

# A Characterization for Fourier Hyperfunctions

By

Jaeyoung CHUNG\*, Soon-Yeong CHUNG\*\* and Dohan KIM\*\*\*

## Abstract

The space of test functions for Fourier hyperfunctions is characterized by two conditions  $\sup|\varphi(x)|\exp k|x| < \infty$  and  $\sup|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)|\exp h|\xi| < \infty$  for some  $h, k > 0$ . Combining this result and the new characterization of Schwartz space in [1] we can easily compare two important spaces  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  which are both invariant under Fourier transformations.

## §0. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give new characterization of the space  $\mathcal{F}$  of test functions for the Fourier hyperfunctions.

In [6], K. W. Kim, S. Y. Chung and D. Kim introduce the real version of the space  $\mathcal{F}$  of test functions for the Fourier hyperfunctions as follows,

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \varphi \in C^\infty \mid \sup_{a,x} \frac{|\partial^a \varphi(x)| \exp k|x|}{h^{|\alpha|} \alpha!} < \infty \quad \text{for some } k, h > 0 \right\}.$$

They also show the equivalence of the above definition and Sato-Kawai's original definition in complex form.

Also, in [1] J. Chung, S. Y. Chung and D. Kim give new characterization of the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}$ , i.e., show that for  $\varphi \in C^\infty$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ ;
- (2)  $\sup|x^\alpha \varphi(x)| < \infty$ ,  $\sup|\partial^\beta \varphi(x)| < \infty$  for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ;
- (3)  $\sup|x^\alpha \varphi(x)| < \infty$ ,  $\sup|\xi^\beta \hat{\varphi}(\xi)| < \infty$  for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

In a similar fashion as above we will give new characterization of the space  $\mathcal{F}$  of test functions for the Fourier hyperfunctions as the main theorem in this paper which says that for  $\varphi \in C^\infty$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (2)  $\sup|\varphi(x)|\exp k|x| < \infty$ ,  $\sup|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)|\exp h|\xi| < \infty$  for some  $h, k > 0$ .

Observing the above growth conditions we can easily see that the space  $\mathcal{F}$  which is invariant under the Fourier transformation is much smaller than

---

Communicated by T. Kawai, April 5, 1993. Revised May 20, 1993.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46F12, 46F15.

\* Department of Mathematics, Kunsan National University, Kunsan 573-360, Korea.

\*\* Department of Mathematics, Duksung Women's University, Seoul 132-714, Korea.

\*\*\* Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea.

Partially supported by the Ministry of Education and GARC.

Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}$ . Since an element in the strong dual  $\mathcal{F}'$  of the space  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a Fourier hyperfunction, the space  $\mathcal{F}'$  of Fourier hyperfunctions which is also invariant under the Fourier transformation is much bigger than the space  $\mathcal{S}'$  of tempered distributions.

Section 1 is devoted to providing the necessary definitions and preliminaries. We prove the main theorem in Section 2.

### § 1. Preliminaries

We use the multi-index notations; for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and a multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n$ ,  $\partial^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$  with  $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ , and  $\mathbf{N}_0$  the set of non-negative integers.

For  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  is the bounded continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \hat{f}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

**Definition 1.1.** We denote by  $\mathcal{S}$  or  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  the Schwartz space of all  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad \sup_x |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(x)| < \infty$$

for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

We need the following characterization to compare the space  $\mathcal{F}$  of test functions for the Fourier hyperfunctions with the above space.

**Theorem 1.2 [1].** (i) *The Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}$  consists of all  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  satisfying the conditions*

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_x |x^\alpha \varphi(x)| &< \infty, \\ \sup_x |\partial^\beta \varphi(x)| &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

(ii) *Also, the Schwartz space can be characterized by the following two conditions*

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup |x^\alpha \varphi(x)| &< \infty, \\ \sup |\xi^\beta \hat{\varphi}(\xi)| &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

Now, we are going to introduce the original complex version and new real definition of test functions for the Fourier hyperfunctions as in [6], and state their equivalence.

**Definition 1.3** [6]. A real valued function  $\varphi$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and if there are positive constants  $h$  and  $k$  such that

$$|\varphi|_{k,h} = \sup_{\alpha,x} \frac{|\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)|}{h^{|\alpha|} \alpha!} \exp k|x| < \infty.$$

**Definition 1.4** [5]. A complex valued function  $\varphi(z)$  is in  $\mathcal{P}_*$  if  $\varphi(z)$  is holomorphic in a tubular neighborhood  $\mathbf{R}^n + i\{|y| \leq r\}$ , for some  $r$ , of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and if for some  $k > 0$

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{R}^n + i\{|y| \leq r\}} |\varphi(z)| \exp k|z| < \infty.$$

**Theorem 1.5** [6]. *The space  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to the space  $\mathcal{P}_*$ .*

**Definition 1.6.** We denote by  $\mathcal{F}'$  the strong dual space of  $\mathcal{F}$  and call its elements *Fourier hyperfunctions*.

Thus the global theory of the Fourier hyperfunctions is nothing but the duality theory for the space  $\mathcal{F}$ .

## § 2. Main Theorem

Now we shall give new characterization of the space  $\mathcal{F}$  of test functions for the Fourier hyperfunctions which is the main result in this paper.

First, we prove

**Theorem 2.1.** *The following conditions for  $\varphi \in C^\infty$  are equivalent:*

(i) *There are positive constants  $k$  and  $h$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{\alpha,x} \frac{|\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)| \exp k|x|}{h^{|\alpha|} \alpha!} < \infty.$$

(ii) *There are positive constants  $C$ ,  $k$  and  $h$  such that*

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_x |\varphi(x)| \exp k|x| < \infty,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_x |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)| \leq C h^{|\alpha|} \alpha!.$$

(iii) *There are positive constants  $k$  and  $h$  such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \sup_x |\varphi(x)| \exp k|x| < \infty,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \sup_\xi |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \exp h|\xi| < \infty.$$

*Proof.* The implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii), (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) are trivial. So it suffices to prove the implications (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) and (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) in order.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): By the inequality (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int |\hat{\xi}^\alpha| |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{M}{(2\pi)^n} \int |\hat{\xi}|^{|\alpha|} \exp(-h|\xi|) d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{M}{(2\pi)^n} \sup_{\xi} \frac{|\hat{\xi}|^{|\alpha|}}{\exp(h|\xi|/2)} \int \exp(-h|\xi|/2) d\xi \\ &\leq CA^{|\alpha|} \alpha! \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants  $M, A$  and  $C$ . Thus, we obtain the condition (2.3) which completes the proof of the implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): First, we can assume that  $\varphi$  is real valued. By integration by parts we obtain that

$$\|x^\beta \partial^\alpha \varphi(x)\|_{L^2}^2 = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^\alpha [x^{2\beta} \partial^\alpha \varphi(x)] \varphi(x) dx \right|.$$

Note that the boundary terms tend to zero by Theorem 1.2. Therefore, applying the Leibniz formula we have, for some constant  $A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x^\beta \partial^\alpha \varphi(x)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \leq \alpha \\ \gamma \leq 2\beta}} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \binom{2\beta}{\gamma} \gamma! |x^{2\beta-\gamma} \partial^{2\alpha-\gamma} \varphi(x)| |\varphi(x)| dx \\ &\leq n^{|\alpha|} \int_{\substack{\gamma \leq \alpha \\ \gamma \leq 2\beta}} \binom{2\beta}{\gamma} \gamma! (2\beta-\gamma)! A^{|\beta-\gamma|} |\varphi(x)| \exp k|x| |\partial^{2\alpha-\gamma} \varphi(x)| \exp(-k|x|/2) dx \\ &\leq C_1 n^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \leq \alpha \\ \gamma \leq 2\beta}} \binom{2\beta}{\gamma} (2\beta)! A^{|\beta-\gamma|} M C h^{|\alpha-\gamma|} (2\alpha-\gamma)! \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-k|x|/2)$  and  $M \geq \sup_x |\varphi(x)| \exp k|x|$ . Here we use the inequality

$$x^\alpha \leq \alpha! \exp|x|$$

for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . If we choose positive constants  $A, h > 1$  if necessary, and use the inequalities

$$(\alpha!)^2 \leq (2\alpha)! \leq n^{2|\alpha|} (\alpha!)^2$$

we have, for some  $C_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^\beta \partial^\alpha \varphi\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C_2 n^{|\alpha|+2|\beta|} (2\alpha)! (2\beta)! A^{2|\beta|} h^{2|\alpha|} \\ &\leq C_2 (nA)^{4|\beta|} (n\sqrt{nh})^{2|\alpha|} (\alpha!)^2 (\beta!)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain that for some positive constants  $C_0, C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$\frac{\|x^\beta \partial^\alpha \varphi(x)\|_{L^2}}{C_2^{|\beta|} \beta!} \leq C_0 C_1^{|\alpha|} \alpha!.$$

Therefore, summing up with respect to  $\beta$  we can choose a positive constant

$k$  such that

$$\|\partial^\alpha \varphi(x) \exp k|x|\|_{L^2} \leq C_0 C_1^{\alpha_1} \alpha !.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality there exists a positive constant  $C_3$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \partial^\alpha \varphi(x) \exp \frac{k}{2}|x| \right\|_{L^1} &\leq \|\partial^\alpha \varphi(x) \exp k|x|\|_{L^2} \left[ \int \exp(-k|x|) dx \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_3 C_1^{\alpha_1} \alpha !. \end{aligned}$$

Also, there exist positive constants  $k$  and  $C_1$  such that

$$\|\partial^\alpha \varphi(x) \exp k\sqrt{1+|x|^2}\|_{L^1} \leq C_0 C_1^{\alpha_1} \alpha !.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial^\alpha \varphi(x) \exp k\sqrt{1+|x|^2}| \\ &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{x_n} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \partial_1 \cdots \partial_n (\partial^\alpha \varphi(x) \exp k\sqrt{1+|x|^2}) dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{x_n} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \partial_n \cdots \partial_2 [(\partial_1 \partial^\alpha \varphi) \exp k\sqrt{1+|x|^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial^\alpha \varphi(x) \cdot \partial_1 (\exp k\sqrt{1+|x|^2})] dx \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{x_n} \cdots \sum_{-\infty}^{x_1} |\partial_{j_1} \cdots \partial_{j_r} (\partial^\alpha \varphi)| |(\partial_{j_{r+1}} \cdots \partial_{j_n}) \exp k\sqrt{1+|x|^2}| dx \end{aligned}$$

where the summation is taken over all  $r=0, 1, \dots, n$  and  $\{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$  is a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

We can prove by induction

$$|(\partial_{j_1} \cdots \partial_{j_r}) \exp k\sqrt{1+|x|^2}| \leq P_r(k) \exp k\sqrt{1+|x|^2}$$

where  $P_r(k)$  is a polynomial of  $k$  of  $r$ -th degree. Hence we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial^\alpha \varphi(x) \exp k\sqrt{1+|x|^2}| \\ &\leq \int C \sum |P_{n-r}(k)| |(\partial_{j_1} \cdots \partial_{j_r})(\partial^\alpha \varphi)| \exp k\sqrt{1+|x|^2} dx \\ &\leq C \sum |P_{n-r}(k)| C_0 C_1^{\alpha_1+r} (\alpha + \beta)! \\ &\leq C(k, n) C_1^{\alpha_1} \alpha ! \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta$  is a multi-index with  $|\beta|=r$ . Therefore, using the relation

$$\exp k|x| \leq \exp k\sqrt{1+|x|^2} \leq e^k \exp k|x|$$

we obtain

$$\sup_x |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)| \exp k|x| \leq C(k, n) C_1^{\alpha_1} \alpha !$$

which completes the proof.

Now we can rephrase Theorem 2.1 as follows.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The space  $\mathcal{F}$  of test functions for the Fourier hyperfunctions consists of all locally integrable functions such that for some  $h, k > 0$*

$$\sup_x |\varphi(x)| \exp k|x| < \infty,$$

$$\sup_{\xi} |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \exp h|\xi| < \infty.$$

*Remark.* Combining Theorem 1.2 on the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}$  and Theorem 2.2 on the space  $\mathcal{F}$  we can easily compare the spaces  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  which are both invariant under the Fourier transformations as follows:

(i) The space  $\mathcal{S}$  consists of all  $C^\infty$  functions  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi$  itself and its Fourier transform  $\hat{\varphi}$  are both rapidly decreasing.

(ii) The space  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of all  $C^\infty$  functions  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi$  itself and its Fourier transform  $\hat{\varphi}$  are both exponentially decreasing.

### Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Professor A. Kaneko for suggesting the problem and to the referee for the helpful suggestions.

### References

- [1] Chung, J., Chung, S.Y. and Kim, D., Une caractérisation d'espace de Schwartz, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, **316** (1993), 23-25.
- [2] Hörmander, L., *The analysis of linear partial differential operator I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1983.
- [3] ———, Between distributions and hyperfunctions, *Astérisque*, **131** (1985), 89-106.
- [4] Kaneko, A., *Introduction to hyperfunctions*, KTK Scientific Publishers, Tokyo, 1988.
- [5] Kawai, T., On the theory of Fourier hyperfunctions and its applications to partial differential equations with constant coefficients, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA*, **17** (1970), 467-517.
- [6] Kim, K.W., Chung, S.Y. and Kim, D., Fourier hyperfunctions as the boundary values of smooth solutions of the heat equation, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **29** (1993), 287-300.