

Fourier coefficients of Jacobi forms over Cayley numbers

Minking Eie

Abstract. In this paper, we shall compute explicitly the Fourier coefficients of the Eisenstein series

$$E_{k,m}(z,w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(c,d)=1} (cz+d)^{-k} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} \exp \left\{ 2\pi i m \left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d} N(t) + \sigma(t, \frac{w}{cz+d}) - \frac{cN(w)}{cz+d} \right) \right\}$$

which is a Jacobi form of weight k and index m defined on $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the product of the upper half-plane and Cayley numbers over the complex field \mathbb{C} . The coefficient of $e^{2\pi i(nz+\sigma(t,w))}$ with $nm > N(t)$, has the form

$$-\frac{2(k-4)}{B_{k-4}} \prod_p S_p .$$

Here S_p is an elementary factor which depends only on $\nu_p(m)$, $\nu_p(t)$, $\nu_p(n)$ and $\nu_p(nm - N(t))$. Also $S_p = 1$ for almost all p . Indeed, one has $S_p = 1$ if $\nu_p(m) = \nu_p(nm - N(t)) = 0$. An explicit formula for S_p will be given in details. In particular, these Fourier coefficients are rational numbers.

1. Notation and Introduction.

As usual $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ denote the ring of integers, and the field of rational numbers, real numbers, and complex numbers, respectively. \mathcal{C}_f is the Cayley numbers over the field f and \mathbf{o} is the ring of integral Cayley numbers in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}$. \mathcal{C}_f is an eight-dimensional vector space over f with a basis $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7$ which is characterized by the following rules for multiplication ([1]):

- 1) $xe_0 = e_0x = x$, for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$,
- 2) $e_i^2 = -e_0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$,
- 3) $e_1e_2e_4 = e_2e_3e_5 = e_3e_4e_6 = e_4e_5e_7 = e_5e_6e_1 = e_7e_1e_3 = -e_0$.

Also \mathbf{o} has a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \alpha_7$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= e_0, & \alpha_1 &= e_1, & \alpha_2 &= e_2, & \alpha_3 &= e_4, \\ \alpha_4 &= \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3 - e_4), & \alpha_5 &= \frac{1}{2}(-e_0 - e_1 - e_4 + e_5), \\ \alpha_6 &= \frac{1}{2}(-e_0 + e_1 - e_2 + e_6), & \alpha_7 &= \frac{1}{2}(-e_0 + e_2 + e_4 + e_7). \end{aligned}$$

For $x = \sum_{j=0}^7 x_j e_j$, $y = \sum_{j=0}^7 y_j e_j$; $x_j, y_j \in f$, we define

$$N(x) = \sum_{j=0}^7 x_j^2, \quad \sigma(x, y) = 2 \sum_{j=0}^7 x_j y_j.$$

Let k, m be a pair of positive integers. A holomorphic function ψ on $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Jacobi form of weight k and index m if it satisfies the following conditions

$$(J.1) \quad \psi\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \frac{w}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k \exp\left\{2\pi i m N(w) \frac{c}{cz+d}\right\} \psi(z, w),$$

for all $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

$$(J.2) \quad \psi(z, w + \lambda z + \mu) = \exp\{-2\pi i m(zN(\lambda) + \sigma(\lambda, w))\} \psi(z, w),$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{o}$.

(J.3) ψ possesses a Fourier expansion of the form

$$\psi(z, w) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbf{o} \\ nm \geq N(t)}} \alpha_\psi(n, t) e^{2\pi i(nz + \sigma(t, w))}.$$

For positive integers k, m with k even and $k \geq 10$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} E_{k,m}(z, w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(c, d)=1} (cz + d)^{-k} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} \exp & \left\{ 2\pi i m \left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d} N(t) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \sigma(t, \frac{w}{cz + d}) - \frac{c N(w)}{cz + d} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then a direct verification shows that $E_{k,m}$ satisfies (J.1) and (J.2). For the proof, see [5].

In this paper, we shall show that the Fourier coefficient $e_{k,m}(n, t)$ with $nm > N(t)$ in the Fourier expansion

$$E_{k,m}(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{nm > N(t) \\ t \in \mathbf{o}}} e_{k,m}(n, t) e^{2\pi i(nz + \sigma(t, w))}$$

of $E_{k,m}(z, w)$ is a rational number of the form

$$-\frac{2(k-4)}{B_{k-4}} \prod_p S_p.$$

Let ν_p be the standard discrete valuation in \mathbb{Q}_p with $\nu_p(p^j) = j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $t = \sum_{j=0}^7 t_j \alpha_j \in \mathbf{o}$, we set $\nu_p(t) = \min_{0 \leq j \leq 7} \nu_p(t_j)$. For our convenience, we set $\Delta = mn - N(t)$ and $\Delta' = \Delta/m = n - N(t)/m$.

Theorem. *For positive integers m, k with k even and $k \geq 10$, the Fourier coefficient $e_{k,m}(n, t) = 0$ if $nm < N(t)$. If $mn = N(t)$, then*

$$e_{k,m}(n, t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t = mt' \text{ and } n = mN(t') \text{ for some } t' \in \mathbf{o}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $mn > N(t)$, then

$$e_{k,m}(n, t) = -\frac{2(k-4)}{B_{k-4}} \prod_p S_p,$$

where S_p is given by

1) If $\nu_p(m) = 0$, then $S_p = \sum_{j=0}^{\nu_p(\Delta)} p^{j(k-5)}$.

2) If $\nu_p(m) > \nu_p(t)$, then

$$S_p = p^{l_1} \frac{1-p^{8-k}}{1-p^{4-k}} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} p^{j(9-k)} + \begin{cases} p^{l_2}(1-p^{4-k})^{-1}, & \text{if } \nu_p(t) \leq \nu_p(n), \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu_p(t) > \nu_p(n). \end{cases}$$

3) If $\nu_p(m) \leq \nu_p(t)$, then

$$S_p = p^{l_1} \frac{1-p^{8-k}}{1-p^{4-k}} \sum_{j=0}^{\beta} p^{j(9-k)} + \begin{cases} p^{l_3}(1-p^{4-k})^{-1}, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) \leq \nu_p(n), \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) > \nu_p(n). \end{cases}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=\gamma+1}^{\nu_p(\Delta')} p^{(k-5)(\nu_p(\Delta')-j)} - \begin{cases} p^{l_4}(1-p^{4-k})^{-1}, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) \leq \nu_p(\Delta'), \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) > \nu_p(\Delta'). \end{cases}$$

In 2) and 3), one has

$$l_1 = -(k-1)\nu_p(m) + (k-5)\nu_p(\Delta),$$

$$l_2 = -(k-1)\nu_p(m) + (k-5)\nu_p(\Delta) + (9-k)\nu_p(t) + 8 - k,$$

$$l_3 = (10-2k)\nu_p(m) + (k-5)\nu_p(\Delta) + 8 - k,$$

$$l_4 = (10-2k)\nu_p(m) + (k-5)\nu_p(\Delta) + 4 - k.$$

Also

$$\alpha = \min\{\nu_p(t), \nu_p(n)\}, \quad \beta = \min\{\nu_p(m), \nu_p(n)\}$$

and

$$\gamma = \min\{\nu_p(m), \nu(\Delta')\}.$$

REMARK. Note that in the above, (1) is a special case of (3) and S_p in (1) can be obtained from S_p given in (3) by setting $\nu_p(m) = 0$.

In particular, we have

$$e_{k,1}(n, t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = N(t), \\ -\frac{2(k-4)}{B_{k-4}} \sigma_{k-5}(n - N(t)), & \text{if } n > N(t). \end{cases}$$

From this, we conclude that $E_{k,1}(z, w)$ is a product of $E_{k-4}(z)$, the normalized Eisenstein series of weight $k-4$, and

$$\theta(z, w) = \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} e^{2\pi i (zN(t) + \sigma(t, w))}$$

which is a Jacobi form of weight 4 and index 1.

The Fourier coefficient $\tilde{e}_{k,m}(n, r)$ of the Eisenstein series

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_{k,m}(z, w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(c,d)=1} (cz+d)^{-k} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left\{ 2\pi i m \left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d} \right) \lambda^2 \right. \\ \left. + 2\lambda \frac{w}{cz+d} - \frac{cw^2}{cz+d} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

was given in [4] in terms of Cohen's function $H(s, N)$; i.e.

$$\tilde{e}_{k,1}(n, r) = \frac{H(k-1, 4n-r^2)}{\zeta(3-2k)}.$$

If m is square free, then

$$\tilde{e}_{k,m}(n, r) = \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(m)^{-1}}{\zeta(3-2k)} \sum_{d|(n,m,r)} d^{k-1} H(k-1, \frac{4nm-r^2}{d^2})$$

by the relation $\tilde{E}_{k,1}|_{T(m)}(z, w) = \sigma_{k-1}(m) \tilde{E}_{k,m}(z, w)$. Here $T(m)$ is the Hecke operator on the space of Jacobi forms of weight k and index 1, $J_{k,1}$, defined by

$$\psi|_{T(m)}(z, w) = m^{k-1} \sum_{ad=m} \sum_{0 \leq b < d} d^{-k} \psi\left(\frac{az+b}{d}, \frac{mw}{d}\right).$$

However, we do not see any relation such as

$$E_{k,1}|_{T(m)}(z, w) = \sigma_{k-1}(m) E_{k,m}(z, w)$$

in the cases for Cayley numbers even if m is a prime number.

2. Fourier Coefficients of $E_{k,m}$.

From the formula for $E_{k,m}(z, w)$, we separate the sum over c and d into two sums $E_{k,m}^0(z, w)$ and $E_{k,m}^1(z, w)$ according to c is zero or not. If $c = 0$, then $d = 1$ or -1 . We choose $a = d = 1$ or -1 , and $b = 0$, so that

$$(1) \quad E_{k,m}^0(z, w) = \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} e^{2\pi i m(zN(t)+\sigma(t,w))}.$$

Obviously, $E_{k,m}^0$ is a linear combination of $e^{2\pi i(nz+\sigma(t,w))}$ with coefficient 1 or 0 according to $nm = N(t)$ with $t = mt'$, $n = mN(t')$ for some $t' \in \mathbf{o}$ or not. For those terms with $c \neq 0$, we can rewrite the sum as

$$(2) \quad E_{k,m}^1(z, w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(c,d)=1} c^{-k} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} \left(z + \frac{d}{c} \right)^{-k} \cdot \exp \left\{ 2\pi i m \left(-\frac{N(w-t/c)}{z+d/c} + \frac{aN(t)}{c} \right) \right\}.$$

Note that the substitutions $d \mapsto d + cp$ and $t \mapsto t + c\lambda$ correspond to $z \mapsto z + p$ and $w \mapsto w + \lambda$ in $E_{k,m}^1$, respectively. Here p is an integer and λ is an integral Cayley number. Hence

$$(3) \quad E_{k,m}^1(z, w) = \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} c^{-k} \sum_{\substack{(c,d)=1 \\ d \pmod{c}}} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}/c\mathbf{o}} \exp \left(\frac{2\pi i m N(t)}{cd} \right) \cdot F_{k,m} \left(z + \frac{d}{c}, w - \frac{t}{c} \right)$$

with

$$(4) \quad F_{k,m}(z, w) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{o}} (z + p)^{-k} \exp \left(-2\pi i m \frac{N(w + \lambda)}{z + p} \right).$$

The function $F_{k,m}(z, w)$ is a periodic function in z and w , so it has Fourier expansion of the form

$$F_{k,m}(z, w) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} \gamma(n, t) e^{2\pi i(nz+\sigma(t,w))}.$$

In order to compute the Fourier coefficient $\gamma(n, t)$ of $F_{k,m}$, we need the following lemma which follows from the well known Poisson summation formula.

Lemma 1. *For any $h > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{o}} \exp \{ -2\pi h N(w + \lambda) \} = \frac{1}{h^4} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} \exp \left\{ -2\pi \left(\frac{N(t)}{h} + i \sigma(t, w) \right) \right\}.$$

Proposition 1. *Notation as above, then one has for $k \geq 10$,*

$$(5) \quad F_{k,m}(z, w) = \frac{\alpha_k}{m^{k-1}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbf{o} \\ nm > N(t)}} (nm - N(t))^{k-5} e^{2\pi i(nz + \sigma(t, w))}$$

with

$$\alpha_k = \frac{(-2\pi i)^{k-4}}{(k-5)!}.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 1 and a standard argument (see [2, p. 226]), we get

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{o}} \exp \left(-2\pi m \frac{N(w + \lambda)}{z + p} \right) = \frac{(z + p)^4}{m^4} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} \exp \left(2\pi i \frac{N(t)(z + p)}{m + 2\pi i \sigma(t, w)} \right),$$

for any $z \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows

$$F_{k,m}(z, w) = \frac{1}{m^4} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} (z + p)^{-k+4} \exp \left(2\pi i \frac{N(t)(z + p)}{m} \right) e^{2\pi i \sigma(t, w)}.$$

Note that the series

$$\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (z + p)^{-k+4} \exp \left(2\pi i \frac{N(t)(z + p)}{m} \right)$$

is a periodic function in $z = x + iy$. Let

$$\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (x + iy + p)^{-k+4} \exp \left(2\pi i \frac{N(t)(x + iy + p)}{m} \right) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n(y) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_n(y) &= \int_0^1 \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (x + iy + p)^{-k+4} \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \left(\frac{N(t)(x + iy + p)}{m} - nx \right) \right\} dx \\ &= \exp(-s\pi N(t)y/m) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi ix(-n + N(t)/m)}}{(x + iy)^{k-4}} dx \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_k (\Delta')^{k-5} e^{-2\pi ny}, & \text{if } nm > N(t), \\ 0, & \text{if } nm \leq N(t). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Delta' = n - N(t)/m$. For detail of calculations, see [4, p. 19]. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (z + p)^{-k+4} \exp(2\pi i N(t)(z + p)/m) \\ = \frac{\alpha_k}{m^{k-1}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ nm > N(t)}} (nm - N(t))^{k-5} e^{2\pi i nz} \end{aligned}$$

and our assertion for $F_{k,m}(z, w)$ follows.

In our next proposition, we shall express $e_{k,m}(n, t)$ as a Dirichlet series with an Euler product.

Proposition 2. *For $nm > N(t)$ and k even, $k \geq 10$, one has*

$$e_{k,m}(n, t) = \frac{\alpha_k}{m^{k-1}} (nm - N(t))^{k-5} \frac{1}{\zeta(k-8)} \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} T_a(Q) a^{-(k-1)}$$

with

$$T_a(Q) = \#\{\lambda \in \mathbf{o}/a\mathbf{o} : mN(\lambda) - \sigma(t, \lambda) + n \equiv 0 \pmod{a}\}.$$

PROOF. We substitute $F_{k,m}(z, w)$ in Proposition 1 into (3), and get

$$(6) \quad E_{k,m}^1(z, w) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbf{o} \\ nm > N(t)}} e_{k,m}(n, t) e^{2\pi i(nz + \sigma(t, w))}$$

with

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} e_{k,m}(n, t) = & \frac{\alpha_k}{m^{k-1}} (nm - N(t))^{k-5} \\ & \cdot \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} c^{-k} \sum_{\substack{(c,d)=1 \\ d \leq c}} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}/c\mathbf{o}} \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \left(\frac{mN(\lambda)}{cd} + \sigma(t, -\frac{\lambda}{c}) + \frac{nd}{c} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(c, d) = 1$, we can replace λ by $d\lambda$ in the third summation of $e_{k,m}(n, t)$. Hence

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} e_{k,m}(n, t) = & \frac{\alpha_k}{m^{k-1}} (nm - N(t))^{k-5} \\ & \cdot \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} c^{-k} \sum_{\substack{(c,d)=1 \\ d \pmod{c}}} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{o}/c\mathbf{o}} \exp \left(2\pi i \frac{d}{c} (mN(\lambda) + \sigma(t, -\lambda) + n) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $Q(\lambda) = m N(\lambda) - \sigma(t, \lambda) + n$. Use the well known formula

$$(9) \quad \sum_{\substack{(c,d)=1 \\ d \pmod c}} e^{2\pi i dN/c} = \sum_{a|(c,N)} \mu\left(\frac{c}{a}\right) a$$

with $\mu(a)$ the Möbius function. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e_{k,m}(n, t) &= \frac{\alpha_k}{m^{k-1}} (nm - N(t))^{k-5} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} c^{-k} \sum_{a|(c, Q(\lambda))} \mu\left(\frac{c}{a}\right) a \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbf{o}/c\mathbf{o} \\ a|Q(\lambda)}} 1 \\ &= \frac{\alpha_k}{m^{k-1}} (nm - N(t))^{k-5} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} c^{-k} \sum_{a|(c, Q(\lambda))} \mu\left(\frac{c}{a}\right) a \left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^8 \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbf{o}/c\mathbf{o} \\ a|Q(\lambda)}} 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c = ab$ and use the formula

$$\sum_{b=1}^{\infty} \mu(b) b^{-s} = \frac{1}{\zeta(s)}, \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re} s > 1,$$

to get

$$(10) \quad e_{k,m}(n, t) = \frac{\alpha_k}{m^{k-1}} (nm - N(t))^{k-5} \frac{1}{\zeta(k-8)} \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} T_a(Q) a^{-(k-1)}.$$

Here

$$T_a(Q) = \#\{\lambda \in \mathbf{o}/a\mathbf{o} : Q(\lambda) \equiv 0 \pmod{a}\}.$$

To obtain the explicit formula for $e_{k,m}(n, t)$ when $nm > N(t)$, we have to find the value of the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{a=1}^{\infty} T_a(Q) a^{-s}$$

at $s = k - 1$. Here

$$T_a(Q) = \#\{\lambda \in \mathbf{o}/a\mathbf{o} : Q(\lambda) = m N(\lambda) - \sigma(\lambda, t) + n \equiv 0 \pmod{a}\}.$$

By the multiplicativity of $T_a(Q)$, it suffices to consider the case $a = p^{\nu}$ ($\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\nu \geq 0$).

In the following consideration, we set $T_\nu(Q) = T_{p^\nu}(Q)$, $\omega_\nu = e^{2\pi i/p^\nu}$. We also set

$$Z(s) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} T_\nu(Q) p^{-\nu s}.$$

Proposition 3. *For any positive integer ν , we have for*

$$\lambda = \sum_{j=0}^7 \lambda_j \alpha_j, \quad t = \sum_{j=0}^7 t_j \alpha_j,$$

that

$$(11) \quad T_\nu(Q) = p^{3\nu} \sum_{\tau=0}^{\nu} p^{4\tau} \sum_{\alpha'} \omega_{\nu-\tau}^{\alpha' n} \prod_{j=0}^3 \left(\sum_{m\lambda_j \equiv t_j \pmod{p^{\nu-\tau}}} \omega_{\nu-\tau}^{\alpha' t_j + 4\lambda_j} \right).$$

where α' ranges over all positive integers between 1 and $p^{\nu-\tau}$ with $(\alpha', p) = 1$ in the summation \sum^{τ} .

PROOF. By the p -adic version of Siegel's Babylonian reduction process, we can express $T_\nu(Q)$ as a Gaussian sum given by

$$T_\nu(Q) = p^{-\nu} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p^\nu} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{o}/p^\nu \mathbf{o}} \omega_\nu^{\alpha(mN(\lambda) - \sigma(\lambda, t) + n)}.$$

Over the p -adic integers, the quadratic form N is equivalent to the quadratic form with matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & E \\ E & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where E is the 4×4 identity matrix. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{o}/p^\nu \mathbf{o}} \omega_\nu^{\alpha(mN(\lambda) - \sigma(\lambda, t) + n)} \\ &= \omega_\nu^{\alpha n} \prod_{j=0}^3 \left(\sum_{\lambda_j=1}^{p^\nu} \sum_{\lambda_{j+4}=1}^{p^\nu} \omega_\nu^{\alpha(m\lambda_j \lambda_{j+4} - t_j \lambda_{j+4} - t_{j+4} \lambda_j)} \right) \\ &= \omega_\nu^{\alpha n} \prod_{j=0}^3 \left(\sum_{\lambda_j=1}^{p^\nu} \omega_\nu^{-at_j + 4\lambda_j} \sum_{\lambda_{j+4}=1}^{p^\nu} \omega_\nu^{\alpha(m\lambda_j - t_j)\lambda_{j+4}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{\lambda_{j+4}=1}^{p^\nu} \omega_\nu^{\alpha(m\lambda_j - t_j)\lambda_{j+4}} = \begin{cases} p^\nu, & \text{if } \alpha(m\lambda_j - t_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\nu}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we let $\alpha = \alpha' p^\tau$ with $(\alpha', p) = 1$ and get our assertion by an elementary calculation.

REMARK. For fixed $\nu \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq \nu$, the product

$$(12) \quad \prod_{j=0}^3 \left(\sum_{\lambda_j+1}^{p^\nu} \sum_{\lambda_j+4=1}^{p^\nu} \omega_{\nu-\tau}^{\alpha'(m\lambda_j\lambda_j+4-t_j\lambda_j+4-t_j+4\lambda_j)} \right)$$

is zero unless the congruences

$$m\lambda_j \equiv t_j \pmod{p^{\nu-\tau}}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

have a solution. By the symmetry of t_j and t_{j+4} , we conclude that the product in (12) is zero unless the congruences

$$m\lambda_j \equiv t_j \pmod{p^{\nu-\tau}}, \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$$

have at least a solution.

3. Cases with $\nu_p(m) = 0$.

From Proposition 3 and its remark, we note that the evaluation of $T_\nu(Q)$ depends on solving the congruences

$$(13) \quad m\lambda_j \equiv t_j \pmod{p^{\nu-\tau}}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 7.$$

Obviously, the solvability of the congruences is wholly determined by $\nu_p(m)$, $\nu_p(t)$ and $\nu - \tau$.

In this Section, we shall investigate those cases with $(m, p) = 1$. Under such assumption, the congruences in (13) have always a unique solution.

Proposition 4. *If $(m, p) = 1$ and $\delta = \nu_p(n - N(t)/m)$, then one has for $\operatorname{Re} s > 8$,*

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} T_\nu(Q) p^{-\nu s} = \frac{1-p^{3-s}}{1-p^{7-s}} \sum_{j=0}^{\delta} p^{-(s-4)j}.$$

PROOF. Denote the solution of the congruences

$$m\lambda_j \equiv t_j \pmod{p^{\nu-\tau}}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

by $\lambda_j = t_j/m$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Hence by Proposition 3, we have

$$T_\nu(Q) = p^{3\nu} \sum_{\tau=0}^{\nu} p^{4\tau} \sum_{\alpha'} \omega_{\nu-\tau}^{\alpha'(n-N(t)/m)}.$$

Apply (9) to the second summation; we get

$$T_\nu(Q) = p^{3\nu} \sum_{\tau=0}^{\nu} p^{4\tau} \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{\delta, \nu-\tau\}} \mu(p^{\nu-\tau-j}) p^j.$$

Note that $\mu(1) = 1$, $\mu(p) = -1$ and $\mu(p^l) = 0$ for $l \geq 2$. It follows

$$\begin{aligned} T_\nu(Q) &= p^{3\nu} \left(\sum_{0 \leq \nu-\tau \leq \delta} p^{4\tau} p^{\nu-\tau} - \sum_{0 \leq \nu-\tau-1 \leq \delta} p^{4\tau} p^{\nu-\tau-1} \right) \\ &= p^{4\nu} \left(\sum_{0 \leq \nu-\tau \leq \delta} p^{3\tau} - \sum_{0 \leq \nu-\tau-1 \leq \delta} p^{3\tau-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall prove by induction on δ that our assertion is true. In order to distinguish the cases for different δ , we let

$$Z_q(s) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} T_\nu(Q) p^{-\nu s}, \quad \delta = q.$$

When $\delta = 0$, then $\tau = \nu$ in the first summation and $\tau = \nu - 1$ in the second summation. Hence

$$Z_0(s) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p^{7\nu} p^{-\nu s} - \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} p^{7\nu-4} p^{-\nu s} = \frac{1 - p^{3-s}}{1 - p^{7-s}}.$$

Suppose that for $\delta = q$ the assertion is true. Now

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{q+1}(s) - Z_q(s) &= \sum_{\nu=q+1}^{\infty} p^{3(\nu-q-1)} p^{-\nu(s-4)} - \sum_{\nu=q+2}^{\infty} p^{3(\nu-q-2)-1} p^{-\nu(s-4)} \\ &= p^{-(q+1)(s-4)} \frac{1 - p^{3-s}}{1 - p^{7-s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the formula is also true for $\delta = q + 1$ and our proof is complete.

Corollary. *If $n > N(t)$, then*

$$e_{k,1}(n, t) = -\frac{2(k-4)}{B_{k-4}} \sigma_{k-5}(n - N(t)).$$

PROOF. From (10) and Proposition 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{k,1}(n, t) &= \alpha_k (n - N(t))^{k-5} \frac{1}{\zeta(k-8)} \frac{\zeta(k-8)}{\zeta(k-4)} \sum_{d|([n-N(t)])} d^{-(k-5)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_k}{\zeta(k-4)} \sigma_{k-5}(n - N(T)). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\frac{\alpha_k}{\zeta(k-4)} = \frac{(-2\pi i)^{k-4}}{(k-5)! \zeta(k-4)} = -\frac{2(k-4)}{B_{k-4}},$$

hence our assertion follows.

Corollary. $E_{k,1}(z, w) = E_{k-4}(z) \theta(z, w)$ with

$$\theta(z, w) = \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} e^{2\pi i(N(t)z + \sigma(t, w))}.$$

PROOF. Note that $e_{k,1}(n, t) = 0$ unless $n \geq N(t)$. Also $e_{k,1}(N(t), t) = 1$ by an observation. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{k,1}(z, w) &= \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} e^{2\pi i(N(t)z + \sigma(t, w))} \\ &\quad - \frac{2(k-4)}{B_{k-4}} \sum_{n > N(t)} \sigma_{k-5}(n - N(t)) e^{2\pi i(nz + \sigma(t, w))} \\ &= \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} e^{2\pi i(N(t)z + \sigma(t, w))} \\ &\quad - \frac{2(k-4)}{B_{k-4}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} \sigma_{k-5}(n) e^{2\pi i(n + N(t))z + \sigma(t, w)} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{2(k-4)}{B_{k-4}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-5}(n) e^{2\pi i n z}\right) \theta(z, w) \\ &= E_{k-4}(z) \theta(z, w). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary.

$$\theta(z, w) = \sum_{t \in \mathbf{o}} e^{2\pi i(N(t)z + \sigma(t, w))},$$

is a Jacobi form of weight 4 and index 1.

4. Cases with $0 \leq \nu_p(t) < \nu_p(m)$.

For fixed $\nu \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq \nu$. If $0 \leq \nu_p(t) < \nu_p(m)$, then the congruences

$$m\lambda_j \equiv t_j \pmod{p^{\nu-\tau}}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

have solutions only if $\nu - \tau \leq \nu_p(t)$. Moreover the number of solutions is $p^{4(\nu-\tau)}$.

Proposition 5. Under the condition $0 \leq \nu_p(t) < \nu_p(m)$, then one has for $\operatorname{Re} s > 8$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} T_{\nu}(Q) p^{-\nu s} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} p^{(8-s)j} \\ &\quad + \begin{cases} p^{(8-s)\nu_p(t)+7-s} (1-p^{7-s})^{-1}, & \text{if } \nu_p(t) \leq \nu_p(n), \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu_p(t) > \nu_p(n), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \min\{\nu_p(n), \nu_p(t)\}$.

PROOF. Begin with (11) of Proposition 3 and the observation above, and get

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} T_{\nu}(Q) p^{-\nu s} = 1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} p^{-\nu s} \sum_{\nu-\tau \leq \nu_p(t)} p^{3\nu+4\tau} p^{4\nu-4\tau} \sum_{\alpha'}^{\tau} \omega_{\nu-\tau}^{\alpha' n}.$$

Apply (9) to the third summation, we get

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} T_{\nu}(Q) p^{-\nu s} = 1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} p^{-\nu s} \sum_{\nu-\tau \leq \nu_p(t)} \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{\nu-\tau, \nu_p(n)\}} \mu(p^{\nu-\tau-j}) p^j.$$

Denote the coefficient of $p^{(7-s)\nu}$ by A_{ν} . According to $\nu_p(t) \leq \nu_p(n)$ or $\nu_p(t) > \nu_p(n)$, we have the following two cases.

Case I. $\nu_p(t) \leq \nu_p(n)$. Then $\min\{\nu - \tau, \nu_p(n)\} = \nu - \tau$ since $\nu - \tau \leq \nu_p(t) \leq \nu_p(n)$. Therefore

$$A_\nu = \sum_{\nu - \tau \leq \nu_p(t)} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu - \tau} \mu(p^{\nu - \tau - j}) p^j = \begin{cases} p^\nu, & \text{if } \nu \leq \nu_p(t), \\ p^{\nu_p(t)}, & \text{if } \nu > \nu_p(t). \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} T_\nu(Q) p^{-\nu s} &= 1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} p^{(7-s)\nu} A_\nu \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\nu_p(t)} p^{j(8-s)} + p^{(8-s)\nu_p(t)+7-s} (1 - p^{7-s})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Case II. $\nu_p(t) > \nu_p(n)$. Then

$$A_\nu = \sum_{\nu - \tau \leq \nu_p(n)} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu - \tau} \mu(p^{\nu - \tau - j}) p^j + \sum_{\nu - \tau > \nu_p(n)} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu_p(n)} \mu(p^{\nu - \tau - j}) p^j.$$

Note that the first sum in A_ν can be computed as in the case I. The second sum in A_ν is zero unless $\nu \geq \nu_p(n) + 1$, $\nu - \tau = \nu_p(n) + 1$ and $j = \nu_p(n)$. For such exceptional cases, the sum is $-p^{\nu_p(n)}$. Consequently, we have

$$A_\nu = \begin{cases} p^\nu, & \text{if } \nu \leq \nu_p(t), \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu > \nu_p(t). \end{cases}$$

It follows

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} T_\nu(Q) p^{-\nu s} = 1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} p^{(7-s)\nu} A_\nu = \sum_{j=0}^{\nu_p(n)} p^{j(8-s)}.$$

This proves our assertions.

5. Cases with $\nu_p(m) \leq \nu_p(t)$.

For fixed $\nu \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq \nu$. If $\nu_p(m) \leq \nu_p(t)$, then the congruences

$$m\lambda_j \equiv t_j \pmod{p^{\nu - \tau}}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

always have solutions. The number of solutions is $p^{4\nu_p(m)}$ if $\nu_p(m) < \nu - \tau$, and if $\nu_p(m) \geq \nu - \tau$, the number of solutions is $p^{4(\nu-\tau)}$.

Proposition 6. *Under the condition $\nu_p(m) \leq \nu_p(n)$, one has for $\operatorname{Re} s > 8$*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} T_{\nu}(Q) p^{-\nu s} = & \sum_{j=0}^{\beta} p^{(8-s)j} \\ & + \begin{cases} p^{(8-s)\nu_p(m)+7-s} (1-p^{7-s})^{-1}, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) \leq \nu_p(n), \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) > \nu_p(n), \end{cases} \\ & + p^{4\nu_p(m)} \frac{1-p^{3-s}}{1-p^{7-s}} \sum_{j=\gamma+1}^{\nu_p(\Delta')} p^{(4-s)j} \\ & - \begin{cases} p^{(8-s)\nu_p(m)+7-s} (1-p^{7-s})^{-1}, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) \leq \nu_p(\Delta'), \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) > \nu_p(\Delta'). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here $\beta = \min\{\nu_p(m), \nu_p(n)\}$ and $\gamma = \min\{\nu_p(m), \nu_p(\Delta')\}$.

PROOF. We begin with (11) of Proposition 3, and separate the series into two subseries according to $\nu - \tau > \nu_p(m)$ or $\nu_p(m) \leq \nu - \tau$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} T_{\nu}(Q) p^{-\nu s} = & 1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} p^{(3-s)\nu} \sum_{\nu-\tau > \nu_p(m)} p^{4\tau+4\nu_p(m)} \sum_{\alpha'}^{\tau} \omega_{\nu-\tau}^{\alpha' \Delta'} \\ & + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu-\tau \leq \nu_p(m)} p^{(7-s)\nu} \sum_{\alpha'}^{\tau} \omega_{\nu-\tau}^{\alpha' n}, \end{aligned}$$

where α' ranges over all positive integers between 1 and $p^{\nu-\tau}$ with $(\alpha', p) = 1$ in the summation \sum^{τ} .

Let $Z_1(s)$ be the subseries corresponding to the summation $\nu - \tau > \nu_p(m)$ and $Z_2(s)$ be the remaining sum. By the computations in Proposition 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2(s) = & \sum_{j=0}^{\beta} p^{(8-s)j} \\ & + \begin{cases} p^{(8-s)\nu_p(m)+7-s} (1-p^{7-s})^{-1}, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) \leq \nu_p(n), \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) > \nu_p(n)', \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = \min\{\nu_p(m), \nu_p(n)\}$.

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1(s) &= p^{4\nu_p(m)} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p^{(3-s)\nu} \sum_{0 \leq \tau \leq \nu} p^{4\tau} \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{\nu-\tau, \nu_p(\Delta')\}} \mu(p^{\nu-\tau-j}) p^j \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p^{(3-s)\nu} \sum_{\nu-\tau \leq \nu_p(m)} \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{\nu-\tau, \nu_p(\Delta')\}} \mu(p^{\nu-\tau-j}) p^j \right) \\
&= p^{4\nu_p(m)} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p^{(4-s)\nu} \left(\sum_{0 \leq \tau \leq \nu(\Delta')} p^{3\tau} - \sum_{0 \leq \tau-1 \leq \nu(\Delta')} p^{3\tau-1} \right) \right) \\
&\quad - p^{4\nu_p(m)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p^{(4-s)\nu} \left(\sum_{0 \leq \tau \leq \gamma} p^{3\tau} - \sum_{0 \leq \tau-1 \leq \gamma} p^{3\tau-1} \right) \\
&\quad - \begin{cases} p^{5\nu_p(m)} \sum_{\nu=\nu_p(m)+1}^{\infty} p^{(3-s)\nu} p^{4(\nu-\nu_p(m)-1)}, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) \leq \nu_p(\Delta'), \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) > \nu_p(\Delta'). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\gamma = \min\{\nu_p(m), \nu_p(\Delta')\}$. Now by the computations of Proposition 4, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1(s) &= p^{4\nu_p(m)} \frac{1-p^{3-s}}{1-p^{7-s}} \sum_{j=\gamma+1}^{\nu(\Delta')} p^{-j(s-4)} \\
&\quad - \begin{cases} p^{(8-s)\nu_p(m)+3-s} (1-p^{7-s})^{-1}, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) \leq \nu_p(\Delta'), \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu_p(m) > \nu_p(\Delta'). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Combine Proposition 2 and Propositions 4, 5, 6 with $s = k-1$ together. Also using the well known result

$$\frac{\alpha_k}{\zeta(k-4)} = \frac{(-2\pi i)^{k-4}}{(k-5)! \zeta(k-4)} = -\frac{2(k-4)}{B_{k-4}},$$

we get

$$e_{k,m}(n, t) = -\frac{2(k-4)}{B_{k-4}} \prod_p S_p,$$

where S_p is as we claimed in the Theorem.

References.

- [1] Baily, W. L., Jr., An exceptional arithmetic group and its Eisenstein Series. *Ann. of Math.* **91** (1970), 512-545.
- [2] Baily, W. L., Jr., *Introductory lectures on automorphic forms*. Princeton University Press, 1973.
- [3] Coxeter, H. S. M., Integral Cayley numbers. *Duke Math. J.* **13** (1946), 567-578.
- [4] Eichler, M. and Zagier, D., *The theory of Jacobi forms*. Progr. Math. **55**, Birkhäuser, 1985.
- [5] Eie, M. and Krieg, A., The theory of Jacobi forms over the Cayley Numbers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **342** (1994), 793-805.
- [6] Karel, M. L., Fourier coefficients of certain Eisenstein series. *Ann. of Math.* **99** (1974), 176-202.
- [7] Serre, J. P., *A course in arithmetic*. Springer-Verlag, 1973.

Recibido: 3 de marzo de 1.994

Minking Eie*
Institute of Mathematics
Academia Sinica
Nankang, Taipei, TAIWAN

and

Institute of Applied Mathematics
National Chung Cheng University
Ming-Hsiung, Chia-Yi, TAIWAN
mkeie@math.ccu.edu.tw

* This work was supported by Institute of Applied Math., National Chung Cheng University and N.F.S. of Taiwan (NSC-0208-M-194-011).