100 Thomas - Iberoamericana - Iberoamericana - Iberoamericana - Iberoamericana - Iberoamericana - Iberoamerica VOL 15, N. 1, 1999

Local limit theorems on some non unimodular groups

Emile Le Page and Marc Peign-e

Abstract. Let G_d be the semi-direct product of \mathbb{R}^+ and $\mathbb{R}^+,$ $d \geq 1$ and let us consider the product group $\mathrm{G}_{d,N} = \mathrm{G}_d \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $N \geq 1$. For a large class of probability measures \mathbf{r} on \mathbf{r} and $\$ exists \mathbf{r} and the sequence of nite measures of \mathbf{r}

$$
\Big\{\frac{n^{(N+3)/2}}{\rho(\mu)^n} {\mu^*}^n\Big\}_{n\geq 1}
$$

converges weakly to a non-degenerate measure

Resume. Soit G_d is produit semi-direct de \mathbb{R}^+ et de \mathbb{R}^+ et $G_{d,N}$ le groupe produit $G_d \times \mathbb{R}$, $N \geq 0$. Four une large classe de mesures de i il existe sur Godine sur Godine - il este sur suite de mesures finies

$$
\left\{\frac{n^{(N+3)/2}}{\rho(\mu)^n} \mu^{*n}\right\}_{n\geq 1}
$$

converge vaguement vers une mesure non nulle

1. Introduction.

Fix two integers $a > 1$, iv ≥ 0 and choose a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^+ and \mathbb{R}^+ (when $N \geq 1$). Let $\mathbf{G}_{d,N}$ be the connected group $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$

IIO LE LE PAGE AND M. PEIGNE

with the composition law

for all
$$
g = (a, u, b)
$$
, for all $g' = (a', u', b') \in G$,

$$
g \cdot g' = (a a', a u' + u, b + b')
$$
.

We will note $g = (a(g), u(g), b(g))$ (or $g = (a, u, b)$ when there is no ambiguity The group Gd-N is ^a non unimodular solvable group with exponential growth and the right D is D is \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D} is \mathcal{D} is \mathcal{D} is \mathcal{D} is \mathcal{D} is \mathcal{D} is a set of \mathcal{D} is

$$
m_D(da\,du\,db_1\cdots db_N) = \frac{da\,du\,db_1\cdots db_N}{a} \; .
$$

Note that $G_{d,0}$ is the semi-direct product of \mathbb{R}^+ and \mathbb{R}^+ ; in particular $-I_{1}$ the ane group of the real line I_{2}

We consider a probability measure μ on G; we denote by μ its $n-$ power of convolution. Under quite general assumptions on μ we show that the such that the sequence \mathbf{r} is the sequence of the sequence

$$
\left\{\frac{n^{(N+3)/2}}{\rho(\mu)^n} \, \mu^{*n}\right\}_{n\geq 0}
$$

converges weakly to a non-degenerate measure This problem has already been tackled by Ph. Bougerol in [3] where were established local limit theorems on some solvable groups with exponential growth; in particular for a class R of probability measures - on the ane group of the real line that is defined that is defined that is defined that the sequence of \mathbf{h}

$$
\Big\{\frac{n^{3/2}}{\rho(\mu)^n}{\mu^{*n}}\Big\}_{n\geq 0}
$$

converges weaking to a non-con-construction of the second the second this contract the second this contract of result to a quite large class of probability measures; the new ingredient in our proof was the fact that there exists closed connections between this problem and the theory of the fluctuations of a random walk on the real line. In the present paper, we extend this result to the case $N > 1$; we first obtain uniform upperbounds in the Local limit theorem for a random walk on \mathbb{R}^d and, secondly, we use a generalisation of the with the station due to Change and the Change of Sunyacher (Signal) and the station of the station of

This study is the this study is also related with the work by N T Varopoulos (N T Varopoulos et al. 1997), the [11] where upperbounds and lowerbounds for the asymptotic behaviour

of the convolution powers μ - of a large class of probability measures are given

From now on we will suppose that N and we set G Gd-N We introduce the following conditions on \mathcal{M} . The following conditions on \mathcal{M}

Hypothesis G There exists such that

$$
\int_G (e^{\alpha |\log a|} + ||u||^{\alpha} + ||b||^2) \, \mu(da \, du \, db) < +\infty \, .
$$

 $\boldsymbol{\mathrm{Hypothesis}}\;\boldsymbol{\mathrm{G2.}}\ \int_{G}\mathrm{Log}\,a\,\mu(dd)$ Log a -da du db and $\int_G b \, \mu(da\,du\,du)$ b -da du db

Hypothesis G3. The support of μ is included in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow the image of μ - and interpreted in the mapping and a unit in the mapping in the mapping of the contract of \mathbb{R} see Dennition 2.1) and there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$
\int_G \|u\|^{-\beta} \, \mu(da \, du \, db) < +\infty \, .
$$

Hypothesis G Theorem , is absolutely continuous with respect to \mathcal{A} to the Haar measure mbs density and \mathbb{S}^n and its density \mathbb{S}^n and \mathbb{S}^n

$$
\int_{]0,1]\times \mathbb{R}^N}\sqrt[q]{\int_{\mathbb{R}}\phi_{\mu}^q(a,u,b)\,du}\,\frac{da\,db}{a^\gamma}<+\infty\,.
$$

for some γ and q in $|1, +\infty|$.

We have the

Theorem Let - be a probability measure on G satisfying hypothe ses G-and G-and G-and G-and G-and G-and Sequence of finite measures of and G-and G-and G-and G-and G-and G-and $\{n^{(1)}, n^{(2)}, n^{(3)}\}$ converges weakly to a non-aegenerate Radon measure on G .

ivote that the asymptotic behavior of the sequence $\{\mu - \}_{n>1}$ does not depend on d

when it is not centered that is no

$$
\int_G \mathrm{Log}\, a\, \mu(da\, du\, db) \neq 0
$$

or

$$
\int_G b \,\mu(da\,du\,db) \neq 0\,,
$$

we bring back the study to the centered case as in $[7]$. We introduce $t \sim$ following conditions on \mathbb{R}^n .

Hypothesis G 1. *There exists* $\alpha > 0$ *such that*

$$
\int_G (a^t + \|u\|^{\alpha} + \exp(t \|b\|)) \mu(da \, du \, db) < +\infty
$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Hypothesis G^*2 . One has

$$
\int_G \mathrm{Log}\, a\, \mu(da\, du\, db) \neq 0
$$

for a graph \sim . Fig. \sim and \sim and \sim again \sim and \sim and \sim and \sim and \sim and \sim

When \mathbf{r} these two conditions there exists a unique s two conditions are existent as \mathbf{v} $\in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\int_G a^{s_0} e^{\langle t_0, b \rangle} \mu(da \, du \, db) = \inf_{(s,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N} \int_G a^s e^{\langle t, b \rangle} \, \mu(da \, du \, db) \, .
$$

Furthermore

$$
\rho(\mu)=\int_G a^{s_0} e^{\langle t_0,b\rangle} \mu(da\,du\,db)
$$

 α . The probability measure the probability measure α

$$
\mu_0(dg) = \frac{1}{\rho(\mu)}\, a(g)^{s_0} \, e^{\langle t_0,b(g)\rangle} \, \mu(dg)
$$

satisfies hypotheses G1 and G2. The following condition is the equivalent of Hypothesis $G³$ in the non centered case:

Hypothesis G 5. The measure μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure may all we were measured the construction of the construction of the construction of the c

$$
\int_{]0,1]\times \mathbb{R}^N}\sqrt[q]{\int_{\mathbb{R}}\phi_{\mu}^q(a,u,b)\,du}\,\frac{da\,db}{a^\gamma}<+\infty
$$

for some $q \in [1, +\infty[$ and $\gamma \in [1 - s_0, +\infty[$.

Theorem Let \mathcal{L} -be a probability measure on G satisfying conditions were on G satisfying conditions on G satisfying conditions on G satisfying conditions on G satisfying conditions were only as a set of the conditio t ions G-1. G-2 and G5 (or G-5) and let

$$
\rho(\mu) = \inf_{(s,t)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^N} \int_G a^s e^{\langle t,b\rangle} \, \mu(da\,du\,db) \,.
$$

Then-in-measures-of-measures-of-measures-of-measures-of-measures-of-measures-of-measures-of-measures-of-measures-

$$
\Big\{\frac{n^{(N+3)/2}}{\rho(\mu)^n}\,\mu^{*n}\Big\}_{n\geq 1}
$$

weakly converges to a non-degenerate Radon measure on G .

The demonstration of Theorem 2.1 is closely related to the study of the nuctuations of a random walk $(X_1^*, Y_1^*)_{n>0}$ on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . In Section 2, we first state the classical local limit theorem on \mathbb{R}^{N+1} but we add in its statement uniform upperbounds relatively to the starting point of the random walk $(X_1^*, Y_1^*)_{n \geq 0}$. This result is thus very usefull to obtain a precise equivalent in Theorem 2.5 of the joint law of the random walk $(\Lambda_1^*, Y_1^*)_{n>0}$ with its first entrance time I_+ in the half space $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$; a local limit theorem for the process

$$
(X_1^n, \max\,\{0,X_1^1,\ldots,X_1^n\},Y_1^n)_{n\geq 0}
$$

is thus obtained (Theorem 2.6). In Section 3 we give the main steps of the proof of Theorem

2. Fluctuations of a random walk on \mathbb{R}^{N+1} .

Fix an integer $N \geq 1$ and let $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \ldots$ be indepen- α ent $\mathbb R \times \mathbb R^+$ -valued random variables with distribution p denned on a probability space $(x, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(x_1, x_1, n>0$ be $\frac{1}{1}$, $\frac{1}{1}$, $\frac{1}{1}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, walk on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ starting from $(0,0)$ and defined by $\Lambda_{\bar{1}} = 0,$ $Y_{\bar{1}} = 0$ and $X_1 = X_1 + \cdots + X_n, Y_1 = Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n$ for $n \geq 1$; the distribution of the couple (X_1^*, Y_1^*) is the n^{on} power of convolution p of the measure p. \Box algebra α , the proposition of the proposition α y α is the proposition of α in α is the proposition of α

Let us first recall the

Demition 2.1. Let p be a probability measure on \mathbb{R} , $\kappa > 1$. The measure p is aperioal on \mathbb{R} and there is no closed and proper subgroup H of \mathbb{R} and $n \circ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p(\alpha + H) \equiv 1$.

Denote by \hat{p} the characteristic function of p defined by $\hat{p}(u, v) =$ $\mathbb{E}[e^{2\pi i \tau + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_i}]$ for any $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Recall that the probability measure p is aperiodic if and only if jpu vj for u v

For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ let $\{T_A^N\}_{k\geq 0}$ be the the successive times of visit of the random walk $(X_1^n, Y_1^n)_{n \geq 1}$ to the set A; one has T_A^{χ} = $0, T_A^{\gamma} = \inf \{ n \geq 1 : (X_1^{\alpha}, Y_1^{\alpha}) \in A \}$ and T_A^{γ} $\gamma = \inf \{ n \geq T_A^{\gamma} + 1 :$ $(X_1^{\mu}, Y_1^{\mu}) \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that the $T_A^{\mu\nu}$ are stopping times with respect to Γ is a the letration for Γ the transition kernel associate to p A the transition kernel associates to p A the transition Γ $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by

$$
P_{\mathcal A}((x,y),\mathcal B)=\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^N}{\bf 1}_{\mathcal A^c\cap\mathcal B}(x+x',y+y')\,p(dx'dy')\,,
$$

for any Dorel set D in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$; note that for any $\kappa > 1$ one has $P_{\mathcal{A}}((0,0),\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{E}[I\mathcal{A} > k]; (\mathcal{A}_1, I_1) \in \mathcal{B}].$ In order to simplify the notations we will set $T_- = T_{\mathbb{R}^-\times\mathbb{R}^N}$, $P_- = P_{\mathbb{R}^-\times\mathbb{R}^N}$ and $T_-^{(+)'} = T_{\mathbb{R}^-\times\mathbb{R}^N}^{(+)'}$; similar notations will hold with obvious modications when ^A ^R - ^R^N ^R - ^R^N and ^R - ^R^N

Troughout this paragraph, for any $k \geq 1$, we denote by λ_k the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n . Furthermore, for any $\sigma > 0, \; \pi_{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of \mathbb{C} -valued functions φ on \mathbb{R} such that

$$
\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^k}(1+\|x\|^{\delta})^k\,|\varphi(x)|<+\infty\,.
$$

2.1. Preliminaries.

The local limit theorem gives the asymptotic behaviour of the sequence $\{p \mid (\varphi)\}_{n>1}$ for any continuous function φ with compact support on \mathbb{R}^{N+1} ; we state it here and we precise some uniform upperbound for the sequence $p^m(\varphi)_{n>1}$ when φ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ with $\delta > 4$.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that:

is the common distribution p of the variables $\mathcal{N}=100$ and $\mathcal{N}=100$ $aperroate$ on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ,

ii)
$$
\mathbb{E}[|X_1|^2 + ||Y_1||^2] < +\infty
$$
 and $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = 0, \mathbb{E}[Y_1] = 0$.
\nThen:

i) for any continuous function φ with compact support on \mathbb{R}^{N+1} one has

$$
\lim_{n \to +\infty} n^{(N+1)/2} \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_1^n, Y_1^n)]
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(N+1)/2} \sqrt{|C|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \varphi(x, y) \lambda_1(dx) \lambda_N(dy),
$$

where $|C|$ denotes the determinant of the positive definite quadratic form

$$
C(u, v) = \mathbb{E}[(u X_1 + \langle v, Y_1 \rangle)^2].
$$

ii) For any function φ in $\mathcal{H}_\delta(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ with $\sigma > 4$, the sequence $\{n \in \mathbb{N}: |x| \in \mathbb{N} \mid \varphi(x + \Lambda_1, y + \Lambda_1) | \}$ is bounded uniformly in $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}$ $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof- The right is the classical local local local local limit the classical limit the contract of the contract of \sim obtain the second claim \mathcal{U} α ansionm has a compact support $K(\varphi)$. Recall that

$$
\hat{p}(u,v)=1-\frac{1}{2}\,C(u,v)\,(1+\varepsilon(u,v))
$$

 (u,v) \rightarrow (v,v) \rightarrow $||v|| < \delta$ one has

$$
|\hat{p}(u,v)| \le 1 - \frac{1}{4} C(u,v) \le e^{-C(u,v)/4}
$$
.

On the other hand, by the aperiodicity of p there exists $p = p(p, K(p))$ such that $|p(u,v)| \leq p$ as soon as (u,v) belongs to $K(\psi)$ and $|u| \pm ||v|| \geq$ δ . It follows that

$$
(2\pi n)^{(N+1)/2} \mathbb{E} \left[\phi(X_1^n, Y_1^n) \right]
$$

\n
$$
\leq n^{(N+1)/2} \int_{\|u\| + \|v\| < \delta} |\hat{\phi}(u, v)| |\hat{p}(u, v)|^n \lambda_1(du) \lambda_N(dv)
$$

\n
$$
+ n^{(N+1)/2} \int_{\|u\| + \|v\| \geq \delta} |\hat{\phi}(u, v)| |\hat{p}(u, v)|^n \lambda_1(du) \lambda_N(dv)
$$

$$
\leq n^{(N+1)/2} \int_{|u|+||v|| < \delta n^{(N+1)/2}} \left| \hat{\phi} \left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{v}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right| e^{-(n/4)C(u/\sqrt{n}, v/\sqrt{n})} \n+ n^{(N+1)/2} \rho^n ||\hat{\phi}||_1 \n\leq ||\hat{\phi}||_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N} e^{-C(u,v)/4} \lambda_1(du) \lambda_N(dv) + n^{(N+1)/2} \rho^n ||\hat{\phi}||_1.
$$

Now set $\varphi_{x,y}(x,y) = \varphi(x+x,y+y)$ for any $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and note that $\varphi_{x,y}(u,v) = e^{i\pi x + i\langle v, \theta \rangle y} \varphi(u,v)$; the functions $\varphi_{x,y}$ and φ thus have the same compact support and satisfies the equalities $\|\psi_{x,y}\|_1 - \|\psi\|_1$ and $\|\varphi_{x,y}\|_{\infty} = \|\varphi\|_{\infty}$. For any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ one thus has

$$
\begin{aligned} |(2\pi n)^{(N+1)/2} &\mathbb{E}\left[\phi_{x,y}(X_1^n, Y_1^n)\right]| \\ &\leq \|\hat{\phi}\|_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N} e^{-C(u,v)/4} \lambda_1(du) \lambda_N(dv) + n^{(N+1)/2} \rho^n \|\hat{\phi}\|_1 \; . \end{aligned}
$$

 \sim assertion in the assertion in any function τ whose Fourier transformation in the complete \sim has a compact support. To achieve the proof of ii) it suffices to show that for any function φ in $\mathcal{H}_{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ with $\delta > 4$ there exists a function whose Fourier transformation is the compact support and just support and just support and just support and just \mathbb{I} an immediate consequence of the following result; we thank here J . P . Conze for helpfull discussions about this fact

Lemma $2.3.$ Set

$$
h_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{1+|x|^{4+\varepsilon}} \;,
$$

 f is a function function of f is a function of f is a function of f and f a whose Fourier transform has a compact support in $\mathbb R$.

$$
\overline{h_{\varepsilon}}(x) = C \Big(\frac{\sin^2 x}{x^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \alpha x}{x^2} \Big)
$$

for some α and C in \mathbb{R}^{*+} which will depend on ε . Assume $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the function function has defined in R in the results of the thus successive on R in The Company of the theory of the company of the com exists $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$
\lim_{x \to +\infty} x^{2+\epsilon} (\sin^2 x + \sin^2(\alpha x)) = +\infty.
$$

If such a real did not exist, then for any $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ there should exist a sequence for \sim 1 and a constant C constant for all $n \geq 1$,

$$
\sin^2 x_n + \sin^2(\alpha x_n) \le \frac{C}{x_n^{2+\varepsilon}}.
$$

So there should exist two strictly increasing sequences of integers fkngn- and flngn- such that

$$
|x_n - k_n \pi| \le \frac{C'}{x_n^{1+\varepsilon/2}}, \qquad |\alpha x_n - l_n \pi| \le \frac{C'}{x_n^{1+\varepsilon/2}}
$$

which implies

$$
\left|\alpha - \frac{l_n}{k_n}\right| \le \frac{C''}{k_n^{2+\varepsilon/2}}
$$

for some positive constants C' and C'' . This leads to a contradiction because for almost all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ (with respect with the Lebesgue measure), this last inequality has at most a nilite number of solutions in \mathbb{N}^+ |z|. The lemma is proved

2.2. A local limit theorem for a killed random walk on a half space.

In $[7]$, we proved the following

Theorem 2.4. Let the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 hold. Then for any continuous function with compact support φ on \mathbb{R}^- we have

$$
\lim_{n \to +\infty} n^{3/2} \mathbb{E} \left[[T_{+} > n]; \varphi(X_1^n) \right] = \frac{1}{\sigma(X_1) \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \varphi(x) \lambda_1^{-} * U^{*-}(dx),
$$

where λ_1 denotes the restriction of the Levesgue measure on \R^+ and U^{*-} is the σ -finite measure on \mathbb{R}^- defined by

$$
U^{*-}(\mathcal{B})=\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}(X_1^{T_+^{(k)}})\right]
$$

for any Borel set B In the same way- one has

$$
\lim_{n \to +\infty} n^{3/2} \mathbb{E} \left[[T_* + > n]; \varphi(X_1^n) \right] = \frac{1}{\sigma(X_1) \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 \varphi(x) \lambda_1^- * U^-(dx) ,
$$

where $\mathcal U$ is the o-finite measure on $\mathbb R$ aefined by

$$
U^-(\mathcal B)=\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\mathbb{E}[{\bf 1}_{\mathcal B}(X^{T^{(k)}_1}_1)]
$$

for any Borel set B

Recall that the random walks $\{X_1^{T^{(m)}_+}\}_{k\geq 1}$ and $\{X_1^{T^{(m)}_{*-}}\}_{k\geq 1}$ are transient on \mathbb{R}^- ; it follows that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}[[T_+ > k]; \varphi(x+X_1^k)]$ and ∇ $k=0$ $\mathbb{E} \left[\left[T \right] _*+ \geq k \right]$; $\varphi(x+\Lambda_1)$ do converge. Furthermore one has

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[[T_{+} > k]; \varphi(x + X_1^k) \right] = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \varphi(x) U^{*-}(dx)
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[[T_{*+}>k];\varphi(x+X_1^k)\right]=\int_{-\infty}^{0}\varphi(x)\,U^-(dx)\,.
$$

Let us now state the following

 T the hypotheses of Theorem \overline{H} and \overline{H} and \overline{H} and \overline{H} and \overline{H} and \overline{H} and \overline{H}

 Γ i for any continuous function φ with compact support on \mathbb{R} - \times \mathbb{R} one has

$$
\lim_{n \to +\infty} n^{(N+3)/2} \mathbb{E}[[T_{+} > n]; \varphi(X_{1}^{n}, Y_{1}^{n}))]
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(N+1)/2} \sqrt{|C|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{-} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(x, y) \lambda_{1}^{-} * U^{*-(dx)} \lambda_{N}(dy).
$$

ii) For any continuous function f with compact support on $\mathbb R$ and any g in $\pi_{\delta}(\mathbb{R})$ with $o > 4$, the sequence

$$
\{n^{(N+3)/2} \mathbb{E}[[T_{+} > n]; f(X_1^n) g(y + Y_1^n)]\}_{n \ge 1}
$$

is bounded, uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In the same way-the same way-the same way-the same way-the-same way-the-same way-the-same way-the-same way-the-

$$
\lim_{n \to +\infty} n^{(N+3)/2} \mathbb{E}[[T_{*+} > n]; \varphi(X_1^n, Y_1^n)]
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(N+1)/2} \sqrt{|C|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^-\times\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x, y) \lambda_1^- * U^-(dx) \lambda_N(dy)
$$

and the sequence

$$
\{n^{(N+3)/2} \mathbb{E}[[T_{+} > n]; f(X_{1}^{n}) g(y + Y_{1}^{n})]\}_{n \ge 1}
$$

is bounded, uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Prove this prove the theorem by induction over the Provence and the decade with the case N $_{\rm H~II}$ suppose that the case $_{\rm H~II}$ suppose that this result hold for some N $_{\rm H~II}$ and we consider a sequence $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ and distributed random variables on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. Dy a classical argument in probability theory, it suffices to show the above convergence hold for $\varphi(x, y, z) = e^{-x} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x) \varphi(y) \psi(z)$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and φ, ψ are \mathbb{C}^n valued functions whose Fourier transform are continuous with compact supports. By the inverse Fourier transform one has

$$
I_n = \mathbb{E} \left[[T_+ > n]; e^{a X_1^n} \phi(Y_1^n) \psi(Z_1^n) \right]
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(N+1)/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}(v) \hat{\psi}(w) \alpha_n(a, v, w) \lambda_N(dv) \lambda_1(dw)
$$

with $\alpha_n(a, v, w) = \mathbb{E} ||T_+ > n||$; $e^{aX_1 + i \langle v, Y_1 \rangle + i w Z_1}$.

The Spitzer's factorisation for random walks on $\mathbb R$ gives for all α , α

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} s^n \, \mathbb{E}\left[[T_+ > n]; e^{a X_1^n} \right] = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^n}{n} \, \mathbb{E}\left[[X_1^n < 0]; e^{a X_1^n} \right] \right).
$$

Using the fact that $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^-$ and $\mathbb{R}^- \times \mathbb{R}^-$ are semi-groups in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , Ch. Sunyach extended this factorisation to the multidimensionnal case is the case of any and Theorem p an $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $w \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s \in [0, 1]$ one thus has

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} s^n \mathbb{E} \left[[T_+ > n]; e^{a X_1^n + i \langle v, Y_1^n \rangle + i w Z_1^n} \right]
$$

=
$$
\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^n}{n} \mathbb{E} \left[[X_1^n < 0]; e^{a X_1^n + i \langle v, Y_1^n \rangle + i w Z_1^n} \right] \right)
$$

that is

$$
(n+1)\alpha_{n+1}(a,v,w) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_{n+1-k}(a,v,w)\alpha_k(a,v,w)
$$

with $\beta_n(a, v, w) = \mathbb{E}[|X_1^n| < 0]; e^{a\lambda_1^n + i \langle v, Y_1^n \rangle + i w \lambda_1^n}].$ Finally $\overline{}$ is the set of $\overline{}$ is the set of $\overline{}$ $\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{k=0}I_{n,k}$ $\overline{}$

with

$$
I_{n,k} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(N+1)/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}} \beta_{n+1-k}(a,v,w) \alpha_k(a,v,w) \cdot \hat{\phi}(v) \hat{\psi}(w) \lambda_N(dv) \lambda_1(dw).
$$

Set

$$
I = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(N+2)/2} \sqrt{|C|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}\Big[[T_+ > k]; \frac{e^{a X_1^k}}{a} \Big] \newline \cdot \phi(y) \psi(z) \lambda_N(dy) \lambda_1(dz),
$$

since

$$
I = \lambda_1^- * U^{*-}(e^a) \lambda_N(\phi) \lambda_1(\psi) ,
$$

It sumes to show that $\{n^{(1)}, 1^n\}$ $n \geq 1$ converges to I, that is

1) for all
$$
k > 0
$$
, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} n^{(N+2)/2} I_{n,k} = I_{*k}$,
\n2) $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} |I_{*k}| < +\infty$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} I_{*k} = I$,
\n3) $\limsup_{l \to +\infty} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} n^{(N+2)/2} \sum_{k=l}^{n} |I_{n,k}| = 0$.

To prove the assertion 1), note that

$$
I_{n,k} = \mathbb{E}\left[[T_{+} > k] \cap [X_{k+1}^{n+1} > 0]; e^{a X_{1}^{n+1}} \phi(Y_{1}^{n+1}) \psi(Z_{1}^{n+1})\right],
$$

by the local limit theorem on \mathbb{R}^{N+2} the assertion 1) follows with

$$
I_{*k} = \frac{1}{2\pi^{(N+2)/2} \sqrt{|C|}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[[T_{+} > k]; e^{aX_1^k}]}{a}
$$

$$
\cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(y) \,\lambda_N(dy) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(z) \,\lambda_1(dz) .
$$

The fact that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} |I_{*k}|$ converges is a direct consequence of The assertion is the assertion of \mathbf{r} assertion is that assertion is the assertion of \mathbf{r}

$$
|I_{n,k}| \leq \mathbb{E}\left[[T_{+} > k] \cap [X_{k+1}^{n+1} < 0]; e^{aX_{1}^{n+1}} |\phi(Y_{1}^{n+1})| |\psi(Z_{1}^{n+1})| \right]
$$

\n
$$
\leq \mathbb{E}\left[[T_{+} > k]; e^{aX_{1}^{k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{-} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}} e^{ax} |\phi(y + Y_{1}^{k})|
$$

\n
$$
\cdot |\psi(z + Z_{1}^{k})| p^{*(n+1-k)} (dx \, dy \, dz) \right]
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{C(a, \phi, \psi)}{(n+1-k)^{(N+2)/2}} \mathbb{E}\left[[T_{+} > k]; e^{aX_{1}^{k}} \right] \quad \text{by Theorem 2.2.ii}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{C_{1}}{(n+1-k)^{(N+2)/2} k^{3/2}} \quad \text{by Theorem 2.4.}
$$

On the other hand

$$
|I_{n,k}| \leq ||\psi||_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^-\times\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[[T_+ > k]; e^{aX_1^k} |\psi(y + Y_1^k)|
$$

$$
e^{ax} p^{*(n+1-k)} (dx\,dy\,dz)]
$$

$$
\leq \frac{\|\psi\|_{\infty} C(a, \phi)}{k^{(N+3)/2}}
$$

 $\cdot \mathbb{E}[[X_{k+1}^{n+1} < 0]; e^{a X_{k+1}^{n+1}}] \qquad \text{by hypothesis of induction}$

$$
\leq \frac{C_2}{k^{(N+3)/2} \sqrt{n+1-k}}.
$$

The assertion is a series for any \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} are any \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} are any \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} are any \mathcal{S} and $\mathcal{S$

$$
n^{(N+2)/2} \sum_{k=l}^{n} |I_{n,k}| \le C_1 \sum_{k=l}^{\lfloor n(1-\varepsilon)\rfloor} \frac{n^{(N+2)/2}}{k^{3/2} (n+1-k)^{(N+2)/2}} + C_2 \sum_{\lfloor n(1-\varepsilon)+1\rfloor}^{n} \frac{n^{(N+2)/2}}{k^{(N+3)/2} \sqrt{n+1-k}} \n\le \frac{C_1}{\varepsilon^{(N+2)/2}} \sum_{k=l}^{\lfloor n(1-\varepsilon)\rfloor} \frac{1}{k^{3/2}} + \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{n} (1-\varepsilon)^{(N+3)/2}} \sum_{\lfloor n(1-\varepsilon)+1\rfloor}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1-k}}
$$

IOU LE LE PAGE AND M. PEIGNE

$$
\leq C\Big(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{(N+2)/2}\sqrt{l}}+\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{(1-\varepsilon)^{(N+3)/2}}\Big).
$$

Since ε is arbitrarily small, the assertion 3) follows.

The proof of ii) is also made by induction over N . If $g \in H_\delta(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ there exist $\varphi \in H_{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\psi \in H_{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $|g| \leq \varphi \otimes \psi$. We set

$$
I_n(y, z) = \mathbb{E}\left[[T_+ > n]; e^{aX_1^n} \phi(y + Y_1^n) \psi(z + Z_1^n) \right]
$$

and we have

$$
I_n(y, z) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} I_{n,k}(y, z)
$$

with

$$
I_{n,k}(y,z) = \mathbb{E}\left[[T_+ > k] \cap [X_{k+1}^{n+1} < 0]; e^{a X_1^{n+1}} \phi(y + Y_1^{n+1}) \psi(z + Z_1^{n+1})\right].
$$

As above, one has

$$
|I_{n,k}(y,z)| \le \inf \left\{ \frac{C_1}{(n+1-k)^{(N+2)/2} k^{3/2}}, \frac{C_2}{k^{(N+3)/2} \sqrt{n+1-k}} \right\}
$$

which proves that the sequence

$$
\left\{n^{(N+2)/2}\sum_{k=0}^{n}|I_{n,k}(y,z)|\right\}_{n\geq1}
$$

is uniformly bounded in y, z . This achieves the proof of ii).

The convergence of the sequence

$$
\{n^{(N+3)/2}\mathbb{E}[[T_{*+} > n]; \varphi(X_1^n, Y_1^n)]\}_{n \ge 1}
$$

is obtained with similar arguments

2.3. Behaviour of the process $((\Lambda_1^*, \max\{0, \Lambda_1^*, \ldots, \Lambda_1^*\}, Y_1^*))_{n \geq 0}$.

For any $n \geq 0$ set $\mathcal{A}_1^+ = \max\{0, \Lambda_1^-, \ldots, \Lambda_1^+\}$ and let I_n be the random variable denned on $(x, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F})$ by $T_n = \text{Im } \{ 0 \leq \kappa \leq n : A_1^{\mathcal{F}} \equiv \emptyset \}$

 Λ_1^c }; for any continuous function φ with compact support on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\mathcal{X}_1^n, \mathcal{X}_1^n - X_1^n, Y_1^n)\right]
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\left[T_n = k\right]; \varphi(X_1^k, -X_{k+1}^n, Y_1^n)\right]
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\left[0 < X_1^k, X_1^1 < X_1^k, \dots, X_1^{k-1} < X_1^k, \right.\right.
$$
\n
$$
X_1^{k+1} \leq X_1^k, \dots, X_1^n \leq X_1^k\right]; \varphi(X_1^k, -X_{k+1}^n, Y_1^n)\right]
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\left[X_1^1 > 0, \dots, X_1^k > 0\right] \cap \left[X_{k+1}^{k+1} \leq 0, \dots, X_{k+1}^n \leq 0\right];
$$
\n
$$
\varphi(X_1^k, -X_{k+1}^n, Y_1^n)\right].
$$

One obtains the following factorisation

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\mathcal{X}_1^n, \mathcal{X}_1^n-X_1^n, Y_1^n)\right] = \sum_{k=0}^n J_{n,k}(\varphi)
$$

with

$$
J_{n,k}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \varphi(x, -x', y+y') P^k_-(0,0), dx \, dy) P^{n-k}_{*+}((0,0), dx' \, dy').
$$

The behaviour of the process $(\lambda_1, \lambda_1 - \lambda_1, Y_1)$ is thus closely related to the one of the iterates of the transition kernels P_{-} and P_{*+} . Using this factorisation one proves the

Theorem 2.6. Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 hold.

Inen, for any continuous function with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times$ $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^-$ ine sequence

$$
\{n^{(N+3)/2}\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\mathcal{X}_1^n,\mathcal{X}_1^n-X_1^n,Y_1^n)\right]\}_{n\geq 1}
$$

converges to

$$
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(N+1)/2}\sqrt{|C|}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^N}\varphi(s,-t,y)\,U^{*+}(ds)\,\lambda_1^-*U^-(dt)\,\lambda_N(dy)\\+\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(N+1)/2}\sqrt{|C|}}\\ \cdot\int_{\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^N}\varphi(s,-t,y)\,\lambda_+*U^{*+}(ds)\,U^-(dt)\,\lambda_N(dy)\,.
$$

 \blacksquare for any continuous function functi $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and any g in $\mathcal{H}_\delta(\mathbb{R}^+)$, the sequence

$$
\{n^{(N+3)/2}\mathbb{E}\left[f(\mathcal{X}_1^n,\mathcal{X}_1^n - X_1^n)\,g(y + Y_1^n)\right]\}_{n \ge 1}
$$

is bounded, uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof- We only proof the rst assertion the second one may obtained with obvious modifications as in Theorem 2.5. Set $\varphi(x, t, y) =$ $x \mapsto \alpha \wedge \alpha \wedge \alpha$ the compact α are continuous with compact α and α support Fix k \sim Theorem is the sequence of the sequence of

$$
\left\{n^{(N+3)/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^-\times\mathbb{R}^N}\varphi_2(x')\,\varphi_3(y+y')\,P_{*+}^{n-k}((0,0),dx'dy')\right\}_{n\geq 1}
$$

is bounded unhormly in $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and converges to

$$
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(N+1)/2}\sqrt{|C|}}\int_{-\infty}^{0}\varphi_{2}(-t)\,\lambda_{1}^{-}\ast U^{-}(dt)\,\lambda_{N}(\varphi_{3})\,.
$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, one thus obtains, for any fixed $i > 1$

$$
\lim_{n \to +\infty} n^{(N+3)/2} \sum_{k=0}^{i} J_{n,k}(\varphi)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(N+1)/2} \sqrt{|C|}} \sum_{k=0}^{i} \mathbb{E} \left[[T_{-} > k]; \varphi_1(X_1^k) \right]
$$
\n
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{0} \varphi_2(-t) \lambda_1^{-} * U^{-}(dt) \lambda_N(\varphi_3).
$$

In the same way one has

$$
\lim_{n \to +\infty} n^{(N+3)/2} \sum_{k=n-i+1}^{n} J_{n,k}(\varphi)
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(N+1)/2} \sqrt{|C|}} \sum_{k=0}^{i} \mathbb{E}[[T_{*+} > k]; \varphi_2(-X_1^k)]
$$

$$
\cdot \lambda_1^+ * U^{*+}(\varphi_1) \lambda_N(\varphi_3).
$$

Note that the sums $\sum_{k=0}^{i} \mathbb{E}[[T_{-} > k]; \varphi_1(X_1^k)]$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{i} \mathbb{E}[[T_{*+} > k]]$ k ; $\varphi_2(X_1^k)$ converges respectively to $U^{*+}(\varphi_1)$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{0} \varphi_2(-t) U^{-}(dt)$.

$$
\limsup_{i \to +\infty} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \left| n^{(N+3)/2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-i} J_{n,k}(\varphi) \right| = 0,
$$

one has

$$
\left| n^{(N+3)/2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{[n/2]} J_{n,k}(\varphi) \right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq n^{(N+3)/2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{[n/2]} \mathbb{E}[[T_{-} > k]; |\varphi_1(X_1^k)|]
$$

\n
$$
\cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\infty} \times \mathbb{R}^N} |\varphi_2(x')| |\varphi_3(y+y')| P_{*+}^{n-k}((0,0), dx'dy')
$$

\n
$$
\leq C(\varphi_2, \varphi_3) \sum_{k=i+1}^{[n/2]} \mathbb{E}[[T_{-} > k]; |\varphi_1(X_1^k)|]
$$

\n
$$
\cdot \frac{n^{(N+3)/2}}{(n-k)^{(N+3)/2}} \qquad \text{by Theorem 2.5.ii}
$$

\n
$$
\leq C(\varphi) \sum_{k=i+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k^{3/2}}.
$$

The same upperbound holds for the term

$$
n^{(N+3)/2}
$$
 $\sum_{k=[n/2]+1}^{n-i} J_{n,k}(\varphi).$

This achieves the proof

A local limit theorem for a particular class of solvable groups

Recall that $G = G_{d,N} = \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ with the composition law $q \cdot q = (a \cdot a \cdot a \cdot u + u \cdot b + o \cdot b)$, $g \cdot g = (a a, a u + u, b + b)$,
for all $g = (a, u, b)$, for all $g' = (a', u', b') \in G_{d,N}$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is closed to the one of the local limit theorem for the affine group of the real line given in $[7]$; we just give here the main steps of the demonstration

Let us first introduce some helpfull notations. Let $g_n = (a_n, u_n, b_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ be independent and identically distributed random variables with distribution \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O} are \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O} are \mathcal{O} the variables $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n, n \geq 1$. For any $n \geq 1$, set $G_1 = g_1 \cdots g_n =$ $(A_1^n, U_1^n, B_1^n);$ we have $A_1^n = a_1 \cdots a_n, U_1^n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_1 \cdots$ \cdots \cdots $b_1 = b_1 + \cdots + b_n$. More generally, if $1 \leq m \leq n$, set $A_m = a_m \cdots a_n$, $U_m^n = \sum_{k=m}^n a_m \cdots a_{k-1} u_k, B_m^n = b_m + \cdots + b_n$ and set $A_m^n = 1$, $U_m^m \equiv 0, D_m^m \equiv 0$ otherwise.

 \blacksquare by the image of \blacksquare the property of \blacksquare . The map of \blacksquare

$$
g = (a, u, b) \longmapsto \tilde{g} = \left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{u}{a}, b\right),
$$

 μ $y_n = (u_n, u_n, v_n), \; \mu = 1, 2, \ldots$ are independent and identically distributed random variables with distribution μ on G, set $G_m^m = g_m \cdots g_n$ $=(\tilde{A}_m^n, \tilde{U}_m^n, \tilde{B}_m^n).$

In order to obtain the asymptotic behaviour of the power of convolution μ we use the fact that the sequence $\{U_1\}_{n>1}$ behaves like the maximum of the variables A_1^1, \ldots, A_1^n . These idea was already used in [7]. Set $A = \{g = (a, u, b) \in G : a > 1\}$ and consider the transition kernel Parties - A and denote with - $\{F^{\sigma}\}$, $F^{\sigma}\}$ and denote by $\{F^{\sigma}\}$

$$
P_{\mathcal{A}}(g, \mathcal{B}) = \int_G \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}^c \cap \mathcal{B}}(g \, h) \, \mu(d h)
$$

for any \mathcal{L} and any \mathcal{L} both any \mathcal{L} g and any probabilities interpretations of \mathcal{L} of P_A is the following one: if $I_A = \text{im } \{n \geq 1 : G_1 \in A\}$ is the first entrance time in A of the random walk $\{G_1^r\}_{n\geq0}$ then

$$
P_{\mathcal{A}}^{n}(e,\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{P}\left[\left[T_{\mathcal{A}} > n\right] \cap \left[G_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{B}\right]\right], \quad \text{for all } n \ge 1.
$$

In the same way, set $A = \{g \in G : a(g) \geq 1\}$, let $P_{A'}$ be the operator associated with (μ, \mathcal{A}) and denote by $T_{\mathcal{A}'}$ the first entrance time in \mathcal{A} of the random walk $\{G_1\}_{n\geq 1}$; one has

$$
\tilde{P}_{\mathcal{A}'}^n(e,\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{P}\left[[\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{A}'} > n] \cap [\tilde{G}_1^n \in \mathcal{B}]\right], \quad \text{for all } n \ge 1.
$$

As in Section 2.3, we introduce the first time at which the random walk $\{A_1^{\dagger}\}_n \geq 1$ reaches its maximum on \mathbb{R} '; for any continuous function φ with compact support on G , we thus obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(G_1^n)\right] = \sum_{k=0}^n I_{n,k}(\varphi)\,,
$$

where

$$
I_{n,k}(\varphi) = \int_{G\times G} \varphi\left(\frac{a'}{a}, \frac{u+u'}{a}, b+b'\right) \tilde{P}^k_{\mathcal{A}'}(e, da\,du\,db)\, P^{n-k}_{\mathcal{A}}(e, da'\,du'\,db')\,.
$$

We now give the main steps of the proof of Theorem 1.1 under hypothesis $G1$, $G2$ and $G3$.

First step. Control of the central terms of the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{n} I_{n,k}(\varphi)$. kan ka -

We show here that

$$
\limsup_{i \to +\infty} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{k=i}^{n-i} I_{n,k}(\varphi) = 0.
$$

Without loss of generality, one may suppose that the support of φ is included in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \rightarrow any ε $>$ 0 there exist a constant \cup $>$ 0 and a positive function φ with compact support on \mathbb{R}^+ such that

$$
\varphi(a, u, b) \leq C \; \frac{a^{\varepsilon}}{\|u\|^{2\varepsilon}} \; \phi(b) \; ,
$$

It follows that for any (α, β) in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$

$$
\mathbb{E}\Big[[T_{\mathcal{A}} > l]; \varphi\Big(\frac{A_1^l}{\alpha}, \frac{u + U_1^l}{\alpha}, \beta + B_1^l\Big) \Big] \n\leq C \alpha^{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\Big[[a_1 \leq 1] \cap \Big[\max\{A_2^2, \dots, A_2^l\} \leq \frac{1}{a_1} \Big]; \frac{(A_1^l)^{\varepsilon}}{\|u + U_1^l\|^{2\varepsilon}} \phi(\beta + B_1^l) \Big] \n\leq C \alpha^{\varepsilon} \int_G \mathbb{E}\Big[\frac{(A_2^l)^{\varepsilon}}{\max\{A_2^2, \dots, A_2^l\}^{2\varepsilon}} \phi(\beta + b + B_2^l) \Big] \frac{\mu(da\,dv\,db)}{a^{\varepsilon} \|v\|^{2\varepsilon}},
$$

the last inequality being a consequence of the fact that $||u + U_1|| \geq ||u_1||$ \mathcal{P}_c surely and almost surely an

$$
\mathbf{1}_{\{\max\{A_2^2,\ldots,A_2^l\}\leq 1/a_1\}} \leq \frac{1}{a_1^{2\varepsilon} \max\{A_2^2,\ldots,A_2^l\}^{2\varepsilon}}.
$$

By Theorem 2.6 one obtains

$$
l^{(N+3)/2} \mathbb{E}\Big[[T_{\mathcal{A}} > l]; \varphi\Big(\frac{A_1^l}{\alpha}, \frac{u + U_1^l}{\alpha}, \beta + B_1^l\Big) \Big] \leq C_1(\varphi) \,\alpha^{\varepsilon} \,.
$$

The same upperbound holds under hypotheses $G1$, $G2$ and $G3$ (see [7, Lemma 3.1 .

It readily follows that

$$
n^{(N+3)/2} \sum_{k=i}^{[n/2]} I_{n,k}(\varphi) \le 2^{(N+3)/2} \sum_{k=i}^{[n/2]} (n-k)^{(N+3)/2} I_{n,k}(\varphi)
$$

$$
\le C_1(\varphi) \sum_{k=i}^{[n/2]} \mathbb{E}[[\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{A}'} > k]; (\tilde{A}_1^k)^{\varepsilon}]
$$

$$
\le C_2(\varphi) \sum_{k=i}^{[n/2]} \frac{1}{k^{3/2}}
$$

and so

$$
\limsup_{i \to +\infty} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} n^{(N+3)/2} \sum_{k=i}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} I_{n,k}(\varphi) = 0.
$$

The control of the sum $\sum_{k=\lfloor n/2\rfloor}^{n-\ell} I_{n,k}(\varphi)$ goes along the same lines.

Second step. Convergence of the sequence

$$
l^{(N+3)/2} \mathbb{E}\Big[[T_{\mathcal{A}} > l] ; \varphi\Big(\frac{A_1^l}{\alpha}, \frac{u + U_1^l}{\alpha}, \beta + B_1^l \Big) \Big]
$$

 $for any (\alpha, u, \beta) \in [0, 1] \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^{-} \times \mathbb{R}^-$.

It is the more technical part of the proof and it uses and idea due to Afanasev [1]. Without loss of generality, one may suppose $\alpha = 1$, u and For any n set

$$
\mathbb{E}_n(\varphi) = n^{(N+3)/2} \, \mathbb{E}\left[[T_A > n]; \varphi(A_1^n, U_1^n, B_1^n) \right].
$$

Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq i \leq n/2$ and consider

$$
\mathbb{E}_n(\varphi, i) = n^{(N+3)/2} \, \mathbb{E}[[T_A > n]; \varphi(A_1^n, U_1^i + A_1^{n-i} U_{n-i+1}^n, B_1^n)].
$$

To obtain the claim, it suffices to prove that

a lim support the support of the su i lim superior superior in the superior of the superior of the superior in the superior in the superior in the s n jE n ^E n ij

 α for any metric α is α if α is a sequence feature featur finite limit.

Proof of convergence a We use the equality

$$
U_1^n = U_1^i + A_1^i U_{i+1}^{n-i} + A_1^{n-i} U_{n-i+1}^n ,
$$

without loss of generality one may suppose that φ is continuously differentiable and so for any there exists C and a positive f unction φ with compact support on \mathbb{R}^N such that

$$
|\varphi(a, u, b) - \varphi(a, v, b)| \leq C a^{\varepsilon} ||u - v||^{\varepsilon} \phi(b),
$$

consequently

$$
\begin{split} |\mathbb{E}_n(\varphi) - \mathbb{E}_n(\varphi, i)| \\ &\leq C \, n^{(N+3)/2} \, \mathbb{E}\left[[T_A > n]; (A_1^n)^{\varepsilon} \, (A_1^i)^{\varepsilon} \, \| U_{i+1}^{n-i} \|^{\varepsilon} \, \phi(B_1^n) \right] \\ &\leq C \, n^{(N+3)/2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-i} \mathbb{E}\left[[T_A > n]; (A_1^n)^{\varepsilon} \, (A_1^{k-1})^{\varepsilon} \, \| u_k \|^{\varepsilon} \, \phi(B_1^n) \right]. \end{split}
$$

Note that for $i\leq k\leq [n/2]$ one has

$$
\mathbb{E}[[T_A > n]; (A_1^n)^{\varepsilon} (A_1^{k-1})^{\varepsilon} ||u_k||^{\varepsilon} \phi(B_1^n)]
$$
\n
$$
\leq \mathbb{E}[[T_A > k-1] \cap \Big[\max \{A_{k+1}^{k+1}, \dots, A_{k+1}^n\} \leq \frac{1}{A_1^k} \Big];
$$
\n
$$
(A_1^n)^{\varepsilon} (A_1^{k-1})^{\varepsilon} ||u_k||^{\varepsilon} \phi(B_1^n)]
$$
\n
$$
\leq \mathbb{E}[[T_A > k-1]; (A_1^{k-1})^{\varepsilon/2} a_k^{-\varepsilon/2} ||u_k||^{\varepsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\cdot \max \{A_{k+1}^{k+1}, \dots, A_{k+1}^n\}^{-3\varepsilon/2} (A_{k+1}^n)^{\varepsilon} \phi(B_1^n)].
$$

By Theorem 2.6,

$$
(n-k)^{(N+3)/2} \mathbb{E} [\max \{A_{k+1}^{k+1}, \dots, A_{k+1}^n\}^{-3\varepsilon/2} (A_{k+1}^n)^{\varepsilon} \phi(\beta + B_{k+1}^n)]
$$

is bounded, uniformly in $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and so

$$
(n-k)^{(N+3)/2} E[[T_A > n]; (A_1^n)^{\varepsilon} (A_1^{k-1})^{\varepsilon} ||u_k||^{\varepsilon} \phi(B_1^n)] \leq \frac{C_1}{k^{3/2}}.
$$

When $[n/2] \leq k \leq n - i$ one obtains by a similar argument

$$
k^{(N+3)/2} \mathbb{E} \left[[T_A > n]; (A_1^n)^{\varepsilon} (A_1^{k-1})^{\varepsilon} || u_k ||^{\varepsilon} \phi(B_1^n) \right] \leq \frac{C_2}{(n-k)^{3/2}}.
$$

Finally one has

$$
\left|\mathbb{E}_n(\varphi) - \mathbb{E}_n(\varphi, i)\right| \leq C_3 \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}},
$$

convergence a) follows.

 \blacksquare . The convergence b \blacksquare is the integration of \blacksquare . The integration of \blacksquare

$$
\mathbb{E}_n(\varphi, i)
$$

= $\int_G E_n(\varphi, g, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_i) P^i_{\mathcal{A}}(e, dg) \mu(dh_1) \mu(dh_2) \cdots \mu(dh_i)$

with

$$
E_n(\varphi, g, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_i)
$$

= $\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big[\max\big\{A_{i+1}^{i+1}, \dots, A_{i+1}^{n-i}\big\} \leq \frac{1}{a(g)}\Big]$
 $\bigcap \Big[A_{i+1}^{n-i} \leq \min\Big\{\frac{1}{a(g)}, \frac{1}{a(g)a(h_1)}, \dots, \frac{1}{a(g)a(h_1)\cdots a(h_i)}\Big\}\Big];$
 $\varphi(a(g) A_{i+1}^{n-i} a(h_1) \cdots a(h_i), u(g) + a(g) A_{i+1}^{n-i} u(h_1 \cdots h_i),$
 $B_1^{n-i} + b(h_1) + \cdots + b(h_i)\Big].$

Using Theorem 2.6, one may see that, for any $g, h_1, \ldots, h_i \in G$, the sequence $(N+2)/$

$$
{n^{(N+3)/2}E_n(\varphi, g, h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_i)}_{n \ge 1}
$$

converges to a finite limit. To obtain the convergence b), we have to use Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and theorem and the lebes we have to the obtain an appropriate upperbound for $n^{(1)}$, n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_i).

Using the fact that for any there exist C and a positive continuous function φ with compact support on \mathbb{R}^N such that $|\varphi(a, u, v)| \leq C a |\varphi(v)|$, one thus obtains

$$
n^{(N+3)/2}E_n(\varphi, g, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_i) \leq C_1 a(g)^{-3\varepsilon/2} a(h_1)^{\varepsilon} \cdots a(h_i)^{\varepsilon}
$$

which allows us to use the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem for ε small enough; convergence b) follows.

Consequently, $\{n^{(1)}, n^{(2)}\}$ $\{n, 0(\varphi)\}$ $n>1$ converges to a nifile limit; furthermore, for any $i \geq 1$ and any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$, the dominated convergence theorem ensures the existence of a finite limit as *n* goes to $+\infty$ for

$$
\left\{ n^{(N+3)/2} \sum_{k=0}^{i} I_{n,k}(\varphi, K) \right\}_{n \geq 1},
$$

where

$$
I_{n,k}(\varphi, K) = \int_G \mathbf{1}_K(g)
$$

$$
\cdot \left(\int_G \varphi\left(\frac{a(h)}{a(g)}, \frac{u(g) + u(h)}{a(g)}, b(g) + b(h)\right) P_A^{n-k}(e, dh) \right)
$$

$$
\cdot \tilde{P}_{\mathcal{A}'}^k(e, dg).
$$

The following step shows that the indicator function $\mathbf{1}_K$ does not disturb too much the behaviour of theses integrals

Third step. Control of the residual terms.

In the first step of the present proof, we have shown that, for any there exists C \sim that C \sim

$$
(n-k)^{(N+3)/2} \mathbb{E}\Big[[T_{\mathcal{A}} > n-k]; \varphi\Big(\frac{A_1^{n-k}}{\alpha}, \frac{u+U_1^{n-k}}{\alpha}, \beta + B_1^{n-k}\Big) \Big] \leq C_1(\varphi) \alpha^{\varepsilon}.
$$

It follows that follows the following th

$$
\sum_{k=1}^i \int_{\{g \in G : a(g) \le \delta\}} \Big(\int_G \varphi\Big(\frac{a(h)}{a(g)}, \frac{u(g) + u(h)}{a(g)}, b(g) + b(h)\Big) P_{\mathcal{A}}^{n-k}(e, dh) \Big)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\cdot \tilde{P}_{\mathcal{A}'}^{k}(e, dg) \\
&\leq C_1 \sum_{k=1}^{i} \frac{1}{(n-k)^{(N+3)/2}} \mathbb{E}\left[[\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{A}'} > k]; (\tilde{A}_1^k)^{\varepsilon} \right] \\
&\leq C_1 \sum_{k=1}^{i} \frac{1}{(n-k)^{(N+3)/2} k^{3/2}} \, .\n\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand for any xed U one has

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{i} \int_{\{g \in G : \|u(g)\| \ge U\}} \Big(\int_{G} \varphi \Big(\frac{a(h)}{a(g)}, \frac{u(g) + u(h)}{a(g)}, b(h) + b(g) \Big) P_{\mathcal{A}}^{n-k}(e, dh) \Big) \cdot \tilde{P}_{\mathcal{A}'}^{k}(e, dg) \n\le \frac{C_1}{U^{\varepsilon/2}} \sum_{k=1}^{i} \frac{1}{(n-k)^{(N+3)/2}} E\left[[\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{A}'} > k]; (\tilde{A}_{1}^{k})^{\varepsilon} || \tilde{U}_{k} ||^{\varepsilon/2} \right] \n\le \frac{C_1}{U^{\varepsilon/2}} \sum_{k=1}^{i} \frac{1}{(n-k)^{(N+3)/2} k^{3/2}}.
$$

The last inequality being guaranteed by standart estimations. (see $[7,$ Lemma 3.3 for more details).

References

- [1] Afanas'ev, V. I., On a maximum of a transient random walk in random environment Theory Probab- Appl- - -
- [2] Billingsley, P., Ergodic theory and information. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical statistics and Mathematical statistics and Mathematical statistics and Mathematical st
- [3] Bougerol, Ph., Exemples de théorèmes locaux sur les groupes résolubles. _.
- Breiman L Probability AddisonWesley Publishing Company
- [5] Grincevicius, A. K., A central limit theorem for the group of linear transformation of the real axis Soviet Math- Doklady - - 1515.
- Iglehart D L Random was with negative drift conditions with negative drift conditions \mathcal{U} positive J- there is a contract the probability of the second state of the second state of the second state of
- $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} = \mathcal$ of \mathbb{R} 'and \mathbb{R}^* . Ann. Inst. H. Poincare 2 (1997), 223-252.
- [8] Spitzer, F., Principles of random walks. D. Van Nostrand Company,
- [9] Sunyach, Ch., Sur les fluctuations des marches aléatoires sur un groupe. Let us a contract in the mathematic state in Mathematic state in Mathematic state in Mathematic state in Math
- [10] Varopoulos, N. Th., Wiener-Hopf theory and nonunimodular groups. J. \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A} and
- Varopoulos N Th Analysis on Lie groups Revista Mat- Iberoamari cana --

 $Recibido: 29$ de octubre de 1.997

Emile Le Page Institut Mathematique de Rennes Université de Bretagne Sud Rue de la Loi vannes en mens anno 1982. Il est anno 1982 anno 19 emile-lepageunivubs-fr

and

Marc Peigné Institut Mathématique de Rennes Université de Rennes I Campus de Beaulieu Rennes Cedex FRANCE peigneunivrennes-fr