Approximation in law to the d-parameter fractional Brownian sheet based on the functional invariance principle

Xavier Bardina and Carme Florit

Abstract

We show a result of approximation in law of the d-parameter fractional Brownian sheet in the space of the continuous functions on $[0,T]^d$. The construction of these approximations is based on the functional invariance principle.

1. Introduction

The aim of this work is to give a result of convergence in law to the d-parameter fractional Brownian sheet. The approximations are a family of continuous processes constructed using the functional invariance principle.

The fractional Brownian motion of Hurst parameter $\alpha_i \in (0,1)$ is a centered Gaussian process $B^{\alpha_i} = \{B_t^{\alpha_i}, t \geq 0\}$ with the covariance function given by

$$E(B_t^{\alpha_i} B_s^{\alpha_i}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(s^{2\alpha_i} + t^{2\alpha_i} - |t - s|^{2\alpha_i} \right),$$

(see, for instance, Mandelbrot and Van Ness (1968)).

The fractional Brownian motion can be extended to the multidimensional parameter space in two ways. One is the Lévy's fractional Brownian random field with parameter $\beta \in (0,1)$ (see Ciesielski and Kamont, 1995): a centered Gaussian process Y with covariance function given by

$$E(Y_t Y_s) = \frac{1}{2} (\|t\|^{2\beta} + \|s\|^{2\beta} - \|t - s\|^{2\beta}),$$

for $s,t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm.

 $2000\ Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification:\ 60F17,\ 60G15,\ 60G60.$

Keywords: d-parameter fractional Brownian sheet, weak convergence, functional invariance principle.

The other extension of the fractional Brownian motion is the anisotropic fractional Wiener random field, introduced by Kamont (1996). This is a centered Gaussian process, defined on some probability space $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{P})$, denoted by $B^{\alpha} = \{B_s^{\alpha}, s \in \mathbb{R}_+^d\}$, with covariance function given by

$$\bar{E}(B_s^{\alpha} B_t^{\alpha}) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{2} \left(s_i^{2\alpha_i} + t_i^{2\alpha_i} - |t_i - s_i|^{2\alpha_i} \right),$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \in (0, 1)^d$. Observe that if $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_d = \frac{1}{2}$ we obtain the d-parameter Wiener process.

In this paper we will work with the second extension that we will call a d-parameter fractional Brownian sheet. In the section of preliminaries we will see that the d-parameter fractional Brownian sheet possesses a continuous version. It is possible to give a representation in law of B^{α} as an integral of a deterministic kernel with respect to a d-parameter Wiener process $W = \{W_u; u \in [0, T]^d\}$:

(1.1)
$$\int_0^{t_d} \cdots \int_0^{t_1} K^{\alpha}(t, u) dW_u,$$

(see the next section for the definition of the kernel K^{α}).

On the other hand, Donsker's theorem, known also as the functional invariance principle or the functional central limit theorem, states that the Wiener process can be approximated by a random walk constructed using a family of independent identically distributed random variables. More precisely, consider $\{Z_k; k=(k_1,\ldots,k_d)\in\mathbb{N}^d\}$ an independent family of centered identically distributed random variables with variance equals to 1. Then, the process

$$n^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^{t_d} \cdots \int_0^{t_1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} Z_k I_{[k-1,k)}(u \cdot n) du,$$

where $I_{[k-1,k)}(u \cdot n) = I_{[k_1-1,k_1)\times\cdots\times[k_d-1,k_d)}(u_1n,\cdots,u_dn)$, converges in law toward a d-parameter Wiener process (see, for instance, Wichura, 1969).

Using this result and representation (1.1) it is natural to try to approximate in law the process B^{α} by

$$X_n(t) = n^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^{t_d} \cdots \int_0^{t_1} K^{\alpha}(t, u) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} Z_k I_{[k-1, k)}(u \cdot n) du.$$

The main result of this work is that this family of processes converges in law, in the space of the continuous functions $\mathcal{C}([0,T]^d)$, to the d-parameter fractional Brownian sheet of parameters $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d)$.

For the fractional Brownian motion, that is when d=1, the result is proved in Proposition 2.1 of Bardina $et\ al.\ (2003)$ and also (for the Hurst parameter bigger than $\frac{1}{2}$) in a paper of Sottinen (2001). In this last paper the author construct, with this type of approximations, an elementary market model that converges weakly to the fractional analogue of the Black-Scholes model. There exist also other approximations for the fractional Brownian motion (see, for instance, Delgado and Jolis, 2000, Davydov, 1970, Pipiras and Taqqu, 2000 and Hult, 2003). Finally, for the 2-parameter fractional Brownian sheet, Bardina $et\ al.\ (2003)$ prove a result of approximation in law for processes constructed from a Poisson process in the plane.

We have organized the paper as follows: the next section is devoted to some preliminaries and in Section 3 we prove our results.

As general rule the superscripts denote vectors of \mathbb{N}^d or \mathbb{R}^d and the subscripts the components of the vectors, e.g. $u^j = (u^j_1, \dots, u^j_d)$. Positive constants, denoted by C, with possible subscripts indicating appropriate parameters, may vary from line to line.

2. Preliminaries and notations

Consider $[0,T]^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with the usual partial order. Given $s,t \in [0,T]^d$ such that s < t we denote by $\Delta_s X(t)$ the increment of the process X over the rectangle $(s,t] = \prod_{i=1}^d (s_i,t_i) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

The fractional Brownian motion of Hurst parameter $\alpha_i \in (0,1)$, $B^{\alpha_i} = \{B_t^{\alpha_i}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ admits an integral representation of the form (see for instance Alòs *et al.*, 2001)

(2.1)
$$B_t^{\alpha_i} = \int_0^t K_{\alpha_i}(t, s) dW_s,$$

where W is a standard Brownian motion and the kernel K_{α_i} is defined on the set $\{0 < s < t\}$ and given by

$$(2.2) K_{\alpha_i}(t,s) = d_{\alpha_i}(t-s)^{\alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}} + d_{\alpha_i}(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_i) \int_s^t (u-s)^{\alpha_i - \frac{3}{2}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{s}{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_i}\right) du,$$

with d_{α_i} the following normalizing constant

$$d_{\alpha_i} = \left(\frac{2\alpha_i \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - \alpha_i)}{\Gamma(\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(2 - 2\alpha_i)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We can extend the kernels K_{α_i} over all $(0,T]^2$ by putting

$$\tilde{K}_{\alpha_i}(s,x) = \begin{cases} K_{\alpha_i}(s,x) & \text{if } s > x \\ 0 & \text{if } s \le x \end{cases}$$

and for the sake of simplicity we will denote also by K_{α_i} these extensions.

Taking into account the expression (2.1) for the fractional Brownian motion we can consider the following integral representation for the d-parameter fractional Brownian sheet B^{α} :

(2.3)
$$\int_0^{s_d} \cdots \int_0^{s_1} K_{\alpha_1}(s_1, u_1) K_{\alpha_2}(s_2, u_2) \cdots K_{\alpha_d}(s_d, u_d) dW_u,$$

where $s \in [0,T]^d$, $\alpha \in (0,1)^d$ and where $(W_u)_{u \in [0,T]^d}$ is a d-parameter Wiener process. Indeed, observe that this process is a centered Gaussian process with the same covariance function as B^{α} . Moreover, the d-parameter fractional Brownian sheet possesses a continuous version. Using the Čencov's criteria (see Čencov, 1956) and that the process B_t^{α} is almost sure equal to zero when $t_i = 0$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ it is enough to prove that

$$(2.4) E\left(\left(\Delta_s B_t^{\alpha}\right)^p\right) \le C\left[\left(t_1 - s_1\right) \cdots \left(t_d - s_d\right)\right]^{1+\gamma},$$

for some $\gamma > 0$ and $p \geq 2$.

The increment $\Delta_s B_t^{\alpha}$ has a gaussian law with $E(\Delta_s B_t^{\alpha}) = 0$ and, using the symmetry of the stochastic integral,

$$E\left((\Delta_{s}B_{t}^{\alpha})^{2}\right) = E\left(\left(\int_{[0,T]^{d}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i})\right) dW_{u}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$= \int_{[0,T]^{d}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i})\right)^{2} du$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(\int_{[0,T]} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i})\right)^{2} du_{i}\right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{d} E\left(B_{t_{i}}^{\alpha_{i}} - B_{s_{i}}^{\alpha_{i}}\right)^{2} = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (t_{i} - s_{i})^{2\alpha_{i}},$$

where B^{α_i} is a fractional Brownian motion of Hurst parameter α_i .

Using the relation between the moments of a centered gaussian law we have that

$$E\left(\left(\Delta_s B_t^{\alpha}\right)^p\right) = C_p \left(E\left(\left(\Delta_s B_t^{\alpha}\right)^2\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}},$$

for all $p \geq 2$ even and this implies the inequality (2.4).

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space where we have defined $\{Z_k; k \in \mathbb{N}^d\}$, an independent family of identically distributed and centered random variables, with $E(Z_k^2) = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^d$, and such that $E(|Z_k|^m) < +\infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0, T]^d$

(2.5)
$$X_n(t) = n^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^{t_d} \cdots \int_0^{t_1} K^{\alpha}(t, u) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} Z_k I_{[k-1, k)}(u \cdot n) du,$$

where

$$K^{\alpha}(s,u) := K_{\alpha_1}(s_1,u_1)K_{\alpha_2}(s_2,u_2)\cdots K_{\alpha_d}(s_d,u_d)$$

and

$$I_{[k-1,k)}(u \cdot n) := I_{[k_1-1,k_1) \times \cdots \times [k_d-1,k_d)}(u_1 n, \cdots, u_d n).$$

We will also denote by θ_n the kernels

$$\theta_n(u) := n^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} Z_k I_{[k-1,k)}(u \cdot n).$$

So, we can write now that

$$X_n(t) = \int_{[0,T]^d} K^{\alpha}(t,u)\theta_n(u)du.$$

We will prove the convergence as n tends to infinity, in the space of the continuous functions $\mathcal{C}([0,T]^d)$, of the laws of the family $X_n(t)$ to the law of the d-parameter fractional Brownian sheet.

The processes X_n are continuous for every $\alpha \in (0,1)^d$ and absolutely continuous if $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2},1)^d$. This is a consequence of the following lemma and the fact that $X_n(t)$ is equal to zero if $t_i = 0$ for some $i \in \{1,\ldots,d\}$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $\theta \in L^{\infty}([0,T]^d)$ and define

$$X(t) = \int_{[0,T]^d} K^{\alpha}(t,u)\theta(u)du.$$

Then,

$$|\Delta_s X(t)| \le C_{\alpha} (t_d - s_d)^{(\alpha_d + \frac{1}{2}) \wedge 1} \cdots (t_1 - s_1)^{(\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}) \wedge 1},$$

for all $s, t \in [0, T]^d$ such that s < t.

Proof: We have that,

$$\Delta_{s}X(t) = \int_{[0,T]^{d}} \Delta_{s}K^{\alpha}(t,u)\theta(u)du$$

$$= \int_{[0,T]^{d}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} (K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i},u_{i}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i},u_{i})) \theta(u)du$$

$$\leq \|\theta\|_{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \int_{[0,T]} |K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i},u_{i}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i},u_{i})| du_{i}.$$

But,

$$\int_{[0,T]} |K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i})| du_{i} =
= \int_{[0,T]} |K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i})I_{[0,t_{i})}(u_{i}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i})I_{[0,s_{i})}(u_{i})| du_{i}
= \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} |K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i})| du_{i} + \int_{0}^{s_{i}} |K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i})| du_{i}.$$
(2.6)

Let us begin with the first summand of the last expression. If $\alpha_i < \frac{1}{2}$ then using (2.2) we have that

$$K_{\alpha_i}(t_i, u_i) \le C_{\alpha_i} \left((t_i - u_i)^{\alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}} + \int_{u_i}^{t_i} (x - u_i)^{\alpha_i - \frac{3}{2}} dx \right),$$

and

$$\int_{s_i}^{t_i} |K_{\alpha_i}(t_i, u_i)| du_i \le C_{\alpha_i}(t_i - s_i)^{\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, when $\alpha_i \geq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}) = C_{\alpha_{i}} u_{i}^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{i}} \int_{u_{i}}^{t_{i}} (x - u_{i})^{\alpha_{i} - \frac{3}{2}} x^{\alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2}} dx$$

$$\leq C_{\alpha_{i}} u_{i}^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{i}} \int_{u_{i}}^{t_{i}} (x - u_{i})^{\alpha_{i} - \frac{3}{2}} dx$$

$$= C_{\alpha_{i}} (t_{i} - u_{i})^{\alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2}} u_{i}^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{i}}$$

and

$$\int_{s_i}^{t_i} |K_{\alpha_i}(t_i, u_i)| du_i \le C_{\alpha_i}(t_i - s_i)^{\alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}} \int_{s_i}^{t_i} u_i^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_i} du_i \le (t_i - s_i).$$

For the second summand of (2.6), notice that if $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\int_0^{s_i} |K_{\alpha_i}(t_i, u_i) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i, u_i)| \, du_i = 0.$$

When $\alpha_i \neq \frac{1}{2}$, we will use some bounds for the partial derivative of the kernel K. From (2.2) it is easy to check that the kernel $K_{\alpha_i}(t,s)$ is differentiable with respect to the first variable in the set $\{0 < s < t\}$ and that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_{\alpha_i}(t,s) = d_{\alpha_i}(\alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}) \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_i} (t-s)^{\alpha_i - \frac{3}{2}}.$$

Then, for $\alpha_i > \frac{1}{2}$ we have that

$$\left| \frac{\partial K_{\alpha_i}}{\partial t}(t,s) \right| \le C_{\alpha_i} s^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_i} (t-s)^{\alpha_i - \frac{3}{2}}$$

And so,

$$\int_{0}^{s_{i}} |K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i})| du_{i} \leq \int_{0}^{s_{i}} \left(\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} \left| \frac{\partial K_{\alpha_{i}}}{\partial r}(r, u_{i}) \right| dr \right) du_{i} \\
\leq C_{\alpha_{i}} \int_{0}^{s_{i}} u_{i}^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{i}} \left(\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} (r - u_{i})^{\alpha_{i} - \frac{3}{2}} dr \right) du_{i} \\
\leq C_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i} - s_{i}) \int_{0}^{s_{i}} u_{i}^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{i}} (s_{i} - u_{i})^{\alpha_{i} - \frac{3}{2}} du_{i} \\
= C_{\alpha_{i}} B\left(\frac{3}{2} - \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \right) (t_{i} - s_{i}).$$

When $\alpha_i < \frac{1}{2}$ we have that

$$\left| \frac{\partial K_{\alpha_i}}{\partial t}(t,s) \right| \le C_{\alpha_i}(t-s)^{\alpha_i - \frac{3}{2}}.$$

In this situation, we obtain the following majorization

$$\int_{0}^{s_{i}} |K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i})| du_{i} \leq \int_{0}^{s_{i}} \left(\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} \left| \frac{\partial K_{\alpha_{i}}}{\partial r}(r, u_{i}) \right| dr \right) du_{i} \\
\leq C_{\alpha_{i}} \int_{0}^{s_{i}} \left(\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} (r - u_{i})^{\alpha_{i} - \frac{3}{2}} dr \right) du_{i} \\
= C_{\alpha_{i}} \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} \left((r - s_{i})^{\alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2}} - r^{\alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2}} \right) dr \\
= C_{\alpha_{i}} \left((t_{i} - s_{i})^{\alpha_{i} + \frac{1}{2}} - t_{i}^{\alpha_{i} + \frac{1}{2}} + s_{i}^{\alpha_{i} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
\leq C_{\alpha_{i}} (t_{i} - s_{i})^{\alpha_{i} + \frac{1}{2}}.$$

The proof of the lemma is now complete.

3. Approximations in law

The main result of this paper is the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1 The sequence of laws in $C([0,T]^d)$ of the processes $\{X_n(t); t \in [0,T]^d\}$ defined by (2.5) converges weakly to the law of $\{B_t^{\alpha}; t \in [0,T]^d\}$.

In order to prove this result we have to check that the family of laws of the processes $\{X_n\}$ is tight and that any weakly convergent subsequence converges to the law of the d-parameter fractional Brownian sheet.

First of all we will see two technical lemmas. The first one will be useful to check the identification of the limit law.

Lemma 3.2 Consider $f_1, f_2, ..., f_d \in L^2([0,T])$. Then,

$$E\bigg(\int_{[0,T]^d} \bigg(\prod_{i=1}^d f_i(u_i)\bigg)\theta_n(u)du\bigg)^2 \le \prod_{i=1}^d \int_0^T f_i^2(x)dx.$$

Proof: Notice that,

$$E\left(\int_{[0,T]^d} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d f_i(u_i)\right) \theta_n(u) du\right)^2 =$$

$$= E\left(\int_{[0,T]^{2d}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d f_i(u_i) f_i(v_i)\right) \theta_n(u) \theta_n(v) du dv\right)$$

$$= \int_{[0,T]^{2d}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d f_i(u_i) f_i(v_i)\right) E\left(\theta_n(u) \theta_n(v)\right) du dv.$$

But,

$$E(\theta_n(u)\theta_n(v)) = n^d E\left[\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} Z_k I_{[k-1,k)}(u \cdot n)\right) \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} Z_k I_{[k-1,k)}(v \cdot n)\right)\right]$$
$$= n^d \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} I_{[k-1,k)}(u \cdot n) I_{[k-1,k)}(v \cdot n),$$

because for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^d$ we have that $E(Z_k) = 0$ and $E(Z_k^2) = 1$.

Using now that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $ab \leq \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + b^2)$,

$$E\left(\int_{[0,T]^d} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d f_i(u_i)\right) \theta_n(u) du\right)^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} n^d \prod_{i=1}^d \left(\int_0^T f_i(u_i) I_{[k_i-1,k_i)}(u_i \cdot n) du_i\right)^2$$

$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^d \int_0^T f_i^2(u_i) du_i.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma.

We will prove the tightness of the laws using the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3 For any even number $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant C_m such that for any $s, t \in [0, T]^d$ with s < t

$$\sup_{n} E\left[\Delta_{s} X_{n}(t)\right]^{m} \leq C_{m} \prod_{i=1}^{d} (t_{i} - s_{i})^{m\alpha_{i}}.$$

Proof: We have that

$$(3.1) E[\Delta_s X_n(t)]^m = E\left[\int_{[0,T]^d} \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i, u_i) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i, u_i)\right) \theta_n(u) du\right]^m$$

$$= \int_{[0,T]^{dm}} \prod_{j=1}^m \left[\prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i, u_i^j) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i, u_i^j)\right)\right] E\left[\prod_{j=1}^m \theta_n(u^j)\right] du^1 \cdots du^m.$$

Observe that

$$E\left[\prod_{j=1}^{m} \theta_{n}(u^{j})\right] = n^{\frac{dm}{2}} E\left[\prod_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{d}} Z_{k} I_{[k-1,k)}(u^{j} \cdot n)\right)\right]$$

$$= n^{\frac{dm}{2}} \sum_{k^{1}, \dots, k^{m} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}} E(Z_{k^{1}} \cdots Z_{k^{m}}) I_{[k^{1}-1,k^{1})}(u^{1} \cdot n) \cdots I_{[k^{m}-1,k^{m})}(u^{m} \cdot n).$$

But notice that $E(Z_{k^1} \cdots Z_{k^m}) = 0$ if for some $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}, k^j \neq k^l$ for all $l \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{j\}$, that is, if some variable Z_{k^j} appears only once in the product $Z_{k^1} \cdots Z_{k^m}$.

On the other hand, since $E(|Z_k|^m) < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $E(Z_{k^1} \cdots Z_{k^m})$ is bounded for all $k^1, \ldots, k^m \in \mathbb{N}^d$. So,

$$E\left[\prod_{j=1}^{m}\theta_{n}(u^{j})\right] \leq n^{\frac{dm}{2}}C\sum_{(k^{1},\dots,k^{m})\in A^{m}}I_{[k^{1}-1,k^{1})}(u^{1}\cdot n)\cdots I_{[k^{m}-1,k^{m})}(u^{m}\cdot n),$$

with

$$A^m = \{(k^1, \dots, k^m) \in \mathbb{N}^{dm}; \text{for all } l \in \{1, \dots, m\},$$
$$k^l = k^j \text{ for some } j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{l\}\}.$$

Notice that,

$$\sum_{(k^1,\dots,k^m)\in A^m} I_{[k^1-1,k^1)}(u^1\cdot n)\cdots I_{[k^m-1,k^m)}(u^m\cdot n) \leq I_{D^m}(u^1,\dots,u^m),$$

where

$$D^m:=\left\{(u^1,\ldots,u^m)\in[0,T]^{dm}; \text{ for all } l\in\{1,\ldots,m\} \text{ there exists} \right. \\ \left.j\in\{1,\ldots,m\}\setminus\{l\} \text{ such that } |u_i^j-u_i^l|\leq\frac{1}{n} \text{ for all } i=1,\ldots,d\right\}.$$

We can bound $I_{D^m}(u^1, \ldots, u^m)$ by a finite sum of products of indicators where in each product of indicators appear all the dm variables u_1^1, \ldots, u_d^m but each indicator concerns only two or three of them. Moreover, each variable appears only in one of the indicators of each product. So, expression (3.1) is equal to a sum of products of the following two kinds of terms:

(i) For some $l \neq j, l, j \in \{1, ..., m\}$,

$$Cn^{d} \int_{[0,T]^{2d}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{j}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{j}) \right) \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{l}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{l}) \right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{d} I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})}(|u_{i}^{j} - u_{i}^{l}|) du^{j} du^{l}.$$

(ii) For some different $l, j, r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$Cn^{\frac{3d}{2}} \int_{[0,T]^{3d}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{j}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{j}) \right) \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{l}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{l}) \right)$$

$$\times \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{r}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{r}) \right) \prod_{i=1}^{d} I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_{i}^{j} - u_{i}^{l}|) \prod_{i=1}^{d} I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_{i}^{j} - u_{i}^{l}|)$$

$$\times \prod_{i=1}^{d} I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_{i}^{r} - u_{i}^{l}|) du^{j} du^{l} du^{r}.$$

Then, to prove the result it suffices to bound the first type of products by $C \prod_{i=1}^{d} (t_i - s_i)^{2\alpha_i}$ and the second one by $C \prod_{i=1}^{d} (t_i - s_i)^{3\alpha_i}$.

But using that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $2ab \le a^2 + b^2$, we have that the first type of terms is bounded by,

$$Cn^{d} \int_{[0,T]^{2d}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{j}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{j}) \right)^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{d} I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})}(|u_{i}^{j} - u_{i}^{l}|) du^{j} du^{l}$$

$$\leq C \int_{[0,T]} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{j}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{j}) \right)^{2} du^{j}$$

$$\leq C \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(E(B_{t_{i}}^{\alpha_{i}} - B_{s_{i}}^{\alpha_{i}})^{2} \right)$$

$$= C \prod_{i=1}^{d} (t_{i} - s_{i})^{2\alpha_{i}},$$

where for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}$, $\{B^{\alpha_i}\}$ is a standard fractional Brownian motion of parameter α_i .

On the other hand, we can study the terms of type (ii) in the following way:

$$\begin{split} \int_{[0,T]^{3d}} \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i, u_i^j) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i, u_i^j) \right) \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i, u_i^l) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i, u_i^l) \right) \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i, u_i^r) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i, u_i^r) \right) \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^j - u_i^l|) \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^j - u_i^r|) \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^r - u_i^l|) du^j du^l du^r \\ &= \int_{[0,T]^{2d}} \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i, u_i^j) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i, u_i^j) \right) \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^j - u_i^l|) \\ &\times \int_{[0,T]^d} \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i, u_i^l) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i, u_i^l) \right) \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i, u_i^r) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i, u_i^r) \right) \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^j - u_i^r|) \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^r - u_i^l|) du^r du^l du^j, \end{split}$$

and the last expression can be bounded by

$$\left(\int_{[0,T]^{2d}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{j}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{j})\right)^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{d} I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})}(|u_{i}^{j} - u_{i}^{l}|) du^{l} du^{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\times \left(\int_{[0,T]^{2d}} \left(\int_{[0,T]^{d}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{l}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{l})\right) \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{r}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{r})\right) \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{d} I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})}(|u_{i}^{j} - u_{i}^{r}|) \prod_{i=1}^{d} I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})}(|u_{i}^{r} - u_{i}^{l}|) du^{r}\right)^{2} du^{l} du^{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

As for the terms of type (i), we have that

$$\left(\int_{[0,T]^{2d}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{j}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{j})\right)^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{d} I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})}(|u_{i}^{j} - u_{i}^{l}|) du^{l} du^{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{d}{2}}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} (t_{i} - s_{i})^{\alpha_{i}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} &\left(\int_{[0,T]^{2d}} \left(\int_{[0,T]^d} \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i,u_i^l) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i,u_i^l)\right) \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i,u_i^r) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i,u_i^r)\right) \right. \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^j - u_i^r|) \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^r - u_i^l|) du^r \right)^2 du^l du^j \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\int_{[0,T]^{4d}} \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i,u_i^l) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i,u_i^l)\right)^2 \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i,u_i^{r_1}) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i,u_i^{r_1})\right) \right. \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i,u_i^{r_2}) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i,u_i^{r_2})\right) \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^j - u_i^{r_1}|) \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^{r_1} - u_i^l|) \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^j - u_i^{r_2}|) \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^{r_2} - u_i^l|) du^l du^j du^{r_1} du^{r_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{n^d} \int_{[0,T]^{3d}} \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i,u_i^l) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i,u_i^l)\right)^2 \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i,u_i^{r_1}) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i,u_i^{r_1})\right) \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^d \left(K_{\alpha_i}(t_i,u_i^{r_2}) - K_{\alpha_i}(s_i,u_i^{r_2})\right) \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^{r_1} - u_i^l|) \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^d I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_i^{r_2} - u_i^l|) du^l du^{r_1} du^{r_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{split}$$

and this expression can be majorized as follows

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{d}} \int_{[0,T]^{3d}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{l}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{l})\right)^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{r_{1}}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{r_{1}})\right)^{2} \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{d} I_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} (|u_{i}^{r_{2}} - u_{i}^{l}|) du^{l} du^{r_{1}} du^{r_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq \left(\frac{1}{n^{2d}} \int_{[0,T]^{2d}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{l}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{l})\right)^{2} \times \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(K_{\alpha_{i}}(t_{i}, u_{i}^{r_{1}}) - K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}^{r_{1}})\right)^{2} du^{l} du^{r_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= \left(\frac{1}{n^{2d}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} (t_{i} - s_{i})^{4\alpha_{i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{n^{d}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} (t_{i} - s_{i})^{2\alpha_{i}},$$

using again the bound for the terms of type (i).

So, we have obtained that the terms of type (ii) can be bounded by

$$C\prod_{i=1}^{d} (t_i - s_i)^{3\alpha_i},$$

and this finishes the proof of the lemma.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 3.1

We need to prove that the family of laws of the processes $\{X_n\}$ is tight and we have to identify the limit law of any convergent subsequence as the law of a d-parameter fractional Brownian sheet.

Using the criterion given by Bickel and Wichura (1971) and that the processes X_n are null when some coordinate equals zero the tightness is a consequence of Lemma 3.3.

To check the identification of the limit law we have to prove the convergence of the finite dimensional distributions of $\{X_n\}$ to those of the d-parameter fractional Brownian sheet, $\{B^{\alpha}\}$.

It suffices to prove that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s^1, \ldots, s^k \in [0, T]^d$ the characteristic function of

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_j X_n(s^j)$$

converges to the characteristic function of

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_j B_{s^j}^{\alpha},$$

when n tends to infinity.

Consider for any $s^j \in [0,T]^d$ sequences $\{\rho_1^{j,l}\}, \ldots, \{\rho_d^{j,l}\}$ of elementary functions such that for any $i \in \{1,\ldots,d\}, \{\rho_i^{j,l}\}$ converges in $L^2([0,1])$ to $K_{\alpha_i}(s_i^j,\cdot)$ as l tends to infinity.

We introduce also the following notations

$$X_n^{j,l} = \int_{[0,T]^d} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_i^{j,l}(u_i) \theta_n(u) du,$$

$$X^{j,l} = \int_{[0,T]^d} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_i^{j,l}(u_i) dW_u,$$

where $\{W_u; u \in [0,T]^d\}$ is a standard d-parameter Wiener process.

Then for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| E \left[\exp(i\lambda \sum_{j} a_{j} X_{n}(s^{j})) \right] - \bar{E} \left[\exp(i\lambda \sum_{j} a_{j} B_{s_{j}}^{\alpha}) \right] \right| \\
& \leq \left| E \left[\exp(i\lambda \sum_{j} a_{j} X_{n}(s^{j})) - \exp(i\lambda \sum_{j} a_{j} X_{n}^{j,l}) \right] \right| \\
& + \left| E \left[\exp(i\lambda \sum_{j} a_{j} X_{n}^{j,l}) \right] - \bar{E} \left[\exp(i\lambda \sum_{j} a_{j} X_{n}^{j,l}) \right] \right| \\
& + \left| \bar{E} \left[\exp(i\lambda \sum_{j} a_{j} X_{n}^{j,l}) - \exp(i\lambda \sum_{j} a_{j} B_{s_{j}}^{\alpha}) \right] \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

By the mean value theorem the first summand can be bounded by

$$C \max_{j} \{ E|X_n(s^j) - X_n^{j,l}| \}.$$

And we can bound this expectation in the following way:

$$\begin{split} E|X_{n}(s^{j}) - X_{n}^{j,l}| \\ &= E\Big| \int_{[0,T]^{d}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}^{j}, u_{i}) - \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_{i}^{j,l}(u_{i}) \right) \theta_{n}(u) du \Big| \\ &= E\Big| \int_{[0,T]^{d}} \left(K_{\alpha_{1}}(s_{1}^{j}, u_{1}) - \rho_{1}^{j,l}(u_{1}) \right) K_{\alpha_{2}}(s_{2}^{j}, u_{2}) \cdots K_{\alpha_{d}}(s_{d}^{j}, u_{d}) \theta_{n}(u) du \Big| \\ &+ E\Big| \int_{[0,T]^{d}} \rho_{1}^{j,l}(u_{1}) \left(K_{\alpha_{2}}(s_{2}^{j}, u_{2}) - \rho_{2}^{j,l}(u_{2}) \right) K_{\alpha_{3}}(s_{3}^{j}, u_{3}) \cdots K_{\alpha_{d}}(s_{d}^{j}, u_{d}) \theta_{n}(u) du \Big| \\ &+ \cdots + E\Big| \int_{[0,T]^{d}} \rho_{1}^{j,l}(u_{1}) \cdots \rho_{d-1}^{j,l}(u_{d-1}) \left(K_{\alpha_{d}}(s_{d}^{j}, u_{d}) - \rho_{d}^{j,l}(u_{d}) \right) \theta_{n}(u) du \Big| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{[0,T]^{d}} \left(K_{\alpha_{1}}(s_{1}^{j}, u_{1}) - \rho_{1}^{j,l}(u_{1}) \right)^{2} \left(K_{\alpha_{2}}(s_{2}^{j}, u_{2}) \right)^{2} \cdots \left(K_{\alpha_{d}}(s_{d}^{j}, u_{d}) \right)^{2} du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \left(\int_{[0,T]^{d}} \left(\rho_{1}^{j,l}(u_{1}) \right)^{2} \left(K_{\alpha_{2}}(s_{2}^{j}, u_{2}) - \rho_{2}^{j,l}(u_{2}) \right)^{2} \left(K_{\alpha_{3}}(s_{3}^{j}, u_{3}) \right)^{2} \times \cdots \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \cdots \times \left(K_{\alpha_{d}}(s_{d}^{j}, u_{d}) \right)^{2} du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \cdots + \left(\int_{[0,T]^{d}} \left(\rho_{1}^{j,l}(u_{1}) \right)^{2} \cdots \left(\rho_{d-1}^{j,l}(u_{d-1}) \right)^{2} \left(K_{\alpha_{d}}(s_{d}^{j}, u_{d}) - \rho_{d}^{j,l}(u_{d}) \right)^{2} du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{split}$$

where we have applied Lemma 3.2.

Observe that each sumand is a product of bounded integrals where one of them is equal to

$$\int_{[0,T]} \left(K_{\alpha_i}(s_i^j, u_i) - \rho_i^{j,l}(u_i) \right)^2 du_i,$$

for some $i \in \{1, ..., d\}$. This integral, if l is large enough, can be made arbitrarily small independently of n.

Since $\{\rho_i^{j,l}\}$ are elementary functions, the random variables $X_n^{j,l}$ are linear combinations of increments of the process

$$Y_n(s) = \int_{[0,s_1] \times \dots \times [0,s_d]} \theta_n(u) du.$$

Moreover, the laws of these processes converge weakly, in $\mathcal{C}([0,T]^d)$, to the law of the d-parameter Wiener process from the functional invariance principle (see, for instance, Wichura, 1969). Then, the linear combinations of the increments of Y_n will converge in law to $X^{j,l}$, and so, fixed $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the second summand of (3.2) tends to zero when $n \to \infty$.

Finally, the third summand of (3.2) is bounded by

$$C \max_{j} \left\{ \bar{E} \left| X^{j,l} - B_{s_{j}}^{\alpha} \right| \right\}$$

$$= C \max_{j} \left\{ \bar{E} \left| \int_{[0,T]^{d}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_{i}^{j,l}(u_{i}) - \prod_{i=1}^{d} K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}) \right) dW_{u} \right| \right\}$$

$$\leq C \max_{j} \left(\int_{[0,T]^{d}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_{i}^{j,l}(u_{i}) - \prod_{i=1}^{d} K_{\alpha_{i}}(s_{i}, u_{i}) \right)^{2} du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

using the properties of the stochastic integral. And this last expression converges to zero when $l \uparrow \infty$ independently of n by the same arguments used for the first summand of (3.2).

The proof of Theorem (3.1) is now complete.

References

- [1] Alòs, E., Mazet, O., Nualart, D.: Stochastic calculus with respect to Gaussian processes. *Ann. Probab.* **29** (2001), no. 2, 766–801.
- [2] Bardina, X., Jolis, M., Tudor, C. A.: Convergence in law to the multiple fractional integral. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **105** (2003), no. 2, 315–344.
- [3] Bardina, X., Jolis, M., Tudor, C.A.: Weak convergence to the fractional Brownian sheet and other two-parameter Gaussian processes. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **65** (2003), no. 4, 317–329.

- [4] BICKEL, P. J., WICHURA, M. J.: Convergence criteria for multiparameter stochastic processes and some applications. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **42** (1971), 1656–1670.
- [5] ČENCOV, N. N.: Wiener random fields depending on several parameters. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 106 (1956), 607–609.
- [6] CIESIELSKI, Z., KAMONT, A.: Levy's fractional Brownian random field and function spaces. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **60** (1995), no. 1-2, 99–118.
- [7] Davydov, J. A.: The invariance principle for stationary processes. *Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen.* **15** (1970), 498–509.
- [8] Delgado, R., Jolis, M.: Weak approximation for a class of Gaussian processes. J. Appl. Probab. 37 (2000), no. 2, 400–407.
- [9] Hult, H.: Approximating some Volterra type stochastic integrlas with applications to parameter estimation. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **105** (2003), no. 1, 1–32.
- [10] Kamont, A.: On the fractional anisotropic Wiener field. *Probab. Math. Statist.* **16** (1996), no. 1, 85–98.
- [11] MANDELBROT, B. B., VAN NESS, J. W.: Fractional Brownian motion, fractional noises and applications. SIAM Rev. 10 (1968), no. 4, 422–437.
- [12] Pipiras, V., Taqqu, M.S.: Convergence of the Weierstrass-Mandelbrot process to fractional Brownian motion. Fractals 8 (2000), no. 4, 369–384.
- [13] SOTTINEN, T.: Fractional Brownian motion, random walks and binary market models. Finance Stoch. 5 (2001), no. 3, 343–355.
- [14] Wichura, M. J.: Inequalities with applications to the weak convergence of random processes with multi-dimensional time parameters. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **40** (1969), 681–687.

Recibido: 8 de octubre de 2003

Xavier Bardina Departament de Matemàtiques Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona 08193-Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain bardina@mat.uab.es

Carme Florit
Departament de Matemàtiques
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona
08193-Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
cflorit@mat.uab.es

First author is partially supported by DGES Grants BFM2003-01345, BFM2003-00261 and by CIRIT Grant 2001SGR00174.