Partially dissipative systems in the critical regularity setting, and strong relaxation limit

Raphaël Danchin

Abstract. Many physical phenomena may be modeled by first order hyperbolic equations with degenerate dissipative or diffusive terms. This is the case for example in gas dynamics, where the mass is conserved during the evolution, but the momentum balance includes a diffusion (viscosity) or damping (relaxation) term, or, in numerical simulations, of conservation laws by relaxation schemes.

Such so-called *partially dissipative systems* have been first pointed out by S.K. Godunov in a short note in 1961. Much later, in 1984, S. Kawashima highlighted in his PhD thesis a simple criterion ensuring the existence of global strong solutions in the vicinity of a linearly stable constant state. This criterion has been revisited in a number of research works. In particular, K. Beauchard and E. Zuazua proposed in 2010 an explicit method for constructing a Lyapunov functional allowing to refine Kawashima's results and to establish global existence results in some situations that were not covered before.

These notes originate essentially from the PhD thesis of T. Crin-Barat that was initially motivated by an earlier observation of the author in a chapter of the handbook edited by Y. Giga and A. Novotný. Our main aim is to adapt the method of Beauchard and Zuazua to a class of symmetrizable quasilinear hyperbolic systems (containing the compressible Euler equations), in a critical regularity setting that allows to keep track of the dependence with respect to e.g. the relaxation parameter. Compared to Beauchard and Zuazua's work, we exhibit a 'damped mode' that will have a key role in the construction of global solutions with critical regularity, in the proof of optimal time-decay estimates and, last but not least, in the study of the strong relaxation limit. For simplicity, we here focus on a simple class of partially dissipative systems, but the overall strategy is rather flexible, and adaptable to much more general situations.

Introduction

An important recent mathematical literature has been devoted to the study of first order systems of conservation laws. These systems that come into play in the description of a number of phenomena in mechanics, physics or engineering typically read

$$\partial_t f^0(V) + \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_{x_k}(f^k(V)) = 0$$
 (1)

Keywords. Hyperbolic systems, critical regularity, relaxation limit, partially dissipative.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35Q35; Secondary 76N10.

where the vector-fields f^k , k = 0, ..., d are defined on some open subset \mathcal{O} of \mathbb{R}^n , and the unknown V depends on the time variable $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \triangleq [0, \infty)$ and on the space variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Under rather general conditions, for example whenever (1) is Friedrichs-symmetrizable, it is well known that for any \overline{V} in \mathcal{O} and initial data $V_0: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{O}$ such that $V_0 - \overline{V}$ belongs to some Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with s > 1 + d/2, then (1) supplemented with initial data V_0 admits a unique classical solution V on some time interval [-T, T], satisfying $(V - \overline{V}) \in \mathcal{C}_b([-T, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (the reader may find the detailed statement and the proof in e.g. [4, Chapter 10]). At the same time, for most systems of the above type, smooth solutions (even small ones) blow up after finite time.

In many applications however, friction or diffusion phenomena (through e.g. thermal conduction or viscosity) cannot be neglected. Typically, they act on some components of the unknown, while other components remain unaffected. An informative example is gas dynamics where the mass is conserved (as well as the entropy in the isentropic case). In order to have an accurate description corresponding to these situations, it is thus suitable to add in (1) zero (friction) or second (diffusion) order terms that act on a part of the unknown but, possibly, not on all components. The resulting class of systems is named, depending on the authors and on the context, hyperbolic-parabolic, partially diffusive or partially dissipative. It has been extensively studied since the pioneering work by S. Kawashima in his PhD thesis [24]. One of the main issues is to find as weak as possible conditions ensuring the existence of global solutions close to constant states, to describe their long time asymptotics and, where applicable, to study the convergence to some limit system.

Rather than writing out now the class of systems that enter in our study, let us give a simple example from multi-dimensional gas dynamics. In he barotropic and isothermal case, the governing equations then read:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho v) = 0 & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \partial_t(\varrho v) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho v \otimes v) + \nabla_x P = A(\varrho, v) & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$
(2)

Above, $\rho = \rho(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ stands for the density of the gas, and $v = v(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for the velocity. The pressure $P = P(\rho)$ is a given function of the density. A typical example is the *isentropic pressure law* $P(\rho) = a\rho^{\gamma}$ with a > 0 and $\gamma > 1$. The first equation corresponds to the mass conservation and the second one, to the momentum balance. We assume that the fluid domain is the whole space which, somehow, means that boundary effects are neglected. This is a fundamental assumption for our analysis, that strongly relies on Fourier methods.

Regarding A, the usual assumptions are:

- either *A* is identically zero: then (2) is the *barotropic compressible Euler equations* that is known to be Friedrichs-symmetrizable (again, refer e.g. to [4, Chapter 10]) and thus enters in the class considered in (1);
- or A(ρ, v) = f ρv for some f > 0 (this is the so-called *damped barotropic compressible* Euler equations, also named Euler equations with relaxation parameter ε if f = ε⁻¹);

 or A(ρ, v) = div_x(μ(ρ)(∇_xv +^t∇_xv)) + ∇_x(λ(ρ)div_xv) for some smooth functions λ and μ satisfying μ > 0 and λ + 2μ > 0 (then, (2) is the *barotropic compressible Navier–Stokes equations*).

It is by now well understood that in the first situation (neither viscosity nor damping), smooth initial data generate a local-in-time solution that is likely to blow up after finite time (see e.g. [1, 34]) whereas in the second and third situations, small and sufficiently smooth perturbations of a constant density state

$$(\overline{\varrho}, 0)$$
 with $\overline{\varrho} > 0$ and $P'(\overline{\varrho}) > 0$ (3)

produce global strong solutions that are defined for all positive times.

The good diffusive properties of the barotropic compressible Navier–Stokes equations in the whole space \mathbb{R}^3 (and, more generally, of the full nonisothermal polytropic system) have been first observed by A. Matsumura and T. Nishida at the end of the 1970s. In [28], they established the global existence of strong solutions for $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ perturbations of any constant state of type (3) (see [15] for a version of this result in the broader setting of 'critical Besov spaces'). An important achievement in the study of general first order partially dissipative symmetric hyperbolic systems having both terms of order 0 and 2 has been made by S. Kawashima in 1984, in his PhD thesis [24]. There, he exhibited a rather simple sufficient condition that is nowadays called *the* (SK) (*meaning Shizuta–Kawashima*) *condition* for global existence of strong solutions in the neighborhood of linearly stable constant solutions. In the case where there is only a 0-order partially dissipative term, Condition (SK) exactly means that for the linearized system, *the intersection between the kernel of the* 0-*order term and the set of all eigenvectors of the symmetric first order term is reduced to* {0}.

A bit later, S. Shizuta and S. Kawashima in [33] observed that Condition (SK) is equivalent to the fact that, in the Fourier space, the real parts of all eigenvalues of the matrix of the linearized system about the reference solution are strictly negative and also to the existence of a *compensating function*. That compensating function comes into play for working out a functional that is equivalent to a Sobolev norm of high order and allows to recover the optimal dissipative properties of the system. In the same paper, the authors pointed out that, if in addition of being in a Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with large enough *s*, the discrepancy of the initial data to the reference constant solution \overline{V} belongs to some Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p \in [1, 2)$, then the global solution *V* converges to \overline{V} in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the same decay rate as for the heat equation, namely $(1 + t)^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})}$, when *t* goes to infinity. Since then, more decay estimates have been proved under various assumptions in e.g. [5, 37, 40].

A number of more accurate results have been obtained for specific systems. For instance, T. Sideris et al. [35] considered the three-dimensional compressible Euler equations with damping and Y. Zeng [43] studied a particular class of 4×4 nonlinear hyperbolic system with relaxation. General partially (0-order) dissipative systems have been investigated by S. Kawashima and W.-A. Yong in [25, 26] and by W.-A. Yong in [42], and adapted to second order partially diffusive operators by V. Giovangigli et al. in [18, 19]. Recent works on general partially dissipative systems in the so-called critical functional framework (that will be recalled later in this text) have been performed by J. Xu and S. Kawashima [38–40].

It has also been observed by several authors that Condition (SK) is not necessary for the existence of global strong solutions. For instance, in [31], P. Qu and Y. Wang established a global existence result in the case where exactly one eigenvector violates Condition (SK). Toward this direction, one can also mention the paper by R. Bianchini and R. Natalini [6] that uses nonresonant bilinear forms, and the recent work [8] dedicated to the mathematical study of a model of mixture of compressible fluids.

The strength of Shizuta and Kawashima's approach is that it does not require to compute explicitly the Green function of the linearized system under consideration. Although doing this calculation for the damped barotropic Euler equations presented above is not an issue, computing the Green kernel associated to the corresponding linearized system in the nonisothermal case is already more involved, and it soon becomes impossible for more cumbersome systems (like e.g. systems related to the description of plasma or radiative phenomena, see e.g. [16]). As said before, having a 'compensating function' at hand allows to construct an energy functional that encodes the dissipative properties of the system. In Shizuta and Kawashima's work however, this functional is not so explicit, that makes difficult, if not impossible, to track the dependency of the solution with respect to the parameters of the system, when applicable. Another limitation is that it only provides estimates on the whole solution, without supplying more accurate information on the part of the solution which is expected to experience a better dissipation.

In [3], K. Beauchard and E. Zuazua took advantage of techniques that originate from Kalman control theory for linear ODEs so as to construct explicit Lyapunov functionals for general partially dissipative systems of order 1. They also pointed out the connection between Condition (SK) and the Kalman criterion for observability in the theory of linear ODEs (this was also noticed by D. Serre in his unpublished lecture notes [32]). To some extent, Beauchard and Zuazua's approach may be interpreted in the broader framework of hypo-ellipticity as presented by L. Hörmander in [22] or, much more recently, by C. Villani in [36]. To keep these notes as elementary and short as possible, we refrain from looking deeper into this direction, though.

Although it is not mentioned in the construction of a Lyapunov functional, Beauchard and Zuazua's approach provides for free compensating functions. Furthermore, the construction is elementary (it suffices to compute at most n powers of matrices) and easily localizable in the Fourier space. Hence, at the linear level, keeping track of the different behavior of the low and of the high frequencies of the solution is obvious. Their method further allows to handle some systems that do not satisfy Condition (SK) (but we shall not investigate this interesting issue here).

The present lecture notes aim at familiarizing the reader with the Beauchard–Zuazua approach and recent updates that originate from the thesis of T. Crin-Barat and were published in [10-12]. As our aim is not to provide the reader with an exhaustive theory of

partially dissipative hyperbolic systems but rather to present a clear road map allowing him to tackle efficiently the study of systems of this type, we shall focus on the following 'academic' class of partially dissipative hyperbolic systems:

$$\partial_t V + \sum_{k=1}^d A^k(V) \partial_k V = \varepsilon^{-1} H(V).$$
(4)

Above, the (smooth) functions A^k (k = 1, ..., d) and H are defined on some open subset \mathcal{O} of \mathbb{R}^n , and have range in the set of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices, and in \mathbb{R}^n , respectively. The unknown V = V(t, x) depends on the time variable $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and on the space variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \ge 1$). We fix a constant solution $\overline{V} \in \mathcal{O}$ of (4) (hence $H(\overline{V}) = 0$). The system is supplemented with initial data $V_0 \in \mathcal{O}$ at time t = 0, that are sufficiently close to \overline{V} . Finally, the relaxation parameter ε is a given positive parameter that, except in Section 4, is taken equal to 1.

A basic example of a physical system in the above class is the compressible Euler equations with isentropic pressure law $P(\varrho) = a\varrho^{\gamma}$, if rewritten in terms of the (renormalized) sound speed

$$c \triangleq \frac{(\gamma A)^{1/2}}{\widetilde{\gamma}}(\varrho)^{\widetilde{\gamma}}$$
 with $\widetilde{\gamma} \triangleq \frac{\gamma - 1}{2}$.

Indeed, the pair (c, v) then satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t c + v \cdot \nabla c + \widetilde{\gamma} c \operatorname{div} v = 0 & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \widetilde{\gamma} c \nabla c + \varepsilon^{-1} v = 0 & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$
(5)

Under the so-called Condition (SK) (presented in the next section) that is satisfied in particular by (5), we shall prove the existence of global strong solutions with 'critical regularity' for (4) in the neighborhood of any constant solution \overline{V} (see Theorems 2.1 and 2.2). Then, we shall obtain the strong convergence to \overline{V} in the long time asymptotics with explicit decay rates (Theorem 3.1). In Section 4, we shall investigate the strong relaxation limit, that is the convergence of the solutions of (4) to some limit system. Let us shortly explain what we mean in the simple case of the compressible Euler equations. Making the following 'diffusive' rescaling:

$$(\varrho, v)(t, x) = (\tilde{\varrho}, \varepsilon \tilde{v})(\varepsilon t, x),$$

we see that the pair $(\tilde{\varrho}, \tilde{v})$ satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\tau} \widetilde{\varrho} + \operatorname{div}(\widetilde{\varrho} \widetilde{v}) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \\ \varepsilon^{2} \partial_{\tau}(\widetilde{\varrho} \widetilde{v}) + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}(\widetilde{\varrho} \widetilde{v} \otimes \widetilde{v}) + \nabla(P(\widetilde{\varrho})) + \widetilde{\varrho} \widetilde{v} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, formally, if $\tilde{\varrho}$ and \tilde{v} tend to some functions N and w, then the second equation above yields

$$\nabla(P(N)) + Nw = 0$$

which, plugged in the mass conservation equation, leads to the so-called porous media equation

$$\partial_{\tau} N - \Delta(P(N)) = 0. \tag{6}$$

The rigorous justification of the convergence of the density to a solution of (6) has been first carried out by S. Junca and M. Rascle [23] in the one-dimensional case where specific techniques may be used. In the multi-dimensional case, the weak convergence and the strong convergence on bounded subsets of \mathbb{R}^d have been proved by J.-F. Coulombel and C. Lin in [27], and by Z. Wang and J. Xu in [41]. Results in the same spirit for a class of partially dissipative hyperbolic systems have been obtained by Y.-J. Peng and V. Wasiolek in [30]. The approach that is proposed in the present lecture notes allows to get the *strong convergence in the whole space with explicit convergence rates for suitable norms* when the relaxation parameter tends to zero not only for the Euler equations, but also for a class of partially hyperbolic systems (see Theorem 4.1).

It should be noted that, at the linear level, the method that has been originally proposed by K. Beauchard and E. Zuazua in [3] works exactly the same for partial differential operators of any order α and β with $\alpha \neq \beta$ (and, more generally, for homogeneous Fourier multipliers) provided one of them is skew-symmetric and the other one, nonnegative. We will enrich this method by exhibiting a 'damped mode' for low frequencies, first introduced in [12] and [11] to the best of our knowledge. This the key to an optimal treatment of the low frequencies of the solution in a critical framework. With almost no additional effort, assuming a bit more integrability on the initial data (expressed in terms of negative Besov spaces like in the work [40] by J. Xu and S. Kawashima), and arguing essentially as in the paper by Y. Guo and Y. Wang [21], we will derive optimal time decay estimates, pointing out better decay for the high frequencies of the solution and for the damped mode. It turns out that adopting a critical approach with different levels of regularity for low and high frequencies also allows to keep track of the relaxation parameter ε just by suitable space/time rescaling. This substantially simplifies the study of the strong relaxation limit. Here again, having a damped mode at hand plays an essential role.

Except for our linear analysis, we here concentrate on first order hyperbolic symmetric systems with a partial dissipation term of order 0. The class that is considered contains the isentropic Euler equations with relaxation. We expect the whole strategy modified accordingly to be adaptable to hyperbolic-parabolic systems, to operators of any order and to more complex situations where the partially dissipative terms have mixed orders (see recent examples in [16] and [8]). It would also be of interest to study to what extent it may be adapted to situations where pseudo-differential operators depending on the space variable come into play. Since we used mostly Fourier analysis in our investigations, most of our results can be adapted to periodic boundary conditions in one or several directions, leading to the same statements in the first three sections (the strong relaxation limit studied in Section 4 may be different since the rescaling we used there changes the size of the periodic box). Handling 'physical' boundaries requires completely different tools, and we have no opinion on whether similar results are true or not.

The rest of these notes unfolds as follows. In the next section, we present Beauchard and Zuazua's approach for linear partially dissipative hyperbolic systems with operators of any orders. This enables us to deduce quite easily global-in-time a priori estimates in 'hybrid' Besov spaces with different regularity exponents for low and high frequencies. We also exhibit a damped mode, the low frequencies of which satisfy better decay estimates and point out that, under additional structure conditions on the system, it is possible to use without much effort an L^p functional framework for the low frequencies. The following sections focus on the nonlinear system (4). In Section 2, we prove global-in-time results while time decay estimates are established in Section 3. In Section 4, we prove strong convergence results when the relaxation parameter ε tends to 0 for partially dissipative systems having the same structure as the isentropic compressible Euler equations with damping. A few technical results are recalled or proved in the appendix.

1. The linear analysis

To better understand the difference between the three model situations corresponding to system (2), having first a look at the linearized equations about $(\overline{\rho}, 0)$ is very informative. After suitable renormalization, the system to be considered reads:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \partial_t u + \nabla a + \kappa (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u = 0 & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$
(7)

The above cases correspond to $(\kappa, \beta) = (0, 0)$, $(\kappa, \beta) = (f, 0)$ with f > 0 or $(\kappa, \beta) = (\mu(\overline{\varrho}), 2)$ (in the special situation $\lambda(\overline{\varrho}) + \mu(\overline{\varrho}) = 0$ the general case being similar), respectively.

If $\kappa = 0$, then system (7) is purely first order hyperbolic and no diffusion or dissipative phenomenon is expected whatsoever since all Sobolev norms are constant in time. In the multi-dimensional case, *dispersive* phenomena of wave equation type do exist, but they concern only the density and the potential part of the velocity (they will not be discussed here).

Let us focus on the case $\kappa > 0$ and $\beta \neq 1$ (not necessarily equal to 0 or 2). After suitable rescaling, one can then suppose that $\kappa = 1$. In the Fourier variable ξ corresponding to the physical space variable *x*, the above system (7) rewrites

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{u} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\xi \\ i^t \xi & |\xi|^\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{u} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
(8)

The long-time behavior of the solution (a, u) strongly depends on the eigenvalues of the $(d + 1) \times (d + 1)$ matrix of system (8). The eigenvalue $|\xi|^{\beta}$ appears with multiplicity d - 1 (this corresponds to the 'incompressible' part of the velocity field). The remaining two eigenvalues $\lambda^{\pm}(\xi)$ capture the coupling between *a* and the 'compressible'

part of *u*, and may be computed by considering the following 2×2 reduced system satisfied by *a* and $v \triangleq (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \text{div}v$, namely, if $\kappa = 1$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + (-\Delta)^{1/2} \upsilon = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \partial_t \upsilon - (-\Delta)^{1/2} a + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \upsilon = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding matrix in the Fourier space reads $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\xi| \\ -|\xi| & |\xi|^{\beta} \end{pmatrix}$.

Two different situations occur depending on whether β is smaller or greater than 1:

• The 'dissipative' situation $\beta < 1$:

$$\begin{split} \lambda^{\pm}(\xi) &= \frac{|\xi|^{\beta}}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4|\xi|^{2(1-\beta)}} \right) & \text{if } |\xi|^{1-\beta} < 1/2, \\ \lambda^{\pm}(\xi) &= \frac{|\xi|^{\beta}}{2} \left(1 \pm i \sqrt{4|\xi|^{2(1-\beta)} - 1} \right) & \text{if } |\xi|^{1-\beta} > 1/2. \end{split}$$

Observe that for $\xi \to 0$, we have $\lambda^+(\xi) \sim |\xi|^{\beta}$ (parabolic behavior similar to that of $(-\Delta)^{\beta/2}$) while $\lambda^-(\xi) \sim |\xi|^{2-\beta}$ (parabolic behavior of type $(-\Delta)^{1-\beta/2}$).

• The 'diffusive' situation $\beta > 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{\pm}(\xi) &= \frac{|\xi|^{\beta}}{2} \left(1 \pm i \sqrt{4|\xi|^{2(1-\beta)} - 1} \right) & \text{if } |\xi|^{\beta-1} < 1/2, \\ \lambda^{\pm}(\xi) &= \frac{|\xi|^{\beta}}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4|\xi|^{2(1-\beta)}} \right) & \text{if } |\xi|^{\beta-1} > 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

For $\xi \to \infty$, we have $\lambda^+(\xi) \sim |\xi|^{\beta}$ (parabolic behavior like for $(-\Delta)^{\beta/2}$) while $\lambda^-(\xi) \sim |\xi|^{2-\beta}$ (parabolic behavior similar to that of $(-\Delta)^{1-\beta/2}$).

At the linear level, the damped Euler equations and the compressible Navier–Stokes equations correspond to the dissipative and diffusive situations, respectively. We observe that, in the two cases, the whole solution decays to 0 with a decay rate that depends on $|\xi|$ although there is no damping term in the linearized mass equation. Note however that, depending on whether $\beta < 1$ or $\beta > 1$, the behavior of the low and high frequencies of the solution is exchanged.

Let us revert to our model system (4) with $\varepsilon = 1$ for simplicity, namely

$$\partial_t V + \sum_{k=1}^d A^k(V) \partial_k V = H(V).$$
(9)

Let us fix a constant solution \overline{V} of (9) (that is, $\overline{V} \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfies $H(\overline{V}) = 0$) and make the following structure assumptions on the system:

- (H1) For all $V \in \mathcal{O}$, the matrices $A^k(V)$ are real symmetric;
- (H2) The spectrum of $DH(\overline{V})$ is included in the set $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0\}$.

In the case $H \equiv 0$ (no dissipation at all) smooth solutions, even small ones, may blow up after finite time. At the exact opposite, if the spectrum of $DH(\overline{V})$ is included in the set $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}z < 0\}$ then it is not difficult to show that small perturbations of \overline{V} in the Sobolev space H^s with s > 1 + d/2 generate global strong solutions that tend exponentially fast to \overline{V} when time goes to infinity. We here address the intermediate situation where some eigenvalues of $DH(\overline{V})$ vanish. For expository purpose, we assume that H is linear and has the block structure:

$$H(V) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -L_2(V_2 - \overline{V}) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where $V_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, $V_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ (with $n_1 + n_2 = n$) and $L_2: \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ is linear invertible and such that $L_2 + {}^tL_2$ is definite positive. Additional structure assumptions on L_2 and on the matrices A^k will be specified later on.

1.1. Reduction of the problem

Denoting $Z \stackrel{\Delta}{=} V - \overline{V}$ and $LZ \stackrel{\Delta}{=} -H(\overline{V} + Z)$ (with *H* as in (10)), the system for *Z* reads

$$\partial_t Z + \sum_{k=1}^d A^k (\overline{V} + Z) \partial_k Z + LZ = 0, \tag{11}$$

and the corresponding linearized system is thus

$$\partial_t Z + \sum_{k=1}^d \bar{A}^k \partial_k Z + LZ = F$$
 with $\bar{A}^k \triangleq A^k(\bar{V})$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$. (12)

In the Fourier space, the above system recasts in

$$\partial_t \hat{Z} + i \sum_{k=1}^d \bar{A}^k \xi_k \hat{Z} + L \hat{Z} = \hat{F}.$$

The symmetry of the matrices \overline{A}^{j} ensures that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, the matrix

$$A(\xi) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} i \sum_{k=1}^{d} \bar{A}^{k} \xi_{k} \tag{13}$$

is skew Hermitian, while the symmetric part of L is nonnegative. Denoting by A(D) (resp. B(D)) the Fourier multiplier of symbol¹ A (resp. L), system (12) rewrites

$$\partial_t Z + A(D)Z + B(D)Z = F.$$
(14)

¹Throughout the text, we agree that $A(D) \triangleq \mathcal{F}^{-1}A\mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{F} stands for the Fourier transform with respect to the variable x.

The analysis we present below is valid in the more general situation where:

• A(D) is a homogeneous (matrix-valued) Fourier multiplier of degree α that satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}(A(\omega)\eta \cdot \eta) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \text{ and } \eta \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$
(15)

where \cdot designates the Hermitian scalar product in \mathbb{C}^n ,

• B(D) is a homogeneous (matrix-valued) Fourier multiplier of degree $\beta \neq \alpha$ such that, for some positive real number κ ,

$$\operatorname{Re}(B(\omega)\eta\cdot\eta) \ge \kappa |B(\omega)\eta|^2 \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \text{ and } \eta \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$
(16)

As a first example, we consider the linearized damped compressible Euler equations about $(\varrho, v) = (1, 0)$ in the case P'(1) = 1, namely

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + \operatorname{div} u = f & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \partial_t u + \nabla a + \mathfrak{f} u = g & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$
(17)

Then, we have $n_1 = 1$, $n_2 = d$, and the Fourier multipliers A and B read

$$A(\xi) = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi \\ t \xi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $B(\xi) = f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_d \end{pmatrix}$.

They are of order 1 and 0, respectively. Clearly, (15) holds true, as well as (16) with $\kappa = f^{-1}$.

As a second example, consider the linearized compressible Navier–Stokes equations about $(\varrho, v) = (1, 0)$. Denoting $\overline{\mu} \triangleq \mu(\overline{\varrho})$ and $\overline{\lambda} \triangleq \lambda(\overline{\varrho})$, they read

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + \operatorname{div} u = f & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \partial_t u + \nabla a - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \operatorname{div} u = g & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$
(18)

We still have $n_1 = 1$, $n_2 = d$, but the Fourier multipliers A and B now read

$$A(\xi) = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi \\ t\xi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\mu} |\xi|^2 I_d + (\overline{\lambda} + \overline{\mu}) \xi \otimes \xi \end{pmatrix}.$$

They are of order 1 and 2, respectively. Both properties (15) and (16) hold true (with κ depending on $\overline{\lambda}$ and $\overline{\mu}$) provided $\overline{\mu} > 0$ and $\overline{\lambda} + 2\overline{\mu} > 0$.

System (14) may be solved by means of Duhamel's formula:

$$Z(t) = T(t)Z(0) + \int_0^t T(t-\tau)F(\tau) \, d\,\tau,$$
(19)

where $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ stands for the semi-group associated to operator -(A + B)(D).

The value of T(t) may be computed in the Fourier space. Indeed, denote by \hat{Z} the Fourier transform of Z with respect to x, and by ξ the corresponding Fourier variable. Then, in the case F = 0, system (14) rewrites:

$$\partial_t \hat{Z} + E(\xi)\hat{Z} = 0$$
 with $E(\xi) \triangleq A(\xi) + B(\xi)$.

Hence, $\hat{Z}(t,\xi) = \exp(-E(\xi)t)\hat{Z}_0(\xi)$. In other words, we have $T(t) = \exp(-E(D)t)$.

In what follows we set for all $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\rho > 0$,

$$A(\xi) = \rho^{\alpha} A_{\omega}$$
 and $B(\xi) = \kappa^{-1} \rho^{\beta} B_{\omega}$ with $\xi = \rho \omega$. (20)

With this notation, we have

$$\widehat{Z}(t,\xi) = \widehat{Z}(0,\xi) \exp\left(-\frac{t\rho^{\beta}}{\kappa} \left(\kappa \rho^{\alpha-\beta} A_{\omega} + B_{\omega}\right)\right).$$
(21)

Making the change of variable $\tau \triangleq (t\rho^{\beta})/\kappa$ and $r \triangleq \kappa \rho^{\alpha-\beta}$, we discover that $z(\tau) \triangleq \hat{Z}(t,\xi)$ is the solution to

$$z' + E_{r,\omega}z = 0$$
 with $E_{r,\omega} \triangleq rA_{\omega} + B_{\omega}$ (22)

since we have

$$z(\tau) = z(0) \exp(-\tau E_{r,\omega}).$$

Hence, the case $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$ and $\kappa = 1$, is generic at the linear level.

1.2. Derivation of a Lyapunov functional

The long-time behavior of z is closely connected to the sign of the real part of the eigenvalues of the matrix $E_{r,\omega}$ defined in (22). The method proposed by K. Beauchard and E. Zuazua in [3] (see also [13, 14]), that is inspired by Kalman's control theory for linear ODEs supplies a simple way for constructing an explicit Lyapunov functional and an optimal dissipation term altogether *without computing the eigenvalues*.

To explain the construction, fix some r > 0 and $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, and consider the ODE (22) satisfied by *z*. Combining the assumptions (15) and (16) with the renormalization (20) ensures that

$$\operatorname{Re}((A_{\omega}\eta)\cdot\eta) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re}((B_{\omega}\eta)\cdot\eta) \ge |B_{\omega}\eta|^2 \quad \text{for all } (\omega,\eta) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{C}^n.$$
(23)

Hence, taking the Hermitian product in \mathbb{C}^n of (22) with z and keeping the real part yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|z|^2 + |B_{\omega}z|^2 \le 0.$$
(24)

If B_{ω} has rank strictly smaller than *n*, then the above inequality does not ensure decay of all the components of *z* (even though this decay exists whenever r > 0 and $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ are such that the real parts of all the eigenvalues of the matrix $E_{r,\omega}$ are positive). To recover the decay (if any) of the 'missing components' of the solution, one can start with the identity

$$(B_{\omega}z)' + (rB_{\omega}A_{\omega} + B_{\omega}^2)z = 0.$$

Hence, taking the Hermitian product with BAz (we drop the index ω for better readability), we obtain

$$Bz' \cdot BAz + r|BAz|^2 + B^2z \cdot BAz = 0.$$

Similarly, we have

$$Bz \cdot BAz' + rBz \cdot BA^2z + Bz \cdot B^2Az = 0,$$

whence

$$\frac{d}{dt}(Bz \cdot BAz) + r|BAz|^2 + B^2 z \cdot BAz + Bz \cdot B^2 Az = -rBz \cdot BA^2 z.$$

Remembering (24) and using several times the obvious inequality

$$2\text{Re}(a \cdot b) \le K|a|^2 + K^{-1}|b|^2$$

with suitable values of K, we discover that one can find some ε_1 (that can be taken arbitrarily small) such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} (|z|^2 + \varepsilon_1 \min(r, r^{-1}) \operatorname{Re}(Bz \cdot BAz)) + |Bz|^2 + \varepsilon_1 \min(1, r^2) |BAz|^2 \le C \varepsilon_1 \min(1, r^2) |BA^2z|^2.$$
(25)

In the case $BA^2 \neq 0$, we need (at least) one more relation to handle the term in the right-hand side. For that, one can start from the equation

$$(BAz)' + (rBA^2 + BAB)z = 0$$

and take the Hermitian scalar product with BA^2z , adding up the resulting identity multiplied by a small enough ε_2 to (25), then iterate the procedure. The fundamental observation of Beauchard and Zuazua in [3] is that Cayley–Hamilton theorem ensures the existence of complex numbers c_0, \ldots, c_{n-1} so that

$$A^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k A^k.$$

Consequently, one can end the process after at most *n* steps. In the end, we get positive parameters $\varepsilon_0 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$ (that are defined inductively and can be taken arbitrarily small) such that for all $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and r > 0, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}L_{r,\omega}(z) + \frac{\min(1,r^2)}{2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_\ell |B_\omega A_\omega^\ell z|^2 \le 0$$

with

$$L_{r,\omega}(z) \triangleq |z|^2 + \min(r, r^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{\ell} \operatorname{Re}(B_{\omega} A_{\omega}^{\ell-1} z \cdot B_{\omega} A_{\omega}^{\ell} z)$$
(26)

and, additionally,

$$\frac{1}{2}|z|^2 \le L_{r,\omega}(z) \le 2|z|^2.$$
(27)

Denoting

$$N_{\omega} \triangleq \inf \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{\ell} |B_{\omega} A_{\omega}^{\ell} x|^2, \ x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \right\},\$$

we conclude from (26) and (27) that

 $L_{r,\omega}(\tau) \le e^{-\frac{1}{4}\min(1,r^2)N_{\omega}\tau}L_{r,\omega}(0), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \ r > 0.$ (28)

In the particular case where

$$N_{\omega} > 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$
 (29)

(the only situation that will be considered in these notes) then N_{ω} is actually bounded away from zero owing to the compactness of the sphere. Hence, (28) implies that there exists a positive constant *c* such that for all r > 0 and $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, we have

$$L_{r,\omega}(\tau) \le e^{-2c\min(1,r^2)\tau} L_{r,\omega}(0), \quad \tau \ge 0.$$

Then, using once more (27) and reverting to the original unknown \hat{Z} , we conclude that

$$|\hat{Z}(t,\xi)| \le 2e^{-c\min(\kappa^{-1}|\xi|^{\beta},\kappa|\xi|^{2\alpha-\beta})t} |\hat{Z}_{0}(\xi)|.$$
(30)

In other words, if (29) holds, then

- either α > β, and we are in a partially dissipative regime similar to that of linearized compressible Euler equations,
- or α < β, and we are in a partially diffusive regime analogous to that of the linearized compressible Navier–Stokes equations.

It has been pointed out in [3] that (29) is equivalent to the Shizuta–Kawashima condition. The following lemma stresses the link between those two conditions, the strict dissipativity of system (11) and Kalman's condition for observability.

Lemma 1.1. Let A and B be two $n \times n$ complex valued matrices. Assume that A is skewsymmetric in the meaning of (15) and that B is nonnegative in the sense of (16). The following properties are equivalent:

(1) For all positive $\varepsilon_0, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{\ell} |BA^{\ell}\eta|^2 > 0 \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

(2) The Kalman rank property holds, namely the $n^2 \times n$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} B\\ BA\\ \vdots\\ BA^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank equal to n.

- (3) The (SK) condition holds, namely the intersection between ker B and the set of all eigenvectors of A is reduced to {0}.
- (4) All eigenvalues of A + B have positive real parts.

Proof. The equivalence between the first three items is basic linear algebra (see details in e.g. [3]), while inequality (28) (with $A_{\omega} = A$, $B_{\omega} = B$ and r = 1) ensures equivalence with the last item.

As an example, let us again consider the linearized compressible Euler equations (17). As said before, (15) and (16) are satisfied with $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$, $\kappa = f^{-1}$. Furthermore, we have

$$A_{\omega} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega \\ t_{\omega} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $B_{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_d \end{pmatrix}$, so that $B_{\omega}A_{\omega} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{\omega} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Hence, $\begin{pmatrix} B_{\omega} \\ B_{\omega}A_{\omega} \end{pmatrix}$ has rank d + 1 and Kalman rank condition is thus satisfied, which gives eventually

$$|\widehat{Z}(t,\xi)| \le 2e^{-c\min(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f}^{-1}|\xi|^2)t} |\widehat{Z}_0(\xi)| \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } t \ge 0.$$

Since we do not need higher powers of A_{ω} to ensure the Kalman rank condition, one can suspect that one can restrict the sum in the definition of the Lyapunov function $L_{r,\omega}$ to only one term ($\ell = 1$). Now, the reader may observe by direct computation that $B_{\omega}A_{\omega}^2 = A_{\omega}^2 B_{\omega}$. Hence,

$$-B_{\omega}z \cdot B_{\omega}A_{\omega}^{2}z = -B_{\omega}z \cdot A_{\omega}^{2}B_{\omega}z \leq C|B_{\omega}z|^{2}$$

and taking ε_1 sufficiently small in (25) allows to just have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(|z|^2 + \varepsilon_1 \min(r, r^{-1})\operatorname{Re}(B_{\omega}z \cdot B_{\omega}A_{\omega}z)) + |B_{\omega}z|^2 + \varepsilon_1 \min(1, r^2)|B_{\omega}A_{\omega}z|^2 \le 0.$$

One can be more explicit : since $z = (\hat{a}, \hat{u})$ and $\xi = r\omega$, we have

$$\min(r, r^{-1}) B_{\omega} z \cdot B_{\omega} A_{\omega} z = \min(1, |\xi|^{-2}) (\widehat{u} \cdot (i\xi) \widehat{a}) = \min(1, |\xi|^{-2}) (\widehat{u} \cdot (\widehat{D}a)).$$

Hence, the Lyapunov functional is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}(\xi) = |\hat{a}|^2 + |\hat{u}|^2 + \varepsilon_1 \min(1, |\xi|^{-2}) \operatorname{Re}(\hat{u} \cdot (\hat{D}a)).$$

Combining with the Fourier–Plancherel theorem, one can conclude that in order to recover the full dissipative properties of the linearized compressible Euler equations, it suffices to consider the functional

$$||a||_{L^{2}}^{2} + ||u||_{L^{2}}^{2} + \varepsilon_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u \cdot (\mathrm{Id} - \Delta)^{-1} \nabla a \, dx$$

with suitably small ε_1 or, rather, spectrally localized versions of it.

Similar computations are valid for the linearized compressible Navier–Stokes equations (18). The reader may find more details in [15].

1.3. Derivation of a priori estimates

Let us assume from now on that $\kappa = 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ in (14) (since the general case $\alpha \neq \beta$ reduces to that one). Recall Duhamel's formula (19). Combining with (30), we get

$$|\hat{Z}(t,\xi)| \leq 2 \bigg(e^{-c \min(1,|\xi|^2)t} |\hat{Z}_0(\xi)| + \int_0^t e^{-c \min(1,|\xi|^2)(t-\tau)} |\hat{F}(\tau,\xi)| \, d\tau \bigg).$$

Clearly, if one wants to get optimal estimates then low and high frequencies have to be treated differently. To proceed, we shall resort to the dyadic decomposition of the Fourier space provided by some dyadic homogeneous Littlewood–Paley decomposition $(\dot{\Delta}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $\dot{\Delta}_j \triangleq \varphi(2^{-j}D)$. Here, φ is a smooth nonnegative function on \mathbb{R}^d , supported in (say) the annulus { $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $3/4 \le |\xi| \le 8/3$ } and satisfying

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \neq 0.$$

By construction, $\dot{\Delta}_j$ is a localization operator in the vicinity of frequencies of magnitude 2^j . Since $\dot{\Delta}_j$ commutes with any Fourier multiplier, each $Z_j \triangleq \dot{\Delta}_j Z$ satisfies (14) with source term $F_j \triangleq \dot{\Delta}_j F$ and initial data $Z_{0,j} \triangleq \dot{\Delta}_j Z_0$. Therefore, we have

$$Z_{j}(t) = T(t)Z_{j,0} + \int_{0}^{t} T(t-\tau)F_{j}(\tau) d\tau.$$

Hence, as $|\xi| \simeq 2^j$ on Supp \hat{Z}_j , we have (changing slightly *c* if needed),

$$|\hat{Z}_{j}(t,\xi)| \leq 2 \bigg(e^{-c\min(1,2^{2j})t} |\hat{Z}_{j,0}(\xi)| + \int_{0}^{t} e^{-c\min(1,2^{2j})(t-\tau)} |\hat{F}_{j}(\tau,\xi)| \, d\,\tau \bigg).$$

Consequently, after taking the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm of both sides, then using Minkowski inequality and Fourier–Plancherel theorem, we end up with

$$\|Z_{j}(t)\|_{L^{2}} \leq 2\left(e^{-c\min(1,2^{2j})t}\|Z_{0,j}\|_{L^{2}} + \int_{0}^{t} e^{-c\min(1,2^{2j})(t-\tau)}\|F_{j}(\tau)\|_{L^{2}} d\tau\right),$$

whence

$$\|Z_{j}(t)\|_{L^{2}} + c \min(1, 2^{2j}) \int_{0}^{t} \|Z_{j}\|_{L^{2}} d\tau \leq 2 \bigg(\|Z_{0,j}\|_{L^{2}} + \int_{0}^{t} \|F_{j}(\tau)\|_{L^{2}} d\tau \bigg).$$
(31)

At this stage, two important observations are in order. First, note that

$$||Z||_{\dot{H}^s} \simeq \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2js} ||Z_j||_{L^2}^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence, in order to get a Sobolev estimate of Z, it suffices to multiply (31) by 2^{js} then to perform an ℓ^2 -summation on $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, the second term of (31) will not exactly

give an estimate in some space $L^1(0,t; \dot{H}^{\sigma})$ since the time integration has been performed *before* the summation with respect to *j*: one ends up in one of the Chemin–Lerner (or 'tilde') spaces that have been introduced in [9]. They turn out to be delicate to manipulate and not adapted to the critical regularity setting we have in mind.

The second observation is that, owing to the factor $min(1, 2^{2j})$, in order to track as much information as possible, it is suitable to work with *different* regularity exponents for low and high frequencies.

Putting the two observations together, this motivates us to multiply (31) by 2^{js} with a different value of the 'regularity exponent' *s* for negative and positive *j*'s, then to perform an ℓ^1 summation with respect to *j*. The advantage of ℓ^1 summation – that corresponds to Besov norms with last index 1 – is that one can freely exchange time integration and summation on *j*. Taking into account the possible difference of regularity between the low and high frequencies leads us to introduce for all pair $(s, s') \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the hybrid Besov space $\tilde{B}_{2,1}^{s,s'}$, that is the set of all tempered distributions *z* such that

$$\|z\|_{\widetilde{B}^{s,s'}_{2,1}} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sum_{j<0} 2^{js} \|\dot{\Delta}_j z\|_{L^2} + \sum_{j\geq 0} 2^{js'} \|\dot{\Delta}_j z\|_{L^2} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \to -\infty} \|\chi(2^{-j}D)z\|_{L^{\infty}} = 0.$$
(32)

Above, χ stands for a compactly supported smooth function on \mathbb{R}^d such that $\chi(0) = 1$, and the condition on $\chi(2^{-j}D)z$ implies that z has to tend to 0 at ∞ in the sense of tempered distributions². Classical homogeneous Besov spaces correspond to s = s' and will be denoted by $\dot{B}_{2,1}^s$.

In what follows, it will be sometimes convenient to use the following notation for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$||z||_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\sigma}}^{\ell} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sum_{j<0} 2^{j\sigma} ||\dot{\Delta}_j z||_{L^2} \text{ and } ||z||_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\sigma}}^{h} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sum_{j\geq 0} 2^{j\sigma} ||\dot{\Delta}_j z||_{L^2}$$

Even though most of the functions we shall consider here will have range in the set of vectors or even matrices, we shall keep the same notation for Besov spaces pertaining to this case.

Now, multiplying (31) by 2^{js} (resp. $2^{js'}$) for j < 0 (resp. $j \ge 0$) and summing up on j < 0 (resp. $j \ge 0$) leads to

$$\|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell} + \int_{0}^{t} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}_{2,1}}^{\ell} d\tau \le 2 \bigg(\|Z_{0}\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell} + \int_{0}^{t} \|F\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell} d\tau \bigg),$$
(33)

$$\|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s'}}^{h} + \int_{0}^{t} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s'}}^{h} d\tau \leq 2 \bigg(\|Z_{0}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s'}}^{h} + \int_{0}^{t} \|F\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s'}}^{h} d\tau \bigg).$$
(34)

Hence, putting together those two inequalities yields

$$\|Z(t)\|_{\tilde{B}^{s,s'}_{2,1}} + \int_0^t \left(\|Z\|^{\ell}_{\dot{B}^{s+2}_{2,1}} + \|Z\|^{h}_{\dot{B}^{s'}_{2,1}} \right) d\tau \le 2 \left(\|Z_0\|_{\tilde{B}^{s,s'}_{2,1}} + \int_0^t \|F\|_{\tilde{B}^{s,s'}_{2,1}} d\tau \right).$$
(35)

²This is a way to rule out polynomials from homogeneous Besov spaces, otherwise one would have to work modulo polynomials which is not suitable when studying PDEs.

Since a part of the solution experiences direct dissipation, one can suspect the low frequency integrability we get in this way to be not optimal. Recovering better integrability for a part of the solution is the goal of the next subsection.

1.4. The damped mode

Assume that the dissipation term has an orthogonal block structure that is independent of the frequency, namely

rank
$$B_{\omega} \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} \operatorname{Ker} B_{\omega} = \mathbb{C}^n$$
 for all $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

with $M \triangleq \operatorname{Ker} B_{\omega}$ independent of ω .

Denote by \mathcal{P} the orthogonal projector onto M^{\perp} and set

$$W \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \mathcal{P}(A+B)(D)Z. \tag{36}$$

Since \mathcal{P} and B commute, we get the following equation for W:

$$\partial_t W + B(D)W = \mathcal{P}(A+B)(D)F - \mathcal{P}A(D)(A+B)(D)Z$$

Because

$$\mathcal{P}A(D)B(D)Z = \mathcal{P}A(D)\mathcal{P}B(D)Z = \mathcal{P}A(D)W - (\mathcal{P}A(D))^2Z,$$

this may be rewritten as

$$\partial_t W + B(D)W = \mathcal{P}(A+B)(D)F - \mathcal{P}A(D)W + (\mathcal{P}A(D))^2 Z - \mathcal{P}A^2(D)Z.$$
 (37)

As A(D) and B(D) are of order 1 and 0, respectively, multipliers of orders 1 and 2, act on W and Z in the right-hand side. Hence, the low frequencies of the corresponding terms are expected to be negligible compared to the left-hand side of (37).

To make this heuristics rigorous, let us look at the equation for $W_i \triangleq \dot{\Delta}_i W$, namely

$$\partial_t W_j + B(D)W_j = \mathcal{P}(A+B)(D)F_j - \mathcal{P}A(D)W_j + (\mathcal{P}A(D))^2 Z_j - \mathcal{P}A^2(D)Z_j.$$
(38)

Taking the Hermitian scalar product in \mathbb{C}^n with W_j , using (16) with $\kappa = 1$, the fact that B(D) is of 0-order and that A(D) is of first order yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|\hat{W}_j|^2 + |\hat{W}_j|^2 \le C\left((1+|\xi|)|\hat{F}_j| + |\xi||\hat{W}_j| + |\xi|^2|\hat{Z}_j|\right)|\hat{W}_j|.$$

Hence, integrating on \mathbb{R}^d and taking advantage of the Fourier–Plancherel theorem and of the spectral localization yields:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|W_j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|W_j\|_{L^2}^2 \le C \|W_j\|_{L^2} ((1+2^j)\|F_j\|_{L^2} + 2^j \|W_j\|_{L^2} + 2^{2j} \|Z_j\|_{L^2})$$

from which we eventually get for all $t \ge 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, owing to Lemma A.1,

$$\|W_{j}(t)\|_{L^{2}} + \int_{0}^{t} \|W_{j}\|_{L^{2}} d\tau \leq \|W_{j}(0)\|_{L^{2}} + C(1+2^{j}) \int_{0}^{t} \|F_{j}\|_{L^{2}} d\tau + C2^{j} \int_{0}^{t} \|W_{j}\|_{L^{2}} d\tau + C2^{2j} \int_{0}^{t} \|Z_{j}\|_{L^{2}} d\tau.$$

Therefore, if we multiply by 2^{js} and sum up on $j \le j_0$ with j_0 chosen so that $C 2^{j_0} \le 1/2$, then we end up with

$$\sum_{j \le j_0} 2^{js} \|W_j(t)\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sum_{j \le j_0} 2^{js} \|W_j\|_{L^2} d\tau$$
$$\leq \sum_{j \le j_0} 2^{js} \|W_j(0)\|_{L^2} + C \int_0^t \sum_{j \le j_0} 2^{js} \|F_j\|_{L^2} d\tau + C \sum_{j \le j_0} \int_0^t 2^{j(s+2)} \|Z_j\|_{L^2} d\tau.$$

The last term may be controlled by the data according to (33). Furthermore, $||W_j||_{L^2} \leq ||Z_j||_{L^2}$ for all j < 0, and $2^{j(s+2)} \simeq 2^{js}$ for $j_0 \leq j < 0$. Hence, the above inequality still holds if one sums up to j = 0. In the end, this allows us to get

$$\|W(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell} + \int_{0}^{t} \|W\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell} d\tau \lesssim \|Z_{0}\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell} + \int_{0}^{t} \|F\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell} d\tau.$$

Let us finally look at the part of Z that undergoes direct dissipation, namely $Z_2 \triangleq \mathcal{P}Z$. We claim that, as expected, the low frequencies of Z_2 have better time integrability than the overall solution Z. Indeed, observing that $B(D)Z_2 = W - \mathcal{P}A(D)Z$ and that $\mathcal{P}B(D)$ (restricted to functions defined on M) is invertible, we may write

$$Z_{2} = ((\mathcal{P}B)(D))^{-1}W - ((\mathcal{P}B)(D))^{-1}\mathcal{P}A(D)Z$$

Hence, since $(\mathcal{P}B)(D)$ (resp. A(D)) is a 0-order (resp. first order) Fourier multiplier, we may write

$$\|Z_2\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}_{2,1}}^{\ell} \lesssim \|W\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}_{2,1}}^{\ell} + \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}_{2,1}}^{\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \|Z_2\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell} \lesssim \|W\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell} + \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}_{2,1}}^{\ell}.$$

Then, remembering (33) and using Hölder inequality and interpolation in Besov spaces when needed yields

$$\|Z_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;\dot{B}_{2,1}^s)}^\ell + \|Z_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+;\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s+1})}^\ell \lesssim \|Z_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^\ell + \int_0^t \|F\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^\ell d\tau.$$

This has to be compared with the following (optimal) inequality for the overall solution Z:

$$\|Z\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}^{s+1}_{2,1})}^{\ell} + \|Z_{2}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}^{s+2}_{2,1})}^{\ell} \lesssim \|Z_{0}\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell} + \int_{0}^{t} \|F\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell} d\tau$$

1.5. An L^p approach

In this part, we are going to show that under slightly stronger structure assumptions³ on the linear system (12) than those that have been made so far, it is possible to bound the low frequencies of the solution in functional spaces built on L^p for any $p \in [1, \infty]$. This unusual setting is in sharp contrast with the nondissipative case. In fact, as pointed out by P. Brenner in [7], apart from the notable exception of the transport equation, 'most' first order 'purely' hyperbolic systems are ill-posed in L^p if $p \neq 2$. It turns out that for nonlinear partially dissipative systems satisfying the structure assumptions of this part, it is also possible to use, at least partially, an L^p -type framework (see details in [10, 12]). This offers one more degree of freedom in the choice of solutions spaces allowing not only to prescribe weaker smallness conditions for global well-posedness, but also to get more accurate information on the qualitative properties of the constructed solutions.

In order to proceed, let us assume without loss of generality that $M = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \{0\}$ and decompose $Z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ into $\binom{Z_1}{Z_2}$. For expository purpose, further assume that there is no source term (F = 0). Then, system (11) may be rewritten by blocks as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}(D) & A_{12}(D) \\ A_{21}(D) & A_{22}(D) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ B_{22}(D)Z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (39)$$

where the 0-order Fourier multiplier $B_{22}(D)$ has symbol in $\mathcal{M}_{n_2}(\mathbb{R})$, and so on.

In the spirit of the computations of the previous paragraph, let us introduce

$$W \stackrel{\Delta}{=} Z_2 + (B_{22}^{-1}A_{21})(D)Z_1 + (B_{22}^{-1}A_{22})(D)Z_2.$$
(40)

This definition of a damped mode is consistent with the one we used before: we just applied to (36) the 0-order operator $(B_{22}(D))^{-1}$ that corresponds to the inverse of $\mathcal{P}B(D)$ restricted to M. Now, we note that

$$\partial_t Z_2 + B_{22}(D)W = 0.$$

Hence, relation (40) implies that

$$\partial_t W + B_{22}(D)W = (B_{22}^{-1}A_{11})(D)\partial_t Z_1 + (B_{22}^{-1}A_{22})(D)\partial_t Z_2$$

Using system (39) for computing $\partial_t Z$, we get the following equation:

$$\partial_t W + B_{22}(D)W = -(B_{22}^{-1}A_{21})(D) (A_{11}(D)Z_1 + A_{12}(D)Z_2) - (B_{22}^{-1}A_{22}B_{22})(D)W.$$
(41)

Rewriting the equation of Z_1 in terms of W yields

$$\partial_t Z_1 + \left(A_{11}(D) - (A_{12}B_{22}^{-1}A_{21})(D) \right) Z_1 = (A_{12}B_{22}^{-1}A_{22})(D) Z_2 - A_{12}(D) W.$$
(42)

³That are in particular satisfied by the linearized Euler equations with relaxation.

In order to pursue our analysis, we make the following assumption:

$$A_{11}(D) \equiv 0$$
 and $A(D) \triangleq -(A_{12}B_{22}^{-1}A_{21})(D)$ is a positive operator. (43)

By positive, we mean that the symbol $A_{12}B_{22}^{-1}A_{21}$ has range in the set of positive Hermitian matrices of size n_2 . For this particular structure, the above hypothesis turns out to be equivalent to Condition (SK) (see Lemma A.3).

Then, after applying $\dot{\Delta}_i$ to (41) and for (42), we obtain

$$\partial_t Z_{1,j} + \mathcal{A}(D) Z_{1,j} = (A_{12} B_{22}^{-1} A_{22})(D) Z_{2,j} - A_{12}(D) W_j,$$

$$\partial_t W_j + B_{22}(D) W_j = -(B_{22}^{-1} A_{21} A_{12})(D) Z_{2,j} - (B_{22}^{-1} A_{22} B_{22})(D) W_j.$$
(44)

Using Duhamel formula for computing $Z_{1,j}$ from the first equation of (44), we get

$$Z_{1,j}(t) = e^{-t\mathcal{A}(D)} Z_{1,j}(0) + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}(D)} ((A_{12}B_{22}^{-1}A_{22})(D)Z_{2,j}(\tau) - A_{12}(D)W_j(\tau)) d\tau.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}(D)$ is second order positive and satisfies the assumptions of Lemma A.2, there exist two constants *c* and *C* such that the following bound holds:

$$\|e^{-t\mathcal{A}(D)}\dot{\Delta}_{jz}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}};\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}})} \leq Ce^{-c2^{2j}t}\|\dot{\Delta}_{jz}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}};\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}})}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(45)

Then, we get from Bernstein inequality (151), remembering that all the blocks of A(D) are homogeneous multipliers of degree 1 and that $B_{22}^{-1}(D)$ is homogeneous of degree 0,

$$\begin{split} \|Z_{1,j}(t)\|_{L^p} &\lesssim e^{-c2^{2j}t} \|Z_{1,j}(0)\|_{L^p} \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{-c2^{2j}(t-\tau)} \left(2^{2j} \|Z_{2,j}(\tau)\|_{L^p} + 2^j \|W_j(\tau)\|_{L^p}\right) d\tau, \end{split}$$

whence taking the supremum or the integral on [0, t],

$$\begin{split} \|Z_{1,j}(t)\|_{L^p} + 2^{2j} \int_0^t \|Z_{1,j}\|_{L^p} \, d\tau \lesssim \|Z_{1,j}(0)\|_{L^p} \\ &+ \int_0^t \left(2^{2j} \|Z_{2,j}\|_{L^p} + 2^j \|W_j\|_{L^p}\right) d\tau. \end{split}$$

Similarly, Lemma A.3 guarantees that we have

$$\|e^{-tB_{22}(D)}\dot{\Delta}_j z\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_2};\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \le C e^{-ct} \|\dot{\Delta}_j z\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_2};\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$
(46)

which allows to get eventually

$$\|W_{j}(t)\|_{L^{p}} + \int_{0}^{t} \|W_{j}\|_{L^{p}} d\tau \lesssim \|W_{j}(0)\|_{L^{p}} + 2^{2j} \int_{0}^{t} \|Z_{j}\|_{L^{p}} d\tau + 2^{j} \int_{0}^{t} \|W_{j}\|_{L^{p}} d\tau.$$

Owing to the factor 2^j , there exists an integer $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that the last term may be absorbed by the left-hand side for all $j \leq j_0$. Hence, multiplying by 2^{js} then summing up on $j \leq j_0$, with the notation $||z||_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_0} \triangleq \sum_{j \leq j_0} 2^{j\sigma} ||\dot{\Delta}_j z||_{L^p}$, yields

$$\|W(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} + \int_{0}^{t} \|W\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} d\tau \lesssim \|W_{0}\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} + \int_{0}^{t} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} d\tau$$
(47)

while the inequality for Z_1 gives us

$$\|Z_{1}(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} + \int_{0}^{t} \|Z_{1}\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} d\tau \lesssim \|Z_{1,0}\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} + \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|Z_{2}\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} + \|W\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}}\right) d\tau.$$
(48)

The definition of W in (40) ensures that for all $j \leq j_0$ (with negative enough j_0), there holds that

$$\|W_{j}\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim \|Z_{2,j}\|_{L^{p}} + 2^{j}\|Z_{1,j}\|_{L^{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|Z_{2,j}\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim \|W_{j}\|_{L^{p}} + 2^{j}\|Z_{1,j}\|_{L^{p}}.$$
(49)

Hence, adding up $\varepsilon \cdot (47)$ to (48) with ε small enough and negative enough j_0 , we conclude that

$$\|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} + \int_{0}^{\iota} \left(\|Z_{1}\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} + \|W\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}}\right) d\tau \lesssim \|Z_{0}\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}}.$$

Of course, combining with (49) yields also

$$\int_0^t \|Z_2\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_0} d\tau \lesssim \|Z_0\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_0}$$

By the same token, if we consider a source term F in (39), one gets the following bound:

$$\|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} + \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|Z_{1}\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} + \|Z_{2}\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} + \|W\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}}\right) d\tau \lesssim \|Z_{0}\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} + \int_{0}^{t} \|F\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}}^{\ell,j_{0}} d\tau,$$

which is actually the same as the one we proved before for p = 2.

At the linear level, there is no restriction on the value of p: it can be any element of $[1, \infty]$. Reverting to the initial nonlinear system (11), it is possible to work out a functional framework of L^p -type for the low frequencies of the solution. However, owing to the interactions between the low and high frequencies through the nonlinear terms, there are some restrictions on p. The most obvious one is that, if combining Bernstein and Hölder inequalities for estimating the medium frequencies in a L^2 -type space of a product of low frequencies that belong to a L^p -type space, one needs to have $p \in [2, 4]$. In high dimension, there are stronger restrictions on p. The reader is referred to [10, 12] for more details and complete statements.

2. Global existence in the critical regularity setting

The principal aim of this section is to prove the global existence of strong solutions for (9) supplemented with initial data that are a perturbation of a constant state \overline{V} satisfying

Condition (SK). For notational simplicity, we assume that $\overline{V} = 0$ so that the system under consideration reads⁴

$$\partial_t Z + \sum_{k=1}^d A^k(Z) \cdot \partial_k Z + BZ = 0.$$
(50)

It is assumed that the (smooth) given functions A^1, \ldots, A^d range in the set of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices, and that $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $L_2 \in GL_{n_2}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying for some c > 0,

$$L_2 z \cdot z \ge c|z|^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}.$$
(51)

Set $A(\xi) \triangleq i \sum_{k=1}^{d} \xi_k \overline{A}^k$ with $\overline{A}^k \triangleq A^k(0)$, and $B(\xi) \triangleq B$. According to the linear analysis that was performed in the previous paragraph in the context of system (50), Condition (SK) is equivalent to:

$$\operatorname{Rank}\begin{pmatrix} B(\xi)\\ BA(\xi)\\ \dots\\ BA^{n-1}(\xi) \end{pmatrix} = n \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$
(52)

2.1. The main results

In order to find out a suitable functional framework for solving (50), let us temporarily consider a smooth solution Z. Taking advantage of the symmetry of the matrices A^k and integrating by parts, one gets the following 'energy identity':

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|Z\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k,\ell,m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Z^\ell Z^m \partial_k \left(A_{\ell m}^k(Z)\right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} BZ \cdot Z \, dx = 0.$$

Therefore, combining with (51) and Gronwall inequality, we discover that

$$\|Z(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + c \int_{0}^{t} \|Z_{2}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d\tau \leq \|Z_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \exp\bigg(C \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla Z\|_{L^{\infty}} d\tau\bigg).$$

Hence, even for controlling the L^2 norm of the solution, a bound of ∇Z in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^\infty)$ is needed. Since no gain of regularity can be expected on the whole solution (see (34)), we must assume that Z_0 belongs to a functional space X that is embedded in the set of globally Lipschitz functions. If $X = H^s$ then this embedding holds if and only if s > d/2 + 1. In the framework of Besov spaces with last index 1, one can reach the critical index s = d/2 + 1, owing to the (critical) embedding

$$\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$
(53)

⁴The reader is referred to [11] for the proof of similar results for more general symmetrizable quasilinear partially dissipative hyperbolic systems satisfying (SK).

Hence, X must be a subspace of $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}$. Consequently, we shall take s' = 1 + d/2 in (34).

As regards the value of the regularity exponent *s* in (33) for the low frequencies, a natural candidate is s = -1 + d/2 since (33) and (34) together give us a control of *Z* in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})$ (provided we succeed in bounding in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})$ the nonlinear term *F*), and thus of ∇Z in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; L^\infty)$. Having at our disposal global L^1 -in-time estimates for the solution will be particularly comfortable for further analysis in contrast with the classical 'Sobolev' approach for partially dissipative systems where only L^2 -in time estimates are available.

To make a long story short, a good candidate for a solution space is the set of functions Z in $\mathcal{C}_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$Z^{\ell} \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}), \ Z^h \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}).$$

According to the linear analysis presented before, one can expect to get additional information for low frequencies, through the damped mode W defined in (40), that is essentially equivalent to $\partial_t Z_2$ in our context. We will eventually obtain the following result that will be proved in the next subsection.

Theorem 2.1. Let conditions (51) and (52) be in force and assume that $d \ge 2$. Then, there exists a positive constant α such that for all $Z_0 \in \widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}$ satisfying

$$Z_0 \triangleq \|Z_0\|_{\widetilde{B}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}_{2,1}} \le \alpha,$$
(54)

system (50) supplemented with initial data Z_0 admits a unique global-in-time solution Z in the set

$$E \triangleq \{ Z \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \tilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1, d/2+1}), \ Z \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}), \ \partial_t Z_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}) \}.$$

Moreover, there exist an explicit Lyapunov functional, equivalent to $||Z||_{\tilde{B}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}_{2,1}}$ and a constant C depending only on the matrices A^k and on L_2 , and such that

$$\mathcal{Z}(t) \le C \mathcal{Z}_0 \quad \text{for all } t \ge 0, \tag{55}$$

where⁵

$$Z(t) \triangleq \|Z\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}(\tilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1,d/2+1})} + \|Z\|_{L_{t}^{1}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})} + \|\partial_{t}Z_{2}\|_{L_{t}^{1}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})} + \|Z_{2}\|_{L_{t}^{1}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})}^{\ell} + \|Z_{2}\|_{L_{t}^{2}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})}^{\ell}.$$
(56)

⁵Whenever X is a Banach space, $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $T \ge 0$, notation $\|\cdot\|_{L^p_T(X)}$ designates the Lebesgue norm L^p of functions on [0, T] with values in X.

Choosing regularity d/2 - 1 for low frequencies has some disadvantages, though:

- It does not allow to treat the mono-dimensional case since the low frequencies of the nonlinear terms of type $DZ \times Z$ cannot be estimated in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})$ (this is the needed regularity for the right-hand side of (33)). Indeed, the numerical product does not map $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ to $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R})$.
- It does not provide us with uniform bounds in the high relaxation asymptotics (see the beginning of Section 4 for more explanations).

Another possible choice is s = d/2. Then, the solution space becomes the set of Z in $\mathcal{C}_{h}^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}; \mathbb{R}^{n})$ satisfying

$$Z^{\ell} \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+2}), \quad Z^h \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})$$

plus crucial information from the damped mode that, in particular, will ensure that

$$\nabla Z_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}), \quad Z_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}).$$

This alternative framework allows to consider initial data that are less decaying at infinity (regularity $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}$ for low frequencies is less stringent than $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}$), to handle the one-dimensional situation, and to provide crucial uniform a priori bounds in the strong relaxation limit. However, stronger structure assumptions are required on the system (that are nevertheless fulfilled by the compressible Euler equations). In order to specify them, let us rewrite system (50) by blocks as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Z_1 + \sum_{k=1}^d \left(A_{11}^k(Z) \partial_k Z_1 + A_{12}^k(Z) \partial_k Z_2 \right) = 0, \\ \partial_t Z_2 + \sum_{k=1}^d \left(A_{21}^k(Z) \partial_k Z_1 + A_{22}^k(Z) \partial_k Z_2 \right) + L_2 Z_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$
(57)

Then, we need the following additional assumption:

(H3) For all $k \in \{1, ..., d\}$, $\overline{A}_{11}^k = 0$ and $Z \mapsto A_{11}^k(Z)$ is linear with respect to Z_2 . Note that in the context of gas dynamics, this just means that there are no terms like $\nabla \rho$ or $\rho \nabla \rho$ in the density equation, which is indeed the case!

Theorem 2.2. In general dimension $d \ge 1$, let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 concerning system (9) be in force and assume in addition that (H3) holds true. Then, there exists a positive constant α such that for all $Z_0 \in \widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2,d/2+1}$ satisfying

$$Z'_{0} \triangleq \|Z_{0}\|_{\widetilde{B}^{d/2,d/2+1}_{2,1}} \le \alpha,$$
(58)

system (57) supplemented with initial data Z_0 admits a unique global-in-time solution in the subspace F of functions Z of $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2,d/2+1})$ such that

$$Z_{2}^{\ell}, Z^{h} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}), \quad Z_{1}^{\ell} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+2}), \quad \partial_{t} Z_{2} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}).$$

Moreover, there exists an explicit Lyapunov functional that is equivalent to $||Z||_{\widetilde{B}^{d/2,d/2+1}_{2,1}}$ and we have the following bound:

$$\mathcal{Z}'(t) \le C \mathcal{Z}'_0$$

where

$$Z'(t) \triangleq \|Z\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(\tilde{B}^{d/2,d/2+1}_{2,1})} + \|Z_{1}\|^{\ell}_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2+2}_{2,1})} + \|Z_{2}\|^{\ell}_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1})} + \|Z_{2}\|^{\ell}_{L^{2}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})} + \|Z\|^{h}_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1})} + \|\partial_{t}Z_{2}\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})}.$$
(59)

Theorem 2.2 directly applies to the isentropic compressible Euler equations with relaxation, written in terms of the sound speed c and of v (that is, system (5)). The result we get reads as follows:

Theorem 2.3. Let $\overline{c} > 0$, $d \ge 1$ and $\gamma > 1$. There exists a positive constant α such that for any data (c_0, v_0) such that $c_0 - \overline{c}$ and v_0 belong to $\widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2,d/2+1}$, and satisfy

$$\mathcal{A}_{0} \triangleq \| (c_{0} - \overline{c}, v_{0}) \|_{\widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2, d/2 + 1}} \le \alpha,$$
(60)

system (5) with $\varepsilon = 1$ admits a unique global solution (c, v) with

$$(c-\overline{c},v) \in \mathcal{C}_b\left(\mathbb{R}_+; \widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2,d/2+1}\right)$$

that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|(c-\overline{c},v)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\ddot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2,d/2+1})} + \|c-\overline{c}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+2})}^{\ell} + \|v\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})} \\ &+ \|v\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})}^{\ell} + \|c-\overline{c}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})}^{h} + \|\partial_{t}v\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})} \leq C\mathcal{A}_{0}. \end{aligned}$$
(61)

A similar statement holds true for the barotropic compressible Euler equations with general smooth pressure law P satisfying P' > 0 in a neighborhood of the reference density $\overline{\rho}$, although one cannot 'symmetrize' the system any longer by using the sound speed. For more details, the reader may refer to [11] where a class of partially dissipative systems, more general than (9), is considered.

In the rest of this section, we focus on the proof of Theorem 2.1. The reader is referred to [11] for more general systems and for the proof of Theorem 2.2. A similar statement in the L^p framework has been established in [10].

2.2. A priori estimates

The overall strategy is to apply the Littlewood–Paley truncation operator Δ_j to (50), then to follow the method that has been described in the previous section so as to get optimal estimates in L^2 for each dyadic block. Performing eventually a suitable weighted summation on j will lead to the control of Besov norms of the solution, as stated in Theorem 2.1.

Throughout, we assume that we are given a smooth and sufficiently decaying solution of (50) on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \text{ is sufficiently small.}$$
(62)

We shall use repeatedly that, owing to the embedding (53), the solution Z is also small in $L^{\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Low frequencies. Let us denote $Z_j \triangleq \dot{\Delta}_j Z$ and $F_j \triangleq \dot{\Delta}_j F$, with

$$F \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left(\bar{A}^{k} - A^{k}(Z) \right) \partial_{k} Z.$$
(63)

We see that for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\partial_t Z_j + \sum_{k=1}^d \bar{A}^k \partial_k Z_j + B Z_j = F_j.$$
(64)

Hence, taking the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n)$ scalar product with Z_j and using that the first order terms are skew-symmetric yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|Z_j\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} BZ_j \cdot Z_j \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Z_j \cdot F_j \, dx.$$

The term with *B* may be bounded from below according to (51). Hence, using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality for bounding the right-hand side delivers for some c > 0,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|Z_j\|_{L^2}^2 + c\|Z_{2,j}\|_{L^2}^2 \le \|F_j\|_{L^2}\|Z_j\|_{L^2}.$$

In order to recover the full dissipation, we proceed as in the previous section, introducing the functional $L_{r,\omega}$ defined in (26). Adapting the computations therein to the case where the source term in (12) is nonzero, we get for all r > 0 and $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ (with the notations of (20) and (26)):

$$\frac{d}{dt}L_{r,\omega}(\hat{Z}_j) + \frac{\min(1,r^2)}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\varepsilon_k|B_{\omega}A_{\omega}^k\hat{Z}_j|^2 \le \operatorname{Re}(\hat{F}_j\cdot\hat{Z}_j) + \min(r,r^{-1})\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(B_{\omega}A_{\omega}^{k-1}\hat{Z}_j\cdot B_{\omega}A_{\omega}^k\hat{F}_j\right) + \operatorname{Re}\left(B_{\omega}A_{\omega}^{k-1}\hat{F}_j\cdot B_{\omega}A_{\omega}^k\hat{Z}_j\right)\right).$$

In light of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, the sum in the right-hand side may be bounded by

$$\sqrt{L_{r,\omega}(\hat{Z}_j)L_{r,\omega}(\hat{F}_j)}.$$

Hence, using that condition (SK) and (27) ensure the existence of a positive constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for all r > 0 and $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_k |B_{\omega} A_{\omega}^k \hat{Z}_j|^2 \ge 2c_0 L_{r,\omega}(\hat{Z}_j), \tag{65}$$

we conclude that

$$\frac{d}{dt}L_{r,\omega}(\hat{Z}_j) + c_0\min(1, r^2)L_{r,\omega}(\hat{Z}_j) \le \sqrt{L_{r,\omega}(\hat{Z}_j)L_{r,\omega}(\hat{F}_j)}.$$
(66)

Let us define for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{j} \triangleq \|\widehat{Z}_{j}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{k} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \left(BA_{\omega}^{k-1} \widehat{Z}_{j}(\xi) \right) \cdot \left(BA_{\omega}^{k} \widehat{Z}_{j}(\xi) \right) \min\left(|\xi|, |\xi|^{-1} \right) d\xi.$$

Integrating (66) on \mathbb{R}^d and observing that, by virtue of (27), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|Z_j\|_{L^2}^2 \le \mathcal{L}_j \le 2 \|Z_j\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{67}$$

we get, up to a slight modification of c_0 ,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L}_j + c_0 \min(1, 2^{2j})\mathcal{L}_j \le C \|F_j\|_{L^2} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_j}.$$
(68)

Therefore, taking $X = \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_j}$ and $A = C ||F_j||_{L^2}$ in Lemma A.1, then multiplying by $2^{j(d/2-1)}$ delivers for all j < 0,

$$2^{j(d/2-1)}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{j}(t)} + \frac{c_{0}}{2} 2^{j(d/2+1)} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{j}} d\tau \leq 2^{j(d/2-1)} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{j}(0)} + C 2^{j(d/2-1)} \int_{0}^{t} \|F_{j}\|_{L^{2}} d\tau.$$

In order to bound the right-hand side, it suffices to combine the following facts that are proved in e.g. [2, Chapter 2]:

For
$$d \ge 2$$
, the numerical product maps $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (69)

and, for all smooth function $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^p$ vanishing at 0,

$$\|\Phi(Z)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \le C\left(\|Z\|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}}.$$
(70)

Hence, remembering also (62), we conclude that

$$\|F\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} \lesssim \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} \|\nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}}.$$

So, finally, there exist two positive constants c_0 and C such that for all j < 0, we have

$$2^{j(d/2-1)}\sqrt{\mathscr{L}_{j}(t)} + c_{0}2^{j(d/2+1)} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\mathscr{L}_{j}} d\tau \leq 2^{j(d/2-1)} \sqrt{\mathscr{L}_{j}(0)} + C \int_{0}^{t} c_{j} \|\nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} d\tau \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{j} = 1.$$
(71)

High frequencies. In order to bound the high frequency part of the solution, we shall keep the functional \mathcal{L}_j , but one cannot look at *F* defined in (63) as a source term since this would entail a loss of one derivative. To overcome the difficulty, we mimic the proof of the L^2 estimate recalled at the beginning of this section, writing the system for $Z_j \triangleq \dot{\Delta}_j Z$ as follows:

$$\partial_t Z_j + \sum_{k=1}^d A^k(Z) \partial_k Z_j + B Z_j = \sum_{k=1}^d [A^k(Z), \dot{\Delta}_j] \partial_k Z.$$
(72)

Then, taking the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^n)$ scalar product with Z_j and integrating by parts yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|Z_j\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} BZ_j \cdot Z_j \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,\ell,m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_k \left(A_{\ell m}^k(Z)\right) Z_j^\ell Z_j^m \, dx$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [A^k(Z), \dot{\Delta}_j] Z \cdot Z_j \, dx.$$

The last sum may be bounded according to the following classical commutator estimate (see e.g. [2, Chapter 2]) that is valid for all $s \in (-d/2, d/2 + 1]$:

$$\|[A^{k}(Z), \dot{\Delta}_{j}]\partial_{k}Z\|_{L^{2}} \leq Cc_{j}2^{-js}\|\nabla(A^{k}(Z))\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}}\|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}} \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}c_{j}=1.$$

Thanks to the embedding (53) and to the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5}}$, we have

$$\sum_{k,\ell,m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_k (A^k_{\ell m}(Z)) Z_j^{\ell} Z_j^m \, dx \le C c_j 2^{-js} \|\nabla (A^k(Z))\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}} \|Z_j\|_{L^2}.$$

Hence, owing to (51), we have for all $s \in (-d/2, d/2 + 1]$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|Z_j\|_{L^2}^2 + c\|Z_{2,j}\|_{L^2}^2 \le Cc_j 2^{-js}\|\nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}}\|Z\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,1}}\|Z_j\|_{L^2}.$$
(73)

To recover the full dissipation, one has to compute for all $r \ge 1$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, the time derivative of

$$r^{-1}\widetilde{L}_{r,\omega}(\widehat{Z}_j)$$
 with $\widetilde{L}_{r,\omega}(\widehat{Z}_j) \triangleq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_k \operatorname{Re}(BA_{\omega}^{k-1}\widehat{Z}_j \cdot BA_{\omega}^k)$

as it will generate the term $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2} |BA_{\omega}^k \hat{Z}_j|^2$, that is, the missing dissipation. To proceed, one can keep *F* defined in (63) as a source term and start from (64). For $j \ge 0$, the term r^{-1} yields the factor 2^{-j} that exactly compensates the loss of one derivative when estimating *F* in $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}$. Hence, it suffices to estimate *F* in $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}$, which may be done by combining (70) and the following fact:

the numerical product maps $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. (74)

Remembering (62), we get

$$\|F\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \lesssim \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \|\nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}}.$$
(75)

Now, adding up the relation, we get for $r^{-1}\tilde{L}_{r,\omega}(\hat{Z}_j)$ (after space integration) to (73) yields for all $j \geq 0$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{X}_{j} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \varepsilon_{k} |BA_{\omega}^{k}Z_{j}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \lesssim \left(2^{-j} \|F_{j}\|_{L^{2}} + c_{j}2^{-j(d/2+1)} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}}^{2}\right) \|Z_{j}\|_{L^{2}}$$
(76)

and (65) guarantees that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varepsilon_k |BA_{\omega}^k Z_j(\xi)|^2 d\xi \gtrsim \mathcal{L}_j.$$
(77)

Hence, using Lemma A.1, multiplying by $2^{j(d/2+1)}$ and taking advantage of (63) and (75), we end up with

$$2^{j(d/2+1)}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{j}(t)} + c2^{j(d/2+1)} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{j}} d\tau$$

$$\leq 2^{j(d/2+1)}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{j}(0)} + C \int_{0}^{t} c_{j} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1} \cap \dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}} d\tau.$$
(78)

Conclusion. Let us put

$$\mathscr{L} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sum_{j<0} 2^{j(d/2-1)} \sqrt{\mathscr{L}_j} + \sum_{j\geq 0} 2^{j(d/2+1)} \sqrt{\mathscr{L}_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{H} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}.$$
(79)

Since $\mathcal{L}_j \simeq \|Z_j\|_{L^2}^2$, we have the following equivalence:

$$\mathscr{L} \simeq \|Z\|_{\widetilde{B}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}_{2,1}}.$$
(80)

Note that this implies that

$$\|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}} \lesssim \mathcal{L} \quad \text{for all } \frac{d}{2} - 1 \le s \le \frac{d}{2} + 1.$$

$$\tag{81}$$

Hence, we deduce from (71) and (78) that

$$\mathcal{L}(t) + c \int_0^t \mathcal{H}(\tau) \, d\tau \le \mathcal{L}(0) + C \int_0^t \mathcal{H}(\tau) \mathcal{L}(\tau) \, d\tau.$$
(82)

We claim that there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that if $\mathcal{L}(0) < \alpha$ then, for all $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(t) + \frac{c}{2} \int_0^t \mathcal{H}(\tau) \, d\tau \le \mathcal{L}(0).$$
(83)

Indeed, let us choose $\alpha \in (0, c/(2C))$ so that $\mathcal{L} \leq \alpha$ implies that (62) is satisfied, and set

$$T_0 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sup \big\{ T_1 \in [0, T], \sup_{t \in [0, T_1]} \mathcal{L}(t) \le \alpha \big\}.$$

The above set is nonempty (as 0 is in it) and contains its supremum since \mathcal{L} is continuous (remember that we assumed that Z is smooth). Hence, we have

$$\mathscr{L}(T_0) + c \int_0^{T_0} \mathscr{H}(\tau) \, d\tau \leq \mathscr{L}(0) + C \int_0^{T_0} \mathscr{H}(\tau) \mathscr{L}(\tau) \, d\tau \leq \mathscr{L}(0) + \frac{c}{2} \int_0^{T_0} \mathscr{H}(\tau) \, d\tau.$$

Using the smallness hypothesis on $\mathcal{L}(0)$, one may conclude that $\mathcal{L} < \alpha$ on $[0, T_0]$. As \mathcal{L} is continuous, we must have $T_0 = T$ and (83) thus holds on [0, T].

Clearly, time t = 0 does not play any particular role, and one can apply the same argument on any sub-interval of [0, T], which leads to

$$\mathcal{L}(t) + \frac{c}{2} \int_{t_0}^t \mathcal{H}(\tau) \, d\tau \le \mathcal{L}(t_0), \quad 0 \le t_0 \le t \le T.$$
(84)

Hence, provided that $||Z_0||_{\tilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}}$, is small enough, \mathscr{L} is a Lyapunov functional that is, in light of (80), equivalent to $||Z||_{\tilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}}$.

2.3. The damped mode

Define W by the relation

$$\partial_t Z_2 + L_2 W = 0.$$

Since L_2 is invertible, the second line of (57) yields

$$W = Z_2 + L_2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^d \left(A_{21}^k(Z) \partial_k Z_1 + A_{22}^k(Z) \partial_k Z_2 \right).$$
(85)

This gives the following equation for W:

$$\partial_t W + L_2 W = L_2^{-1} \bigg(\sum_{k=1}^d \partial_t \big(A_{21}^k(Z) \partial_k Z_1 \big) + \partial_t \big(A_{22}^k(Z) \big) \partial_k Z_2 - A_{22}^k(Z) L_2 \partial_k W \bigg).$$
(86)

Applying $\dot{\Delta}_j$ to (86) and denoting $W_j \triangleq \dot{\Delta}_j W$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t W_j + L_2 W_j &= L_2^{-1} \bigg(\sum_{k=1}^d \dot{\Delta}_j \partial_t \big((A_{21}^k(Z) - \bar{A}_{21}^k) \partial_k Z_1 \big) + \bar{A}_{21}^k \partial_t \partial_k Z_{1,j} \\ &+ \dot{\Delta}_j \big(\partial_t (A_{22}^k(Z)) \partial_k Z_2 \big) + \dot{\Delta}_j \big((\bar{A}_{22}^k - A_{22}^k(Z)) W \big) - \bar{A}_{22}^k L_2 \partial_k W_j \bigg). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the L^2 scalar product with W_j , then using (51) and Lemma A.1, we get two positive constants *c* and *C* such that for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{split} \|W_{j}(t)\|_{L^{2}} + c \int_{0}^{t} \|W_{j}\|_{L^{2}} &\leq \|W_{j}(0)\|_{L^{2}} \\ &+ C \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left(\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\partial_{t}((A_{21}^{k}(Z) - \bar{A}_{21}^{k})\partial_{k}Z_{1})\|_{L^{2}} + \|\bar{A}_{21}^{k}\partial_{t}\partial_{k}Z_{1,j}\|_{L^{2}} \\ &+ \|\dot{\Delta}_{j}(\partial_{t}(A_{22}^{k}(Z) \partial_{k}Z_{2})\|_{L^{2}} + \|\dot{\Delta}_{j}((\bar{A}_{22}^{k} - A_{22}^{k}(Z))W)\|_{L^{2}} \\ &+ \|L_{2}^{-1}\bar{A}_{22}^{k}L_{2}\partial_{k}W_{j}\|_{L^{2}} \right) d\tau. \end{split}$$

$$(87)$$

Bernstein inequality (150) guarantees that

$$\|L_2^{-1}\bar{A}_{22}^kL_2\partial_k W_j\|_{L^2} \le C2^j \|W_j\|_{L^2}.$$

Hence, there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $j \leq j_0$, the last term may be absorbed by the time integral of the left-hand side.

Next, using (57) to compute the time derivatives, we discover that the terms corresponding to

$$\partial_t \left((A_{21}^k(Z) - \overline{A}_{21}^k) \partial_k Z_1 \right) \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_t \left(A_{22}^k(Z) \right) \partial_k Z_2$$

are of the type $K(Z) Z \otimes \nabla^2 Z$, $K(Z) Z \otimes \nabla Z$ and $K(Z) \nabla Z \otimes \nabla Z$ for suitable smooth functions *K*. Hence, using (69), (70) and remembering (62) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t ((A_{21}^k(Z) - \bar{A}_{21}^k)\partial_k Z_1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}} + \|\partial_t (A_{22}^k(Z)) \partial_k Z_2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}} \\ &\leq C \left(\|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \|\nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} + \|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

To handle $\|\dot{\Delta}_j((\bar{A}_{22}^k - A_{22}^k(Z))W)\|_{L^2}$, we split W into low and high frequencies. For the low frequency part, we just write that by composition (70) and product law (69),

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}((\bar{A}_{22}^{k}-A_{22}^{k}(Z))W^{\ell})\|_{L^{2}} \leq Cc_{j}2^{-j(\frac{d}{2}-1)}\|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}\|W\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^{\ell}$$

For the high frequency part, we further decompose W as follows (in light of (85)):

$$W = Z_{2} + L_{2}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left(\bar{A}_{21}^{k} \partial_{k} Z_{1} + \bar{A}_{22}^{k} \partial_{k} Z_{2} \right) + L_{2}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left((A_{21}^{k}(Z) - \bar{A}_{21}^{k}) \partial_{k} Z_{1} + (A_{22}^{k}(Z) - \bar{A}_{22}^{k}) \partial_{k} Z_{2} \right), \quad (88)$$

which allows to get

$$\|W\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}^{h} \le \|Z_{2}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}^{h} + C\|\nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}^{h} + C\|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}\|\nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}},$$
(89)

whence, using (74),

$$\begin{split} \|(\bar{A}_{22}^{k} - A_{22}^{k}(Z))W^{h}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} &\lesssim \|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \|W^{h}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \\ &\lesssim \|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} (\|Z_{2}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}^{h} + \|\nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}^{h} + \|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \|\nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}), \end{split}$$

and thus

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}((\bar{A}_{22}^{k}-A_{22}^{k}(Z))W^{h})\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim c_{j}2^{-j\,d/2}\|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}(1+\|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}})\|\nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}$$

with

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}c_j=1.$$

Plugging this information in (87), multiplying by $2^{j(d/2-1)}$, summing up on $j \leq j_0$ and remembering that $||Z||_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}$ is small, we conclude that⁶

$$\begin{split} \|W(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^{\ell} &+ \frac{c}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \|W\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^{\ell} \, d\tau \leq \|W_{0}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^{\ell} \\ &+ C \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \|\nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} + \|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}^{2}\right) d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}}^{\ell} \, d\tau. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \lesssim \|Z\|^{\ell}_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} + \|Z\|^{h}_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}$$

and

$$\|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}}^2 \lesssim \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}},$$

taking advantage of (83) eventually yields

$$\|W(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}}^{\ell} + c \int_{0}^{t} \|W\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}}^{\ell} d\tau \le C\mathcal{L}(0) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$
(90)

Owing to (89) and (83), the high frequencies of W also satisfy

$$\|W(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}}^{h} + c \int_{0}^{t} \|W\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}}^{h} d\tau \le C\mathcal{L}(0) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T],$$
(91)

which, as $\partial_t Z_2 = -L_2 W$, completes the proof of (55).

⁶Handling the intermediate frequencies corresponding to $j_0 \le j < 0$ may be done from (71) since, then, $2^{j(d/2+1)} \simeq 2^{j(d/2-1)}$ and $||W_j||_{L^2} \le ||Z_j||_{L^2}$.

2.4. Proving Theorem 2.1

Having the a priori estimates (83), (90) and (91) at hand, constructing a global solution obeying Inequality (55) for any data Z_0 satisfying (54) follows from rather standard arguments. First, in order to benefit from the classical theory on first order hyperbolic systems, we remove the low frequency part of Z_0 so as to have an initial data in the *nonhomogeneous* Besov space⁷ $B_{2,1}^{d/2+1}$. More precisely, we set for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$Z_0^n \stackrel{\Delta}{=} (\mathrm{Id} - \dot{S}_n) Z_0 \quad \text{with} \quad \dot{S}_n \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \chi(2^{-n} D). \tag{92}$$

In light of e.g. [2, Chapter 4], we get a unique maximal solution Z^n in

$$\mathcal{C}([0,T^n); B_{2,1}^{d/2+1}) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0,T^n); B_{2,1}^{d/2}).$$

Since $B_{2,1}^{d/2}$ is embedded in $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}$, it is easy to prove from (57) and the composition and product laws (69) and (70) that $\partial_t Z_1$ and $(\partial_t Z_2 - L_2 Z_2)$ are in $L_{loc}^{\infty}(0, T^n; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})$, and as Z_0^n belongs to $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}$, we deduce that Z^n is actually in $\mathcal{C}([0, T^n]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})$, hence obeys (83) for all $t \in [0, T^n)$. In particular, the embedding $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ guarantees that

$$\int_0^{T^n} \|\nabla Z^n\|_{L^\infty} \, dt < \infty,$$

and thus the standard continuation criterion for first order hyperbolic symmetric systems (again, refer to e.g. [2, Chapter 4]) ensures that $T^n = \infty$. In other words, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function Z^n is a global solution of (57) that satisfies (83), (90) and (91) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Note that, owing to the definition (92), we have

$$\|Z_0^n\|_{\widetilde{B}^{d/2,d/2+1}_{2,1}} \le \|Z_0\|_{\widetilde{B}^{d/2,d/2+1}_{2,1}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, the sequence $(Z^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of global smooth solutions is bounded in the space *E* of Theorem 2.1.

Proving the convergence of $(Z^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ relies on the following proposition that can be easily proved by writing out the system satisfied by the difference of two solutions Z and Z' of (57) in the following way:

$$\partial_t (Z - Z') + \sum_{k=1}^d A^k(Z) \cdot \partial_k (Z - Z') + B(Z - Z') = \sum_{k=1}^d (A^k(Z') - A^k(Z)) \cdot \partial_k Z',$$

applying the Littlewood–Paley truncation operator $\dot{\Delta}_j$ then arguing as for getting (73) and using product laws (see the details in [11, Prop. 2]):

⁷Recall that for any real number *s*, the nonhomogeneous Besov space $B_{2,1}^s$ is the set of tempered distributions *z* such that $||z||_{B_{2,1}^s} \triangleq ||\dot{S}_0 z||_{L^2} + \sum_{j\geq 0} 2^{js} ||\dot{\Delta}_j z||_{L^2}$ is finite.

Proposition 2.1. Consider two solutions Z and Z' of (57) in the space E corresponding to small enough initial data Z_0 and Z'_0 in $\widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}$. Then we have for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\begin{split} \|(Z-Z')(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} &\leq \|Z_0-Z'_0\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \\ &+ C \int_0^t \left(\|Z\|_{\widetilde{B}^{d/2,d/2+1}_{2,1}} + \|Z'\|_{\widetilde{B}^{d/2,d/2+1}_{2,1}}\right) \|Z-Z'\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \, d\tau. \end{split}$$

Applying this proposition to Z^n and Z^m for any $(n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, then using Gronwall lemma and the definition of the initial data in (92), we gather that $(Z^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the space $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})$, hence converges to some function Z in $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})$. As the regularity is high, passing to the limit in the system is not an issue, and one can easily conclude that Z satisfies (57) supplemented with data Z_0 .

That Z belongs to the smaller space E stems from standard functional analysis. Typically, one uses that all the Besov spaces under consideration satisfy the Fatou property, that is, for instance

$$||Z||_{\widetilde{B}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}_{2,1}} \le C \liminf ||Z^n||_{\widetilde{B}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}_{2,1}}$$

The only properties that are missing are the time continuity with range in $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}$ and the fact that the limit is an integrable function with range in $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}$ (and not just a bounded measure with respect to the time variable). However, the time continuity is known to be true for general quasilinear symmetric systems (see [2, Chapter 4]) while the time integrability may be handled like for the compressible Navier–Stokes equation (see [2, Chapter 10]) or even directly on each finite interval from the L^{∞} -in time properties of the constructed solution.

Finally, the uniqueness follows from Proposition 2.1.

3. Decay estimates and asymptotic behavior

The global-in-time properties of integrability for the solution Z that have been proved so far ensure that Z(t) tends to 0 in the tempered distributional meaning when t goes to ∞ . In the present section, we aim at specifying the decay rate for some Besov norms of Z, whenever the initial data satisfy a (mild) additional condition. In the pioneering works by the Japanese school in the '70s and early '80s (see e.g. [28, 33]), this condition was expressed in terms of Lebesgue spaces L^p for some $p \in [1, 2)$. However, it is by now well understood that it suffices to prescribe that the data belong to some homogeneous Besov spaces with a *negative* regularity index.

In order to understand how these spaces come into play, looking first at the linearized at the linearized system (12) with no source term is very informative. Let Z be the corresponding solution. Using (30) and Fourier–Plancherel theorem yields for all $t \ge 0$:

$$\|Z_j(t)\|_{L^2} \le C \|Z_j(0)\|_{L^2} e^{-c\min(1,2^{2j})t}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(93)

This means that the high frequencies of Z decay to 0 exponentially fast, and that the low frequencies behave as those of the heat flow. More precisely, for all $\alpha \ge 0$, we have

$$(2^{2j}t)^{\alpha/2} \|Z_j(t)\|_{L^2} \le C(2^{2j}t)^{\alpha/2} e^{-c2^{2j}t} \|Z_j(0)\|_{L^2}, \quad t \ge 0, \ j < 0.$$

Hence, since the function $x^{\alpha/2}e^{-x}$ is bounded on \mathbb{R}_+ , we eventually get for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$t^{\alpha/2} \|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+\alpha}_{2,1}}^{\ell} \le C_{\alpha} \|Z_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell}, \quad t \ge 0.$$
(94)

We note that, as for the free heat equation, in order to obtain some decay for the low frequencies, a shift a regularity is needed. This is the reason why it is natural to assume that the data have negative regularity to eventually get some decay rate for the norms we considered before for the global solutions to (50). In fact, to compare our results with the classical ones in the literature, one can introduce another family of homogeneous Besov spaces, namely the sets $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s$ of tempered distributions z on \mathbb{R}^d satisfying

$$\|z\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,\infty}} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{js} \|\dot{\Delta}_{j} z\|_{L^{2}} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \to -\infty} \|\chi(2^{-j}D) z\|_{L^{\infty}} = 0.$$
(95)

Owing to the critical embedding

$$\|z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-\frac{d}{p}}_{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|z\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad 1 \le p \le 2$$

making assumptions in spaces $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s$ with a negative *s* is *weaker* than in the pioneering works on decay estimates [28] where the initial data were assumed to be in L^1 (this corresponds to the endpoint value s = -d/2) or (see [33]) in L^p for some $p \in [1, 2)$ (take s = d/2 - d/p).

This motivates the following statement that we shall prove in the rest of the section:

Theorem 3.1. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 be in force, and assume in addition that $Z_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_1}$ for some σ_1 in (1 - d/2, d/2]. Let

$$\alpha_1 \triangleq (\sigma_1 + d/2 - 1)/2, \quad c_0 \triangleq \left(\|Z_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_1}} + \|Z_0\|_{\widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}} \right)^{-1/\alpha_1}.$$

Then, the global solution Z constructed in Theorem 2.1 also belongs to $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_1})$, and there exists a constant C_0 that may be computed in terms of c_0 such that

$$(1+c_0t)^{\alpha_1} \|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}}^{\ell} + (1+c_0t)^{2\alpha_1} (\|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}^{h} + \|\partial_t Z_2(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}}^{\ell}) \\ \leq C_0 \|Z_0\|_{\tilde{B}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}_{2,1}}.$$

Remark 3.1. Under the (stronger) structure assumptions of Theorem 2.2, one can prove a similar result assuming only that σ_1 is in (-d/2, d/2]. The inequality we eventually get is

$$(1+c_0t)^{\alpha_1} \|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}}^{\ell} + (1+c_0t)^{2\alpha_1} \|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}^{h} + (1+c_0t)^{2\alpha_1} \|\partial_t Z_2(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}}^{\ell}$$

$$\leq C_0 \|Z_0\|_{\widetilde{B}^{d/2,d/2+1}_{2,1}}$$

with

$$\alpha_1 \triangleq (\sigma_1 + d/2)/2 \text{ and } c_0 \triangleq \left(\|Z_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_1}} + \|Z_0\|_{\widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2,d/2+1}} \right)^{-1/\alpha_1}.$$

Remark 3.2. Even though the negative Besov space assumption is weaker than in e.g. [33], the obtained decay rates are the same ones. Note also that $||Z_0||_{\dot{B}^{-\sigma_1}_{2,\infty}}$ can be arbitrarily large: only $||Z_0||_{\tilde{B}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}_{2,\infty}}$ has to be small.

The linear decay rate for low frequencies turns out to be the correct one for the solution of the nonlinear system (50), and better (algebraic) decay rates hold true for the high frequencies and for the damped mode. At the same time, although the high frequencies of the solution of the linearized system (12) have exponential decay, it is not the case for the nonlinear system (50) owing to the coupling between the low and high frequencies through the nonlinear terms. We do not claim optimality of the above decay rates for the high frequencies but, for sure, it is very unlikely that they are exponential even for very particular initial data.

Let us briefly explain the general strategy of the proof. The starting point is to show that the additional negative regularity is propagated for all time (with a time-independent control). Then, we shall combine it with Inequality (84) and an interpolation argument so as to exhibit a decay inequality for $||Z(t)||_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}}$. The rate that we shall get in this way turns out to be precisely the one that was expected from our linear analysis in (94). Then, interpolating with the estimate in the negative space will enable us to capture optimal decay rates for intermediate norms $||Z(t)||_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,1}}$.

To the best of our knowledge the idea of combining a Lyapunov inequality with dissipation and interpolation to get (optimal) decay rates originates from the work by J. Nash on parabolic equations in [29]⁸. Implementing it on partially dissipative or parabolic systems in a functional framework close to ours is rather recent. The overall strategy is well explained in a work by Y. Guo and Y. Wang [19] devoted to the Boltzmann equation and the compressible Navier–Stokes equations in the Sobolev spaces setting, and Z. Xin and J. Xu in [37] used an analogous approach to prove decay estimates for the compressible Navier–Stokes equations in the critical regularity framework. The idea of prescribing additional integrability in terms of negative Besov norms instead of Lebesgue ones seems to originate from a paper by J. Xu and S. Kawashima [40].

Finally, let us emphasize that it is possible to do without a Lyapunov functional (like in e.g. [17]) but, somehow, the proof is more technical and less 'elegant'.

⁸Special thanks to L.-M. Rodrigues for pointing out this reference to us.

3.1. Propagation of negative regularity

In order to prove that the regularity in $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_1}$ is propagated for all time, let us start from the equation of Z_j written in the following way:

$$\partial_t Z_j + \sum_{k=1}^d A^k(Z) \partial_k Z_j + BZ_j = \sum_{k=1}^d [A^k(Z), \dot{\Delta}_j] \partial_k Z_j.$$

Taking the L^2 scalar product with Z_j and using (51) yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|Z_j\|_{L^2}^2 + c\|Z_{2,j}\|_{L^2}^2 \le \sum_{k=1}^d \|[A^k, \dot{\Delta}_j]Z\|_{L^2}\|Z_j\|_{L^2}.$$
(96)

One can show (combine the commutator inequalities of [2, Chapter 2] with (70)) that

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-j\sigma_1} \| [A^k(Z), \dot{\Delta}_j] \partial_k Z \|_{L^2} \le C \| \nabla Z \|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \| Z \|_{\dot{B}^{-\sigma_1}_{2,\infty}} \quad \text{if } -\frac{d}{2} \le -\sigma_1 < \frac{d}{2} + 1.$$

Hence, dropping the nonnegative term in the left-hand side of (96), using Lemma A.1 and taking the supremum on j yields (as $||Z||_{L^{\infty}}$ is small):

$$\|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_{1}}} \leq \|Z_{0}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_{1}}} + C \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_{1}}} \, d\,\tau, \quad t \geq 0,$$

which, after applying Gronwall lemma, leads to

$$\|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_1}} \le \|Z_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_1}} \exp\bigg(C \int_0^t \|\nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \, d\, \tau\bigg).$$

Whenever Z_0 satisfies (54), the global solution of Theorem 2.1 has (small) gradient in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})$. Hence, the above inequality guarantees that Z is uniformly bounded in $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_1}$: there exists a constant C depending only on σ_1 and such that

$$\sup_{t \ge 0} \|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{-\sigma_1}_{2,\infty}} \le C \|Z_0\|_{\dot{B}^{-\sigma_1}_{2,\infty}}.$$
(97)

3.2. Decay estimates for the whole solution

The starting point is Inequality (84) that is valid for all $0 \le t_0 \le t$, and the fact that

$$\mathcal{L} \simeq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j(d/2-1) + \max(1, 2^{2j})} \|Z_j\|_{L^2} \text{ and } \mathcal{H} = \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}.$$

Being monotonous, the function \mathcal{L} is almost everywhere differentiable on \mathbb{R}_+ and inequality (84) thus implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L} + c\mathcal{H} \le 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{R}_+.$$
(98)

Now, if $-\sigma_1 < d/2 - 1$, then one may use the following interpolation inequality:

$$\|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^{\ell} \lesssim \left(\|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}}^{\ell}\right)^{1-\theta_0} \left(\|Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_1}}^{\ell}\right)^{\theta_0} \quad \text{with} \quad (1-\theta_0)\left(1+\frac{d}{2}\right) - \sigma_1\theta_0 = \frac{d}{2} - 1$$

which implies, taking advantage of (97), that

$$\|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}}^{\ell} \gtrsim \left(\|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^{\ell}\right)^{1/(1-\theta_0)} \|Z_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_1}}^{-\theta_0/(1-\theta_0)}.$$
(99)

To handle the high frequencies of Z, we just write that, owing to (55), we have

$$\|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}^{h} \gtrsim \left(\|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}^{h}\right)^{1/(1-\theta_{0})} \|Z_{0}\|_{\widetilde{B}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}_{2,1}}^{-\theta_{0}/(1-\theta_{0})}.$$
(100)

Putting (99) and (100) together and remembering that

$$\mathscr{L} \simeq \|Z\|^{\ell}_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} + \|Z\|^{h}_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}},\tag{101}$$

one may thus write that for a small enough c > 0, we have

$$\mathcal{H} \gtrsim c_0 \mathcal{L}^{1/(1-\theta_0)} \quad \text{with} \quad c_0 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} c \left(\|Z_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\sigma_1}} + \|Z_0\|_{\tilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}} \right)^{-\theta_0/(1-\theta_0)}$$

Reverting to (98), one eventually obtains the following differential inequality:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L} + c_0 \mathcal{L}^{1/(1-\theta_0)} \le 0$$

which readily leads to

$$\mathcal{L}(t) \le (1 + c_0 t)^{1 - 1/\theta_0} \mathcal{L}(0).$$
(102)

Now, replacing θ_0 with its value, and using (101), one can conclude that

$$\|Z(t)\|_{\widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}} \lesssim (1+c_0 t)^{-\alpha_1} \|Z_0\|_{\widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \frac{1}{2} \Big(\sigma_1 + \frac{d}{2} - 1\Big).$$
(103)

As regards the low frequencies of the solution, this decay is consistent with (94) in the case $s = -\sigma_1$ and $\alpha = \sigma_1 + d/2 - 1$.

3.3. High frequency decay

From (76) and (77), we gather

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L}_j + c\mathcal{L}_j \lesssim 2^{-j} \|F_j\|_{L^2} \|Z_j\|_{L^2} + c_j 2^{-j(d/2+1)} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_j} \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{j \ge 0} c_j = 1.$$

Hence, bounding F_j according to (75) yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L}_j + c\mathcal{L}_j \lesssim c_j 2^{-j(d/2+1)} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}} (\|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} + \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}) \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_j}$$

with

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} c_j = 1$$

whence

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(e^{ct}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_j})^2 \lesssim c_j 2^{-j(d/2+1)} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}} \|Z\|_{\tilde{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}(e^{ct}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_j}).$$

By time integration (viz. we use Lemma A.1), we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{j}(t)} &\leq e^{-ct} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{j}(0)} \\ &+ C 2^{-j(d/2+1)} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-c(t-\tau)} c_{j}(\tau) \|Z(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}} \|Z(\tau)\|_{\tilde{B}^{d/2,d/2+1}_{2,1}} \, d\tau. \end{split}$$

Hence, multiplying both sides by $2^{j(d/2+1)}$, then summing up on $j \ge 0$ and using the equivalence of the high frequency part of (79) with the norm in $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}$, we end up with

$$\|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}^{h} \lesssim e^{-ct} \|Z_{0}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}^{h} + \int_{0}^{t} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \|Z(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}} \|Z(\tau)\|_{\tilde{B}^{d/2,d/2+1}_{2,1}} \, d\tau.$$

Consequently, for all $t \ge 0$,

$$(1+c_0t)^{2\alpha_1} \|Z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}^h \lesssim (1+c_0t)^{2\alpha_1} e^{-ct} \|Z_0\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}^h + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1+c_0t}{1+c_0\tau}\right)^{2\alpha_1} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \left((1+c_0\tau)^{\alpha_1} \|Z(\tau)\|_{\tilde{B}^{d/2,d/2+1}_{2,1}}\right)^2 d\tau.$$

Inequality (102) ensures that

$$\sup_{\tau \ge 0} (1 + c_0 \tau)^{\alpha_1} \| Z(\tau) \|_{\widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2, d/2+1}} \le C \| Z_0 \|_{\widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1, d/2+1}}$$

Clearly, one can find a constant C_0 depending only on α_1 and c_0 such that

$$\int_0^t \left(\frac{1+c_0t}{1+c_0\tau}\right)^{2\alpha_1} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \, d\tau \le C_0, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Hence, in the end, we get

$$\sup_{t \ge 0} (1 + c_0 t)^{2\alpha_1} \| Z(t) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}}^h \le C_0 \| Z_0 \|_{\widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1, d/2+1}}.$$
 (104)

3.4. The decay of the damped mode

According to (86) and to (57), the damped mode $W \triangleq -L_2^{-1}\partial_t Z_2$ satisfies a relation of the form

$$\partial_t W + L_2 W \simeq Z \cdot \nabla^2 Z + \nabla Z \cdot \nabla Z + (1+Z) \nabla W$$

and, according to (85), we have

$$W \simeq Z_2 + \nabla Z + Z \nabla Z.$$

Therefore, applying $\dot{\Delta}_j$ to the above equation, taking the L^2 scalar product with $W_j \triangleq \dot{\Delta}_j W$ and using the Bernstein inequality in order to bound the last term, we get for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|W_j\|_{L^2}^2 + c \|W_j\|_{L^2}^2 \le C \left(\|\dot{\Delta}_j((1+Z)Z \cdot \nabla^2 Z)\|_{L^2} + \|\dot{\Delta}_j((1+Z)\nabla Z \cdot \nabla Z)\|_{L^2} + \|\dot{\Delta}_j(\nabla Z_2 \cdot Z)\|_{L^2} \right) \|W_j\|_{L^2} + C2^j \|W_j\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Let us choose $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $C2^{j_0} \leq c/2$ (so that the last term may be absorbed by the left-hand side). Then, using Lemma A.1, multiplying both sides by $2^{j(d/2-1)}$, then summing up on $j \leq j_0$, we end up with⁹

$$\|W(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^{\ell} \leq e^{-ct} \|W_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^{\ell} + C \int_0^t e^{-c(t-\tau)} \left(\|(1+Z)Z \otimes \nabla^2 Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^{\ell} + \|(1+Z)\nabla Z \otimes \nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^{\ell} + \|Z \otimes \nabla Z_2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^{\ell}\right) d\tau.$$
(105)

Since $d \ge 2$, the product laws (69) and (74) guarantee that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1+Z)Z \otimes \nabla^2 Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} + \|(1+Z)\nabla Z \otimes \nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} \\ \lesssim \left(1 + \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}}\right) \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \|Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}} \end{aligned}$$

which, combined with (103) and the fact that $||Z||_{\dot{B}_{21}^{d/2}}$ is small implies that

$$\|(1+Z)Z \otimes \nabla^2 Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} + \|(1+Z)\nabla Z \otimes \nabla Z\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} \lesssim (1+c_0 t)^{-2\alpha_1} \mathcal{L}^2(0).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|Z \otimes \nabla Z_2\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} \lesssim \|Z\|^2_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \lesssim \|Z\|^2_{\tilde{B}^{d/2-1,d/2+1}_{2,1}} \lesssim (1+c_0 t)^{-2\alpha_1} \mathcal{L}^2(0).$$

Hence, using (105) and arguing as in the previous paragraph, we end up with

$$\sup_{t \ge 0} (1 + c_0 t)^{2\alpha_1} \| W(t) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}}^{\ell} \le C_0 \| Z_0 \|_{\widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1, d/2+1}}.$$
 (106)

In other words, the decay rate for the low frequencies of the damped mode in norm $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1}$ is the same as that of the high frequencies of the whole solution.

Summing up the results of the previous paragraphs completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

⁹Rigorously speaking the low frequencies that are here considered are lower than with our previous definition since it may happen that $j_0 \le 0$. However, one may check that the high frequency decay estimate in (104) still holds if we put the threshold at some $j_0 \le 0$: the argument we used works if summing up on $j \ge j_0$ provided we change the 'constants' accordingly.

4. On the strong relaxation limit

This section is devoted to the study of a singular limit problem for the following class of partially dissipative hyperbolic systems:

$$\partial_t Z^{\varepsilon} + \sum_{k=1}^d A^k (Z^{\varepsilon}) \partial_k Z^{\varepsilon} + \frac{BZ^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} = 0, \qquad (107)$$

where, denoting $\overline{A}_{\ell m}^{k} \triangleq A_{\ell m}^{k}(0)$ and $\widetilde{A}_{\ell m}^{k}(Z) \triangleq A_{\ell m}^{k}(Z) - \overline{A}_{\ell m}^{k}$, we assume that for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$:

- (1) $\bar{A}_{11}^k = 0$, and \tilde{A}_{11}^k is linear with respect to Z_2 and independent of Z_1 ,
- (2) \tilde{A}_{12}^k and \tilde{A}_{21}^k are linear with respect to Z_1 and independent of Z_2 ,
- (3) \tilde{A}_{22}^k is linear with respect to Z,
- (4) condition (SK) is satisfied by the pair (A(ξ), B) with A(ξ) defined in (13), at every point ξ ∈ ℝ^d \ {0}.

The linearity assumption is here just for simplicity as well as the fact that there is no 0-order nonlinear term. At the same time, assuming that A_{12}^k and A_{21}^k (resp. A_{11}^k) only depend on Z_1 (resp. Z_2) is very helpful, if not essential. All these conditions turn out to be satisfied by the compressible Euler equations written in terms of the sound speed (see (5)).

We want to study the so-called 'strong relaxation limit', that is whether the global solutions of (107) constructed before tend to satisfy some limit system when ε goes to 0.

A hasty analysis suggests that the part of the solution that experiences direct dissipation, namely Z_2^{ε} with the notation of the previous sections, tends to 0 with a characteristic time of order ε and that, consequently, Z_1^{ε} tends to be time independent (since, for all $k \in \{1, ..., d\}$, we have $\overline{A}_{11}^k = 0$ and A_{11}^k is independent of Z_1). To some extent this will prove to be true but, even for the simple case of the linearized one-dimensional compressible Euler equations, the situation is more complex than expected. Indeed, consider

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + \partial_x u = 0, \\ \partial_t u + \partial_x a + \varepsilon^{-1} u = 0. \end{cases}$$
(108)

In the Fourier space, this system translates into

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{u} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\xi \\ i\xi & \varepsilon^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{u} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In low frequencies |ξ| < (2ε)⁻¹, the matrix A(ξ) of this system has the following two real eigenvalues:

$$\lambda^{\pm}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - (2\varepsilon\xi)^2} \right).$$

For ξ going to 0, we observe that

$$\lambda^+(\xi) \sim \varepsilon^{-1}$$
 and $\lambda^-(\xi) \sim \varepsilon \xi^2$.

This means that one of the modes of the system is indeed damped with coefficient ε^{-1} but that the overall behavior of solutions of the system is like for the heat equation with vanishing diffusion.

In high frequencies |ξ| > (2ε)⁻¹, the matrix A(ξ) has the following two complex conjugated eigenvalues:

$$\lambda^{\pm}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left(1 \pm i \sqrt{(2\varepsilon\xi)^2 - 1} \right).$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda^{\pm}(\xi) = (2\varepsilon)^{-1}$ and $\operatorname{Im}\lambda^{\pm}(\xi) \sim i\xi$ for $\xi \to \infty$. Hence, there is indeed dissipation with characteristic time ε for the high frequencies of the solution.

The 'low frequency regime' is expected to dominate when $\varepsilon \to 0$, as it corresponds to $|\xi| \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1}$. Consequently, the overall behavior of system (108) might be similar to that of the heat flow with diffusion ε , that is to the inviscid limit¹⁰. However, we have to keep in mind that the low frequencies of the 'damped mode' (that here corresponds to the combination $u + \varepsilon \partial_x a$) should undergo a much stronger dissipation.

Based on this simple example, it looks that in order to investigate the high relaxation limit, it is suitable to use a functional framework that not only reflects the different behavior of the low and high frequencies (with threshold being located around ε^{-1}) but also emphasizes the better properties of the damped mode.

4.1. A 'cheap' result of convergence

Let us revert to the general class of systems (107) supplemented with initial data Z_0^{ε} . The structure assumptions that we made at the beginning of the section enable us to apply Theorem 2.2. In this subsection, we shall take advantage of elementary scaling considerations so as to establish that both $Z_1^{\varepsilon} - Z_{1,0}^{\varepsilon}$ and Z_2^{ε} converge strongly to 0 for suitable norms. The reader may refer to the next subsection for a more accurate result.

The starting observation is that if one makes the following change of time and space scale:

$$\widetilde{Z}(t,x) \triangleq Z^{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon t, \varepsilon x), \tag{109}$$

then Z^{ε} satisfies (107) if and only if \tilde{Z} satisfies (57).

Now, it turns out (see [2, Chapter 2]) that homogeneous Besov norms rescale as follows:

$$\|z(\varepsilon \cdot)\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}} \simeq \varepsilon^{s-d/2} \|z\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}.$$
(110)

¹⁰This phenomenon that is well known in physics is sometimes called *overdamping*.

Furthermore, by adapting the proof therein, one can prove that

$$\|z(\varepsilon\cdot)\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell} \simeq \varepsilon^{s-d/2} \|z\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|z(\varepsilon\cdot)\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{h} \simeq \varepsilon^{s-d/2} \|z\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{h,\varepsilon^{-1}}, \tag{111}$$

where we have used the notation

$$\|z\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell,\alpha} \triangleq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, \, 2^{j} < \alpha} 2^{js} \|\dot{\Delta}_{j}z\|_{L^{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|z\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{h,\alpha} \triangleq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, \, 2^{j} \ge \alpha} 2^{js} \|\dot{\Delta}_{j}z\|_{L^{2}}.$$
(112)

Putting together (110), (111), the change of unknowns (109) and Theorem 2.2 readily gives the following global existence result that is valid for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem 4.1. There exists a positive constant α such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and data Z_0^{ε} satisfying

$$\mathcal{Z}_{0}^{\varepsilon} \triangleq \| Z_{0}^{\varepsilon} \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon \| Z_{0}^{\varepsilon} \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}}^{h,\varepsilon^{-1}} \le \alpha,$$
(113)

system (107) supplemented with initial data Z_0^{ε} admits a unique global-in-time solution Z^{ε} satisfying the inequality

$$Z^{\varepsilon}(t) \le C Z_0^{\varepsilon} \tag{114}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}^{\varepsilon}(t) &\triangleq \| Z^{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon \| Z^{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1})}^{h,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon \| Z^{\varepsilon}_{1} \|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2+2}_{2,1})}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \| Z^{\varepsilon}_{2} \|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1})}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} \\ &+ \varepsilon^{-1/2} \| Z^{\varepsilon}_{2} \|_{L^{2}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \| Z^{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1})}^{h} + \| \partial_{t} Z^{\varepsilon}_{2} \|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})}^{\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

The above theorem implies that $Z_1^{\varepsilon} \to Z_1^{\varepsilon}(0)$ and that $Z_2^{\varepsilon} \to 0$ when $\varepsilon \to 0$. Indeed, from the definition (112), it is obvious that for all $\eta > 0$, $\beta \ge 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\|z\|_{\dot{B}^{s+\beta}_{2,1}}^{\ell,\eta} \lesssim \eta^{\beta} \|z\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{\ell,\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad \|z\|_{\dot{B}^{s-\beta}_{2,1}}^{h,\eta} \lesssim \eta^{-\beta} \|z\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,1}}^{h,\eta}.$$
(115)

Hence, using (114) and Hölder inequality yields

$$\|Z^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})}^{h,\varepsilon^{-1}} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}Z_{0}^{\varepsilon}.$$
(116)

Thanks to (113) and, again, to (114), this allows to get

$$\|Z_{2}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} \leq C\alpha\varepsilon^{1/2}.$$
(117)

In order to justify that $Z_1^{\varepsilon} \to Z_1^{\varepsilon}(0)$, one may bound $\partial_t Z_1^{\varepsilon}$ through (107) remembering that the blocks A_{11}^k are linear with respect to Z_2^{ε} . From the product law (69), and from (114) and (117), we get

$$\|\partial_t Z_1^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})} \lesssim \|Z_2^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} \|Z^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} \le C\alpha^2 \varepsilon^{1/2},$$

and thus

$$\|Z_1^{\varepsilon}(t) - Z_{1,0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} \le C\alpha^2 (\varepsilon t)^{1/2} \quad \text{for all } t \ge 0.$$
(118)

In conclusion, Z_2^{ε} tends to 0 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})$ with rate of convergence $\varepsilon^{1/2}$, and $Z_1^{\varepsilon} - Z_{1,0}^{\varepsilon}$ converges to 0 in $L^{\infty}([0, T]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})$ with rate $(\varepsilon T)^{1/2}$ for all T > 0.

4.2. Connections with porous media-like equations

In order to exhibit richer dynamics in the asymptotics $\varepsilon \to 0$, one may perform the following 'diffusive' rescaling:

$$(\widetilde{Z}_1^{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{Z}_2^{\varepsilon})(\tau, x) = (Z_1^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^{-1} Z_2^{\varepsilon})(\varepsilon^{-1}\tau, x).$$
(119)

Dropping the exponents ε for better readability, we get the following system for $(\tilde{Z}_1, \tilde{Z}_2)$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\tau} \tilde{Z}_{1} + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \tilde{A}_{11}^{k} (\tilde{Z}_{2}) \partial_{k} \tilde{Z}_{1} + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left(\bar{A}_{12}^{k} + \tilde{A}_{12}^{k} (\tilde{Z}_{1}) \right) \partial_{k} \tilde{Z}_{2} = 0, \\ \varepsilon^{2} \partial_{\tau} \tilde{Z}_{2} + \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left(\bar{A}_{22}^{k} + \tilde{A}_{22}^{k} (\tilde{Z}_{1}, \varepsilon \tilde{Z}_{2}) \right) \partial_{k} \tilde{Z}_{2} \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left(\bar{A}_{21}^{k} + \tilde{A}_{21}^{k} (\tilde{Z}_{1}) \right) \partial_{k} \tilde{Z}_{1} + L_{2} \tilde{Z}_{2} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(120)

From the second line, one can expect that

$$\widetilde{W} \triangleq \widetilde{Z}_2 + L_2^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^d \left(\overline{A}_{21}^k + \widetilde{A}_{21}^k (\widetilde{Z}_1) \right) \partial_k \widetilde{Z}_1 \right) \to 0.$$
(121)

In order to find out what could be the limit system for \tilde{Z}_1 , let us systematically express \tilde{Z}_2 in terms of \tilde{W} and \tilde{Z}_1 by means of (121) in the first line of (120). We get

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\tau} \widetilde{Z}_{1} &+ \sum_{k} \left(\widetilde{A}_{12}^{k} + \widetilde{A}_{12}^{k} (\widetilde{Z}_{1}) \right) \partial_{k} \widetilde{W} + \widetilde{A}_{11}^{k} (\widetilde{W}) \partial_{k} \widetilde{Z}_{1} \\ &+ \sum_{k,\ell} \left(\widetilde{A}_{12}^{k} + \widetilde{A}_{12}^{k} (\widetilde{Z}_{1}) \right) L_{2}^{-1} \partial_{k} \left(\left(\widetilde{A}_{21}^{\ell} + \widetilde{A}_{21}^{\ell} (\widetilde{Z}_{1}) \right) \partial_{\ell} \widetilde{Z}_{1} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k,\ell} \widetilde{A}_{11}^{k} \left(L_{2}^{-1} \left(\widetilde{A}_{21}^{\ell} + \widetilde{A}_{21}^{\ell} (\widetilde{Z}_{1}) \right) \partial_{\ell} \widetilde{Z}_{1} \right) \partial_{k} \widetilde{Z}_{1} = 0. \end{split}$$

Introducing the following second order operator:

$$\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sum_{k,\ell} \bar{A}_{12}^k L_2^{-1} \bar{A}_{2,1}^\ell \partial_k \partial_\ell, \tag{122}$$

the above equation may be rewritten:

$$\partial_{\tau} \widetilde{Z}_1 + \mathscr{A} \widetilde{Z}_1 + Q_1(\widetilde{Z}_1, \nabla^2 \widetilde{Z}_1) + Q_2(\nabla \widetilde{Z}_1, \nabla \widetilde{Z}_1) + T_1(\widetilde{Z}_1, \nabla \widetilde{Z}_1, \nabla \widetilde{Z}_1) + T_2(\widetilde{Z}_1, \widetilde{Z}_1, \nabla^2 \widetilde{Z}_1) = S,$$
(123)

where Q_1 , Q_2 (resp. T_1 , T_2) are bilinear (resp. trilinear) expressions that may be computed in terms of the coefficients of the matrices \tilde{A}_{11}^k , \tilde{A}_{12}^k and of L_2^{-1} , and

$$S \triangleq -\sum_{k=1}^{d} \left(\bar{A}_{12}^{k} + \tilde{A}_{12}^{k}(\tilde{Z}_{1}) \right) \partial_{k} \tilde{W} - \sum_{k=1}^{d} \tilde{A}_{11}^{k}(\tilde{W}) \partial_{k} \tilde{Z}_{1}.$$
(124)

Consequently, if (121) is true, then we expect \tilde{Z}_1 to tend to \tilde{N} with \tilde{N} satisfying

$$\partial_{\tau}\tilde{N} + \mathcal{A}\tilde{N} + Q_{1}(\tilde{N}, \nabla^{2}\tilde{N}) + Q_{2}(\nabla\tilde{N}, \nabla\tilde{N}) + T_{1}(\tilde{N}, \nabla\tilde{N}, \nabla\tilde{N}) + T_{2}(\tilde{N}, \tilde{N}, \nabla^{2}\tilde{N}) = 0.$$
(125)

Since we assumed both Condition (SK) and that $\overline{A}_{11}^k = 0$ for all $k \in \{1, ..., d\}$, Lemma A.3 in the appendix ensures that (125) is a quasilinear (scalar) parabolic equation.

Before justifying the above heuristics in the general case, let us consider the compressible Euler equations with high relaxation, that is

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varrho^{\varepsilon} + \operatorname{div}(\varrho^{\varepsilon} v^{\varepsilon}) = 0 & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \partial_t (\varrho^{\varepsilon} v^{\varepsilon}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho^{\varepsilon} v^{\varepsilon} \otimes v^{\varepsilon}) + \nabla(P(\varrho^{\varepsilon})) + \varepsilon^{-1} \varrho^{\varepsilon} v^{\varepsilon} = 0 & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$
(126)

Under the isentropic assumption

$$P(z) = Az^{\gamma} \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma > 1 \text{ and } A > 0, \tag{127}$$

the above system enters in the class (107) if reformulated in terms of $(c^{\varepsilon}, v^{\varepsilon})$, where

$$c^{\varepsilon} \triangleq \frac{(\gamma A)^{1/2}}{\widetilde{\gamma}} (\varrho^{\varepsilon})^{\widetilde{\gamma}} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\gamma} \triangleq \frac{\gamma - 1}{2}.$$
 (128)

Indeed, $(c^{\varepsilon}, v^{\varepsilon})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t c^{\varepsilon} + v^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla c^{\varepsilon} + \tilde{\gamma} c^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{div} v^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\ \partial_t v^{\varepsilon} + v^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla v^{\varepsilon} + \tilde{\gamma} c^{\varepsilon} \nabla c^{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{-1} v^{\varepsilon} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(129)

So, if we set $\overline{c} \triangleq \frac{(\gamma A)^{1/2}}{\tilde{\gamma}}(\overline{\varrho})^{\widetilde{\gamma}}$, then conditions (1) to (4) below (107) are satisfied with $Z_1^{\varepsilon} = c^{\varepsilon} - \overline{c}$ and $Z_2^{\varepsilon} = v^{\varepsilon}$.

Now, performing the diffusive rescaling:

$$(\tilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon}, \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon})(\tau, x) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} (\varrho^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^{-1} v^{\varepsilon})(\varepsilon^{-1} \tau, x),$$
(130)

system (126) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\tau} \widetilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon} + \operatorname{div}(\widetilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon} \widetilde{v}^{\varepsilon}) = 0 & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \\ \varepsilon^{2} \partial_{\tau}(\widetilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon} \widetilde{v}^{\varepsilon}) + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}(\widetilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon} \widetilde{v}^{\varepsilon} \otimes \widetilde{v}^{\varepsilon}) + \nabla(P(\widetilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon})) + \widetilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon} \widetilde{v}^{\varepsilon} = 0 & \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \end{cases}$$
(131)

and it is thus expected that

$$\nabla(P(\widetilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon})) + \widetilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon} \widetilde{v}^{\varepsilon} \to 0 \quad \text{when } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Plugging this relation in the mass conservation equation, we conclude that $\tilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon}$ formally converges to some solution \tilde{N} of the porous media equation:

$$\partial_{\tau}\tilde{N} - \Delta(P(\tilde{N})) = 0.$$
(132)

The general result we shall prove for system (107) reads as follows for the particular case of the isentropic Euler equations¹¹:

Theorem 4.2. For any $d \ge 1$, consider the Euler equations (126) in \mathbb{R}^d with pressure law (127) and initial data $(\varrho_0^{\varepsilon}, v_0^{\varepsilon})$ such that

$$(\varrho^{\varepsilon} - \overline{\varrho}) \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1} \quad and \quad v_0^{\varepsilon} \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}.$$

There exists $\alpha > 0$ *independent of* ε *such that if*

$$\|(\varrho_{0}^{\varepsilon} - \overline{\varrho}, v_{0}^{\varepsilon})\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon \|(\varrho_{0}^{\varepsilon} - \overline{\varrho}, v_{0}^{\varepsilon})\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1}}^{h,\varepsilon^{-1}} \le \alpha$$
(133)

then (126) supplemented with $(\varrho_0^{\varepsilon}, v_0^{\varepsilon})$ has a unique solution $(\varrho^{\varepsilon}, v^{\varepsilon})$ with $(\varrho^{\varepsilon} - \overline{\varrho}, v^{\varepsilon}) \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})$ satisfying in addition

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\varrho^{\varepsilon} - \overline{\varrho}, v^{\varepsilon})\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})}^{\ell, \varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon \|(\varrho^{\varepsilon} - \overline{\varrho}, v^{\varepsilon})\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})}^{h, \varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon^{1/2} \|\varrho^{\varepsilon} - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})} \\ &+ \|v^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})} + \varepsilon^{-1/2} \|v^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} \\ &+ \|\varepsilon^{-1}v^{\varepsilon} + (\varrho^{\varepsilon})^{-1} \nabla(P(\varrho^{\varepsilon}))\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})}^{\ell, \varepsilon^{-1}} \leq C\alpha. \end{aligned}$$
(134)

Furthermore, for any \widetilde{N}_0 in $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}$ such that

$$\|\widetilde{N}_0\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \leq \alpha$$

equation (132) has a unique solution \tilde{N} in the space $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+2})$ satisfying for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\|\widetilde{N}(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} + \int_0^t \|\widetilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+2}_{2,1}} \, d\,\tau \le C \,\|\widetilde{N}_0\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}}$$

Finally, if one denotes by $(\tilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon}, \tilde{\upsilon}^{\varepsilon})$ the rescaled solution of the Euler equations defined through (130) and assumes in addition that

$$\|\widetilde{N}_0 - \varrho_0^{\varepsilon}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} \le C\varepsilon,$$

then we have

$$\left\| \widetilde{v}^{\varepsilon} + \frac{\nabla(P(\widetilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon}))}{\widetilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon}} \right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} + \left\| \widetilde{N} - \widetilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})} + \left\| \widetilde{N} - \widetilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})} \leq C\varepsilon.$$
(135)

¹¹A more general statement allowing for Besov spaces constructed on L^p may be found in [10].

Proof. Let us assume for a while that $\varepsilon = 1$ so that one can readily take advantage of Theorem 2.3. As a first, we want to translate Theorem 2.3 in terms of ρ , where c and ρ (resp. \overline{c} and $\overline{\rho}$) are interrelated through (128).

On the one hand, inequality (61), the property of interpolation in Besov spaces and Hölder inequality with respect to the time variable imply that

$$\|c - \overline{c}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1})} \leq C \|(c_{0} - \overline{c}, v_{0})\|_{\widetilde{B}^{d/2,d/2+1}_{2,1}}.$$

On the other hand, using the fact that the composition inequality (70) is actually valid for all positive Besov exponents (see e.g. [2, Chapter 2]), we may write that

$$\|c - \overline{c}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+\alpha}_{2,1}} \approx \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+\alpha}_{2,1}} \quad \text{for } \alpha = 0, 1.$$

Finally, we note that $\partial_t v = -v - \rho^{-1} \nabla (P(\rho)) - v \cdot \nabla v$ and that

$$\|v \cdot \nabla v\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} \leq C \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} \|\nabla v\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})}.$$

Therefore, the last term of $\partial_t v$ may be 'omitted' in inequality (61), and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\varrho - \overline{\varrho}, v)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2, d/2+1})} + \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})}^{\ell} + \|v\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})} \\ &+ \|v\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})}^{\ell} + \|v + \varrho^{-1}\nabla(P(\varrho))\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} \\ &\leq C \|(\varrho_{0} - \overline{\varrho}, v_{0})\|_{\widetilde{B}_{2,1}^{d/2, d/2+1}}. \end{aligned}$$
(136)

Now, for general $\varepsilon > 0$, performing the rescaling (109) and remembering the equivalences (110) and (111) gives the first part of Theorem 4.2.

Finally, owing to the diffusive rescaling (130), the pair $(\tilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon}, \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon})$ satisfies

$$\partial_t \widetilde{\varrho}^\varepsilon - \Delta(P(\widetilde{\varrho}^\varepsilon)) = -\operatorname{div}(\widetilde{\varrho}^\varepsilon \widetilde{W}^\varepsilon) \quad \text{with} \quad \widetilde{W}^\varepsilon \triangleq \widetilde{v}^\varepsilon + \frac{\nabla(P(\widetilde{\varrho}^\varepsilon))}{\widetilde{\varrho}^\varepsilon}.$$

Thanks to (110), the bound for the last term in (136) translates into

$$\|\widetilde{W}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})} \leq C\alpha\varepsilon, \tag{137}$$

which completes the proof of (134).

Proving that $\tilde{\varrho}^{\varepsilon}$ tends to some solution \tilde{N} of (132) may be done exactly as below.

The following result gives explicit convergence rates for the strong relaxation limit in the general case.

Theorem 4.3. Assume that $l^2 d \ge 2$ and consider a system of type (120) for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Let the structure hypotheses listed below (107) be in force. There exists a positive constant α (independent of ε) such that for any initial data $\tilde{N}_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}$ for (125) and

¹²The one-dimensional case is tractable either under specific assumptions on the nonlinearities that are satisfied by the Euler equations, or in a slightly different functional framework. More details may be found in [10].

 ${\widetilde Z}_0^{\varepsilon}\in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}\cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}$ for (120) satisfying

$$\|\tilde{N}_0\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \le \alpha, \tag{138}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{0}^{\varepsilon} \triangleq \| \widetilde{Z}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon} \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon \| \widetilde{Z}_{0,2}^{\varepsilon} \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon \| \widetilde{Z}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon} \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon^{2} \| \widetilde{Z}_{0,2}^{\varepsilon} \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1}}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} \le \alpha, \quad (139)$$

system (125) admits a unique solution \tilde{N} in the space

$$\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+2}),$$

satisfying for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\|\widetilde{N}(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} + \int_0^t \|\widetilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+2}} \, d\,\tau \le C \,\|\widetilde{N}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}},\tag{140}$$

and system (120) has a unique global-in-time solution \tilde{Z}^{ε} in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1} \cap \dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1})$ such that

$$\begin{split} \|\widetilde{Z}_{1}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon \|\widetilde{Z}_{2}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon \|\widetilde{Z}_{1}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})}^{h,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon^{2}\|\widetilde{Z}_{2}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})}^{h,\varepsilon^{-1}} \\ &+ \|\widetilde{Z}_{1}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+2})}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon^{-1}\|\widetilde{Z}_{1}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})}^{h,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \|\widetilde{Z}_{2}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} \\ &+ \|\widetilde{Z}_{2}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})}^{\ell,\varepsilon^{-1}} + \varepsilon^{-1}\|\widetilde{W}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} \leq CZ_{0}^{\varepsilon}, \end{split}$$
(141)

where \tilde{W}^{ε} has been defined in (121).

If, in addition,

$$\|\widetilde{N}_0 - \widetilde{Z}_{1,0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} \le C\varepsilon,$$

then we have

$$\|\tilde{N} - \tilde{Z}_{1}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})} + \|\tilde{N} - \tilde{Z}_{1}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})} \le C\varepsilon.$$
(142)

Proof. Here we drop exponents ε for better readability. That (120) supplemented with initial data \tilde{Z}_0 admits a unique global solution satisfying (141) follows from Theorem 2.2 after suitable rescaling. Indeed, if we make the change of unknowns

$$(\tilde{Z}_1, \tilde{Z}_2)(\tau, x) = \left(\check{Z}_1, \frac{\check{Z}_2}{\varepsilon}\right) \left(\frac{\tau}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right),\tag{143}$$

then we discover that \tilde{Z} satisfies (120) if and only if \check{Z} is a solution to (57). Then, taking advantage of the equivalence of norms pointed out in (110) and (111) gives the desired global existence result and (141) up to the last term since defining the damped mode as in (85) would lead to the function

$$\tilde{Z}_{2} + L_{2}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left(\bar{A}_{21}^{k} + \tilde{A}_{21}^{k}(\tilde{Z}_{1}) \right) \partial_{k} \tilde{Z}_{1} + \varepsilon L_{2}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left(\bar{A}_{22}^{k} + \tilde{A}_{22}^{k}(\tilde{Z}_{1}, \varepsilon \tilde{Z}_{2}) \right) \partial_{k} \tilde{Z}_{2}.$$
(144)

However, combining inequality (141) (without the last term of course) with (115) ensures that

$$\|\widetilde{Z}_1\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+;\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} + \varepsilon \|\widetilde{Z}_2\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+;\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} + \|\nabla\widetilde{Z}_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+;\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} \lesssim Z_0^{\varepsilon}.$$

Hence, the last term of (144) is of order ε in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})$, and \tilde{W} does satisfy (141).

In order to prove the convergence of \tilde{Z}_1 to \tilde{N} , let us first verify that S defined in (124) is of order ε in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})$. As $d \ge 2$, it is just a matter of taking advantage of the product law (69) to get

$$\|S\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} \lesssim (1 + \|\widetilde{Z}_1\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}}) \|\nabla \widetilde{W}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} + \|\widetilde{W}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \|\nabla \widetilde{Z}_1\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}}.$$

Hence,

$$\|S\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})} \lesssim \left(1 + \|\tilde{Z}_{1}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})}\right) \|\tilde{W}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})}$$

and using (141) and the smallness of the initial data thus yields

$$\|S\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+;\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})} \le C\varepsilon\alpha.$$
(145)

Let us next briefly justify that any data \tilde{N}_0 satisfying (138) gives rise to a unique global solution \tilde{N} of (125) in $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+2})$ satisfying (140). In fact, since the operator \mathcal{A} is strongly elliptic, the parabolic estimates in Besov spaces with last index 1 recalled in Proposition A.1 ensure that any smooth enough global solution \tilde{N} satisfies for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{split} \|\widetilde{N}(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} &+ \int_{0}^{t} \|\widetilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+2}} \, d\tau \lesssim \|\widetilde{N}_{0}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|Q_{1}(\widetilde{N}, \nabla^{2}\widetilde{N})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} + \|Q_{2}(\nabla\widetilde{N}, \nabla\widetilde{N})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \right. \\ &+ \|T_{1}(\widetilde{N}, \nabla\widetilde{N}, \nabla\widetilde{N})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} + \|T_{2}(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{N}, \nabla^{2}\widetilde{N})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \right) d\tau. \end{split}$$

Using the stability of the space $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}$ by product and an obvious interpolation inequality, the nonlinear terms may be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{split} \|Q_{1}(\tilde{N},\nabla^{2}\tilde{N})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} &\lesssim \|\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \|\nabla^{2}\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \lesssim \|\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \|\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+2}}, \\ \|Q_{2}(\nabla\tilde{N},\nabla\tilde{N})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} &\lesssim \|\nabla\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}^{2} \lesssim \|\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \|\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+2}}, \\ \|T_{1}(\tilde{N},\nabla\tilde{N},\nabla\tilde{N})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} &\lesssim \|\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \|\nabla\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}}^{2} \lesssim \|\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+2}}, \\ \|T_{2}(\tilde{N},\tilde{N},\nabla^{2}\tilde{N})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} &\lesssim \|\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \|\nabla^{2}\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}} \lesssim \|\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+2}}. \end{split}$$

Hence, we have for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{N}(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} &+ \int_{0}^{\tau} \|\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+2}_{2,1}} \, d\tau \lesssim \|\tilde{N}_{0}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(1 + \|\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}}\right) \|\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1}} \|\tilde{N}\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2+2}_{2,1}} \, d\tau. \end{split}$$

Clearly, if the solution is small enough (which is ensured if the initial data is small) then, the last term of the right-hand side may be absorbed by the left-hand side, leading to Inequality (140). The above formal inequalities combined with a suitable contracting mapping argument (in the spirit of the one that is used e.g. for solving the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations, see details in [2, Chapter 5]), allow to conclude to the global existence of a solution to (125), fulfilling the desired properties.

To finish the proof of Theorem 4.3, we have to compare \tilde{Z}_1 with \tilde{N} . To proceed, let us subtract (125) from (123). We get the following equation for $\delta \tilde{N} \triangleq \tilde{Z}_1 - \tilde{N}$:

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\tau}\delta\tilde{N} + \mathcal{A}\delta\tilde{N} &= S - Q_{1}(\tilde{Z}_{1}, \nabla^{2}\delta\tilde{N}) - Q_{1}(\delta\tilde{N}, \nabla^{2}\tilde{N}) - Q_{2}(\nabla\tilde{Z}_{1}, \nabla\delta\tilde{N}) \\ &- Q_{2}(\nabla\delta\tilde{N}, \nabla\tilde{N}) - T_{1}(\delta\tilde{N}, \nabla\tilde{Z}_{1}, \nabla\tilde{Z}_{1}) - T_{1}(\tilde{N}, \nabla\delta\tilde{N}, \nabla\tilde{Z}_{1}) \\ &- T_{1}(\tilde{N}, \nabla\tilde{N}, \nabla\delta\tilde{N}) - T_{2}(\delta\tilde{N}, \tilde{Z}_{1}, \nabla^{2}\tilde{Z}_{1}) - T_{2}(\tilde{N}, \delta\tilde{N}, \nabla^{2}\tilde{Z}_{1}) \\ &- T_{2}(\tilde{N}, \tilde{N}, \nabla^{2}\delta\tilde{N}). \end{split}$$

Hence, by virtue of Proposition A.1, for all $t \ge 0$, we have

$$\begin{split} \|\delta \widetilde{N}\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})\cap L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1})} &\lesssim \|\delta \widetilde{N}(0)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} + \|S\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})} \\ &+ \|Q_{1}(\widetilde{Z}_{1}, \nabla^{2}\delta \widetilde{N})\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})} + \|Q_{1}(\delta \widetilde{N}, \nabla^{2} \widetilde{N})\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})} \\ &+ \|Q_{2}(\nabla \widetilde{Z}_{1}, \nabla\delta \widetilde{N})\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})} + \|Q_{2}(\nabla\delta \widetilde{N}, \nabla \widetilde{N})\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})} \\ &+ \|T_{1}(\delta \widetilde{N}, \nabla \widetilde{Z}_{1}, \nabla \widetilde{Z}_{1})\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})} + \|T_{1}(\widetilde{N}, \nabla\delta \widetilde{N}, \nabla \widetilde{Z}_{1})\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})} \\ &+ \|T_{1}(\widetilde{N}, \nabla \widetilde{N}, \nabla\delta \widetilde{N})\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})} + \|T_{2}(\delta \widetilde{N}, \widetilde{Z}_{1}, \nabla^{2} \widetilde{Z}_{1})\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})} \\ &+ \|T_{2}(\widetilde{N}, \delta \widetilde{N}, \nabla^{2} \widetilde{Z}_{1})\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})} + \|T_{2}(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{N}, \nabla^{2}\delta \widetilde{N})\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})}. \end{split}$$

So, using (69), the stability of $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}$ by product, (145), (138) and (140), we find that

$$\begin{split} \|\delta \widetilde{N}\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})\cap L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1})} &\lesssim \|\delta \widetilde{N}(0)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} + \|\widetilde{S}\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})} \\ &+ \left((1 + \|(\widetilde{N},\widetilde{Z}_{1})\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})})\|\widetilde{N}\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})} + \|\widetilde{Z}_{1}\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})}\right)\|\nabla\delta \widetilde{N}\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})} \\ &+ \|(\widetilde{N},\widetilde{Z}_{1})\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})}\|\nabla^{2}\widetilde{Z}_{1}\|_{L^{2}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})}\|\delta \widetilde{N}\|_{L^{2}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})} \\ &+ \left(\|\nabla^{2}\widetilde{N}\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})} + \|\nabla \widetilde{Z}_{1}\|_{L^{2}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})}^{2}\right)\|\delta \widetilde{N}\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})} \\ &\lesssim \|\delta \widetilde{N}(0)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} + \alpha\varepsilon + (\alpha + \alpha^{2})\|\delta \widetilde{N}\|_{L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1})\cap L^{\infty}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})}. \end{split}$$

Hence, as α is small enough, we get

$$\|\delta \widetilde{N}\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1})\cap L^{1}_{t}(\dot{B}^{d/2+1}_{2,1})} \lesssim \|\delta \widetilde{N}(0)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} + \alpha\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \ge 0,$$
(146)

which completes the proof of the theorem.

We end this section with a few remarks. The first one is that, for small ε , it is natural to modify the definition in (121) so as to have a damped mode that is expressed in terms of \tilde{Z}_2 and \tilde{N} . If we set

$$\check{W} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \widetilde{Z}_2 + L_2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\bar{A}_{21}^k + \tilde{A}_{21}^k(\tilde{N}) \right) \partial_k \tilde{N}, \tag{147}$$

then we have

$$\widetilde{W} - \check{W} = L_2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^d \left(A_{21}^k(\widetilde{N}) \partial_k \delta \widetilde{N} + \widetilde{A}_{21}^k(\delta \widetilde{N}) \partial_k \widetilde{Z}_1 \right) \cdot$$

In order to bound the right-hand side, one can observe that

$$\begin{split} \|A_{21}^{k}(\widetilde{N})\partial_{k}\delta\widetilde{N}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} &\lesssim \|\widetilde{N}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} \|\delta\widetilde{N}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2+1})}, \\ \|\widetilde{A}_{21}^{k}(\delta\widetilde{N})\partial_{k}\widetilde{Z}_{1}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} &\lesssim \|\delta\widetilde{N}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})} \|\partial_{k}\widetilde{Z}_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})}. \end{split}$$

Hence, taking advantage of inequalities (140), (141) and (142), and of interpolation inequalities yields

$$\|\tilde{W} - \check{W}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; \dot{B}^{d/2}_{2,1})} \le C\alpha \big(\|\delta \tilde{N}(0)\|_{\dot{B}^{d/2-1}_{2,1}} + \alpha\varepsilon\big), \tag{148}$$

which guarantees that \check{W} satisfies (121).

Note also that, since \tilde{Z}_1 is bounded in $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2})$ independently of ε , using (140) and (142), and interpolating, one obtains

$$\|\tilde{N} - \tilde{Z}_1\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}^{d/2-\beta}_{2,1})} \le C\varepsilon^{\beta}, \quad \beta \in (0,1).$$

Finally, observe that if we introduce the following rescaled solution of the limit system

$$N^{\varepsilon}(t,x) \triangleq \widetilde{N}(\varepsilon t,x),$$

then combining (142) with the definition of $\widetilde{Z}_1^{\varepsilon}$ in (119) yields

$$Z_1^{\varepsilon} = N^{\varepsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2-1})$$

which is, indeed, a more accurate information than what we had in Theorem 4.1 or in (118). Similarly, putting (142) and (148) together yields the following expansion:

$$Z_2^{\varepsilon} = -\varepsilon L_2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\overline{A}_{21}^k + \widetilde{A}_{21}^k (N^{\varepsilon}) \right) \partial_k N^{\varepsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{d/2}).$$

A. Appendix

The following classical result (see the proof in e.g. the appendix of [12]) has been used a number of times in this text.

Lemma A.1. Let $X: [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous function such that X^2 is differentiable. Assume that there exists a constant $c \ge 0$ and a measurable function $A: [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}X^2 + cX^2 \le AX \quad a.e. \text{ on } [0, T].$$

Then, for all $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$X(t) + c \int_0^t X(\tau) \, d\tau \le X_0 + \int_0^t A(\tau) \, d\tau.$$

We frequently took advantage of the fact that applying derivatives or, more generally, Fourier multipliers on spectrally localized functions is almost equivalent to multiplying by some constant depending only on the Fourier multiplier and on the spectral support.

This is illustrated by the classical Bernstein inequality that states (see e.g. [2, Chapter 2]) that for any R > 0 there exists a constant C such that for any $\lambda > 0$ and any function $u: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ with Fourier transform \hat{u} supported in the ball $B(0, R\lambda)$, we have

$$\|\partial^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{q}} \le C^{1+|\alpha|} \lambda^{|\alpha|+d(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \|u\|_{L^{p}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d}, \ 1 \le p \le q \le \infty.$$
(149)

The reverse Bernstein inequality asserts that, under the stronger assumption that \hat{u} is supported in the annulus $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : r\lambda \leq |x| \leq R\lambda\}$ for some 0 < r < R, then we have in addition,

$$\|u\|_{L^{p}} \le C\lambda^{-1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}}, \quad 1 \le p \le \infty.$$
(150)

A slight modification of the proof of (149) allows to extend the result to any smooth homogeneous multiplier: denoting by M a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ with homogeneity γ , there exists a constant C such that for any $\lambda > 0$ and any function $u: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ with Fourier transform \hat{u} supported in the annulus $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : r\lambda \leq |x| \leq R\lambda\}$, we have

$$\|M(D)u\|_{L^{q}} \le C\lambda^{\gamma+d(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}\|u\|_{L^{p}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d}, \ 1 \le p \le q \le \infty.$$
(151)

In the last section, in order to study the convergence to the limit system, we used maximal regularity estimates in Besov spaces with last index 1 for parabolic system. These estimates are well known for the heat equation (see e.g. [2, Chapter 2]). Below, we extend them to semi-groups generated by strictly elliptic homogeneous multipliers in the following meaning: we consider functions $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}; \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ homogeneous of degree γ , such that the matrix $\mathcal{A}(\xi)$ is Hermitian and for some c > 0, satisfies

$$(\mathcal{A}(\xi)z \cdot z) \ge c|\xi|^{\gamma}|z|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \ z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$
(152)

Proposition A.1. Let $z \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; S')$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z + \mathcal{A}(D)z = f & on \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ z|_{t=0} = z_0 & on \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$
(153)

Then, for any $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the following inequality holds true for all t > 0:

$$\|z(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}} + \int_{0}^{t} \|z\|_{\dot{B}^{s+\gamma}_{p,1}} \, d\tau \le C \left(\|z_{0}\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}} + \int_{0}^{t} \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}} \, d\tau \right). \tag{154}$$

Proof. If *z* satisfies (153) then for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\partial_t z_j + \mathcal{A}(D) z_j = f_j$$
 with $z_j \triangleq \dot{\Delta}_j z$ and $f_j \triangleq \dot{\Delta}_j f$.

Hence, according to Duhamel's formula,

$$z_j(t) = e^{-t\mathcal{A}(D)} z_{0,j} + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}(D)} f_j(\tau) \, d\tau.$$
(155)

Let us provisionally admit the following lemma.

Lemma A.2. There exist two constants c_0 and C such that the following inequality holds for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \ge 0$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$:

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{t\mathcal{A}(D)}z\|_{L^{p}} \le Ce^{-c_{0}2^{\gamma j}t}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}z\|_{L^{p}}.$$
(156)

Then, plugging (156) into (155) yields for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\|z_{j}(t)\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim e^{-c_{0}2^{\gamma j}t} \|z_{0,j}\|_{L^{p}} + \int_{0}^{t} e^{-c_{0}2^{\gamma j}(t-\tau)} \|f_{j}(\tau)\|_{L^{p}} d\tau.$$
(157)

Hence, taking the supremum on [0, t] (resp. integrating on [0, t]), we get for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\|z_j\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^p)} + 2^{\gamma j} \|z_j\|_{L^1(0,t;L^p)} \lesssim (1 - e^{-c_0 2^{\gamma j} t}) \big(\|z_{0,j}\|_{L^p} + \|f_j\|_{L^1(0,t;L^p)} \big).$$

Just bounding the prefactor in the right-hand side by 1, multiplying the two sides by 2^{js} and summing up on \mathbb{Z} yields the claimed inequality.

Proof of Lemma A.2. Thanks to the homogeneity of \mathcal{A} , using a suitable change of variables reduces the proof to the case j = 0. Indeed, if we set $\zeta(x) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} z(2^{-j}x)$, then we have $\dot{\Delta}_0 \zeta(2^j x) = \dot{\Delta}_j z(x)$ and

$$e^{-2^{\gamma j}\lambda \mathcal{A}(D)}\dot{\Delta}_0\zeta(2^j x) = e^{-\lambda \mathcal{A}(D)}\dot{\Delta}_j z(x), \quad \lambda \ge 0.$$

Then, consider a function ϕ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ with value 1 on a neighborhood of the support of φ and write that

$$e^{-t\mathcal{A}(D)}\dot{\Delta}_{0}\zeta = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\phi e^{-\lambda\mathcal{A}(\cdot)}\dot{\overline{\Delta}_{0}\zeta}\right)$$
$$= g_{\lambda}\star\dot{\Delta}_{0}u \quad \text{with} \quad g_{\lambda}(x) \triangleq (2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{i\,x\cdot\xi}\phi(\xi)e^{-\lambda\mathcal{A}(\xi)}\,d\xi.$$

If it is true that

$$\|g_{\lambda}\|_{L^1} \le C e^{-c_0 \lambda} \tag{158}$$

then using that the convolution product maps $L^1 \star L^p$ to L^p implies that

$$\|e^{-\lambda \mathcal{A}(D)}\dot{\Delta}_0\zeta\|_{L^p} \le \|g_\lambda\|_{L^1}\|\dot{\Delta}_0\zeta\|_{L^p} \le Ce^{-c_0\lambda}\|\dot{\Delta}_0\zeta\|_{L^p},$$

and we get (156) after reverting to the original variables.

In order to prove (158), it suffices to establish that

$$|g_{\lambda}(x)| \le C(1+|x|^2)^{-d}e^{-c_0\lambda}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \lambda > 0.$$

Now, integrating by parts, we get

$$(2\pi)^{d} g_{\lambda}(x) = (1 + |x|^{2})^{-d} h_{\lambda}(x)$$

with

$$h_{\lambda}(x) \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i x \cdot \xi} \phi(\xi) (\operatorname{Id} - \Delta_{\xi})^d \left(e^{-\lambda \mathcal{A}(\xi)} \right) d\xi.$$

Of course, the integral may be restricted to $\operatorname{Supp} \phi$ which is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. Owing to (152), on this subset, there exists a positive constant c_0 such that all the eigenvalues of the (Hermitian) matrix $\mathcal{A}(\xi)$ are bounded from below by $2c_0$. Now, since the differential of the exponential map may be computed by the formula

$$D e^{X} \cdot H = \int_0^1 e^{(1-\tau)X} H e^{\tau X} d\tau, \quad H \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R}),$$

the chain rule entails that

$$D_{\xi}(e^{-\lambda \mathcal{A}(\xi)}) \cdot H = -\lambda \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\lambda(1-\tau)\mathcal{A}(\xi)} (D_{\xi}\mathcal{A}(\xi) \cdot H) e^{-\lambda\tau \mathcal{A}(\xi)} d\tau.$$

Hence, there exist two constants C and C' such that

$$\left|D_{\xi}\left(e^{-\lambda\mathcal{A}(\xi)}\right)\right| \leq C'\lambda e^{-2c_{0}\lambda} \leq C e^{-c_{0}\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0, \ \xi \in \operatorname{Supp} \phi$$

By induction, one can get similar estimates for higher order derivatives of $\xi \mapsto e^{-\lambda \mathcal{A}(\xi)}$, which eventually yields

$$|h_{\lambda}(x)| \leq C e^{-c_0 \lambda}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \lambda > 0,$$

and completes the proof.

Remark A.1. In the case p = 2 one can work out a shorter proof, based on the Fourier– Plancherel theorem. However, it is interesting to point out that the very same result holds for any value of p in $[1, \infty]$ *including* 1 *and* ∞ , and with a constant independent of p. The following lemma ensures that in the setting of system (57), if both Condition (SK) and $A_{11}^k(\overline{V}) = 0$ for all $k \in \{1, ..., d\}$ are satisfied, then the second order differential operator \mathcal{A} defined in (122) is indeed strictly elliptic in the sense of Proposition A.1, with $\gamma = 2$.

Lemma A.3. Consider two $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices A and B such that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad and \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
(159)

with $A_{12} \in \mathcal{M}_{n_1,n_2}(\mathbb{C})$, $A_{21} \in \mathcal{M}_{n_2,n_1}(\mathbb{C})$, $A_{22} \in \mathcal{M}_{n_2,n_2}(\mathbb{C})$ and $B_{22} \in \mathcal{M}_{n_2,n_2}(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose also that B_{22} is positive. Then, B_{22} is invertible and the following two properties are equivalent:

- (1) The matrix $A_{12}B_{22}^{-1}A_{21}$ is a $n_1 \times n_1$ positive matrix.
- (2) Condition (SK) holds true (that is, the four equivalent conditions of Lemma 1.1 are satisfied).

Proof. The invertibility of B_{22} being obvious, let us first assume that $A_{12}B_{22}^{-1}A_{21}$ is positive. Then, the rank of A_{21} must be equal to n_1 and so does the rank of $B_{22}A_{21}$. Now, we observe that

$$BA = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B_{22}A_{21} & B_{22}A_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, the rank of $\begin{pmatrix} B \\ BA \end{pmatrix}$ is equal to $n_1 + n_2 = n$, and Condition (SK) is thus satisfied.

Conversely, if A has the special structure (159) then an easy induction reveals that the bottom left block of any positive power k of A ends with A_{21} . The same property clearly holds for BA^k that thus looks like

$$BA^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B_{22}C_{k}A_{21} & D_{k} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for some } C_{k}, D_{k} \in \mathcal{M}_{n_{2}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Now, since B_{22} is invertible, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\operatorname{rank}(B_{22}C_kA_{21}) \le \operatorname{rank}(A_{21}) = \operatorname{rank}(B_{22}A_{21}).$$

As the block at the bottom left of BA is equal to $B_{22}A_{21}$, one can conclude that, under assumption (159) we automatically have

$$\operatorname{rank} \begin{pmatrix} B \\ BA \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rank} \begin{pmatrix} B \\ BA \\ \vdots \\ BA^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, if we assume in addition that Condition (SK) is satisfied, then we must have $\operatorname{rank}(B_{22}A_{21}) = n_1$, and thus $\operatorname{rank}(A_{21}) = n_1$, too. Now, since ${}^t\overline{A}_{12} = A_{21}$, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1}$, we have

$$A_{12}B_{22}^{-1}A_{21}z \cdot z = B_{22}^{-1}A_{21}z \cdot A_{21}z.$$

As B_{22}^{-1} is positive, the right-hand side is nonnegative and vanishes if and only if $A_{21}z = 0$ and thus if and only if z = 0 since rank $(A_{21}) = n_1$. Hence, $A_{12}B_{22}^{-1}A_{21}$ is positive, which completes the proof.

Acknowledgments. The author is indebted to the anonymous referees for their relevant remarks and suggestions that contributed to improve substantially the organization of these notes.

Funding. The author has been partially supported by the ANR project INFAMIE (ANR-15-CE40-0011).

References

- S. Alinhac, Temps de vie des solutions régulières des équations d'Euler compressibles axisymétriques en dimension deux. *Invent. Math.* 111 (1993), no. 3, 627–670 Zbl 0798.35129 MR 1202138
- H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin, and R. Danchin, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 343, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011 Zbl 1227.35004 MR 2768550
- [3] K. Beauchard and E. Zuazua, Large time asymptotics for partially dissipative hyperbolic systems. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 199 (2011), no. 1, 177–227 Zbl 1237.35017 MR 2754341
- S. Benzoni-Gavage and D. Serre, *Multidimensional hyperbolic partial differential equations*. Oxford Math. Monogr., The Clarendon Press, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2007 Zbl 1113.35001 MR 2284507
- [5] S. Bianchini, B. Hanouzet, and R. Natalini, Asymptotic behavior of smooth solutions for partially dissipative hyperbolic systems with a convex entropy. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 60 (2007), no. 11, 1559–1622 Zbl 1152.35009 MR 2349349
- [6] R. Bianchini and R. Natalini, Nonresonant bilinear forms for partially dissipative hyperbolic systems violating the Shizuta–Kawashima condition. J. Evol. Equ. 22 (2022), no. 3, Paper No. 63 Zbl 1495.35116 MR 4455115
- [7] P. Brenner, The Cauchy problem for symmetric hyperbolic systems in L^p. Math. Scand. 19 (1966), 27–37 Zbl 0154.11304 MR 212427
- [8] C. Burtea, T. Crin-Barat and J. Tan, Relaxation limit for a damped one-velocity Baer–Nunziato model to a Kappila model. 2021, arXiv:2109.07746
- J.-Y. Chemin and N. Lerner, Flot de champs de vecteurs non lipschitziens et équations de Navier–Stokes. J. Differential Equations 121 (1995), no. 2, 314–328 Zbl 0878.35089 MR 1354312
- [10] T. Crin-Barat and R. Danchin, Global existence for partially dissipative hyperbolic systems in the L^p framework, and relaxation limit. *Math. Ann.*, 2022, DOI: 10.1007/s00208-022-02450-4
- T. Crin-Barat and R. Danchin, Partially dissipative hyperbolic systems in the critical regularity setting: the multi-dimensional case. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 165 (2022), 1–41 Zbl 1496.35311 MR 4470108
- T. Crin-Barat and R. Danchin, Partially dissipative one-dimensional hyperbolic systems in the critical regularity setting, and applications. *Pure Appl. Anal.* 4 (2022), no. 1, 85–125
 Zbl 1489.35193 MR 4419369

- [13] R. Danchin, Fourier analysis methods for compressible flows. In *Topics on compressible Navier–Stokes equations*, pp. 43–106, Panor. Synthèses 50, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2016 Zbl 1372.35214 MR 3616664
- [14] R. Danchin, Fourier analysis methods for the compressible Navier–Stokes equations. In *Handbook of mathematical analysis in mechanics of viscous fluids*, pp. 1843–1903, Springer, Cham, 2018 MR 3916807
- [15] R. Danchin, Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier–Stokes equations. *Invent. Math.* 141 (2000), no. 3, 579–614 Zbl 0958.35100 MR 1779621
- [16] R. Danchin and B. Ducomet, Existence of strong solutions with critical regularity to a polytropic model for radiating flows. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **196** (2017), no. 1, 107–153
 Zbl 1369.35055 MR 3600861
- [17] R. Danchin and J. Xu, Optimal time-decay estimates for the compressible Navier–Stokes equations in the critical L^p framework. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 224 (2017), no. 1, 53–90
 Zbl 1366.35126 MR 3609245
- [18] V. Giovangigli and L. Matuszewski, Structure of entropies in dissipative multicomponent fluids. *Kinet. Relat. Models* 6 (2013), no. 2, 373–406 Zbl 1262.35159 MR 3030716
- [19] V. Giovangigli and W.-A. Yong, Volume viscosity and internal energy relaxation: symmetrization and Chapman–Enskog expansion. *Kinet. Relat. Models* 8 (2015), no. 1, 79–116 Zbl 1310.35196 MR 3294214
- [20] S. K. Godunov, An interesting class of quasi-linear systems. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 139 (1961), 521–523 Zbl 0125.06002 MR 0131653
- [21] Y. Guo and Y. Wang, Decay of dissipative equations and negative Sobolev spaces. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 37 (2012), no. 12, 2165–2208 Zbl 1258.35157 MR 3005540
- [22] L. Hörmander, Hypoelliptic second order differential equations. Acta Math. 119 (1967), 147– 171 Zbl 0156.10701 MR 222474
- [23] S. Junca and M. Rascle, Strong relaxation of the isothermal Euler system to the heat equation.
 Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 53 (2002), no. 2, 239–264 Zbl 0997.35035 MR 1900673
- [24] S. Kawashima, Systems of a hyperbolic-parabolic composite type, with applications to the equations of magneto-hydrodynamics. Thesis, Kyoto University, 1984
- [25] S. Kawashima and W.-A. Yong, Dissipative structure and entropy for hyperbolic systems of balance laws. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 174 (2004), no. 3, 345–364 Zbl 1065.35187 MR 2107774
- [26] S. Kawashima and W.-A. Yong, Decay estimates for hyperbolic balance laws. Z. Anal. Anwend.
 28 (2009), no. 1, 1–33 Zbl 1173.35365 MR 2469713
- [27] C. Lin and J.-F. Coulombel, The strong relaxation limit of the multidimensional Euler equations. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **20** (2013), no. 3, 447–461 Zbl 1268.35099 MR 3057138
- [28] A. Matsumura and T. Nishida, The initial value problem for the equations of motion of viscous and heat-conductive gases. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 20 (1980), no. 1, 67–104 Zbl 0429.76040 MR 564670
- [29] J. Nash, Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations. Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 931–954 Zbl 0096.06902 MR 100158
- [30] Y.-J. Peng and V. Wasiolek, Uniform global existence and parabolic limit for partially dissipative hyperbolic systems. J. Differential Equations 260 (2016), no. 9, 7059–7092 Zbl 1343.35015 MR 3461095

- [31] P. Qu and Y. Wang, Global classical solutions to partially dissipative hyperbolic systems violating the Kawashima condition. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* 109 (2018), 93–146 Zbl 1394.35272 MR 3734977
- [32] D. Serre, Systems of conservation laws with dissipation. Lecture notes for a course given at the SISSA (Trieste), 2007
- [33] Y. Shizuta and S. Kawashima, Systems of equations of hyperbolic-parabolic type with applications to the discrete Boltzmann equation. *Hokkaido Math. J.* 14 (1985), no. 2, 249–275 Zbl 0587.35046 MR 798756
- [34] T. C. Sideris, Formation of singularities in three-dimensional compressible fluids. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 101 (1985), no. 4, 475–485 Zbl 0606.76088 MR 815196
- [35] T. C. Sideris, B. Thomases, and D. Wang, Long time behavior of solutions to the 3D compressible Euler equations with damping. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 28 (2003), no. 3-4, 795–816 Zbl 1048.35051 MR 1978315
- [36] C. Villani, Hypocoercivity. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 202 (2009), no. 950 Zbl 1197.35004 MR 2562709
- [37] Z. Xin and J. Xu, Optimal decay for the compressible Navier–Stokes equations without additional smallness assumptions. J. Differential Equations 274 (2021), 543–575
 Zbl 1454.76067 MR 4188989
- [38] J. Xu and S. Kawashima, Diffusive relaxation limit of classical solutions to the damped compressible Euler equations. J. Differential Equations 256 (2014), no. 2, 771–796 Zbl 1329.35238 MR 3121713
- [39] J. Xu and S. Kawashima, Global classical solutions for partially dissipative hyperbolic system of balance laws. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 211 (2014), no. 2, 513–553 Zbl 1293.35173 MR 3149065
- [40] J. Xu and S. Kawashima, The optimal decay estimates on the framework of Besov spaces for generally dissipative systems. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 218 (2015), no. 1, 275–315 Zbl 1323.35141 MR 3360739
- [41] J. Xu and Z. Wang, Relaxation limit in Besov spaces for compressible Euler equations. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 99 (2013), no. 1, 43–61 Zbl 1258.35163 MR 3003282
- [42] W.-A. Yong, Entropy and global existence for hyperbolic balance laws. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 172 (2004), no. 2, 247–266 Zbl 1058.35162 MR 2058165
- [43] Y. Zeng, Gas dynamics in thermal nonequilibrium and general hyperbolic systems with relaxation. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 150 (1999), no. 3, 225–279 Zbl 0966.76079 MR 1738119

Received 25 January 2022; revised 19 September 2022.

Raphaël Danchin

Université Paris Est Creteil, CNRS, LAMA, 94010 Creteil; and Université Gustave Eiffel, LAMA, 77447 Marne-la-Vallée, France; danchin@univ-paris12.fr