# Optimal Orlicz-Sobolev embeddings

#### **Andrea Cianchi**

#### **Abstract**

An embedding theorem for the Orlicz-Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$ ,<br> $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  into a space of Orlicz-Lorentz type is established for any  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , into a space of Orlicz-Lorentz type is established for any given Young function *A*. Such a space is shown to be the best possible among all rearrangement invariant spaces. A version of the theorem for anisotropic spaces is also exhibited. In particular, our results recover and provide a unified framework for various well-known Sobolev type embeddings, including the classical inequalities for the standard Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,p}(G)$  by O'Neil and by Peetre  $(1 \leq p < n)$ , and by Brezis Wainger and by Hansson  $(n - n)$ Brezis-Wainger and by Hansson  $(p = n)$ .

# **1. Introduction and main results**

A form of the standard Sobolev inequality, also called Sobolev embedding theorem, amounts to the following statement. Let  $G$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $W_0^{1,p}(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , denote the first order Sobolev space of those real-valued weakly differentiable functions in  $G$ , vanishing on  $\partial G$ , whose gradient belongs to  $L^p(G)$ . If  $1 \leq p < n$ , then a constant C, depending only on  $n$  and  $p$  exists such that

$$
(1.1) \t\t\t ||u||_{L^{p^*}(G)} \leq C |||\nabla u|||_{L^p(G)}
$$

for every  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(G)$ . Here

$$
p^* = \frac{np}{n-p},
$$

the Sobolev conjugate of p, and  $\nabla$  stands for gradient (see e.g. [24, 34]).

*<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E35, 46E30.

*Keywords*: Sobolev inequalities, Orlicz spaces, rearrangement invariant spaces, interpolation.

If  $p > n$  and the Lebesgue measure |G| of G is finite, then there exists a constant C, depending on n, p and  $|G|$ , such that

(1.2) 
$$
||u||_{L^{\infty}(G)} \leq C|||\nabla u|||_{L^{p}(G)}
$$

for every  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(G)$ .

In the borderline situation where  $p = n$ , inequality (1.1) is known to hold, for every G having finite measure, with  $p^*$  replaced by any number greater than or equal to 1. However, a stronger result, proved in [31] (see also [27, 33]) is available. Namely,

(1.3) 
$$
||u||_{\exp L^{n'}(G)} \leq C|||\nabla u|||_{L^{n}(G)}
$$

for some constant C, depending only on n and |G|, and for every  $u \in$  $W_0^{1,n}(G)$ . Here,  $\exp L^{n'}(G)$  denotes the Orlicz space associated with the Young function  $\exp^{n'}(s) = e^{s^{n'}} - 1$ , and  $n' = \frac{n}{n-1}$ , the Hölder conjugate of *n*.<br>Throughout the paper, a function  $A : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  will be called a Young<br>function if it is convex (non trivial), left-continuous and Throughout the paper, a function  $A : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  will be called a Young function if it is convex (non trivial), left-continuous and vanishes at 0; thus, any Young function A admits the representation

(1.4) 
$$
A(s) = \int_0^s a(r) dr \quad \text{for } s \ge 0,
$$

where  $a : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  is a non-decreasing, left-continuous function,<br>which is neither identically equal to 0 nor to  $\infty$ . The Orlicz space  $L^A(G)$  is<br>the Banach space of those real-valued measurable functions u in G which is neither identically equal to 0 nor to  $\infty$ . The Orlicz space  $L^A(G)$  is the Banach space of those real-valued measurable functions  $u$  in  $G$  for which the Luxemburg norm

(1.5) 
$$
||u||_{L^{A}(G)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{G} A\left(\frac{|u|}{\lambda}\right) dx \le 1 \right\}
$$

is finite. Note that  $L^A(G) = L^p(G)$  if  $A(s) = s^p$  for some  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ; moreover,  $L^A(G) = L^{\infty}(G)$  (up to equivalent norms) if  $A(s)$  is any Young function which vanishes for small s and equals  $\infty$  for large s.

After the contributions of [16] and [1], and the result of [29] dealing with embeddings into  $L^{\infty}(G)$ , in [10] we established a sharp extension of inequalities  $(1.1)–(1.3)$  to the case where Lebesgue norms are replaced by any Orlicz norm on the right-hand side. This result, in an equivalent form contained in [11]–[14], can be stated as follows. Let G be either  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , or any open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  having finite measure. Given any Young function A such that any Orlicz norm on the rig<br>contained in [11]-[14], can<br>any open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  havi<br>such that<br>(1.6)

(1.6) 
$$
\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dr < \infty,
$$

define  $A_n : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  as

(1.7) 
$$
A_n(s) = A \circ H_n^{-1}(s) \text{ for } s \ge 0,
$$

where  $H_n^{-1}$  is the (generalized) left-continuous inverse of the function  $H_n$ :<br>[0, so]  $\frac{1}{n}$  is the solution by  $[0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,\infty)$  given by

(1.7) 
$$
A_n(s) = A \circ H_n^{-1}(s) \text{ for } s \ge 0,
$$
  
where  $H_n^{-1}$  is the (generalized) left-continuous inverse of the  
 $[0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  given by  

$$
H_n(r) = \left(\int_0^r \left(\frac{t}{A(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{n'}} \text{ for } r \ge 0
$$

(and  $A(\infty)$  is taken equal to  $\infty$ ). Then  $A_n$  is a Young function and there exists a constant C such that

(1.9) 
$$
||u||_{L^{A_n}(G)} \leq C|||\nabla u|||_{L^{A}(G)}
$$

for every function u from the Orlicz-Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$  of those weakly differentiable functions in G, which decay to 0 on  $\partial G$ , and whose gradient belongs to the Orlicz space  $L^A(G)$ . Moreover,  $L^{A_n}(G)$  is the optimal Orlicz range space in  $(1.9)$ , in the sense that if an inequality of type  $(1.9)$  holds with  $L^{A_n}(G)$  replaced by some other Orlicz space, then the latter must contain  $L^{A_n}(G)$ .

In particular, inequality  $(1.9)$  reproduces  $(1.1)–(1.3)$ , and informs us about the fact that the spaces on the left-hand sides of  $(1.1)–(1.3)$  are the best possible in the framework of Orlicz spaces.

On the other hand, inequalities (1.1) and (1.3) can be improved if the class of admissible norms on the left-hand sides is enlarged. Indeed, if  $1 \leq$  $p < n$ , then there exists a constant C such that

$$
(1.10) \t\t\t ||u||_{L^{p^*,p}(G)} \leq C|||\nabla u|||_{L^p(G)}
$$

for every  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(G)$ , where  $L^{p^*,p}(G)$  is a Lorentz space ([25, 26]). Recall that the Lorentz space  $L^{p,q}(G)$ , where either  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$  are that the Lorentz space  $L^{p,q}(G)$ , where either  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 \le q \le \infty$ , or  $p = q = \infty$ , is the space of all real-valued measurable functions u in G such that the quantity

(1.11) 
$$
||u||_{L^{p,q}(G)} = ||s^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}u^*(s)||_{L^q(0,|G|)}
$$

is finite. Here,  $u^*$  denotes the decreasing rearrangement of u. Inequality (1.10) is stronger than (1.1), since  $L^{p^*,p}(G)$  is strictly contained in  $L^{p^*}(G)$ for every  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

The improved version of (1.3) was obtained in [8] and [21] (and can also be derived from certain capacitary estimates of [24]) and tells us that, if  $|G| < \infty$ , then for some positive constant C and for every  $u \in W_0^{1,n}(G)$ ,

$$
(1.12) \t\t ||u||_{L^{\infty,n}(\log L)^{-1}(G)} \leq C|||\nabla u||_{L^{n}(G)}
$$

where  $L^{\infty,n}(\log L)^{-1}(G)$  is a Lorentz-Zygmund space.

In general, if  $|G| < \infty$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and either  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 \le q \le \infty$ , or  $p = q = \infty$ , then the Lorentz-Zygmund space  $L^{p,q}(\log L)^{\alpha}(G)$  is defined as the space of all real-valued measurable functions  $u$  in  $G$  such that the expression In general, if  $|G| < \infty$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and either  $1 < p < \infty$  and<br>or  $p = q = \infty$ , then the Lorentz-Zygmund space  $L^{p,q}(\log L)^{\alpha}$ <br>as the space of all real-valued measurable functions u in G<br>expression<br>(1.13)  $||u||_{L^{p,q}(\log L)^{\alpha}(G$ 

$$
(1.13) \t\t ||u||_{L^{p,q}(\log L)^{\alpha}(G)} = ||s^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}(1+\log(|G|/s))^{\alpha}u^*(s)||_{L^q(0,|G|)}
$$

is finite. Again, one can show that  $L^{\infty,n}(\log L)^{-1}(G)$  is strictly contained in  $\exp L^{n'}(G)$ .

The spaces  $L^{p^*,p}(G)$  and  $L^{\infty,n}(\log L)^{-1}(G)$  are known to be the best possible in inequalities (1.10) and (1.12), respectively, among all the so-called rearrangement invariant (briefly, r.i.) spaces —see [15, 18]. Loosely speaking, these are the Banach function spaces where the norm of the function depends only on its size, in the sense that it depends only on the measure of its level sets or, equivalently, on its decreasing rearrangement. Since  $L^{\infty}(G)$ is contained in any other r.i. space on G if  $|G| < \infty$ , inequality (1.2) is also the best possible as far as r.i. range spaces are concerned (although it can be strengthened on allowing norms of a different nature, such as Hölder norms, on the left-hand side). Therefore, inequalities  $(1.1)–(1.3)$  answer the question of the optimal r.i. range space in Sobolev inequalities when the domain space is  $W_0^{1,p}(G)$ .

In the present paper we solve an analogous problem in the more general context of Orlicz-Sobolev domains  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$ . Namely, given a Young<br>function A we find the smallest r.j. space into which  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$  is continuous function A, we find the smallest r.i. space into which  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$  is continuously embedded. The solution to this problem is provided by a space of uosly embedded. The solution to this problem is provided by a space of Orlicz-Lorentz type on G (or by its intersection with  $L^{\infty}(G)$ ) from the family defined as follows, which includes (up to equivalent norms) the spaces  $L^{p^*,p}(G)$  and  $L^{\infty,n}(\log L)^{-1}(G)$  —see Example 1.1 below.

**Definition 1.1** Let G be a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $q \in (1, \infty)$ and let D be any Young function such that  $L^{p^r,p}(G)$  and  $L^{\infty,n}(\log L)^{-1}(G)$  —see<br> **Definition 1.1** Let G be a measural<br>
and let D be any Young function such<br>
(1.14)

(1.14) 
$$
\int^{\infty} \frac{D(r)}{r^{1+q}} dr < \infty.
$$

Then we define  $L(q, D)(G)$  as the space of real-valued measurable functions  $u$  on  $G$  for which the quantity

(1.15) 
$$
||u||_{L(q,D)(G)} = ||s^{-\frac{1}{q}}u^*(s)||_{L^D(0,|G|)}
$$

is finite.

Proposition 2.1, Section 2, ensures that  $L(q, D)(G)$  is an r.i. space and that the expression  $||u||_{L(q,D)(G)}$  is actually a norm on  $L(q,D)(G)$ . Hence, also  $L(q, D)(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G)$ , endowed with the norm

$$
(1.16) \t\t ||u||_{L(q,D)(G)\cap L^{\infty}(G)} = ||s^{-\frac{1}{q}}u^{*}(s)||_{L^{D}(0,|G|)} + ||u||_{L^{\infty}(G)},
$$

is an r.i. space on G. Observe that  $L(q, D)(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G)$  trivially equals  $L^{\infty}(G)$  whenever  $|G| < \infty$ .

The function  $B_{A,n}$  associated with A and n as in the following definition plays a role in our embeddings. The function  $B_{A,n}$  assoc<br>plays a role in our embeddi<br>**Definition 1.2** Let  $q \in (1,$ <br>(1.17)

**Definition 1.2** Let  $q \in (1,\infty)$  and let A be any Young function A satisfying

(1.17) 
$$
\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr < \infty.
$$
  
We define the function  $B_{A,q}: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  as  

$$
B_{A,q}(s) = \int_0^s b(r) dr,
$$

We define the function  $B_{A,q}: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  as

$$
B_{A,q}(s) = \int_0^s b(r) dr,
$$

where b is the left-continuous function in  $[0, \infty)$  such that

$$
B_{A,q}(s) = \int_0^s b(r) dr,
$$
  
where *b* is the left-continuous function in  $[0, \infty)$  such that  
(1.18) 
$$
b^{-1}(s) = \left(\int_{a^{-1}(s)}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr\right)^{-q} \frac{dt}{a(t)^{q'}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}
$$
 for  $s \ge 0$ .

Here, *a* is the function appearing in (1.4), and  $a^{-1}$  and  $b^{-1}$  are the (generalized) left-continuous inverses of a and b.

It turns out that  $B_{A,q}$  is always a (finite-valued) Young function satisfying condition (1.14) (Proposition 2.2, Section 2), and hence  $L(q, B_{A,q})(G)$  and  $L(q, B_{A,q})(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G)$  are r.i. spaces.

**Example 1.1** If  $A(s) = s^p$ , with  $1 \leq p \leq n$ , then  $B_{A,n}(s)$  is equivalent to  $s^p$ , and hence

$$
L(n, B_{A,n})(G) = L(n, A)(G) = L(p^*, p)(G),
$$

up to equivalent norms. If  $A(s) = s^n$  for large s and satisfies (1.6), then  $B_{A,n}(s)$  is equivalent to  $s^n \log^{-n}(1+s)$  near infinity, and by [4, Lemma 6.12, Chapter 4]

$$
L(n, B_{A,n})(G) = L^{\infty,n}(\log L)^{-1}(G),
$$

up to equivalent equivalent norms, provided that  $|G| < \infty$ .

Our main result reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let*  $n \geq 2$  *and let* G *be either*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ *, or an open subset of*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ *having finite measure. Let* A *be any Young function satisfying (1.6).* **I.** *If* Theorem 1.1 Let  $n \geq 2$  and let<br>having finite measure. Let A be an<br>**I.** If<br>(1.19)  $\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{4\sqrt{r}}\right)$ 

(1.19) 
$$
\int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dr = \infty,
$$

*then there exists a constant*  $C_1$ *, depending only on n, such that* 

$$
(1.20) \t\t\t ||u||_{L(n,B_{A,n})(G)} \leq C_1 |||\nabla u|||_{L^A(G)}
$$

*for every*  $u \in W_0^{1,A}(G)$ .

**II.** *If*

(1.20) 
$$
||u||_{L(n, B_{A,n})(G)} \leq C_1 |||\nabla u|||_{L^A(G)}
$$
  
for every  $u \in W_0^{1,A}(G)$ .  
**II.** If  
(1.21) 
$$
\int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dr < \infty,
$$
  
then there exists a constant  $C_2$ , depending only on n and  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{A}(r)}{r^{1+n}}$ 

 $\frac{A(r)}{r^{1+n'}}$  dr, such *that for every*  $u \in W_0^{1,A}(G)$ . Here,  $\widetilde{A}$  denotes the Young conjugate of A.<br>  $\mathcal{M}(G) \subset W_0^{1,A}(G)$ . Here,  $\widetilde{A}$  denotes the Young conjugate of A.

$$
(1.22) \t\t\t ||u||_{L(n,B_{A,n})(G)\cap L^{\infty}(G)} \leq C_2 |||\nabla u|||_{L^A(G)}
$$

*Moreover,*  $L(n, B_{A,n})(G)$  *and*  $L(n, B_{A,n})(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G)$  *are the optimal r.i. range spaces in inequalities (1.20) and (1.22), respectively, in the sense that if* (1.20) [*resp.* (1.22)] *holds with*  $L(n, B_{A,n})(G)$  [ $L(n, B_{A,n})(G) ∩ L^{\infty}(G)$ ] *replaced by some other r.i. space* X(G)*, then*

$$
L(n, B_{A,n})(G) \subseteq X(G) \quad [L(n, B_{A,n})(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G) \subseteq X(G)],
$$

*with continuous inclusion.*

**Remark 1.1** Assumption (1.6) is indispensable for an inequality of the type

$$
||u||_{X(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C|||\nabla u|||_{L^A(\mathbb{R}^n)}
$$

to hold for some r.i. space  $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for some constant C and for every  $u \in W_0^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , as shown by Corollary 2.1, Section 2. When  $|G| < \infty$ , assumption (1.6) is irrelevant in Theorem 1.1. Actually, A can be replaced, if necessary, by another Young function which is equivalent to  $A$  near infinity and makes the integral in (1.6) converge. Such a replacement turns  $\|\cdot\|_{L^A(G)}$  into an equivalent norm, up to multiplicative constants depending on A and  $|G|$ , and hence leaves  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$  unchanged.

**Remark 1.2** Inequality (1.20) is equivalent to the integral inequality

OPTIMAL ORLICZ-SOBOLEV EN

\n**Remark 1.2** Inequality (1.20) is equivalent to the integral

\n(1.23)

\n
$$
\int_0^{|G|} B_{A,n} \left( C_1^{-1} s^{-1/n} u^*(s) \right) ds \leq \int_G A(|\nabla u|) \, dx
$$

for every  $u \in W_0^{1,A}(G)$ . Indeed, (1.23) implies (1.20) by the very definition of Luxemburg norm in Orligg spaces. Conversely, on applying (1.20) with of Luxemburg norm in Orlicz spaces. Conversely, on applying (1.20) with (1.23)  $\int_0^{|G|} B_{A,n} (C_1^{-1} s^{-1/n} u^*(s)) ds$ <br>for every  $u \in W_0^{1,A}(G)$ . Indeed, (1.23) im<br>of Luxemburg norm in Orlicz spaces. Co<br> $A(s)$  replaced by  $A(s)/M$ , where  $M = \int$  $A(s)$  replaced by  $A(s)/M$ , where  $M = \int_G A(|\nabla u|) dx$ , and observing that  $B_{A,n}(s)$  is transformed into  $B_{A,n}(s)/M$  after this replacement, one easily obtains (1.23).

**Remark 1.3** If  $|G| < \infty$  and (1.21) is in force, then Theorem 1.1, Part II, reproduces the fact that  $L^{\infty}(G)$  is the optimal r.i. range space for embeddings of  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$  ([10, 29]).

**Remark 1.4** Obviously, Theorem 1.1 and inequality (1.9) tell us that either  $L(n, B_{A,n})(G)$  or  $L(n, B_{A,n})(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G)$  is (continuously) included in  $L^{A_n}(G)$ , according to whether (1.19) or (1.21) is fulfilled. However, also a direct proof of these inclusions can be accomplished, by techniques similar to those which will be used to prove the optimality of Theorem 1.1 in Section 4. This enables to recover inequality (1.9) via Theorem 1.1.

In view of Example 1.1, one is led to expect that  $B_{A,n}$  is equivalent to A in sub-limiting cases, but that  $B_{A,n}$  grows more slowly than A in borderline situations. This is actually the case. Indeed, one can show that A always dominates  $B_{A,n}$  (Proposition 5.1, Section 5), and that A and  $B_{A,n}$ are equivalent if and only if  $A(s)$  is essentially below  $s^n$ . A precise statement of the latter assertion is given in Proposition 5.2, Section 5, and involves the<br>notion of Matuzewska-Orlicz indices. Recall that if  $\phi$  is a real-valued, in-<br>creasing and strictly positive function in  $(0, \infty)$ , the upp notion of Matuzewska-Orlicz indices. Recall that if  $\phi$  is a real-valued, increasing and strictly positive function in  $(0, \infty)$ , the upper index  $I(\phi)$  of  $\phi$ is defined as

(1.24) 
$$
I(\phi) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{\log \left( \sup_{s>0} \frac{\phi(\lambda s)}{\phi(s)} \right)}{\log \lambda}.
$$

The upper index at infinity  $I_{\infty}(\phi)$  and the upper index at zero  $I_0(\phi)$  are defined analogously, with  $\sup_{s>0}$  replaced by  $\limsup_{s\to\infty}$  and  $\limsup_{s\to 0+}$ , respectively. The lower indices  $i(\phi)$ ,  $i_{\infty}(\phi)$  and  $i_{0}(\phi)$  are defined with sup<sub>s>0</sub>,  $\limsup_{s\to\infty}$  and  $\limsup_{s\to 0+}$  replaced by  $\inf_{s>0}$ ,  $\liminf_{s\to\infty}$  and  $\liminf_{s\to 0+}$ , respectively. Note that  $I_0(\phi)$  and  $i_0(\phi)$  are well defined even if  $\phi$  takes the value  $\infty$ . Combining Theorem 1.1 with Proposition 5.2 yields the following characterization of non-limiting optimal embeddings.

**Corollary 1.1** *Let*  $n > 2$  *and let* A *be any Young satisfying (1.6).* 

- **I.** *Assume that (1.19) holds. Then:*
	- (i)  $L(n, A)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *is the optimal r.i. range space for embeddings of*  $W_0^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ <br>*if and only if A is finite valued and*  $I(A) \le n$ . *if and only if* A *is finite-valued and*  $I(A) < n$ *;*
- *(ii)* Given any open set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  *having finite measure,*  $L(n, A)(G)$  *is the optimal r.i. range space for embeddings of*  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$  *into r.i. spaces if* and only if A is finite valued and  $I_n(A) \leq n$ *and only if* A *is finite-valued and*  $I_{\infty}(A) < n$ . *II. Also finite-valued and*  $I(A) < n$ ;<br>
(ii) Given any open set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  having finite measure,  $L(n, A)(G)$  is the optimal r.i. range space for embeddings of  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$  into r.i. spaces if and only if A is finite-val

**II.** Assume that (1.21) holds. Let  $\widehat{A}$  be any Young function which agrees with A near 0 and satisfies  $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{A}(r)}{r^{1+n}} dr < \infty$ . Then  $L(n, \widehat{A})(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ r embeddings of  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$  into r.i. spaces if<br>
r embeddings of  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$  into r.i. spaces if<br>
led and  $I_\infty(A) < n$ .<br>
Let  $\widehat{A}$  be any Young function which agrees<br>  $\frac{\widehat{A}(r)}{r^{1+n}}dr < \infty$ . Then  $L(n, \widehat{A})(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\$ *is the optimal r.i. range space for embeddings of*  $W_0^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *if and only if I(A)*  $\leq$  *n if*  $I_0(A) < n$ .

**Example 1.2** Let G be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  having finite measure. We shall exhibit the optimal Orlicz range space and the optimal r.i. range space for embeddings of  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$  when A is a Young function which equals  $e^{p} \log^{\alpha}(1+e)$  for large e, where either  $n-1$  and  $e \geq 0$ , or  $n > 1$  and  $e \in \mathbb{R}$  $s^p \log^{\alpha}(1+s)$  for large s, where either  $p=1$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , or  $p > 1$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let us mention that special instances of this example overlap with results scattered in various papers, including [2, 3, 8, 10, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 25, 26] *Case*  $1 \leq p \leq n$ . Condition (1.19) is fulfilled. The Young function  $A_n(s)$ is equivalent to  $s^{p^*} \log^{\frac{n\alpha}{n-p}}(1+s)$  near infinity; thus the Orlicz space associated with this Young function agrees with the optimal Orlicz range space  $L^{A_n}(G)$ . Since  $I_\infty(A) = p < n$ , then by Corollary 1.1 the optimal r.i. space is  $L(n, A)(G)$ . By [4, Lemma 6.12, Chap. 4], the latter space agrees (up to equivalent norms) with the Lorentz-Zygmund space  $L^{p^*,p}(\log L)^{\alpha/p}(G)$ . Notice that this reproduces (1.10) when  $\alpha = 0$ .

*Case*  $p = n$ ,  $\alpha < n-1$ . Condition (1.19) is in force. The optimal Orlicz range space  $L^{A_n}(G)$  equals the Orlicz space associated with the Young function

$$
e^{s^{\frac{n}{n-1-\alpha}}}-1.
$$

The Young function  $B_{A,n}(s)$  is equivalent to  $s^{n}(\log(1 + s))^{\alpha-n}$  near infinity. Thus, by [4, Lemma 6.12, Chap. 4], the optimal r.i. range space  $L(n, B_{A,n})(G)$  agrees with the Lorentz-Zygmund space  $L^{\infty,n}(\log L)^{\alpha/n-1}(G)$ . In the special case where  $\alpha = 0$ , this recovers (1.12).

*Case*  $p = n$ ,  $\alpha = n - 1$ . Condition (1.19) is satisfied. The Orlicz space associated with the Young function

$$
e^{e^{s^{n'}}}-e
$$

is the optimal Orlicz range space.

The Young function  $B_{A,n}(s)$  is equivalent to

$$
s^{n}(\log(1+s))^{-1}(\log(1+\log(1+s)))^{-n}
$$

near infinity. Hence, by a simple extension of [4, Lemma 6.12, Chap. 4], we deduce that the optimal r.i. range space  $L(n, B_{A,n})(G)$  agrees with the generalized Lorentz-Zygmund space  $L^{\infty,n}(\log L)^{-1/n}(\log \log L)^{-1}(G)$  equipped with the norm

$$
(1.25) \quad ||u||_{L^{\infty,n}(\log L)^{-1/n}(\log \log L)^{-1}(G)} =
$$
  
=  $||s^{-1/n}(1 + \log(|G|/s))^{-1/n}(1 + \log(1 + \log(|G|/s)))^{-1}u^*(s)||_{L^n(0, |G|)}.$ 

*Cases*  $p > n$ , or  $p = n$  *and*  $\alpha > n - 1$ . Condition (1.21) is now fulfilled. The Young function  $A_n(s)$  equals  $\infty$  for large s. Thus,  $L^{\infty}(G)$  is the optimal Orlicz range space, and also the optimal r.i. range space for embeddings of  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$ .

Theorem 1.1 can be extended to deal with anisotropic Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, involving Orlicz type norms depending on the full gradient of a function and not necessarily on its modulus – see e.g. [22, 32]. These norms are defined by means of generalized Young functions, namely of continuous convex functions  $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,\infty]$  satisfying  $\mathcal{A}(0) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(\xi) = \mathcal{A}(-\xi)$  and such that  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : A(\xi) \leq t\}$  is a compact set, whose interior contains 0, for every  $t > 0$ . The anisotropic Orlicz-Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$  is defined as the space of real-valued weakly differentiable functions  $u$  in the open set  $G$ , decaying to 0 on  $\partial G$ , for which the quantity such that  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{A}(\xi) \le t\}$  is a comp<br>for every  $t > 0$ . The anisotropic Orlicz-Sc<br>the space of real-valued weakly differentia<br>decaying to 0 on  $\partial G$ , for which the quant<br>(1.26)  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\mathcal{A}}(G)} = \inf \left\{\lambda >$ 

(1.26) 
$$
\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\mathcal{A}}(G)} = \inf \left\{\lambda > 0 : \int_{G} \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\lambda}\right) dx \le 1\right\}
$$
  
is finite. Simple instances of generalized Young functions are  
(1.27) 
$$
\mathcal{A}(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}(|\xi_{i}|) \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n},
$$

is finite. Simple instances of generalized Young functions are those obeying

(1.27) 
$$
\mathcal{A}(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i(|\xi_i|) \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,
$$

where  $A_i(s)$ ,  $i = 1, ..., n$  are Young functions and  $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n)$ . In particular, the choice  $A_i(s) = s^{p_i}$ , with  $p_i \geq 1$ , reproduces to the usual anisotropic Sobolev space (see e.g. [5, 30]). A less standard example (a modification of one from [32]) is provided, for  $n = 2$ , by the generalized Young function defined as

$$
(1.28) \qquad \mathcal{A}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^{p_1} + |\xi_1|^{p_2} \log^{\alpha}(k + |\xi_1|) \quad \text{for } (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2,
$$

where k is a sufficiently large constant,  $p_1 \geq 1$ , and either  $p_2 = 1$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ , or  $p_2 > 1, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Our embedding for the space  $W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(G)$  makes use of the Young function<br> $\cdot$  [0, 20] whose graph violds the profile of the graph of the  $\mathcal{A}_{\star} : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty]$  whose graph yields the profile of the graph of the symmetric rearrangement of A. In other words,  $A_{\star}$  is the Young function satisfying

$$
|\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{A}(\xi) \le t\}| = |\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{A}_\star(|\xi|) \le t\}| \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.
$$

In particular, it is not difficult to verify that, if  $A$  has the form  $(1.27)$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_{\star}(s)$  is equivalent to the function  $\mathcal{A}$  whose (right-continuous) inverse is given by  $\leq t$ }| = |{<br>lifficult to<br>the function<br> $(r) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \right)$ 

(1.29) 
$$
\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(r) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} A_i^{-1}(r)\right)^{1/n} \text{ for } r \ge 0.
$$

The anisotropic version of Theorem 1.1 can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.2** *Let*  $n \geq 2$ *, and let* G *be either*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ *, or an open subset of*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ *having finite measure. Let* A *be any generalized Young function satisfying* The anisotropic version of<br> **Theorem 1.2** Let  $n \ge 2$ ,<br>
having finite measure. Let<br>
(1.30)

(1.30)  
\n
$$
\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{\mathcal{A}_\star(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dr < \infty.
$$
\nLet  $B_{\mathcal{A}_\star,n}$  be given as in Definition 1.2, with A reg  
\n**I.** If  
\n(1.31)  
\n
$$
\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{r}{\mathcal{A}_\star(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dr = \infty
$$

Let  $B_{\mathcal{A}_{\star,n}}$  be given as in Definition 1.2, with A replaced by  $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$ . **I.** *If*

(1.31) 
$$
\int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{\mathcal{A}_{\star}(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dr = \infty,
$$

*then there exists a constant*  $C_1$ *, depending only on n, such that* 

(1.32) 
$$
||u||_{L(n, B_{\mathcal{A}_{\star},n}))(G)} \leq C_1 ||\nabla u||_{L^{\mathcal{A}}(G)}
$$

*for every*  $u \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(G)$ . **II.** *If*

(1.32) 
$$
||u||_{L(n, B_{A_{\star}, n}))(G} \leq C_1 ||\nabla u||_{L^A(G)}
$$
  
for every  $u \in W_0^{1,A}(G)$ .  
**II.** If  
(1.33) 
$$
\int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{\mathcal{A}_{\star}(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dr < \infty,
$$
  
then there exists a constant  $C_2$ , depending only on n and  $\int_0^{\infty}$ 

 $\frac{\mathcal{A}_{\star}(r)}{r^{1+n'}}$ *dr*, *such that* 

$$
(1.34) \t\t\t ||u||_{L(n,B_{\mathcal{A}_{\star},n})(G)\cap L^{\infty}(G)} \leq C_2 ||\nabla u||_{L^{\mathcal{A}_{\star}}(G)}
$$

*for every*  $u \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(G)$ .<br>*Moreover*  $L(n, B)$ .

*Moreover,*  $L(n, B_{\mathcal{A}_{\star},n})(G)$  and  $L(n, B_{\mathcal{A}_{\star},n})(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G)$  are the optimal r.i. *range spaces in inequalities (1.32) and (1.34), respectively, in the sense that if (1.32)* [*resp. (1.34)*] *holds, for every generalized Young function* A *having prescribed*  $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$ , with  $L(n, B_{\mathcal{A}_{\star},n})(G)$  [ $L(n, B_{\mathcal{A}_{\star},n})(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G)$ ] *replaced by some other r.i. space*  $X(G)$ *, then*  $L(n, B_{\mathcal{A}_{\star},n})(G) \subseteq X(G)$   $[L(n, B_{\mathcal{A}_{\star},n})(G) \cap$  $L^{\infty}(G) \subseteq X(G)$ , with continuous inclusion.

Remarks analogous to those following Theorem 1.1 hold for Theorem 1.2. Moreover, an inspection of the proof will reveal that  $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$  may be replaced in the statement of Theorem 1.2 by any equivalent Young function if  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$ , and by any equivalent Young function near infinity if  $|G| < \infty$ . In analogy with Corollary 1.1, a description of non-limiting situations in terms of the indices of  $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$  can be provided. Furthermore, inclusions like those described in Remark 1.4, involving  $A_{\star}$  instead of A, may be proved; hence, one can recover the optimal embedding, established in [14], for  $W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(G)$  into the Or-<br>liez grace associated with the Veung function  $(A)$ , defined as in  $(1, 7)$   $(1, 8)$ licz space associated with the Young function  $(\mathcal{A}_{\star})_n$  defined as in (1.7)-(1.8), with A replaced by  $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$ .

**Example 1.3** Let G be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that A has the form (1.27) with  $A_i(s) = s^{p_i}, p_i \ge 1$ . The function  $A_i(s)$  is then equivalent to A, defined by (1.29), and  $A(s) = s^{\overline{p}}$ , where  $\frac{1}{\overline{p}} = \frac{1}{n}$ <br>making use of Theorem 1.2 and of the subsequent rema .<br>ii<br>= n:  $p_i$ . Thus, on making use of Theorem 1.2 and of the subsequent remarks, and proceeding<br>as in Example 1.2, the following conclusions can be derived about optimal<br>embeddings of  $W_0^{1, \mathcal{A}}(G)$  as A ranges among all generalized Young fun as in Example 1.2, the following conclusions can be derived about optimal embeddings of  $W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(G)$  as  $\mathcal A$  ranges among all generalized Young functions with  $\mathcal{A}_{\star}(s)$  equivalent to  $s^{\overline{p}}$ .

.<br>\* =  $\frac{1}{p_i} > 1$ .  $L^{\bar{p}^*}(G)$  is the optimal Orlicz range space (see [5, 30]), and  $L(\overline{p}^*, \overline{p})(G)$  is the optimal r.i. range space ([5, 23, 28]). embeddined<br>with  $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$ (<br>*Case*  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ <br>*Case*  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ 

ົາດ້  $\frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ . If  $|G| < \infty$ , then  $\exp L^{n'}(G)$  is the optimal Orlicz range<br>  $\frac{1}{28}$ space ([22, 28]), and  $L^{\infty,n}(\log L)^{-1}(G)$  is the optimal r.i. range space.  $\begin{align} \n \text{Case} \sum_{i=1}^n \ \text{Case} \sum_{i=1}^n \ \text{Space} \ ( \big[ 2 \big] \ \text{Case} \ \sum_{i=1}^n \ \end{align}$ 

range space  $([5, 28])$ .  $\frac{1}{p_i}$  < 1. If  $|G| < \infty$ , then  $L^{\infty}(G)$  is the optimal Orlicz and r.i.

**Example 1.4** Let G be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  having finite measure. Consider the generalized Young function  $A$  given by  $(1.28)$ . It is not difficult to verify that  $\mathcal{A}_{\star}(s)$  is equivalent to

$$
s^{\frac{2p_1p_2}{p_1+p_2}} \log^{\frac{\alpha p_1}{p_1+p_2}}(1+s)
$$

near infinity (see e.g. [14]). Thus, Theorem 1.2 and the subsequent remarks, together with considerations similar to those of Example 1.2, yield the following information about optimal embeddings of  $W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(G)$ , when A is any<br>generalized Voung function with  $\mathcal{A}(g)$  equivalent to generalized Young function with  $A_{\star}(s)$  equivalent to

$$
s^{\frac{2p_1p_2}{p_1+p_2}} \log^{\frac{\alpha p_1}{p_1+p_2}}(1+s)
$$

near infinity.

*Case*  $p_1p_2 < p_1 + p_2$ . The optimal Orlicz range space is generated by the Young function

$$
s^{\frac{2p_1p_2}{p_1+p_2-p_1p_2}} \ \log^{\frac{\alpha p_1}{p_1+p_2-p_1p_2}}(1+s).
$$

The optimal r.i. range space agrees with the Lorentz-Zygmund space

$$
L^{\frac{2p_1p_2}{p_1+p_2-p_1p_2},\frac{2p_1p_2}{p_1+p_2}}(\log L)^{\frac{\alpha}{2p_2}}(G).
$$

*Case*  $p_1p_2 = p_1 + p_2$ ,  $\alpha p_1 < p_1 + p_2$ . The optimal Orlicz range space is generated by the Young function

$$
e^{s^{\frac{2(p_1+p_2)}{p_1+p_2-\alpha p_1}}}-1.
$$

The optimal r.i. range space is  $L^{\infty,2}(\log L)^{\frac{\alpha p_1}{2(p_1+p_2)}-1}(G)$ .

*Case*  $p_1p_2 = p_1 + p_2$ ,  $\alpha p_1 = p_1 + p_2$ . The space associated with the Young function  $e^{e^{s^2}} - e$  is the optimal Orlicz range space. The optimal r.i. range space agrees with the generalized Lorentz-Zygmund space

$$
L^{\infty,2}(\log L)^{-1/2}(\log \log L)^{-1}(G).
$$

*Cases*  $p_1p_2 > p_1 + p_2$ , or  $p_1p_2 = p_1 + p_2$  *and*  $\alpha p_1 > p_1 + p_2$ . The space  $L^{\infty}(G)$ is the optimal Orlicz and r.i. range space.

# **2. Preliminaries**

#### **2.1. Rearrangements and rearrangement invariant spaces**

Let G be a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let u be a real-valued measurable function in G. The *decreasing rearrangement* u<sup>∗</sup> of u is the function from  $[0, \infty)$  into  $[0, \infty]$  defined as

$$
(2.1) \t u^*(s) = \sup\{t \ge 0 : |\{x \in G : |u(x)| > t\}| > s\} \t for s \ge 0.
$$

It is easily verified that  $u^*$  is the unique non-increasing, right-continuous function in  $[0, \infty)$  which is equidistributed with u. Notice that  $[0, |G|] \supseteq$ supp  $u^*$ , the support of  $u^*$ .

Given  $u \in L^1_{loc}(G)$ , the elementary maximal function  $u^{**}$  associated<br>b  $u^{**}$  is defined as with  $u^*$  is defined as

(2.2) 
$$
u^{**}(s) = \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s u^*(r) dr \quad \text{for } s > 0.
$$

Since  $u^*$  is non-increasing,  $u^{**}$  is also non-increasing and  $u^* \leq u^{**}$ . Recall that if  $u, v \in L^1_{loc}(G)$ , then

(2.3) 
$$
(u+v)^{**}(s) \le u^{**}(s) + v^{**}(s) \quad \text{for } s > 0.
$$

Moreover,

that if 
$$
u, v \in L_{loc}^1(G)
$$
, then  
\n(2.3)  $(u + v)^{**}(s) \le u^{**}(s) + v^{**}(s)$  for  $s > 0$ .  
\nMoreover,  
\n(2.4) if  $u^{**}(s) \le v^{**}(s)$  for  $s > 0$ , then  $\int_0^\infty u^*(s)g(s) ds \le \int_0^\infty v^*(s)g(s) ds$ 

for every non-increasing function  $g : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ .

A basic property of rearrangements is the Hardy-Littlewood inequality, which tells us that for every non-increasing<br>A basic property of which tells us that<br> $(2.5)$ 

(2.5) 
$$
\int_{G} |uv| dx \leq \int_{0}^{|G|} u^{*}(r)v^{*}(r) dr
$$

for all measurable functions  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ .

A set X(G) of real-valued measurable functions in G is called a *rearrangement invariant Banach function space* if it is a linear space equipped with a norm  $\|\cdot\|_{X(G)}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (P1) if  $0 \le v \le u$  a.e. in G and  $u \in X(G)$ , then  $v \in X(G)$  and  $||v||_{X(G)} \le$  $||u||_{X(G)};$
- (P2) if  $u_n$  is a sequence such that  $0 \le u_n \nearrow u$  a.e. in G and  $u \in X(G)$ , then  $||u_n||_{X(G)} \nearrow ||u||_{X(G)}$ ;
- (P3)  $\|\chi_E\|_{X(G)} < \infty$  for every  $E \subseteq G$  such that  $|E| < \infty$ ; here,  $\chi_E$  denotes the characteristic function of the set  $E$ ;
- (P4) for every  $E \subseteq G$  with  $|E| < \infty$ , there exists a constant C such that  $\int_E u dx \leq C ||u||_{X(G)}$  for all  $u \in X(G)$ ;
- (P5) if  $u \in X(G)$  and  $v^* = u^*$ , then  $v \in X(G)$  and  $||v||_{X(G)} = ||u||_{X(G)}$ .

The *associate space*  $X'(G)$  of  $X(G)$  is defined as

$$
u_x \cdot u_x \leq C \|u\|_{X(G)}
$$
 for all  $u \in X(G)$ ;  
\n
$$
u \in X(G)
$$
 and  $v^* = u^*$ , then  $v \in X(G)$  and  $||v||_{X(G)} = ||u||_{X(G)}$   
\n*associate space*  $X'(G)$  of  $X(G)$  is defined as  
\n
$$
X'(G) = \{v : v \text{ is a real-valued measurable function in } G
$$
  
\nand 
$$
\int_G |uv| dx < \infty \text{ for all } u \in X(G) \},
$$

and is an r.i. space endowed with the norm

(2.6) 
$$
||v||_{X'(G)} = \sup_{u \neq 0} \frac{\int |uv| dx}{||u||_{X(G)}}.
$$

# 440 A. CIANCHI

For every r.i. space  $X(G)$ ,

(2.7) 
$$
(X')'(G) = X(G),
$$

with equal norms. The Hölder type inequality

(2.7) 
$$
(X')'(G) = X(G),
$$
  
with equal norms. The Hölder type inequality  
(2.8) 
$$
\int_G |uv|dx \le ||u||_{X(G)} ||v||_{X'(G)}
$$

holds for every  $u \in X(G)$  and  $v \in X'(G)$ , and is an obvious consequence of definition (2.6).

The *representation space*  $\overline{X}(0, |G|)$  of  $X(G)$  is the unique r.i. space on  $[0, |G|]$  satisfying

(2.9) 
$$
||u||_{X(G)} = ||u^*||_{\overline{X}(0,|G|)}
$$

for every  $u \in X(G)$ . It is equipped with the norm

$$
||f||_{\overline{X}(0,|G|)} = \sup_{||v||_{X'(G)} \le 1} \int_0^{|G|} f^*(r)v^*(r) dr.
$$

A property of r.i. spaces states that if  $X_1(G)$  and  $X_2(G)$  are r.i. spaces, then

$$
(2.10) \t X_1(G) \subseteq X_2(G) \t if and only if  $||u||_{X_2(G)} \le C||u||_{X_1(G)}$
$$

for some positive constant C and for every  $u \in X_1(G)$ .

For a detailed treatment of the theory of r.i. spaces, we refer to [4].

Customary examples of r.i. spaces are provided by Lebesgue, Orlicz, Lorentz and (generalized) Lorentz-Zygmund spaces, whose definition has already been reproduced in Section 1. Below, some more facts about these spaces are recalled.

The theory of Orlicz spaces relies on properties of Young functions. The following properties will come into play in our discussion. Given any Young function A, we have:

$$
(2.11) \t\t A(s) \le s \, a(s) \le 2A(2s) \t\t for s \ge 0,
$$

where  $a$  is the function appearing in  $(1.4)$ ;

$$
(2.12) \t\t kA(s) \leq A(ks) \t for k \geq 1 and s \geq 0;
$$

(2.13)  $A(s_1) + A(s_2) \leq A(s_1 + s_2)$  for  $s_1, s_2 \geq 0$ .

OPTIMAL ORLICZ-SOBOLEV EMBEDDINGS 441<br>The *Young conjugate*  $\widetilde{A}$  of *A* is the Young function defined by  $\widetilde{A}(s)$  =  $\sup\{rs - A(r): r > 0\}$  for  $s > 0$ , and satisfies The *Young conjugate*  $\widetilde{A}$  of  $A$  is <br>sup{ $rs - A(r) : r \ge 0$ } for  $s \ge 0$ <br>(2.14)  $\widetilde{A}(s) = \int^s$ 

The *round conjugate* A of A is the Pough function defined by 
$$
A(s)
$$
 =  
\n
$$
\tilde{A}(s) = \int_0^s a^{-1}(r) dr \text{ for } s \ge 0,
$$
\nwhere  $a^{-1}$  is the (generalized) left-continuous inverse of a. Notice that  $\tilde{A} = A$ .

Moreover,  $\int_0^{\pi}$ <br>
(r) and a left-co<br>
(r)  $\widetilde{A}^{-1}$ where  $a^{-1}$  is the (generalized) left-continuous inverse of a. Notice<br>Moreover,<br>(2.15)  $r \leq A^{-1}(r)\widetilde{A}^{-1}(r) \leq 2r$  for  $r \geq 0$ ,<br>where  $A^{-1}$  and  $\widetilde{A}^{-1}$  are (generalized) right-continuous inverses.

(2.15) 
$$
r \le A^{-1}(r)\tilde{A}^{-1}(r) \le 2r \text{ for } r \ge 0,
$$

The Orlicz space  $L^A(G)$  is an r.i. space equipped with the Luxemburg norm defined in (1.5). Its representation space is  $L^A(0, |G|)$ , and its associate where  $A^{-1}$  and  $A^{-1}$  are (generalized) right-continuous inverses.<br>
The Orlicz space  $L^A(G)$  is an r.i. space equipped with the Lu<br>
norm defined in (1.5). Its representation space is  $L^A(0, |G|)$ , and its<br>
space is, up

space is, up to equivalent norms, 
$$
L^A(G)
$$
. Actually, the  
\n(2.16)  $||u||_{L^{\tilde{A}}(G)} \le ||u||_{(L^A)'(G)} \le 2||u||_{L^{\tilde{A}}(G)}$   
\nhold for every  $u \in L^{\tilde{A}}(G)$ .

A function  $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  is said to dominate another function  $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  globally if a positive constant c exists such that  $\psi(s)$  $\phi(cs)$  for  $s \geq 0$ . The function  $\phi$  is said to dominate  $\psi$  near infinity [resp. near 0] if positive constants c and  $s_{\infty}$  [ $s_0$ ] exist such that (1.22) holds for  $s > s_{\infty}$  [0  $\leq s \leq s_0$ ]. The functions  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are called globally equivalent if they dominate each other globally; a corresponding definition is given for functions equivalent near infinity or near 0. Given two Young functions A and B, the continuous inclusion  $L^A(G) \subset L^B(G)$  holds if and only if either  $|G| = \infty$  and A dominates B globally, or  $|G| < \infty$  and A dominates B near infinity.

The quantities  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p,q}(G)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p,q}(\log L)^{\alpha}(G)}$ , given by (1.11) and (1.13), are in general only quasi-norms on the Lorentz and the Lorentz-Zygmund spaces, respectively, since they may fail to satisfy the triangle inequality. They can be turned into equivalent norms on replacing  $u^*$  by  $u^{**}$ in definitions (1.11) and (1.13). In the special cases when the weights  $s^{1/p-1/q}$  or  $s^{1/p-1/q} (\log(1+|G|/s))^{\alpha}$  are non-increasing (and hence in all the cases involved in the embeddings discussed in Section 1), also  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p,q}(G)}$ and  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p,q}(\log L)^{\alpha}(G)}$  are norms. The representation spaces of  $L^{p,q}(G)$  and  $L^{p,q}(\log L)^{\alpha}(G)$  and  $L^{p,q}(\log L)^{\alpha}(G)$  and  $L^{p,q}(\log L)^{\alpha}(G)$  are estimated and  $L^{p,q}(\log L)^\alpha(G)$  are  $L^{p,q}(0,|G|)$  and  $L^{p,q}(\log L)^\alpha(0,|G|)$ , respectively. Analogous considerations hold for generalized Lorentz-Zygmund spaces. Notice the alternative formula к сп.<br>" gs discussed in Section 1), also<br>
The representation spaces of *1*<br>
d  $L^{p,q}(\log L)^{\alpha}(0, |G|)$ , respectivalized Lorentz-Zygmund space<br>
ralized Lorentz-Zygmund space<br>  $|\{x \in G : |u(x)| > t\}|^{q/p} dt$ 

$$
(2.17) \quad ||u||_{L^{p,q}(G)} = \begin{cases} \left( q \int_0^\infty t^{q-1} |\{x \in G : |u(x)| > t\}|^{q/p} dt \right)^{1/q} & \text{if } q < \infty \\ \sup_{t > 0} t \, |\{x \in G : |u(x)| > t\}|^{1/p} & \text{if } q = \infty. \end{cases}
$$

#### **2.2. Orlicz-Lorentz spaces**

This subsection is devoted to the study of the spaces  $L(q, D)(G)$  of Orlicz-Lorentz type introduced in Definition 1.1, and of the function  $B_{A,q}$  associated with A and q as in Definition 1.2. Our first result states that  $L(q, D)(G)$  is actually an r.i. space.

**Proposition 2.1** *Let* G *be any measurable subset of*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ *. Let*  $q \in$ (1,∞) *and let* D *be a Young function satisfying (1.14). Then:*

**I.** *The space*  $L(q, D)(G)$ *, equipped with the norm (1.15), is an r.i. space.* 

**II.** *The space*  $L(q, D)(G) \cap L^\infty(G)$ *, equipped with the norm (1.16), is an r.i. space. Moreover, if we define the function*  $\varphi_q : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  *as* 

(2.18) 
$$
\varphi_q(s) = \min\{1, s^{-1/q}\} \quad \text{for } s > 0,
$$

*and the function*  $E_D : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  *as* 

\n- **11.** The space 
$$
L(q, D)(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G)
$$
, equipped with r.i. space. Moreover, if we define the function  $\varphi_q$ : [2.18)  $\varphi_q(s) = \min\{1, s^{-1/q}\}$  for s is and the function  $E_D : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  as [2.19)  $E_D(t) = \begin{cases} D(t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ \infty & \text{if } t > 1, \end{cases}$ , then the space  $L(q; D, \infty)(G)$  of those real-valued in G for which the quantity  $(2.20)$   $||u||_{L(q; D, \infty)(G)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_0^\infty E_D \left( \frac{\varphi_q}{\lambda} \right) \, d\mu$ .
\n

*then the space*  $L(q; D, \infty)(G)$  *of those real-valued measurable functions* u *in* G *for which the quantity*

(2.20) 
$$
||u||_{L(q;D,\infty)(G)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_0^\infty E_D \left( \frac{\varphi_q(s) u^*(s)}{\lambda} \right) ds \le 1 \right\}
$$

*is finite is an r.i. space equipped with the norm*  $\|\cdot\|_{L(q;D,\infty)(G)}$ *, and* 

(2.21) 
$$
L(q, D)(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G) = L(q; D, \infty)(G),
$$

*up to equivalent norms.*

**Proof.** Part I. We have first to check that  $\|\cdot\|_{L(q,D)(G)}$  is a norm. The only nontrivial property of norms to be verified is the triangle inequality. We have

$$
||u + v||_{L(q,D)(G)} = ||s^{-1/q}(u + v)^*(s)||_{L^D(0,|G|)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sup_{w \in (L^D)'(G)} \frac{\int_0^\infty s^{-1/q}(u + v)^*(s)w^*(s)ds}{||w||_{(L^D)'(G)}}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \sup_{w \in (L^D)'(G)} \frac{\int_0^\infty s^{-1/q}u^*(s)w^*(s)ds + \int_0^\infty s^{-1/q}v^*(s)w^*(s)ds}{||w||_{(L^D)'(G)}}}{||w||_{(L^D)'(G)}}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \sup_{w \in (L^D)'(G)} \frac{\int_0^\infty s^{-1/q}u^*(s)w^*(s)ds}{||w||_{(L^D)'(G)}} + \sup_{w \in (L^D)'(G)} \frac{\int_0^\infty s^{-1/q}v^*(s)w^*(s)ds}{||w||_{(L^D)'(G)}}}{||w||_{(L^D)'(G)}} = ||s^{-1/q}u^*(s)||_{L^D(0,|G|)} + ||s^{-1/q}v^*(s)||_{L^D(0,|G|)} = ||u||_{L(q,D)(G)} + ||v||_{L(q,D)(G)},
$$

where the second and third equalities are due to  $(2.7)$  and the first inequality to  $(2.3)–(2.4)$ .

Consider now properties (P1)−(P5) of the definition of r.i. spaces. Property (P1) holds thanks to the corresponding property for  $L^D(0, |G|)$ , since decreasing rearrangement preserves pointwise a.e. inequalities between nonnegative functions.

Property (P2) is a consequence of the corresponding property of  $L^p(0, |G|)$ and of the fact that if  $0 \leq u_n \nearrow u$  a.e., then  $0 \leq u_n^* \nearrow u^*$  everywhere (see [4, Prop. 1.7, Chap. 2]).

Assumption (1.14) is equivalent to requiring that

$$
||s^{-1/q}\chi_{(0,|E|)}(s)||_{L^D(0,|G|)} < \infty
$$

whenever  $|E| < \infty$ , whence (P3) follows.

Property  $(P4)$  holds since, by  $(2.5)$  and  $(2.16)$ ,

Property (P4) holds since, by (2.5) and (2.10),  
\n
$$
\int_{E} |u| dx \le \int_{0}^{|E|} u^{*}(s) ds \le 2 \|s^{-1/q} u^{*}(s)\|_{L^{D}(0,|G|)} \|s^{1/q} \chi_{(0,|E|)}(s)\|_{L^{\tilde{D}}(0,|G|)}
$$
\n
$$
\le \frac{2|E|^{1/q}}{\tilde{D}^{-1}(1/|E|)} \|u\|_{L(q,D)(G)}
$$

Property (P5) is obvious.

Part II. As an intersection of r.i. spaces,  $L(q, D)(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G)$  is also an r.i. space endowed with the norm (1.16). The proof of the fact that  $L(q; D, \infty)(G)$  is an r.i. space parallels that of Part I. When  $|G| < \infty$ , equation (2.21) is trivial, since both  $L(q, D)(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G)$  and  $L(q, D, \infty)(G)$ equal  $L^{\infty}(G)$ . The proof of equation (2.21) when  $|G| = \infty$  is omitted for brevity: it just makes use of arguments based on the very definitions of the norms (1.16) and (2.20).

The fact that the space  $L_{q,B_{A,q}}(G)$  is always an r.i. space is a consequence of Proposition 2.1 and of the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2** *Let*  $q \in (1, \infty)$  *and let* A *be any Young function satisfy ing (1.17). Then the function* <sup>B</sup>A,q *is a finite-valued Young function and* The fact that the space  $L_{q,B_{A,q}}(G)$  is<br>of Proposition 2.1 and of the following<br>**Proposition 2.2** Let  $q \in (1,\infty)$  and l<br>ing (1.17). Then the function  $B_{A,q}$  is a<br>(2.22)

(2.22) 
$$
\int^{\infty} \frac{B_{A,q}(r)}{r^{1+q}} dr < \infty.
$$

**Proof.** In order to prove that  $B_{A,q}$  is a Young function, we need only to show that the function  $b^{-1}$ , given by (1.18), is neither identically equal to 0, nor to  $\infty$ . Since A is a Young function, then a is neither identically 0 nor identically  $\infty$ ; hence, the same property is enjoyed by  $a^{-1}$ . Thus, it suffices to verify that show that the function  $b^{-1}$ ,<br>nor to  $\infty$ . Since A is a You<br>identically  $\infty$ ; hence, the sa<br>to verify that<br>(2.23)

$$
\int_0 \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr < \infty
$$

and

444 A. CIANCHI  
and  
(2.24) 
$$
\int^{\infty} \left( \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{a(r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr \right)^{-q} \frac{dt}{a(t)^{q'}} < \infty.
$$

Condition (2.23) is equivalent to (1.17), by Lemma 2.3 below. Concerning  $(2.24)$ , we have  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

(2.24) 
$$
\int \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{a(r)}{a(r)} \right)^r dr \right)^r \frac{dr}{a(t)^{q'}} < \infty.
$$
  
Condition (2.23) is equivalent to (1.17), by Lemma 2.3 below. Concerning (2.24), we have  

$$
\int_1^{\infty} \left( \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{a(r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr \right)^{-q} \frac{dt}{a(t)^{q'}} \leq \frac{1}{a(1)} \int_1^{\infty} \left( \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{a(r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr \right)^{-q} \frac{1}{a(t)^{q'-1}} dt
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{(1-q)a(1)} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{a(r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr \right)^{1-q}.
$$

Thus, (2.24) holds. Condition (2.22) is a consequence of Lemmas 2.3−2.4 below.

**Lemma 2.3** *Let*  $q \in (1,\infty)$  *and let* A *be a Young function.*  $(\infty)$  and tet A be a roung function

**I.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a.24) first constant (2.12) is a consequence of Lemma 2.3 Let 
$$
q \in (1, \infty)
$$
 and let A be a Young function.  
\nfollowing conditions are equivalent:  
\n(i)  $\int^{\infty} \frac{A(r)}{r^{1+q}} dr < \infty$ ;\n(ii)  $\int^{\infty} \frac{a(r)}{r^q} dr < \infty$ ;\n(iii)  $\int^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a^{-1}(r)}\right)^{q-1} dr < \infty$ ;\n(iv)  $\int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{\widetilde{A}(r)}\right)^{q-1} dr < \infty$ .  
\nthe following conditions are equivalent:  
\n(i)  $\int \frac{A(r)}{1+\alpha} dr < \infty$ ;\n(ii)  $\int \frac{a(r)}{1+\alpha} dr < \infty$ ;\n(iii)  $\int \frac{a(r)}{1+\alpha} dr < \infty$ 

**II.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

the following conditions are equivalent:

\n(i) 
$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{A(r)}{r^{1+q}} dr < \infty;
$$

\n(ii)  $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{a(r)}{r^{q}} dr < \infty;$ 

\n(iii)  $\int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{a^{-1}(r)}\right)^{q-1} dr < \infty;$ 

\n(iv)  $\int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{r}{\widetilde{A}(r)}\right)^{q-1} dr < \infty.$ 

**Proof.** Part I. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is due to (2.11); since  $\widetilde{A}$  and  $a^{-1}$ are related by (2.14), the equivalence of (iii) and (iv) follows analogously. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is a consequence of the fact that, if any of the two conditions is satisfied, then  $a$  must be finite-valued and, by Fubini's theorem,

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{a(r)}{r^q} dr = \int_{0}^{a(1)} \int_{1}^{\infty} r^{-q} dr \, d\tau + \int_{a(1)}^{\infty} \int_{a^{-1}(\tau)}^{\infty} r^{-q} dr \, d\tau
$$

$$
= \frac{a(1)}{q-1} + \frac{1}{q-1} \int_{a(1)}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a^{-1}(\tau)}\right)^{q-1} d\tau.
$$

The proof of Part II is analogous.

**Lemma 2.4** *Let*  $q \in (1, \infty)$  *and let* A *be any Young function satisfying (1.17). Set*

(2.25) 
$$
\sigma(s) = a^{-1}(b(s))
$$
 for  $s > 0$ ,

*where* b *is as in Definition 1.2. Then*

ing (1.17). Set  
\n(2.25) 
$$
\sigma(s) = a^{-1}(b(s))
$$
 for  $s > 0$ ,  
\nwhere *b* is as in Definition 1.2. Then  
\n(2.26)  $\left(\int_s^{\infty} \frac{b(r)}{r^q} dr\right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^{\sigma(s)} \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr\right)^{1/q'} \leq \frac{1}{(q-1)^{2/q}}$  for  $s > 0$   
\nand  
\n(2.27)  $\frac{b(s)}{a!} \left(\int_0^{\sigma(s)} \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr\right)^{q-1} \leq \frac{1}{1-q}$  for  $s > 0$ .

*and*

(2.27) 
$$
\frac{b(s)}{s^{q-1}} \left( \int_0^{\sigma(s)} \left( \frac{1}{a(r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr \right)^{q-1} \le \frac{1}{q-1} \quad \text{for } s > 0.
$$
  
**Proof.** We have 
$$
(q-1) \int_0^\infty \frac{b(t)}{a(t)} dt = (q-1) \int_0^\infty t^{-q} \int_0^{b(t)} d\tau dt
$$

**Proof.** We have

Proof. We have  
\n
$$
(q-1)\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{b(t)}{t^{q}} dt = (q-1)\int_{s}^{\infty} t^{-q} \int_{0}^{b(t)} d\tau dt
$$
\n
$$
= (q-1)\left(\int_{0}^{b(s)} \int_{s}^{\infty} t^{-q} dt d\tau + \int_{b(s)}^{\infty} \int_{b^{-1}(\tau)}^{\infty} t^{-q} dt d\tau\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{b(s)}{s^{q-1}} + \int_{b(s)}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{b^{-1}(\tau)}\right)^{1-q} d\tau
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{b(s)}{s^{q-1}} + \int_{b(s)}^{\infty} \left(\int_{a^{-1}(\tau)}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr\right)^{-q} \frac{dt}{a(t)^{q}}\right) d\tau
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{b(s)}{s^{q-1}} + \int_{a^{-1}(b(s))}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr\right)^{-q} \frac{a(t) - b(s)}{a(t)^{q}} dt
$$
\n
$$
= b(s) \left[\frac{1}{s^{q-1}} - \int_{a^{-1}(b(s))}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr\right)^{-q} \frac{1}{a(t)^{q}} dt\right]
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{a^{-1}(b(s))}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr\right)^{-q} \frac{1}{a(t)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} dt
$$
\n
$$
= b(s) \left[\frac{1}{s^{q-1}} - \frac{1}{(b^{-1}(b(s)))^{q-1}}\right] + \frac{1}{q-1} \left[\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma(s)} \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr\right)^{1-q}
$$
\n
$$
- \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr\right)^{1-q}
$$
\nfor  $s > 0$ 

for  $s > 0$ . Hence,

$$
(2.28) \quad (q-1)\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{b(t)}{t^{q}} dt \le \frac{1}{q-1} \bigg( \int_{0}^{\sigma(s)} \left( \frac{1}{a(r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr \bigg)^{1-q} \quad \text{for } s > 0,
$$

and (2.26) follows. Since

$$
\int_s^\infty \frac{b(t)}{t^q} dt \ge b(s) \frac{s^{1-q}}{q-1} \quad \text{for } s > 0,
$$

inequality  $(2.28)$  also implies  $(2.27)$ .

#### **2.3. Sobolev type spaces and inequalities**

Let G be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let A be a Young function. We denote by  $W_0^{1,A}(G)$  the Orlicz-Sobolev space of those weakly differentiable functions<br>in C, with  $\mathbb{E}[\nabla u \mathbf{E}]$   $\leq \infty$  which doesn't a Q on  $\partial G$  in the same that the in G, with  $|||\nabla u|||_{L^A(G)} < \infty$ , which decay to 0 on  $\partial G$ , in the sense that the continuation of u by 0 outside G is a weakly differentiable function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and

(2.29)  $|\{x \in G : |u(x)| > t\}| < \infty$  for every  $t > 0$ .

Obviously, condition (2.29) is automatically satisfied if  $|G| < \infty$ . Given a generalized Young function A, the anisotropic Orlicz-Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(G)$ <br>is defined analogously, by requiring that  $\|\nabla u\|$ is defined analogously, by requiring that  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\mathcal{A}}(G)} < \infty$ .

A basic tool in our applications to Sobolev type inequalities is the Pólya-Szegö principle on the decrease of gradient norms under rearrangement. In its version for Orlicz norms, such a principle tells us that if A is any Young function and  $u \in W_0^{1,A}(G)$ , then  $u^*$  is locally absolutely continuous in  $(0, \infty)$  and in  $(0, \infty)$ , and

(2.30) nωn 1/nr1/n <sup>−</sup> du<sup>∗</sup> dr LA(0,|G|) ≤ |∇u|LA(G)

(see e.g. [9]). In particular, equality holds in  $(2.30)$  whenever u is spherically symmetric. A version of this principle for anisotropic Orlicz norms is also available ([22]). It implies that if  $A$  is any generalized Young function and  $u \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(G)$ , then  $u^*$  is locally absolutely continuous in  $(0,\infty)$  and a constant  $K(x)$ , depending only on  $x$ , ovists such that constant  $K(n)$ , depending only on n, exists such that

(2.31) 
$$
\left\| K(n)r^{1/n'}\left(-\frac{du^*}{dr}\right) \right\|_{L^{A_\star}(0,|G|)} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^{A}(G)},
$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$  is the Young function defined in Section 1.

Inequalities (2.30)−(2.31) enable to reduce the problem of Orlicz-Sobolev embeddings into r.i. spaces to one-dimensional inequalities for a Hardy type operator. This is the content of the following proposition, our starting point in the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

**Proposition 2.5** *Let*  $n > 2$  *and let* G *be either*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ *, or an open subset of*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ *having finite measure. Let* X(G) *be an r.i. space on* G*.*

*I. Let* A *be a Young function. Then the following assertions are equivalent:* (i)  $A$  *constant*  $K_1$  *exists such that* 

(2.32) 
$$
||u||_{X(G)} \le K_1 |||\nabla u||_{L^A(G)} \quad \text{for every } u \in W_0^{1,A}(G).
$$

(ii) A constant  $K_2$  exists such that

(2.33) 
$$
\left\| \int_s^{|G|} r^{-1/n'} f(r) dr \right\|_{\overline{X}(0,|G|)} \leq K_2 \|f\|_{L^A(0,|G|)}
$$

for every  $f \in L^A(0, |G|)$ .

*II. The following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) For any generalized Young function  $A$ , with prescribed  $A_{\star}$ , a constant  $K_1$ <br>crists cush that *exists such that*

(2.34) 
$$
||u||_{X(G)} \le K_1 ||\nabla u||_{L^A(G)} \quad \text{for every } u \in W_0^{1,A}(G).
$$

(ii)  $A$  *constant*  $K_2$  *exists such that* 

(2.35) 
$$
\left\| \int_s^{|G|} r^{-1/n'} f(r) dr \right\|_{\overline{X}(0,|G|)} \leq K_2 \|f\|_{L^{A_\star}(0,|G|)}
$$

for every  $f \in L^{\mathcal{A}_{\star}}(0, |G|)$ .

As for the proof of Proposition 2.5, the fact that (ii) implies (i) in Part I is an easy consequence of (2.30), whereas the converse implication can be established on choosing spherically symmetric test functions in (2.32). The proof of Part II is analogous: one has to make use of (2.31) instead of (2.30) for one implication, and to choose  $\mathcal{A}(\xi) = \mathcal{A}_{\star}(|\xi|)$  and spherically symmetric test functions in (2.33) for the reverse implication. The details are omitted for brevity. As a first consequence of Proposition 2.5, the necessity of condition (1.6) or (1.30) for inequalities in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be derived.

**Corollary 2.1** *Let*  $n \geq 2$  *and let*  $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *be any r.i. space on*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ *.* 

**I.** *Assume that a constant* C *exists such that*

$$
(2.36) \t\t\t ||u||_{X(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C |||\nabla u|||_{L^A(\mathbb{R}^n)}
$$

*for some Young function* A and for every  $u \in W_0^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then A satis-<br>fee (1.6) *fies (1.6).*

**II.** *Assume that a constant* C *exists such that*

(2.37) uX(Rn) <sup>≤</sup> <sup>C</sup>∇uLA(Rn)

*for every generalized Young function*  $\mathcal{A}$  *with prescribed*  $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$  *and for every*  $u \in W_0^{1,\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$  satisfies (1.30).

**Proof**. Part I. By Proposition 2.5, inequality  $(2.36)$  implies that  $(2.33)$  holds with  $|G| = \infty$ . On choosing test functions f which vanish outside  $[1, \infty)$ , we have

$$
||f||_{L^{A}(0,\infty)} = ||f||_{L^{A}(1,\infty)} \text{ and}
$$
  
(2.38)
$$
|| \int_{s}^{\infty} r^{-1/n'} f(r) dr ||_{\overline{X}(0,\infty)} \ge \int_{1}^{\infty} r^{-1/n'} f(r) dr ||\chi_{[0,1)}||_{\overline{X}(0,\infty)}.
$$

From  $(2.33)$ ,  $(2.38)$  and  $(2.16)$  one gets

2.33), (2.38) and (2.16) one gets  
\n
$$
\frac{K_2}{\|\chi_{[0,1)}\|_{\overline{X}(0,\infty)}} \ge \sup_{f \in L^A(1,\infty)} \frac{\int_1^{\infty} r^{-1/n'} f(r) dr}{\|f\|_{L^A(1,\infty)}} \ge \|r^{-1/n'}\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(1,\infty)}.
$$

Hence,  $||r^{-1/n'}||$ From (2.33), (2.38) and (2.16) one gets<br>  $\frac{K_2}{\|\chi_{[0,1)}\|_{\overline{X}(0,\infty)}} \ge \sup_{f \in L^A(1,\infty)} \frac{\int_1^{\infty} r^{-1/n'} f(r) dr}{\|f\|_{L^A(1,\infty)}} \ge \|r^{-1/n'}\|_{L^{\overline{A}}(1,\infty)}.$ <br>
Hence,  $\|r^{-1/n'}\|_{L^{\overline{A}}(1,\infty)} < \infty$ . This is equivalent to  $\int_{r^{-$ 

# **3. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2: proof of the embeddings**

The present section is devoted to the proof of inequalities (1.20) and (1.22) of Theorem 1.1, and of inequalities (1.32) and (1.34) of Theorem 1.2. Consider Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 2.5, Part I, the proof of  $(1.20)$  is reduced to showing that, for any A satisfying  $(1.6)$ , a constant  $K_1$ , depending only on n, exists such that section is d<br>and of ine<br>By Prop<br>for any A<br>at<br> $s^{-1/n}$ 

$$
(3.1) \qquad \left\| s^{-1/n} \int_s^{\infty} r^{-1/n'} f(r) \, dr \right\|_{L^{B_{A,n}}(0,\infty)} \le K_1 \|f\|_{L^A(0,\infty)}
$$

for every  $f \in L^A(0,\infty)$ . The same proposition ensures that inequality (1.22) is a consequence of (3.1) and of the fact that, under the additional assumption (1.21), there also exists a constant  $K_2$ , depending only on n and (5.1)<br>for every f<br>is a conset<br>sumption (on  $\int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{A}(t)}{t^{1+n}}$  $\frac{A(t)}{t^{1+n}} dt$ , such that

(3.2) 
$$
\left\| \int_s^{\infty} r^{-1/n'} f(r) dr \right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,\infty)} \leq K_2 \|f\|_{L^A(0,\infty)}
$$

for every  $f \in L^A(0, \infty)$ .

for every 
$$
f \in L^A(0, \infty)
$$
.  
\nInequality (3.2) is an easy consequence of (2.8) and (2.16). Indeed,  
\n
$$
\Big\|\int_s^{\infty} r^{-1/n'} f(r) dr \Big\|_{L^{\infty}(0,\infty)} \leq \int_0^{\infty} r^{-1/n'} |f(r)| dr \leq 2 \|r^{-1/n'}\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)} \|f\|_{L^A(0,\infty)}
$$
\n
$$
= 2\left(n'\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{A}(t)}{t^{1+n'}} dt\right)^{1/n'} \|f\|_{L^A(0,\infty)}
$$
\nfor every  $f \in L^A(0,\infty)$ . Notice that  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{A}(t)}{t^{1+n'}} dt < \infty$  by assumptions (1.6)

 $\frac{A(t)}{t^{1+n'}} dt < \infty$  by assumptions (1.6) and (1.21), and by Lemma 2.3.

Inequality (3.1) is more delicate, and relies on the following interpolation theorem, of possible independent interest.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let*  $q \in (1, \infty)$  *and let* A *be a Young function satisfying (1.17)*. *Then*

(3.3) 
$$
L^{A}(0, \infty) \subset L^{1}(0, \infty) + L^{q,1}(0, \infty).
$$

*Moreover, let* T *be any linear operator which is bounded from*  $L^1(0,\infty)$  *into*  $L^1(0,∞)$  *with norm* ≤  $N_1$ *, and from*  $L^{q,1}(0,∞)$  *into*  $L^{q,∞}(0,∞)$  *with norm*  $\leq N_q$ . Then T is bounded from  $L^A(0,\infty)$  into  $L^{B_{A,q}}(0,\infty)$ , and a constant C, *depending only on* q*, exists such that*

(3.4) 
$$
||Tf||_{L^{B_{A,q}}(0,\infty)} \leq C \max\{N_1, N_q\} ||f||_{L^A(0,\infty)}
$$

*for every*  $f \in L^A(0, \infty)$ .

**Remark 3.1** Theorem 3.1 can be transposed, with a completely analogous proof, to the more general setting of operators acting between function spaces defined on non-atomic  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. Furthermore, quasilinear operators, namely operators satisfying the inequality  $|T(f + q)|$  <  $k(|Tf| + |Tg|)$  for some  $k > 0$  and for every f and q can be allowed; in this case, the constant on the right-hand side of (3.4) has to be replaced by  $k C \max\{N_1, N_a\}.$ this case, the constant on the right<br>by kC max{ $N_1$ ,  $N_q$ }.<br>In order to prove (3.1), we applement as<br>(3.5)  $Tf(s) = s^{-1/n} \int_0^\infty$ 

In order to prove  $(3.1)$ , we apply Theorem 3.1 to the linear operator T defined as

(3.5) 
$$
Tf(s) = s^{-1/n} \int_s^{\infty} r^{-1/n'} f(r) dr \quad \text{for } s > 0,
$$

at any measurable function f in  $(0, \infty)$  which makes the integral on the right-hand side of (3.5) finite. The operator T is bounded from  $L^1(0,\infty)$ into  $L^1(0,\infty)$  with norm  $\leq n'$ , since  $\int_{s}^{r}$ <br>on f in (0)<br>ite. The<br> $\frac{1}{s}n'$ , since<br> $s^{-1/n}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  finite The one

$$
||Tf||_{L^{1}(0,\infty)} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{-1/n} \int_{s}^{\infty} r^{-1/n'} |f(r)| dr ds
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} r^{-1/n'} |f(r)| \int_{0}^{r} s^{-1/n} ds dr = n'||f||_{L^{1}(0,\infty)}.
$$

Moreover, T is bounded from  $L^{n,1}(0,\infty)$  into  $L^{n,\infty}(0,\infty)$  with norm  $\leq n$ , since

$$
||Tf||_{L^{n,\infty}(0,\infty)} = \sup_{s>0} s^{1/n} (Tf)^*(s) \le \int_0^\infty r^{-1/n'} |f(r)| dr
$$
  

$$
\le \int_0^\infty r^{-1/n'} f^*(r) dr = ||f||_{L^{n,1}(0,\infty)}.
$$

Thus,  $(3.1)$  follows from Theorem 3.1.

The proof of inequalities (1.32) and (1.34) of Theorem 1.2 makes use of Part II of Proposition 2.5 and is analogous.

**Remark 3.2** It is clear from the above proof that inequality (3.1) continues to hold if n is replaced by any  $q \in (1,\infty)$  and A is any Young function satisfying  $(1.17)$ ; the same is true for inequality  $(3.2)$  when A fulfills from t<br>by an<br>ne is t

$$
\int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr < \infty.
$$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1**. In order to prove inclusion  $(3.3)$ , we show that if f is any measurable function in  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying **Proof of Theorem 3.1**. In (if f is any measurable function)<br>(3.6)  $\int^{\infty}$ 

(3.6) 
$$
\int_0^\infty A(|f(s)|)ds < \infty,
$$

and, for  $t > 0$ , we decompose  $f$  as  $f = f_t + f^t$ , where

(3.7) 
$$
f_t(s) = sign(f(s))min{t, |f(s)|}
$$
 and  $f'(s) = f(s) - f_t(s)$  for  $s > 0$ ,

then  $f_t \in L^{q,1}(0,\infty)$  and  $f^t \in L^1(0,\infty)$ . Owing to  $(1.17)$ , we have  $a(t) > 0$ for  $t > 0$ . Thus,

(3.8) 
$$
||f^t||_{L^1(0,\infty)} = \int_t^{\infty} |\{|f| > \tau\}| d\tau \le \frac{1}{a(t)} \int_0^{\infty} |\{|f| > \tau\}| a(\tau) d\tau
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{a(t)} \int_0^{\infty} A(|f(s)|) ds < \infty.
$$
On the other hand, 
$$
\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tau < \infty
$$
, by assumption (1.17) and Lemn

 $\left(\frac{1}{a\alpha}\right)$ a(τ) ,  $\int_0 \left(\frac{1}{a(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tau < \infty$ , by assumption (1.17) and Lemma 2.3. Hence,

When the other hand, 
$$
f_0(a_{\tau})
$$
 and  $\lambda$  is a semipoton (1.17) and Lemma

\nHence,

\n
$$
\|f_t\|_{L^{q,1}(0,\infty)} = \int_0^t |\{|f| > \tau\}|^{1/q} d\tau
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(\int_0^{\infty} |\{|f| > \tau\}|a(\tau) d\tau\right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{a(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tau\right)^{1/q'}
$$
\n(3.9)

\n
$$
= \left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{a(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tau\right)^{1/q'} \int_0^{\infty} A(|f(s)|) ds < \infty.
$$

Inclusion  $(3.3)$  is proved. Such an inclusion ensures that the domain of T contains the set of functions  $f$  satisfying  $(3.6)$ , and hence  $T$  is well defined on  $L^A(0,\infty)$ .

Let us now establish inequality (3.4). Throughout the proof, we shall denote the function  $B_{A,q}$  simply by B. Assume that f satisfies (3.6) and let  $K$  be a positive constant to be chosen later. We have

$$
\int_0^\infty B\left(\frac{Tf(r)}{4K}\right) dr = \int_0^\infty b(t) |\{|Tf| > 4Kt\}| dt
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{B(2t)}{t} |\{|Tf| > 4Kt\}| dt
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int_0^\infty \frac{B(t)}{t} \frac{d}{dt} \left(-\int_t^\infty |\{|Tf| > 2K\tau\}| d\tau\right) dt
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left(-\frac{B(t)}{t} \int_t^\infty |\{|Tf| > 2K\tau\}| d\tau\right) \Big|_{t=0}^{t=\infty}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{B(t)}{t}\right) \left(\int_t^\infty |\{|Tf| > 2K\tau\}| d\tau\right)
$$
  
\n(3.10)  
\n
$$
\leq \limsup_{t \to 0+} \frac{B(t)}{t} \int_t^\infty |\{|Tf| > 2K\tau\}| d\tau
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{B(t)}{t}\right) \left(\int_t^\infty |\{|Tf| > 2K\tau\}| d\tau\right) dt.
$$
  
\nHere, we have made use of the fact that  $\int_t^\infty |\{|Tf| > 2K\tau\}| d\tau < \infty$  for  $t > 0$ 

 $\begin{cases}\n\frac{\infty}{t} \left|\left\{|Tf| > 2K\tau\right\}\right| d\tau < \infty \text{ for } t > 0, \\
\frac{1}{2} \left|\left\{|Tf| > 2K\tau\right\}\right| d\tau < \infty \text{ for } t > 0,\n\end{cases}$ a consequence of estimates (3.14) and (3.19) below. We have also exploited the local absolute continuity of  $B$ , a finite-valued Young function. Now, let  $\sigma : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  be the (non-decreasing) function given by (2.25), and decompose f as  $f = f_{\sigma(t)} + f^{\sigma(t)}$ , where  $f_{\sigma(t)}$  and  $f^{\sigma(t)}$  are defined as in (3.7), with t replaced by  $\sigma(t)$ . By the linearity of T,

$$
(3.11) \ |\{|Tf| > 2K\tau\}| \le |\{|Tf_{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}| + |\{|Tf^{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}| \quad \text{for } t, \tau > 0.
$$

Inequalities (3.10) and (3.11) yield

$$
(3.12) \int_0^\infty B\left(\frac{|Tf(r)|}{4K}\right) dr \le
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \limsup_{t \to 0+} \frac{B(t)}{t} \int_t^\infty |\{|Tf_{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}| d\tau
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \limsup_{t \to 0+} \frac{B(t)}{t} \int_t^\infty |\{|Tf^{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}| d\tau
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{B(t)}{t}\right) \left(\int_t^\infty |\{|Tf_{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}| d\tau\right) dt
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{B(t)}{t}\right) \left(\int_t^\infty |\{|Tf^{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}| d\tau\right) dt.
$$

Let us estimate the four summands on the right-hand side of (3.12). The boundedness of T from  $L^{q,1}(0,\infty)$  into  $L^{q,\infty}(0,\infty)$  comes into play in dealing with the terms involving  $f_{\sigma(t)}$ . By (2.17), this property of T implies that Let us estimate the four summands on the right-hand side of<br>boundedness of T from  $L^{q,1}(0,\infty)$  into  $L^{q,\infty}(0,\infty)$  comes into p<br>with the terms involving  $f_{\sigma(t)}$ . By (2.17), this property of T is<br>(3.13)  $|\{|Tf_{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}|$ 

$$
(3.13) \ \ |\{ |Tf_{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau \}| \le \left(\frac{N_q}{K\tau}\right)^q \left(\int_0^{\sigma(t)} |\{|f| > \theta\}|^{1/q} d\theta\right)^q \quad \text{for } t, \tau > 0.
$$

Hence, if  $t > 0$ ,

Hence, if 
$$
t > 0
$$
,  
\n
$$
\frac{B(t)}{t} \int_{t}^{\infty} |\{|Tf_{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}| d\tau \le
$$
\n
$$
\leq b(t) \left(\frac{N_q}{K}\right)^q \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau^q} \left(\int_{0}^{\sigma(t)} |\{|f| > \theta\}|^{1/q} d\theta\right)^q d\tau
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{N_q}{K}\right)^q \frac{b(t)}{(q-1)t^{q-1}} \left(\int_{0}^{\sigma(t)} |\{|f| > \theta\}|^{1/q} d\theta\right)^q d\tau
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(\frac{N_q}{K}\right)^q \frac{b(t)}{(q-1)t^{q-1}} \left(\int_{0}^{\sigma(t)} |\{|f| > \theta\}|^{1/q} d\theta\right)^q
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(\frac{N_q}{K}\right)^q \frac{b(t)}{(q-1)t^{q-1}} \left(\int_{0}^{\sigma(t)} \left(\frac{1}{a(\theta)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} d\theta\right)^{q-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} |\{|f| > \theta\}| a(\theta) d\theta
$$
\n(3.14) 
$$
\leq \frac{1}{(q-1)^2} \left(\frac{N_q}{K}\right)^q \int_{0}^{\infty} A(|f(s)|) ds,
$$
\nwhere the last inequality is due to (2.27), Lemma 2.4. Next, by (3.13) again\n
$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{B(t)}{t}\right) \left(\int_{t}^{\infty} |\{|Tf_{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}| d\tau\right) dt
$$

where the last inequality is due to  $(2.27)$ , Lemma 2.4. Next, by  $(3.13)$  again,

where the last inequality is due to (2.27), Lemma 2.4. Next, by (3.13) again  
\n
$$
\int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{B(t)}{t} \right) \left( \int_t^\infty |\{ |Tf_{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau \} | d\tau \right) dt
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left( \frac{N_q}{K} \right)^q \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{B(t)}{t} \right) \left( \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{\tau^q} \left( \int_0^{\sigma(t)} |\{ |f| > \theta \}|^{1/q} d\theta \right)^q d\tau \right) dt
$$
\n
$$
= \left( \frac{N_q}{K} \right)^q \int_0^\infty \left( \int_t^\infty \tau^{-q} d\tau \right) \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{B(t)}{t} \right) \left( \int_0^{\sigma(t)} |\{ |f| > \theta \}|^{1/q} d\theta \right)^q dt
$$
\n
$$
= \left( \frac{N_q}{K} \right)^q \int_0^\infty \tau^{-q} \left( \int_0^\tau \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{B(t)}{t} \right) \left( \int_0^{\sigma(t)} |\{ |f| > \theta \} |^{1/q} d\theta \right)^q dt \right) d\tau
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left( \frac{N_q}{K} \right)^q \int_0^\infty \tau^{-q} \left( \int_0^{\sigma(\tau)} |\{ |f| > \theta \} |^{1/q} d\theta \right)^q \left( \int_0^\tau \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{B(t)}{t} \right) dt \right) d\tau
$$
\n(3.15) 
$$
\leq \left( \frac{N_q}{K} \right)^q \int_0^\infty \frac{b(\tau)}{\tau^q} \left( \int_0^{\sigma(\tau)} |\{ |f| > \theta \} |^{1/q} d\theta \right)^q d\tau.
$$

A generalized version of the weighted Hardy inequality (see [12, Lemma 1]) tells us that (2.26) is a sufficient (and necessary, up to the constant) condition for the inequality (3.15)  $\leq \left(\frac{K}{K}\right) \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1}{\tau^q} \left(\int_0^{\pi} |f| \, d\theta\right) d\theta$ <br>
A generalized version of the weighted Hardy inequality (see<br>
tells us that (2.26) is a sufficient (and necessary, up to the con<br>
for the inequality<br>
(3.16

$$
(3.16)\quad \int_0^\infty \frac{b(\tau)}{\tau^q} \bigg(\int_0^{\sigma(\tau)} h(\theta) \,d\theta\bigg)^q \,d\tau \le \left[\frac{(q')^{1/q'}q^{1/q}}{(q-1)^{2/q}}\right]^q \int_0^\infty a(\tau)h(\tau)^q \,d\tau
$$

to hold for every measurable function  $h : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ .

On applying (3.16) with  $h(t) = |\{|f| > t\}|^{1/q}$ , we infer from (3.15) that

Optimal ORLICZ-SOBOLEV EMBEDDI

\nOn applying (3.16) with 
$$
h(t) = |\{|f| > t\}|^{1/q}
$$
, we infer from (3.

\n
$$
\int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{B(t)}{t}\right) \left(\int_t^\infty |\{|Tf_{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}| \, d\tau\right) dt \le
$$
\n
$$
\le \left(\frac{N_q}{K}\right)^q \frac{q^q}{(q-1)^{q+1}} \int_0^\infty a(t) |\{|f| > t\}| \, dt
$$
\n(3.17)

\n
$$
= \left(\frac{N_q}{K}\right)^q \frac{q^q}{(q-1)^{q+1}} \int_0^\infty A(|f(s)|) \, ds.
$$

The boundedness of T from  $L^1(0,\infty)$  into  $L^1(0,\infty)$  plays its role in the estimate of the summands depending on  $f^{\sigma(t)}$  on the right-hand side of (3.12). Such an assumption on  $T$  ensures that (5.11)<br>The boundedr<br>mate of the st<br>Such an assum<br>(3.18)  $\int_{0}^{\infty}$  $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

(3.18) 
$$
\int_{t}^{\infty} |\{|Tf^{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}| d\tau \leq \frac{N_1}{K} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |\{|f| > \tau\}| d\tau \text{ for } t > 0.
$$

Thus, by (2.25),

Thus, by (2.25),  
\n
$$
\frac{B(t)}{t} \int_{t}^{\infty} |\{|Tf^{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}| d\tau \leq \frac{N_{1}}{K} b(t) \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |\{|f| > \tau\}| d\tau
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{N_{1}}{K} \frac{b(t)}{a(\sigma(t))} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} a(\tau) |\{|f| > \tau\}| d\tau
$$
\n(3.19)\n
$$
\leq \frac{N_{1}}{K} \int_{0}^{\infty} a(\tau) |\{|f| > \tau\}| d\tau = \frac{N_{1}}{K} \int_{0}^{\infty} A(|f(s)|) ds.
$$
\nInequality (3.18) and equation (2.25) also imply that\n
$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{B(t)}{t}\right) \left(\int_{t}^{\infty} |\{|Tf^{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}| d\tau\right) dt \leq
$$

Inequality (3.18) and equation (2.25) also imply that

$$
K J_0 \qquad K J_0 \qquad K J_0 \qquad (3.18)
$$
  
Inequality (3.18) and equation (2.25) also imply that  

$$
\int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{B(t)}{t} \right) \left( \int_t^\infty |\{|Tf^{\sigma(t)}| > K\tau\}| d\tau \right) dt \le
$$

$$
\leq \frac{N_1}{K} \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{B(t)}{t} \right) \left( \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |\{|f| > \tau\}| d\tau \right) dt
$$

$$
= \frac{N_1}{K} \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^{\sigma^{-1}(\tau)} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{B(t)}{t} \right) dt \right) |\{|f| > \tau\}| d\tau
$$

$$
\leq \frac{N_1}{K} \int_0^\infty b(\sigma^{-1}(\tau)) |\{|f| > \tau\}| d\tau
$$
(3.20)
$$
\leq \frac{N_1}{K} \int_0^\infty a(\tau) |\{|f| > \tau\}| d\tau = \frac{N_1}{K} \int_0^\infty A(|f(s)|) ds,
$$
  
where  $\sigma^{-1}$  is the (generalized) left-continuous inverse of  $\sigma$ .  
Combining (3.12), (3.14), (3.17), (3.19) and (3.20) leads to

where  $\sigma^{-1}$  is the (generalized) left-continuous inverse of  $\sigma$ . Combining (3.12), (3.14), (3.17), (3.19) and (3.20) leads to (3.20)<br>where  $\sigma^{-1}$ <br>Combin<br>(3.21)  $\int_{0}^{\infty}$ 

$$
(3.21) \int_0^\infty B\left(\frac{|Tf(r)|}{4K}\right) dr \le \left[ \left(\frac{N_q}{K}\right)^q \frac{(q-1)^{q-1} + q^q}{(q-1)^{q+1}} + \frac{2N_1}{K} \right] \int_0^\infty A(|f(s)|) ds.
$$

The choice  $K = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$  in (3.21) yields

454 A. CIANCHI  
The choice 
$$
K = \max\{N_1, N_2\}
$$
 in (3.21) yields  
(3.22) 
$$
\int_0^\infty B\left(\frac{|Tf(r)|}{4C \max\{N_1, N_2\}}\right) dr \le \int_0^\infty A(|f(s)|) ds
$$

with  $C = \frac{(q-1)^{q-1}+q^q}{(q-1)^{q+1}} + 2$ . In the derivation of (3.22) one has to make use of (2.12) and of the fact that  $C > 1$ . Inequality (3.4) follows from (3.22), by the very definition of Luxemburg norm.

# **4. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2: optimality**

Our task in this section is to prove the second part of the statements of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, where it is claimed that the spaces appearing on the right-hand sides of inequalities  $(1.20)$ ,  $(1.22)$  and  $(1.32)$ ,  $(1.34)$  are the best possible among all r.i. spaces. Notice that we only need to consider Theorem 1.1, since the result in Theorem 1.2 follows on choosing  $A(\xi)$  =  $\mathcal{A}_{\star}(|\xi|)$  and applying Theorem 1.1.

Thanks to Proposition 2.5, the question of the optimality of the spaces  $L(n, B_{A,n})(G)$  and  $L(n, B_{A,n})(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G)$  in (1.20) and (1.22), respectively, is equivalent to a corresponding problem for a one-dimensional inequality. The crucial step in attacking this problem turns out to be the Hölder type inequality for non-increasing functions in Orlicz spaces contained in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let*  $q \in (1, \infty)$  *and let* A *be a Young function satisfying* (1.17). **I.** *Assume that* inequality for non-increasing funct<br>lowing theorem.<br> **Theorem 4.1** Let  $q \in (1, \infty)$  and  $\overline{d}$ <br> **I.** Assume that<br>
(4.1)  $\int^{\infty} \left( \frac{r}{4\sqrt{r}} \right)$ 

(4.1)  
\n
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr = \infty.
$$
\nThen there exists a constant  $K_1(q)$ , depending only  
\n(4.2)  
\n
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(s)g(s)| ds \le K_1(q) \|s^{-1/q} f^*(s)\|_{L^{B_{A,q}}}.
$$

*Then there exists a constant*  $K_1(q)$ *, depending only on q, such that* 

Then there exists a constant 
$$
K_1(q)
$$
, depending only on q, such that  
\n(4.2) 
$$
\int_0^\infty |f(s)g(s)| ds \le K_1(q) \|s^{-1/q} f^*(s)\|_{L^{B_{A,q}}(0,\infty)} \|s^{1/q} g^{**}(s)\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)}
$$
\nfor any measurable functions f and g in  $(0,\infty)$ .  
\n**II.** Assume that\n
$$
\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{r}{A(s)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr < \infty.
$$

for any measurable functions f and g in  $(0, \infty)$ .

**II.** *Assume that*

(4.3) 
$$
\int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr < \infty.
$$

*Then a constant*  $\alpha(A,q)$ *, depending only on* A *and* q*, exists such that inequality (4.2) holds for every measurable function* g *in*  $(0, \infty)$  *and every measurable function* f *in*  $(0, \infty)$  *satisfying* 

(4.4) 
$$
|\{s \in (0, \infty) : |f(s)| = \operatorname{ess} \sup |f|\}| \ge \alpha(q, A).
$$

**Remark 4.1** Obviously, owing to the Hardy-Littlewood inequality (2.5), a completely analogous version of Theorem 4.1 holds if  $f$  and  $q$  are replaced by functions u and v defined on a measurable set  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is split into several lemmas and is presented in the second part of this section.

We first accomplish the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us begin with Part I, and let us assume that (1.19) is fulfilled. Consider the case where  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$ . We have to show that, if  $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an r.i. space satisfying  $(2.32)$  with  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$ , then a constant  $K$  exists such that

(4.5) 
$$
||u||_{X(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le K||u||_{L(n, B_{A,n})(\mathbb{R}^n)}
$$

for every  $u \in L(n, B_{A,n})(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By Proposition 2.5, inequality (2.33) holds<br>with  $|G| = \infty$ . We now make use of a duality argument, involving associate<br>spaces (see also [10, Proof of Lemma 1] and [18, Proof of Theorem 4. with  $|G| = \infty$ . We now make use of a duality argument, involving associate spaces (see also [10, Proof of Lemma 1] and [18, Proof of Theorem 4.6]). By (2.33), (2.6) and (2.16),

$$
K_{2} \geq \sup_{f \in L^{A}(0,\infty)} \frac{\left\| \int_{s}^{\infty} r^{-1/n'} f(r) dr \right\|_{\overline{X}(0,\infty)}}{\|f\|_{L^{A}(0,\infty)}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sup_{f \in L^{A}(0,\infty)} \sup_{g \in \overline{X}'(0,\infty)} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} g^{*}(s) \int_{s}^{\infty} r^{-1/n'} f(r) dr ds}{\|g\|_{\overline{X}'(0,\infty)} \|f\|_{L^{A}(0,\infty)}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sup_{g \in \overline{X}'(0,\infty)} \sup_{f \geq 0} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} f(r) r^{-1/n'} \int_{0}^{r} g^{*}(s) ds dr}{\|g\|_{\overline{X}'(0,\infty)} \|f\|_{L^{A}(0,\infty)}}
$$
  
\n(4.6)  
\n
$$
\geq \sup_{g \in \overline{X}'(0,\infty)} \frac{\|r^{-1/n'} \int_{0}^{r} g^{*}(s) ds \|\Big|_{L^{\overline{A}}(0,\infty)}}{\|g\|_{\overline{X}'(0,\infty)}}.
$$

Hence,

(4.7) 
$$
\left\| r^{-1/n'} \int_0^r g^*(s) ds \right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)} \leq K_2 \|g\|_{\overline{X}'(0,\infty)}
$$

for every  $g \in \overline{X}'(0, \infty)$ . From inequality (4.7) and from Theorem 4.1, Part I, we infer that

$$
\| \quad J_0 \qquad \|_{L^{\overline{A}}(0,\infty)}
$$
\nfor every  $g \in \overline{X}'(0,\infty)$ . From inequality (4.7) and from Theorem 4.1, Part  
\nwe infer that\n
$$
\sup_{g \in \overline{X}'(0,\infty)} \frac{\int_0^\infty f^*(s)g^*(s) ds}{\|g\|_{\overline{X}'(0,\infty)}} \leq K_2 \sup_{g \in \overline{X}'(0,\infty)} \frac{\int_0^\infty f^*(s)g^*(s) ds}{\|r^{-1/n'} \int_0^r g^*(s) ds\|_{L^{\overline{A}}(0,\infty)}}
$$
\n(4.8) 
$$
\leq K_2 K_1(n) \|s^{-1/n} f^*(s)\|_{L^{B_{A,n}}(0,\infty)} = K_2 K_1(n) \|f\|_{L(n,B_{A,n})(0,\infty)}
$$
\nfor every  $f \in L(n, B, \ldots)$  (0,  $\infty$ ).

for every  $f \in L(n, B_{A,n})(0, \infty)$ .

By (2.7) and (2.9), the first supremum in (4.8) equals  $||f||_{\overline{X}(0,\infty)}$ . Thus, we conclude that

$$
||f||_{\overline{X}(0,\infty)} \leq K_2 K_1(n) ||f||_{L(n,B_{A,n})(0,\infty)}
$$

for every  $f \in L(n, B_{A,n})(0, \infty)$ , whence (4.5) follows, owing to (2.9). Let us now take into account the case where G is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ having finite measure. Let  $X(G)$  be any r.i. space satisfying (2.32). Via the same argument as above, one deduces that

(4.9) 
$$
\left\| r^{-1/n'} \int_0^r g^*(s) ds \right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,|G|)} \leq K_2 \|g\|_{\overline{X}'(0,|G|)}
$$

for every  $g \in \overline{X}'(0, |G|)$ . Theorem 4.1 implies that

$$
(4.10)
$$
  

$$
\int_0^{|G|} f^*(s) g^*(s) ds \le K_1(n) \|s^{-1/n} f^*(s)\|_{L^{B_{A,n}}(0,|G|)} \|s^{-1/n'} \int_0^s f^*(r) dr \Big\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)}
$$

for any measurable functions f and g in  $(0, \infty)$ , vanishing outside  $(0, |G|)$ . We claim that a constant C, depending only A,  $|G|$  and n, exists such that

$$
(4.11) \qquad \left\| s^{-1/n'} \int_0^s g^*(r) \, dr \right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)} \le C \left\| s^{-1/n'} \int_0^s g^*(r) \, dr \right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,|G|)}
$$

for every g vanishing outside  $(0, |G|)$ . Indeed, we have

for every *g* vanishing outside 
$$
(0, |G|)
$$
. Indeed, we have  
\n
$$
\left\|s^{-1/n'}\int_0^s g^*(r) dr \right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)} \leq \left\|s^{-1/n'}\int_0^s g^*(r) dr \right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,|G|)}
$$
\n
$$
(4.12) + \left(\int_0^{|G|} g^*(r) dr\right) \left\|s^{-1/n'}\chi_{(|G|,\infty)}(s)\right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)}.
$$
\nNotice that the last norm is finite since  $\int_0^{\tilde{A}(t)} d(t < \infty)$ , as a consequence

 $\frac{A(t)}{t^{1+n'}} dt < \infty$ , as a consequence of (1.6) and of Lemma 2.3. Now, the last norm is finite since  $\int_0^{\frac{1}{t^{1+n'}}} dt < \infty$ , as a consequence is Lemma 2.3. Now

$$
+ \left( \int_0^{g} \frac{g(r) dr}{r} \right) \|s^{2} + \chi(|G|, \infty) (s) \|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0, \infty)}
$$
  
Notice that the last norm is finite since  $\int_0^{\tilde{A}(t)} \frac{\tilde{A}(t)}{t^{1+n'}} dt < \infty$ , as a consequence  
of (1.6) and of Lemma 2.3. Now,  

$$
\frac{n|G|^{1/n}}{n+1} \int_0^{|G|} g^*(r) dr = g^{**}(|G|) \int_0^{|G|} s^{1/n} ds
$$
  

$$
(4.13) \le \int_0^{|G|} s^{1/n} g^{**}(s) ds \le 2 \|1 \|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0, |G|)} \|s^{-1/n'} \int_0^s g^*(r) dr \Big|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0, |G|)}.
$$

Combining  $(4.12)–(4.13)$  yields  $(4.11)$ . On making use of  $(4.9)–(4.11)$ , one can argue as in the case where  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$  and conclude that there exists a constant K such that  $||u||_{X(G)} \leq K||u||_{L(n,B_{A,n})(G)}$  for every  $u \in L(n, B_{A,n})(G)$ .

Consider Part II. The optimality of  $L(n, B_{A,n})(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G)$  is clear when  $|G| < \infty$ , since, in this case,  $L(n, B_{A,n})(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G) = L^{\infty}(G) \subseteq X(G)$  for any r.i. space  $X(G)$ , thanks to property (P4).

Assume, instead, that  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Owing to (2.10), it suffices to show that

(4.14) 
$$
L(n, B_{A,n})(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq X(\mathbb{R}^n)
$$

for every r.i. space  $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying  $(2.32)$  with  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $u \in L(n, B_{A,n})(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then, in particular, u is essentially bounded. Thus, if  $|\text{supp } u| < \infty$ , then u belongs to any r.i. space, and hence to  $u \in X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by properties (P4)-(P5) of r.i. spaces. Assume now that  $|\text{supp } u| = \infty$ . Given  $t > 0$ , let us decompose u as  $u(x) = u_t(x) + u^t(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $u_t$  and  $u^t$  are defined analogously as in (3.7). Notice that  $u_t$ and u<sup>t</sup> belong to  $L(n, B_{A,n})(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , since u does and  $|u_t(x)| \leq |u(x)|$ ,  $|u^t(x)| \leq |u(x)|$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We have  $|\text{supp } u^t| = |\{|u| > t\}| < \infty$ , since  $u \in L(n, B_{A,n})(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Inasmuch as  $u^t$  is essentially bounded, we conclude as above that  $u^t \in X(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for every  $t > 0$ . Next, consider  $u_t$ . Since we are assuming that  $|\text{supp } u| = \infty$ , then  $|\{u_t \geq t\}| = |\{|u| \geq t\}| \geq \alpha(q, A),$ provided that t is sufficiently small, where  $\alpha(q, A)$  is the number appearing in Theorem 4.1. Thus, since  $\text{ess sup}|u_t| = t$ , then the function  $f = u_t^*$ satisfies assumption (4.4) of Theorem 4.1. The same argument as in the proof of Part I now yields  $u_t \in X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Hence,  $u \in X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

Let us now come to Theorem 4.1. The outline of the proof is as follows. Inequality (4.2) is first transformed into an equivalent inequality where the product of norms is replaced by a sum of integrals on the right-hand side. Via a discretization and truncation argument, this inequality is further reduced to an inequality restricted to characteristic functions of intervals and linear combinations of them, which is dealt with in Lemma 4.4. The proof of this lemma requires an inequality between certain Orlicz norms of powers (Lemma 4.3), which in turn relies upon an inequality involving integrals of the function  $A$  (Lemma 4.2).

As a preliminary step, we associate with any  $A$  and  $q$  as in the statement of Theorem 4.1 the functions  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi$ ,  $\Theta$ :  $[0,\infty] \to [0,\infty]$  defined, for  $s \geq 0$ , by: the function A (Lemma 4.2).<br>
As a preliminary step, we associated Theorem 4.1 the functions  $\Lambda$ , 4<br>  $s \geq 0$ , by:<br>
(4.15)  $\Lambda(s) = \int^s$ 

(4.15) 
$$
\Lambda(s) = \int_0^s \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr,
$$
  
where *a* is the function appearing in (1.4),

where  $\alpha$  is the function appearing in  $(1.4)$ ,

(4.16) 
$$
\Phi(s) = q' \int_0^s \frac{\tilde{A}(r)}{r^{1+q'}} dr,
$$

458 A. CIANCHI  
(4.17) 
$$
\Psi(s) = (s\Phi^{-1}(s^{q'}))^{{q'}},
$$

where  $\Phi^{-1}$  is the (generalized) right-continuous inverse of  $\Phi$ , and

(4.17) 
$$
\Psi(s) = (s\Phi^{-1}(s^{q'}))^{\frac{q'}{r}},
$$
 where  $\Phi^{-1}$  is the (generalized) right-continuous inverse  
(4.18) 
$$
\Theta(s) = \left(\int_{s/q'}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{b^{-1}(r)}\right)^{q-1} dr\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}},
$$

where  $b^{-1}$  is given by (1.18).

**Lemma 4.2** *Let*  $q \in (1, \infty)$  *and let* A *be a Young function satisfying* (1.17). Let  $\Phi$  *and*  $\Theta$  *be the functions defined by (4.16) and (4.18). Then there exists a constant*  $K_2(q)$ *, depending only on q, such that* Where *o* is given by (<br> **Lemma 4.2** Let  $q \in (1$ <br>
Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Theta$  be the fund<br>
a constant  $K_2(q)$ , depend<br>
(4.19)  $\Theta\left(\frac{s}{(K)}\right)$ 

(4.19) 
$$
\Theta\left(\frac{s}{(K_2(q))^{q'}}\right) \le (K_2(q))^{q'}\Phi(s) \text{ for } s \in (0, \beta(A, q)),
$$
  
where  

$$
(4.20) \qquad \beta(A,q) = \begin{cases} a(\Lambda^{-1}(2^{\frac{1}{1-q}}\Lambda(\infty))) & \text{if } \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr < a \end{cases}
$$

*where*

(4.19) 
$$
\Theta\left(\frac{\overline{(K_2(q))^{q'}}}{(K_2(q))^{q'}}\right) \le (K_2(q))^q \Phi(s) \text{ for } s \in (0, \beta(A, q)),
$$
  
where  

$$
(4.20) \qquad \beta(A,q) = \begin{cases} a(\Lambda^{-1}(2^{\frac{1}{1-q}}\Lambda(\infty))) & \text{if } \int^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr < \infty \\ \infty & \text{if } \int^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr = \infty, \end{cases}
$$

*and* Λ *is given by (4.15)*

**Proof.** Inequality (4.19) reads

(4.21)  
\n
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{dx} \, dx = \infty,
$$
\nand  $\Lambda$  is given by (4.15)  
\n**Proof.** Inequality (4.19) reads  
\n(4.21)  
\n
$$
\int_{s/(q'(K_2(q))^{q'}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{b^{-1}(r)}\right)^{q-1} dr\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \leq q'(K_2(q))^{q'} \int_0^s \frac{\widetilde{A}(r)}{r^{1+q'}} dr.
$$
\nConsider the left-hand side of (4.21). We have, by Fubini's theorem,  
\n
$$
(q-1) \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1-t} \right)^{q-1} dr =
$$

Consider the left-hand side of (4.21). We have, by Fubini's theorem,

Consider the left-hand side of (4.21). We have, by Fubini's theorem,  
\n
$$
(q-1) \int_{s}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{b^{-1}(r)}\right)^{q-1} dr =
$$
\n
$$
= (q-1) \int_{s}^{\infty} \left(\int_{a^{-1}(r)}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{1}{a(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tau\right)^{-q} \frac{dt}{a(t)^{q'}}\right) dr
$$
\n
$$
= (q-1) \int_{a^{-1}(s)}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{1}{a(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tau\right)^{-q} \frac{a(t) - s}{a(t)^{q'}} dt
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\int_{0}^{a^{-1}(s)} \left(\frac{1}{a(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tau\right)^{1-q} - \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tau\right)^{1-q}
$$
\n
$$
-s \int_{a^{-1}(s)}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{1}{a(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tau\right)^{-q} \frac{dt}{a(t)^{q'}}
$$
\n(4.22) 
$$
= (\Lambda(a^{-1}(s)))^{1-q} - (\Lambda(\infty))^{1-q} - \frac{s}{(b^{-1}(s))^{q-1}} \quad \text{for } s > 0.
$$

Moreover,

Order over,

\n
$$
(4.23) \int_{s/2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{b^{-1}(r)}\right)^{q-1} dr \ge \int_{s/2}^{s} \left(\frac{1}{b^{-1}(r)}\right)^{q-1} dr \ge \frac{s}{2(b^{-1}(s))^{q-1}} \quad \text{for } s > 0.
$$
\nCombining (4.22)–(4.23) yields

\n
$$
(4.24) \left[ (\Lambda(a^{-1}(s)))^{1-q} - (\Lambda(\infty))^{1-q} \right]_{s}^{1-q} \ge (q+1)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \left( \int_{s}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{b^{-1}(s)}\right)^{q-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} dr
$$

Combining  $(4.22)-(4.23)$  yields

$$
(4.24) \left[ (\Lambda(a^{-1}(s)))^{1-q} - (\Lambda(\infty))^{1-q} \right]^{1-q} \ge (q+1)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \left( \int_{s/2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{b^{-1}(r)} \right)^{q-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}
$$

for  $s > 0$ . As far as the right-hand side of  $(4.21)$  is concerned, an application of Fubini's theorem gives X,

of Fubini's theorem gives  
\n
$$
\int_0^s \frac{a^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau^{q'}} d\tau = \int_0^{a^{-1}(s)} \int_{a(r)}^s \tau^{-q'} d\tau dr
$$
\n(4.25)\n
$$
= (q-1) \left( \int_0^{a^{-1}(s)} \left( \frac{1}{a(r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr - \frac{a^{-1}(s)}{s^{1/(q-1)}} \right)
$$
\nfor  $s > 0$ . On the other hand,  
\n(4.26)\n
$$
\int_0^{2s} \frac{a^{-1}(\tau)}{s'} d\tau \ge \int_0^{2s} \frac{a^{-1}(\tau)}{s'} d\tau \ge (q-1)(1-2^{1/(1-q)}) \frac{a^{-1}}{1-q}
$$

for  $s > 0$ . On the other hand,

(4.26) 
$$
\int_0^{2s} \frac{a^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau^{q'}} d\tau \ge \int_s^{2s} \frac{a^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau^{q'}} d\tau \ge (q-1)(1-2^{1/(1-q)}) \frac{a^{-1}(s)}{s^{1/(q-1)}}
$$
  
for  $s > 0$ . On making first use of (4.25)–(4.26), and then of (2.11) wit  
replaced by  $\widetilde{A}$  and a replaced by  $a^{-1}$ , we easily deduce that

for  $s > 0$ . On making first use of  $(4.25)–(4.26)$ , and then of  $(2.11)$  with A  $\int_0^{\pi q'}$ <br>
(0. On making<br>
(d by  $\widetilde{A}$  and a i<br>
(s)) =  $\int_0^{\pi q-1(s)}$ 

replaced by 
$$
\tilde{A}
$$
 and a replaced by  $a^{-1}$ , we easily deduce that  
\n
$$
\Lambda(a^{-1}(s)) = \int_0^{a^{-1}(s)} \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr \le \frac{2 - 2^{1/(1-q)}}{(q-1)(1 - 2^{1/(1-q)})} \int_0^{2s} \frac{a^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau^{q'}} d\tau
$$
\n(4.27) 
$$
\le \frac{2^{q'}(2 - 2^{1/(1-q)})}{(q-1)(1 - 2^{1/(1-q)})} \int_0^{4s} \frac{\tilde{A}(\tau)}{\tau^{1+q'}} d\tau
$$
\nfor  $s > 0$ . Now, if  $\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr = \infty$ , then  $\Lambda(\infty)^{1-q} = 0$ , by Lemma 2.

 $\sqrt{\frac{1}{k}}$  $1/(q-1)$ dr = ∞, then  $\Lambda(\infty)^{1-q} = 0$ , by Lemma 2.3. Thus, inequality (4.21) holds for every  $s > 0$ , as a consequence of (4.24) (4.27)  $\leq \frac{2(2-2)}{(q-1)(1-2^{1/(1-q)})} \int_0^{\infty}$ <br>for  $s > 0$ . Now, if  $\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr = 0$ <br>Thus, inequality (4.21) holds for ever<br>and (4.27). If, on the contrary,  $\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr$ and the very definition of  $\beta(A,q)$  easily implies that and (4.27). If, on the contrary,  $\int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr < \infty$ , then  $\Lambda(\infty)^{1-q} > 0$ , Thus, inequality (4.21) holds for eve<br>and (4.27). If, on the contrary,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) dA$ <br>and the very definition of  $\beta(A, q)$  eas:<br>(4.28)  $[(\Lambda(a^{-1}(s)))^{1-q} - (\Lambda(\infty))^{1-q}]$ 

$$
(4.28) \ \left[ (\Lambda(a^{-1}(s)))^{1-q} - (\Lambda(\infty))^{1-q} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \Lambda(a^{-1}(s)) \text{ for } 0 < s \leq \beta(A, q).
$$

From (4.24), (4.27) and (4.28) we infer that (4.21) holds for  $s \in (0, \beta(A, q)]$ . 

**Lemma 4.3** *Let*  $q \in (1, \infty)$  *and let* A *be a Young function satisfying (1.17). Then*

Then  
\n
$$
(4.29) \qquad 1 \le 2K_2(q) \|s^{-1/q} \chi_{[0,t)}(s)\|_{L^{B_{A,q}}(0,\infty)} \|s^{-1/q'} \chi_{[t,\infty)}(s)\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)}
$$
\nfor every  $t \ge \alpha(A,q)/2$ . Here\n
$$
\int \frac{2}{\sqrt{(1-\alpha)^{1/q}(\alpha^{\beta(A,q)})^2}} \quad \text{if } \int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr < 0
$$

*for every*  $t \geq \alpha(A, q)/2$ *. Here* 

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{(4.30)} \quad & \alpha(A,q)/2. \text{ Here} \\
& \alpha(A,q) &= \begin{cases}\n\frac{2}{\Psi\left(\frac{1}{K_2(q)}\Theta^{1/q'}\left(\frac{\beta(A,q)}{(K_2(q))^q'}\right)\right)} & \text{if } \int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr < \infty \\
0 & \text{if } \int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr &= \infty,\n\end{cases}\n\end{aligned}
$$

*where*  $\Psi$  *and*  $\Theta$  *are defined in* (4.17) *and* (4.18), *respectively,*  $K_2(q)$  *is the constant appearing in (4.19) and*  $\beta(A,q)$  *is defined by (4.20).* 

**Proof.** Computations show that

(4.31) 
$$
\|s^{-1/q}\chi_{[0,t)}(s)\|_{L^{B_{A,q}}(0,\infty)} = \frac{t^{-1/q}}{H^{-1}(1/t)} \text{ for } t > 0,
$$

where  $H^{-1}$  is the (generalized) right-continuous inverse of the Young function  $H$  given by

(4.32) 
$$
H(s) = qs^q \int_s^{\infty} \frac{B_{A,q}(r)}{r^{1+q}} dr \text{ for } s > 0.
$$
  
[13, Lemma 5] ensures that  
(4.33) 
$$
\widetilde{H}(s) \le q' \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{q'} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r}}\right)^{q-1} dr\right)^{\frac{1}{1-r}}
$$

[13, Lemma 5] ensures that

(4.32) 
$$
H(s) = qs^q \int_s^{\frac{2\lambda q}{r^{1+q}}} dr \text{ for } s > 0.
$$
  
[13, Lemma 5] ensures that  

$$
\widetilde{H}(s) \le q' \left(\frac{s}{q'}\right)^{q'} \left(\int_{s/q'}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{\widetilde{B_{A,q}}(r)}\right)^{q-1} dr\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \text{ for } s > 0.
$$
  
Hence, owing to (2.11) applied to  $B_{A,q}$ , we have  $\widetilde{H}(s) \le s^{q'}\Theta(s)$  for  $s > 0$ .

Thus, if  $F : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  is defined as  $F(s) = s^{q'} \Theta(s)$  for  $s \geq 0$ , then Hence, owing to (2.11) app<br>Thus, if  $F : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$ <br>(4.34)  $\widetilde{H}^{-1}$ Hence, owing to (2.11) applied to  $B_{A,q}$ , we have  $H(s) \le s^q \Theta(s)$  for  $s > 0$ .<br>
Thus, if  $F : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  is defined as  $F(s) = s^q \Theta(s)$  for  $s \ge 0$ , then<br>
(4.34)  $\widetilde{H}^{-1}(r) \ge F^{-1}(r)$  for  $r > 0$ ,<br>
where  $\widetilde{H}^{-1}$  and

(4.34) 
$$
\widetilde{H}^{-1}(r) \ge F^{-1}(r) \text{ for } r > 0,
$$

from  $(2.15)$  applied to H, and from  $(4.34)$  we deduce that

(4.35) 
$$
\|s^{-1/q}\chi_{[0,t)}(s)\|_{L^{B_{A,q}}(0,\infty)} \ge \frac{F^{-1}(1/t)}{2t^{-1/q'}} \quad \text{for } t > 0.
$$

On the other hand, it is not difficult to verify that  
(4.36) 
$$
||s^{-1/q'}\chi_{[t,\infty)}(s)||_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)} = \Psi^{-1}(1/t) \text{ for } t > 0,
$$

where  $\Psi^{-1}$  is the (generalized) right-continuous inverse of  $\Psi$ .

OPTIMAL ORLICZ-SOBOLEV EMBEDDINGS 461<br>Now, define  $L : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  as  $L(s) = (s\Theta^{-1}(s^{q'}))^{q'}$  for  $s > 0$ , and observe that

(4.37) 
$$
L^{-1}(r) = \frac{r^{1/q'}}{F^{-1}(r)} \text{ for } r > 0,
$$

where  $L^{-1}$  is the (generalized) right-continuous inverse of L. Lemma 4.2 implies that  $\tau$ ) =  $\frac{1}{F^{-1}(r)}$ <br>ed) right-co<br> $\tau(K_2(q))^q'$ )

that  
\n
$$
(K_2(q))^{q'} \Theta^{-1} \big( \tau(K_2(q))^{q'} \big) \ge \Phi^{-1}(\tau) \quad \text{for } \tau > 0
$$
\nively, for  $0 < \tau \le (K_2(q))^{-q'} \Theta\big(\beta(A, q)(K_2(q))^{-q'}\big) \big]$  if

\n
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\tau} \left( \mathcal{F}_{\tau}(q) \right) \, d\tau
$$

[respectively, for  $0 < \tau \le (K_2(q))^{-q'} \Theta(\beta(A,q)(K_2(q))^{-q'})$ ] if

$$
\int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr = \infty \qquad \bigg[ \int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{A(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr < \infty \bigg].
$$

Hence,

$$
L(K_2(q)s) \ge \Psi(s) \text{ for } s > 0 \quad \left[0 < s \le (K_2(q))^{-1} \Theta^{1/q'}(\beta(A,q)(K_2(q))^{-q'})\right].
$$

Thus,

(4.38) 
$$
L^{-1}(r) \le K_2(q)\Psi^{-1}(r)
$$

for  $r > 0$   $|0 < r \leq \Psi$  $L(A_2(q)s) \ge \Psi(s) \text{ for } s > 0 \quad [0 < s \le (A_2(q)) \quad \Theta \quad ( \rho(A,q)(A_2(q))^{-1})].$ <br>
Thus,<br>
(4.38)  $L^{-1}(r) \le K_2(q)\Psi^{-1}(r)$ <br>
for  $r > 0 \left[0 < r \le \Psi\big((K_2(q))^{-1}\Theta^{1/q'}\big(\beta(A,q)(K_2(q))^{-q'}\big)\big)\right].$  Inequality (4.29) is a consequence of  $(4.35)–(4.38)$ .

**Lemma 4.4** *Let*  $q \in (1, \infty)$  *and let*  $A$  *be a Young function satisfying (1.17). Then* let A be a Young func

for 
$$
r > 0
$$
  $[0 < r \le \Psi((K_2(q))^{-1}\Theta^{1/q} (\beta(A,q)(K_2(q))^{-q})))$ . Inequality (4.29)  
is a consequence of (4.35)–(4.38).  
**Lemma 4.4** Let  $q \in (1, \infty)$  and let A be a Young function satisfying (1.17)  
*Then*  

$$
\int_0^\infty \lambda \chi_{[0,t)}(s) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_i \chi_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(s) ds \le 2K_2(q) \left[ \int_0^\infty B_{A,q}(\lambda s^{-1/q} \chi_{[0,t)}(s)) ds + \int_0^\infty \widetilde{A}\left(s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_i \chi_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(r) dr \right) ds \right]
$$

*for all nonnegative*  $\lambda$ *,*  $\lambda_i$ *,*  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ *, for all nonnegative*  $t_i$  *such that*  $t_i \leq t_{i+1}$  *for*  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f_{i+1}$  *l*  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and for all

(4.39)  
\n
$$
+ \int_{0} A \left( s^{-1/q} \int_{0} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{i} \chi_{[t_{i}, t_{i+1})}(r) \, ds \right)
$$
\nfor all nonnegative  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_{i}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for all nonnegative  $t_{i}$  such that  $t_{i} \leq t$   
\n $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and for all  
\n
$$
t \geq \frac{\alpha(A, q)}{2} \bigg[ \int_{0}^{\infty} B_{A,q} \big( \lambda s^{-1/q} \chi_{[0,t)}(s) \big) ds + \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A} \bigg( s^{-1/q'} \int_{0}^{s} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{i} \chi_{[t_{i}, t_{i+1})}(r) \, dr \bigg) ds \bigg],
$$
\n(4.40)

*where*  $K_2(q)$  *is the constant appearing in Lemma 4.2, and*  $\alpha(A,q)$  *is the number defined by (4.30).*

 $462$  A. CIANCHI

**Proof.** By the monotone convergence theorem for integrals, it suffices to prove that ne convergence theore

**Proof.** By the monotone convergence theorem for integrals, it suffices to  
prove that\n
$$
\int_0^\infty \lambda \chi_{[0,t)}(s) \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \lambda_i \ \chi_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(s) \ ds \leq 2K_2(q) \Bigg[ \int_0^\infty B_{A,q}(\lambda s^{-1/q} \chi_{[0,t)}(s)) \ ds \Bigg]
$$
\n(4.41)\n
$$
+ \int_0^\infty \widetilde{A}\left(s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \lambda_i \chi_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(r) \ dr\right) ds \Bigg]
$$

for  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_i$ ,  $t$ ,  $t_i$  as in the statement and for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .<br>We also that in equality (4.41) is a expression of We claim that inequality  $(4.41)$  is a consequence of  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$
\int_0^\infty \lambda \chi_{[0,t)}(s) \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \lambda_i \chi_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(s) ds \le 2K_2(q) \|\lambda s^{-1/q} \chi_{[0,t)}(s)\|_{L^{B_{A,q}}(0,\infty)}
$$
  
(4.42)  

$$
\times \left\| s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \lambda_i \chi_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(r) dr \right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)}
$$
  
for  $t \ge \alpha(A,q)/2$ . To see this, set  

$$
M = \int_0^\infty B_{A,q}(\lambda s^{-1/q} \chi_{[0,t)}(s)) ds + \int_0^\infty \widetilde{A}(s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s \sum_{i=N}^{N-1} \lambda_i \chi_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(r) dr)
$$

for  $t \geq \alpha(A, q)/2$ . To see this, set

$$
M = \int_0^\infty B_{A,q}(\lambda s^{-1/q}\chi_{[0,t)}(s))ds + \int_0^\infty \widetilde{A}(s^{-1/q'}\int_0^s \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \lambda_i \chi_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(r) dr) ds,
$$
  
apply (4.42) with  $A(s)$  replaced by  $A^M(s) = A(s)/M$ , and observe that, after  
this replacement,  $B_{A,q}(s)$  is changed into  $B_{A^M,q}(s) = B_{A,q}(s)/M$ ,  $\widetilde{A}(s)$  into

apply (4.42) with  $A(s)$  replaced by  $A^M(s) = A(s)/M$ , and observe that, after  $M = \int_0^{B_{A,q}(\lambda s^{-1/q}\chi_{[0,t)}(s))ds + \int_0^{B_{A,s}(\lambda s^{-1/q})}\int_0^{\lambda} \sum_{i=-N} \lambda_i \chi_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(r) dr ds$ <br>
apply (4.42) with  $A(s)$  replaced by  $A^M(s) = A(s)/M$ , and observe that, after<br>
this replacement,  $B_{A,q}(s)$  is changed into  $B_{A^M,q}(s) = B_{A,q$  $s$ this replacement,  $B_{A,q}(s)$  is changed into  $B_{A^M,q}(s) = B_{A,q}(s)/M$ ,  $\widetilde{A}(s)$  into  $\alpha(A,q)$  into  $\alpha(A^M,q)$ 

$$
\|\lambda s^{-1/q}\chi_{[0,t)}(s)\|_{L^{B_{AM,q}}(0,\infty)}\leq 1
$$

and

$$
\|s^{-1/q'}\int_0^s \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \lambda_i \chi_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(r) dr \Big\|_{L^{\widetilde{AM}}(0,\infty)} \le M,
$$

whence  $(4.41)$  follows.

Let us establish (4.42). We may clearly suppose that  $\lambda = 1$ . We have  $\frac{10}{11}$ 

Let us establish (4.42). We may clearly suppose that 
$$
\lambda = 1
$$
. We have  
\n(4.43) 
$$
\int_0^\infty \chi_{[0,t)}(s) \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \lambda_i \chi_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(s) ds =
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{cases}\n0 & \text{if } t \le t_{-N} \\
\sum_{i=-N}^{j-1} \lambda_i (t_{i+1} - t_i) + \lambda_j (t - t_j) & \text{if } t \in (t_j, t_{j+1}] \text{ for some } \\
j \in \{-N, \dots, N-1\} \\
\sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \lambda_i (t_{i+1} - t_i) & \text{if } t > t_N.\n\end{cases}
$$

When  $t \le t_N$  there is nothing to prove. Assume that  $t \in (t_j, t_{j+1}]$  for some  $t \in (t_N, t_{j+1}]$  $j \in \{-N, \ldots, N-1\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{1}$   $\mathbf{2}$   $\mathbf{1}$   $\mathbf{$  $-1$ .

$$
(4.44) \left\|s^{-1/q'}\int_{0}^{s}\sum_{i=-N}^{N-1}\lambda_{i}\chi_{[t_{i},t_{i+1})}(r) dr \right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left\|s^{-1/q'}\sum_{i=-N}^{N-1}(\lambda_{i}(s-t_{i})\chi_{[t_{i},t_{i+1})}(s)+\lambda_{i}(t_{i+1}-t_{i})\chi_{[t_{i+1},\infty)}(s))\right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)}
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \left\|s^{-1/q'}\left(\sum_{i=-N}^{j-1}\lambda_{i}(t_{i+1}-t_{i})\chi_{[t_{i+1},\infty)}(s)+\lambda_{j}(s-t_{j})\chi_{[t_{j},t)}(s)\right.\right.
$$
  
\n
$$
+\lambda_{j}(s-t_{j})\chi_{[t,t_{j+1})}(s)+\lambda_{j}(t_{j+1}-t_{j})\chi_{[t_{j+1},\infty)}(s)\right)\right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)}
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \left\|s^{-1/q'}\left(\sum_{i=-N}^{j-1}\lambda_{i}(t_{i+1}-t_{i})\chi_{[t,\infty)}(s)+\lambda_{j}(t-t_{j})\chi_{[t,t_{j+1})}(s)\right.\right.
$$
  
\n
$$
+\lambda_{j}(t-t_{j})\chi_{[t_{j+1},\infty)}(s)\right)\right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)}
$$
  
\n
$$
=\left(\sum_{i=-N}^{j-1}\lambda_{i}(t_{i+1}-t_{i})+\lambda_{j}(t-t_{j})\right)\left\|s^{-1/q'}\chi_{[t,\infty)}(s)\right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)}.
$$

If  $t > t_N$ , then we have e hav

$$
(4.45) \quad \left\| s^{-1/q'} \int_{0}^{s} \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \lambda_{i} \chi_{[t_{i}, t_{i+1})}(r) \, dr \right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0, \infty)}
$$
\n
$$
= \left\| s^{-1/q'} \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \left( \lambda_{i} (s-t_{i}) \chi_{[t_{i}, t_{i+1})}(s) + \lambda_{i} (t_{i+1}-t_{i}) \chi_{[t_{i+1}, \infty)}(s) \right) \right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0, \infty)}
$$
\n
$$
\geq \left\| s^{-1/q'} \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \lambda_{i} (t_{i+1}-t_{i}) \chi_{[t_{i+1}, \infty)}(s) \right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0, \infty)}
$$
\n
$$
\geq \left\| s^{-1/q'} \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \lambda_{i} (t_{i+1}-t_{i}) \chi_{[t, \infty)}(s) \right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0, \infty)}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \lambda_{i} (t_{i+1}-t_{i}) \left\| s^{-1/q'} \chi_{[t, \infty)}(s) \right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0, \infty)}.
$$

Owing to Lemma 4.3, inequality (4.42) follows from (4.43) and (4.44) if  $t \in (t_j, t_{j+1}]$  for some  $j \in \{-N, ..., N-1\}$ , and from (4.43) and (4.45) if  $t > t_N$ . ■  $t>t_N$ .

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** The statement will be proved with  $\alpha(A, q)$  defined by (4.30). For simplicity of notation, throughout the proof we denote  $B_{A,q}$ <br>by B. Owing to the Hardy-Littlewood inequality (2.5), we may assume that<br> $f = f^*$  and  $g = g^*$ . We claim that inequality (4.2) will follow if we by B. Owing to the Hardy-Littlewood inequality (2.5), we may assume that that

$$
f = f^* \text{ and } g = g^*. \text{ We claim that inequality (4.2) will follow if we show that}
$$
  
\n
$$
\int_0^\infty f^*(s)g^*(s) ds \le K_1(q) \left( \int_0^\infty B(s^{-1/q} f^*(s)) ds + \int_0^\infty \widetilde{A}\left(s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s g^*(r) dr\right) ds \right)
$$
  
\nfor any  $f$  and  $g$  as in the statement and satisfying, in addition,  
\n(4.47) 
$$
\int_0^\infty B(s^{-1/q} f^*(s)) ds \le 1 \text{ and } \int_0^\infty \widetilde{A}\left(s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s g^*(r) dr\right) ds \le 1.
$$

for any  $f$  and  $g$  as in the statement and satisfying, in addition,

$$
(4.47) \quad \int_0^\infty B\big(s^{-1/q} f^*(s)\big) ds \le 1 \text{ and } \int_0^\infty \widetilde{A}\left(s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s g^*(r) \, dr\right) ds \le 1.
$$

To verify our claim, notice that if  $f$  and  $g$  are any functions making the right-hand side of (4.2) finite, then the new functions f and  $\overline{g}$ , defined for  $\epsilon > 0$  as are any f<br>functions<br> $\frac{\text{Im}(\cdot)-1/q'}{\int_0^{\infty}}$ 

$$
\overline{f}(s) = \frac{f^*(s)}{\|(\cdot)^{-1/q} f^*(\cdot)\|_{L^B(0,\infty)} + \epsilon} \text{ and } \overline{g}(s) = \frac{g^*(s)}{\|(\cdot)^{-1/q'} \int_0^s g^*(r) dr \|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)} + \epsilon},
$$

satisfy  $(4.47)$ ; notice also that f fulfills  $(4.4)$  if f does. Moreover, an appli-

satisfy (4.47); notice also that 
$$
\overline{f}
$$
 fulfills (4.4) if  $f$  does. Moreover, an app  
\ncation of (4.46) with  $f^*$  and  $g^*$  replaced by  $\overline{f}$  and  $\overline{g}$  yields\n
$$
\int_0^\infty \frac{f^*(s)g^*(s)}{\left(\left\|(\cdot)^{-1/q}f^*(\cdot)\right\|_{L^B(0,\infty)} + \epsilon\right) \left(\left\|(\cdot)^{-1/q'} \int_0^{\cdot} g^*(r) dr\right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)} + \epsilon\right)} ds \le
$$
\n
$$
\le \frac{K_1(q)}{2} \left(\int_0^\infty B\left(\frac{s^{-1/q}f^*(s)}{\left\|(\cdot)^{-1/q}f^*(\cdot)\right\|_{L^B(0,\infty)} + \epsilon}\right) ds + \int_0^\infty \widetilde{A}\left(\frac{s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s g^*(r) dr}{\left\|(\cdot)^{-1/q'} \int_0^s g^*(r) dr\right\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)} + \epsilon}\right) ds\right)
$$
\n(4.48)  $\le K_1(q)$ .

Inequality (4.48) implies (4.2), thanks to the arbitrariness of  $\epsilon$ .

We now prove (4.46). For every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\overline{)}$ 

$$
(4.49) \t\t 2^{k+1} \le \text{ess sup } |f|,
$$

define the non-increasing function  $f_k : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  as

(4.50) 
$$
f_k(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f^*(s) < 2^k, \\ f^*(s) - 2^k & \text{if } 2^k \le f^*(s) < 2^{k+1}, \\ 2^k & \text{if } f^*(s) \ge 2^{k+1}. \end{cases}
$$

Furthermore, set

$$
F_k = \{ s \ge 0 : 2^{k+1} \le f^* < 2^{k+2} \},
$$

and, for k,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$
G_{k,m} = \{ s \in F_k : 2^m \le g^* < 2^{m+1} \}.
$$

Note that each of the sets  $F_k$  and  $G_{k,m}$  is either empty or an interval, and that  $F_k = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} G_{k,m}$ . Furthermore,  $F_k \subseteq \{f^* \geq 2^{k+1}\} = \{f_k \geq 2^k\}$ , and integral places on the sintegrate one of and  $\{f_k > 2^k\}$ . interval whose endpoints are 0 and  $|\{f_k \geq 2^k\}|$ . One has,

that 
$$
F_k = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} G_{k,m}
$$
. Furthermore,  $F_k \subseteq \{f\} \leq 2 - f - \{Jk \leq 2 - f\}$ , interval whose endpoints are 0 and  $|\{f_k \geq 2^k\}|$ . One has,\n
$$
\int_0^\infty f^*(s) g^*(s) ds = \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_k \chi_{F_k}(s) f^*(s)\right) g^*(s) ds
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_k \int_0^\infty \chi_{F_k}(s) f^*(s) g^*(s) ds \leq \sum_k \int_0^\infty 2^{k+2} \chi_{F_k}(s) g^*(s) ds
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_k \int_0^\infty 2^{k+2} \chi_{\{f_k \geq 2^k\}}(s) \chi_{F_k}(s) g^*(s) ds
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_k \int_0^\infty 2^{k+2} \chi_{\{f_k \geq 2^k\}}(s) \sum_m \chi_{G_{k,m}}(s) g^*(s) ds
$$
\n(4.51) 
$$
\leq \sum_k 8 \int_0^\infty 2^k \chi_{\{f_k \geq 2^k\}}(s) \sum_m 2^m \chi_{G_{k,m}}(s) ds.
$$
\nBy Lemma 4.4, we have that, for every  $k$  satisfying (4.49),

By Lemma 4.4, we have that, for every  $k$  satisfying  $(4.49)$ ,  $\ddot{=}$ 

By Lemma 4.4, we have that, for every 
$$
k
$$
 satisfying (4.49),  
\n
$$
\int_0^\infty 2^k \chi_{\{f_k \ge 2^k\}}(s) \sum_m 2^m \chi_{G_{k,m}}(s) ds \le 2K_2(q) \left[ \int_0^\infty B \left( 2^k s^{-1/q} \chi_{\{f_k \ge 2^k\}}(s) \right) ds + \int_0^\infty \widetilde{A} \left( s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s \sum_m 2^m \chi_{G_{k,m}}(r) dr \right) ds \right].
$$
\nObserve that  $t = |\{f_k \ge 2^k\}|$  in this application of Lemma 4.4. Thus, we need to know that

Observe that  $t = |\{f_k \geq 2^k\}|$  in this application of Lemma 4.4. Thus, we need to know that

Observe that 
$$
t = |\{f_k \ge 2^k\}|
$$
 in this application of Lemma 4.4. Thus,  
need to know that  

$$
|\{f_k \ge 2^k\}| \ge \frac{\alpha(A, q)}{2} \left[ \int_0^\infty B(2^k s^{-1/q} \chi_{\{f_k \ge 2^k\}}(s)) ds + \int_0^\infty \widetilde{A}\left(s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s \sum_m 2^m \chi_{G_{k,m}}(r) dr\right) ds \right].
$$

Since (4.49) is in force, then

(4.54) 
$$
|\{f_k \ge 2^k\}| = |\{f^* \ge 2^{k+1}\}| = |\{f \ge 2^{k+1}\}| \ge \alpha(A, q),
$$
 by assumption (4.4)

by assumption (4.4).

(4.55) 
$$
2^{k} \chi_{\{f_{k} \geq 2^{k}\}}(s) \leq f_{k}(s) \leq f^{*}(s) \text{ for } s > 0,
$$

Moreover, inasmuch as  
\n(4.55) 
$$
2^k \chi_{\{f_k \ge 2^k\}}(s) \le f_k(s) \le f^*(s)
$$
 for  $s > 0$ ,  
\n(4.56)  $\sum_m 2^m \chi_{G_{k,m}}(s) \le \sum_m g^*(s) \chi_{G_{k,m}}(s) = g^*(s) \chi_{F_k}(s) \le g^*(s)$  for  $s > 0$ ,  
\nand we are assuming (4.47), then  
\n
$$
\int_0^\infty B(2^k s^{-1/q} \chi_{\{f_k \ge 2^k\}}(s)) ds + \int_0^\infty \widetilde{A}(s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s \sum 2^m \chi_{G_{k,m}}(r) dr) ds
$$

and we are assuming (4.47), then uming  $(4.47)$ , then

and we are assuming (4.47), then  
\n
$$
\int_0^{\infty} B(2^k s^{-1/q} \chi_{\{f_k \ge 2^k\}}(s)) ds + \int_0^{\infty} \widetilde{A}\left(s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s \sum_m 2^m \chi_{G_{k,m}}(r) dr\right) ds
$$
\n
$$
(4.57) \leq \int_0^{\infty} B(s^{-1/q} f^*(s)) ds + \int_0^{\infty} \widetilde{A}\left(s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s g^*(r) dr\right) ds \leq 2.
$$

Inequality  $(4.53)$  is a consequence of  $(4.54)$  and  $(4.57)$ .  $(1.01)$  and  $(1.01)$ 

Now, by (4.55)−(4.56),  $(4.55) - (4.55)$ 

(4.57) 
$$
\leq \int_0^{\infty} B(s^{-1}f'(s))ds + \int_0^{\infty} A(s^{-1}f'(s))ds \leq 2.
$$
  
\nInequality (4.53) is a consequence of (4.54) and (4.57).  
\nNow, by (4.55)–(4.56),  
\n
$$
\int_0^{\infty} B(2^k s^{-1/q} \chi_{\{f_k \geq 2^k\}}(s)) ds + \int_0^{\infty} \widetilde{A}(s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s \sum_m 2^m \chi_{G_{k,m}}(r) dr) ds
$$
\n(4.58) 
$$
\leq \int_0^{\infty} B(s^{-1/q} f_k(s)) ds + \int_0^{\infty} \widetilde{A}(s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s g^*(r) \chi_{F_k}(r) dr) ds.
$$
  
\nCombining (4.51), (4.52) and (4.58) yields, via the monotone convergence theorem for integrals,

Combining (4.51), (4.52) and (4.58) yields, via the monotone convergence theorem for integrals,  $\alpha$  (4.58) yields, via

Combining (4.51), (4.52) and (4.58) yields, via the monotone curve theorem for integrals,

\n
$$
\int_0^\infty f^*(s) g^*(s) \, ds \leq 16K_2(q) \bigg[ \int_0^\infty \sum_k B(s^{-1/q} f_k(s)) \, ds + \int_0^\infty \sum_k \widetilde{A} \bigg( s^{-1/q'} \int_0^s g^*(r) \chi_{F_k}(r) \, dr \bigg) ds \bigg].
$$
\n(4.59)

By property (2.13) and by monotone convergence again, the right-hand side of (4.59) does not exceed on<br> $\hat{A}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ ds \end{bmatrix}$ 

(4.59)  
\nBy property (2.13) and by monotone convergence again, the right-hand side  
\nof (4.59) does not exceed  
\n
$$
16K_2(q)\left[\int_0^\infty B(s^{-1/q}\sum_k f_k(s))ds + \int_0^\infty \widetilde{A}\left(s^{-1/q'}\int_0^s g^*(r)\sum_k \chi_{F_k}(r) dr\right) ds\right].
$$
\nSince  
\n(4.60)  
\n
$$
\sum f_k(s) = f^*(s) \text{ and } \sum \chi_{F_k}(s) = 1 \text{ for } s \ge 0,
$$

Since

(4.60) 
$$
\sum_{k} f_k(s) = f^*(s) \text{ and } \sum_{k} \chi_{F_k}(s) = 1 \text{ for } s \ge 0,
$$

inequality (4.46) follows with  $K_1(q) = 16K_2(q)$ .

# **5. Comparison between** A **and** B*A,q*

The present section is devoted to the study of relations between a given Young function A, satisfying (1.17) for some  $q \in (1,\infty)$ , and the Young function  $B_{A,q}$  associated with A and q as in Definition 1.2. Our first observation, contained in Proposition 5.1, is that A always dominates  $B_{A,q}$ . In subsequent Proposition 5.2, the couples  $(A, q)$  for which A and  $B_{A,q}$  are equivalent are described in terms of the Matuzewska-Orlicz indices of A. As a consequence, the cases of equality of the spaces  $L(q, B_{A,q})(G)$  and  $L(q, A)(G)$ , and of related spaces, are characterized.

**Proposition 5.1** *Let*  $q \in (1,\infty)$  *and let* A *be a Young function satisfy ing (1.17). Then* A *dominates*  $B_{A,q}$ .

**Proof.** We have to prove that there exists a positive constant c such that  $B_{A,q}(s) \leq A(cs)$  for  $s \geq 0$ . Owing to (2.11) and to corresponding estimates for  $B_{A,q}$ , this inequality is equivalent to  $b(s) \leq ka(ks)$  for  $s \geq 0$  and for some constant  $k$ , where  $b$  is given as in Definition 2.11; the latter inequality is in turn equivalent to

(5.1) 
$$
kb^{-1}(r) \ge a^{-1}(r/k) \quad \text{for } r \ge 0.
$$

Inequality (5.1) reads

(5.1) 
$$
kb^{-1}(r) \ge a^{-1}(r/k) \quad \text{for } r \ge 0.
$$
  
Inequality (5.1) reads  
(5.2) 
$$
\left(\int_{a^{-1}(s)}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr\right)^{-q} \frac{dt}{a(t)^{q'}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \ge \frac{1}{k}a^{-1}\left(\frac{s}{k}\right) \quad \text{for } s \ge 0.
$$

Since

$$
\left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)}
$$

is non-increasing in  $(0, \infty)$ , then

$$
\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{1(q-1)} dr \ge \left(\frac{1}{a(t)}\right)^{1/(q-1)}
$$
\nently,

\n
$$
\frac{1}{a(t)} \int_0^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr \bigg)^{-q} \frac{dt}{(t)^{q'}} \le \int_0^\infty t^{-q} dt
$$

for  $t > 0$ . Consequently,  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$
\int_{a^{-1}(s)}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{a(r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr \right)^{-q} \frac{dt}{a(t)^{q'}} \le \int_{a^{-1}(s)}^{\infty} t^{-q} dt = \frac{(a^{-1}(s))^{1-q}}{q-1}.
$$

Hence, (5.2) follows with  $k = \max\{1, (q-1)^{-1/(q-1)}\}.$ 

**Proposition 5.2** *Let*  $q \in (1, \infty)$  *and let* A *be a Young function. Let*  $n \geq 1$ *.* **I.** *Assume that (1.17) is fulfilled. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $B_{A,q}$  *is equivalent to A*;
- (ii) A *is finite-valued and*  $I(A) < q$ ;
- (iii) If G is any measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  having infinite measure, then  $L(q, B_{A,q})(G) = L(q, A)(G)$  (up to equivalent norms).

**II.** *Assume that*  $(1.17)$  *is fulfilled. Let*  $A^0$  *be any Young function which agrees with* A *near* 0 *(in particular, the choice*  $A^0 = A$  *is admissible). Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $B_{A^0,q}$  *is equivalent to* A *near* 0;
- (ii)  $I_0(A) < q$ ;
- (i)  $B_{A^0,q}$  *is equivalent to A near 0;*<br>
(ii)  $I_0(A) < q$ ;<br>
(iii) If G *is any measurable subset of*  $\mathbb{R}^n$  *having infinite measure and*  $\hat{A}$  *is any Young function which agrees with* A *near* 0 *and satisfies* the *d* f R agrees u

$$
\int^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{A}(r)}{r^{1+q}} dr < \infty,
$$

*then*  $L(q, B_{A^0,q})(G) \cap L^\infty(G) = L(q, \hat{A})(G) \cap L^\infty(G)$  *(up to equivalent*<br>  $L^{(q)}(G) \cap L^\infty(G) = L(q, \hat{A})(G) \cap L^\infty(G)$  *(up to equivalent*) *norms).*

**III.** Let  $A^{\infty}$  be any Young function which agrees with A near infinity and *satisfies*

$$
\int_0 \left(\frac{r}{A^\infty(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr < \infty
$$

(in particular, the choice  $A^{\infty} = A$  is admissible if A fulfills (1.17)). Then *the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $B_{A^{\infty},q}$  *is equivalent to* A *near infinity*;
- (ii) A *is finite-valued and*  $I_{\infty}(A) < q$ ;
- (iii) If G is any measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  having finite measure, then

$$
L(q, B_{A^{\infty},q})(G) = L(q, A)(G)
$$

*(up to equivalent norms).*

**Proof**. *Part I*. (ii) implies (i). Since A always dominates  $B_{A,q}$ , we have only to show that  $B_{A,q}$  dominates A. It is easily seen, thanks to inequalities (2.11), that  $I(a) = I(A) - 1$ , whence  $I(a) < q - 1$ . A well-known property of Matuzewska-Orlicz indices (see e.g. [6]) tells us that, for every  $\gamma > I(a)$ , a constant C exists such that

(5.3) 
$$
a(s) \leq Ca(r) \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\gamma} \quad \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq s.
$$
 Now choose  $\gamma \in (I(a), q - 1)$ . Then  

$$
\frac{1}{r} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} e^{-\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{t^{\gamma}}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{t^{\gamma}}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \left(\frac{t^{\gamma}}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \int_{0}^{t} \left
$$

Now choose  $\gamma \in (I(a), a-1)$ . Then

$$
(5.4) \qquad \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{a(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr \le \frac{1}{t} C^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \left(\frac{t^{\gamma}}{a(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \int_0^t r^{\frac{\gamma}{1-q}} dr
$$
\n
$$
= C^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \left(\frac{q-1}{q-1-\gamma}\right) \left(\frac{1}{a(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \quad \text{for } t > 0.
$$
\n
$$
\text{Thus, a positive constant } C_1 \text{ exists such that}
$$
\n
$$
\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr\right)^{-q} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\lambda} \sigma^{\prime}} \ge C_1 \int_0^\infty t^{-q} dt = C_1 \frac{(a^{-1}(s))^{1-q}}{1-q} dt
$$

Thus, a positive constant  $C_1$  exists such that

$$
\int_{a^{-1}(s)}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{a(r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr \right)^{-q} \frac{dt}{a(t)^{q'}} \ge C_1 \int_{a^{-1}(s)}^{\infty} t^{-q} dt = C_1 \frac{(a^{-1}(s))^{1-q}}{q-1}
$$

for  $t > 0$ , whence  $b^{-1}(s) \le ((q-1)/C_1)^{1/(q-1)}a^{-1}(s)$  for  $s \ge 0$ . This inequality tells us that  $B_{A,q}$  dominates A.

(iii) implies (ii). Assumption (iii) clearly ensures that  $L(q, A)(0, \infty)$  is an r.i. space, and, by (2.10), also that  $L(q, A)(0, \infty) = L(q, B_{A,q})(0, \infty)$  with equivalent norms. Therefore, a constant  $C_2$  exists such that

$$
||f||_{L(q,A)(0,\infty)} \leq C_2 ||f||_{L(q,B_{A,q})(0,\infty)}
$$

for every  $f \in L(q, B_{A,q})(0, \infty)$ . Combining this inequality with inequality  $(2, 1)$  (with a number of level) tells we that these exists a constant  $G$ ity (3.1) (with *n* replaced by *q*) tells us that there exists a constant  $C_3$ such that  $||J||$ <br>∈  $L(q, B_A)$ <br>th *n* repla<br> $||s^{-1/q} \int_0^\infty$ 

$$
||s^{-1/q} \int_s^{\infty} r^{-1/q'} f(r) dr ||_{L^A(0,\infty)} \leq C_3 ||f(s)||_{L^A(0,\infty)}
$$

for every  $f \in L^A(0,\infty)$ . Hence, owing to [13, Lemma 5], a constant k exists such that

$$
||s^{-1/q} \int_s r^{-1/q} f(r) dr ||_{L^A(0,\infty)} \leq C_3 ||f(s)||_{L^A(0,\infty)}
$$
  
for every  $f \in L^A(0,\infty)$ . Hence, owing to [13, Lemma 5], a constant k exists  
such that  

$$
(5.5) \qquad \left( \int_{ks}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r}{\tilde{A}(r)} \right)^{q-1} dr \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_0^s \frac{\tilde{A}(r)}{r^{1+q'}} dr \right)^{1/q'} \leq ks \text{ for } s \geq 0.
$$
  
Lemma 4 of the same paper then entails that  $I(\tilde{A}^{-1}) < 1/q'$ , whence

 $i(A^{-1}) > 1/q$ , since  $i(A^{-1}) + I(\tilde{A}^{-1}) = 1$  (see [7]). Such an estimate

for  $i(A^{-1})$  ensures that A is finite-valued. In fact, if  $A(s)$  were equal to  $\infty$ for large s, then  $A^{-1}(r)$  would be constant for large r, whence  $i(A^{-1}) = 0$ . Moreover, since  $A(s)$  is also strictly positive for  $s > 0$  by assumption (1.17), then A is strictly increasing. Hence, the condition  $i(A^{-1}) > 1/q$  is equivalent to  $I(A) < q$  (see [7] again). Thus, (ii) follows.

(i) implies (iii). This implication is a straightforward consequence of the definition of the norms in  $L(q, A)(G)$  and  $L(q, B_{A,q})(G)$ , and of the fact that Luxemburg norms associated with equivalent Young functions are equivalent.

*Part II*. (ii) implies (i). Condition (ii) is equivalent to  $I_0(a) < q-1$ . Moreover, given any  $\gamma > I_0(a)$ , constants C and  $s_0$  exist such that inequality (5.3) holds if  $0 < r \le s \le s_0$ . One can then proceed analogously as<br>in Part I and show that  $B_{A,q}$  dominates A near 0, and hence that  $B_{A,q}$  is<br>equivalent to A near 0. It is not difficult to verify that  $B_{A^0,q}$  is e in Part I and show that  $B_{A,q}$  dominates A near 0, and hence that  $B_{A,q}$  is equivalent to A near 0. It is not difficult to verify that  $B_{A^0,q}$  is equivalent to  $B_{A,q}$  near 0. Consequently, (i) follows. in Part I and show that  $B_{A,q}$  dominates A near 0, and hence that  $B_{A,q}$  is equivalent to A near 0. It is not difficult to verify that  $B_{A^0,q}$  is equivalent to  $B_{A,q}$  near 0. Consequently, (i) follows.<br>
(iii) implies

agrees with  $L(q, B_{A^0,q})(0, \infty) \cap L^{\infty}(0, \infty)$ , by (iii). In particular, by (2.10),<br>
a positive constant  $C_1$  exists such that<br>
(5.6)  $||f||_{L(q, \hat{A})(0, \infty) \cap L^{\infty}(0, \infty)} \leq C_1 ||f||_{L(q, B_{A^0,q})(0, \infty) \cap L^{\infty}(0, \infty)}$ a positive constant  $C_1$  exists such that  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

$$
(5.6) \t\t\t||f||_{L(q,\widehat{A})(0,\infty)\cap L^{\infty}(0,\infty)} \leq C_1 ||f||_{L(q,B_{A^0,q})(0,\infty)\cap L^{\infty}(0,\infty)}
$$

for every  $f \in L(q, B_{A^0,q})(0, \infty) \cap L^{\infty}(0, \infty)$ . Now, let  $\check{A}$  be any Young function which agrees with  $A$  (and hance with  $A^0$ ) near 0 and estimates function which agrees with  $A$  (and hence with  $A<sup>0</sup>$ ) near 0 and satisfies o)∩L<sup>∞</sup>(0,∞)<br>
,<sub>q</sub>)(0, ∞)<br>
ith A (ar<br>
f<sup>∞</sup> f r

$$
\int^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{\check{A}(r)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} dr < \infty.
$$

Then  $B_{\tilde{A},q}$  is equivalent to  $B_{A^0,q}$  near 0, and the functions  $E_{B_{\tilde{A},q}}$  and  $E_{B_{A^0,q}}$ , defined as in (2.19), are globally equivalent. Hence, by Proposition 2.1, Part II,

$$
L(q, B_{\check{A},q})(0, \infty) \cap L^{\infty}(0, \infty) = L(q, B_{A^0,q})(0, \infty) \cap L^{\infty}(0, \infty);
$$

therefore, a constant  $C_2$  exists such that

$$
(5.7) \t\t\t ||f||_{L(q, B_{A^0,q})(0,\infty) \cap L^{\infty}(0,\infty)} \leq C_2 ||f||_{L(q, B_{\check{A},q})(0,\infty) \cap L^{\infty}(0,\infty)}
$$

for every  $f \in L(q, B_{\tilde{A},q})(0, \infty) \cap L^{\infty}(0, \infty)$ . By inequalities  $(3.1)–(3.2)$  (with A replaced by A and n replaced by q), a constant  $C_3$  exists such that

$$
(5.8)\t\left\|\int_{s}^{\infty}r^{-1/q'}f(r)\,dr\right\|_{L(q,B_{\tilde{A},q})(0,\infty)} + \left\|\int_{s}^{\infty}r^{-1/q'}f(r)\,dr\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,\infty)} \leq C_3\|f\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)}
$$

for every  $f \in L^A(0, \infty)$ .

From  $(5.6)$ – $(5.8)$ , we deduce that  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

Optimal ORLICZ-SOBOLEV EMBEDDINGS

\nFrom (5.6)–(5.8), we deduce that

\n
$$
\left\|s^{-1/q}\int_{s}^{\infty}r^{-1/q'}f(r)\,dr\right\|_{L^{\widehat{A}}(0,\infty)} \leq \left\|\int_{s}^{\infty}r^{-1/q'}|f(r)|\,dr\right\|_{L(q,\widehat{A})(0,\infty)}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left\|\int_{s}^{\infty}r^{-1/q'}|f(r)|\,dr\right\|_{L(q,\widehat{A})(0,\infty)\cap L^{\infty}(0,\infty)}
$$
\n
$$
\leq C_{1}C_{2}\left\|\int_{s}^{\infty}r^{-1/q'}|f(r)|\,dr\right\|_{L(q,B_{\widehat{A},q})(0,\infty))\cap L^{\infty}(0,\infty)}
$$
\n(5.9)\n
$$
\leq C_{1}C_{2}C_{3}\|f\|_{L^{\widehat{A}}(0,\infty)}
$$

for every  $f \in L^A(0,\infty)$ . Owing to [13, Lemma 5], one infers from (5.9) that a constant  $k$  exists such that

(5.9) 
$$
\leq C_1 C_2 C_3 \|f\|_{L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)}
$$
  
for every  $f \in L^{\tilde{A}}(0,\infty)$ . Owing to [13, Lemma 5], one infers from (5.9) that  
a constant k exists such that  

$$
(5.10) \qquad \bigg(\int_{ks}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{\tilde{A}(r)}\right)^{q-1} dr\bigg)^{1/q} \bigg(\int_0^s \frac{\tilde{A}(r)}{r^{1+q'}} dr\bigg)^{1/q'} \leq k \quad \text{for } s \geq 0.
$$
  
Since both  $\hat{A}$  and  $\check{A}$  agree with A near 0, then  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{A}$  are equivalent near 0.  
This ensures that (5.10) also holds, with  $\check{A}$  replaced by  $\hat{A}$  (possibly with a

different constant  $k$ ) for small  $s$ . Therefore, via analogous arguments as in the proof of [13, Lemma 4], one can deduce that This ensures that (5.10) also holds, with  $\check{A}$  replaced by  $\hat{A}$  (possibly with a  $\frac{d\mathbf{s}}{d}$ <br> $\tilde{\hat{A}}$  $\mathbf{r}$ 

$$
I_0\big(\widetilde{\hat{A}}^{-1}\big) < 1/q',
$$

and hence that  $I_0(A) = I_0(\widehat{A}) < q$ .

(i) implies (iii). Since  $B_{A^0,q}$  is equivalent to A near 0, and A agrees  $I_0(\hat{A}) > 1/q',$ <br>and hence that  $I_0(A) = I_0(\hat{A}) < q.$ <br>(i) implies (iii). Since  $B_{A^0,q}$  is equivalent to A near 0, and A agrees<br>with  $\hat{A}$  near 0, then  $B_{A^0,q}$  is equivalent to  $\hat{A}$  near 0. Thus, the func-(i) implies (iii). Since  $B_{A^0,q}$  is equivalent to A near 0, and A agrees<br>with  $\hat{A}$  near 0, then  $B_{A^0,q}$  is equivalent to  $\hat{A}$  near 0. Thus, the func-<br>tions  $E_{B_{A^0,q}}$  and  $E_{\hat{A}}$ , defined as in (2.19), are gl tions  $E_{B_{A^0,q}}$  and  $E_{\hat{A}}$ , defined as in (2.19), are globally equivalent. Consequently,  $L(q; B_{A^0,q}, \infty)(G) = L(q; \hat{A}, \infty)(G)$ . By Proposition 2.1, Part II, assertion (iii) follows.

*Part III*. (ii) implies (i). Analogously as in Parts I and II, we have that  $I^{\infty}(a) < q-1$  and that, given any  $\gamma > I^{\infty}(a)$ , constants C and  $s_{\infty}$  exist such that inequality (5.3) holds if  $s_{\infty} \leq r \leq s$ . Hence, it is not difficult to show that there exists a constant  $C_1$  such that

(5.11) 
$$
\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{a^{\infty}(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dr \leq C_1 \left(\frac{1}{a^{\infty}(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}
$$
  
for large  $t$ , where  $a^{\infty}$  is the non decreasing left-continu  
that  $A^{\infty}(s) = \int_0^s a^{\infty}(r) dr$ . Since  $a^{\infty}(s) = a(s)$  for large

for large t, where  $a^{\infty}$  is the non decreasing left-continuous function such  $\int_0^s a^{\infty}(r) dr$ . Since  $a^{\infty}(s) = a(s)$  for large s, one can infer

from (5.11) that  $b^{-1}(r) \leq C_2 a^{-1}(r)$  for some constant  $C_2$  and for sufficiently large r. Then  $B_{A,q}$  dominates A near infinity and, by Proposition 5.1, it is in fact equivalent to A near infinity.

(iii) implies (ii). From inequality  $(3.1)$ , applied with  $n$  and  $A$  replaced by q and  $A^{\infty}$ , respectively, and from the fact that  $A^{\infty}$  agrees with A near infinity we get that a constant  $C_2$ , depending only on A, n and  $|G|$  exists such that es (ii). F<br>, respecti<br>st that a<br> $s^{-1/q}$   $\int_0^\infty$ 

$$
\left\| s^{-1/q} \int_s^{\infty} r^{-1/q'} f(r) \, dr \right\|_{L^{A^{\infty}}(0,|G|)} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{L^{A^{\infty}}(0,|G|)}
$$

for every  $f \in L^{A^{\infty}}(0, |G|)$ . [13, Theorem 5] then tells us that there exists a constant  $k$  such that  $|G|$ 

$$
\| \int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{t} f(t) \, dt \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{
$$

for large s. Since A equals  $A^{\infty}$  near infinity, then inequality (5.12) also holds with  $A^{\infty}$  replaced by A (possibly with a different constant k) for large s. (5.12)  $\left(\int_{ks} \left(\frac{1}{\widetilde{A^{\infty}}(r)}\right)^{dr}\right) \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{1+q'}} dr\right) \leq k$ <br>for large *s*. Since *A* equals  $A^{\infty}$  near infinity, then inequality (5.12) also holds<br>with  $A^{\infty}$  replaced by *A* (possibly with a differe  $I_{\infty}(A) < q.$ 

(i) implies (iii). Since  $B_{A^{\infty},q}$  is equivalent to A near infinity and, by Proposition 2.2 applied to  $A^{\infty}$ ,  $B_{A^{\infty},q}$  is equivalent to A<br>  $B_{A^{\infty},q}$  is equivalent to A<br>  $A^{\infty}$ ,<br>  $dt < \infty$ , then  $\int_{A^{\infty},A^{\infty}} A(t)$ 

$$
\int^{\infty} \frac{B_{A^{\infty},q}(t)}{t^{1+q}}\,dt < \infty, \quad \text{then} \int^{\infty} \frac{A(t)}{t^{1+q}}\,dt < \infty.
$$

Hence,  $L(q, A)(G)$  is an r.i. space. The equation  $L(q, B_{A^{\infty},q})(G) = L(q, A)(G)$ follows from the equivalence of Luxemburg norms over sets of finite measure associated with Young functions equivalent near infinity.

# **References**

- [1] Adams, R. A.: On the Orlicz-Sobolev imbedding theorem. *J. Functional Analysis* **24** (1977), 241–257.
- [2] ALVINO, A., FERONE, V. AND TROMBETTI,  $G$ .: Moser-type inequalities in Lorentz spaces. *Potential Anal.* **5** (1996), no. 3, 273–299.
- [3] BENNETT, C. AND RUDNICK, K.: On Lorentz-Zygmund spaces. *Dissertationes Math.* **175** (1980), 1–72.
- [4] Bennett, C. and Sharpley, R.: *Interpolation of operators*. Pure and Applied Mathematics **129**. Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [5] Besov, O. V., Il'in, V. P. and Nikolskii, S. M.: *Integral representations of functions and embedding theorems*. Nauka, Moscow, 1975; English translation: Wiley, New York-Toronto, 1979.
- [6] Bingham, N. H., Goldie, C. M. and Teugels, J. L.: *Regular variation*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **27**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [7] Boyd, D. W.: Indices for the Orlicz spaces. *Pacific J. Math.* **38** (1971), 315–323.
- [8] Brezis, H. and Wainger, S.: A note on limiting cases of Sobolev embeddings and convolution inequalities. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **5** (1980), no. 7, 773–789.
- [9] BROTHERS, J. E. AND ZIEMER, W. P.: Minimal rearrangements of Sobolev functions. *J. Reine Angew. Math* **384** (1988), 153–179.
- [10] Cianchi, A.: A sharp embedding theorem for Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **45** (1996), no. 1, 39–65.
- [11] CIANCHI, A.: Boundedness of solutions to variational problems under general growth conditions. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **22** (1997), no. 9-10, 1629–1646.
- [12] Cianchi, A.: An optimal interpolation theorem of Marcinkiewicz type in Orlicz spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **153** (1998), no. 2, 357–381.
- [13] Cianchi, A.: Hardy inequalites in Orlicz spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **351** (1999), no 6, 2459–2478.
- [14] Cianchi, A.: A fully anisotropic Sobolev inequality. *Pacific J. Math.* **196** (2000), no. 2, 283–295.
- [15] Cwikel, M. and Pustylnik, E.: Sobolev type embeddings in the limiting case. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **4** (1998), no. 4-5, 433–446.
- [16] Donaldson, D. T. and Trudinger, N. S.: Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and embedding theorems. *J. Functional Analysis* **8** (1971), 52–75.
- [17] EDMUNDS, D. E., GURKA, P. AND OPIC, B.: Double exponential integrability of convolution operators in generalized Lorentz-Zygmund spaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **44** (1995), no. 1, 19–43.
- [18] Edmunds, D. E., Kerman, R. A. and Pick, L.: Optimal Sobolev imbeddings involving rearrangement invariant quasi-norms. *J. Funct. Anal.* **170** (2000), no. 2, 307–355.
- [19] Fusco, N., Lions, P. L. and Sbordone, V.: Sobolev embedding theorems in borderline cases. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), no. 2, 561– 565.
- [20] Greco, L. and Moscariello, G.: An embedding theorem in Lorentz-Zygmund spaces. *Potential Anal.* **5** (1996), no. 6, 581–590.
- [21] Hansson, K.: Imbedding theorems of Sobolev type in potential theory. *Math. Scand.* **45** (1979), no. 1, 77–102.

- [22] Klimov, V. S.: Imbedding theorems and geometric inequalities. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **40** (1976), no. 3, 645–671. Translated in *Math. USSR-Izv.* **10** (1976), 615–638.
- [23] Kolyada, V. I.: On the differential properties of the rearrangements of functions. In *Progress in Approximation Theory (Tampa, FL, 1990)*, 333– 352. Springer Ser. Comput. Math. **19**. Springer, New York, 1992.
- [24] Maz'ya, V. M.: *Sobolev spaces*. Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [25] O'NeIL, R.: Convolution operators in  $L(p,q)$  spaces. Duke Math. J. 30 (1963), 129–142.
- [26] Peetre, J.: Espaces d' interpolation et th´eor`eme de Soboleff. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **16** (1966), 279–317.
- [27] Pohozhaev, S. I.: On the imbedding Sobolev theorem for *pl* = *n*. *Doklady Conference, Section Math. Moscow Power Inst.* (1965), 158–170 (Russian).
- [28] Tartar, L.: Imbedding theorems of Sobolev spaces into Lorentz spaces. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8)* **1** (1998), no. 3, 479–500.
- [29] Talenti, G.: An embedding theorem. In *Partial differential equations and the calculus of variations, Vol. II*, 919–924. Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 2. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1989.
- [30] Troisi, M.: Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di Sobolev non isotropi. *Ricerche Mat.* **18** (1969), 3–24.
- [31] TRUDINGER, N. S.: On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications. *J. Math. Mech.* **17** (1967), 473–483.
- [32] TRUDINGER, N. S.: An imbedding theorem for  $H^0(G, \Omega)$  spaces. *Studia Math.* **50** (1974), 17–30.
- [33] YUDOVICH, V. I.: Some estimates connected with integral operators and with solutions of elliptic equations. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **138** (1961) 805–808. Translated in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **2** (1961), 746–749.
- [34] Ziemer, W. P.: *Weakly differentiable functions*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **120**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

*Recibido*: 6 de junio de 2002

Andrea Cianchi Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni per l'Architettura Università di Firenze Piazza Ghiberti 27 50122 Firenze, Italy cianchi@unifi.it