100 Thomas - Andreamericana, approximante china VOL $10, N^2$ $1, 2000$

\sim Superintendent of non-linear \sim 1. The non-linear monoment of \sim 1. The set of \sim \mathcal{L} states states states in the state state in the state state is stated by \mathcal{L}

Peter Lindqvist- Juan Manfredi and Eero Saksman

Dedicated to the memory of Alberto P- Calderon ---

1. Introduction.

The ob jective of our note is to prove that- at least for a convex domain-the ground state of the pLaplacian operators of the pLaplacian operators of the pLaplacian operators of

$$
(1.1)\qquad \qquad \Delta_p u = \text{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right)
$$

is a superharmonic function, provided that $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. The ground state of Δ_p is the positive solution with boundary values zero of the equation

(1.2)
$$
\operatorname{div} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2} u = 0
$$

in the bounded domain Ω in the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space. Notice that for p we have the ordinary Laplacian - and in this case the inequality $\Delta u \leq 0$, expressing the superharmonicity, is evident from the equation is the equation of the equation of the equation of the equation-by convention- \mathcal{A}

The underlying phenomenon is most clearly visible in the case $p =$ ∞ , when our operator is to be understood as

(1.3)
$$
\Delta_{\infty} u = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.
$$

The superharmonicity is a consequence of two ingredients in the proof i) $\Delta_p u \leq 0$ and ii) log u is concave in a convex domain. Our argument is based on the identity

(1.4)
$$
\Delta_1 \log u + \frac{\Delta_{\infty} u}{|\nabla u|^3} = \frac{\Delta u}{|\nabla u|},
$$

from which we can read off that, if $\Delta_1 \log u \leq 0$ and $\Delta_{\infty} u \leq 0$, then the desired inequality $\Delta u \leq 0$ holds. Unfortunately, the second derivatives needed to evaluate do not always exist pointwise- making the identity difficult to use. The remedy is to interpret inequalities like $\Delta_{\infty} u \leq 0$ in the viscosity sense.

The important term Δ_1 log u calls for an explanation. The expres-

$$
-\Delta_1 v = -\operatorname{div} \frac{\nabla v}{|\nabla v|}
$$

is the *mean curvature* of the level surfaces of the function v . In the case of two independent variables this is the familiar expression

$$
k = -\frac{v_y^2 v_{xx} - 2 v_x v_y v_{xy} + v_x^2 v_{yy}}{(v_x^2 + v_y^2)^{3/2}}
$$

for the curvature of the level lines " $v(x, y) = constant$ ". The operator¹ Δ_1 is "covariant"

u log u

 \blacksquare is the reason for passing to the logarithm is that- \blacksquare , by Samily extension is that the same \blacksquare is of the celebration of the celebration of Brasile is concerned to Δ and Lieb-Liebwhere u denotes the ground state of Δ_p in a convex domain. This has the effect that $\Delta_1 \log u \leq 0$ in the viscosity sense.

Equations like $\Delta_p u = -2$ (the torsional creep problem) are also susceptible of our proof. In connexion with the p -harmonic capacitory function in convex rings similar phenomena have been detected by J Lewis- cf- Le See also Ja- Lemma Our proofs do not work directly for $p \leq 2$, but we know that in the one-dimensional case the ground state is superharmonic for all $p \geq 1$. On the other hand the

As a matter of fact, the logarithm in (1.5) can be replaced by an arbitrary function. Instead of log concave functions we may study so called quasi concave functions See F

assumption about convexity can be replaced by local convexity-local convexity-local convexity-local convexityin Corollary

The content is organized as follows. Viscosity supersolutions and \mathbf{f}_1 the ground states are denoted in Section , we have \mathbf{f}_2 the main result is \mathbf{f}_3 orem and Corollary in Section 1, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, about concave functions and viscosity supersolutions It can be read independently of the other sections

2. Some definitions.

The concept of viscosity (super)solutions will be defined in this section. For a general introduction to this topic we refer to $[C]$ and CC However- we begin with distributional solutions

We assume that Ω is a bounded domain in the Euclidean *n*-dimensional space. The problem of minimizing the Rayleigh quotient

(2.1)
$$
\lambda_p = \min_{u} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p dx}
$$

among all functions u in the Sobolev space W_0 (M) has the Euler-Lagrange equation (1.2), when $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. (The right limit equation as $p \longrightarrow \infty$ is given in [JLM].) This is usually interpreted in the distribution is the sense-to-displace to the interest comes to a sense-to-displace the sense-to-displace of the senseand regularity

Definition 2.2. We say that $u \in C(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is an eigenfunction \sim j \sim \sim \sim \sim

(2.3)
$$
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u \varphi dx,
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Here $1 < p < \infty$.

The ground state is the eigenfunction corresponding to the small $\mathbf p$ -viz the above mentioned minimum $\mathbf p$ \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} and the ground state up the eigenvalue - \mathcal{L} is an and the eigenvalue - \mathcal{L} simple. It does not change sign in Ω and our convention is that $u_p > 0$. On the other hand- an eigenfunction that does not change sign must

be a ground state. For all this we refer to $[L_i]$ and the references given there

The case $p = \infty$ is more intricate. The ground state satisfies the equation

$$
\max\left\{\Lambda_{\infty}-|\nabla \log u(x)|\,,\,\,\Delta_{\infty} u(x)\right\}=0\,,
$$

in the viscosity sense see the denition below At each point- take the larger of the quantities. The eigenvalue

$$
\Lambda_\infty = \lim_{p \to \infty} \, \sqrt[p]{\lambda_p}
$$

is the radius of the largest ball that can be inscribed in Ω . The α is obtained as a limit of α is obtained as a limit of ups and $p \longrightarrow \infty$. Unfortunately, the question of uniqueness has not been settled for $p = \infty$. We refer to [JLM] for a detailed discussion.

with inequalities interpreted in the viscosity sense.

Definition 2.4. Suppose that $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $u \in C(\Omega)$. We say that $\Delta_p u \leq 0$ in Ω in the viscosity sense, if at each given point $x \in \Omega$ we have $\Delta_p \varphi(x) \leq 0$ for all test-functions φ touching u from below at x. That is, $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $\varphi(x) = u(x)$, and $\varphi(y) < u(y)$ when $y \neq x$.

A synonymous expression is that u is a viscosity supersolution to the equation $\Delta_p u = 0$. Notice that $\Delta_2 u \leq 0$ in the viscosity sense exactly when u is a continuous superharmonic function. The denintion-lower semicontinuous function-lower semicontinuous functions-ware semicontinuous functions-ware semiconti the whole class of superharmonic functions in the case p Γ , where Γ is the case p Γ family of test-functions depends on the point x .

Lemma 2.5. Suppose that $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. The ground state u_p is a viscosity supersolution to the equation pu 

. The proof of the proof of the simple fact in the proof of the proof of the proof of the proof of the simple o Lemma 1.8 .

As a mnemonic rule, recall that a superharmonic function can be approximated from below by smooth functions and accordingly the test function should touch from below

3. Superharmonicity and concavity.

Our aim is to prove the superharmonicity of the ground state of Δ_p , $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. The case $p = \infty$ will be based on the identity

(3.1)
$$
|\nabla \varphi|^3 \Delta_1 \varphi + \Delta_\infty \varphi = |\nabla \varphi|^2 \Delta_2 \varphi
$$

and the cases $2 < p < \infty$ on the identity

(3.2)
$$
(p-2) |\nabla \varphi|^3 \Delta_1 \varphi + \frac{\Delta_p \varphi}{|\nabla \varphi|^{p-4}} = (p-1) |\nabla \varphi|^2 \Delta_2 \varphi,
$$

both valid for smooth functions. At points where $\nabla \varphi = 0$ we interpret the expressions so that there is no actual division by zero- for example

$$
\frac{\Delta_p \varphi}{|\nabla \varphi|^{p-4}} = |\nabla \varphi|^2 \,\Delta \varphi + (p-2) \,\Delta_\infty \varphi \,.
$$

We want to establish that $\Delta_1 \log u \leq 0$, when $\log u$ is concave. This has to be done in the viscosity sense. Recall (1.5) .

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that Ω is a convex domain. Let $u \in C(\Omega)$. If the concentration of the concentr

$$
(3.4) \t\t |\nabla u|^3 \Delta_1 u \le 0
$$

in the viscosity sense- That is the inequality holds for positive test functions to the method of the from below-the second contract of the second contract of the second contract of

PROOF. Fix a point $x \in \Omega$. Let $\varphi > 0$ be any test-function touching u from below at x . We have to prove that the expression

$$
|\nabla \varphi|^3 \, \Delta_1 \varphi = |\nabla \varphi|^2 \, \Delta \varphi - \Delta_{\infty} \varphi
$$

is less or equal than 0 at the given point x. Now the function $\psi = \log \varphi$ will do as test-function at x for the concave function $v = \log u$. Because

(3.5)
$$
|\nabla \varphi|^3 \,\Delta_1 \varphi = \varphi^3 \, |\nabla \psi|^3 \,\Delta_1 \psi
$$

our claim is

$$
(3.6) \t\t |\nabla \psi(x)|^3 \Delta_1 \psi(x) \le 0.
$$

. To the concept that the concept τ and point τ at the point τ at the point τ at the point τ

$$
(3.7) \qquad \langle \xi, \mathcal{D}^2 \psi(x) \, \xi \rangle \le 0 \,,
$$

for all vectors $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. (See Proposition 4.1 for the notation). Let $A = \mathcal{D}^2 \psi(x)$. The matrix A is negative semi-definite and symmetric. Hence its eigenvalues - --ⁿ are negative or zero The inequality

$$
|\nabla \psi(x)|^2 \Delta \psi(x) - \Delta_{\infty} \psi(x) \leq 0,
$$

where \mathbf{r} is our claim - can be written in the form in the f

$$
(3.8) \t\t |\xi|^2 \operatorname{Trace}(A) \le \langle \xi, A \xi \rangle,
$$

where $\xi = \nabla \psi(x)$. Diagonalizing the symmetric matrix A as

$$
A = U \Lambda U^{-1} , \qquad \Lambda = \mathrm{diag} \left[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n \right],
$$

where U is a unitary matrix, and denoting $\zeta = U^{-1}\zeta$ we can write (3.8)

$$
|\zeta|^2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_n)\leq \lambda_1\,\zeta_1^2+\lambda_2\,\zeta_2^2+\cdots+\lambda_n\,\zeta_n^2.
$$

This inequality is obviously true, because $\lambda_1 \leq 0, \lambda_2 \leq 0, \ldots, \lambda_n \leq 0$ and $|\zeta|^2 \geq \zeta_j^2$. This proves (3.6).

At this stage we had better formulate an auxiliary result about the righthand side in the interval of the interval

convex and the suppose that is a convex domain-there is a convex department of the support of the convex of the concord and concording the set of the set of

$$
(3.10)\t\t |\nabla u|^2 \Delta u \le 0
$$

in as vis site the supermany sense to be a superfrom interest in the sense of t

PROOF. Fix $x \in \Omega$ and let φ denote a positive test-function touching u from below at x . By the assumption

$$
(3.11) \t\t |\nabla \varphi(x)|^2 \Delta \varphi(x) \leq 0.
$$

We claim that $\Delta \varphi(x) \leq 0$. This is clear, if $\nabla \varphi(x) \neq 0$.

If $\nabla \varphi(x) = 0$, then a simple computation yields

$$
\varphi(x) \, \Delta \log \varphi(x) = \Delta \varphi(x)
$$

and hence our claim is that $\Delta \log \varphi(x) \leq 0$ in this case. The function log under the since $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X})$ be "concave at x" and hence $\Delta \log \varphi(x) \leq 0$. (See Proposition 4.1.)

Thus $\Delta\varphi(x) \leq 0$ in both cases. Because $u(x) > 0$, the restriction that φ be positive has no influence on our conclusion that $\Delta u \leq 0$ in the viscosity sense. Functions that are superharmonic in the viscosity sense are superharmonic (in the ordinary sense).

Our main result is the following

Theorem 3.12. Let Ω be a convex domain and suppose that $u \in C(\Omega)$ $satisfies:$

- i) $u > 0$ and $\log u$ is concave
- ii) $\Delta_p u \leq 0$ in the viscosity sense for some $p, 2 \leq p \leq \infty$,
- in the second through the superface in the second state in the second state in the second state in the second s

PROOF. Fix a point $x \in \Omega$ and let φ be a positive test-function touching u from below at x. In the case $p = \infty$ we use Equation (3.1). According to Lemma 3.3 the first term is less or equal than 0 and so is the second term according to ii). Thus

$$
|\nabla \varphi(x)|^2 \,\Delta \varphi(x) \leq 0.
$$

The desired superharmonicity follows from Lemma 3.9. This was the case $p = \infty$. – The cases $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ are based on Equation (3.2), but otherwise similar

REMARK. A little more can be proved. If $\log u$ is concave and if $\Delta_n u \leq$ 0 for some $p \geq 2$, then $\Delta_q u \leq 0$ for all q in the range $2 \leq q \leq p$, the inequalities being interpreted in the viscosity sense To see this- use the identity

$$
(3.13) \qquad (p-2)\frac{\Delta_q \varphi}{|\nabla \varphi|^{q-4}} = (p-q)|\nabla \varphi|^2 \,\Delta \varphi + (q-2)\,\frac{\Delta_p \varphi}{|\nabla \varphi|^{p-4}}.
$$

Corollary 3.14. In a convex bounded domain Ω the ground state of the operator Δ_p is a superharmonic function, provided that $2 \leq p < \infty$. The same concerns any variations ground state \cdot - ∞ .

PROOF. By $|S|$. Theorem 1 log u is concave and by Lemma 2.5 $\Delta_p u \leq 0$ in the viscosity sense. The result follows from Theorem 3.12.

 A s we indicated in the Introduction-Introduction-Introduction-Introduction-Introduction-Introduction-Introduction-Internal stated in the solution-Internal stated in the torsional stated in the solution-Internal stated i creep equation" $\Delta_p u = -2, 2 \le p \le \infty$ is superharmonic in a convex domain Indeed- if the solution u has boundary values zero- then the function $u = \infty$ is concave a ccording to $|S_+|$ fileorem Z_+ . Thus condi- $\frac{1}{2}$ Needless to say, there are many other interesting situations where Theorem and the contract of the

4. About Concave Functions.

It is well-known that the negative semi-definiteness of the Hessian matrix characterizes concave functions with continuous second partial derivatives Interpreted in the viscosity sense this characterizes all lo cally concave functions This is likely to be known to the experts in the field.

Proposition 4.1. Let $u \in C(\Omega)$, where Ω is a convex domain. Then the function is concaved in the concept of the concept \mathcal{C}

(4.2)
$$
\langle \xi, \mathcal{D}^2 u \xi \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \xi_i \xi_j \le 0
$$

in the viscosity sense for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. That is, whenever $x \in \Omega$ and $\varphi \in C^2(\Omega)$ are such that

i) $\varphi(x) = u(x)$. ii) $\varphi(y) < u(y)$, when $y \neq x$, then

(4.3)
$$
\langle \xi, \mathcal{D}^2 \varphi(x) \xi \rangle \leq 0,
$$

Added in proof: See "Hessian Measures II", Annals of Mathematics (to appear), \mathcal{N} Trudinger and \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} reaching extensions of Propositions of Propositions

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Notice that- as usual- each point in requires its own family of testing functions in the form below If \mathcal{A} is a such -form below If \mathcal{A} is a such -form \mathcal{A} is a such -for also holds for all φ with ii) weakened to $\varphi \leq u$.

 $\xi \neq 0$ and let x be a point in Ω . Let φ be any test-function touching u from below at $\mathbf f$

$$
\frac{d^2\varphi(x+t\,\xi)}{dt^2}\leq 0\,,\qquad\text{at}\,\,t=0\,,
$$

since otherwise i) and ii) would contradict the concavity of u itself. \mathbf{A} and so we have the so we have th proved the first half of the proposition.

For the other direction of the proof- we assume that u is not con cave. We may assume that the ball $|x| \leq 2$ is comprised in Ω and, by adding a linear function and scaling- that

$$
u(\pm 1, 0, \ldots, 0) \ge 2
$$
, $u(0, 0, \ldots, 0) = 0$.

There is a small $\sigma > 0$ such that $u(x) > 1$, when $|x| = 1$ and $x_2^2 + \cdots$ $x_n < o$.

We will construct a test-function of the form

(4.4)
$$
\varphi(x) = a + \varepsilon x_1^2 - \frac{x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2}{\varepsilon}
$$

touching u from below at some point x with $|x| < 1$. The touching point is to be determined later! We assume that $a \leq 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then

$$
\varphi(0) = a \leq 0 = u(0) .
$$

Let

$$
m=\min_{|x|\leq 1} u(x)
$$

and fix ε so that

$$
0<\varepsilon<\frac{\sigma^2}{1-m}\;,
$$

notice that $m \leq 0$. We claim that

$$
(4.5) \t\t \varphi(x) < u(x), \t \text{when } |x| = 1.
$$

This is independent of $a \leq 0$. To see this, consider first the points where $u(x) > 1$. Always

$$
\varphi(x) \le a + \varepsilon \cdot 1 \le 1
$$

and so we have only to check the points where $|x|=1$ and $x_2^2+\cdots+x_n^2>$ σ . Inere

$$
\varphi(x) \leq a + \varepsilon - \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon} < 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon} \leq m \leq u(x) .
$$

Thus (4.5) is verified.

If a is negative enough, $\varphi(x) < u(x)$ when $|x| \leq 1$. Select the largest a such that $\varphi(x) \leq u(x)$, when $|x| \leq 1$. The corresponding φ must touch u at some point x with $|x| < 1$, since $\varphi(x) < u(x)$, when $|x|=1$ for all $a\leq 0.$ At this point φ will do as test-function. However, the indefinite quadratic form

$$
\langle \xi, \mathcal{D}^2 \varphi(x) \, \xi \rangle = 2 \, \varepsilon \, \xi_1^2 - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \, (\xi_2^2 + \dots + \xi_n^2)
$$

violates (4.3) . This concludes our proof.

In passing- we mention that- usually- classical solutions are viscos ity solutions- but this is not the case for the Mongeampter for the Mongeampter \mathcal{M}

$$
u_{xx} \ u_{yy} - u_{xy}^2 = 0
$$

in two variables A plain example is

$$
u(x,y)=\cos y.
$$

It denitely satises the equation- though not in the viscosity sense The following curious fact seems to have passed unnotized in the liter ature

Proposition 4.6. Let $u \in C(\Omega)$, where Ω is a convex domain in \mathbb{R}^2 . Internet was concerned in the concerned in the second interest of the concerned in the

$$
u_{xy}^2 - u_{xx} u_{xy} \le 0
$$

in the viscosity sense the testfunctions touching u from below

We skip the proof- because this is far o from our central theme It can be based on Proposition 4.1. A more direct construction is to determine the touching point of the test-function as in the proof of Proposition 4.1.

Acknowledgement. We thank the anonymous referee for some helpful suggestions

References

- [A] Aronsson, G., Extension of functions satisfying Lipschitz conditions. Arkiv for Matematik - -
-
- [BDM] Bhattacharya, T., Di Benedetto, E., Manfredi, J., Limits as $p \longrightarrow \infty$ of pup is a finite set of the set of Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico. Fascicolo Speciale Non Linear PDEs Universitae Poli tecnico Torino - -
	- [BL] Brascamp, H., Lieb, E., On extension of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions and with an anti-structure to the distribution of the distribution \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A} -- -
	- [CC] Caffarelli, L., Cabré, X., Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations. Colloquium Publications American Mathematical Society -
		- [C] Crandall, M., Viscosity solutions: A primer. Lecture Notes in Math. species to the species of the species
		- [F] Fenchel, W., Über konvexe Funktionen mit vorgeschriebenen Niveaumannigfaltigkeiten Math- Z- -
	- [Ja] Janfalk, U., Behaviour in the limit, as $p \rightarrow \infty$, of minimizers of functionals involving points in the points \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A} integrals \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A} is a set of \mathbf{A} is
	- [Je] Jensen, R., Uniqueness of Lipschitz extensions Minimizing the sup norm of the gradient Arch- Rational Mech- Anal- - - -
- [JLM] Juutinen, P., Lindqvist, P., Manfredi, J., The ∞ -eigenvalue problem. Arch- Rational Mech- Anal- - -
	- Let Lewis J Capacitary functions in convex rings Archives Archives Archives Archives Anal- - -
	- Li Lindqvist P On nonlinear Rayleigh quotients Potential Anal- -.
- 28 P. LINDQVIST, J. MANFREDI AND E. SAKSMAN
	- [S] Sakaguchi, S., Concavity properties of solutions to some degenerate quasilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore de Pisa Serie II Classe di Scienze - Pisa Scienze di Scienze.

Recibido de septiembre de

Peter Lindqvist Department of Mathematical Sciences Norwegian University of Science and Technology N Trondheim-Norway-Norway-Norway-Norway-Norway-Norway-Norway-Norway-Norway-Norway-Norway-Norway-Norway-Norwaylqvist-mathematic control of the co

> Juan Manfredi Department of Mathematics University of Pittsburgh en en de la partie manfredien in de statistische Register in der Register in der Register in der Register in der Register in der

> > and

Eero Saksman Department of Mathematics P.O. Box 4 $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{F}}$. The final definition of Helsinki-Maximum is the final definition of Helsinki-Maximum is the final definition of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{F}}$ saksman@cc.helsinki.fi