# Oscillations of BV measures on unbounded nested fractals

Patricia Alonso Ruiz and Fabrice Baudoin

Abstract. Motivated by recent developments in the theory of bounded variation functions on unbounded nested fractals, this paper studies the exact asymptotics of functionals related to the total variation measure associated with unions of  $n$ -cells. The oscillatory behavior observed implies the non-uniqueness of BV measures in this setting.

## 1. Introduction

Functions of bounded variation (BV) and their bounded variation measures are tightly connected to the geometry of the underlying space. Already in the 1920s, Caccioppoli characterized the perimeter measure of Euclidean sets as the BV measure associated with the corresponding indicator functions, cf. [\[6\]](#page-22-0). He observed that the perimeter of any measurable  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  coincides with the total variation norm of  $1_E$ , i.e.,

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
||D\mathbf{1}_E||(\mathbb{R}^d) := \sup \left\{ \int_E \operatorname{div} \phi \, dx : \phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d), ||\phi||_{\infty} \le 1 \right\} = \text{Perimeter}(E). \tag{1}
$$

This observation led to a general definition of sets with finite perimeter as being those for which the left hand side of [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is finite. Sets of finite perimeter are thus also referred to as Caccioppoli sets.

The above characterization provided a natural way to extend the concept of (finite) perimeter beyond the Euclidean setting that would consist in finding a suitable analogue of div $\phi$ . An especially successful approach was found in the concept of weak upper gradients, developed in seminal works by Koskela [\[14\]](#page-22-1) and Shanmugalingam [\[22\]](#page-23-0). This theory has led to many developments in the understanding of the interconnection between analytic and geometric properties of a variety of metric measure spaces admitting enough rectifiable curves. We refer the reader to [\[10\]](#page-22-2) and references therein.

Another successful approach to characterize the BV measure [\(1\)](#page-0-0) in the context of metric measure spaces, that bypasses the above mentioned rectifiability require-ments, relies on early work due to Korevaar and Schoen [\[13\]](#page-22-3). In this case,  $\Vert D1_{E}\Vert(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ 

*<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26A45; Secondary 28A80, 31C25, 31E05. *Keywords.* Fractals, functions of bounded variation, oscillations, perimeter measure, BV measures, heat semigroup, geometric functionals.

is comparable to

$$
\liminf_{r \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B(x,r)} \frac{|\mathbf{1}_E(x) - \mathbf{1}_E(y)|}{r^d} \, dy \, dx. \tag{2}
$$

The latter expression, with the same scaling  $\sqrt{r}$ , extends to Riemannian manifolds and more generally to Dirichlet spaces with Gaussian heat kernel estimates; see [\[1,](#page-21-0) [2,](#page-21-1) [19\]](#page-23-1). When the heat kernel satisfies sub-Gaussian estimates, as the nested fractals  $(X, d, \mu)$  considered in the present paper do, general BV functions and their total variation measures were introduced in [\[3,](#page-22-4) Section 4]. These measures are comparable to

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\nu_f(X) := \liminf_{r \to 0^+} \frac{1}{r^{\alpha_1 d_w}} \int_X \int_{B(x,r)} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{r^{d_h}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x), \tag{3}
$$

where  $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ ,  $d_h$  is the Hausdorff dimension and  $d_w$  is the so-called walk dimension of the space.  $\alpha_1$  is a suitable critical exponent that guarantees a finite non-trivial lim inf in [\(3\)](#page-1-0) for sufficiently many functions. In the case of Gaussian heat kernel estimates, this exponent is known to be  $\alpha_1 = 1/2$ , cf. [\[2,](#page-21-1) Section 4.2], while for unbounded nested fractals  $\alpha_1 = d_h/d_w$ ; see Section [2.4](#page-7-0) and [\[3,](#page-22-4) Section 4] for details. Intuitively and loosely speaking, the parameter  $d_h - \alpha_1 d_w$  can be interpreted as a minimal dimension of the measure theoretical boundary of open sets; see [\[3,](#page-22-4) Section 2.4]. One advantage of  $(3)$  is that it allows to perform rather explicit computations in specific examples, a feature that will be key in proving the non-uniqueness of BV measures in Section [4.3](#page-19-0) when  $f = 1_E$  and  $E \subset X$  is expressible as a finite union of cells. To that end, the BV measure [\(3\)](#page-1-0) will be expressed in terms of the functional

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_f(r) := \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \int_X \int_{B(x,r)} |f(x) - f(y)| d\mu(y) d\mu(x). \tag{4}
$$

A third approach to characterize the perimeter measure in the context of nested fractals and other Dirichlet spaces with sub-Gaussian heat kernel estimates makes use of the intrinsic diffusion process associated with the underlying space. Defining the functional

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{M}_f(t) := \int_X \int_X |f(x) - f(y)| p_t(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \tag{5}
$$

for any  $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ , it was proved in [\[3,](#page-22-4) Theorem 4.2] that BV functions are characterized as those integrable for which  $\sup_{r>0} r^{-\alpha_1 d_w} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_f(r)$  is finite, and for these it holds that

$$
\limsup_{t \to 0^+} \frac{1}{t^{d_h/d_w}} \mathcal{M}_f(t) \lesssim \limsup_{r \to 0^+} \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_f(r) \lesssim \liminf_{r \to 0^+} \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_f(r)
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim \liminf_{t \to 0^+} \frac{1}{t^{d_h/d_w}} \mathcal{M}_f(t). \tag{6}
$$

The first and third inequalities above follow from [\[3,](#page-22-4) Lemma 4.13], and the second from [\[3,](#page-22-4) Theorem 4.9]. The heat semigroup based characterization of BV functions combines ideas going back to de Giorgi [\[7\]](#page-22-5) and Ledoux [\[17\]](#page-22-6), which had been used to prove the analogue result in the Riemannian manifold setting with  $\alpha_1 = 1/2$ ; see [\[20\]](#page-23-2). In fact, the exact characterization of the BV measures, or total variation of functions, on a Riemannian manifold M through the heat semigroup has only been proved recently in [\[1\]](#page-21-0). Namely, for any BV function f, both lim sup and lim inf in [\(6\)](#page-1-1) coincide and moreover p

$$
||Df||(\mathbb{M}) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{t}} \mathcal{M}_f(t).
$$
 (7)

The present paper shows that nested fractals behave quite differently. In particular, we find unbounded nested fractals that present an oscillatory behavior of the BV measure of certain indicator functions. This fact, recorded in Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-0) is therefore a refine-ment of the estimates [\(6\)](#page-1-1) when  $f$  is the indicator function of a finite union of cells like those illustrated in Figure [1.](#page-2-1) Such functions were proved to be BV in [\[3,](#page-22-4) Theorem 5.1]. For such a union of *n*-cells  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} K^{(i)}$ , one defines its boundary  $\partial U$ to be the set of all vertex points that intersect its complement.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

Figure 1. Unions of cells in the Vicsek set (left) and in the Sierpinski gasket (right).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be unbounded nested fractals as described in Section [2,](#page-3-0) *with length scaling factor L, Hausdorff dimension*  $d_h$  *and walk dimension*  $d_w$ *. There exist positive and bounded periodic functions*  $\Phi$  *and*  $\Psi$  *with period*  $L^{-d_w}$  *and*  $L^{-1}$ *, respectively, such that for any finite union of cells*  $U \subset X$ *,* 

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \Phi(-\ln t) \frac{1}{t^{d_h/d_w}} \mathcal{M}_{1_U}(t) = \lim_{r \to 0^+} \Psi(\ln r) \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{1_U}(r) = |\partial U|.
$$
 (8)

*Here,*  $|\partial U|$  *equals the number of points in the boundary of U. In the case of the unbounded Vicsek set or Sierpiński gasket, the function*  $\Psi$  *is non-constant.* 

While this oscillatory behavior was expected in view of the on-diagonal oscillations of the heat kernel at small scales in these settings (see, e.g., [\[9,](#page-22-7) [11\]](#page-22-8)), the non-uniqueness of the BV measure is less straightforward to obtain. In fact, we can

presently prove the function  $\Psi$  in [\(8\)](#page-2-2) to be non-constant (see Section [4.3\)](#page-19-0), thus settling a question raised in [\[3,](#page-22-4) Remark 4.23]. However, the methods currently available fail to show that property for the function  $\Phi$ , which is also still open in the case of other heat-kernel related functionals [\[9\]](#page-22-7). Besides, the nature of the functional  $\mathcal{M}_f(t)$ seems to make the techniques that successfully led Kajino to prove on-diagonal oscillations in [\[11\]](#page-22-8) not applicable in this case. The question remains the subject of future investigations.

#### <span id="page-3-1"></span>**Conjecture 1.2.** *The periodic function*  $\Phi$  *in* [\(8\)](#page-2-2) *is non-constant.*

Proving Conjecture [1.2](#page-3-1) would illustrate further the stark contrast between the  $L<sup>1</sup>$ theory and the  $L^2$  theory on fractals since for any function f in the domain of the Dirichlet form  $\mathcal E$  associated with the heat kernel  $p_t(x, y)$ , one has the following exact limit

$$
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{1}{2t} \int_X \int_X |f(x) - f(y)|^2 p_t(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) = \mathcal{E}(f, f).
$$

The paper is organized as follows: Section [2](#page-3-0) describes the unbounded nested fractals considered as underlying spaces. Section [3](#page-9-0) deals with the part of the proof of The-orem [1.1](#page-2-0) concerning the heat kernel functional  $\mathcal{M}_{1_U}$ , while Section [4](#page-14-0) provides the proof corresponding to the Korevaar–Schoen functional  $\mathcal{M}_{1_U}$ . This last section also contains specific examples and proves the fact that  $\Psi$  is non-constant, which, in particular, implies the non-uniqueness of the BV measures.

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>2. Notations and set up

#### 2.1. Compact nested fractals

To set the framework and notation throughout the paper, this section briefly recalls the construction of planar simple nested fractals as introduced by Lindstrøm in [\[18\]](#page-23-3); see also [\[15\]](#page-22-9). For  $L > 1$ , an L-similitude is a map  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$
\psi(x) = L^{-1}A(x) + b,
$$

where A is a unitary linear map and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . The factor  $L^{-1}$  is called the contraction ratio of  $\psi$ . Given a collection of L-similitudes  $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^M$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists a unique non-empty compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$
K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{M} \psi_i(K) =: \Psi(K).
$$

Each map  $\psi_i$  has a unique fixed point  $q_i$  and we denote by  $V := \{q_i\}_{i=1}^M$  the set of all fixed points. A fixed point  $x \in V$  is called an essential fixed point if there exist  $y \in V$ and  $i \neq j$  such that  $\psi_i(x) = \psi_j(y)$ ; we denote by  $V^{(0)}$  the set of all essential fixed points. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we further write  $V^{(n)} := \Psi^n(V^{(0)})$  and

$$
V^{(\infty)} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V^{(n)}.
$$

Finally, we define the word spaces  $W_n := \{1, 2, ..., M\}^n$ , for each  $n \ge 1$ , and  $W_\infty :=$  $\{1, 2, \ldots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Each finite word  $w = (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in W_n$  addresses the map  $\psi_w :=$  $\psi_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{i_n}$  and the set  $A_w := \psi_w(A)$  for any  $A \subset K$ . Deviating from the original terminology in [\[18\]](#page-23-3) to the currently more established one,  $K_w$  will be called an *n*-cell with set of vertices  $V_w^{(0)}$ .

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(K, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_M)$  be as described above. The set K is called a nested fractal if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $|V^{(0)}| \geq 2;$
- (2) Connectivity. For any  $i, j \in W_1$ , there exists a sequence of 1-cells  $V_{i_0}^{(0)}$  $v_{i_0}^{(0)}, \ldots, V_{i_k}^{(0)}$ such that  $i_0 = i$ ,  $i_k = j$  and  $V_{i_{r-1}}^{(0)}$  $\overline{V}_{i_{r-1}}^{(0)} \cap V_{i_r}^{(0)} \neq 0$ , for  $1 \leq r \leq k$ ;
- (3) *Symmetry*. For any  $x, y \in V^{(0)}$ , the reflection in the hyperplane given by  $H_{xy} = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x - z| = |y - z|\}$  maps *n*-cells to *n*-cells;
- (4) *Nesting*. For any  $w, v \in W_n$  and  $w \neq v$ ,  $K_w \cap K_v = V_w^{(0)} \cap V_v^{(0)}$ ;
- (5) *Open set condition.* There exists a non-empty bounded open set U such that  $\psi_i(U)$ ,  $1 \le i \le M$ , are disjoint and  $\Psi(U) \subset U$ .

In addition, we will require that any two *n*-cells intersect at most at one point, i.e.,

$$
|V_v^{(0)} \cap V_w^{(0)}| \in \{0, 1\}
$$

for any  $v, w \in W_n$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This property may possibly follow from the conditions imposed for nested fractals and it is still an open question whether that is actually the case; see [\[4,](#page-22-10) Remark 5.25].

The parameters L and M are called the *length scaling factor* and the *mass scaling factor* of K, respectively. In particular, with respect to the Euclidean distance  $d(x, y)$ ,

$$
d(\psi_w(x), \psi_w(y)) = L^{-n}d(x, y),
$$

for any  $x, y \in K$  and  $w \in W_n$ , whereas the normalized Hausdorff measure  $\mu$  on K satisfies

$$
\mu(K_w) = \mu(\psi_w(K)) = M^{-n} \quad \text{for all } w \in W_n.
$$

The *Hausdorff dimension* of K is thus given by

$$
d_h = \frac{\log M}{\log L}.
$$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>The two main examples in the present paper are the Vicsek set and the Sierpinski gasket illustrated in Figure [2.](#page-5-0)



Figure 2. The Vicsek set (left) and the Sierpinski gasket (right).

**Example 2.2** (Sierpiński gasket). Let  $q_1 = 0, q_2 = 1$  and  $q_3 = e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}}$  be three points in  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$  *regarded as the vertices of an equilateral triangle of side length one. Further,*  $\hat{f}_i$  (*z*) :=  $\frac{1}{2}(z - q_i) + q_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . The Sierpiński gasket  $K_{SG}$  is the unique *non-empty compact set such that*

$$
K_{\rm SG}=\bigcup_{i=1}^3\psi_i(K_{\rm SG}).
$$

*Its associated standard measure is a normalized Hausdorff measure that satisfies*

$$
\mu(\psi_{i_1}\circ\cdots\circ\psi_{i_n}(K_{SG}))=3^{-n}
$$

*for*  $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ *. Thus,*  $K_{SG}$  *is a nested fractal with scaling factor*  $L_{SG} = 2$ *,* and mass scaling factor  $M_{\text{SG}} = 3$ . In particular,  $d_h = \frac{\log 3}{\log 2}$  $\frac{\log 3}{\log 2}$  and  $d_w = \frac{\log 5}{\log 2}$ log 2 *.*

**Example 2.3** (Vicsek set). Let  $q_1 = (0, 0), q_2 = (0, 1), q_3 = (1, 0)$  and  $q_4 = (1, 1)$ *be the corners of a unit square and let*  $q_5 = (1/2, 1/2)$ *. For each*  $1 \le i \le 5$  *define the map*  $\psi_i(z) := \frac{1}{3}(z - q_i) + q_i$ . The Vicsek set  $K_{\text{VS}}$  *is the unique non-empty compact set such that*

$$
K_{\rm VS} = \bigcup_{i=1}^5 \psi_i(K_{\rm VS}).
$$

*Its associated standard measure is a normalized Hausdorff measure that satisfies*

$$
\mu(\psi_{i_1}\circ\cdots\circ\psi_{i_n}(K_{\text{VS}}))=5^{-n}
$$

*for each*  $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ *. Thus, the Vicsek set*  $K_{VS}$  *is a nested fractal with scaling factor*  $L_{VS} = 3$  *and mass scaling factor*  $M_{VS} = 5$ *. In particular,*  $d_h = \frac{\log 5}{\log 3}$ log 3 *and*  $d_w = \frac{\log 15}{\log 3}$ log 3 *.*

#### 2.2. Unbounded nested fractals

An unbounded nested fractal arises as a blow-up of a compact nested fractal. We refer to [\[5,](#page-22-11) [12,](#page-22-12) [15\]](#page-22-9) and also [\[23\]](#page-23-4) for different constructions. Without loss of generality, we will assume from now on that  $\psi_1 = L^{-1}x$  and consider the unbounded nested fractal  $K^{\langle\infty\rangle}$  defined as

$$
K^{\langle\infty\rangle} := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K^{\langle n\rangle},
$$

where  $K^{(n)} = L^n K$ . Its associated set of essential fixed points is defined analogously as  $V_0^{(\infty)} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ , where  $V_n = L^n V^{(0)}$ , and  $V_n^{(\infty)} = L^n V^{(n)}$ . The associated standard Hausdorff measure, denoted by  $\mu^{(\infty)}$ , satisfies  $\mu^{(\infty)}(K^{(n)}) = M^n$  and it is  $d_h$ -Ahlfors regular, i.e.,

$$
cr^{d_h} \le \mu^{(\infty)}(B(x,r)) \le Cr^{d_h} \tag{9}
$$

for any  $x \in K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$  and  $r > 0$ .

#### 2.3. Brownian motion and heat kernels

Brownian motion  $(X_t)_{t>0}$  on a simple planar nested fractal K and its associated unbounded fractal  $K^{(\infty)}$  was rigororusly constructed in [\[16,](#page-22-13) [18\]](#page-23-3); see also [\[8,](#page-22-14) [15\]](#page-22-9). This Brownian motion is a Hunt diffusion process whose associated heat semigroup  $\{P_t^{K^{(\infty)}}\}_{t\geq 0}$  admits a jointly continuous heat kernel with respect to the  $d_h$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure  $\mu^{(\infty)}$ . We denote this kernel by  $p_t^{K^{(\infty)}}(x, y)$ . It satisfies the scaling property

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
p_t^{K^{(\infty)}}(x, y) = M p_{L^{dw}t}^{K^{(\infty)}}(Lx, Ly)
$$
\n(10)

for any  $x, y \in K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$  and  $t > 0$ , as well as the sub-Gaussian estimates

$$
c_1 t^{-d_h/d_w} \exp\left(-c_2 \left(\frac{d(x, y)^{d_w}}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{d_f-1}}\right)
$$
  
 
$$
\leq p_t^{K^{(\infty)}}(x, y) \leq c_3 t^{-d_h/d_w} \exp\left(-c_4 \left(\frac{d(x, y)^{d_w}}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{d_f-1}}\right)
$$
(11)

for every  $(x, y) \in K^{\langle \infty \rangle} \times K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$  and  $t > 0$ , cf. [\[15,](#page-22-9) Theorems 5.2 and 5.5]. The parameter  $d_J > 0$  is the dimension of the Brownian motion  $X_t$  in the shortest path metric, while the parameter  $d_w$  is the dimension of  $X_t$  in the Euclidean metric. The latter is usually called the walk dimension of  $K^{\langle\infty\rangle}$ .

The estimates [\(11\)](#page-6-0) were obtained under a particular assumption [\[15,](#page-22-9) Assumption 2.2] on the underlying metric that is satisfied in the case of planar simple nested fractals, cf. [\[12,](#page-22-12) Appendix]. Although in general  $d_J \neq d_w$ , we will assume throughout of the paper that  $d_J = d_w$  since that is the case in the examples that are presented. The main results are likely true after possibly minor changes without the assumption  $d<sub>I</sub>$  $d_{W}$ , however the paper focuses on building the most immediate counterexamples, leaving a generalization for possible future work.

The estimates [\(11\)](#page-6-0) also provide the following bound on the exit time of balls proved in [\[9,](#page-22-7) Lemma 2.3] which will be used in Lemma [3.2.](#page-10-0) Here and in the sequel, we define  $\tau_{U^c} := \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \notin U\}$  for any  $U \subset K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$ .

<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Lemma 2.4.** *For any closed set*  $U \subset K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$ *, there exist*  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  *such that* 

$$
\mathbb{P}_{x}(\tau_{U^{c}} < t) \leq c_{1} e^{-c_{2} \left( \frac{d(x, U^{c})^{dw}}{t} \right) \frac{1}{dw - 1}}
$$
(12)

*for any*  $x \in U$  *and*  $t > 0$ *.* 

In addition, Section [3](#page-9-0) will deal with the process killed outside of a compact set  $F \subset K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$ , whose associated Dirichlet heat kernel we denote by  $p_t^F(x, y)$ . For any  $A \subset F$  and  $x \in F$ , this kernel satisfies

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in A, t \le \tau_{F^c}) = \int_A p_t^F(x, y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(y), \tag{13}
$$

and the scaling invariance property

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
p_t^{\psi_w(F)}(\psi_w(x), \psi_w(y)) = M^n p_{L^{nd_w}t}^F(x, y)
$$
\n(14)

for any  $x, y \in F$ , and  $w \in W_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , cf. [\[9,](#page-22-7) Theorem 3.2 (ii)].

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>2.4. Korevaar–Schoen–Sobolev and BV spaces on fractals

The BV measures investigated in the present paper were introduced in [\[3\]](#page-22-4) to study the case  $p = 1$  of the heat semigroup based Besov spaces  $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(K^{\langle \infty \rangle})$  defined below. These spaces also admit a Korevaar–Schoen–Sobolev characterization, cf. Theorem [2.7,](#page-8-0) which will be key to prove in Section [4.3](#page-19-0) the non-trivial oscillations of the BV measures on the Vicsek set and the Sierpiński gasket.

**Definition 2.5** (Heat semigroup Besov classes). For any  $p \ge 1$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , define the heat semigroup Besov seminorm

$$
||f||_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{t>0} t^{-\alpha} \Big( \int_{K^{(\infty)}} \int_{K^{(\infty)}} p_t^{K^{(\infty)}}(x,y) |f(x) - f(y)|^p d\mu^{(\infty)}(x) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) \Big)^{1/p}
$$

and the heat semigroup based Besov class

$$
\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(K^{\langle\infty\rangle}) := \big\{ f \in L^p(K^{\langle\infty\rangle}, \mu^{\langle\infty\rangle}) : \|f\|_{p,\alpha} < \infty \big\}.
$$

It was proved in [\[21,](#page-23-5) Proposition 4.14] that  $(\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(K^{\langle \infty \rangle}), \| \cdot \|_{p,\alpha} + \| \cdot \|_{L^p(K,\mu)})$ is a complete Banach space.

**Definition 2.6** (BV class). For any  $r > 0$  and  $f \in L^1(K^{\langle \infty \rangle}, \mu^{\langle \infty \rangle})$ , let

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_f(r) := \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \int_{K^{(\infty)}} \int_{B(x,r) \cap K^{(\infty)}} |f(x) - f(y)| d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x).
$$

The BV class is defined as

$$
BV(K^{\langle \infty \rangle}) := \Big\{ f \in L^1(K^{\langle \infty \rangle}, \mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}) : \limsup_{r \to 0^+} \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_f(r) < \infty \Big\}.
$$

In the framework of unbounded nested fractals, the space  $BV(K^{\langle \infty \rangle})$  is known to contain linear combinations of indicator functions of *n*-cells (see [\[3,](#page-22-4) Theorem 5.1]), and is therefore dense in  $L^1(K^{\langle\infty\rangle}, \mu^{\langle\infty\rangle})$ . The main motivation for the present work is the close relation between the BV class  $BV(K^{(\infty)})$  and the Besov classes defined above. Introducing the functional

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{M}_f(t) := \int_{K^{(\infty)}} \int_{K^{(\infty)}} |f(x) - f(y)| p_t^{K^{(\infty)}}(x, y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y), \quad (15)
$$

for  $f \in L^1(K^{\langle \infty \rangle}, \mu^{\langle \infty \rangle})$ , an immediate consequence of [\[3,](#page-22-4) Theorem 4.24] is the following characterization of BV.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Theorem 2.7 (Heat semigroup characterization of BV functions). *For an unbounded nested fractal*  $K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$  *with Hausdorff dimension*  $d_h$  *and walk dimension*  $d_w$ *,* 

$$
BV(K^{\langle \infty \rangle}) = \mathbf{B}^{1, d_h/d_w}(K^{\langle \infty \rangle})
$$

*with equivalent norms. More precisely, there exist constants*  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  *such that for every*  $f \in BV(K^{\langle \infty \rangle}),$ 

$$
c_1 \sup_{t>0} t^{-d_h/d_w} \mathcal{M}_f(t) \leq \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_f(r) \leq c_2 \liminf_{t\to 0^+} t^{-d_h/d_w} \mathcal{M}_f(t).
$$

#### 2.5. A renewal lemma

One of the main ingredients in the proof of Theorem [3.1](#page-10-1) and Theorem [4.1](#page-15-0) is the following renewal lemma adapted from [\[9,](#page-22-7) Lemma 3.5]. Here and throughout the paper, given any two functions  $f, g: (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ , we will write

$$
f(t) \simeq g(t)
$$

if there exist constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that for every  $t \in (0, 1]$ ,

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
|f(t) - g(t)| \le c_1 e^{-c_2 t^{-\frac{1}{d_w - 1}}}.
$$
\n(16)

 $\blacksquare$ 

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Lemma 2.8** (Renewal lemma [\[9\]](#page-22-7)). Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta > 0$  with  $\alpha \ge 1$ ,  $\beta < 1$  and suppose  $f: (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$  is a continuous bounded function such that

$$
f(t) \simeq \alpha f(\beta t).
$$

*Then, there exists a periodic function*  $\theta$  *with period*  $\beta$  *such that, as*  $t \rightarrow 0^+,$ 

$$
f(t) = t^{-\frac{\ln \alpha}{\ln \beta}} \theta(-\ln t) + o(t^{-\frac{\ln \alpha}{\ln \beta}}).
$$

*Proof.* Let  $g(t) = e^{\gamma t} f(e^{-t})$ , where  $\gamma = -\frac{\ln \alpha}{\ln \beta}$  so that

$$
f(t) = t^{\gamma} g(-\ln t).
$$

For  $t \in (0, 1]$ , by definition of the relation  $\approx$  in [\(16\)](#page-8-1), we have

$$
|t^{\gamma}g(-\ln t) - \alpha\beta^{\gamma}t^{\gamma}g(-\ln t - \ln \beta)| \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 t^{-\frac{1}{d_w - 1}}},
$$

and therefore

$$
|t^{\gamma}g(-\ln t) - \alpha\beta^{\gamma}t^{\gamma}g(-\ln t - \ln \beta)| \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 t^{-\frac{1}{d_w - 1}}},
$$

which implies

$$
|g(-\ln t)-g(-\ln t-\ln \beta)|\leq c_1t^{-\gamma}e^{-c_2t^{-\frac{1}{d_w-1}}}.
$$

Thus, for  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$
|g(-\ln t)-g(-\ln t-\ln \beta)|\leq c_2t^{-\gamma},
$$

and hence

$$
|g(t) - g(t - \ln \beta)| \le c_1 e^{-c_2|t|}
$$

for some constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Setting

$$
\theta(t) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \bigl(g(t-k\ln\beta) - g(t-(k+1)\ln\beta)\bigr),
$$

the lemma follows.

## <span id="page-9-0"></span>3. Heat semigroup functional

The aim of this section is to prove the first part of the main result, Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-0) which involves the heat semigroup functional  $\mathcal{M}_f(t)$  from [\(15\)](#page-8-2).

<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$  be an unbounded nested fractal as in Section [2](#page-3-0) with Haus*dorff dimension* d<sup>h</sup> *and walk dimension* d<sup>W</sup> *. There exists a bounded periodic function*  $\Phi: (0, +\infty) \to [a, b]$  with period  $L^{-d_w}$  and  $0 < a \leq b$  such that, for any finite union *of n*-cells  $U \subset K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$ ,

$$
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \Phi(-\ln t) \frac{1}{t^{d_h/d_w}} \mathcal{M}_{1_U}(t) = |\partial U|,\tag{17}
$$

*where*  $|\partial U|$  *denotes the number of points in the boundary of* U.

The proof of Theorem [3.1](#page-10-1) follows a strategy developed by Hambly in [\[9\]](#page-22-7) and is divided into several lemmas presented in the next subsection.

#### 3.1. Preliminary lemmas

To show Theorem [3.1,](#page-10-1) we introduce the auxiliary functional

$$
\mathcal{M}_{A,B}(t) := \int_A \int_B p_t^{K^{(\infty)}}(x, y) \, d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) \, d\mu^{(\infty)}(x) \tag{18}
$$

for any two compact  $A, B \subset K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$  and  $t > 0$ . As a consequence of Lemma [4.5,](#page-16-0) the asymptotic behavior of the functional  $\mathcal{M}_{A,B}(t)$  will mainly depend on the behavior of the heat kernel near  $A \cup B$ . In the sequel, the r-neighborhood of any  $A \subset K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$  will be denoted by

$$
A_r := \{ x \in K^{\langle \infty \rangle} : d(x, A) \le r \}.
$$

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Lemma 3.2.** *For any compact sets*  $A, B \subset K^{\{\infty\}}$  *and*  $r > 0$ *,* 

$$
\mathcal{M}_{A,B}(t) \simeq \int_A \int_B p_t^{(A \cup B)_r}(x, y) \, d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) \, d\mu^{(\infty)}(x).
$$

*Proof.* By definition of Dirichlet kernel, cf. [\(13\)](#page-7-1),

$$
\int_A \int_B p_t^{(A\cup B)_r}(x,y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x) = \int_A \mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in B, t \le \tau_{((A\cup B)_r)^c}) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x).
$$

Moreover,

$$
\mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in B) = \mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in B, t \leq \tau_{((A \cup B)_r)^c}) + \mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in B, t > \tau_{((A \cup B)_r)^c});
$$

hence

$$
|\mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in B) - \mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in B, t \le \tau_{((A \cup B)_r)^c})| \le \mathbb{P}_x(t > \tau_{((A \cup B)_r)^c})
$$
  

$$
\le c_1 e^{-c_2 \left(\frac{d(x, ((A \cup B)_r)^c)^{dw}}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{dw-1}}},
$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma [2.4.](#page-7-2) Since  $d(x, ((A \cup B)_r)^c) \ge r$  for any  $x \in A$ , we obtain

$$
\mathbb{P}_{x}(t > \tau_{((A\cup B)_{r})^{c}}) \leq c_{1}e^{-c_{2}\left(\frac{r^{dw}}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{dw-1}}},
$$

which yields

$$
\left| \int_A \int_B p_t^{K^{(\infty)}}(x, y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x) - \int_A \int_B p_t^{(A \cup B)_r}(x, y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x) \right|
$$
  
 
$$
\leq c_1 \mu^{(\infty)}(A) e^{-c_2 \left(\frac{r dv}{t}\right) \frac{1}{dw - 1}}.
$$

The next step consists in proving a scaling and a localization property of the functional  $M_{A,B}(t)$  that will allow us to compare its behavior across different levels. In particular, when the sets A, B are well separated, the associated functional  $M_{A,B}(t)$ becomes asymptotically negligible.

<span id="page-11-1"></span>**Lemma 3.3** (Scaling lemma). *For any*  $w \in W_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , and compact sets A, B  $\subset$  $K^{\langle\infty\rangle},$ 

$$
\mathcal{M}_{A,B}(t) \simeq M^n \mathcal{M}_{\psi_w(A),\psi_w(B)}(L^{-nd_w}t). \tag{19}
$$

*Proof.* From Lemma [3.2](#page-10-0) and the scaling property of the heat kernel [\(14\)](#page-7-3),

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\psi_w(A),\psi_w(B)}(t)
$$
\n
$$
\simeq \int_{\psi_w(A)} \int_{\psi_w(B)} p_t^{(\psi_w(A)\cup\psi_w(B))_r}(x,y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x)
$$
\n
$$
\simeq M^{-2n} \int_A \int_B p_t^{(\psi_w(A)\cup\psi_w(B))_r} (\psi_w(x), \psi_w(y)) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x)
$$
\n
$$
\simeq M^{-2n} \int_A \int_B p_t^{\psi_w((A\cup B)_L - n_r)} (\psi_w(x), \psi_w(y)) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x)
$$
\n
$$
\simeq M^{-n} \int_A \int_B p_{L^{nd}_{w}t}^{(A\cup B)_L - n_r}(x,y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x)
$$
\n
$$
\simeq M^{-n} \int_A \int_B p_{L^{nd}_{w}t}^{K^{(\infty)}}(x,y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x)
$$
\n
$$
\simeq M^{-n} M_{A,B}(L^{nd_{w}}t).
$$

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Lemma 3.4** (Localization lemma). Let  $A, B \subset K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$  be compact and let  $\widetilde{A} \subset A$ ,  $\widetilde{B} \subset B$  *be such that*  $d(\widetilde{A}, B \setminus \widetilde{B}) > 0$ ,  $d(A \setminus \widetilde{A}, B \setminus \widetilde{B}) > 0$  and  $d(A \setminus \widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}) > 0$ . *Then,*

$$
\mathcal{M}_{A,B}(t) \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{A},\widetilde{B}}(t).
$$

*In particular, if*  $d(A, B) > 0$ *,* 

$$
\mathcal{M}_{A,B}(t)\simeq 0.
$$

*Proof.* Decomposing  $A \times B$  accordingly,

$$
\mathcal{M}_{A,B}(t) = \int_{A} \int_{B} p_{t}^{K(\infty)}(x, y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x)
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{\widetilde{A}} \int_{\widetilde{B}} p_{t}^{K(\infty)}(x, y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{\widetilde{A}} \int_{B \setminus \widetilde{B}} p_{t}^{K(\infty)}(x, y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{A \setminus \widetilde{A}} \int_{B \setminus \widetilde{B}} p_{t}^{K(\infty)}(x, y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{A \setminus \widetilde{A}} \int_{\widetilde{B}} p_{t}^{K(\infty)}(x, y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x).
$$

The result now follows by observing that the upper heat kernel estimate  $(11)$  implies

$$
p_t^{K^{(\infty)}}(x, y) \le c_1 t^{-d_h/d_w} \exp\left(-c_2 \left(\frac{d(U_1, U_2)^{d_w}}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{d_w-1}}\right)
$$

for any sets  $U_1, U_2 \subset K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$  and  $x \in U_1$  and  $y \in U_2$ .

*Remark* 3.5*.* The results presented in this section actually hold in a much larger class of fractals than that of unbounded nested ones, including infinitely ramified fractals. Proceeding further will however require to restrict ourselves to the framework of unbounded nested fractals.

#### 3.2. Proof of Theorem [3.1](#page-10-1)

We will now combine the results in the previous sections to prove Theorem [3.1.](#page-10-1) When doing so, it becomes necessary to stay in the framework of nested fractals as defined in Definition [2.1.](#page-4-0) In particular, the assumption

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
|K_w \cap K_v| \in \{0, 1\} \tag{20}
$$

 $\blacksquare$ 

for any *n*-cells  $K_w$ ,  $K_v$  and  $n \ge 1$  will play an important role.

<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Lemma 3.6.** *Let*  $w, v \in W_n$ ,  $w \neq v$ . *There exists a periodic function*  $\theta_{v,w}$ :  $(0, +\infty) \rightarrow$  $\mathbb R$  *with period*  $L^{-d_w}$  *such that* 

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{M}_{K_w,K_v}(t) = |K_w \cap K_v| \, t^{\frac{d_h}{d_w}} \theta_{v,w}(-\ln t) + o\big(t^{\frac{d_h}{d_w}}\big) \tag{21}
$$

 $as t \to 0^+$ .

*Proof.* Assume first  $K_w \cap K_v = \{q\}$ . Consider the similitude  $\psi$  with fixed point q and contraction factor  $L^{-1}$ . The localization property in Lemma [3.4](#page-11-0) implies

$$
\mathcal{M}_{K_w,K_v}(t) \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\Psi(K_w),\Psi(K_v)}(t).
$$

Moreover, due to the scaling in property in Lemma [3.3,](#page-11-1) we also have

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\Psi(K_w),\Psi(K_v)}(t) \simeq M \mathcal{M}_{K_w,K_v}(L^{-d_w}t).
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathcal{M}_{K_w,K_v}(t) \simeq M \mathcal{M}_{K_w,K_v}(L^{-d_w}t)
$$

and the renewal Lemma [2.8](#page-9-1) yields [\(21\)](#page-12-0). If  $K_w \cap K_v = \emptyset$ , then  $d(K_v, K_w) > 0$ , so  $M_{K_w,K_v}(t) \simeq 0$  and the result follows as well.

Finally we show that the periodic function is independent of the  $n$ -cells considered.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Lemma 3.7.** *There exists a periodic function*  $\theta$ :  $(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  *with period*  $L^{-d_w}$  *such that for any pair of intersecting n*-cells  $K_w$ ,  $K_v$ ,

$$
\lim_{s \to +\infty} [\theta_{w,v}(s) - \theta(s)] = 0.
$$

*Proof.* Indeed, one has first

$$
\mathcal{M}_{K_w,K_v}(t) \simeq \int_{K_w} \int_{K_v} p_t^{K_w \cup K_v}(x,y) \, d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(y) \, d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(x).
$$

By scaling invariance and symmetry,

$$
\int_{K_w} \int_{K_v} p_t^{K_w \cup K_v}(x, y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(x)
$$
  

$$
\simeq \int_{K_1} \int_{K_2} p_t^{K_1 \cup K_2}(x, y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(x),
$$

where  $K_1, K_2$  are two 1-cells that intersect at one point. Thus,  $\mathcal{M}_{K_w,K_v}(t) \simeq$  $\mathcal{M}_{K_1,K_2}(t)$  and Lemma [3.6](#page-12-1) implies the assertion with  $\theta := \theta_{1,2}$ .

*Proof of Theorem [3.1.](#page-10-1)* Recall that  $K^{(\infty)}$  satisfies [\(20\)](#page-12-2), e.g., it is an unbounded nested fractal like those based on the Sierpiński gasket or the Vicsek set. Let  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} K_{w_i}$ be a finite (connected) union of  $n$ -cells. Then,

$$
\int_{K^{(\infty)}} \int_{K^{(\infty)}} |1_{U}(x) - 1_{U}(y)| p_{t}^{K^{(\infty)}}(x, y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y)
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \int_{U} \int_{U^{c}} p_{t}^{K^{(\infty)}}(x, y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y)
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \sum_{i \in I} \int_{K_{w_{i}}} \int_{U^{c}} p_{t}^{K^{(\infty)}}(x, y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y)
$$
\n
$$
\approx 2 \sum_{i \in I} \int_{K_{w_{i}}} \int_{K_{w_{i}}^{*}} p_{t}^{K^{(\infty)}}(x, y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x) d\mu^{(\infty)}(y),
$$

where

$$
K_{w_i}^* := \{K_w, w \in W_n : K_w \subset \overline{K^{(\infty)} \setminus U}, d(K_w, K_{w_i}) = 0\}
$$

<span id="page-14-1"></span>denotes the set of *n*-cells in  $K^{\langle \infty \rangle} \backslash U$  that intersect  $K_w$  at one point. Figure [3](#page-14-1) shows an example in the Sierpiński gasket.



**Figure 3.** The set U is drawn in red. The two blue cells correspond to  $K_w^*$ .

Noting that  $\sum_i |K_{w_i}^*| = |\partial U|$ , by virtue of Lemmas [3.6](#page-12-1) and [3.7,](#page-13-0) there exists a periodic function  $\theta = 2\theta_{1,2}$  such that

$$
\mathcal{M}_{1_U}(t) = |\partial U| t^{\frac{d_h}{d_w}} \theta(-\ln t) + o\left(t^{\frac{d_h}{d_w}}\right).
$$

Finally, since  $1_U \in BV(K^{\langle \infty \rangle})$ , it follows from Theorem [2.7](#page-8-0) that

$$
\liminf_{t \to 0} t^{-\frac{d_h}{d_w}} \mathcal{M}_{1_U}(t) > 0
$$

and

$$
\limsup_{t\to 0}t^{-\frac{d_h}{d_w}}\mathcal{M}_{1_U}(t)<+\infty;
$$

 $\blacksquare$ 

hence  $\theta$  is bounded from below and above and the conclusion follows.

## <span id="page-14-0"></span>4. Korevaar–Schoen functional

The aim of this section is to show the part of the main result, Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-0) concerning the Korevaar–Schoen-type functional

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_f(r) := \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \int_{K^{(\infty)}} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(x) - f(y)| d\mu^{(\infty)}(y) d\mu^{(\infty)}(x),
$$

for  $f \in BV(X)$ . The functional  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_f(r)$  may be regarded as the metric-measure theoretic version of  $\mathcal{M}_f$ ; note that the factor  $r^{-d_h}$  is necessary to obtain the correct scaling property.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $K^{(\infty)}$  be an unbounded nested fractal. There exists a bounded *periodic function*  $\Psi : (0, \infty) \to [c, d]$  *with period*  $L^{-1}$  *and*  $0 < c < d$  *such that, for any finite union of n-cells*  $U \subset K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$ ,

<span id="page-15-2"></span>
$$
\liminf_{r \to 0^+} \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \Psi(-\ln r) \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{1_U}(r) = |\partial U|,\tag{22}
$$

*where*  $|\partial U|$  *is the number of points in the boundary of* U.

*Remark* 4.2. We stress that the function  $\Psi$  is independent of the set U; see Lemma [4.6.](#page-17-0)

To show Theorem [4.1,](#page-15-0) we will make use of the auxiliary functional

<span id="page-15-3"></span>
$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{A,B}(r) := \frac{1}{r^{d_H}} \int_A \int_{B \cap B(x,r)} d\mu(y) \, d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{r^{d_H}} \int_A \mu^{\langle \infty \rangle} (B \cap B(x,r)) \, d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(x),\tag{23}
$$

where  $A, B \subset K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$  and  $r > 0$ .

#### 4.1. Scaling and localization

We start by obtaining the analogue of the scaling Lemma [3.3;](#page-11-1) note that the walk dimension  $d_w$  is not visible yet.

<span id="page-15-1"></span>**Lemma 4.3.** *For any*  $w \in W_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , and compact sets  $A, B \subset K^{(\infty)}$ ,

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{A,B}(r) = M^n \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\psi_w(A), \psi_w(B)}(L^{-n}r). \tag{24}
$$

 $\blacksquare$ 

*The same holds when*  $\psi_w$  *is replaced by an invariant rotation of itself.* 

*Proof.* With the change of variables  $x = \psi_w(z)$  and since  $M = L^{d_H}$ , we have

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\psi_w(A),\psi_w(B)}(r) = \frac{1}{r^{d_H}} \int_{\psi(A)} \int_{\psi_w(B) \cap B(x,r)} d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(x)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{r^{d_H}} \int_A \int_{\psi_w(B) \cap B(\psi_w(z),r)} M^{-n} d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(z)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{r^{d_H}} M^{-n} \int_A \int_{\psi_w(B \cap B(z,L^n r))} d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(z)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{r^{d_H}} M^{-2n} \int_A \int_{B \cap B(z,L^n r)} d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(z)
$$
  
\n
$$
= M^{-n} \Big( \frac{L^{-n}}{r} \Big)^{d_H} \int_A \int_{B \cap B(z,L^n r)} d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(z)
$$
  
\n
$$
= M^{-n} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{A,B}(rL^n).
$$

We now move on to proving the analogue of the localization Lemma [3.4.](#page-11-0)

<span id="page-16-1"></span>**Lemma 4.4** (Localization lemma). Let  $A, B \subset K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$  be compact and suppose  $\widetilde{A} \subset A$ ,  $\widetilde{B} \subset B$  are such that  $d(\widetilde{A}, B \setminus \widetilde{B}) > r_0$ ,  $d(A \setminus \widetilde{A}, B \setminus \widetilde{B}) > r_0$  and  $d(A \setminus \widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}) > r_0$ *for some*  $r_0 > 0$ *. Then,* 

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{A,B}(r) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\widetilde{A},\widetilde{B}}(r)
$$

*holds for any*  $0 < r \le r_0$ *. In particular, if* A, B *satisfy*  $d(A, B) > r_0 > 0$ *, then* 

<span id="page-16-2"></span>
$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{A,B}(r) = 0\tag{25}
$$

*for any*  $0 < r < r_0$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let  $0 < r \le r_0$ . Splitting the double integral,

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{A,B}(r) = \frac{1}{r^{d_H}} \int_{\widetilde{A}} \int_{\widetilde{B} \cap B(x,r)} d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(x)
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{r^{d_H}} \int_{\widetilde{A}} \int_{(B \setminus \widetilde{B}) \cap B(x,r)} d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(x)
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{r^{d_H}} \int_{A \setminus \widetilde{A}} \int_{\widetilde{B} \cap B(x,r)} d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(x)
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{r^{d_H}} \int_{A \setminus \widetilde{A}} \int_{(B \setminus \widetilde{B}) \cap B(x,r)} d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(y) d\mu^{\langle \infty \rangle}(x)
$$

and the last three terms vanish because  $d(\tilde{A}, B \setminus \tilde{B}) > r_0$  implies that  $B(x, r) \cap$  $(B \setminus \widetilde{B}) = \emptyset$  for any  $x \in \widetilde{A}$ , whereas  $d(A \setminus \widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}) > r_0$  implies  $B(x, r) \cap \widetilde{B} = \emptyset$  for any  $x \in A \setminus \widetilde{A}$ .

#### 4.2. Finitely ramified nested fractals

This section again concentrates on the case of unbounded nested fractals like the unbounded Sierpiński gasket, where any two *n*-cells  $K_w$ ,  $K_v$  intersect at most at one point, i.e.,

$$
|K_w \cap K_v| \in \{0, 1\}.
$$
 (26)

It is now that the walk dimension appears when we take into account that the critical exponent is  $\alpha_1 = \frac{d_h}{d_w}$  $\frac{a_h}{d_w}$ , cf. [\[3,](#page-22-4) Theorem 5.1].

<span id="page-16-0"></span>**Lemma 4.5.** *For any*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  *and*  $v, w \in W_n$ *, there exists a periodic function*  $\theta_{v,w}$ *:*  $(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  *such that* 

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_v,K_w}(r) = r^{\alpha_1 d_w} \theta_{v,w}(-\ln r) + o(r^{d_H})
$$

 $as r \to 0^+$ .

*Proof.* Assume first  $K_v \cap K_w = \{q\}$ . Let  $\psi$  denote the similitude having q as fixed point. Choosing  $0 < r < L^{-1}$ , we have that the sets  $K_v$ ,  $\psi(K_v)$  and  $K_w$ ,  $\psi(K_w)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma [4.4](#page-16-1) with  $A = K_v$ ,  $\tilde{A} = \psi(K_v)$ ,  $B = K_w$  and  $\tilde{B} =$  $\psi(K_w)$ . Together with the scaling Lemma [4.3,](#page-15-1) this implies

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_v,K_w}(r) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\psi(K_v),\psi(K_w)}(r) = M^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_v,K_w}(rL).
$$

In the case that  $K_v \cap K_w = \emptyset$ , the cells are separated at least by a factor  $L^{-1}$ , whence [\(25\)](#page-16-2) implies  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_v,K_w}(r) = 0$ . Applying the renewal Lemma [2.8](#page-9-1) yields

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_v, K_w}(r) = r^{-\frac{\log M}{\log L - 1}} \theta_{v,w}(-\ln r) + o(r^{-\frac{\log M}{\log L - 1}})
$$
\n
$$
= r^{\frac{\log M}{\log L}} \theta_{v,w}(-\ln r) + o(r^{\frac{\log M}{\log L}})
$$
\n
$$
= r^{d_h} \theta_{v,w}(-\ln r) + o(r^{d_h}) = r^{\frac{d_h}{d_w} d_w} \theta_{v,w}(-\ln r) + o(r^{d_h}).
$$

As in the case treated in Section [3,](#page-9-0) a consequence of the translation and rotation invariance of the functional  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_v,K_w}(r)$  is that the periodic function appearing in Lemma [4.5](#page-16-0) is independent of the pair of cells  $K_v$ ,  $K_w$ .

<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Lemma 4.6.** *There exists a periodic function*  $\theta$ :  $(0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  *with period*  $L^{-1}$  *such that for any*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  *and any pair of intersecting cells*  $K_v$ ,  $K_w \subset K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$  *with*  $v, w \in W_n$ ,

$$
\liminf_{s \to \infty} [\theta_{v,w}(s) - \theta(s)] = 0.
$$

*Proof.* Let  $K_1, K_2 \subset K^{(\infty)}$  be two 1-cells that intersect at one point. Note that  $K_2 \cap B(x, r)$  is empty for any  $x \in K_1$  with  $0 < r < L^{-n}$  and thus translation and rotation invariance imply

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_v, K_w}(r) = \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \int_{K_v} \int_{K_w \cap B(x,r)} d\mu(y) d\mu(x)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \int_{K_1} \int_{K_2 \cap B(x,r)} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_1, K_2}(r)
$$

for  $r > 0$  sufficiently small. By virtue of Lemma [4.5,](#page-16-0) there exist periodic functions  $\theta_{v,w}(s)$  and  $\theta_{1,2}(s)$  such that

$$
\liminf_{s \to \infty} (\theta_{v,w}(s) - \theta_{1,2}(s)) = \liminf_{r \to 0^+} \theta_{v,w}(-\ln r) - \theta_{1,2}(-\ln r))
$$
  
= 
$$
\liminf_{r \to 0^+} (r^{-d_h} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_v, K_w}(r) - r^{-d_h} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_1, K_2}(r)) = 0,
$$

which gives the assertion with  $\theta := \theta_{1,2}$ .

*Proof of Theorem [4.1.](#page-15-0)* Write  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} K_{w_i}$ ,  $N > 0$ , where  $K_{w_i} \subset K^{\langle \infty \rangle}$  are *n*-cells. As in Theorem [3.1,](#page-10-1) define, for each  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , the index set

$$
J_{w_i} := \{j = 1, \ldots, N: K_{w_j} \subset \overline{K^{(\infty)} \setminus U}, d(K_{w_i}, K_{w_j}) = 0\}
$$

and note that  $d(K_{w_i}, K_{w_j}) = 0$  is equivalent to  $d(K_{w_i}, K_{w_j}) < r$  for any  $0 < r <$ diam  $K_{w_i}/2$ . Thus, for each such r, we obtain

$$
\mathcal{M}_{1_U}(r) = \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \int_{K^{(\infty)}} \int_{B(x,r) \cap K^{(\infty)}} |1_U(x) - 1_U(y)| d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \n= \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \int_U \int_{B(x,r) \cap U^c} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \n+ \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \int_{U^c} \int_{B(x,r) \cap U} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \n= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \int_{K_{wi}} \int_{B(x,r) \cap U^c} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \n+ \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \int_{U^c} \int_{B(x,r) \cap K_{wi}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \n= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \in J_{w_i}} \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \int_{K_{wi}} \int_{B(x,r) \cap K_{w_j}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \n+ \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \in J_{w_i}} \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \int_{K_{wj}} \int_{B(x,r) \cap K_{w_i}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \n= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \in J_{w_i}} (\mathcal{M}_{K_{w_i}, K_{w_j}}(r) + \mathcal{M}_{K_{w_j}, K_{w_i}}(r)).
$$

By symmetry, Lemmas [4.5](#page-16-0) and [4.6](#page-17-0) imply that, as  $r \to 0^+$ , the latter sum equals

$$
2\sum_{i=1}^N|J_{w_i}|(r^{-\alpha_1d_w}\theta_{1,2}(-\ln r)+o(r^{d_h}))=2|\partial U|(r^{-\alpha_1d_w}\theta_{1,2}(-\ln r)+o(r^{d_h}));
$$

hence [\(22\)](#page-15-2) holds with  $\Psi(z) = (2\theta_{1,2}(z))^{-1}$ .

To justify that the lim inf is non-zero we invoke the definition of variation introduced in [\[3,](#page-22-4) Section 4.2] that is given by

$$
\text{Var}(f) = \liminf_{r \to 0^+} \frac{1}{r^{\alpha_1 d_w}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_f(r),
$$

:

where  $\alpha_1 > 0$  is the critical exponent. From [\[3,](#page-22-4) Theorem 5.1], it follows that  $\alpha_1 d_w$  =  $d_h$  for p.c.f. fractals, which, together with [\[3,](#page-22-4) Theorem 4.9], yields

$$
\liminf_{r \to 0^+} \frac{1}{r^{d_h}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{1_U}(r) = \text{Var}(1_U) \ge C \|1_U\|_{1, d_h/d_w}
$$

Since  $\mathbf{1}_U$  is a non-constant function, the seminorm above is non-zero and hence [\(22\)](#page-15-2) is also non-zero as long as  $\Psi$  is non-zero.

#### <span id="page-19-0"></span>4.3. Non-existence of the limit

The geometric nature of the functional  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{A,B}$  defined in [\(23\)](#page-15-3) makes it possible to prove the non-trivial oscillations of the function  $\Psi$  in [\(22\)](#page-15-2). In this section we continue working with p.c.f. nested fractals and provide explicit details for the case of the Sierpiński gasket and the Vicsek set.

First, note that the proof of Theorem [4.1](#page-15-0) indicates that it suffices to study the (non)convergence of  $\mathcal{M}_{K_u,K_v}(r)$  for any pair of *n*-cells that meet at a point  $p \in V^{(n)}$ . Because the latter functional can be written as

<span id="page-19-2"></span>
$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_u,K_v}(r) = \frac{1}{r^{d_H}} \mu \otimes \mu\big(\{(x,y) \in K_u \times K_v : d(x,y) \le r\}\big),\tag{27}
$$

<span id="page-19-1"></span>the main idea consists in approximating that quantity for different sequences  $\{r_m\}_{m>1}$ with  $r_m \to 0^+$  as  $m \to \infty$ . The choice of the sequences in the following lemma is based in the observation illustrated in Figure [4.](#page-19-1)



Figure 4. Intersections of *n*-cells with balls of radius  $r_n$  (left) and  $r'_n$  (right) in the Sierpinski gasket (above) and the Vicsek set (below).

On the one hand, if  $p \in V^{(n)}$  is the vertex of an *n*-cell  $K_w$  and  $r_n := (\text{diam } K) L^{-n}$ ,

$$
B(p,r) \cap K_w = K_w \quad \text{for all } r > r_n,\tag{28}
$$

while

$$
B(p,r) \cap K_w \subsetneq K_w \quad \text{for all } r < r_n. \tag{29}
$$

On the other hand, a ball  $B(p, r')$  with radius

$$
r' > r'_n := (\text{diam } K)L^{-n} + (\text{diam } K)L^{-n-1}
$$

will also cover those  $(n + 1)$ -cells in the  $(n - 1)$ -cell that contained  $K_w$  but did not belong to  $K_w$  itself. That is,

$$
B(p,r) \cap K_w = K_w \cup K_w^* \quad \text{for all } r > r'_n,\tag{30}
$$

where

$$
K_w^* := \bigcup_{\substack{\tilde{w} \in W_{n+1} \\ K_{\tilde{w}} \cap K_w^c \neq \emptyset}} K_{\tilde{w}},\tag{31}
$$

and

$$
B(p,r) \cap K_w \subset K_w \cup K_w^* \quad \text{for all } r < r'_n. \tag{32}
$$

Finally, notice that the number of  $(n + 1)$ -cells in  $K_w^*$  is independent of the cell and the level. Using the previous notation, the non-existence of the limit [\(22\)](#page-15-2) follows from the next lemma.

<span id="page-20-0"></span>**Lemma 4.7.** *Let*  $(K_u, K_v)$  *denote a pair of n-cells with*  $K_u \cap K_v = \{p\}$  *and let* 

$$
R := #\{i \in W_n : i \neq 1, K_i \cap K_1^c \neq \emptyset\}.
$$
 (33)

*For any* m > n *large enough,*

$$
\mu \otimes \mu(\{(x, y) \in K_u \times K_v : d(x, y) \le r_m\})
$$
  
= 
$$
\begin{cases} 2M^{-m} & \text{for } r_m = (\text{diam } K)L^{-m}, \\ 2M^{-m}(1 + RM^{-1}) & \text{for } r_m = (\text{diam } K)L^{-m}(1 + L^{-1}). \end{cases}
$$
 (34)

*Proof.* Let  $p \in V_n \setminus V_{n-1}$  be the vertex where the pair of n-cells  $(K_u, K_v)$  intersect. By construction (see also Figure [4\)](#page-19-1), for  $m > n$  sufficiently large, we have

$$
(K_u \cup K_v) \cap B(p, r_m) = \begin{cases} K_{wu} \cup K_{wv} \cup \{p\} & \text{for } r_m = (\text{diam } K)L^{-m}, \\ K_{wu}^* \cup K_{wv}^* \cup \{p\} & \text{for } r_m = (\text{diam } K)L^{-m}(1 + L^{-1}), \end{cases}
$$
(35)

where  $w \in W_{m-n+1}$  and  $K_{wu}$ ,  $K_{wv}$  denote the  $(m + 1)$ -cells that intersect at p, and  $K_{wu}^*$ ,  $K_{wv}^*$  their corresponding (outer)  $L^{-(m+1)}$ -neighborhoods.

On the one hand, the pairs of cells  $(K_{wu}, K_{wv})$  only intersect at one point, hence

$$
\mu \otimes \mu(\{(x, y) \in K_{wu} \times K_{wv}: d(x, y) \le L^{-m}\})
$$
  
=  $\mu((K_{wu} \cup K_{wv}) \cap B(p, L^{-m}))$   
=  $\mu(K_{wu} \cup K_{wv}) = 2\mu(K_{wu}) = 2M^{-m}.$ 

On the other hand,

$$
\mu \otimes \mu(\{(x, y) \in K_{wu} \times K_{wv}: d(x, y) \le L^{-m}(1 + L^{-1})\})
$$
  
= 
$$
\mu((K_{wu}^* \cup K_{wv}^*) \cap B(p, L^{-m}(1 + L^{-1}))
$$
  
= 
$$
\mu(K_{wu}^* \cup K_{wv}^*) = 2\mu(K_{wu}^*) = 2M^{-m}(1 + RM^{-1}).
$$

Since  $M = L^{d_h}$ , in view of [\(27\)](#page-19-2) and Lemma [4.7,](#page-20-0) we can compute explicitly the two limits and confirm that they are different.

**Corollary 4.8.** For any pair of n-cells  $(K_u, K_v)$  in a p.c.f. nested fractal,

$$
\lim_{m \to \infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_u, K_v}(r_m) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } r_m = (\text{diam } K)^{-m}, \\ 2(1 + 2M^{-1}) & \text{for } r_m = (\text{diam } K)L^{-m}(1 + L^{-1}). \end{cases}
$$
(36)

*Consequently, the limit* [\(22\)](#page-15-2) *does not exist.*

In particular, we have  $R = 2$  in the Sierpinski gasket and  $R = 1$  in the Vicsek set.

Acknowledgements. The authors are thankful to the referee for helpful comments that improved the original version of the manuscript.

Funding. The first author was partly supported by the NSF grant DMS 1951577. The second author was partly supported by the NSF grant DMS 1901315.

## References

- <span id="page-21-0"></span>[1] P. Alonso-Ruiz and F. Baudoin, Yet another heat semigroup characterization of BV functions on Riemannian manifolds. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, to appear
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>[2] P. Alonso-Ruiz, F. Baudoin, L. Chen, L. Rogers, N. Shanmugalingam, and A. Teplyaev, Besov class via heat semigroup on Dirichlet spaces II: BV functions and Gaussian heat kernel estimates. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 59 (2020), no. 3, Paper No. 103 Zbl [1441.31007](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1441.31007&format=complete) MR [4102351](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4102351)
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>[3] P. Alonso-Ruiz, F. Baudoin, L. Chen, L. Rogers, N. Shanmugalingam, and A. Teplyaev, Besov class via heat semigroup on Dirichlet spaces III: BV functions and sub-Gaussian heat kernel estimates. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 60 (2021), no. 5, Paper No. 170 Zbl [1477.31042](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1477.31042&format=complete) MR [4290383](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4290383)
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>[4] M. T. Barlow, Diffusions on fractals. In *Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1995)*, pp. 1–121, Lecture Notes in Math. 1690, Springer, Berlin, 1998 Zbl [0916.60069](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0916.60069&format=complete) MR [1668115](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1668115)
- <span id="page-22-11"></span>[5] M. T. Barlow, Analysis on the Sierpinski carpet. In *Analysis and geometry of metric measure spaces*, pp. 27–53, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013 Zbl [1280.28009](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1280.28009&format=complete) MR [3060498](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3060498)
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>[6] R. Caccioppoli, Misura e integrazione sugli insiemi dimensionalmente orientati. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. (8)* 12 (1952), 3–11 Zbl [0048.03704](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0048.03704&format=complete) MR [47118](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=47118)
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>[7] E. De Giorgi, Su una teoria generale della misura  $(r - 1)$ -dimensionale in uno spazio ad r dimensioni. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* 36 (1954), 191–213 Zbl [0055.28504](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0055.28504&format=complete) MR [62214](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=62214)
- <span id="page-22-14"></span>[8] M. Fukushima, Dirichlet forms, diffusion processes and spectral dimensions for nested fractals. In *Ideas and methods in mathematical analysis, stochastics, and applications (Oslo, 1988)*, pp. 151–161, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992 Zbl [0764.60081](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0764.60081&format=complete) MR [1190496](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1190496)
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>[9] B. M. Hambly, Asymptotics for functions associated with heat flow on the Sierpinski carpet. *Canad. J. Math.* 63 (2011), no. 1, 153–180 Zbl [1208.35012](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1208.35012&format=complete) MR [2779136](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2779136)
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>[10] J. Heinonen, P. Koskela, N. Shanmugalingam, and J. T. Tyson, *Sobolev spaces on metric measure spaces*. New Mathematical Monographs 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015 Zbl [1332.46001](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1332.46001&format=complete) MR [3363168](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3363168)
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>[11] N. Kajino, On-diagonal oscillation of the heat kernels on post-critically finite self-similar fractals. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 156 (2013), no. 1-2, 51–74 Zbl [1277.28011](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1277.28011&format=complete) MR [3055252](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3055252)
- <span id="page-22-12"></span>[12] K. Kaleta, M. Olszewski, and K. Pietruska-Pałuba, Reflected Brownian motion on simple nested fractals. *Fractals* 27 (2019), no. 6, 1950104 Zbl [1434.60117](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1434.60117&format=complete) MR [4020776](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4020776)
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>[13] N. J. Korevaar and R. M. Schoen, Global existence theorems for harmonic maps to nonlocally compact spaces. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 5 (1997), no. 2, 333–387 Zbl [0908.58007](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0908.58007&format=complete) MR [1483983](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1483983)
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>[14] P. Koskela, Upper gradients and Poincaré inequalities. In *Lecture notes on analysis in metric spaces (Trento, 1999)*, pp. 55–69, Appunti Corsi Tenuti Docenti Sc., Scuola Norm. Sup., Pisa, 2000 Zbl [1075.46030](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1075.46030&format=complete) MR [2023123](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2023123)
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>[15] T. Kumagai, Estimates of transition densities for Brownian motion on nested fractals. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 96 (1993), no. 2, 205–224 Zbl [0792.60073](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0792.60073&format=complete) MR [1227032](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1227032)
- <span id="page-22-13"></span>[16] S. Kusuoka, Dirichlet forms on fractals and products of random matrices. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 25 (1989), no. 4, 659–680 Zbl [0694.60071](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0694.60071&format=complete) MR [1025071](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1025071)
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>[17] M. Ledoux, Semigroup proofs of the isoperimetric inequality in Euclidean and Gauss space. *Bull. Sci. Math.* 118 (1994), no. 6, 485–510 Zbl [0841.49024](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0841.49024&format=complete) MR [1309086](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1309086)
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>[18] T. Lindstrøm, Brownian motion on nested fractals. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 83 (1990), no. 420 Zbl [0688.60065](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0688.60065&format=complete) MR [988082](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=988082)
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>[19] N. Marola, M. Miranda, Jr., and N. Shanmugalingam, Characterizations of sets of finite perimeter using heat kernels in metric spaces. *Potential Anal.* 45 (2016), no. 4, 609–633 Zbl [1353.30054](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1353.30054&format=complete) MR [3558354](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3558354)
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>[20] M. Miranda, Jr., D. Pallara, F. Paronetto, and M. Preunkert, Short-time heat flow and functions of bounded variation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* (6) **16** (2007), no. 1, 125–145 Zbl [1142.35445](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1142.35445&format=complete) MR [2325595](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2325595)
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>[21] P. A. Ruiz, F. Baudoin, L. Chen, L. G. Rogers, N. Shanmugalingam, and A. Teplyaev, Besov class via heat semigroup on Dirichlet spaces I: Sobolev type inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* 278 (2020), no. 11, Article ID 108459 Zbl [07179616](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:07179616&format=complete) MR [4075578](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4075578)
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>[22] N. Shanmugalingam, Newtonian spaces: an extension of Sobolev spaces to metric measure spaces. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 16 (2000), no. 2, 243–279 Zbl [0974.46038](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0974.46038&format=complete) MR [1809341](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1809341)
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>[23] R. S. Strichartz, Fractals in the large. *Canad. J. Math.* 50 (1998), no. 3, 638–657 Zbl [0913.28005](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0913.28005&format=complete) MR [1629847](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1629847)

Received 28 January 2022; revised 19 September 2022.

#### Patricia Alonso Ruiz

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, Mailstop 3368, College Station, TX 77843-3368, United States; [paruiz@tamu.edu](mailto:paruiz@tamu.edu)

#### Fabrice Baudoin

Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut, 341 Mansfield Road, U1009 Storrs, CT 06269-1009, United States; [fabrice.baudoin@uconn.edu](mailto:fabrice.baudoin@uconn.edu)