

# Two endpoint bounds for generalized Radon transforms in the plane

Jong-Guk Bak, Daniel M. Oberlin and Andreas Seeger

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to prove  $L^p \rightarrow L^q$  inequalities for averaging operators in the plane (also known as generalized Radon transforms). To describe our setup let  $\Omega_L$  and  $\Omega_R$  be open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a submanifold in  $\Omega_L \times \Omega_R$  which will contain the singular support of the kernel of our operator. We assume that the projections  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Omega_L$  and  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Omega_R$  have surjective differential; thus the varieties

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_x &= \{y \in \Omega_R; (x, y) \in \mathcal{M}\} \\ \mathcal{M}_y &= \{x \in \Omega_L; (x, y) \in \mathcal{M}\} \end{aligned}$$

are smooth immersed curves in  $\Omega_L$  and  $\Omega_R$ , respectively.

Let  $\chi \in C^\infty(\Omega_L \times \Omega_R)$  be compactly supported. We consider the operator

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{R}f(x) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_x} \chi(x, y) f(y) d\sigma_x(y);$$

where  $d\sigma_x$  is a smooth density on  $\mathcal{M}_x$  depending smoothly on  $x \in \Omega_L$ .

The regularity properties of  $\mathcal{R}$  depend on certain finite type conditions, formulated in [15]. We recall that a vector field  $V$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  is of type  $(1, 0)$  on an open subset  $U$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  if for every  $P \in U$  we have  $V_P \in T_P\mathcal{M} \cap (T_P\Omega_L \times \{0\})$ .  $V$  is of type  $(0, 1)$  on  $U$  if  $V_P \in T_P\mathcal{M} \cap (\{0\} \times T_P\Omega_R)$  for every  $P \in U$ . The  $C^\infty(U)$  modules of vector fields of type  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$  on  $U$  are denoted by  $\mathcal{V}^{1,0}(U)$  and  $\mathcal{V}^{0,1}(U)$ , respectively. Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is three-dimensional there is a nonvanishing one-form  $\omega$  which annihilates  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$  vectors. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are nonvanishing vector fields of type  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$ , respectively, then

---

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 35S30, 42B99.

*Keywords:* Generalized Radon transforms, averaging operators.

the quantity  $\langle \omega, [X, Y] \rangle$  is comparable to the rotational curvature introduced by Phong and Stein. In fact if  $\mathcal{M}$  is given by the equation  $\Phi(x, y) = 0$  with  $\Phi_x \neq 0, \Phi_y \neq 0$  and if we choose  $X = \Phi_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} - \Phi_{x_1} \partial_{x_2}, Y = \Phi_{y_2} \partial_{y_1} - \Phi_{y_1} \partial_{y_2}$  and  $\omega = \Phi_x dx - \Phi_y dy$ , then  $\langle \omega, [X, Y] \rangle / 2$  is equal to

$$J = \det \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{xy} & \Phi_x^t \\ \Phi_y & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the rotational curvature. The generalized Radon transform  $\mathcal{R}$  is a Fourier integral operator of class  $I^{-1/2}(\Omega_L, \Omega_R; N^* \mathcal{M}')$  in the sense of [5], and  $N^* \mathcal{M}'$  is a local canonical graph if and only if  $J$  does not vanish.

We now recall the notion of finite type  $(\mu, \nu)$ . We write  $\text{ad}V(W) = [V, W]$  for the commutator of  $V$  and  $W$  and for integers  $\mu \geq 1, \nu \geq 1$ , we let  $\mathcal{V}^{\mu, \nu}(U)$  denote the  $C^\infty(U)$ -module generated by all vector fields in  $\mathcal{V}^{1,0}(U) \cup \mathcal{V}^{0,1}(U)$  and all vector fields of the form  $g \text{ad}V_1 \cdots \text{ad}V_{n-1}(V_n)$ , where  $g$  is smooth,  $V_i \in \mathcal{V}^{1,0}(U) \cup \mathcal{V}^{0,1}(U)$ , at most  $\mu$  of the  $V_i$  are in  $\mathcal{V}^{1,0}(U)$  and at most  $\nu$  of the  $V_i$  are in  $\mathcal{V}^{0,1}(U)$ . We say that  $\mathcal{M}$  is of type  $(\mu, \nu)$  at  $P$  if there is an open neighborhood  $U$  and a vector field  $V \in \mathcal{V}^{\mu, \nu}(U)$  so that  $\langle \omega_P, V_P \rangle \neq 0$  but  $\langle \omega_P, W_P \rangle = 0$  for all  $W \in \mathcal{V}^{\mu-1, \nu}(U) \cup \mathcal{V}^{\mu, \nu-1}(U)$ . Thus type  $(1, 1)$  corresponds to the nondegenerate situation of nonvanishing rotational curvature.

Let  $n \geq 2, m \geq 2$ . Following [14] we also say that  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies a *left finite type condition of degree  $n$*  in  $U$  if  $\mathcal{M}$  is of finite type  $(1, k)$  for some  $k$  with  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , for every  $P \in U$ . We note (see [15]) that  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies this condition if only if for all  $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathcal{U}$  the quantity  $J(x_0, y)$  when restricted to the curve  $\mathcal{M}_{x_0}$  vanishes of order at most  $n-2$  at  $y = y_0$ . Likewise  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies a *right finite type condition of degree  $m$*  in  $U$  if  $\mathcal{M}$  is of finite type  $(j, 1)$  at  $P$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ , for every  $P \in U$ . Again an equivalent formulation is that for all  $P_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathcal{U}$  the quantity  $J(x, y_0)$  when restricted to the curve  $\mathcal{M}^{y_0}$  vanishes of order at most  $m-2$  at  $x = x_0$ .

We now state an endpoint  $L^p \rightarrow L^q$  estimate for two-sided finite type conditions. In fact a sharper statement can be obtained by working with Lorentz-spaces  $L^{p,q}$ ; note that  $L^p \subset L^{p,r}$ , if  $r \geq p$ , with continuous embedding.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies a left finite type condition of degree  $n$  and a right finite type condition of degree  $m$ .*

- (i) *Suppose that  $(1/p, 1/q)$  belongs to the closed trapezoid  $\mathcal{T}(m, n)$  with corners  $(0, 0), (1, 1), (\frac{m}{m+1}, \frac{m-1}{m+1}), (\frac{2}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n+1})$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  maps  $L^p$  boundedly to  $L^q$ .*

- ii)  $\mathcal{R}$  maps  $L^{\frac{n+1}{2}, n+1}$  to  $L^{n+1}$  and  $L^{\frac{m+1}{m}}$  to  $L^{\frac{m+1}{m-1}, \frac{m+1}{m}}$ .
- (iii) If there is a point  $P$  such that  $\chi(P) \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is of type  $(1, n - 1)$  at  $P$  then  $\mathcal{R}$  does not map  $L^{\frac{n+1}{2}, r}$  to  $L^{n+1}$  if  $r > n + 1$ . If there is a point  $P$  such that  $\chi(P) \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is of type  $(m - 1, 1)$  at  $P$  then  $\mathcal{R}$  does not map  $L^{\frac{m+1}{m}}$  to  $L^{\frac{m+1}{m-1}, s}$  for  $s < (m + 1)/m$ .

**Remarks:**

- (a) Let  $\mathcal{G}(P)$  be the graph connecting  $(0, 0)$  and  $(1, 1)$  with the points  $(\frac{\mu+1}{\mu+\nu+1}, \frac{\mu}{\mu+\nu+1})$  for which  $\mathcal{M}$  is of type  $(\mu, \nu)$  at  $P$  and suppose that  $(1/p, 1/q)$  lies above  $\mathcal{G}(P)$ . Then a result in [15] states that  $\mathcal{R}$  maps  $L^p$  to  $L^q$  provided that the cutoff function has sufficiently small support close to  $P$ ; see also Phong-Stein [6], [7] for sharp endpoint bounds in several model cases. If  $(1/p, 1/q)$  lies below  $\mathcal{G}(P)$  and  $\chi(P) \neq 0$  then  $L^p \rightarrow L^q$  boundedness fails ([15]). In the present situation this implies the following: If there is a point  $P$  with  $\chi(P) \neq 0$  such that  $\mathcal{M}$  is of type  $(1, n - 1)$  and of type  $(m - 1, 1)$  and if  $\mathcal{M}$  is not of type  $(\mu, \nu)$  at  $P$  for all  $(\mu, \nu)$  with  $(\frac{\mu+1}{\mu+\nu+1}, \frac{\mu}{\mu+\nu+1}) \notin \mathcal{T}(m, n)$  then the result in part (i) of Theorem 1.1 is sharp. In particular, the  $L^{(n+1)/2, n+1} \rightarrow L^{n+1}$  estimate is best possible if  $\mathcal{M}$  is of type  $(1, n - 1)$  and of type  $(m - 1, 1)$  for some  $m$ .
- (b) The sharp bounds for  $p > (n + 1)/2$ ,  $q = 2p$ , and  $p < m/(m - 1)$ ,  $1/q = 2/p - 1$  are in [14], [15]. The  $L^{(n+1)/2, n+1} \rightarrow L^{n+1}$  endpoint inequality for polynomial surfaces of the form  $\mathcal{M} = \{(x, y) : y_2 = x_2 + \sum_{j+k \leq n} a_{j,k} x_1^j y_1^k\}$ , with  $a_{1, n-1} \neq 0$  was obtained by the first author in [1] based on multilinear arguments in [3], [11]; our proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 below rely on this technique as well.
- (c) Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be defined by a polynomial as in (b) . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is of type  $(\mu, \nu)$  at the origin if  $a_{\mu, \nu} \neq 0$  but  $a_{j,k} = 0$  whenever  $j \leq \mu$  and  $k \leq \nu - 1$  or  $j \leq \mu - 1, k \leq \nu$ .

Our second result concerns weighted Radon transforms which incorporate the rotational curvature  $J$  as an improving factor (see *e.g.* [16]), namely for  $\gamma > 0$  one defines

$$\mathcal{R}_\gamma f(x) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_x} \chi(x, y) |J(x, y)|^\gamma f(y) d\sigma_x(y).$$

It is known ([15]) that  $\mathcal{R}_\gamma$  maps  $L^2$  into the Sobolev space  $L^2_{1/2}$ , provided that  $\gamma > 1/2$ . By standard arguments combining Littlewood-Paley theory

and (complex) interpolation (cf. [2]) one can see that  $\mathcal{R}_\gamma : L^p \rightarrow L^\alpha$  if  $\alpha \leq 2 - 3/p$ ,  $\gamma > 1/p'$  and  $1 < p \leq 2$ , in particular it maps  $L^{3/2} \rightarrow L^3$  for  $\gamma > 1/3$ . In various cases the endpoint bounds for  $\gamma = 1/3$  are known. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is given by the equation  $y_2 = x_2 + S(x_1, y_1)$  then  $J = S_{x_1 y_1}$  and for real analytic  $S$  the endpoint  $L^{3/2} \rightarrow L^3$  estimate can be deduced from the endpoint  $L^2$  estimates for damped oscillatory integrals in Phong-Stein [9]. We shall prove an  $L^{3/2} \rightarrow L^3$  endpoint estimate for the case where  $S$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq N$ , which will have the added feature that the operator norms depend only on  $N$ . In the translation invariant case such theorems were obtained by the second author in [10], [13]. As in [7] our operator is now globally defined (without inserting cutoff-functions) and we obtain an improved inequality using Lorentz-spaces. We note that the standard interpolation argument alluded to above does not seem to yield this estimate since one uses analytic interpolation with changing powers of  $\gamma$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Define*

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{A}f(x_1, x_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x_1 \partial y_1} \right|^{1/3} f(y_1, x_2 + P(x_1, y_1)) dy_1$$

where  $P$  is a polynomial in  $(x_1, y_1)$  of degree at most  $N$ . Then there is a constant  $C(N)$  (independent of the particular polynomial) so that for  $3/2 \leq r \leq 3$

$$(1.4) \quad \|\mathcal{A}f\|_{L^{3,r}} \leq C(N) \|f\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2},r}}$$

for all  $f \in L^{\frac{3}{2},r}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

If  $\partial^2 P / (\partial x_1 \partial y_1)$  does not vanish identically then the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  does not map  $L^{3/2,r}$  to  $L^{3,s}$  for any  $s < r$ .

In particular  $\mathcal{A}$  maps  $L^{3/2}$  to  $L^3$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in §2, and the proof of Theorem 1.2 in §3. We shall use the notation  $\lesssim$  for inequalities involving admissible constants; here the definition of admissibility depends on the context and will be made precise in §2 and §3, respectively.

## 2. Boundedness under finite type assumptions

In this section we give a proof of the boundedness result in Theorem 1.1. It suffices to establish the  $L^{\frac{n+1}{2},n+1} \rightarrow L^{n+1}$  inequality. This also implies

the  $L^{\frac{m+1}{2}, m+1} \rightarrow L^{m+1}$  inequality for the adjoint operator  $\mathcal{R}^*$  and thus the  $L^{\frac{m+1}{m}} \rightarrow L^{\frac{m+1}{m-1}, \frac{m+1}{m}}$  inequality for  $\mathcal{R}$ .

By compactness arguments it suffices to prove the theorem for the case that our cutoff function  $\chi$  is supported in a small neighborhood of a fixed point  $P \in \mathcal{M}$ ; by performing translations we may assume that the coordinates vanish at  $P$ .

We may assume that  $\mathcal{M}$  is given as

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(x, y) : y_2 = G(x_1, x_2, y_1), |x_1|, |x_2|, |y_1| \leq 2\}$$

where  $G$  is a  $C^{n+1}$  function defined on  $[-2, 2]^3$  and  $G$  satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} G(0, 0) = 0, \quad G_{x_1}(0, 0) = G_{y_1}(0, 0) = 0, \\ G_{x_2}(0, 0) = 1, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq G_{x_2}(x, y_1) \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

We then also have for  $x_1, x_2, y_1 \in [-1, 1]$

$$y_2 = G(x, y_1) \iff x_2 = H(y, x_1),$$

where  $H$  is defined on  $[-1, 1]^3$  and satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H(0, 0) = 0, \quad H_{y_1}(0, 0) = H_{x_1}(0, 0) = 0, \\ H_{y_2}(0, 0) = 1, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq H_{y_2}(y, x_1) \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M = \max\{n + 1, m + 1\}$ . We let  $\|(G, H)\|_{C^M}$  be the maximum of all derivative of order at most  $M$  of  $G$  or  $H$  in the cube  $[-1, 1]^4$  and assume that

$$(2.3) \quad \|(G, H)\|_{C^M} \leq B;$$

note that  $B \geq 1$ .

The rotational curvature (with respect to the defining function  $\Phi(x, y) = y_2 - G(x, y_1)$ ) is given by

$$(2.4) \quad J(x, y_1) = \det \begin{pmatrix} G_{x_1 y_1}(x, y_1) & G_{x_1}(x, y_1) \\ G_{x_2 y_1}(x, y_1) & G_{x_2}(x, y_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

By our finite type assumptions there are constants  $a_L > 0$  and  $a_R > 0$  so that

$$(2.5-L) \quad \min_x \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-2} \left| \frac{\partial^k}{(\partial y_1)^k} J(x, y_1) \right| \geq a_L$$

$$(2.5-R) \quad \min_y \max_{0 \leq j \leq m-2} \left| \frac{\partial^j}{(\partial x_1)^j} [J(x_1, H(y, x_1), y_1)] \right| \geq a_R;$$

(2.5-L) means that  $\mathcal{M}$  is of type  $(1, k)$  (some  $k \leq n - 1$ ) and (2.5-R) means that  $\mathcal{M}$  is of type  $(j, 1)$  (some  $j \leq m - 1$ ), for any point under consideration, cf. the discussion in [15].

In what follows we choose

$$(2.6) \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{4} \min\{((m + 1)!)^{-1} B^{-m} a_R, 2^{-n-5} n^{-1} B^{-2} a_L\}.$$

We define

$$Rf(x) = \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \chi(x_1, x_2, y_1, G(x, y_1)) f(y_1, G(x, y_1)) dy_1$$

where  $\chi$  is the characteristic function of  $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]^4$ . Note that if  $x_1, x_2, y_1 \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  then  $|G(x, y_1)| \leq 2\varepsilon$ .

It suffices to show that

$$\|Rf\|_{L^{n+1}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{n+1}{2}, n+1}}$$

where the notation  $\alpha \lesssim \beta$  means  $\alpha \leq C\beta$  where  $C$  depends only on  $B, m, n, a_L, a_R$ . Since  $R$  is a positive operator we may assume that  $f$  is nonnegative.

As in [1] we use a multilinear interpolation argument due to M. Christ [3]. In order to establish that  $R$  maps  $L^{\frac{n+1}{2}, n+1}$  to  $L^{n+1}$  one shows the more general multilinear estimate

$$\int \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} Rf_i(x) dx \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \|f_i\|_{L^{\frac{n+1}{2}, n+1}}$$

and by symmetry and real interpolation ([3]) this will follow from

$$\int \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} Rf_i(x) dx \lesssim \|f_1\|_1 \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \|f_i\|_{L^{n,1}}.$$

Now we use the change of variable  $x_2 \mapsto u_2 = G(x_1, x_2, u_1)$  and write

$$\begin{aligned} \int \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} Rf_k(x) dx &= \iint \chi(x_1, x_2, u_1, G(x, u_1)) f_1(u_1, G(x, u_1)) \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} Rf_i(x) dx du_1 \\ &= \iint \chi(x_1, H(u, x_1), u_1, u_2) f_1(u_1, u_2) \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k=2}^{n+1} Rf_k(x_1, H(u, x_1)) \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial u_2}(u_1, u_2, x_1) \right| du dx_1 \end{aligned}$$

and, since  $|(\partial H)/(\partial y_2)|$  is bounded by  $B$ , we may omit this factor. We have reduced matters to the estimate

$$(2.7) \quad \int \prod_{k=2}^{n+1} Rf_k(x_1, H(u, x_1)) dx_1 \lesssim \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \|f_i\|_{L^{n,1}}$$

for every  $u$  with  $|u_1| \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $|u_2| \leq 2\varepsilon$ . In what follows we fix  $u$ . By Hölder's inequality it suffices to show

$$(2.8) \quad \left( \int [Rf(x_1, H(u, x_1))]^n dx_1 \right)^{1/n} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{n,1}}.$$

By duality (2.8) is implied by

$$\int Rf(s, H(u, s))g(s)ds \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{n,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|g\|_{L^{n/(n-1)}(\mathbb{R})},$$

for any nonnegative step function  $g$ . The left hand side is equal to

$$(2.9) \quad \iint \chi(s, H(u, s), y_1, G(s, H(u, s), y_1)) f(y_1, G(s, H(u, s), y_1)) g(s) dy_1 ds$$

and we define

$$\omega^{y_1, u}(s) = G(s, H(u, s), y_1)$$

to change variables in this integral (after interchanging the order of integration).

**Lemma 2.1.** (i)

$$(\omega^{y_1, u})'(s) = \frac{(y_1 - u_1)E(s, u, y_1)}{G_{x_2}(s, H(u, s), u_1)}$$

where

(2.10)

$$E(s, u, y_1) = \int_0^1 \det \begin{pmatrix} G_{x_1 y_1}(s, H(u, s), u_1 + \tau(y_1 - u_1)) & G_{x_1}(s, H(u, s), u_1) \\ G_{x_2 y_1}(s, H(u, s), u_1 + \tau(y_1 - u_1)) & G_{x_2}(s, H(u, s), u_1) \end{pmatrix} d\tau.$$

(ii) Suppose that  $u_1, y_1, s \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ ,  $|u_2| \leq 2\varepsilon$  and  $y_1 \neq u_1$ . Then the derivative of  $\omega^{y_1, u}$  vanishes at no more than  $m - 2$  points in  $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ .

The elementary proof will be given below. Given  $y_1, u$  there are intervals  $I_i^{y_1, u}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  with  $\cup_{i=1}^m I_i^{y_1, u} = [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  whose boundary points are measurable functions on  $(y_1, u)$  so that  $\omega^{y_1, u}$  has nonzero derivative in the interior of  $I_i^{y_1, u}$ . On each interval  $I_i^{y_1, u}$  let  $\omega \mapsto s_i^{y_1, u}(\omega)$  be the inverse function of  $\omega^{y_1, u}$  and let  $\tilde{I}_i^{y_1, u}$  the image of  $I_i^{y_1, u}$  under  $\omega^{y_1, u}$ . Then the integral (2.9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \int_{I_i^{y_1, u}} \chi(s, H(u, s), y_1, \omega^{y_1, u}(s)) f(y_1, \omega^{y_1, u}(s)) g(s) ds dy_1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \int_{\omega \in \tilde{I}_i^{y_1, u}} \chi(s_i^{y_1, u}(\omega), H(u, s_i^{y_1, u}(\omega)), y_1, \omega) f(y_1, \omega) g(s_i^{y_1, u}(\omega)) \left| \frac{ds_i^{y_1, u}}{d\omega} \right| d\omega dy_1 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \|f\|_{L^{n,1}} \|T_{i,u}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-1}, \infty}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T_{i,u}g(y_1, \omega) = \chi_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}(y_1) \chi_{\tilde{I}_i^{y_1, u}}(\omega) g(s_i^{y_1, u}(\omega)) \frac{ds_i^{y_1, u}}{d\omega}.$$

In order to finish the proof we have to show that  $T_{i,u}$  maps  $L^{n/(n-1)}$  to  $L^{n/(n-1), \infty}$ , that is

$$(2.11) \quad \text{meas}(\{(y_1, \omega) : |T_{i,u}g(y_1, \omega)| > \lambda\}) \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^{n/(n-1)}} \|g\|_{L^{n/(n-1)}(\mathbb{R})}^{n/(n-1)}.$$

The left hand side of (2.11) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad & \iint_{\substack{\{(y_1, s) \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]^2, s \in I_i^{y_1, u}, \\ g(s) \geq \lambda |(\omega^{y_1, u})'(s)|\}}} |(\omega^{y_1, u})'(s)| dy_1 ds \\ & \lesssim \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{|g(s)|}{\lambda} \text{meas}(\{y_1 : |y_1 - u_1| |E(s, u, y_1)| \leq 2|g(s)|/\lambda\}) ds \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that  $|G_{x_2}| \leq 2$ . We now employ the following standard

**Sublevel set estimate [4].** *For any positive integer  $\ell$  there is a constant  $C_\ell$  such that for any interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , any  $h \in C^\ell(I)$  and any  $\gamma > 0$  the inequality*

$$\text{meas}\{x \in I : |h(x)| \leq \gamma\} \leq C_\ell \gamma^{1/\ell} \inf_{x \in I} |h^{(\ell)}(x)|^{-1/\ell}$$

*holds.*

In order to apply this we use

**Lemma 2.2.** *For  $u_1, s, y_1 \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ ,  $|u_2| \leq \varepsilon$  we have*

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \left| \frac{\partial^k}{(\partial y_1)^k} [(y_1 - u_1)E(s, u, y_1)] \right| \geq 2^{-n-2} n^{-1} a_L.$$

Taking Lemma 2.2 for granted we apply the sublevel estimate for suitable  $\ell \leq n-1$  and  $\gamma = 2|g(s)|/\lambda$  if  $|g(s)|/\lambda \leq 1$  (otherwise estimate the size of any sublevel set by  $2\varepsilon$ ). We obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{meas}(\{y_1 \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] : |(y_1 - u_1)E(s, u, y_1)| \leq 2|g(s)|/\lambda\}) \\ \leq \min\{2\varepsilon, \max_{1 \leq \ell \leq n-1} C_\ell (2^{n+3} n a_L^{-1} |g(s)|/\lambda)^{1/\ell}\} \lesssim (|g(s)|/\lambda)^{1/(n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

and thus by (2.12), (2.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{meas}(\{(y_1, \omega) : |T_{i,u}g(y_1, \omega)| > \lambda\}) &\leq C \int \frac{|g(s)|}{\lambda} \left(\frac{|g(s)|}{\lambda}\right)^{1/(n-1)} ds \\ &= C \frac{1}{\lambda^{n/(n-1)}} \|g\|_{L^{n/(n-1)}(\mathbb{R})}^{n/(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

## 2.1. Proof of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2

We need the following elementary

**Sublemma.** *Let  $g, h$  be functions having  $N$  derivatives at a point  $x$  and suppose that  $\max_{j \leq r} |u^{(j)}(x)| \leq B_r$ ,  $r \leq N$ . Suppose that  $\max_{0 \leq j \leq N-1} |(uh' - u'h)^{(j)}(x)| \geq \alpha_N$ . Then also*

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |h^{(j)}(x)| \geq 2^{-N} \alpha_N - B_N |h(x)|.$$

**Proof.** By the Leibniz rule  $(h'u - hu')^{(k-1)} = \sum_{l=1}^k b_{kl} h^{(l)} - hu^{(k)}$  where the coefficients are given by  $b_{kl}(x) = [{}_{l-1}^{k-1} - {}_l^{k-1}] u^{(k-l)}(x)$  if  $1 \leq l < k$ , and  $b_{kk}(x) = u(x)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq k \leq N-1} |(h'u - hu')^{(k-1)}| \\ \leq \sup_k \sum_l |b_{kl}(x)| \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |h^{(j)}(x)| + |h(x)| \max_{1 \leq k \leq N-1} |u^{(k)}(x)| \\ \leq 2^{N-1} B_N \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |h^{(j)}(x)| + B_N |h(x)| \end{aligned}$$

which implies the assertion. ■

**Proof of Lemma 2.1** Note that

$$(\omega^{y_1, u})'(s) = G_{x_1}(s, H(u, s), y_1) + G_{x_2}(s, H(u, s), y_1)H_{x_1}(u, s).$$

The defining equation for  $H$  is  $x_2 = G(u_1, H(x_1, x_2, u_1), x_1)$ . Implicit differentiation yields that  $H_{x_1}(u_1, G(x, u_1), x_1) = -(G_{x_1}/G_{x_2})(x, u_1)$  or

$$H_{x_1}(u_1, u_2, x_1) = -\frac{G_{x_1}(x_1, H(u, x_1), u_1)}{G_{x_2}(x_1, H(u, x_1), u_1)}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega^{y_1, u})'(s) &= \left[ \frac{1}{G_{x_2}(x, u_1)} \det \begin{pmatrix} G_{x_1}(x, y_1) & G_{x_1}(x, u_1) \\ G_{x_2}(x, y_1) & G_{x_2}(x, u_1) \end{pmatrix} \right]_{x=(s, H(u, s))} \\ &= \frac{(y_1 - u_1)E(s, u, y_1)}{G_{x_2}(s, H(u, s), u_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove (ii). Since  $G_{x_2}$  does not vanish it suffices to show that

$$(2.14) \quad \max_{0 \leq j \leq m-2} \left| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right)^j E(s, u, y_1) \right| \geq \frac{a_R}{2}.$$

We expand

$$(2.15) \quad E(s, u, y_1) = E(s, u, u_1) + (y_1 - u_1)r(s, u, y_1)$$

where  $E(s, u, u_1) = J(u_1, H(u, s), s)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} r(s, u, y_1) &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ G_{x_1 y_1 y_1}(X, U_1)G_{x_2}(X, u_1) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - G_{x_2 y_1 y_1}(X, U_1)G_{x_1}(X, u_1) \right]_{\substack{X=(s, H(u, s)) \\ U_1=u_1 + \sigma\tau(y_1 - u_1)}} d\sigma\tau d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption (2.5-R) we have

$$(2.16) \quad \max_{0 \leq j \leq m-2} \left| \partial_s^j E(s, u, u_1) \right| \geq a_R.$$

To get a concrete upper bound for the derivatives of  $r$  we need a well known fact about multiple applications of the chain rule. Namely let  $v$  be  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued and let  $\eta$  be a scalar function on the range of  $\mu$ , both in  $C^k$ . Then  $(\eta \circ v)^{(k)}$  is a sum of at most  $\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (d+i)$  terms each of which is of the form  $\xi w_1 \cdots w_\ell$  where  $\xi$  is a derivative of  $\eta$ , of order  $\leq k$ , the  $w_i$  are derivatives of a component of  $v$ , of order at most  $k$ , and  $\ell \leq k$ . Of course more explicit formulas are known (such as the Faà di Bruno formula) but we don't need these here. Applying

this with  $d = 2$  we see that a derivative of order  $k$  of  $s \mapsto G_{x_1}(s, H(u, s), y_1)$  can be estimated by  $(k + 1)!B^{k+1}$ , and a similar remark applies to the other terms in the integrand defining  $r$ . Thus by the Leibniz rule we have the bound  $|\partial^j r / (\partial s)^j| \leq \sum_{l=0}^j \binom{j}{l} (l + 1)!B^{l+1} (j - l + 1)!B^{j-l+1} \leq (j + 3)!B^{j+2}$ ,  $j \leq m - 2$ . Combining this with (2.16) and  $|y_1 - u_1| \leq 2\varepsilon$  we see that the left hand side of (2.14) has a lower bound  $a_R - 2\varepsilon(m + 1)!B^m$ . Thus (2.14) follows by our choice of  $\varepsilon$  in (2.6). ■

**Proof of Lemma 2.2.** First

$$\frac{\partial^k}{(\partial y_1)^k} [(y_1 - u_1)E(s, u, y_1)] = \frac{\partial^{k-1} E}{(\partial y_1)^{k-1}}(s, u, y_1) + (y_1 - u_1) \frac{\partial^k E}{(\partial y_1)^k}(s, u, y_1).$$

Now we expand the  $k$ th derivative of the integrand in (2.10) about  $u_1$  and get  $\frac{\partial^{k-1} E}{(\partial y_1)^{k-1}}(s, u, y_1) = M_k(s, u) + \rho_k(s, u, y_1)$  where

$$M_k(s, u) = \frac{1}{k + 1} \left[ G_{x_2} \frac{\partial^{k+1} G_{x_1}}{(\partial y_1)^{k+1}} \right]_{(s, H(s, u), u_1)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_k(s, u, y_1) = & -\frac{1}{k + 1} \left[ G_{x_1} \frac{\partial^{k+1} G_{x_2}}{(\partial y_1)^{k+1}} \right]_{(s, H(s, u), u_1)} + \\ & + (y_1 - u_1) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ G_{x_2}(x, u_1) \frac{\partial^{k+1} G_{x_1}}{(\partial y_1)^{k+1}}(x, U_1) \right. \\ & \left. - G_{x_1}(x, u_1) \frac{\partial^{k+1} G_{x_2}}{(\partial y_1)^{k+1}}(x, U_1) \right]_{\substack{x=(s, H(u, s)) \\ U_1=u_1+\sigma\tau(y_1-u_1)}} d\sigma\tau^k d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|G_{x_1}| \leq 8\varepsilon B$  it is easy to see that  $|\rho_k(s, u, y_1)| \leq 12\varepsilon B^2$ , moreover the term  $|(y_1 - u_1)\partial_{y_1}^k E(s, u, y_1)|$  above is bounded by  $8\varepsilon B^2 / (k + 1)$ . Since  $G_{x_2} \geq 1/2$  we obtain by the Sublemma that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{k=0, \dots, n-2} |M_k(s, u)| & \geq (n - 1)^{-1} 2^{1-n} \times \\ & \times \max_{k=0, \dots, n-2} \left| \frac{\partial^k}{(\partial y_1)^k} [G_{x_1 y_1} G_{x_2} - G_{x_2 y_1} G_{x_1}]_{(s, H(u, s), u_1)} \right| - B \|G_{x_1}\|_\infty \\ & \geq 2^{1-n} n^{-1} a_L - 8\varepsilon B^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here the  $L^\infty$  norm of  $G_{x_1}$  is taken over the cube  $[-2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon]^4$ . We finally get

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k}{(\partial y_1)^k} [(y_1 - u_1)E(s, u, y_1)] \right| \geq 2^{-n} n^{-1} a_L - 20B^2\varepsilon$$

and the assertion follows from our choice of  $\varepsilon$  in (2.6). ■

**Remark.** For the  $L^{(n+1)/2, n+1} \rightarrow L^{n+1}$  inequality the lower bound  $a_R$  in (2.5-R) enters only in the definition of  $\varepsilon$  in (2.6), the bounds depend on  $m$  but not on  $a_R$ . Indeed the type  $(m, 1)$  assumption can be replaced by an assumption of bounded multiplicity; i.e. there is  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  so that for almost all  $u$  (sufficiently small) the inverse images of the maps  $s \mapsto G(s, H(u, s), y_1)$  have cardinality  $\leq \ell$ .

### 2.2. Sharpness of Lorentz exponents

It is well known that the necessary condition  $1/q \geq 2/p - 1$  follows by testing  $\mathcal{R}$  on characteristic functions of small balls. We assume  $1/q = 2/p - 1$ ,  $1 < r < \infty$ , and verify that  $\mathcal{R}$  does not map  $L^{p,r} \rightarrow L^{q,r-\varepsilon}$ . Then applying this to the adjoint operator one also obtains the necessary condition  $1/q \geq 1/(2p)$  and also that  $\mathcal{R}$  does not map  $L^{p,r} \rightarrow L^{2p,r-\varepsilon}$ .

It suffices to consider  $1 \leq p < 2$ . We assume that near the origin  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined by  $y_2 = G(x, y_1)$  as in (2.1). For a large positive integer  $\ell$  let  $f \equiv f_\ell(y) = |y|^{-2/p}$  for  $2^{-4\ell} \leq |y| \leq 2^{-\ell/2}$ . Then if  $|x_2 - H(0, x_1)| \approx 2^{-k}$  and  $\ell \leq k \leq 2\ell$  then  $|\mathcal{R}f(x)| \geq c2^{-k(1-2/p)}$  and this happens on a set of measure  $\approx 2^{-k}$ . Thus if  $\lambda_{\mathcal{R}f}$  denotes the distribution function of  $\mathcal{R}f$  then  $\lambda_{\mathcal{R}f}(2^{-k(1-2/p)}) \gtrsim 2^{-k}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}f\|_{L^{q,s}} &\gtrsim \left( \int [\alpha \lambda_{\mathcal{R}f}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\alpha)]^s \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{1/s} \\ &\gtrsim \left( \sum_{k=\ell}^{2\ell} [c2^{-k(1-2/p)} \lambda_{\mathcal{R}f}^{1/q}(c2^{-k(1-2/p)})]^s \right)^{1/s} \\ &\gtrsim \left( \sum_{k=\ell}^{2\ell} c^s 2^{-k(1-2/p+1/q)s} \right)^{1/s} \gtrsim \ell^{1/s} \end{aligned}$$

if  $1/q = -1 + 2/p$ , and by a similar computation  $\|f\|_{L^{p,r}} \lesssim \ell^{1/r}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{R}$  does not map  $L^{p,r} \rightarrow L^{q,s}$  if  $s < r$ .

### 3. Polynomial Radon transforms with weights

We now give a proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix a real-valued polynomial  $P(s, t)$  of degree  $\leq N$ ; we may assume that  $(\partial^2 P)/(\partial s \partial t)$  is not identically zero (otherwise there is nothing to prove).

In this section the notation  $\alpha \lesssim \beta$  means  $\alpha \leq C\beta$  where  $C$  depends only on  $N$ . It suffices to establish the  $L^{3/2,3} \rightarrow L^3$  boundedness since applying this result to the polynomial  $P(y_1, x_1)$  and using duality implies the  $L^{3/2} \rightarrow L^{3,3/2}$

boundedness and then by real interpolation the  $L^{3/2,r} \rightarrow L^{3,r}$  boundedness for  $3/2 \leq r \leq 3$ . The sharpness assertion is proved as in the previous section (by working close to points with  $(\partial^2 P)/(\partial s \partial t) \neq 0$ ).

We use the argument of the previous section; now  $G(x, y_1) = x_2 + P(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $H(y, x_1) = y_2 - P(x_1, y_1)$  and  $J(x_1, y_1) = (\partial^2 P)/(\partial x_1 \partial y_1)$  are globally defined. For each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $I_1^s, I_2^s, \dots, I_{M(N)}^s$  be disjoint intervals with union  $\mathbb{R}$  so that  $t \mapsto \partial_s \partial_t P(s, t)$  has constant sign on the interior of each  $I_j^s$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq M(N)$  let  $U_j$  be the set of all  $(s, t)$  such that  $t \in I_j^s$  and we can choose the  $I_j^s$  so that the  $U_j$  are measurable. Let  $\chi_j$  be the characteristic function of  $U_j$  and define the operator  $\mathcal{A}_j$  by

$$\mathcal{A}_j f(x) = \int f(y_1, x_2 + P(x_1, y_1)) |J(x_1, y_1)|^{1/3} \chi_j(x_1, y_1) dy_1.$$

It is enough to prove that  $\mathcal{A}_j$  maps  $L^{3/2,3}$  to  $L^3$ , for any  $j$ . The goal is to show

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \prod_{k=1}^3 \mathcal{A}_j f_k(x) dx \lesssim \prod_{k=1}^3 \|f_k\|_{L^{3/2,3}},$$

and the argument in §2 reduces this to the following analogue of (2.8),

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int |J(x_1, u_1)|^{1/3} |\mathcal{A}_j f(x_1, u_2 - P(x_1, u_1))|^2 dx_1 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

or, with the measure  $d\mu_u(s) = |J(s, u_1)|^{1/3} ds$ , to

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & \int |J(s, u_1)|^{1/3} \mathcal{A}_j f(s, u_2 - P(s, u_1)) \chi_j(s, u_1) g(s) ds \\ &= \iint \chi_j(s, t) |J(s, t)|^{1/3} |J(s, u_1)|^{1/3} f(t, u_2 + P(s, t) - P(s, u_1)) g(s) ds dt \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the assumption that  $J$  is not identically zero it is not hard to see that for every  $u_1$  the function  $s \mapsto P(s, t) - P(s, u_1)$  is not constant except for a finite set of values of  $t$ . Thus for almost all  $t$  there are intervals  $I_i^{t,u}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$  with  $\cup_{i=1}^N I_i^{t,u} = \mathbb{R}$  whose boundary points are measurable functions on  $(t, u)$  so that

$$\omega^{t,u}(s) = u_2 + P(s, t) - P(s, u_1)$$

has nonzero derivative in the interior of  $I_i^{t,u}$  and, as in the previous section, we denote by  $\omega \mapsto s_i^{t,u}(\omega)$  the inverse function of  $\omega^{t,u}$  on  $I_i^{t,u}$  and let  $\tilde{I}_i^{t,u}$  be

the image of  $I_i^{t,u}$  under  $\omega^{t,u}$ . Let

$$S_{i,j,u}g(t, \omega) = \chi_{\tilde{I}_i^{t,u}}(\omega) \frac{ds_i^{t,u}}{d\omega} \chi_j(s, t) |J(s, t)|^{1/3} |J(s, u_1)|^{1/3} g(s) \Big|_{s=s_i^{t,u}(\omega)}$$

and, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we see that (3.1) follows from

$$(3.2) \quad \text{meas}(\{(t, \omega) : |S_{i,j,u}g(t, \omega)| > \lambda\}) \lesssim \lambda^{-2} \int |g(s)|^2 |J(s, u_1)|^{1/3} ds.$$

The left hand side of (3.2) is equal to

$$(3.3) \quad \iint_{\substack{\{(s,t): s \in I_i^{t,u}, (s,t) \in U_j, \\ |J(s,t)|^{1/3} |J(s,u_1)|^{1/3} g(s) \geq \\ \lambda |(\omega^{t,u})'(s)|\}}} |(\omega^{t,u})'(s)| ds dt \\ \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\substack{\{t \in I_j^s : \\ |J(s,t)|^{1/3} |J(s,u_1)|^{1/3} g(s) \\ \geq \lambda |\frac{\partial P}{\partial s}(s,t) - \frac{\partial P}{\partial s}(s,u_1)|\}}} \left| \frac{\partial P}{\partial s}(s, t) - \frac{\partial P}{\partial s}(s, u_1) \right| dt ds$$

and we have to show that the right hand side is controlled by

$$\lambda^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(s)|^2 |J(s, u_1)|^{1/3} ds,$$

with constant only depending on  $N$ . This is accomplished by applying the following lemma to the inner integral in (3.3), with  $p(t) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial s}(s, t)$  (which has constant sign on  $I_j^s$ ).

**Lemma 3.1.** *There is a constant  $C(N)$  such that the following is true: If  $p$  is a real-valued polynomial of degree  $\leq N - 1$  and  $I$  is an interval with  $p'$  of constant sign on  $I$ , then for all  $t_1 \in I$  and all  $B > 0$  the inequality*

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\substack{\{t \in I: B|p'(t)p'(t_1)|^{1/3} \\ \geq |p(t) - p(t_1)|\}}} |p(t) - p(t_1)| dt \leq C(N) B^2 |p'(t_1)|^{1/3}$$

holds.

**Proof.** Note that the integration in (3.4) is always extended over a finite interval, thus we may assume that  $I$  is finite.

We begin by observing that there is  $C_1(N)$  such that for  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$

$$(3.5) \quad |b - a| |p'(a)|^{1-\theta} |p'(b)|^\theta \leq C_1(N) \int_{[a,b]} |p'(u)| du.$$

If  $a = 0, b = 1$  this is true because the  $L^1([0, 1])$  and  $L^\infty([0, 1])$  norms are equivalent on the (finite-dimensional) space of polynomials of degree bounded by  $N - 2$ . For other intervals  $[a, b]$  an affine change of variables reduces to the case  $a = 0, b = 1$ .

Continuing the proof of the lemma, the set

$$\{t \in I : B|p'(t)p'(t_1)|^{1/3} \geq |p(t) - p(t_1)|\}$$

is contained in the union of two minimal subintervals  $[t_0, t_1]$  and  $[t_1, t_2]$  of  $I$  (so that the defining inequality holds for  $t = t_0$  and  $t = t_2$ ). It is enough to bound the integral of  $|p(t) - p(t_1)|$  over each of these intervals by  $C_1(N) B^2|p'(t_1)|^{1/3}$ . The argument is the same in both cases, so we consider the integral over  $[t_0, t_1]$ . Clearly

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |p(t) - p(t_1)|dt \leq \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int_t^{t_1} |p'(v)|dvdt \leq (t_1 - t_0) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |p'(v)|dv.$$

We apply (3.5) with  $\theta = 1/3$  and see that the right hand side of (3.6) is dominated by

$$(3.7) \quad C_1(N) \left( \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |p'(v)|dv \right)^2 |p'(t_0)|^{-2/3} |p'(t_1)|^{-1/3} \leq C_1(N) B^2 |p'(t_1)|^{1/3}$$

where the last inequality holds since  $B|p'(t_0)p'(t_1)|^{1/3} \geq |\int_{t_0}^{t_1} p'(v)dv|$  and  $p'$  is of constant sign on  $[t_0, t_1]$ . The assertion follows from (3.6), (3.7). ■

**Remark.** Suppose that the polynomial  $P(s, t)$  is replaced by a  $C^2$  function  $S(s, t)$  with the property that for almost all  $t_1$  the generic multiplicities of the maps  $(s, t) \mapsto (S(s, t) - S(s, t_1), t)$  and  $s \mapsto S_s(s, t) - S_s(s, t_1)$  are bounded by some number  $\ell$  (here we say that  $F : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  has generic multiplicity bounded by  $\ell$  if  $F^{-1}(y)$  has cardinality  $\leq \ell$  for almost all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ). In this case a variant of the argument used by the second author in [12] can be employed to show a slightly weaker inequality, namely that  $\mathcal{A}$  is of restricted strong type  $(3/2, 3)$ ; i.e. it maps  $L^{3/2,1}$  to  $L^3$ , with operator norm depending only on  $\ell$ .

## References

- [1] BAK, J.-G., An  $L^p - L^q$  estimate for Radon transforms associated to polynomials. *Duke Math. J.* **101** (2000), 259–269.
- [2] BRENNER, P.,  $L_p - L_{p'}$  estimates for Fourier integral operators related to hyperbolic equations. *Math. Z.* **152** (1977), 273–286.
- [3] CHRIST, M., On the restriction of the Fourier transform to curves: Endpoint results and the degenerate case. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **287** (1985), 223–238.
- [4] CHRIST, M., Hilbert transforms along curves, I. Nilpotent groups. *Ann. of Math.* **122** (1985) 575–596.
- [5] HÖRMANDER, L., Fourier integral operators I. *Acta Math.* **127** (1971), 79–183.
- [6] PHONG, D. H. AND STEIN, E. M., Radon transforms and torsion. *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, appended to *Duke Math. J.* (1991), 49–60.
- [7] PHONG, D. H. AND STEIN, E. M., Models of degenerate Fourier integral operators and Radon transforms. *Ann. of Math.* **140** (1994), 703–722.
- [8] PHONG, D. H. AND STEIN, E. M., The Newton polyhedron and oscillatory integral operators. *Acta Math.* **179** (1997), 146–177.
- [9] PHONG, D. H. AND STEIN, E. M., Damped oscillatory integral operators with analytic phases. *Adv. Math.* **134** (1998), 146–177.
- [10] OBERLIN, D. M., Oscillatory integrals with polynomial phase. *Math. Scand.* **69** (1991), 45–56.
- [11] OBERLIN, D. M., Multilinear proofs for two theorems on circular averages. *Colloq. Math* **63** (1992), 187–190.
- [12] OBERLIN, D. M., Convolution with affine arclength measures in the plane. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1999), 3591–3592.
- [13] OBERLIN, D. M., Convolution with measures on polynomial curves, to appear in *Math. Scand.*
- [14] SEEGER, A., Degenerate Fourier integral operators in the plane. *Duke Math. J.* **71** (1993), 685–745.
- [15] SEEGER, A., Radon transforms and finite type conditions. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **11** (1998), 869–897.
- [16] SOGGE, C. D. AND STEIN, E. M., Averages of functions over hypersurfaces: smoothness of generalized Radon transforms. *J. Anal. Math.* **54** (1990), 165–188.

*Recibido:* 7 de septiembre de 2000

Jong-Guk Bak  
Department of Mathematics  
Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790-784  
and Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130-012  
Korea  
bak@euclid.postech.ac.kr

Daniel M. Oberlin  
Department of Mathematics  
Florida State University  
Tallahassee, FL 32306  
USA  
oberlin@math.fsu.edu

Andreas Seeger  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison, WI 53706  
USA  
seeger@math.wisc.edu