

Rotation invariant subspaces of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin space: compactness of embeddings, smoothness and decay of functions

Leszek Skrzypczak

Abstract

Let H be a closed subgroup of the group of rotation of \mathbb{R}^n . The subspaces of distributions of Besov-Lizorkin-Triebel type invariant with respect to natural action of H are investigated. We give sufficient and necessary conditions for the compactness of the Sobolev-type embeddings. It is also proved that H -invariance of function implies its decay properties at infinity as well as the better local smoothness. This extends the classical Strauss lemma. The main tool in our investigations is an adapted atomic decomposition.

1. Introduction.

The aim of the paper is to prove some assertions on the compactness of embeddings of some invariant subspaces of Besov-Lizorkin-Triebel classes into itself. We proved some decay properties of the invariant functions at infinity and near the origin. The local Hölder smoothness of the invariant functions is also investigated.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E35, 42C15.

Keywords: Compact embeddings, Besov and Lizorkin-Triebel spaces, atomic decompositions.

The phenomenon that symmetry conditions imply compactness of Sobolev embeddings has been observed by several authors. We refer to Berestycki and Lions [1], Coleman, Glazer, and Martin [5], Strauss [22], and Lions [17], where first order Sobolev spaces were regarded. The results are important for semi-linear elliptic equations. The case of the radial subspaces of the spaces of Besov-Lizorkin-Triebel type were investigated in [19], where the sufficient and necessary conditions for the compactness of the Sobolev-type embeddings are proved. In this paper we investigate the compactness of embeddings of subspaces invariant with respect to the action of a closed subgroup $H \subset O(n)$ and give sufficient and necessary conditions both for the function spaces and the subgroup.

Besov spaces $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Lizorkin-Triebel spaces $F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are natural generalizations of Sobolev and Hölder spaces, *e.g.* we have

$$F_{p,2}^0(\mathbb{R}^n) = L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (\text{Lebesgue spaces})$$

$$F_{p,2}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) = W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (\text{Sobolev spaces})$$

$$F_{p,2}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (\text{Potential spaces})$$

if $1 < p < \infty$ and

$$B_{\infty,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{C}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (\text{Hölder-Zygmund spaces})$$

if $s > 0$. The standard references for the above function spaces are Frazier and Jawerth [9], [10], Peetre [18] or Triebel [23], [24].

A crucial part in the theory of embeddings of these spaces is taken by the number $s - n/p$ called the differential dimensions. If $0 < p_0 \leq p$ and if

$$(1) \quad s_0 - \frac{n}{p_0} \geq s - \frac{n}{p},$$

then

$$(2) \quad F_{p_0,q_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 < q_0, q \leq \infty \quad (\text{continuous embedding})$$

and

$$(3) \quad B_{p_0,q_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 < q_0 \leq q \leq \infty \quad (\text{continuous embedding}),$$

cf. Jawerth [14] or Sickel and Triebel [20]. We shall prove that if we restrict our interest to the subspaces $R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $R_H F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of functions (distributions) invariant with respect to the natural action of H than

most of the above embeddings become compact. In fact we are able to characterize all situations where those embeddings are compact.

For convenience we recall the definition of the function spaces. Let $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a function with $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\text{supp } \psi \subset B(0, 3/2)$ and $\psi(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$. We put

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_0(x) &= \psi(x), \\
 \varphi_1(x) &= \psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \psi(x), \\
 \varphi_j(x) &= \varphi_1(2^{-j+1}x), \quad j = 2, \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4}$$

The system $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ is the smooth resolution of unity and

$$\sum_{j=0}^N \varphi_j(x) = \psi(2^{-N}x),
 \tag{5}$$

$$\text{supp } \varphi_j \subset \{x : 2^{j-1} \leq |x| \leq 3 \cdot 2^{j-1}\}.
 \tag{6}$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_p$ denote the $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ quasi-norm.

Definition 1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < p, q \leq \infty$. Then*

$$B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^\infty 2^{sjq} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j \mathcal{F}f\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\}.$$

If $0 < p < \infty$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s} \right. \\
 &= \left. \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^\infty 2^{sjq} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j \mathcal{F}f(\cdot)|_p^q \right)^{1/q} \right\| < \infty \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. The spaces are quasi-Banach spaces, Banach spaces if $p, q \geq 1$. The definition is independent of the chosen function ψ up to the quasi-norm equivalence. The various equivalent characterizations can be found in [23], [24]. Sometimes we shall use the generic notation $A_{p,q}^s$ in place of $F_{p,q}^s$ and $B_{p,q}^s$, if we speak about the common properties of the above spaces.

Our main tool is an atomic decomposition so we recall also the atomic decomposition theorem. We follow [21] and [19], but the atomic decomposition theorem for the above spaces is due to Frazier and Jawerth, cf. [9], [10].

Definition 2. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < p \leq \infty$. Let L and M be integers such that $L \geq 0$ and $M \geq -1$. Let $B(x, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a ball centered in x with radius r .

a) A smooth function $a(x)$ is called an 1_L -atom centered in $B(x, r)$ if there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$(7) \quad \text{supp } a \subset B(x, 2r),$$

$$(8) \quad \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha a(y)| \leq 1, \quad |\alpha| \leq L.$$

b) A smooth function $a(x)$ is called an $(s, p)_{L, M}$ -atom centered in $B(x, r)$ if

$$(9) \quad \text{supp } a \subset B(x, r),$$

$$(10) \quad \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha a(y)| \leq r^{s-|\alpha|-n/p}, \quad |\alpha| \leq L,$$

$$(11) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(y) \varphi(y) dy \right| \leq r^{s+M+1+n/p'} \|\varphi\|_{C^{M+1}(\overline{B(x, 3r)})},$$

$\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

Remark 2. If $M = -1$, then (11) becomes superfluous, it is covered by (10).

Remark 3. The following observations will be helpful from time to time. If $p_0 < p_1$ and $s_0 - n/p_0 = s_1 - n/p_1$, then an $(s_0, p_0)_{L, M}$ -atom is also an $(s_1, p_1)_{L, M}$ -atom. Furthermore, if $s_0 > s_1$ and if $a(x)$ is an $(s_0, p)_{L, M}$ -atom, then $r^{s_0-s_1} a(x)$ is an $(s_1, p)_{L, M}$ -atom.

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\{B(x_{j,i}, 2^{-j})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a covering of \mathbb{R}^n by balls such that the maximal number of the balls with non-empty intersection in this covering is finite. Such a covering is called uniformly locally finite and the maximal number is called the multiplicity of the covering. We may choose the sequence of the coverings $B_j = \{B(x_{j,i}, 2^{-j})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, with the same finite multiplicity. We recall the atomic decomposition theorem for Besov spaces.

Theorem 1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < p, q \leq \infty$. Let L and M be fixed integers satisfying the following conditions:*

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} L &\geq ([s] + 1)_+ \text{ and } M \geq \max \{[-\sigma_p - s], -1\}, \\ \sigma_p &= n \max \left\{ \frac{1}{p} - 1, 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $B_j = \{B(x_{j,i}, 2^{-j})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$, be a sequence of locally finite coverings with the same finite multiplicity.

a) Each $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be decomposed as follows

$$(13) \quad f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_{j,i} a_{j,i} \quad (\text{convergent in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

where $a_{0,i}$ is an s -atom related to the ball $B(x_{0,i}, r)$, and $a_{j,i}$, $j \neq 0$ is an (s, p) -atom related to the ball $B(x_{j,i}, r_j)$, s_i and $s_{j,i}$ are complex numbers with

$$(14) \quad \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |s_{j,i}|^p \right)^{q/p} \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

(modification if either $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$).

b) Conversely, suppose that $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be represented as in (13) and (14). Then $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Furthermore, the infimum of (14) with respect to all admissible representations (for fixed sequence of coverings and fixed integers L, M) is an equivalent norm in $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2. Rotation invariant subspaces.

Let g be an isometry of \mathbb{R}^n . For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we put $\varphi^g(x) = \varphi(gx)$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then f^g is a tempered distribution defined by

$$f^g(\varphi) = f(\varphi^{g^{-1}}), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where g^{-1} denote the isometry inverse to g .

Let $O(n)$ denote a real orthogonal group on $n \times n$ matrices. The group $O(n)$ acts on \mathbb{R}^n as a group of linear isometries. The group is a compact Lie transformation group. So its action on \mathbb{R}^n is smooth. By $SO(n)$ we

denote a real special orthogonal group that is the subgroup of $O(n)$ consists of matrices with determinant equal to 1. It is a connected component of identity of $O(n)$.

Definition 3. *Let H be a subgroup of $O(n)$. We say that the tempered distribution f is invariant with respect to H if $f^h = f$ for any $h \in H$. For any possible s, p, q we put*

$$R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) : f \text{ is invariant with respect to } H\},$$

$$R_H F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) : f \text{ is invariant with respect to } H\}.$$

Remark 4. 1) The space $R_H A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a closed subspace of $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, respectively. It follows immediately from the continuity of the linear operators $T_h f = f^h - f$, $h \in H$, in $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the representation $R_H A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcap_{h \in H} \ker T_h$. Thus they are quasi-Banach spaces with the quasi-norm induced from $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. They are Banach spaces if $p \geq 1$ and $q \geq 1$.

2) The group $SO(n)$ acts transitively on the unit sphere $S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$ therefore the subspaces $R_{SO(n)} A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of the radial distributions. We will denote this subspace by $RA_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If H is a subgroup of $O(n)$ then $R_{SO(n)} A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset R_H A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus $R_H A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = RA_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $SO(n) \subset H$. These subspaces were investigated in [19].

3) Another example of rotation invariant subspaces are the subspaces corresponding to block radial (cylindrical) symmetries. Let $n = n_1 + \dots + n_m$ with $n_i \geq 2$ and

$$H = SO(n_1) \times \dots \times SO(n_m)$$

with the action of $h = (h_1, \dots, h_m) \in H$ on \mathbb{R}^n defined by

$$h(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}) = (h_1(x^{(1)}), \dots, h_m(x^{(m)})), \quad x^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}.$$

The H invariant subspaces of the first order Sobolev spaces were investigated in [17]. In particular the compactness of the Sobolev embeddings into L_p spaces is proved.

4) We will assume that H is a closed subgroup of $O(n)$. This assumption seems to be not very restrictive. The groups $SO(n)$ as well

as $SO(n_1) \times \cdots \times SO(n_m)$ are of course closed subgroup of $O(n)$. Moreover, closed subgroup of real orthogonal groups $O(n)$ cover all compact Lie groups in that sense that any compact Lie group is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of certain orthogonal group $O(n)$, [2, Chapter 0, Theorem 5.1].

5) We give another example of identification of the compact Lie group with the subgroup of orthogonal transformations that arise naturally in geometry. Let $X = G/K$ be a homogeneous Riemannian manifold with a Riemannian metric tensor g . Then G is Lie group of isometries of X and K is a stability subgroup of a fixed point $o \in X$. The group K is compact and acts naturally on the tangent space T_oX by tangent mappings $d(k)_o$, $k \in K$. The action is orthogonal on T_oX since $g_o(d(k)_ov, (dk)_ow) = g_o(v, w)$, $v, w \in T_oX$. The nice exposition of the homogeneous manifolds can be find in [4, Chapter 3].

Proposition 1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. If $H \subset O(n)$ is a closed subgroup then the space $R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($R_H F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$) is a complemented subspaces of $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($R_H F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$) respectively).*

Proof. We prove that there is a continuous projection $R : B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The proof for $R_H F_{p,q}^s$ spaces is similar. The operator $(I - \Delta)^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ commutes with all isometries of \mathbb{R}^n . So, it is an isomorphism of $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $B_{p,q}^{s-2m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as well as an isomorphism of $R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $R_H B_{p,q}^{s-2m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it is sufficient to regard $s > n/p$. In that case $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

If $n = 1$ then we put $Rf(x) = (f(x) + f(-x))/2$. It is clear that the operator is a continuous projection we are looking for.

If $n > 1$ and the group H is finite we put

$$Rf(x) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} f(hx).$$

The operator R is once more a continuous projection.

Let $n > 1$ and let dh denotes the normalized Haar measure on H . We define

$$Rf(x) = \int_H f(hx) dx.$$

The H -invariant functions are fixed points of R . We prove that R is a bounded projection from $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The Fourier transform commutes with the orthogonal transformations of \mathbb{R}^n . So, $\mathcal{F}(f(g(\cdot)))(x) = \mathcal{F}(f)(g(x))$, if $g \in SO(n)$. In consequence

$$\mathcal{F}(Rf) = R(\mathcal{F}(f)),$$

and

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j\mathcal{F}(Rf) = R(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j\mathcal{F}f),$$

if the functions φ_j are rotation invariant.

On the other hand, by the Minkowski inequality for integrals,

$$(16) \quad \|Rf\|_p \leq \|f\|_p.$$

So, the inequalities (15) and (16) imply

$$\|Rf|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j\mathcal{F}(Rf)\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} \leq C \|f|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|.$$

This finishes the proof.

3. Compactness of the embeddings.

In this section we give the sufficient and necessary condition for compactness of embeddings

$$R_H A_{p_0, q_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow A_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Our main tool will be H -invariant atomic decompositions of $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We start with notions of a separation and a discretization of \mathbb{R}^n since they are useful for description of the atomic decomposition.

Definition 4. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a positive number, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots$ be a positive integer and X a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

A subset \mathcal{H} of X is said to be ε -separation of X , if the distance between any two distinct points of \mathcal{H} is greater than or equal to ε .

A subset \mathcal{H} of X is called an (ε, α) -discretization of X if it is an ε -separation of X and

$$X \subset \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{H}} B(x, \alpha \varepsilon).$$

Remark 5. 1) Any ε -separation is a finite or countable subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

2) For the notation of ε -separations and discretization as well as their importance in geometry we confer to [3, Chapter 4]. Please note, that our notion of discretization is a bit different to that one in Chavel's book.

We denote by $|X|$ cardinality of a set X .

Lemma 1. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$.*

a) *For any nonempty subset X of \mathbb{R}^n there is a $(\varepsilon, 1)$ -discretization of X .*

b) *Let m be a positive integer. If \mathcal{H} is an (ε, α) -discretization of \mathbb{R}^n and $m \geq \alpha$ then the family $\{B(x, m\varepsilon)\}_{x \in \mathcal{H}}$ is an uniformly locally finite covering of \mathbb{R}^n with multiplicity that can be estimates from above by constant depending on n and m , but independent of ε .*

Proof. The proof is standard. It follows from the Zorn lemma that for any nonempty set X there exist the maximal ε -separation \mathcal{H} of X . But then

$$X \subset \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{H}} B(x, \varepsilon).$$

by maximality of \mathcal{H} . This proves a).

We prove b). If $x_i, x_j \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x_i \neq x_j$ then

$$(17) \quad B\left(x_i, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \cap B\left(x_j, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) = \emptyset.$$

Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $I(y) = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : y \in B(x, m\varepsilon)\}$. Then by (17) we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_n |I(y)| \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^n &\leq \text{vol}\left(\bigcup_{x \in I(y)} B\left(x, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \text{vol}(B(y, (m+1)\varepsilon)) \\ &\leq c_n (m+1)^n \varepsilon^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the multiplicity of the covering is less or equaled to $C_n (m+1)^n$.

Let $H \subset O(n)$ be a closed subgroup of $O(n)$ and \mathcal{H} any ε -separation of \mathbb{R}^n . For any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ we put

$$\mathcal{H}(x, H) = \{y \in \mathcal{H} : \text{exists } h \in H, h \cdot x = y\}.$$

Definition 5. *Let H be a closed subgroup of $O(n)$. Let \mathcal{H} be a (ε, α) -discretization of \mathbb{R}^n . We say that the discretization \mathcal{H} is H -rich if there are constants $c > 0$ and $b \geq 1$ such that for any $x \in \mathcal{H}, x \neq 0$ the following estimate*

$$(18) \quad c(|x| \varepsilon^{-1})^b \leq |\mathcal{H}(x, H)|$$

holds.

Remark 6. Since 0 is a fixed point of H the set $\mathcal{H}(x, H)$ is contained in a sphere $S^{n-1}(0, |x|)$. This implies the following upper bound of the cardinality of $\mathcal{H}(x, H)$

$$(19) \quad |\mathcal{H}(x, H)| \leq C (\varepsilon^{-1} |x|)^{n-1}.$$

Moreover $H \cdot x$, $x \neq 0$, is a smooth submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n , therefore one can take

$$(20) \quad b = \min_{x \in S^{n-1}} \dim H \cdot x.$$

This value of b is optimal.

Lemma 2. *Let H be a closed subgroup of $O(n)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *For any $x \neq 0$ there orbit $H \cdot x = \{h \cdot x : h \in H\}$ is infinite.*
- 2) *For any $x \in S^{n-1}$ there orbit $H \cdot x = \{h \cdot x : h \in H\}$ is infinite.*
- 3) *For any $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and there exist a $(2^{-j}, \alpha)$ -discretization \mathcal{H}_j of \mathbb{R}^n such that:*

- *the constant α is independent of j ,*
- *the discretization \mathcal{H}_j is H -rich,*
- *the constants (c, b) in (18) are independent of j .*

Proof. *Step 1.* The equivalence 1) if and only if 2) is obvious. The group H is a closed subgroup of a compact group therefore it is compact and it has a finite many connection components. Let H_0 denote a connection component of the identity of H . It is a closed subgroup of H .

The group H is a closed subgroup of the compact Lie group $O(n)$ therefore it is a Lie subgroup of $O(n)$ if equipped with the unique differential structure, cf. [12, Chapter 2, Theorem 2.3]. In consequence it acts analytically of the unit sphere S^{n-1} as a group of isometries. Any orbit $H \cdot x$ is a regular submanifold of S^{n-1} of dimension $k_x = \dim H - \dim H_x$, where H_x is a stability subgroup of x in H , cf. [2, Chapter 6, Corollary 1.3]. So if the orbit $H \cdot x$ is infinite then it is a regular submanifolds of dimension $k_x \geq 1$.

The group H acts on the family of its connected components by translations. In consequences if $T_h : H_0 \longrightarrow H_1$ is a translations that defines an diffeomorphism of H_0 onto a connected component H_1 then it defines also a diffeomorphism of an orbit $H_0 \cdot x$ onto $H_1 \cdot x$. In consequence the

orbit $H \cdot x$ is infinite if and only if infinite is the orbit $H_0 \cdot x$. So, $H_0 \cdot x$ is a regular connected submanifolds of dimension $k_x \geq 1$.

Step 2. We prove that 2) implies 3). First we notice that there is a positive constant δ such that for any $x \in S^{n-1}$ we have

$$(21) \quad H_0 \cdot x \not\subseteq B(x, \delta).$$

Indeed, if the last statement is not true then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $x_m \in S^{n-1}$ such that $H_0 \cdot x_m \subseteq B(x, 1/m)$. But S^{n-1} is a compact set therefore the sequence $\{x_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ has got a convergent subsequence. To simplify the notation we assume that $x_m \rightarrow y$, if $m \rightarrow \infty$. Let us choose $\varepsilon > 0$ then there is x_m such that $1/m < \varepsilon/3$ and $|y - x_m| \leq \varepsilon/3$. So, for any $h \in H_0$ we have $|h \cdot y - y| \leq \varepsilon$. Thus, $H_0 \cdot y \subset B(y, \varepsilon)$ for any ε . Then $H_0 \cdot y = \{y\}$, but this is impossible since any H_0 -orbit is infinite, cf. Step 1.

Let us choose ε , $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. Let $x_{\varepsilon,1}, \dots, x_{\varepsilon,k} \in S^{n-1}$ be a maximal set such that:

- 1) $x_{\varepsilon,1} \in S^{n-1}$,
- 2) $x_{\varepsilon,i} \in S^{n-1}$ and $\text{dist}(x_i, \bigcup_{l=1}^{i-1} H_0 \cdot x_{\varepsilon,l}) = \varepsilon$, for $i = 2, \dots, k$.

Since any orbit is a regular submanifold of S^{n-1} and S^{n-1} is compact such set is finite. In particular if H_0 acts transitively on the sphere then the set has only one element.

For any orbit $H_0 \cdot x_{\varepsilon,i}$ there is an $(\varepsilon, 1)$ -discretization of $H_0 \cdot x_{\varepsilon,i}$. We will denote this discretization by $X_{\varepsilon,i}$. The set $X_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_{\varepsilon,i}$ is then the $(\varepsilon, 2)$ -discretization of S^{n-1} . The orbit $H_0 \cdot x_i$ is a connected regular submanifold of S^{n-1} of dimension $k_i \geq 1$ therefore (21) implies, that the inequalities

$$(22) \quad |X_{\varepsilon,i}| \geq \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \delta < \varepsilon, \\ \lfloor \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} \rfloor, & \text{if } \delta \geq \varepsilon, \end{cases}$$

holds for any discretization $I_{\varepsilon,i}$. Here $\lfloor t \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Let us choose $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We construct the $(2^{-j}, 2)$ -discretization \mathcal{H}_j of \mathbb{R}^n that is H -rich. We assume that the set \mathcal{H}_j consists of 0 and all the points satisfying the following conditions:

$$(23) \quad |y| = N 2^{-j}, \quad N = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(24) \quad \text{exists } X_{N^{-1},i}, \quad |y|^{-1}y \in X_{N^{-1},i}.$$

It should be obvious that \mathcal{H}_j is an $(2^{-j}, 3)$ -discretization of \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover by (22) and (24) we have

$$\frac{\delta}{2} 2^j |y| \leq |X_{N^{-1},i}| = |\mathcal{H}_j(y)|$$

for any $0 \neq y \in \mathcal{H}_j$.

Step 3. It remains to prove that 3) implies 2). Let $x \in S^{n-1}$. We put $x_N = N \cdot x$. There exists $y_N \in \mathcal{H}_0$ such that $x_N \in B(y_N, \alpha)$. Let M denotes the multiplicity of the covering $\{B(y, \alpha)\}_{y \in \mathcal{H}_0}$, cf. Lemma 1. We have

$$|H \cdot x| = |H \cdot x_N| \geq M^{-1} |H \cdot y_N| \geq c M^{-1} N^b$$

since H is a group of isometries of \mathbb{R}^n . Thus $|H \cdot x| = \infty$. This finishes the proof.

Remark 7. 1) The simplest example of a group satisfying the assumption of the above Lemma is $SO(n)$. In this case $b = n - 1$. The theory of corresponding radial subspaces is elaborated in [19].

2) Another example are block radial (cylindrical) symmetries regarded in Remark 4. In this case we can give more explicit construction of the H -rich discretizations. Let $\{x_{k,l}^{(i)}\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $l = 0, \dots, k^{n_i-1}$, be a $(2^{-j}, \alpha_i)$ -discretization in \mathbb{R}^{n_i} that is $SO(n_i)$ -rich, cf. [19] for the construction. We put

$$\mathcal{H}_j = \{x_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}} = (x_{k_1, l_1}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{k_m, l_m}^{(m)}) : k_i \in \mathbb{N}_0, l_i = 0, \dots, k_i^{n_i-1}\},$$

and

$$\alpha = \sqrt{n} \max_i \{\alpha_i\}.$$

The set \mathcal{H}_j is a $(2^{-j}, \alpha)$ -discretization of \mathbb{R}^n Let $x_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}} \in \mathcal{H}_j$ with $\tilde{k} \neq 0$. Then

$$0 < 2^{-j} \max_i k_i \leq |x| \leq \sqrt{n} 2^{-j} \max_i k_i.$$

So

$$|\mathcal{H}_j(x_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}}, H)| \geq k_1^{n_1-1} \dots k_m^{n_m-1} \geq \max \{k_1^{n_1-1}, \dots, k_m^{n_m-1}\} \geq c (2^j |x|)^b,$$

with $b = \min \{n_1, \dots, n_m\} - 1$.

3) Let $n = n_1 + \dots + n_m$ and let

$$H = K_1 \times \dots \times K_m$$

be a subgroup of $O(n)$ with $K_i \subset O(n_i)$. The action of $h = (h_1, \dots, h_m) \in H$ on \mathbb{R}^n is defined in the same way as above. The group H satisfies the assumptions of the Lemma 2 if and only if every group K_i satisfies the assumptions of the Lemma.

4) To give another example we continue the last point of Remark 4. Let $X = G/K$ be a Riemannian symmetric space of compact or noncompact type. In that case the group G is semi-simple. The rank $r(X)$ of the symmetric spaces is a maximal dimension of a flat totally geodesic submanifold of X . The group K acts transitively on the sphere centered at the origin $o \in X$ of X if and only if $r(X) = 1$. For any $x \in X$ there exist a flat totally geodesic submanifold through x and o of dimension $r(X)$. Moreover, maximal flat totally geodesic submanifolds through the origin $o \in X$ are in one to one correspondence with maximal abelian subspaces of the Iwasawa decomposition of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . The group $\text{Ad}_G(K)$ acts transitively on the family of the maximal abelian subspaces. So, if the $r(X) > 1$ then $\text{Ad}_G(K)$ do not act transitively on the sphere but any orbit is infinite. The best reference for geometry of symmetric space in Helgason's book [12]. For the analysis on tangent spaces of symmetric spaces including the harmonic analysis of $\text{Ad}_G(K)$ invariant functions we refer to [13, Chapter 3, sections 7 and 8].

5) Let

$$m = \frac{(n - 1)(n + 2)}{2}, \quad n > 1.$$

We describe more precisely the action of $SO(n)$ onto \mathbb{R}^m connected with the decomposition of a space $SL(n, \mathbb{R})/SO(n)$, that is a symmetric spaces of rank $n - 1$. We identify \mathbb{R}^{m+1} with a space \mathfrak{s} of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices and \mathbb{R}^m with a subspace \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{s} of matrices with zero trace. We define the scalar product in \mathfrak{s} by

$$\mathcal{K}(A, C) = 2n \text{tr}(AC), \quad (\text{the Killing form of } SL(n, \mathbb{R})).$$

Equipped with the above scalar product \mathfrak{s} is an euclidean space and \mathfrak{p} an euclidean subspace of codimension 1. We define the action of $SO(n)$ onto \mathfrak{p} by

$$\tau(B) : \mathfrak{p} \ni A \longmapsto B^{-1}AB \in \mathfrak{p}, \quad B \in SO(n).$$

It follows by the elementary properties of the trace and transpose matrices that $B^{-1}AB \in \mathfrak{p}$ if $A \in \mathfrak{p}$, and that $\mathcal{K}(\tau(A), \tau(C)) = \mathcal{K}(A, C)$. The spaces \mathfrak{a} of diagonal matrices in \mathfrak{p} is an $n - 1$ dimensional linear subspace. Any symmetric matrix is diagonalizable so for any $A \in \mathfrak{p}$ there exists $B \in SO(n)$ and $C \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $A = B^{-1}CB$. Thus

$$\mathfrak{p} = \bigcup_{B \in SO(n)} \tau(B)(\mathfrak{a}).$$

An easy calculation shows that if both matrices A and $B^{-1}AB$ are diagonal then they differ only by permutation of the elements on the diagonal. So $SO(n)$ acts on any subspace of the form $\tau(B)(\mathfrak{a})$ as a group of permutation of n elements.

So any system of real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$ appoints exactly one orbit of the group $SO(n)$ in \mathfrak{p} . The orbit consists of symmetric matrices of eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$ and $\lambda_n = -\lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{n-1}$. If the system contains at least one number no equald to 0 then the orbit is infinite. But if $n > 2$ then $SO(n)$ does not act transitively on any sphere centered at the origin in \mathfrak{a} , hence also in \mathfrak{p} .

Theorem 2. *Let $H \subset O(n)$ be a closed subgroup of $O(n)$, $n \geq 2$. Let $R_H A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be an H -invariant subspace of $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The embedding*

$$R_H A_{p_0,q_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow R_H A_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is compact if and only if,

- i) *for any $x \in S^{n-1}$ the orbit $H \cdot x$ is infinite,*
- ii) *$p_0 < p_1$ and $s_0 - n/p_0 > s_1 - n/p_1$.*

Remark 8. 1) If $n = 1$ then the only invariant subspaces is a subspaces of even distributions. In that case the embeddings are not compact. This was proved in [19].

2) The compactness of embeddings of invariant subspaces of order one Sobolev spaces, in case of the block radial symmetries was regarded in [17].

Proof. *Step 1.* Preparations. In view of the elementary embeddings

$$R_H B_{p,\min\{p,q\}}^s \subset R_H F_{p,q}^s \subset R_H B_{p,\max\{p,q\}}^s,$$

cf., e.g. [23, 2.3.2], it is enough to deal with the subspaces of the Besov spaces. Let m be a natural number. The operator $(\text{id} - \Delta)^m$ maps a Besov

space $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ isomorphically onto $B_{p,q}^{s-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and it is invariant with respect to any isometry of \mathbb{R}^n so it maps also $R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ isomorphically onto $R_H B_{p,q}^{s-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Consequently, the question whether an embedding like $RB_{p_0,q_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow RB_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is compact can be lifted to arbitrary large smoothness s_0 and s_1 by employing the properties of $((\text{id} - \Delta)^m)^{-1}$. So we may assume $s_0 > \sigma_{p_0}$ and $s_1 > \sigma_{p_1}$, cf. (12).

Step 2. In this step we prove the sufficiency of the conditions i) and ii).

Substep 2.1. By Lemma 2 there is a positive integer α such that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exist a $(2^{-j}, \alpha)$ -discretization \mathcal{H}_j of \mathbb{R}^n such that the following inequalities hold

$$(25) \quad c(|x| 2^j)^b \leq |\mathcal{H}_j(x, H)|,$$

where the constants $c > 0$ and $b \geq 1$ are independent of j . It follows from Lemma 1 that a family of balls $\{B(x, \beta 2^{-j})\}_{x \in \mathcal{H}_j}$, $\beta \geq \alpha$ forms a uniformly locally finite covering of \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, the multiplicities of the coverings can be bounded from above by the constant independent of j . Thus the above coverings are suitable for atomic decompositions.

We put

$$\mathcal{H}_j = \{x_{j,k,m,\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^n : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ m = 0, \dots, c(j, k), \ell = 0, 1, \dots, C(j, k, m)\}$$

and assume that $x_{j,0,0,0} = 0$ and

$$(k - 1) 2^{-j} < |x_{j,k,m,\ell}| \leq k 2^{-j}$$

and

$$x_{j,k,m,\ell} \in \mathcal{H}_j(x_{j,k,m,0}, H)$$

if $k = 1, 2, \dots$. It follows from (19) and (25) that

$$(26) \quad c k^b \leq C(j, k, m) \leq C k^{n-1}.$$

On the other hand since the volume of the ring $\{x : (k - 1) 2^{-j} \leq |x| \leq k 2^{-j}\}$ is equivalent to $k^{n-1} 2^{-jn}$ and \mathcal{H}_j is the 2^{-j} -separation therefore

$$\sum_{m=0}^{c(j,k)} C(j, k, m) \leq C k^{n-1}.$$

In consequence

$$1 \leq c(j, k) \leq C k^{n-1-b}.$$

Substep 2.2. We describe the atomic decomposition that is suitable to our purpose. We can be brief here because it is similar to that one given in [19] for radial case, which is parallel to the construction given in [9].

The starting point is a Calderon type formula. As usual \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform and \mathcal{F}^{-1} its inverse. Let Θ_0 and Θ be radial Schwartz functions satisfying

$$|\mathcal{F}\Theta_0(\xi)| > 0, \quad \text{if } |\xi| \leq 2,$$

and

$$|\mathcal{F}\Theta(\xi)| > 0, \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2.$$

Then there exists two further radial Schwartz functions φ_0, φ and a positive number δ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \varphi_0 &\subset \{ \xi : |\xi| \leq 2 \}, & |\varphi_0(\xi)| > 0, & \quad \text{if } |\xi| \leq \delta, \\ \text{supp } \varphi &\subset \left\{ \xi : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2 \right\}, & |\varphi(\xi)| > 0, & \quad \text{if } \frac{3}{5} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{5}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}\Theta_0(\xi) \varphi_0(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}\Theta(2^{-j}\xi) \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1,$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, cf. e.g. [9]. The above identity gives

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad f(x) &= \int \Theta_0(x-y) \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_0(\xi) \mathcal{F}f(\xi))(y) dy \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int 2^{jn} \Theta(2^j(x-y)) \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}\xi) \mathcal{F}f(\xi))(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

for all tempered distributions f (convergence in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$). We may choose Θ_0 and Θ such that

$$\text{supp } \Theta_0 \subset \left\{ \xi : |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \quad \text{supp } \Theta \subset \left\{ \xi : |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Using the abbreviations

$$(28) \quad f_j(x) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_0(\xi) \mathcal{F}f(\xi))(x), & \text{if } j = 0, \\ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}\xi) \mathcal{F}f(\xi))(x), & \text{if } j > 0, \end{cases}$$

we define

$$(29) \quad s_{j,k,m,\ell} = \begin{cases} D_1 \sup_{y \in B(x_0,k,m,\ell,\alpha)} |f_0(y)| & \text{if } j = 0, \\ D_2 2^{j(s-n/p)} \sup_{y \in B(x_j,k,m,\ell,\alpha 2^{-j})} |f_j(y)|, & \text{if } j > 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(30) \quad a_{j,m,k,\ell}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{s_{0,\ell}} \int_{B(x_0,k,m,\ell,\alpha)} \Theta_0(x-y) f_0(y) dy, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ \frac{2^{jn}}{s_{j,\ell}} \int_{B(x_j,k,m,\ell,\alpha 2^{-j})} \Theta(2^j(x-y)) f_j(y) dy, & \text{if } j > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $D_1, D_2 > 0$ are normalization constants. The above formulae lead to the following atomic decompositions

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k,m,\ell} s_{j,k,m,\ell} a_{j,k,m,\ell}(x)$$

(convergence in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$), and

$$(31) \quad \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k,m,\ell} |s_{j,k,m,\ell}|^p \right)^{q/p} \right)^{1/q} \leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

with some constant C independent of f . Since $s > \sigma_p$ no moment condition for atoms is needed.

If $f \in R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then the functions f_j defined in (28) are also H -invariant. Thus the properties of the discretizations \mathcal{H}_j described in Substep 2.1 and (30) imply $s_{j,k,m,\ell} = s_{j,k,m,0}$ if $\ell = 0, \dots, C(j, k, m)$. So we simplify the notation putting

$$(32) \quad s_{j,k,m} = s_{j,k,m,\ell}, \quad \ell = 0, \dots, C(j, k, m).$$

Substep 2.3. It is known that the above embeddings are continuous, cf., e.g. Jawerth [14] or Triebel [23, 2.7.1].

First we assume $p_0 < p_1 < \infty$. Suppose $f \in R_H B_{p_0,q_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by

$$(33) \quad f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{j,0,0} a_{j,0,0,0}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m,\ell} s_{j,k,m} a_{j,k,m,\ell}(x),$$

Since $s_0 - n/p_0 > s_1 - n/p_1$ the above decomposition is an (s_0, p_0) as well as an (s_1, p_1) decomposition. Without loss of generality we may assume $q_1 = q_0 = \infty$. Let E be a bounded set in $RB_{p_0, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there is a general constant C such that for any $f \in E$ we have

$$(34) \quad \sup_{j=0,1,\dots} |s_{j,0,0}| + \sup_{j=0,1,\dots} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{c(j,k)} C(j, k, m) |s_{j,k,m}|^{p_0} \right)^{1/p_0} < C,$$

cf. (32). The monotonicity of the sequence spaces $l_p(\mathbb{N})$ implies

$$\sup_{j=0,1,\dots} |s_{j,0,0}| + \sup_{j=0,1,\dots} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{c(j,k)} C(j, k, m)^{p_1/p_0} |s_{j,k,m}|^{p_1} \right)^{1/p_1} < C.$$

The last inequality and (26) implies

$$(35) \quad \sup_{j=0,1,\dots} |s_{j,0,0}| + \sup_{j=0,1,\dots} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\sigma} \sum_{m=0}^{c(j,k)} C(j, k, m) |s_{j,k,m}|^{p_1} \right)^{1/p_1} < C,$$

where $\sigma = b(p_1/p_0 - 1) > 0$.

Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $N > (C/\varepsilon)^{p_1/\sigma}$, where C is as above. From (35) it follows

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{j=0,1,\dots} \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{c(j,k)} C(j, k, m) |s_{j,k,m}|^{p_1} \right)^{1/p_1} \\ & \leq N^{-\sigma/p_1} \sup_{j=0,1,\dots} \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} k^{\sigma} \sum_{m=0}^{c(j,k)} C(j, k, m) |s_{j,k,m}|^{p_1} \right)^{1/p_1} \\ & < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For given f we put

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{j,0,0} a_{j,0,0,0}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \sum_{m=1}^{c(j,k)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{C(j,k,m)} s_{j,k,m} a_{j,k,m,\ell}(x), \\ f_2(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{c(j,k)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{C(j,k,m)} s_{j,k,m} a_{j,k,\ell}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f = f_1 + f_2$ and (36) imply

$$(37) \quad \|f_2 | B_{p_1, \infty}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, $f_1 \in B_{p_0, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp } f_1 \subset \{x : |x| \leq N + 1\} = B_{N+1}$.

So, we may interpret f_1 as an element of $B_{p_0, \infty}^{s_0}(B_{N+2})$, where the last function space is a Besov space on the ball B_{N+2} defined by restriction, cf. [24, Chapter 5] for details. Furthermore, there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$, depending on N but not on f_1 such that

$$(38) \quad c_1 \|f_1 | B_{p_0, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \leq \|f_1 | B_{p_0, \infty}^{s_0}(B_{N+2})\| \leq \|f_1 | B_{p_0, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)\|,$$

cf. [24, 5.2]. Hence, the set $E_1 = \{f_1 : f \in E\}$ is a bounded subset in $B_{p_0, \infty}^{s_0}(B_{N+2})$ and, by the theorem about compact embeddings of Besov spaces on bounded domains, it is precompact in $B_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, cf. [8, Section 3.3]. This and (37) show that E is a precompact subset of $B_{p_1, \infty}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus the embedding

$$R_H B_{p_0, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow R_H B_{p_1, \infty}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is compact. To remove the dependence on the third index q one can use the elementary embedding of Besov spaces, cf. [19].

It remains to regard the case $p_0 < p_1 = \infty$. The only modification we have to make consists in a replacement of (36) by

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_2 | B_{\infty, \infty}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\| &\leq c \sup_{j=0,1,\dots} \sup_{k=N,2,\dots} \sup_{m=0,\dots,c(j,k)} |s_{j,k,m}| \\ &\leq c N^{-b/p_0} \sup_{j=0,1,\dots} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{c(j,k)} C(j,k,m) |s_{j,k,m}|^{p_0} \right)^{1/p_0} \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining arguments are unchanged.

Step 3. Now we prove the necessity of the conditions i) and ii). Since

$$R B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

therefore the necessity of ii) follows from the fact that ii) is a necessary condition for the compactness of embeddings of radial subspaces, [19].

We prove that the condition i) is also necessary. Let us assume that i) is not satisfied. Then there exists $x \in S^{n-1}$ such that the orbit $H \cdot x$

is finite. Let $H \cdot x = \{x = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$. We may choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(x_i, \varepsilon) \cap B(x_k, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$, for any $i \neq k$. Let $\varphi \in C_o^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } \varphi \subset B(x, \varepsilon)$. We define

$$(39) \quad \psi(y) = \int_H \varphi(h \cdot y) dh.$$

The function ψ is a smooth compactly supported function with

$$(40) \quad \text{supp } \psi \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m B(x_i, \varepsilon)$$

since H is a group of isometries of \mathbb{R}^n . So we can write

$$(41) \quad \psi = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i, \quad \varphi_i \in C_o^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \text{supp } \varphi_i \subset B(x_i, \varepsilon).$$

Moreover function ψ is H -invariant and

$$(42) \quad \varphi_k(y) = \varphi_i(h \cdot y), \quad \text{if } x_i = h \cdot x_k.$$

For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$\varphi_{N,i}(y) = \varphi_i(y + x_i - N \cdot x_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_N = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_{N,i}.$$

Since, any $h \in H$ is a linear transformation and it acts on the orbit $H \cdot x$ as a permutation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_N(h \cdot y) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_{N,i}(h \cdot y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(h \cdot (y + h^{-1} \cdot (x_i - N \cdot x_i))) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(h \cdot (y + x_i - N \cdot x_i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(y + x_i - N \cdot x_i) \\ &= \psi_N(y) \end{aligned}$$

cf. (42). Thus the function ψ_N is H -invariant.

The function $\varphi_{N,i}$ are s -atom after suitable normalization, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In consequence, there exists the constant $C = C(s, p, q) > 0$ independent of N such that

$$\|\psi_N | A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \sim C(s, p, q).$$

But, this leads to the contradiction with compactness of the embeddings since supports of ψ_N do not intersect each other.

Corollary 1. *Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$. Then the embedding*

$$(43) \quad R_H A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \text{vmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is compact if, and only if,

$$(44) \quad R_H A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is compact if, and only if,

$$(45) \quad R_H A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is compact if, and only if,

$$(46) \quad R_H A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is compact if, and only if, $p < \infty$, $s - n/p > 0$ and for any $x \in S^{n-1}$ the orbit $H \cdot x$ is infinite.

Corollary 2. *Let $1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the embedding*

$$(47) \quad R_H W_{p_0}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is compact if, and only if, $p_0 < p_1$, $m - n/p_0 > p_1$ and for any $x \in S^{n-1}$ the orbit $H \cdot x$ is infinite.

Corollary 3 (Block radial symmetry). *Let $n = n_1 + \dots + n_m$ and*

$$H = SO(n_1) \times \dots \times SO(n_m).$$

The embedding

$$R_H A_{p_0, q_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow R_H A_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is compact if and only if $p_0 < p_1$, $s_0 - n/p_0 > s_1 - n/p_1$ and $n_i \geq 2$ for any $i = 1, \dots, m$.

The first and the second corollary can be proved exactly in the same way as the analogous corollaries in [19]. The third corollary is evident.

4. Decay properties and local smoothness of H -invariant functions.

First we deal with a generalized version of the Strauss lemma, *cf.* [22] or [16, Chapter 2]. The approach via atomic decompositions has the additional advantage that it gives some hints about the sharpness. We will assume in this section that $H \subset O(n)$ is a close subgroup and that

$$1 \leq b = \min_{x \in S^{n-1}} \dim H \cdot x.$$

We formulate the results about the behavior near infinity and near the origin separately.

Theorem 3 (Behavior near infinity). *Let $0 < p \leq \infty$.*

Let either $s > (n-b)/p$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ or $s = (n-b)/p$ and $0 < q \leq 1$. Then there exists a constant C such that

$$(48) \quad |f(x)| \leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} |x|^{-b/p}$$

holds for all $f \in R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $|x| \geq 1$.

Remark 9. The following properties of radial functions proved in [19] say something about sharpness of the above result.

1) Let $(n-1)/n < p$. Further, let either $s < (n-b)/p$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ or $s = (n-b)/p$ and $1 < q \leq \infty$. Then for all $|x| \geq 1$ there exists a sequence $\{f_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ of radial, smooth and compactly supported functions, (depending on x) such that $\|f_N\|_{B_{p,q}^s} = 1$ and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} |f_N(x)| = \infty$.

2) Let $(n-1)/n < p$. Then for all triples (s, p, q) there exists a positive constant C such that for all $|x| \geq 1$ there exists a smooth radial and compactly supported function $f \in R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} = 1$ (depending on x) such that

$$|f(x)| \geq C |x|^{(1-n)/p}.$$

Theorem (Behavior near the origin). *Let $0 < p \leq \infty$.*

Let either $s > (n-b)/p$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ or $s = (n-b)/p$ and $0 < q \leq 1$. Then there exists a constant C such that

$$(49) \quad |f(x)| \leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} |x|^{-b/p}$$

holds for all $f \in RB_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $0 < |x| \leq 1$. Moreover, if $p < \infty$ we even have

$$(50) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^{b/p} f(x) = 0.$$

Remark 10. The following inverse result was proved in [19].

Let $s < 1/p$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. For all natural numbers N there exists a ring with radius $|x_N|$ and a smooth and compactly supported radial function f_N such that $\|f_N\|_{B_{p,q}^s} = 1$ and

$$(51) \quad |f_N(x_N)| \geq N |x_N|^{(1-n)/p}.$$

Proof of Theorems 3 and 4.

Step 1. We comment the situation if $p = \infty$. Then all the assertions are covered by the well-known relations of $B_{\infty,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, cf. [20]. The H -invariance does not matter. In what follows we suppose always $p < \infty$.

Step 2. We prove (48) and (49).

Substep 2.1. Let $|x| \geq 1$. Let $f \in R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. There exists an atomic decomposition

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{c(j,k)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{C(j,k,m)} s_{j,k,m} a_{j,k,m,\ell},$$

such that (34) is satisfied. Let us fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|x| \geq 1$. Observe, that for all $j \geq 0$ there exists $k_j \geq 1$ such that

$$(52) \quad k_j 2^{-j} \leq |x| < (k_j + 1) 2^{-j}.$$

Then the main part of f near x is given by the function

$$f^M(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{j,k_j,m_j} a_{j,k_j,m_j,\ell_j}(y)$$

(in fact, $f(x)$ is a finite sum of functions of type

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{j,k_j,m_j} a_{j,k_j+r_j,m_j+u_j,\ell_j+t_j}(y),$$

and $|r_j|$, u_j and $|t_j|$ are uniformly bounded). For convenience we give an estimate of the main part f^M only. Because of (52) and the normalization of the atoms we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f^M(x)| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |s_{j,k_j,m_j}| 2^{-j(s-n/p)} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} k_j^{-b/p} 2^{-j(s-n/p)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{c(j,k)} k^b |s_{j,k,m}|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ (53) \quad &\leq C |x|^{-b/p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j(s-(n-b)/p)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{c(j,k)} C(j,k,m) |s_{j,k,m}|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C |x|^{-b/p} \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

as long as $s > (n-b)/p$ or $s = (n-b)/p$ and $0 < q \leq 1$. Moreover, C does not depend on x and f .

Substep 2.2. Let $0 < |x| < 1$. The counterpart of (52) is given by

$$(54) \quad 2^{-j_0} \leq |x| < 2^{-j_0+1},$$

for some $j_0 \geq 1$. Then the main part of f near x is taken by the function

$$f^M(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} s_{j,0,0} a_{j,0,0,0}(y) + \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} s_{j,k_j,m_j} a_{j,k_j,m_j,\ell_j}(y).$$

Similar as above we derive

$$|f^M(x)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} |s_{j,0,0}| 2^{-j(s-n/p)} + \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} |s_{j,k_j,m_j}| 2^{-j(s-n/p)}.$$

It remains to deal with the first sum on the right-hand side. By the monotonicity of the quasi-norms with respect to s and q it is sufficient to concentrate on $s = (n-b)/p$ and $q = 1$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} (55) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} |s_{j,0,0}| 2^{jb/p} &\leq |x|^{-b/p} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} 2^{(1-j_0)b/p} 2^{jb/p} |s_{j,0,0}| \\ &\leq C_2 |x|^{-b/p} \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, (53) and (55) prove the claim.

Step 3. We prove (50). As in Substep 2.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |x|^{b/p} |f^M(x)| \\
 & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} |s_{j,0,0}| 2^{(j-j_0)b/p} + \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} |s_{j,k_j,m_j}| 2^{(j-j_0)b/p} \\
 & \leq \left(\sup_{j=0,1,\dots} |s_{j,0,0}| \right) \sum_{j=0}^{j_0/2} 2^{(j-j_0)b/p} + \left(\sup_{j \geq j_0/2} |s_{j,0,0}| \right) \sum_{j=j_0/2}^{j_0-1} 2^{(j-j_0)b/p} \\
 & \quad + \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^b |s_{j,k,m}|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \leq C \left(\left(\sup_{j=0,1,\dots} |s_{j,0,0}| \right) 2^{-bj_0/(2p)} + \left(\sup_{j \geq j_0/2} |s_{j,0,0}| \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C(j,k,m) |s_{j,k,m}|^p \right)^{1/p} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

since $k_j \sim 2^{j-j_0}$. If $|x| \rightarrow 0$, then $j_0 \rightarrow \infty$. Further, if $j_0 \rightarrow \infty$, then $\sum_{j \geq j_0} |s_{j,0,0}| \rightarrow 0$. Finally, also the second sum in the latter inequality tends to zero if $j_0 \rightarrow \infty$. From this (50) follows.

For later use we add the following observation about the convergence of partial sums of the series in (33). Let $f \in R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{c(j,k)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{C(j,k,m)} s_{j,k,m} a_{j,k,m,\ell},$$

then we put

$$S^J f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^J \sum_{k=0}^J \sum_{m=0}^{c(j,k)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{C(j,k,m)} s_{j,k,m} a_{j,k,m,\ell}, \quad J = 0, 1, \dots$$

Lemma 3. *Let $0 < p < \infty$. Suppose either $s > (n-b)/p$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ or $s = (n-b)/p$ and $0 < q \leq 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $f \in R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies f is continuous in $\{x : |x| > \varepsilon\}$ and*

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \|f - S^J f\|_{L_\infty(\{x : |x| > \varepsilon\})} = 0.$$

Proof. We employ the same arguments as in proof of Theorems 3 and 4, Step 2. Thanks to $f \in R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for each $\delta > 0$ there exists a number J_0 such that

$$\left(\sum_{j=J}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{c(j,k)} C(j,k,m) |s_{j,k,m}|^p \right)^{q/p} \right)^{1/q} < \delta,$$

for all $J \geq J_0$. First, consider $|x| \geq 1$. Then, as in (53)

$$(56) \quad |f^M(x) - S^J f^M(x)| = \left| \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} s_{j,k_j,m_j} a_{j,k_j,m_j,\ell_j}(x) \right| \leq C |x|^{-b/p} \delta,$$

for all $J \geq J_0$, with C independent of x and δ . Similar, if $\max\{\varepsilon, 2^{-j_0}\} \leq |x| < 2^{-j_0+1}$ and J is sufficiently large with respect to ε , then also in this case we arrive at (56). Hence, we have convergence of $S^J f$ in the uniform norm and for that reason the limit itself is a continuous function. This proves the lemma.

Next we investigate local Hölder regularity of H-invariant functions. Let ψ be a radial smooth cut-off function supported around the origin and satisfying $\psi(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$.

Any $x \in S^{n-1}$ defines a ray $\rho_x = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y = tx, t > 0\}$. To a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we associate a function $f_x : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $f_x(t) = f(tx)$, $x \in S^{n-1}$. We say that a function f_x belongs to $B_{\infty,\infty}^s(0, \infty)$ if the functions $(1 - \psi(\lambda|y|)) f_0(|y|)$ belong to $B_{\infty,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R})$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

Lemma 4. *Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$. Suppose $s > (n-b)/p$. Let $f \in R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for any $x \in S^{n-1}$, f_x belongs to $B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_0}(0, \infty)$, with $s_0 = s - (n-b)/p$.*

Proof.

Step 1. First, we remark that the case $p = \infty$ is obvious by employing the equivalence of the quasi-norms $\|f\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| + \max_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|D^\alpha f(x) - D^\alpha f(y)|}{|x - y|^{s-m-1/p}}, \quad m < s - \frac{n-b}{p} < m+1,$$

or

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| + \max_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup_{\substack{x,h \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ h \neq 0}} \frac{|D^\alpha f(x+2h) - 2D^\alpha f(x+h) + D^\alpha f(x)|}{|h|},$$

$$s - (n - b)/p = m + 1.$$

Step 2. Let $p < \infty$. Let $f \in R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $s > (n - b)/p$. The function $(1 - \psi(\lambda x))$ is a pointwise multiplier for $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, cf. e.g. [23, 2.8] or [10], and hence, also $(1 - \psi(\lambda x)) f(x)$ belongs to $R B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Lemma 3 yields that it is a continuous function. Moreover there are constants N and K dependent on λ such that the function $(1 - \psi(\lambda \cdot)) f$ has the following atomic decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \psi(\lambda x)) f(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N s_{j,0,0} a_{j,0,0,0} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \geq \max\{1, 2^j K\}} \sum_{m=1}^{c(j,k)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{C(j,k,m)} s_{j,k,m} a_{j,k,m,\ell}, \end{aligned}$$

cf. (33). To simplify the notation we may assume that $x = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and restrict our attention to the x_1 -axis. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \psi(\lambda(x_1, 0, \dots, 0))) f(x_1, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N s_{j,0,0} a_{j,0,0,0}(x_1, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \geq \max\{1, 2^j K\}} \sum_{m,\ell} s_{j,k,m} a_{j,k,m,\ell}(x_1, 0, \dots, 0), \end{aligned}$$

(counting the $a_{j,k,m,\ell}$ in an appropriate way). The functions $b_{j,k,m,\ell}(x_1) = 2^{-jb/p} a_{j,k,m,\ell}(x_1, 0, \dots, 0)$ are $(s + 1/p - (n - b)/p, p)_{L,-1}$ -atoms on x_1 -axis. It follows that

$$g_{m,\ell} = \sum_{j=0}^N 2^{jb/p} s_{j,0,0} b_{j,0,0,0} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \geq \max\{1, 2^j K\}} 2^{jb/p} s_{j,k,m} b_{j,k,m,\ell},$$

$\ell = 1, \dots, M$, represent tempered distributions on \mathbb{R} satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g_{m,\ell} | B_{p,\infty}^\sigma(\mathbb{R})\| \\ & \leq C \left(\sup_{j=0,\dots,N} 2^{jb/p} |s_{j,0,0}| + \sup_{j=0,\dots} \left(\sum_{k \geq \max\{1, 2^j K\}} |2^{jb/p} s_{j,k,m}|^p \right)^{1/p} \right) \\ (57) \quad & \leq C \left(\sup_{j=0,\dots,N} |s_{j,0,0,0}| + \sup_{j=0,\dots} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_m C(j, k, m) |s_{j,k,m}|^p \right)^{1/p} \right) \\ & \leq C \|f | B_{p,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\|, \end{aligned}$$

with $\sigma = s + 1/p - (n - b)/p$ and C does not depend on f .

Step 3. The trace problem. Consider the mapping

$$\gamma_L : f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \longmapsto (1 - \psi(2^{-L}(x_1, 0, \dots, 0))) f(x_1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $S^J f$ be the partial sum of the atomic decomposition from Lemma 3. Because of $s > 1/p$ there exists a number s_0 such that $1/p < s_0 < s$ and $f \in RB_{p,1}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover

$$S^J f(x) \longrightarrow f$$

in $\|\cdot\|_{B_{p,1}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ if $J \longrightarrow \infty$. Because of

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_L(S^J f) \\ &= \sum_{m,\ell} \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{J,N\}} 2^{jb/p} s_{j,0,0} b_{j,0,0,0} + \sum_{j=N}^J \sum_{k \geq \max\{1, 2^j K\}} 2^{jb/p} s_{j,k,m} b_{j,k,m,\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2 implies the convergence of $\gamma_L(S^J f)$ in $\|\cdot\|_{C(\mathbb{R})}$ (thanks to the continuous embedding $B_{p,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$). Consequently, γ_L extends to a bounded linear mapping of $RB_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $RB_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 3 implies coincidence (pointwise) of $\gamma_L(f)$ and $(1 - \psi(2^{-L}|y|)) \cdot f_x(|y|)$. The prove is complete.

Remark 11. The phenomenon of the higher local regularity of radial functions outside the origin has been observed by P. L. Lions [17].

We have proved more than stated. Namely for any f with $\text{supp } F \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, R)$ the following inequality

$$(58) \quad \|f_0\|_{B_{\infty,q}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|f_0\|_{B_{p,q}^\sigma(\mathbb{R})} \leq C R^{-b/p} \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

holds with the constant C independent of f and λ . In fact, since in (57) we have $(R2^j)^{b/p} \leq C(j, k, m)$ therefore for $q = \infty$ the inequality (58) follows from (57). The extension does not cause any trouble.

Lemma 5. *Let $H \subset O(n)$ be a compact subgroup. We assume that H do not acts transitively on S^{n-1} and that*

$$1 \leq b = \inf_{x \in S^{n-1}} \dim H \cdot x.$$

Let $0 < p \leq \infty$. We assume that $s > (n - b)/p$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. Then for any $f \in R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists a trace \tilde{f} of f on S_R^{n-1} and

$$\tilde{f} \in B_{p_1,q}^{\tilde{s}}(S^{n-1})$$

with $p \leq p_1 \leq \infty$ and $\tilde{s} = s - 1/p_1 - (n - b)(1/p - 1/p_1)$. Moreover,

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{B_{p_1,q}^{\tilde{s}}(S^{n-1})} \leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(S^{n-1})},$$

where $\sigma = b(1/p - 1/p_1)$.

Remark 12. 1) If $p_1 = p$ then $\tilde{s} = s - 1/p$. So we get the usual trace smoothness.

2) If $p < p_1$ then $\tilde{s} > s - 1/p$. In particular if $p_1 = \infty$ then $\tilde{s} = s - (n - b)/p$. So the function \tilde{f} is Hölder continuous on S^{n-1} with smoothness coefficient $s - (n - b)/p > 0$.

Proof. The sphere S^{n-1} is a compact manifold so we can use the atomic decomposition of the functions spaces on manifolds with bounded geometry. We refer to [21] for details. The function f is continuous since $s > (n - b)/p$, cf. Lemma 3. Once more we regard only the main part of f near S^{n-1} . It is given by the function

$$f^M(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{C(j,k_j)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{C(j,k_j,m)} s_{j,k_j,m} a_{j,k_j,m,\ell}(y),$$

where $2^{-j} k_j \sim 1$. In fact, $f(x)$ is a finite sum of functions of the above type.

We note that there is a constant $C > 0$

$$C(j, k_j, m) \geq C 2^{jb},$$

cf. (25). Let $\sigma = b(1/p - 1/p_1)$. We put

$$\tilde{a}_{j,k_j,m,\ell} = 2^{-j\sigma} a_{j,k_j,m,\ell}|_{S^{n-1}},$$

$$\tilde{s}_{j,k_j,m} = 2^{j\sigma} s_{j,k_j,m}.$$

The above functions are (\tilde{s}, p_1) -atoms on S^{n-1} . Since, $\tilde{s} > 0$ no moment for atoms is needed, cf. [21].

Let

$$\widetilde{f^M}(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{C(j,k_j)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{C(j,k_j,m)} \widetilde{s}_{j,k_j,m} \widetilde{a}_{j,k_j,m,\ell}(y).$$

The last decomposition is an atomic decomposition of $\widetilde{f^M}$ on S^{n-1} , since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{C(j,k_j)} C(j,k_j,m) |\widetilde{s}_{j,k_j,m}|^{p_1} \right)^{q/p_1} \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{C(j,k_j)} C(j,k_j,m) |s_{j,k_j,m}|^p \right)^{q/p} \right)^{1/q} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

But the last inequalities and the atomic decomposition theorem imply

$$\|\widetilde{f^M} | B_{p_1,q}^{\widetilde{s}}(S^{n-1})\| \leq C \|f^M | B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\|.$$

It follows from Lemma 3 that $\widetilde{f^M}$ is a trace of f^M on S^{n-1} . This finishes the proof.

The last two lemmas give us the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let $H \subset O(n)$ be a compact subgroup and $b = \inf_{x \in S^{n-1}} \dim H \cdot x$. Let $0 < p \leq \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. We assume that $s > (n - b)/p$. Let ψ be a radial smooth cut-off function supported around the origin and satisfying $\psi(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$. Then for any $\lambda > 0$ and any $f \in R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the function $(1 - \psi(\lambda \cdot)) f \in B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $s_0 = s - (n - b)/p$. Moreover, the operator $R_H B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni f \mapsto (1 - \psi(\lambda \cdot)) f \in B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded.*

Proof.

Step 1. Let us choose a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| = \lambda^{-1}$. Let $x = (\lambda^{-1}, \theta_o)$ be the polar coordinates of x . For $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda^{-1}/2$ we defined the neighborhood U_ε of x by

$$(59) \quad U_\varepsilon = \{(r, \theta) : |r - \lambda^{-1}| < \varepsilon, |\theta - \theta_o| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a smooth compactly supported function such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset B(x, \delta) \subset U_\varepsilon$, $0 < \delta$.

Let Ψ be a diffeomorphism of U_ε onto $(0, 1)^n$ such that:

- the lines $r \longrightarrow (r, \theta)$ are mapped onto the lines parallel to the x_1 -axis,
- the surfaces of the spheres centered at the origin are mapped to the hyperplanes orthogonal to the x_1 -axis.

If a function f is supported in U_ε than by the diffeomorphism properties of the Besov spaces a function $f \in B_{\infty, \infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\tilde{f} = f \circ \Psi^{-1} \in B_{\infty, \infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, cf. [23, Theorem 2.10.2]. Lemma 4 implies that for any $\tilde{x} \in (0, 1)^{n-1}$ the function $\tilde{f}(\cdot, \tilde{x})$ is an element of $B_{\infty, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\|\tilde{f}(\cdot, \tilde{x}) | B_{\infty, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R})\| \leq C \|f | B_{p, q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\|.$$

Here the constant C depend on λ but not \tilde{x} . In the similar way, Lemma 5 and (61) implies that for any $x_1 \in (0, 1)$ the function $\tilde{f}(x_1, \cdot)$ is an element of $B_{\infty, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ and $B_{\infty, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\|\tilde{f}(x_1, \cdot) | B_{\infty, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})\| \leq C \|f | B_{p, q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\|.$$

Now the Fubini type theorem for Besov spaces implies that $\tilde{f} \in B_{\infty, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, cf. [23, Theorem 2.5.13]. Thus $f \in B_{\infty, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$(60) \quad \|f | B_{\infty, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \leq C \|f | B_{p, q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\|.$$

By rotations the last inequality holds for any choosen x , $|x| = \lambda^{-1}$, with the same constant C .

Step 2. If the function f is supported in the set $U_{\varepsilon, R} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x = Ry, y \in U_\varepsilon\}$ then the usual scaling argument with dilations, cf. [8, Theorem 2.3.1], implies

$$(61) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f | B_{\infty, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)\| &\leq C R^{-s_0} \|f(R\cdot) | B_{\infty, \infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \\ &\leq C R^{-s_0} \|f(R\cdot) | B_{p, q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \\ &\leq C R^{s-s_0-n/p} \|f | B_{p, q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \\ &= C R^{-b/p} \|f | B_{p, q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\|, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant depending on λ but independent of R and f .

We can cover the annulus $B(0, 3\lambda^{-1}/2) \setminus B(0, \lambda^{-1}/2)$ by the finite family of the set of the type (59) and then we can cover $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, \lambda^{-1}/2)$ by the dilatations of these sets. One can find also a resolution of unity corresponding to the above covering with the following property: if a function

ϕ is supported in $U_{\varepsilon,R}$ then $|\partial^\alpha \phi(R\cdot)| \leq C_\alpha$ for any multi-index α . Now the theorem follows by the localization property for the space $B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 13. Since we can control the constants in the last proof we can state even more. Namely the following inequality

$$\|f\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C R^{-b/p} \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

holds for any H -invariant function f with $\text{supp } f \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,R)$, $R > 0$. The constant C is independent of f and R .

References.

- [1] BERESTYCKI, B., LIONS, P.-L., Existence of a ground state in nonlinear equations of the type Klein-Gordon. In: *Variational inequalities and complementary theory and applications*. Wiley, 1979.
- [2] BREDON, G. L., *Introduction to compact transformation groups*. Academic Press, 1972.
- [3] CHAVEL, I., *Riemannian Geometry Modern Introduction*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.
- [4] CHEEGER, J., EBIN, D. G., *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry*. North-Holland, 1975.
- [5] COLEMAN, S., GLAZER, V., MARTIN, A., Action minima among solutions to a class of euclidean scalar field equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **58** (1978), 211-221.
- [6] DING YI, Non-radial solutions of semilinear elliptic equations. *Math. Acta Sci.* **10** (1990), 229-239.
- [7] EBIHARA, Y., SCHONBEK, T. P., On the (non)compactness of the radial Sobolev spaces. *Hiroshima Math. J.* **16** (1986), 665-669.
- [8] EDMUNDS, D. E., TRIEBEL, H., *Function spaces, entropy numbers and differential operators*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1966.
- [9] FRAZIER, M., JAWERTH, B., Decomposition of Besov spaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **34** (1985), 777-799.
- [10] FRAZIER, M., JAWERTH, B., A discrete transform and decomposition of distribution spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **93** (1990), 34-170.
- [11] HEBEY, E., VAUGON, M., Sobolev spaces in the presence of symmetries. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **76** (1997), 859-881.
- [12] HELGASON, S., *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces*. Academic Press, 1978.
- [13] HELGASON, S., *Geometric Analysis on Symmetric Spaces*. Math. Surveys and Monographs. A. M. S., 1994.

- [14] JAWERTH, B., Some observations on Besov and Lizorkin-Triebel spaces. *Math. Scan.* **40** (1977), 94-104.
- [15] KUZIN, I., Existence theorems for nonlinear elliptic problems in \mathbb{R}^N in the class of block-radial functions. *Differential Equations* **30** (1994), 637-646.
- [16] KUZIN, I., POHOZAEV, S., *Entire solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*. Birkhäuser, 1997.
- [17] LIONS, P.-L., Symétrie et compacité dans les espaces de Sobolev. *J. Funct. Anal.* **49** (1982), 315-334.
- [18] PEETRE, J., *New Thoughts on Besov spaces*. Duke Univ. Math. Series. Duke Univ., 1976.
- [19] SICKEL, W., SKRZYPCZAK, L., Radial subspaces of Besov and Lizorkin-Triebel classes: extended Strauss lemma and compactness of embedding. *J. Fourier Analysis Appl.* **6** (2000), 639-662.
- [20] SICKEL, W., TRIEBEL, H., Hölder inequality and sharp embeddings in function spaces of B_{pq}^s and F_{pq}^s type. *Z. Anal. Anwendungen* **14** (1995), 105-140.
- [21] SKRZYPCZAK, L., Atomic decompositions on manifolds with bounded geometry. *Forum Math.* **10** (1998), 19-38.
- [22] STRAUSS, W. A., Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **55** (1977), 149-162.
- [23] TRIEBEL, H., *Theory of Function Spaces*. Birkhäuser, 1983.
- [24] TRIEBEL, H., *Theory of Function Spaces II*. Birkhäuser, 1992.

Recibido: 15 de febrero de 2000

Revisado: 30 de abril de 2001

Leszek Skrzypczak
 Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
 A. Mickiewicz University
 Matejki 48-49
 60-769 Poznań, POLAND
 lskrzyp@amu.edu.pl