , so it does not have finite dimensional modules. Hence,  $\text{Fun}_{\text{Ren}(G)}(\mathcal{M}(G, 1), \mathcal{N}) = 0.$ 

Note that there is no 2-cocycle  $\psi$  with values in  $G_m$  (there is one with values in  $G_a$ , namely,

$$
\psi((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = x_1y_2 - x_2y_1,
$$

but to make it take values in  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , one needs to take exponential, which is not algebraic).

2) The classification of fiber functors on  $\text{Rep}(G)$ , where G is a unipotent algebraic group, is given in [\[12\]](#page-35-9). See also [\[11\]](#page-35-10) for the construction of fiber functors on  $Rep(G)$  for other algebraic groups. However, the classification of fiber functors is not known for  $SL_n$ ,  $n \geq 4$  (it is known for  $n \leq 3$ , cf. [\[22\]](#page-36-5) and [\[23\]](#page-36-6)).

**4.2. Semisimple module categories of rank** 1**.** Recall that the set of equivalence classes of semisimple module categories over  $\text{Rep}(G)$  of rank 1 is in bijection with the set of equivalence classes of tensor structures on the forgetful functor  $\text{Rep}(G) \to \text{Vec}$ . Therefore, Theorem [4.5](#page-20-0) implies that the conjugacy class of any pair  $(H, \psi)$  for which the category Corep $(\mathcal{O}(H)_{\psi})$  is semisimple of rank 1 gives rise to an equivalence class of a tensor structure on the forgetful functor  $Rep(G) \rightarrow Vec$ . Clearly, for such pair  $(H, \psi)$ , H must be a *finite* group subscheme of G (as a simple coalgebra must be finite dimensional). This observation suggests the following definition.

**Definition 4.7.** Let  $H$  be a finite group scheme over  $k$ . We call a 2-cocycle  $\psi: H \times H \to \mathbb{G}_m$  (equivalently, a twist  $\psi$  for  $\mathcal{O}(H) = k[H]^*$ ) *nondegenerate* if the category Corep $(\mathcal{O}(H)_{\psi})$  of finite dimensional comodules over  $\mathcal{O}(H)_{\psi}$ is equivalent to Vec (i.e., if the coalgebra  $O(H)_\psi$  is simple).

<span id="page-21-1"></span>We thus have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.8.** *The conjugacy class of a pair*  $(H, \psi)$ *, where* H *is a finite closed group subscheme of* G *and*  $\psi$ :  $H \times H \to \mathbb{G}_m$  *is a nondegenerate* 2*-cocycle, gives rise to an equivalence class of a Hopf* 2*-cocycle for*  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ . *rise to an equivalence class of a Hopf* 2-*cocycle for*  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ *.* 

**Remark 4.9.** Finite group schemes having a nondegenerate 2-cocycle may be called group schemes of *central type* in analogy with finite abstract groups.

### **5. Group scheme theoretical categories**

<span id="page-21-0"></span>In this section we extend the classes of rigid tensor categories  $\text{Rep}(G)$  and  $\text{Coh}_f(G)$ to a larger class of *group scheme theoretical categories*, exactly in the same way as it is done for finite groups [\[24\]](#page-36-1).

Let G be an affine group scheme over k, and let  $\omega \in H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$  be a normalized 3-cocycle. Equivalently,  $\omega \in O(G)^{\otimes 3}$  is a *Drinfeld associator* for  $O(G)$ , i.e.,  $\omega$  is an invertible element satisfying the equations

$$
(\mathrm{id}\otimes \mathrm{id}\otimes \Delta)(\omega)(\Delta\otimes \mathrm{id}\otimes \mathrm{id})(\omega)=(1\otimes \omega)(\mathrm{id}\otimes \Delta\otimes \mathrm{id})(\omega)(\omega\otimes 1),
$$
  

$$
(\varepsilon\otimes \mathrm{id}\otimes \mathrm{id})(\omega)=(\mathrm{id}\otimes \varepsilon\otimes \mathrm{id})(\omega)=(\mathrm{id}\otimes \mathrm{id}\otimes \varepsilon)(\omega)=1.
$$

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

<span id="page-22-0"></span>**Lemma 5.1.** *The category*  $\text{Coh}_{f}(G)$  *(resp.,*  $\text{Coh}(G)$ *) with tensor product given*  $b$ y convolution of sheaves and associativity constraint given by the action of  $\omega$ *(viewed as an invertible element in*  $O(G)^{\otimes 3}$ *) is a rigid tensor category (resp.,*  $\Box$ *tensor category).*

Let us denote the rigid tensor category (resp., tensor category) from Lemma [5.1](#page-22-0) by  $Coh<sub>f</sub>(G, \omega)$  (resp.,  $Coh(G, \omega)$ ).

Let H be a closed group subscheme of G, and let  $\psi \in C^2(H, \mathbb{G}_m)$  be a normalized 2-cochain such that  $d\psi = \omega_{|H}$ . Let  $\text{Coh}_f^{(H,\psi)}(G,\omega)$  be the category of  $(H, \psi)$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on  $(G, \omega)$  with finite support in  $G/H$ ; it is defined similarly to  $\text{Coh}_f^{(H,\psi)}(G)$  (the case  $\omega = 1$ ) with the obvious adjustments. The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma [3.6.](#page-12-1)

**Lemma 5.2.** The category  $\text{Coh}_{f}^{(H,\psi)}(G,\omega)$  admits a structure of an indecompos*able exact module category over*  $\text{Coh}_f(G, \omega)$  given by convolution of sheaves.  $\Box$ 

The proof of the following classification result is similar to the proof of Theorem [3.9.](#page-16-0)

**Theorem 5.3.** *There is a bijection between conjugacy classes of pairs*  $(H, \psi)$  *and equivalence classes of indecomposable exact module categories over*  $Coh_f(G, \omega)$ , assigning  $(H, \psi)$  to  $\text{Coh}_f^{(H, \psi)}(G, \omega)$ . П

Let us denote by  $C(G, H, \omega, \psi)$  the dual category of  $Coh_f(G, \omega)$  with respect to its indecomposable exact module category  $\mathrm{Coh}^{(H,\psi)}_f(G,\omega)$ ; it is equivalent to the tensor category of  $((H, \psi), (H, \psi))$ -biequivariant sheaves on  $(G, \omega)$ , supported on finitely many left  $H$ -cosets (equivalently, right  $H$ -cosets), with tensor product given by convolution of sheaves.

**Definition 5.4.** A *group scheme theoretical category* is a rigid tensor category which is tensor equivalent to some  $\mathcal{C}(G, H, \omega, \psi)$ .

**Example 5.5.** 1) Both Rep $(G)$  and  $Coh_f(G, \omega)$  are group scheme theoretical categories.

2) (*The center*) The center  $\mathcal{Z}(Coh_f(G))$  of  $Coh_f(G)$  is a group scheme theoretical category. Indeed, it is tensor equivalent to  $C(G \times G, G, 1, 1)$ , where G is considered as a closed group subscheme of  $G \times G$  via the diagonal morphism  $\Delta: G \rightarrow G \times G$ .

For finite groups  $G$ ,  $Coh_f(G) = Rep(Fun(G))$ , so  $\mathcal{Z}(Rep(Fun(G)))$  is equivalent to Rep $(D(\text{Fun}(G)))$  (where  $D(\text{Fun}(G)) = \mathbb{C}[G] \ltimes \text{Fun}(G)$  is the Drinfeld double), and it is well known that  $\mathsf{Rep}(\mathbb{C}[G] \ltimes \mathsf{Fun}(G))$  is equivalent to the category of G-equivariant coherent sheaves on G.

In the algebraic group case, the same is true. Let us give an instructive example: suppose that G is a semisimple adjoint algebraic group over  $\mathbb C$  (i.e., with trivial center). Let  $\mathfrak{g}^* = \text{Lie}(G)^*$  be the coadjoint representation, regarded as a commu-<br>tative algebraic group (multiple of  $C$ ). A coherent sheef with finite dimensional tative algebraic group (multiple of  $\mathbb{G}_q$ ). A coherent sheaf with finite dimensional space of global sections must be supported on a finite conjugacy class, i.e., at 1. So we are talking about G-equivariant coherent sheaves on G supported (schemetheoretically) at <sup>1</sup>. This is the same as sheaves on <sup>g</sup> with the same property (by using the exponential map), i.e.,  $G$ -equivariant  $S\mathfrak{g}^*$ -modules, i.e.,  $G$ -equivariant elements of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , which is the same as representations of the semidialgebraic representations of  $g^*$ , which is the same as representations of the semidi-<br>rect product  $G \times g^*$ . Therefore, the center  $\mathcal{Z}(Coh_{\mathcal{A}}(G))$  is braided equivalent to rect product  $G \ltimes g^*$ . Therefore, the center  $\mathcal{Z}(\text{Coh}_f(G))$  is braided equivalent to  $\text{Pon}(G \ltimes g^*)$  covinged with its natural (popsymmatric) braided structure.  $Rep(G \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^*)$  equipped with its natural (nonsymmetric) braided structure.<sup>[1](#page-23-0)</sup>

#### **Definition 5.6.** Let

$$
\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{C}(G, H, \omega, \psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}(H, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Coh}_f^{(H, \psi)}(G, \omega).
$$

A *geometrical* module category N over C is an exact module category N such that Fun<sub>C</sub>( $\mathcal{M}(H, \psi), \mathcal{N}_i$ )  $\neq 0$  for any indecomposable direct summand module category  $N_i$  of N.

It is clear that geometrical module categories over  $\mathcal{C}(G, H, \omega, \psi)$  form a full 2-subcategory  $Mod_{\text{geom}}(\mathcal{C}(G,H,\omega,\psi))$  of the 2-category  $Mod(\mathcal{C}(G,H,\omega,\psi))$  (cf. Remark [2.6\)](#page-7-1).

The following extends Theorem [4.5](#page-20-0) (see also Remark [6.2](#page-24-1) in the next section).

**Theorem 5.7.** Let  $C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C(G, H, \omega, \psi)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(H, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Coh}_{f}^{(H, \psi)}(G, \omega)$ . Then the 2*-functors*

$$
Mod(Coh_f(G, \omega)) \longrightarrow Mod_{\text{geom}}(\mathcal{C}),
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{M} \longmapsto \text{Fun}_{\text{Coh}_f(G, \omega)}(\mathcal{M}(H, \psi), \mathcal{M}),
$$

*and*

$$
Mod_{\text{geom}}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow Mod(Coh_f(G)),
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{N} \longmapsto \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}(H, \psi), \mathcal{N}),
$$

<span id="page-23-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The *R*-matrix for this category is  $\exp(\sum x_i \otimes x_i^*)$ , where  $x_i$  is a basis of g and  $x_i^*$  the hosis of  $x^*$ . Note that conjugaring *R* in *Y*,  $\otimes$  *Y*, where *Y*, *Y*,  $\in$  *R* and *G*  $\times$  *x*<sup>\*</sup>), the automorphi dual basis of  $g^*$ . Note that applying R in  $X \otimes Y$ , where  $X, Y \in \text{Rep}(G \ltimes g^*)$ , the exponential<br>will turn into a finite sum (almost all tange of the Taylor series of the exponential will be zero) will turn into a finite sum (almost all terms of the Taylor series of the exponential will be zero) because  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  acts nilpotently on Y.

*are* 2*-equivalences which are mutually inverse. In particular, there is a bijection between conjugacy classes of pairs*  $(H', \psi')$ , where  $H'$  is a closed group subscheme of G and  $\psi' \in C^2(H',\mathbb{G}_m)$  satisfies  $d\psi' = \omega_{|H'}$ , and equivalence classes *of indecomposable geometrical module categories over*  $C(G, H, \omega, \psi)$ .

## **6. Exact module categories over finite group schemes**

<span id="page-24-0"></span>In this section G will denote a *finite* group scheme over k (see Section [2.1.1\)](#page-3-1).

**6.1. Module categories.** By [\[13,](#page-35-0) Theorem 3.31], Theorem [4.5](#page-20-0) can be strengthened in the finite case to give a canonical bijection between exact module categories over  $\text{Coh}_f(G) = \text{Coh}(G)$  and  $\text{Rep}(G)$  (i.e., for finite group schemes, every exact module category over  $\text{Rep}(G)$  is geometrical). Namely, we have the following result.

<span id="page-24-2"></span>**Theorem 6.1.** *Let* G *be a finite group scheme over* k*. The* 2*-functors*

$$
Mod(Coh(G)) \longrightarrow Mod(Rep(G)),
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{M} \longmapsto Fun_{Coh(G)}(\mathcal{M}(G, 1), \mathcal{M}),
$$

*and*

$$
Mod(Rep(G)) \longrightarrow Mod(Coh(G)),
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{N} \longmapsto Fun_{Rep(G)}(Rep(\{1\}, 1), \mathcal{N}),
$$

*are* 2*-equivalences which are mutually inverse. In particular, the equivalence classes of indecomposable exact module categories over*  $Rep(G) = Rep(k[G])$ *are parameterized by the conjugacy classes of pairs*  $(H, \psi)$ *, where* H *is a closed group subscheme of* G *and*  $\psi$  :  $H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  *is a normalized* 2*-cocycle.*  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-24-1"></span>**Remark 6.2.** More generally, the equivalence classes of indecomposable exact module categories over  $\mathcal{C}(G, H, \omega, \psi)$  are parameterized by the conjugacy classes of pairs  $(H', \psi')$ , where H' is a group subscheme of G and  $\psi' \in C^2(H', \mathbb{G}_m)$ satisfies  $d\psi' = \omega_{H'}$ .

**6.2. Twists for**  $k[G]$ **.** By [\[2\]](#page-34-2), there is a bijection between nondegenerate twists for  $k[G]$  and nondegenerate twists for  $O(G)$ . Hence, as a consequence of Theorem [6.1,](#page-24-2) we deduce the following strengthening of Corollary [4.8.](#page-21-1)

<span id="page-25-0"></span>**Corollary 6.3.** *Let* G *be a finite group scheme over* k*. The following four sets are in canonical bijection one with the other*:

- 1) *the set of equivalence classes of tensor structures on the forgetful functor on*  $\text{Rep}(G)$ ;
- 2) *the set of gauge equivalence classes of twists for k*[G];
- 3) *the set of conjugacy classes of pairs*  $(H, \psi)$ *, where H is a closed group subscheme of G* and  $\psi$  :  $H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  *is a nondegenerate* 2*-cocycle*;
- 4) *the set of conjugacy classes of pairs*  $(H, J)$ *, where H is a closed group sub*scheme of G and J is a nondegenerate twist for  $k[H]$ .

<span id="page-25-4"></span>**Remark 6.4.** Corollary [6.3](#page-25-0) was proved for étale group schemes in [\[20\]](#page-35-1), [\[9\]](#page-35-2) and [\[2\]](#page-34-2).

**6.3. Minimal twists for**  $k[G]$ **.** Recall that a twist J for  $k[G]$  is called *minimal* if the triangular Hopf algebra  $(k[G]^J, J_{21}^{-1}J)$  is minimal, i.e., if the left (right) tensorands of  $J_{21}^{-1}J$  span  $k[G]$ ; cf. [\[26\]](#page-36-7).

Using Deligne's theorem [\[6\]](#page-34-1), it is shown in [\[9,](#page-35-2) [2\]](#page-34-2) that a twist for a finite abstract group is minimal if and only if it is nondegenerate. In this section we show that the same holds for any finite group scheme, without using Deligne's theorem. In order to achieve it, we shall need the following result about quotients of Tannakian categories, which is of interest by itself.[2](#page-25-2)

<span id="page-25-1"></span>**Proposition 6.5.** *Let* G *be a finite group scheme over* k*, let* C *be a symmetric rigid tensor category over* <sup>k</sup>*, and suppose there exists a surjective*[3](#page-25-3) *symmetric tensor functor*  $F: \text{Rep}(G) \to \mathcal{C}$ *. Then there exists a closed group subscheme* H *of* G *such that*  $C \cong \text{Rep}(H)$  *as symmetric rigid tensor categories, and* 

$$
Forget_G \cong Forget_H \circ F.
$$

*Proof.* Consider the image  $F(\mathcal{O}(G))$  of the commutative unital algebra object  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  in Rep $(G)$ ; it is a commutative unital algebra object in C. Let  $I \in \mathcal{C}$  be a maximal ideal subobject of  $F(\mathcal{O}(G))$ , and set

 $R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F(\mathcal{O}(G))/I.$ 

<span id="page-25-2"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We are grateful to the referee for pointing to us that this result is a special case of [\[3,](#page-34-6) Proposition 1]. We include our proof for the sake of completeness, and convenience of the reader.

<span id="page-25-3"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> By saying that F is *surjective* we mean that any object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic to a subquotient of  $F(V)$  for some  $V \in \text{Rep}(G)$ .

Then R is a commutative unital algebra object in C. Let  $Mod_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$  be the category of modules in C over R. Clearly, R is a simple object in  $Mod_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ , so  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(1, R) = \text{Hom}_{R}(R, R) = k.$ 

Observe that for any  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , there exists a finite dimensional vector space  $\overline{X}$ such that  $X \otimes R \cong \overline{X} \otimes_k R$  as modules over R (i.e.,  $X \otimes R$  is free). Indeed, this follows since  $\mathcal{O}(G) \in \text{Rep}(G)$  has this property and F is surjective. Therefore, since Hom<sub>c</sub> $(1, R) = k$ , it follows that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_R(R, X \otimes R) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(1, X \otimes R)
$$

canonically by Frobenius reciprocity, which implies that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(1, X \otimes R) \otimes_k R \xrightarrow{\cong} X \otimes R.
$$

Hence the functor

 $L: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \text{Vec}$ 

 $X \longmapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(1, X \otimes R),$ 

together with the tensor structure given by

$$
L(X \otimes Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, (X \otimes Y) \otimes R)
$$
  
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes (L(Y) \otimes_k R))
$$
  
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, (L(X) \otimes_k L(Y)) \otimes_k R)
$$
  
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{\cong} L(X) \otimes_k L(Y),
$$

is a fiber (= exact tensor) functor on C. But then a standard argument (see e.g., [\[7\]](#page-35-11)) yields that C is equivalent to  $\text{Rep}(A)$  for some finite dimensional Hopf algebra A over  $k$ , as a rigid tensor category. Hence, there exists an injective homomorphism

$$
A \xrightarrow{1-1} k[G]
$$

of Hopf algebras, and the result follows.

**Remark 6.6.** Proposition [6.5](#page-25-1) holds for any affine group scheme over k (i.e., not necessarily finite). Namely, quotients of Tannakian categories are Tannakian. The proof is essentially the same, except that  $O(G)$  and its image under (the extension of) F are Ind objects, so certain adaptations are required (see  $\lceil 3 \rceil$ , Proposition 1]).

<span id="page-26-0"></span>We can now state and prove the main result of this section.

 $\Box$ 

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let* G *be a finite group scheme over* k*, and let* J *be a twist for*  $k[G]$ . Then *J* is minimal if and only if it is nondegenerate.

*Proof.* Suppose *J* is minimal. By Corollary [6.3,](#page-25-0) there exist a closed group subscheme H of H and a nondegenerate twist J for  $k[H]$  such that the image of J under the embedding

$$
k[\bar{H}]_{\bar{J}} \hookrightarrow k[H]_{J}
$$

is *J*. Since *J* is minimal and  $\overline{H} \subseteq H$ , it follows that  $\overline{H} = H$ .

Conversely, suppose *J* is nondegenerate. Let  $(A, J_{21}^{-1}J)$  be the minimal triangular Hopf subalgebra of  $(k[G]^J, J_{21}^{-1}J)$ . The restriction functor

$$
Rep(G) \longrightarrow Rep(A)
$$

is a surjective symmetric tensor functor. Thus by Proposition [6.5,](#page-25-1)  $\text{Rep}(A)$  is equivalent to  $\text{Rep}(H)$ , as a symmetric tensor category, for some closed group subscheme  $H$  of  $G$ . Now, it is a standard fact (see e.g., [\[14\]](#page-35-3)) that such an equivalence functor gives rise to a twist  $I \in k[H]^{\otimes 2}$  and an isomorphism of triangular Hopf algebras

$$
(k[H]^I, I_{21}^{-1}I) \xrightarrow{\cong} (A, J_{21}^{-1}J).
$$

We therefore get an injective homomorphism of triangular Hopf algebras

$$
(k[H]^I, I_{21}^{-1}I) \xrightarrow{1-1} (k[G]^J, J_{21}^{-1}J),
$$

which implies that  $JI^{-1}$  is a symmetric twist for  $k[G]$ . But by [\[7,](#page-35-11) Theorem 3.2], this implies that  $JI^{-1}$  is gauge equivalent to 1  $\otimes$  1. Therefore, the triangular Hopf algebras  $(k[G]^{JI^{-1}}, I_{21}J_{21}^{-1}JI^{-1})$  and  $(k[G], 1 \otimes 1)$  are isomorphic. In other words,  $(k[G]^I, I_{21}^{-1}I)$  and  $(k[G]^J, J_{21}^{-1}J)$  are isomorphic as triangular Hopf algebras, i.e., the pairs  $(G, J)$  and  $(H, I)$  are conjugate. We thus conclude from Corollary [6.3](#page-25-0) that  $H = G$ , and hence that J is a minimal twist, as required.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-27-0"></span>**6.4. The commutative case.** Let A be a finite commutative group scheme over k and let  $A^D$  be its Cartier dual (see Section [2.1\)](#page-2-1). By definition,  $k[A] = O(A^D)$ and  $k[A^D] = O(A)$ . Therefore, Corollary [6.3](#page-25-0) implies the following.

<span id="page-27-2"></span><span id="page-27-1"></span>**Proposition 6.8.** *There is a canonical isomorphism of abelian groups between the* group of gauge equivalence classes of twists for  $k[A]$  and the group  $H^2(A^D,\mathbb{G}_m).$ □ **Corollary 6.9.** *Suppose that either*  $A = A_{ec}$  *or*  $A = A_{ce}$ *. Then the equivalence classes of indecomposable exact module categories over* Rep $(A)$  *are in bijection with the conjugacy classes of closed group subschemes of* A*. In particular, the trivial twist is the only twist for* kŒA-*, i.e., the forgetful functor on* Rep.A/ *has only the trivial tensor structure.*

*Proof.* By Proposition [6.8,](#page-27-1) it suffices to show that in both cases  $H^2(A, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$ . Indeed, consider the group homomorphism

$$
H^{2}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(A \times A, \mathbb{G}_{m}),
$$
  

$$
\psi \longmapsto \psi_{21}^{-1} \psi;
$$

it is well defined since for any two choices  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  the 2-cocycle  $\psi_1 \psi_2^{-1}$  is symmetric, and it is known that  $H_s^2(A, \mathbb{G}_m) = \text{Ext}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$  (see, e.g., [\[21\]](#page-36-4)). Clearly, its image is contained in the group of skew-symmetric bilinear forms on A, i.e., is contained in Hom $(A, A^D)$ . But since Hom $(A_{ec}, A_{ce}) = 0$ , Hom $(A, A^D) = 0$ , so the above homomorphism is trivial. This means that

$$
H^2(A, \mathbb{G}_m) = H_s^2(A, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0,
$$

as claimed.

In contrast, the cases  $A = A_{ee}$  (see Remark [6.4\)](#page-25-4) and  $A = A_{cc}$  (see Example [6.13\)](#page-29-1) are more interesting, as demonstrated also by the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.10.** *Let*  $\psi \in H^2(A \times A^D, \mathbb{G}_m)$  *be the class represented by the* 2*-cocycle given by*

$$
\psi((a_1, f_1), (a_2, f_2)) = \langle f_1, a_2 \rangle,
$$

*where*

 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  *denotes the canonical pairing* 

$$
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon A^D \times A \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m.
$$

Then  $\psi$  is nondegenerate, that is, it corresponds to a nondegenerate twist for  $k[A \times A^D].$ 

*Proof.* It is straightforward to verify that the corresponding twist for  $O(A \times A^D)$ (which we shall also denote by  $\psi$ ) is given by  $\psi = \sum f_i \otimes a_i$ , where  $f_i$  and  $a_i$  are dual bases of  $O(A)$  and  $O(A)^*$ , respectively. But  $(O(A \times A^D)_\psi)^*$  is an Heisenberg double, hence a simple algebra by [Mon, Corollary 9.4.3] (see [\[2\]](#page-34-2)), so  $\psi$  is nondegenerate. □

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-29-0"></span>**6.5.** p-Lie algebras. Assume that k has characteristic  $p > 0$ . In the case of p-Lie algebras (see Section [2.2\)](#page-4-1), Theorem [6.1](#page-24-2) and Corollary [6.3](#page-25-0) translate into the following result.

**Theorem 6.11.** *Let* <sup>g</sup> *be a finite dimensional* <sup>p</sup>*-Lie algebra over* <sup>k</sup>*. The equivalence classes of indecomposable exact module categories over*  $Rep(q)$  *are parameterized by the conjugacy classes of pairs*  $(h, \psi)$ , where  $h$  *is a p-Lie subalgebra of*  $g$ *and*  $\psi$  *is a Hopf* 2*-cocycle for*  $u(\mathfrak{h})$ *. In particular, the gauge equivalence classes of twists for*  $u(\mathfrak{g})$  *are in bijection with conjugacy classes of pairs*  $(h, J)$ *, where*  $h$  *is a n-Lie subalgebra of*  $\mathfrak{g}$  *and J is a nondegenerate twist for*  $u(h)$ . *is a p-Lie subalgebra of*  $\frak{g}$  *and*  $J$  *is a nondegenerate twist for*  $u(\frak{h})$ *.* 

**Example 6.12** (semisimple *p*-Lie algebras). Let t be a torus, i.e., the *p*-Lie algebra of a connected diagonalizable group scheme. Then Corollary [6.9](#page-27-2) tells us that the forgetful functor on  $Rep(t)$  has only the trivial tensor structure, which is in contrast with the étale case.

<span id="page-29-1"></span>**Example 6.13.** Let a be the 2-dimensional abelian  $p$ -Lie algebra with basis  $h, x$ such that  $h^p = 0$  and  $x^p = 0$  (it is the p-Lie algebra of the group scheme  $\alpha_p \times \alpha_p$ ). Then it is straightforward to verify that

$$
J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp(h \otimes x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{h^i \otimes x^i}{i!}
$$

is a nondegenerate twist for  $u(\mathfrak{a})$ . In fact, the algebra  $(u(\mathfrak{a})_J)^*$  is isomorphic to the struggeted World algebra  $k[x, y]/(xy, y, z, 1, x^p, y^p)$ , which is known to be a the truncated Weyl algebra  $k[x, y]/(xy - yx - 1, x^p, y^p)$ , which is known to be a simple algebra  $([27, p. 73])$  $([27, p. 73])$  $([27, p. 73])$ .

<span id="page-29-2"></span>**Example 6.14.** Let g be the unique 2-dimensional nonabelian p-Lie algebra with basis x, y such that  $[x, y] = y$ ,  $x^p = x$  and  $y^p = 0$  (it is the p-Lie algebra of the Frobenius kernel of the group scheme  $\mathbb{G}_m \ltimes \mathbb{G}_a$  of automorphisms of the affine line  $A<sup>1</sup>$ ). It is straightforward to verify that the element

$$
J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{x(x-1)\cdots(x-i+1) \otimes y^i}{i!}
$$

is a nondegenerate twist for  $u(\mathfrak{g})$ , and that  $(u(\mathfrak{g})^J, J_{21}^{-1}J)$  is a noncommutative<br>and noncocommutative minimal triangular Honf algebra of dimension  $n^2$ and noncocommutative minimal triangular Hopf algebra of dimension  $p^2$ .

**Example 6.15** (Frobenius <sup>p</sup>-Lie algebras). Let g be a finite dimensional *Frobenius*  $p$ -Lie algebra over k. By definition, this means that there exists a linear functional  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  such that the bilinear form  $(x, y) \mapsto \xi([x, y])$  on g is nondegenerate.<br>Let  $u_i(\mathfrak{g})$  be the associated reduced universal enveloping algebra i.e.,  $u_i(\mathfrak{g})$  is Let  $u_{\xi}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the associated reduced universal enveloping algebra, i.e.,  $u_{\xi}(\mathfrak{g})$  is the quotient algebra of the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  by the ideal generated by elements  $x^p - x^{[p]} - \xi(x)^p$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . By a well known result of Premet and Skryabin [\[25\]](#page-36-9),  $u_{\xi}(\mathfrak{g})$  is a simple algebra. Therefore any Frobenius p-Lie algebra possesses a nondegenerate twist.

Since there are nonsolvable Frobenius  $p$ -Lie algebras, we see that there exist finite group schemes of central type which are *not* solvable (unlike in the étale case [\[9\]](#page-35-2)). For example, the 6-dimensional p-Lie subalgebra g of  $\mathfrak{gl}_3$ , consisting of the matrices with zero last row, is not solvable but is Frobenius (e.g., let  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ <br>be defined on the standard basis  $F_{\lambda}$ , by be defined on the standard basis  $E_{ij}$  by

$$
\xi(E_{12}) = \xi(E_{23}) = 1
$$
 and  $\xi(E_{11}) = \xi(E_{13}) = \xi(E_{21}) = \xi(E_{22}) = 0$ .

**Example 6.16** (the Witt  $p$ -Lie algebra). Let w be the  $p$ -dimensional  $p$ -Lie algebra with basis  $x_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , such that  $[x_i, x_j] = (j - i)x_{i+j}$ ,  $x_0^p = x_0$  and  $x_i^p = 0$ for  $i \neq 0$ . Note that for any  $i \neq 0$ , the elements  $x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i^{-1}x_0$ ,  $y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ix_i$  span a 2-dimensional nonabelian  $p$ -Lie subalgebra of w. We thus obtain twists  $J(i)$  for  $u(\mathfrak{w})$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ , as in Example [6.14,](#page-29-2) and hence  $p^p$ -dimensional noncommutative<br>and noncocommutative triangular Hopf electrons  $u(\mathfrak{w})^{J(i)}$ . (See [15] for similar and noncocommutative triangular Hopf algebras  $u(\mathfrak{w})^{J(i)}$ . (See [\[15\]](#page-35-12) for similar results.)

#### **7. Isocategorical finite group schemes**

<span id="page-30-0"></span>Following  $[10]$ , we say that two finite group schemes  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  over k are *isocategorical* if  $\text{Rep}(G_1)$  is equivalent to  $\text{Rep}(G_2)$  as a tensor category (without regard for the symmetric structure). Then  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  are isocategorical if and only if the Hopf algebras  $k[G_1], k[G_2]$  are twist equivalent [\[10\]](#page-35-4).

**7.1. The construction of isocategorical finite group schemes.** The construction of all finite group schemes isocategorical to a fixed finite group scheme given below extends the one given in [\[10\]](#page-35-4) for étale groups (see also [\[4,](#page-34-3) [5\]](#page-34-4)).

Let G be a finite group scheme over  $k$ , let A be a commutative normal closed group subscheme of G, let  $A^D$  be the Cartier dual of A (see Section [2.1.1\)](#page-3-1), and set

$$
K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G/A.
$$

Let

 $R: A^D \times A^D \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ 

be a G-equivariant nondegenerate skew-symmetric (i.e.,  $R(a,a) = 0$  for all  $a \in A^D$ ) bilinear form on  $A^D$ . It is known that the image of the group homomorphism

$$
H^{2}(A^{D}, \mathbb{G}_{m}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(A^{D} \times A^{D}, \mathbb{G}_{m}),
$$
  

$$
\psi \longmapsto \psi \psi_{21}^{-1},
$$

is the group of skew-symmetric bilinear forms on  $A<sup>D</sup>$ . Therefore, the form R defines a class in  $H^2(A^D, \mathbb{G}_m)^K$  represented by any 2-cocycle  $J \in Z^2(A^D, \mathbb{G}_m)$ such that  $R = JJ_{21}^{-1}$ .

Let

$$
\tau: H^2(A^D, \mathbb{G}_m)^K \longrightarrow H^2(K, A)
$$

be the homomorphism defined as follows. For  $c \in H^2(A^D, \mathbb{G}_m)^K$ , let J be a 2-cocycle representing c. Then for any  $g \in K$ , the 2-cocycle  $J^g J^{-1}$  is a coboundary. Choose a cochain

 $z(g)$ :  $A^D \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  such that  $dz(g) = J^g J^{-1}$ ,

and let

$$
\tilde{b}(g,h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} z(gh)z(g)^{-1}(z(h)^g)^{-1}.
$$

Then for any  $g, h \in K$ , the function

$$
\tilde{b}(g,h): A^D \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m
$$

is a group homomorphism, i.e.,  $b(g, h)$  belongs to the group A. Thus, b can be regarded as a 2-cocycle of K with coefficients in A. So  $b$  represents a class  $b$ in  $H^2(K, A)$ , which depends only on c and not on the choices we made. So we define  $\tau$  by  $\tau(c) = b$ .

Now, let

$$
b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau(R),
$$

and let *b* be any cocycle representing *b*. For any  $\gamma \in G$ , let  $\bar{\gamma}$  be the image of  $\gamma$ in  $K$ . Introduce a new multiplication law  $*$  on the scheme  $G$  by

$$
\gamma_1 * \gamma_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{b}(\bar{\gamma}_1, \bar{\gamma}_2) \gamma_1 \gamma_2.
$$

It is easy to show that this multiplication law introduces a new group scheme structure on  $G$ , which (up to an isomorphism) depends only on  $b$  and not on  $b$ . Let us call this finite group scheme  $G_b$ .

<span id="page-32-0"></span>**Theorem 7.1.** *The following hold*:

- 1) *the finite group scheme*  $G_b$  *is isocategorical to*  $G$ ;
- 2) *any finite group scheme isocategorical to* G *is obtained in this way.*

*In particular, two isocategorical finite group schemes are necessarily isomorphic as schemes (but not as groups* [\[10\]](#page-35-4)*).*

**7.2. Sketch of the proof of Theorem [7.1.](#page-32-0)** Suppose that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are isocategorical, and fix a twist  $J$  for  $k[G_1]$  such that  $k[G_1]^J$  and  $k[G_2]$  are isomorphic as Hopf algebras (but *not* necessarily as triangular Hopf algebras). Clearly, the Hopf algebra  $k[G_1]^J$  is cocommutative. Set,

$$
R^J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J_{21}^{-1} J.
$$

Let  $(k[G_1]^J)_{\text{min}} \subseteq k[G_1]^J$  be the minimal triangular Hopf subalgebra of the triangular Hopf algebra ( $k[G_1]^J$ ,  $R^J$ ) [\[26\]](#page-36-7). Since ( $k[G_1]^J)_{\text{min}}$  is isomorphic to its dual with opposite coproduct (via  $R<sup>J</sup>$ ),  $(k[G<sub>1</sub>]<sup>J</sup>)<sub>min</sub>$  is cocommutative and commutative. This implies that  $(k[G_1]^J)_{\text{min}}$  is isomorphic to the group algebra  $k[A]$ of a commutative group scheme A. Therefore, there exists a twist

$$
J' \in (k[G_1]^J)_{\min} \otimes (k[G_1]^J)_{\min}
$$

such that

$$
R^J=R^{J'}.
$$

But this implies (exactly as in the proof of Proposition 3.4 in [\[10\]](#page-35-4)) that there exists a twist  $\widehat{J}$  for  $k[G_1]$  such that  $k[G_1]^J$  is isomorphic to  $k[G_1]^J$  as triangular Hopf algebras, and  $\hat{J} \in (k[G_1]^J)_{\text{min}} \otimes (k[G_1]^J)_{\text{min}}$ .

Thus, we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $J \in (k[G_1]^J)_{\text{min}}^{\otimes 2}$ . This implies that  $(k[G_1]^J)_{\text{min}} = k[A]$ , where A is a commutative closed group subscheme of  $G_1$ , and  $J \in k[A] \otimes k[A]$ .

**Proposition 7.2.** The closed group subscheme A is normal in  $G_1$  (i.e.,  $k[A]$  is invariant under the adjoint action Ad of  $k[G_1]$  on itself), and the action of the *group scheme*  $K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G_1/A$  *on* A *by conjugation preserves*  $R^J$ *.* 

*Proof.* By cocommutativity of  $k[G_1]^J$ ,

$$
J^{-1}\Delta(g)J = J_{21}^{-1}\Delta(g)J_{21} \text{ for all } g \in k[G_1],
$$

hence

$$
\Delta(g)R^J = R^J \Delta(g)
$$

(here we use that  $k[A]$  is commutative, so  $R^J = JJ_{21}^{-1}$ ). But then, using the cocommutativity of  $k[G_1]^J$  again, we get that  $R^J$  is invariant under the adjoint action of  $k[G_1]$ , i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{Ad}(g)R^J = \varepsilon(g)R^J \quad \text{for all } g \in k[G_1].
$$

Since the left (and right) tensorands of  $R<sup>J</sup>$  span  $k[A]$ , the result follows.  $\Box$ 

We can thus view J not only as a twist for  $k[A]$  but also as a 2-cocycle of  $A^D$ with values in  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , according to Proposition [6.8.](#page-27-1) For  $g \in K$  let us write  $J^g$  for the action of g on J. Since  $R^J$  is invariant under  $G_1$ ,  $J^g J^{-1} = J_{21}^g J_{21}^{-1}$ , which implies that the 2-cocycle  $J^g J^{-1}$ :  $A^D \times A^D \to \mathbb{G}_m$  is symmetric. Hence there exists a cochain

$$
z(g): A^D \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m
$$

(i.e., an invertible element in  $O(A^D) = k[A]$ ) such that

$$
J^g J^{-1} = dz(g).
$$

By identifying  $k[G_1]^J$  with  $k[G_2]$ , we can consider the morphism of schemes

$$
\varphi: G_1 \longrightarrow G_2,
$$
  

$$
\varphi(\gamma) \longmapsto z(\gamma)^{-1} \gamma,
$$

(where by  $z(y)$  we mean  $z(yA)$ ). Then the morphism  $\varphi$  is bijective (with inverse  $\varphi^{-1}(\gamma) = z(\gamma)\gamma$ ).

Finally, it is obvious from the definition of  $\varphi$  that

$$
\varphi(\gamma_1)\varphi(\gamma_2)=\tilde{b}(\bar{\gamma}_1,\bar{\gamma}_2)\varphi(\gamma_1\gamma_2),
$$

where

$$
\tilde{b}(g,h) = \frac{z(gh)}{z(g)} z(h)^g \in k[A]^{\times}.
$$

Furthermore, the morphism

$$
\tilde{b} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{b}(g, h) : A^D \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m
$$

is a group homomorphism, i.e.,  $b \in A$ , and it is clear that b is a 2-cocycle of K with coefficients in A. Let b be the cohomology class of  $\tilde{b}$  in  $H^2(K, A)$ . We have shown that

$$
\varphi(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2) = \varphi(\gamma_1)\varphi(\gamma_2),
$$

i.e., that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism of group schemes  $(G_1)_b \to G_2$ . This completes the proof of part 2) of Theorem [7.1,](#page-32-0) since by the definition of b we have  $b = \tau(\overline{R^J})$ .

Finally, part 1) is essentially obvious from the above. Namely, if  $G$  is a finite group scheme, A its commutative normal closed group subscheme,

$$
K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G/A
$$

and

$$
b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau(\bar{R}) \in H^2(K, A),
$$

then choose a twist  $J \in k[A]^{\otimes 2}$  such that  $R = J_{21}^{-1}J$  and get that  $k[G]^J$  is isomorphic as a Hopf algebra to  $k[G_b]$  (so the group schemes  $G$  and  $G_b$  are isocategorical). П

#### <span id="page-34-0"></span>**References**

- <span id="page-34-5"></span>[1] E. Abe, *Hopf algebras.* Translated from the Japanese by H. Kinoshita and H. Tanaka. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. 74, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York, 1980. [MR 0594432](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0594432) [Zbl 0476.16008](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0476.16008)
- <span id="page-34-2"></span>[2] E. Aljadeff, P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, and D. Nikshych, On twisting of finite-dimensional Hopf algebras. *J. of Alg.* **256** (2002), no. 2, 484–501. [MR 1939116](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1939116) [Zbl 1053.16026](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1053.16026)
- <span id="page-34-6"></span>[3] R. Bezrukavnikov, On tensor categories attached to cells in affine Weyl groups. In T. Shoji, M. Kashiwara, N. Kawanaka, G. Lusztig and K.-Ichi Shinoda (eds.), *Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups.* Papers from the conference held as the 10<sup>th</sup> International Research Institute of the Mathematical Society of Japan (MSJ-IRI) at Sophia University, Tokyo, August 1–10, 2001. Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, 40. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2004, 69–90. [MR 2074589](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2074589) [MR 2074585](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2074585) (collection) [Zbl 1078.20044](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1078.20044) [Zbl 1050.20001](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1050.20001) (collection)
- <span id="page-34-3"></span>[4] A. Davydov, Galois algebras and monoidal functors between categories of representations of finite groups. *J. of Alg.* **244** (2001), no. 1, 273–301. [MR 1856538](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1856538) [Zbl 1026.19003](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1026.19003)
- <span id="page-34-4"></span>[5] A. Davydov, Twisted automorphisms of group algebras. In S. Caenepeel, J. Fuchs, S. Gutt, Ch. Schweigert, A. Stolin, and F. Van Oystaeyen (eds.), *Noncommutative structures in mathematics and physics.* Papers from the congress held in Brussels, July 22–26, 2008. Koninklijke Vlaamse Academie van Belgie voor Wetenschappen en Kunsten (KVAB), Brussels, 2010, 131–150. [MR 2742735](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2742735) [MR 2742543](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2742543) (collection) [Zbl 1205.16027](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1205.16027) [Zbl 1192.00072](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1192.00072) (collection)
- <span id="page-34-1"></span>[6] P. Deligne, Categories Tannakiennes. In P. Cartier, L. Illusie, N. M. Katz, G. Laumon, Y. Manin, and K. A. Ribet (eds.), *The Grothendieck Festschrift.* Vol. II. A collection of articles written in honor of the 60<sup>th</sup> birthday of A. Grothendieck. Progress in Mathematics, 87. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, 111–195. [MR 1106898](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1106898) [MR 1106895](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1106895) (collection) [Zbl 0727.14010](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0727.14010) [Zbl 0717.00009](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0717.00009) (collection)

- <span id="page-35-11"></span>[7] P. Deligne and J. S. Milne, Tannakian categories. In P. Deligne, J. S. Milne, A. Ogus, Arthur, and K.-Y. Shih (eds.), *Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 900. Springer, Berlin etc., 1982, 101–228. [MR 0654325](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0654325) (collection) [Zbl 0477.14004](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0477.14004) [Zbl 0465.00010](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0465.00010) (collection)
- <span id="page-35-6"></span>[8] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *Tensor categories.* <http://www-math.mit.edu/~etingof/tenscat1.pdf>
- <span id="page-35-2"></span>[9] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki, The classification of triangular semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebras over an algebraically closed field. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2000** (2000), no. 5, 223–234. [MR 1747109](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1747109) [Zbl 0957.16029](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0957.16029)
- <span id="page-35-10"></span><span id="page-35-4"></span>[10] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki, Isocategorical groups. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2001** (2001), no. 2 59–76. [MR 1810480](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1810480) [Zbl 0988.20003](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0988.20003)
- <span id="page-35-9"></span>[11] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki, On cotriangular Hopf algebras. *Amer. J. Math.* **123** (2001), no. 4, 699–713. [MR 1844575](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1844575) [Zbl 0990.16030](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0990.16030)
- [12] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki, Quasisymmetric and unipotent tensor categories. *Math. Res. Lett.* **15** (2008), no. 5, 857-–866. [MR 2443987](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2443987) [Zbl 1168.18003](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1168.18003)
- <span id="page-35-0"></span>[13] P. Etingof and V. Ostrik, Finite tensor categories. *Mosc. Math. J.* **4** (2004), no. 3, 627–654, 782–783. [MR 2119143](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2119143) [Zbl 1077.18005](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1077.18005)
- <span id="page-35-3"></span>[14] S. Gelaki, Semisimple triangular Hopf algebras and Tannakian categories. In M. D. Fried and Y. Ihara (eds.), *Arithmetic fundamental groups and noncommutative algebra.* Proceedings of the von Neumann Conference held in Berkeley, CA, August 16–27, 1999. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, 70. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002, 497–515. [MR 1935419](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1935419) [MR 1934985](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1934985) (collection) [Zbl 1020.16026](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1020.16026) [Zbl 0993.00031](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0993.00031) (collection)
- <span id="page-35-12"></span>[15] C. Grunspan, Quantizations of the Witt algebra and of simple Lie algebras in characteristic p. *J. Algebra* **280** (2004), no. 1, 145–161. [MR 2081925](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2081925) [Zbl 1137.17303](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1137.17303)
- <span id="page-35-7"></span>[16] N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras.* Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 10. Interscience Publishers, New York and London, 1962. [MR 0143793](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0143793) [Zbl 0121.27504](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0121.27504)
- <span id="page-35-5"></span>[17] J. Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups.* 2nd ed. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 107. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2003. [MR 2015057](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2015057) [Zbl 1034.20041](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1034.20041)
- <span id="page-35-8"></span>[18] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Categories and sheaves.* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 332. Springer, Berlin, 2006. [MR 2182076](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2182076) [Zbl 1118.18001](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1118.18001)
- [19] S. Montgomery, *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings.* Expanded version of ten lectures given at the CBMS Conference on Hopf algebras and their actions on rings (University in Chicago, August 10–14, 1992). CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 82. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, D.C., by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1993. [MR 1243637](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1243637) [Zbl 0793.16029](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0793.16029)
- <span id="page-35-1"></span>[20] M. Movshev, Twisting in group algebras of finite groups. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **27** (1993), no. 4, 17–23, 95. In Russian. English transl., *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **27** (1993), no. 4, 240–244. [MR 1264314](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1264314) [Zbl 0813.20005](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0813.20005)
- <span id="page-36-4"></span><span id="page-36-0"></span>[21] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties.* Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, 5. Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, by Oxford University Press, London, 1970. [MR 0282985](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0282985) [Zbl 0223.14022](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0223.14022)
- <span id="page-36-6"></span><span id="page-36-5"></span>[22] C. Ohn, Quantum SL.3; C/'s with classical representation theory. *J. Algebra* **213** (1999), no. 2, 721–756. [MR 1673475](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1673475) [Zbl 0924.20036](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0924.20036)
- [23] C. Ohn, Quantum  $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ 's: the missing case. In S. Caenepeel, J. Fuchs, S. Gutt, Ch. Schweigert, A. Stolin, and F. Van Oystaeyen (eds.), *Noncommutative structures in mathematics and physics.* Papers from the congress held in Brussels, July 22–26, 2008. Koninklijke Vlaamse Academie van Belgie voor Wetenschappen en Kunsten (KVAB), Brussels, 2010, 245–255. [MR 2106933](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2106933) [MR 2742543](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2742543) (collection) [Zbl 1079.20064](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1079.20064) [Zbl 1192.00072](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1192.00072) (collection)
- <span id="page-36-1"></span>[24] V. Ostrik, Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants. *Transform. Groups* **8** (2003), no. 2, 177–206. [MR 1976459](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1976459) [Zbl 1044.18004](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1044.18004)
- <span id="page-36-9"></span>[25] A. Premet and S. Skryabin, Representations of restricted Lie algebras and families of associative L-algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **507** (1999), 189–218. [MR 1670211](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1670211) [Zbl 0978.17011](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0978.17011)
- <span id="page-36-8"></span><span id="page-36-7"></span>[26] D. E. Radford, Minimal quasitriangular Hopf algebras. J. Algebra **157** (1993), no. 2, 285–315. [MR 1220770](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1220770) [Zbl 0787.16028](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0787.16028)
- [27] H. Strade, Zur Darstellungstheorie von Lie-Algebren. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **52** (1982), 67–82. [MR 0710533](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0710533) [Zbl 0496.17007](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0496.17007)
- <span id="page-36-3"></span>[28] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner, *Modular Lie algebras and their representations.* Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 116. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988. [MR 0929682](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0929682) [Zbl 0648.17003](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0648.17003)
- <span id="page-36-2"></span>[29] W. Waterhouse, *Introduction to affine group schemes.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 66. Springer, Berlin etc., 1979. [MR 0547117](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0547117) [Zbl 0442.14017](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0442.14017)

Received September 5, 2012

Shlomo Gelaki, Department of Mathematics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel

e-mail: [gelaki@math.technion.ac.il](mailto:gelaki@math.technion.ac.il)