The Magic Square and Symmetric Compositions II

Alberto Elduque

Abstract

The construction of Freudenthal's Magic Square, which contains the exceptional simple Lie algebras of types F_4, E_6, E_7 and E_8, in terms of symmetric composition algebras is further developed here. The para-Hurwitz algebras, which form a subclass of the symmetric composition algebras, will be defined, in the split case, in terms of the natural two dimensional module for the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. As a consequence, it will be shown how all the Lie algebras in Freudenthal's Magic Square can be constructed, in a unified way, using copies of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and of its natural module.

1. Introduction

The exceptional simple Lie algebras in Killing-Cartan's classification are fundamental objects in many branches of mathematics and physics. A lot of different constructions of these objects have been given, many of which involve some nonassociative algebras or triple systems.

In 1966 Tits gave a unified construction of the exceptional simple Lie algebras which uses a couple of ingredients: a unital composition algebra C and a simple Jordan algebra J of degree 3 [31]. At least in the split cases, this is a construction which depends on two unital composition algebras, since the Jordan algebra involved consists of the 3×3-hermitian matrices over a unital composition algebra. Even though the construction is not symmetric in the two composition algebras that are being used, the outcome (the Magic Square) is indeed symmetric.

Over the years, more symmetric constructions have been given, starting with a construction by Vinberg in 1966 [30]. Later on, a quite general construction was given by Allison and Faulkner [2] of Lie algebras out of structurable ones. In the particular case of the tensor product of two unital composition algebras, this construction provides another symmetric construction of Freudenthal's Magic Square. Quite recently, Barton and Sudbery [4, 5] (see also Landsberg and Manivel [27, 28]) gave a simple recipe to obtain the Magic Square in terms of two unital composition algebras and their triality Lie algebras which, in perspective, is subsumed in Allison-Faulkner's construction.

Let us recall that a *composition algebra* is a triple $(S, \cdot, q)$, where $(S, \cdot)$ is a (nonassociative) algebra over a field F with multiplication denoted by $x \cdot y$ for $x, y \in S$, and where $q : S \to F$ is a regular quadratic form (the *norm*) such that, for any $x, y \in S$:

$$(1.1) \qquad q(x \cdot y) = q(x)q(y).$$

In what follows, the ground field F will always be assumed to be of characteristic $\neq 2$.

Unital composition algebras (or Hurwitz algebras) form a well-known class of algebras. Any Hurwitz algebra has finite dimension equal to either 1, 2, 4 or 8. The two-dimensional Hurwitz algebras are the quadratic étale algebras over the ground field F, the four dimensional ones are the generalized quaternion algebras, and the eight dimensional Hurwitz algebras are called *Cayley* algebras, and are analogues to the classical algebra of octonions (for a nice survey of the latter, see [3]).

However, as shown in [26], the triality phenomenon is better dealt with by means of the so called *symmetric composition algebras*, instead of the classical unital composition algebras. This led the author [11] to reinterpret the Barton-Sudbery's construction in terms of two symmetric composition algebras.

A composition algebra $(S, *, q)$ is said to be *symmetric* if it satisfies

$$(1.2) \qquad q(x * y, z) = q(x, y * z),$$

where $q(x, y) = q(x + y) - q(x) - q(y)$ is the polar of q. In what follows, any quadratic form and its polar will always be denoted by the same letter. Equation (1.2) is equivalent to

$$(1.3) \qquad (x * y) * x = x * (y * x) = q(x)y$$

for any $x, y \in S$. (See [26, Ch. VIII] for the basic facts and notations.)

The classification of the symmetric composition algebras was obtained in [14] (for characteristic $\neq 3$, see also [26, Ch. VIII]) and in [10] (for characteristic 3).

Given any Hurwitz algebra C with norm q, standard involution $x \mapsto \bar{x} = q(x,1)1 - x$, and multiplication denoted by juxtaposition, the new algebra defined on C but with multiplication

$$x \bullet y = \bar{x}\bar{y},$$

is a symmetric composition algebra, called the associated *para-Hurwitz algebra*. In dimension 1, 2 or 4, any symmetric composition algebra is a para-Hurwitz algebra, with a few exceptions in dimension 2 which are, nevertheless, forms of para-Hurwitz algebras; while in dimension 8, apart from the para-Hurwitz algebras, there is a new family of symmetric composition algebras termed *Okubo algebras*.

If $(S, *, q)$ is any symmetric composition algebra, consider the corresponding orthogonal Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{o}(S, q) = \{d \in \operatorname{End}_F(S) : q\big(d(x), y\big) + q\big(x, d(y)\big) = 0 \ \forall x, y \in S\},$$

and the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{o}(S, q)^3$ defined by

(1.4) $\mathfrak{tri}(S, *, q) =$
$$= \{(d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{o}(S, q)^3 : d_0(x * y) = d_1(x) * y + x * d_2(y) \ \forall x, y \in S\}.$$

The map

$$\theta : \mathfrak{tri}(S, *, q) \to \mathfrak{tri}(S, *, q), \quad (d_0, d_1, d_2) \mapsto (d_2, d_0, d_1),$$

is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{tri}(S, *, q)$ of order 3, the *triality automorphism*. Its fixed subalgebra is (isomorphic to) the derivation algebra of the algebra $(S, *)$ which, if the dimension is 8 and the characteristic of the ground field is $\neq 2, 3$, is a simple Lie algebra of type G_2 in the para-Hurwitz case and a simple Lie algebra of type A_2 (a form of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$) in the Okubo case.

For any $x, y \in S$, the triple

(1.5) $$t_{x,y} = \left(\sigma_{x,y}, \frac{1}{2}q(x,y)id - r_x l_y, \frac{1}{2}q(x,y)id - l_x r_y\right)$$

is in $\mathfrak{tri}(S, *, q)$, where $\sigma_{x,y}(z) = q(x,z)y - q(y,z)x$, $r_x(z) = z * x$, and $l_x(z) = x * z$ for any $x, y, z \in S$.

The construction given in [11] starts with two symmetric composition algebras $(S, *, q)$ and $(S', *, q')$. Then define $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(S, S')$ to be the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$-graded anticommutative algebra such that $\mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{0})} = \mathfrak{tri}(S, *, q) \oplus \mathfrak{tri}(S', *, q')$,

$\mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{0})} = \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})} = \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})} = S \otimes S'$. (Unadorned tensor products are considered over the ground field F.) For any $a \in S$ and $x \in S'$, denote by $\iota_i(a \otimes x)$ the element $a \otimes x$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{0})}$ (respectively $\mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})}$) if $i = 0$ (respectively, $i = 1, 2$). Thus

$$(1.6) \qquad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(S, S') = \big(\mathfrak{tri}(S, *, q) \oplus \mathfrak{tri}(S', *, q')\big) \oplus \big(\oplus_{i=0}^{2} \iota_i(S \otimes S')\big).$$

The anticommutative multiplication on $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by means of:

- $\mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{0})}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$,

- $[(d_0, d_1, d_2), \iota_i(a \otimes x)] = \iota_i\big(d_i(a) \otimes x\big)$, $[(d_0', d_1', d_2'), \iota_i(a \otimes x)] = \iota_i\big(a \otimes d_i'(x)\big)$, for any $(d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{tri}(S, *, q)$, $(d_0', d_1', d_2') \in \mathfrak{tri}(S', *, q')$, $a \in S$ and $x \in S'$.

- $[\iota_i(a \otimes x), \iota_{i+1}(b \otimes y)] = \iota_{i+2}\big((a * b) \otimes (x * y)\big)$ (indices modulo 3), for any $a, b \in S$, $x, y \in S'$.

- $[\iota_i(a \otimes x), \iota_i(b \otimes y)] = q'(x, y)\theta^i(t_{a,b}) + q(a, b)\theta'^i(t'_{x,y})$, for any $i = 0, 1, 2$, $a, b \in S$ and $x, y \in S'$, where $t_{a,b} \in \mathfrak{tri}(S, *, q)$ (respectively $t'_{x,y} \in \mathfrak{tri}(S', *, q')$) is the element in (1.5) for $a, b \in S$ (resp. $x, y \in S'$) and θ (resp. θ') is the triality automorphism of $\mathfrak{tri}(S, *, q)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{tri}(S', *, q')$).

The main result in [11] asserts that, with this multiplication, $\mathfrak{g}(S, S')$ is a Lie algebra and, if the characteristic of the ground field is $\neq 2, 3$, Freudenthal's Magic Square is recovered (Table 1).

		dim S			
		1	2	4	8
	1	A_1	A_2	C_3	F_4
	2	A_2	$A_2 \oplus A_2$	A_5	E_6
dim S'	4	C_3	A_5	D_6	E_7
	8	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8

Table 1: The Magic Square

In [12] it is proved that if $(S, *, q)$ is an Okubo algebra with an idempotent (this is always the case if the ground field does not admit cubic extensions), then there is a para-Cayley algebra $(\hat{S}, \bullet, q)$ defined on the same vector space S such that $\mathfrak{g}(S, S')$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(\hat{S}, S')$, for any S'.

The purpose of this paper is to delve in the construction $\mathfrak{g}(S, S')$ given in [11], for two split para-Hurwitz algebras. It turns out that the split para-Hurwitz algebras of dimension 4 and 8 (para-quaternion and para-octonion algebras) can be constructed using a very simple ingredient: the two dimensional module for the three dimensional simple split Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. This will be shown in Section 2.

As a consequence, all the split Lie algebras in the Magic Square will be constructed in very simple terms using copies of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and of its natural module. The general form will be

$$\mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} V(\sigma) \,,$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ will be a set of subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, for some n, $V(\emptyset) = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{sl}_2$, a direct sum of copies of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, and for $\emptyset \neq \sigma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \in \mathcal{S}$, $V(\sigma) = V_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_r}$, with V_i the two-dimensional natural module for the ith copy of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ in $V(\emptyset)$. The Lie bracket will appear in terms of natural contractions. The precise formulas will be given in Section 3. Surprisingly, the real division algebra of octonions will appear in these constructions, but in an unexpected way.

Section 4 will be devoted to show how the models obtained in Section 3 of the exceptional simple split Lie algebras give models too of the exceptional simple split Freudenthal triple systems which, together with simple Jordan algebras, were the basic tools used by Freudenthal to construct the Lie algebras in the Magic Square.

2. Split para-quaternions and para-octonions

Let V be a two dimensional vector space over a ground field F (of characteristic $\neq 2$), endowed with a nonzero skew-symmetric bilinear form $\langle .|. \rangle$. Consider the symplectic Lie algebra

(2.1) $$\mathfrak{sp}(V) = \text{span} \left\{ \gamma_{a,b} = \langle a|. \rangle b + \langle b|. \rangle a : a, b \in V \right\} \,,$$

which coincides with $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ (endomorphisms of zero trace). The bilinear form allows $Q = V \otimes V$ to be identified with $\text{End}_F(V)$ (the split quaternion algebra over F) by means of:

$$V \otimes V \longrightarrow \text{End}_F(V)$$
$$a \otimes b \longmapsto \langle a|. \rangle b : v \mapsto \langle a|v \rangle b \,.$$

Since

$$\langle a \otimes b(v)|w \rangle = \langle a|v \rangle \langle b|w \rangle = -\langle v|b \otimes a(w) \rangle \,,$$

it follows that for any $a, b \in V$,

$$\overline{a \otimes b} = -b \otimes a \,,$$

where $f \mapsto \bar{f}$ is the symplectic involution of $\mathrm{End}_F(V)$ relative to $\langle . | . \rangle$ (the standard involution as a quaternion algebra). Also, the norm as a quaternion algebra is given by $q(x) = \det x = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace} x\bar{x}$; so its polar form becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(a \otimes b, c \otimes d) &= \operatorname{trace}\big((a \otimes b)(\overline{c \otimes d})\big) \\
&= -\operatorname{trace}\big((a \otimes b)(d \otimes c)\big) \\
&= -\langle a|c\rangle \langle d|b\rangle \\
&= \langle a|c\rangle \langle b|d\rangle \,.
\end{aligned}
$$

(The natural symmetric bilinear form on $V \otimes V$ induced from $\langle . | . \rangle$.)

The split Cayley algebra is obtained by means of the Cayley-Dickson doubling process (see [23, Section 2]): $C = Q \oplus Q$ with multiplication, standard involution and norm given by:

$$
\begin{cases}
(x, y)(x', y') = (xx' - \overline{y'}y, y'x + y\overline{x'}), \\
\overline{(x, y)} = (\bar{x}, -y), \\
q\big((x, y)\big) = q(x) + q(y),
\end{cases}
$$

for any $x, y, x', y' \in Q$. Therefore, $C = V \otimes V \oplus V \otimes V$ with

$$
\begin{cases}
(a \otimes b, c \otimes d)(a' \otimes b', c' \otimes d') \\
\quad = \Big(\langle a|b'\rangle a' \otimes b - \langle d|d'\rangle c \otimes c', -\langle b|c'\rangle a \otimes d' - \langle c|a'\rangle b' \otimes d\Big), \\
\overline{(a \otimes b, c \otimes d)} = -(b \otimes a, c \otimes d), \\
q\big((a \otimes b, c \otimes d), (a' \otimes b', c' \otimes d')\big) = \langle a|a'\rangle \langle b|b'\rangle + \langle c|c'\rangle \langle d|d'\rangle \,,
\end{cases}
$$

for any $a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d' \in V$.

The multiplication $x \bullet y = \bar{x}\bar{y}$ in the associated para-Hurwitz algebra (the split para-octonions) S_8 takes the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a \otimes b,& \, c \otimes d) \bullet (a' \otimes b', c' \otimes d') \\
&= (b \otimes a, c \otimes d)(b' \otimes a', c' \otimes d') \\
&= \Big(\langle b|a'\rangle b' \otimes a - \langle d|d'\rangle c \otimes c', -\langle a|c'\rangle b \otimes d' - \langle c|b'\rangle a' \otimes d\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

(2.2)

The split para-quaternion algebra S_4 is just the subalgebra consisting of the first copy of $V \otimes V$ in S_8.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4$ acts naturally on S_8, where the ith component of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4$ acts on the ith copy of V in $S_8 = V \otimes V \oplus V \otimes V$. This gives an embedding into the orthogonal Lie algebra of S_8 relative to q:

$$(2.3) \qquad \rho : \mathfrak{sp}(V)^4 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{o}(S_8, q).$$

Actually, this is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4$ onto the subalgebra $\mathfrak{o}(V \otimes V) \oplus \mathfrak{o}(V \otimes V)$ of $\mathfrak{o}(S_8, q)$, which is the even part of $\mathfrak{o}(S_8, q)$ relative to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading given by the orthogonal decomposition $S_8 = V \otimes V \perp V \otimes V$.

Consider also the linear map (denoted by ρ too):

$$(2.4) \qquad \rho : \ V^{\otimes 4} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{o}(S_8, q) \,,$$

such that

$$(2.5) \qquad \begin{aligned} \rho(v_1 \otimes v_2 &\otimes v_3 \otimes v_4)\big((w_1 \otimes w_2, w_3 \otimes w_4)\big) \\ &= \Big(\langle v_3|w_3\rangle\langle v_4|w_4\rangle v_1 \otimes v_2, -\langle v_1|w_1\rangle\langle v_2|w_2\rangle v_3 \otimes v_4\Big) \,, \end{aligned}$$

for any $v_i, w_i \in V$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Consider for any $x, y \in S_8$ the linear map

$$\sigma_{x,y} = q(x, .)y - q(y, .)x$$

(these maps span $\mathfrak{o}(S_8, q)$). Then,

$$(2.6) \qquad \rho(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4) = -\sigma_{(v_1 \otimes v_2, 0),(0, v_3 \otimes v_4)} \,.$$

Observe that $\rho(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4)$ fills the odd part of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of $\mathfrak{o}(S_8, q)$ mentioned above.

Now, for any $v_i, w_i \in V$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$; a straightforward computation, using that $\langle a|b\rangle c + \langle b|c\rangle a + \langle c|a\rangle b = 0$ for any $a, b, c \in V$ (since $\dim V = 2$ and the expression is skew symmetric on its arguments), gives:

$$(2.7) \qquad \begin{aligned} \Big[\rho(v_1 \otimes v_2 &\otimes v_3 \otimes v_4), \rho(w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes w_3 \otimes w_4)\Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Big(\prod_{j \neq i} \langle v_j|w_j\rangle\Big) \nu_i(\gamma_{v_i, w_i}) \in \rho\big(\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4\big) \,, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu_i : \mathfrak{sp}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(V)^4$ denotes the inclusion into the ith component.

In the same vein, for any $v_i, w_i \in V$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

$$(2.8) \qquad \begin{cases} \sigma_{(v_1 \otimes v_2, 0),(w_1 \otimes w_2, 0)} = \dfrac{1}{2}\Big(\langle v_2|w_2\rangle\nu_1(\gamma_{v_1, w_1}) + \langle v_1|w_1\rangle\nu_2(\gamma_{v_2, w_2})\Big), \\[2mm] \sigma_{(0, v_3 \otimes v_4),(0, w_3 \otimes w_4)} = \dfrac{1}{2}\Big(\langle v_4|w_4\rangle\nu_3(\gamma_{v_3, w_3}) + \langle v_3|w_3\rangle\nu_4(\gamma_{v_4, w_4})\Big). \end{cases}$$

The following result (see also [28, 3.4]) summarizes most of the above arguments.

Proposition 2.9 *The vector space*

(2.10) $$\mathfrak{d}_4 = \mathfrak{sp}(V)^4 \oplus V^{\otimes 4},$$

with anticommutative multiplication given by:

- $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4$ *is a Lie subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{d}_4$,

- *for any* $s_i \in \mathfrak{sp}(V)$ *and* $v_i \in V$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$[(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4), v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4]$$
$$= s_1(v_1) \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4 + v_1 \otimes s_2(v_2) \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4$$
$$+ v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes s_3(v_3) \otimes v_4 + v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes s_4(v_4),$$

- *for any* $v_i, w_i \in V$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$[v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4, w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes w_3 \otimes w_4] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Big(\prod_{j \neq i} \langle v_j | w_j \rangle\Big) \nu_i(\gamma_{v_i, w_i}),$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{o}(S_8, q)$ *by means of the linear map* ρ *defined by* (2.3) *and* (2.4).

Note that $\mathfrak{d}_4$ is generated by $V^{\otimes 4}$ and that the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{d}_4 = \mathfrak{sp}(V)^4 \oplus V^{\otimes 4}$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading.

Let us consider now, as in [28, 3.4], the order three automorphism θ : $\mathfrak{d}_4 \to \mathfrak{d}_4$ such that:

(2.11) $$\begin{cases} \theta\big((s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)\big) = (s_3, s_1, s_2, s_4), \\ \theta(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4) = v_3 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_4, \end{cases}$$

for any $s_i \in \mathfrak{sp}(V)$ and $v_i \in v$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then:

Proposition 2.12 (Local triality) *For any* $f \in \mathfrak{d}_4$ *and any* $x, y \in S_8$:

$$\rho(f)(x \bullet y) = \Big(\rho(\theta^{-1}(f))(x)\Big) \bullet y + x \bullet \Big(\rho(\theta^{-2}(f))(y)\Big).$$

Proof.   It is enough to prove this for generators of $\mathfrak{d}_4$ and of S_8, and hence for $f = v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4$, $x = (a_1 \otimes a_2, a_3 \otimes a_4)$, $y = (b_1 \otimes b_2, b_3 \otimes b_4)$, with $v_i, a_i, b_i \in V$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and this is a straightforward computation.   ∎

The triality Lie algebra of $(S_8, \bullet, q)$ is

$$\mathfrak{tri}(S_8, \bullet, q)$$
$$= \left\{ (g_0, g_1, g_2) \in \mathfrak{o}(S_8, q) : g_0(x \bullet y) = g_1(x) \bullet y + x \bullet g_2(y), \ \forall x, y \in S_8 \right\},$$

and the projection on any of its components gives an isomorphism among the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{tri}(S_8, \bullet, q)$ and $\mathfrak{o}(S_8, q)$. Let $\rho_i = \rho \circ \theta^{-i}$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. By dimension count, the previous Proposition immediately implies:

Corollary 2.13 $\mathfrak{tri}(S_8, \bullet, q) = \left\{ \left(\rho_0(f), \rho_1(f), \rho_2(f) \right) : f \in \mathfrak{d}_4 \right\}.$

Denote by V_i the $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4$-module V on which only the ith component acts: $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4).v = s_i(v)$ for any $s_i \in \mathfrak{sp}(V)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and $v \in V$. Also, denote by $\iota_i(S_8)$ the $\mathfrak{d}_4$-module associated to the representation $\rho_i : \mathfrak{d}_4 \to \mathfrak{o}(S_8, q)$. Then, as modules for $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota_0(S_8) &= V_1 \otimes V_2 \ \oplus \ V_3 \otimes V_4, \\
(2.14) \qquad \iota_1(S_8) &= V_2 \otimes V_3 \ \oplus \ V_1 \otimes V_4, \\
\iota_2(S_8) &= V_3 \otimes V_1 \ \oplus \ V_2 \otimes V_4 \ (\simeq V_1 \otimes V_3 \ \oplus \ V_2 \otimes V_4).
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.15 *For the split para-quaternion algebra $S_4 = V \otimes V$, by restriction we obtain:*

$$\mathfrak{tri}(S_4, \bullet, q) = \left\{ \left(\tilde{\rho}_0(f), \tilde{\rho}_1(f), \tilde{\rho}_2(f) \right) : f \in \mathfrak{sp}(V)^3 \right\},$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_i$ is obtained by restriction of ρ_i:

$$\tilde{\rho}_i \left((s_1, s_2, s_3) \right) = \rho_i \left((s_1, s_2, s_3, 0) \right)|_{V \otimes V}.$$

Remark 2.16 *The construction of $\mathfrak{d}_4$ in Proposition 2.9 makes it clear an action of the symmetric group S_3 on $\mathfrak{d}_4$ leaving fixed the last copy of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ and of V, as shown by the action of θ in (2.11). As such, $\mathfrak{d}_4$ is the natural example of a* Lie algebra with triality, *as defined in [22].*

Moreover, the fixed subalgebra by θ in (2.11) is a direct sum $\left(\mathfrak{sp}(V) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(V) \right) \oplus S^3(V) \otimes V$, where the first copy of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ is the diagonal subalgebra in the direct sum of the first three copies of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ in (2.10), $S^3(V)$ is the module of symmetric tensors in $V^{\otimes 3}$ (the tensor product of the first three copies of V in (2.10)). If the characteristic is $\neq 2, 3$, this is the split simple Lie algebra of type G_2.

The following notation will be useful in the sequel. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any subset $\sigma \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ consider the $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^n$-modules given by

$$
V(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{sp}(V)^n & \text{if } \sigma = \emptyset, \\ V_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_r} & \text{if } \sigma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}, \ 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq n. \end{cases}
$$

As before, V_i denotes the module V for the ith component of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^n$, annihilated by the other $n - 1$ components.

Identify any subset $\sigma \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with the element $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that $\sigma_i = 1$ if and only if $i \in \sigma$. Then for any $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, consider the natural $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^n$-invariant maps

$$(2.17) \qquad\qquad \varphi_{\sigma,\tau} : V(\sigma) \times V(\tau) \longrightarrow V(\sigma + \tau)$$

defined as follows:

- If $\sigma \neq \tau$ and $\sigma \neq \emptyset \neq \tau$, then $\varphi_{\sigma,\tau}$ is obtained by contraction, by means of $\langle .|. \rangle$ in the indices i with $\sigma_i = 1 = \tau_i$. Thus, for instance,

$$\varphi_{\{1,2,3\},\{1,3,4\}}(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3, w_1 \otimes w_3 \otimes w_4) = \langle v_1|w_1\rangle\langle v_3|w_3\rangle v_2 \otimes w_4$$

  for any $v_1, w_1 \in V_1$, $v_2 \in V_2$, $v_3, w_3 \in V_3$ and $w_4 \in V$.

- $\varphi_{\emptyset,\emptyset}$ is the Lie bracket in $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^n$.

- For any $\sigma \neq \emptyset$, $\varphi_{\emptyset,\sigma} = -\varphi_{\sigma,\emptyset}$ is given by the natural action of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^n$ on $V(\sigma)$. Thus, for instance,

$$\varphi_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}}\big((s_1, \ldots, s_n), v_1 \otimes v_3\big) = s_1(v_1) \otimes v_3 + v_1 \otimes s_3(v_3),$$

  for any $s_i \in \mathfrak{sp}(V)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $v_1 \in V_1$, $v_3 \in V$.

- Finally, for any $\sigma \neq \emptyset$, $\varphi_{\sigma,\sigma}$ is given altering slightly (2.7):

$$\varphi_{\sigma,\sigma}(v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_r}, w_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{i_r}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \Big(\prod_{k \neq j} \langle v_{i_k}|w_{i_k}\rangle \nu_{i_j}\big(\gamma_{v_{i_j},w_{i_j}}\big)\Big).$$

  (The minus sign here is useful in getting nicer formulae later on.)

With this notation and for $n = 4$,

$$(2.18) \qquad\qquad \mathfrak{d}_4 = V(\emptyset) \oplus V(\{1,2,3,4\}),$$

with

$$(2.19) \qquad\qquad [x_\sigma, y_\tau] = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{d}_4}(\sigma, \tau)\varphi_{\sigma,\tau}(x_\sigma, y_\tau)$$

for any $\sigma, \tau \in \Big\{\emptyset, \{1,2,3,4\}\Big\}$ where, for $\sigma = \{1,2,3,4\}$,

$$\epsilon_{\mathfrak{d}_4}(\emptyset, \emptyset) = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{d}_4}(\emptyset, \sigma) = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{d}_4}(\sigma, \emptyset) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_{\mathfrak{d}_4}(\sigma, \sigma) = -1.$$

3. Exceptional Lie algebras

In this section, the construction of the split para-quaternions and para-octonions given in Section 2, together with the description given there of $\mathfrak{d}_4$ and of $\mathfrak{tri}(S_8, \bullet, q)$, will be used to provide constructions of the exceptional simple Lie algebras, which depend only on copies of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ and of V. (Notations as in the previous section.)

3.1. $\mathbf{F_4}$

Let us start with the split Lie algebra of type F_4. Because of (1.6), (2.14) and (2.10), and identifying $\mathfrak{tri}(S_8, \bullet, q)$ with $\mathfrak{d}_4$ by means of ρ_0 (Corollary 2.13), one has:

$$(3.1) \qquad \mathfrak{f}_4 = \mathfrak{g}(S_8, F) = \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4}} V(\sigma),$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4} = \left\{\emptyset, \{1,2,3,4\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,3\}, \{3,4\}, \{1,4\}, \{2,4\}\right\} \subseteq 2^{\{1,2,3,4\}}.$$

By $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4$-invariance of the Lie bracket in $\mathfrak{f}_4$, it follows that

$$(3.2) \qquad [x_\sigma, y_\tau] = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{f}_4}(\sigma, \tau) \varphi_{\sigma, \tau}(x_\sigma, y_\tau),$$

for any $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4}$, $x_\sigma \in V(\sigma)$ and $y_\tau \in V(\tau)$; for a suitable map $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{f}_4} : \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4} \times \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4} \to F$.

Actually, the product $\bullet$ in (2.2) becomes in $\mathfrak{g}(S_8, F)$ a bilinear map

$$\iota_0(S_8 \otimes F) \times \iota_1(S_8 \otimes F) \to \iota_2(S_8 \otimes F)$$

(and cyclically); that is, a bilinear map

$$\left(V_1 \otimes V_2 \oplus V_3 \otimes V_4\right) \times \left(V_2 \otimes V_3 \oplus V_1 \otimes V_4\right) \to \left(V_3 \otimes V_1 \oplus V_2 \otimes V_4\right)$$

given by

$$\left((a_1 \otimes a_2, a_3 \otimes a_4), (b_2 \otimes b_3, b_1 \otimes b_4)\right)$$
$$\mapsto \left(\langle a_2|b_2\rangle b_3 \otimes a_1 - \langle a_4|b_4\rangle a_3 \otimes b_1, -\langle a_1|b_1\rangle a_2 \otimes b_4 - \langle a_3|b_3\rangle b_1 \otimes a_4\right),$$

and cyclically. Thus it consists of maps $\varphi_{\sigma, \tau}$ scaled by ± 1. Also, equation (2.5) determines the Lie bracket in $\mathfrak{g}(S_8, F)$ between $V(\{1,2,3,4\})$ and $V(\{1,2\}) \oplus V(\{3,4\})$. By cyclic symmetry using ρ_1 and ρ_2 one gets all the brackets between $V(\{1,2,3,4\})$ and the $V(\sigma)$'s with $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4}$ consisting of two elements. Finally, for any $x, y \in S_8$, $[\iota_0(x \otimes 1), \iota_0(y \otimes 1)] = 2t_{x,y}$ (1.5),

$\epsilon_{\mathfrak{f}_4}$	$\emptyset$	$\{1,2\}$	$\{2,3\}$	$\{1,3\}$	$\{1,2,3,4\}$	$\{3,4\}$	$\{1,4\}$	$\{2,4\}$
$\emptyset$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\{1,2\}$	1	-2	1	1	1	-2	-1	-1
$\{2,3\}$	1	1	-2	1	1	-1	-2	-1
$\{1,3\}$	1	1	1	-2	1	-1	-1	-2
$\{1,2,3,4\}$	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	1
$\{3,4\}$	1	2	-1	-1	-1	-2	-1	-1
$\{1,4\}$	1	-1	2	-1	-1	-1	-2	-1
$\{2,4\}$	1	-1	-1	2	-1	-1	-1	-2

Table 2: $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{f}_4}$

since $q'(1,1) = 2$ in the symmetric composition algebra F. But under $\rho_0 = \rho$, $t_{x,y}$ corresponds to $\sigma_{x,y} \in \mathfrak{o}(S_8, q)$. Then equations (2.6) and (2.8) determine the corresponding values of $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{f}_4}$. By cyclic symmetry, one completes the information about $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{f}_4}$, which is displayed on Table 2. That is, $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{f}_4}$ takes the following values for $\sigma, \tau \subseteq \{1,2,3,4\}$:

$$
(3.3) \qquad \epsilon_{\mathfrak{f}_4}(\sigma, \tau) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ or } \tau \text{ is empty,} \\ -1 & \text{if } \sigma = \tau = \{1,2,3,4\}, \\ -2 & \text{if } \emptyset \neq \sigma = \tau \neq \{1,2,3,4\}, \\ 2 & \text{if } 4 \in \sigma = \{1,2,3,4\} \setminus \tau,\ \tau \neq \emptyset, \\ -2 & \text{if } 4 \in \tau = \{1,2,3,4\} \setminus \sigma,\ \sigma \neq \emptyset, \\ 1 & \text{if } 4 \notin \sigma \cup \tau, \\ -1 & \text{if } 4 \in \sigma \cup \tau,\ \sigma \neq \emptyset \neq \tau. \end{cases}
$$

The split Lie algebra C_3 is realized as $\mathfrak{c}_3 = \mathfrak{g}(S_4, F)$, a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{f}_4 = \mathfrak{g}(S_8, F)$ (see [12, Theorem 2.6]). Thus, by restriction, one gets

$$
(3.4) \qquad \mathfrak{c}_3 = \mathfrak{sp}(V)^3 \oplus V_1 \otimes V_2 \oplus V_2 \otimes V_3 \oplus V_1 \otimes V_3 = \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{c}_3}} V(\sigma),
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{c}_3} = \left\{ \emptyset, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,3\} \right\} \subseteq 2^{\{1,2,3\}}.
$$

and the multiplication is given by

$$
(3.5) \qquad [x_\sigma, y_\tau] = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{c}_3}(\sigma, \tau) \varphi_{\sigma, \tau}(x_\sigma, y_\tau),
$$

for any $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{c}_3}$, $x_\sigma \in V(\sigma)$ and $y_\tau \in V(\tau)$; where $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{c}_3} : \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{c}_3} \times \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{c}_3} \to F$ is given by the left top corner of Table 2. That is,

$$
\epsilon_{\mathfrak{c}_3}(\sigma, \tau) = \begin{cases} -2 & \text{if } \sigma = \tau \neq \emptyset, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

3.2. E_7

The split simple Lie algebra E_7 is realized as $\mathfrak{e}_7 = \mathfrak{g}(S_8, S_4)$. Here there are 4 copies of V involved in S_8 and another 3 copies for S_4 (3.4). The indices $1, 2, 3, 4$ will be used for S_8 and the indices $5, 6, 7$ for S_4. Therefore, with the same arguments as above,

$$(3.6) \qquad \mathfrak{e}_7 = \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7}} V(\sigma) \, ,$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7} = \{ \emptyset, \{1, 2, 5, 6\}, \{2, 3, 6, 7\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7\},$$
$$\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{1, 4, 6, 7\}, \{2, 4, 5, 7\} \} \subseteq 2^{\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}}$$

and the multiplication is given by

$$(3.7) \qquad [x_\sigma, y_\tau] = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_7}(\sigma, \tau) \varphi_{\sigma, \tau}(x_\sigma, y_\tau) \, ,$$

for any $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7}$, $x_\sigma \in V(\sigma)$ and $y_\tau \in V(\tau)$; where $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_7} : \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7} \times \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7} \to F$ is given by Table 3.

$\epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_7}$	$\emptyset$	$\{1,2,5,6\}$	$\{2,3,6,7\}$	$\{1,3,5,7\}$	$\{1,2,3,4\}$	$\{3,4,5,6\}$	$\{1,4,6,7\}$	$\{2,4,5,7\}$
$\emptyset$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\{1,2,5,6\}$	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	1
$\{2,3,6,7\}$	1	-1	-1	1	1	1	-1	-1
$\{1,3,5,7\}$	1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1
$\{1,2,3,4\}$	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	1
$\{3,4,5,6\}$	1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1
$\{1,4,6,7\}$	1	1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
$\{2,4,5,7\}$	1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	-1

Table 3: $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_7}$

All the entries that appear in this table are ± 1, and these signs coincide with the signs that appear in the multiplication table of the real algebra of octonions $\mathbb{O}$: The usual basis of this algebra is $\{1, i, j, k(= ij), l, il, jl, kl\}$, with multiplication table given in Table 4.

Actually, $\mathfrak{e}_7 = \mathfrak{g}(S_8, S_4)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$-graded, and S_8 is naturally $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded (with even part S_4 (2.2)). Thus $\mathfrak{e}_7$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$-graded. In fact, the decomposition (3.6) is a 'fake' $\mathbb{Z}_2^7$-grading, as the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-linear map

$$(3.8) \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \chi_3 : \mathbb{Z}_2^3 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2^7 \\ (x_1, x_2, x_3) & \mapsto & (x_1 + x_3, x_1 + x_2 + x_3, x_2 + x_3, x_3, x_1, x_1 + x_2, x_2) \end{array}$$

provides a bijection between $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^7$. Through χ_3, we get the $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-grading of $\mathfrak{e}_7$.

	1	i	j	k	l	il	jl	kl
1	1	i	j	k	l	il	jl	kl
i	i	-1	k	$-j$	il	$-l$	$-kl$	jl
j	j	$-k$	-1	i	jl	kl	$-l$	$-il$
k	k	j	$-i$	-1	kl	$-jl$	il	$-l$
l	l	$-il$	$-jl$	$-kl$	-1	i	j	k
il	il	l	$-kl$	jl	$-i$	-1	$-k$	k
jl	jl	kl	l	$-il$	$-j$	k	-1	$-i$
kl	kl	$-jl$	il	l	$-k$	$-j$	i	-1

Table 4: The Octonions

Following [1], $\mathbb{O}$ is a *twisted group algebra* $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}_\phi[\mathbb{Z}_2^3]$. This is the algebra defined on the group algebra $\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_2^3]$ (with basis $\{e^x : x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^3\}$ and multiplication determined by $e^x e^y = e^{x+y}$), but with a new multiplication of the basic elements: $e^x \cdot e^y = \phi(x,y)e^{x+y}$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^3$, where $\phi(x,y) = (-1)^{f(x,y)}$ and $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ is given by

$$(3.9) \qquad f(x,y) = \left(\sum_{i \geq j} x_i y_j\right) + x_1 y_2 y_3 + x_2 y_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 y_2,$$

for $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3), y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$. (There is a discrepancy here with respect to [1] due to the fact that these authors work with the basis $\{1, i, j, k, l, li, lj, lk\}$.) One can check easily that identifying i with $(1,0,0)$, j with $(0,1,0)$ and k with $(0,0,1)$ one recovers Table 4.

Note that through χ_3, this identifies i with $\{1,2,5,6\}$, j with $\{2,3,6,7\}$ and k with $\{1,2,3,4\}$. Moreover $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7} = \chi_3(\mathbb{Z}_2^3)$ and

$$(3.10) \qquad \epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_7}(\sigma, \tau) = (-1)^{f\left(\chi_3^{-1}(\sigma), \chi_3^{-1}(\tau)\right)}$$

for any $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7}$, thus providing a closed formula for $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_7}$.

Also, the split Lie algebra of type D_6 is realized as $\mathfrak{d}_6 = \mathfrak{g}(S_4, S_4)$, a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{e}_7 = \mathfrak{g}(S_8, S_4)$. Thus, by restriction, one gets

$$(3.11) \qquad \mathfrak{d}_6 = \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{d}_6}} V(\sigma),$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{d}_6} = \left\{\emptyset, \{1,2,5,6\}, \{2,3,6,7\}, \{1,3,5,7\}\right\} \subseteq 2^{\{1,2,3,5,6,7\}}.$$

and the multiplication is given by

$$(3.12) \qquad [x_\sigma, y_\tau] = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{d}_6}(\sigma, \tau)\chi_{\sigma,\tau}(x_\sigma, y_\tau),$$

for any $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{d}_6}$, $x_\sigma \in V(\sigma)$ and $y_\tau \in V(\tau)$; where $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{d}_6} : \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{d}_6} \times \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{d}_6} \to F$ is given by the left top corner of Table 3.

Therefore, we may consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-linear map:

(3.13)
$$\chi_2 : \mathbb{Z}_2^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^6 \left(\cong 2^{\{1,2,3,5,6,7\}}\right)$$
$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_1, x_1 + x_2, x_2, x_1, x_1 + x_2, x_2)$$

and the map $f' : \mathbb{Z}_2^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ given by

$$f'\big((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)\big) = x_1 y_1 + x_2(y_1 + y_2) \,.$$

Then, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{d}_6} = \chi_2(\mathbb{Z}_2^2)$ and

(3.14)
$$\epsilon_{\mathfrak{d}_6}(\sigma, \tau) = (-1)^{f'\left(\chi_2^{-1}(\sigma), \chi_2^{-1}(\tau)\right)}$$

for any $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{d}_6}$, thus providing a closed formula too for $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{d}_6}$.

3.3. $\mathbf{E_8}$

All the arguments for E_7 can be easily extended to E_8. The split simple Lie algebra E_8 is realized as $\mathfrak{e}_8 = \mathfrak{g}(S_8, S_8)$. Here there are 4 copies of V involved in the first S_8 and another 4 copies for the second S_8. The indices $1, 2, 3, 4$ will be used for the first S_8 and the indices $5, 6, 7, 8$ for the second S_8. Therefore, with the same arguments as above,

(3.15)
$$\mathfrak{e}_8 = \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8}} V(\sigma) \,,$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8} = \Big\{ \emptyset, \{1, 2, 5, 6\}, \{2, 3, 6, 7\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7\},$$
$$\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{1, 4, 6, 7\}, \{2, 4, 5, 7\},$$
$$\{3, 4, 7, 8\}, \{1, 4, 5, 8\}, \{2, 4, 6, 8\}, \{5, 6, 7, 8\},$$
$$\{1, 2, 7, 8\}, \{2, 3, 5, 8\}, \{1, 3, 6, 8\} \Big\}$$
$$\subseteq 2^{\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}} \,.$$

and the multiplication is given by

(3.16)
$$[x_\sigma, y_\tau] = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_8}(\sigma, \tau) \varphi_{\sigma, \tau}(x_\sigma, y_\tau) \,,$$

for any $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8}$, $x_\sigma \in V(\sigma)$ and $y_\tau \in V(\tau)$; where $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_8} : \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8} \times \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8} \to F$ is given by Table 5.

The $\pm$ signs that appear in Table 5 are the same as those that appear in the corresponding entry of the multiplication table of $\mathbb{O} \oplus \mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}[\varepsilon]$ (with $\mathbb{R}[\varepsilon] = \mathbb{R}1 \oplus \mathbb{R}\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^2 = 1$) in the basis

$$\{1 \otimes 1, i \otimes 1, j \otimes 1, k \otimes 1, l \otimes 1, (il) \otimes 1, (jl) \otimes 1, (kl) \otimes 1,$$
$$1 \otimes \varepsilon, i \otimes \varepsilon, j \otimes \varepsilon, k \otimes \varepsilon, -l \otimes \varepsilon, -(il) \otimes \varepsilon, -(jl) \otimes \varepsilon, -(kl) \otimes \varepsilon\}$$

(Note the minus signs of the last four elements.)

$\epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_8}$	$\emptyset$	$\{1,2,5,6\}$	$\{2,3,6,7\}$	$\{1,3,5,7\}$	$\{1,2,3,4\}$	$\{3,4,5,6\}$	$\{1,4,6,7\}$	$\{2,4,5,7\}$	$\{3,4,7,8\}$	$\{1,4,5,8\}$	$\{2,4,6,8\}$	$\{5,6,7,8\}$	$\{1,2,7,8\}$	$\{2,3,5,8\}$	$\{1,3,6,8\}$
$\emptyset$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\{1,2,5,6\}$	1	−1	1	−1	1	−1	−1	1		1	−1	1	−1	−1	1
$\{2,3,6,7\}$	1	−1	−1	1	1	1	−1	−1	−1		1	1	1	−1	−1
$\{1,3,5,7\}$	1	1	−1	−1	1	−1	1	−1	1	−1		1	−1	1	−1
$\{1,2,3,4\}$	1	−1	−1	−1	−1	1	1	1	1	1	1		−1	−1	−1
$\{3,4,5,6\}$	1	1	−1	1	−1	−1	−1	1	−1	1	−1	1		1	−1
$\{1,4,6,7\}$	1	1	1	−1	−1	1	−1	−1	−1	−1	1	1	−1		1
$\{2,4,5,7\}$	1	−1	1	1	−1	−1	1	−1	1	−1	−1	1	1	−1	
$\{3,4,7,8\}$	1		1	−1	−1	1	1	−1	−1	1	−1	−1	1	1	−1
$\{1,4,5,8\}$	1	−1		1	−1	−1	1	1	−1	−1	1	−1	−1	1	1
$\{2,4,6,8\}$	1	1	−1		−1	1	−1	1	1	−1	−1	−1	1	−1	1
$\{5,6,7,8\}$	1	−1	−1	−1		−1	−1	−1	1	1	1	−1	1	1	1
$\{1,2,7,8\}$	1	1	−1	1	1		1	−1	−1	1	−1	−1	−1	−1	1
$\{2,3,5,8\}$	1	1	1	−1	1	−1		1	−1	−1	1	−1	1	−1	−1
$\{1,3,6,8\}$	1	−1	1	1	1	1	−1		1	−1	−1	−1	−1	1	−1

Table 5: $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_8}$

Working with this basis, $\mathbb{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}[\varepsilon]$ is shown to be isomorphic to the twisted group algebra $\mathbb{R}_\Phi[\mathbb{Z}_2^4]$, with $\Phi(x,y) = (-1)^{g(x,y)}$ for $x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4$, where

$$g\big((x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4),(y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4)\big)$$
$$= f\big((x_1,x_2,x_3),(y_1,y_2,y_3)\big) + x_3y_4 + x_4y_3$$
$$= \Big(\sum_{1\le j\le i\le 3} x_iy_j \Big) + x_3y_4 + x_4y_3 + x_1y_2y_3 + x_2y_1y_3 + x_3y_1y_2 ,$$

with f as in (3.9). The isomorphism carries $i \otimes 1$ to $(1,0,0,0)$, $j \otimes 1$ to $(0,1,0,0)$, $l \otimes 1$ to $(0,0,1,0)$ and $1 \otimes \varepsilon$ to $(0,0,0,1)$; so that, for instance, $l \otimes \varepsilon$ goes to

$$(-1)^{g\big((0,0,1,0),(0,0,0,1)\big)} (0,0,1,1) = -(0,0,1,1).$$

As for $\mathfrak{e}_7$, consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-linear map

$$\chi_4 : \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^8$$
$$(3.17) \quad (x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) \mapsto (x_1+x_3+x_4, x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4, x_2+x_3+x_4,$$
$$x_3+x_4, x_1+x_4, x_1+x_2+x_4, x_2+x_4, x_4)$$

which satisfies that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8} = \chi_4\big(\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \setminus \{(0,0,0,1)\}\big)$ and

$$(3.18) \qquad \epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_8}(\sigma,\tau) = (-1)^{g\left(\chi_4^{-1}(\sigma),\chi_4^{-1}(\tau)\right)}$$

for any $\sigma,\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8}$, which gives a closed formula for $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_8}$.

Remark 3.19 *The models thus constructed for the split E_7 and E_8 are strongly related to a very interesting combinatorial construction previously given by A. Grishkov in [21]. To see this, take a basis $\{v,w\}$ of V with $\langle v|w\rangle = 1$ and denote by $\{v_i,w_i\}$ the copy of this basis in V_i. Take, for instance, $\sigma = \{1,2,7,8\}$ and $\tau = \{1,7,8\} \subseteq \sigma$; then the element (σ,τ) in Grishkov's construction correspond to the element $v_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes v_7 \otimes v_8$ in our $V(\sigma) \subseteq \mathfrak{e}_8$. It is hoped that this will make Grishkov's construction to appear more natural. In [21] one has to choose an identification of the Moufang loop M_7 consisting of the elements $\{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j \pm k, \pm l, \pm il, \pm jl, \pm kl\}$ in $\mathbb{O}$ with $\pm\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7}$ and another of the Moufang loop $M_8 = M_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ with $\pm\big(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8} \cup \{\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}\}\big)$, but no hint is given as how to perform this subtle point. Here such identifications have been explicitly given.*

Remark 3.20 *The referee has kindly suggested nice interpretations of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ as the set of quadrilaterals of the Fano projective plane $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{P}^2$, and of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ as the set of affine planes in the affine space $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$, once the set $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$ is suitably identified with the set of points of the Fano plane, and $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}$ with $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$.*

For instance, identify the set $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$ with the set of points of the Fano plane (i.e., the nonzero elements in $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$) as follows:

$$(3.21) \qquad \begin{aligned} &1 \leftrightarrow (1,0,1) \quad 2 \leftrightarrow (1,1,1) \quad 3 \leftrightarrow (0,1,1) \quad 4 \leftrightarrow (0,0,1) \\ &5 \leftrightarrow (1,0,0) \quad 6 \leftrightarrow (1,1,0) \quad 7 \leftrightarrow (0,1,0) \end{aligned}$$

Then the elements of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ are precisely the sets of vertices of the quadrilaterals (the complements of the lines) in the Fano plane. By projective duality, these quadrilateral (or better, their complementary lines) are in bijection with the set of points of the Fano plane, and this leads to the bijection of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \setminus \{(0,0,0)\}$ given by χ_3 in (3.8).

Also, the set $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}$ may be identified with $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ by means of (3.21), together with $8 \leftrightarrow (0,0,0)$. If l is a line in $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{P}^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, then $l \cup \{(0,0,0)\}$ is an affine plane in $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ through the origin. On the other hand, if q is a quadrilateral in $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{P}^2$, then q is an affine plane in $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ which does not contain the origin. The elements in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ are precisely the affine planes in $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$, and with our identification (3.21), for any $(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4$, $\chi_4(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)$, considered as a subset of $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}$, and hence of $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$, is precisely the affine plane with equation $a_1 x + a_2 y + a_3 z + a_4 = 1$.

3.4. E_6

For E_6 things are a bit more involved, partly because it does not contain a subalgebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^6$. Let $K = F \times F$ be the split two dimensional unital composition algebra and let S_2 be the associated para-Hurwitz algebra. Thus S_2 has a basis $\{e^+, e^-\}$ with multiplication given by

$$e^\pm \bullet e^\pm = e^\mp, \qquad e^\pm \bullet e^\mp = 0,$$

and with norm given by $q(e^\pm) = 0$ and $q(e^+, e^-) = 1$. The orthogonal Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(S_2, q)$ is spanned by $\phi = \sigma_{e^-, e^+}$, which satisfies $\phi(e^\pm) = \pm e^\pm$, and the triality Lie algebra is

$$\mathfrak{tri}(S_2, \bullet, q) = \left\{ (\alpha\phi, \beta\phi, \gamma\phi) : \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in F, \ \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0 \right\}.$$

Besides,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{e^-, e^+} &= \left(\sigma_{e^-, e^+}, \frac{1}{2}q(e^-, e^+)id - r_{e^-}l_{e^+}, \frac{1}{2}q(e^-, e^+)id - l_{e^-}r_{e^+} \right) \\
&= \left(\phi, -\frac{1}{2}\phi, -\frac{1}{2}\phi \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathfrak{t}_2 = \{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in F^3 : \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0\}$, which is a two-dimensional abelian Lie algebra. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}4} \setminus \{\emptyset, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}$, consider the element $a_\sigma \in \mathfrak{t}_2$ given by $a_\sigma = a_{\bar\sigma}$, where $\bar\sigma = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus \sigma$, and

$$a_{\{1,2\}} = (1, -\tfrac{1}{2}, -\tfrac{1}{2}), \quad a_{\{2,3\}} = (-\tfrac{1}{2}, 1, -\tfrac{1}{2}), \quad a_{\{1,3\}} = (-\tfrac{1}{2}, -\tfrac{1}{2}, 1).$$

Consider too the σ-action of $\mathfrak{t}_2$ on $E = S_2 = Fe^+ + Fe^-$ defined by

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2).e^\pm = \pm\alpha_i e^\pm,$$

where $i = 0$ for $\sigma = \{1, 2\}$ or $\{3, 4\}$, $i = 1$ for $\sigma = \{2, 3\}$ or $\{1, 4\}$, and $i = 2$ for $\sigma = \{1, 3\}$ or $\{2, 4\}$.

Then, the split Lie algebra E_6 is realized as $\mathfrak{g}(S_8, S_2)$ which, according to (1.6), is described as:

(3.22) $$\mathfrak{e}_6 = \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}4}} \tilde{V}(\sigma),$$

where

$$
\begin{cases}
\tilde{V}(\emptyset) = \mathfrak{sp}(V)^4 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2 \\
\tilde{V}(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}) = V(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}) \left(= V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \otimes V_4\right) \\
\tilde{V}(\sigma) = V(\sigma) \otimes E \quad \text{for any } \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}4}, \ \sigma \neq \emptyset, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.
\end{cases}
$$

The vector space $\tilde{V}(\sigma)$ is a module for $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2$ by means of the natural action of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4$ on $V(\sigma)$ and the σ-action of $\mathfrak{t}_2$ on E if $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}4} \setminus \{\emptyset, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}$.

Finally, take the bilinear maps $\varphi_{\sigma,\tau}$ defined in (2.17) and define the new bilinear maps

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\sigma,\tau} : \tilde{V}(\sigma) \times \tilde{V}(\tau) \to \tilde{V}(\sigma + \tau),$$

for $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4}$, as follows:

- $\tilde{\varphi}_{\emptyset,\emptyset}$ is the Lie bracket in the direct sum $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2$.

- For any $\emptyset \neq \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4}$, $\tilde{\varphi}_{\emptyset,\sigma} = -\tilde{\varphi}_{\sigma,\emptyset}$ is given by the action of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2$ on $\tilde{V}(\sigma)$. ($\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4$ acts on $V(\sigma)$ and, if present, $\mathfrak{t}_2$ on E by means of the σ-action.)

- $\tilde{\varphi}_{\{1,2,3,4\},\{1,2,3,4\}} = \varphi_{\{1,2,3,4\},\{1,2,3,4\}}$.

- For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4} \setminus \{\emptyset, \{1,2,3,4\}\}$,

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\{1,2,3,4\},\sigma}\left(x_{\{1,2,3,4\}}, y_\sigma \otimes e\right) = \varphi_{\{1,2,3,4\},\sigma}\left(x_{\{1,2,3,4\}}, y_\sigma\right) \otimes e$$
$$= \tilde{\varphi}_{\sigma,\{1,2,3,4\}}\left(y_\sigma \otimes e, x_{\{1,2,3,4\}}\right)$$

for any $x_{\{1,2,3,4\}} \in V(\{1,2,3,4\}) = \tilde{V}(\{1,2,3,4\})$, $y_\sigma \in V(\sigma)$ and $e \in E$.

- For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4} \setminus \{\emptyset, \{1,2,3,4\}\}$, so $\sigma = \{i,j\}$ for some $i,j \in \{1,2,3,4\}$, and any $v_i, w_i \in V_i$, $v_j, w_j \in V_j$, and $\nu, \nu' \in \{+,-\}$:

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\sigma,\sigma}\left(v_i \otimes v_j \otimes e^\nu, w_i \otimes w_j \otimes e^{\nu'}\right)$$
$$= \delta_{\nu,-\nu'}\left(\varphi_{\sigma,\sigma}(v_i \otimes v_j, w_i \otimes w_j) + \nu\langle v_i|w_i\rangle\langle v_j|w_j\rangle a_\sigma\right),$$

which belongs to $\mathfrak{sp}(V)^4 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2 = \tilde{V}(\emptyset)$, where δ is the Kronecker delta; while, with $\bar{\sigma} = \{1,2,3,4\} \setminus \sigma$ as before,

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\sigma,\bar{\sigma}}\left(x_\sigma \otimes e^\nu, y_{\bar{\sigma}} \otimes e^{\nu'}\right) = \delta_{\nu,-\nu'}\varphi_{\sigma,\bar{\sigma}}(x_\sigma, y_{\bar{\sigma}}) \in \tilde{V}(\{1,2,3,4\}),$$

for any $x_\sigma \in V(\sigma)$, $y_{\bar{\sigma}} \in V(\bar{\sigma})$.

- Finally, for any $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4} \setminus \{\emptyset, \{1,2,3,4\}\}$ with $\tau \neq \sigma, \bar{\sigma}$,

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\sigma,\tau}\left(x_\sigma \otimes e^\nu, y_\tau \otimes e^{\nu'}\right) = \delta_{\nu,\nu'}\varphi_{\sigma,\tau}(x_\sigma, y_\tau) \otimes e^{-\nu}$$

for any $x_\sigma \in V(\sigma)$, $y_\tau \in V(\tau)$ and $\nu, \nu' \in \{+,-\}$.

Again, the multiplication in $\mathfrak{e}_6 = \mathfrak{g}(S_8, S_2)$ is given by

(3.23) $$[x_\sigma, y_\tau] = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_6}(\sigma, \tau)\tilde{\varphi}(x_\sigma, y_\tau),$$

for any $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4}$, $x_\sigma \in \tilde{V}(\sigma)$, $y_\tau \in \tilde{V}(\tau)$, where

$$\epsilon_{\mathfrak{e}_6}(\sigma, \tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mathfrak{f}_4}(\sigma, \tau) & \text{if } \sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4} \setminus \{\emptyset, \{1,2,3,4\}\}, \tau = \sigma \text{ or } \tau = \bar{\sigma}, \\ \epsilon_{\mathfrak{f}_4}(\sigma, \tau) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.24 *Note that in characteristic 3, $a_{\{1,2\}} = a_{\{2,3\}} = a_{\{1,3\}} = (1,1,1)$, and hence $\hat{\mathfrak{e}}_6 = [\mathfrak{e}_6, \mathfrak{e}_6] = \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4}} \hat{V}(\sigma)$, with $\hat{V}(\sigma) = \tilde{V}(\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \neq \emptyset$, but with $\hat{V}(\emptyset) = \mathfrak{sp}(V)^4 \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_2$, where $\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_2 = Fa_{\{1,2\}}$. Actually, the Lie algebra obtained by taking the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of a Chevalley basis of the complex simple Lie algebra of type E_6, and then tensoring with a field of characteristic three, is no longer simple, but has a one dimensional center (see, for instance, [9], or [33, §3]). Modulo this center, one has a simple Lie algebra of dimension 77, which is isomorphic to $\hat{\mathfrak{e}}_6$, and $\mathfrak{e}_6$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of derivations $\mathrm{Der}(\hat{\mathfrak{e}}_6)$.*

Also, the split Lie algebra A_5 is realized as $\mathfrak{a}_5 = \mathfrak{g}(S_4, S_2)$, a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{e}_6 = \mathfrak{g}(S_8, S_2)$. Thus, by restriction, one gets

$$(3.25) \qquad \mathfrak{a}_5 = \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{c}_3}} \hat{V}(\sigma),$$

where $\hat{V}(\emptyset) = \mathfrak{sp}(V)^3 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2$ and $\hat{V}(\sigma) = \tilde{V}(\sigma)$ for any $\emptyset \neq \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{c}_3}$, and the multiplication is given by

$$(3.26) \qquad [x_\sigma, y_\tau] = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{a}_5}(\sigma, \tau) \tilde{\varphi}(x_\sigma, y_\tau),$$

for any $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{c}_3}$, $x_\sigma \in \hat{V}(\sigma)$, $y_\tau \in \hat{V}(\tau)$, with

$$\epsilon_{\mathfrak{a}_5}(\sigma, \tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mathfrak{c}_3}(\sigma, \tau) & \text{if } \sigma = \tau \neq \emptyset, \\ \epsilon_{\mathfrak{c}_3}(\sigma, \tau) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also here, if the characteristic is 3, $\mathfrak{a}_5$ is no longer simple, since it contains the simple codimension one ideal $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_5 = [\mathfrak{a}_5, \mathfrak{a}_5]$, which is isomorphic to the projective special linear algebra $\mathfrak{psl}_6(F)$. It can be checked that $\mathfrak{a}_5$ is isomorphic to the projective general linear algebra $\mathfrak{pgl}_6(F)$.

Remark 3.27 *In concluding this section, let us remark that the models of the exceptional simple Lie algebras obtained provide, in particular, very easy descriptions of the exceptional root systems, different from the description in [7]. Thus, for instance, for E_8, take a symplectic basis $\{v_i, w_i\}$ of V_i $(i = 1, \ldots, 8)$ (that is, $\langle v_i | w_i \rangle = 1$). Then the vector space $\mathfrak{h}_8 = \mathrm{span}\{\gamma_{v_i, w_i} : i = 1, \ldots, 8\}$ $\left(\subseteq V(\emptyset) = \oplus_{i=1}^8 \mathfrak{sp}(V_i)\right)$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{e}_8$. Since $\gamma_{v_i, w_i}(v_i) = -v_i$, $\gamma_{v_i, w_i}(w_i) = w_i$, and hence also $[\gamma_{v_i, w_i}, \gamma_{v_i, v_i}] = -2\gamma_{v_i, v_i}$ and $[\gamma_{v_i, w_i}, \gamma_{w_i, w_i}] = 2\gamma_{w_i, w_i}$, let $\varepsilon_i : \mathfrak{h}_8 \to F$ be the linear map given by $\varepsilon_i(\gamma_{v_j, w_j}) = \delta_{ij}$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq 8$. The description of $\mathfrak{e}_8$ in 3.15 shows that the corresponding root system is*

$$\Phi = \{\pm 2\varepsilon_i : i = 1, \ldots, 8\}$$
$$\cup \{\pm\varepsilon_{i_1} \pm \varepsilon_{i_2} \pm \varepsilon_{i_3} \pm \varepsilon_{i_4} : \sigma = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8} \setminus \{\emptyset\}\}.$$

Alternatively (see Remark 3.20), if the points of the affine space $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ are numbered from 1 to 8, then

$$\Phi = \{\pm 2\varepsilon_i : i = 1, \ldots, 8\}$$
$$\cup \{\pm \varepsilon_{i_1} \pm \varepsilon_{i_2} \pm \varepsilon_{i_3} \pm \varepsilon_{i_4} : \sigma = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\} \text{ is an affine plane in } \mathbb{Z}_2^3\}.$$

Using the lexicographic order with $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < \cdots < \varepsilon_8$, the simple system of roots is $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_8\}$ (numbering as in [7]) with $\alpha_1 = -\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_6 + \varepsilon_7$, $\alpha_2 = 2\varepsilon_2$, $\alpha_3 = 2\varepsilon_1$, $\alpha_4 = -\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4$, $\alpha_5 = 2\varepsilon_3$, $\alpha_6 = -\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_5 + \varepsilon_6$, $\alpha_7 = 2\varepsilon_5$ and $\alpha_8 = -\varepsilon_5 - \varepsilon_6 - \varepsilon_7 + \varepsilon_8$. (For $\mathfrak{e}_7$ it is enough to suppress here ε_8 and α_8 above or, alternatively, the points of the Fano plane can be numbered from 1 to 7, and the root system of $\mathfrak{e}_7$ becomes $\{\pm 2\varepsilon_i : i = 1, \ldots, 7\} \cup \{\pm \varepsilon_{i_1} \pm \varepsilon_{i_2} \pm \varepsilon_{i_3} \pm \varepsilon_{i_4} : \sigma = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\}$ is a quadrilateral in $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{P}^2\}$.)

4. Freudenthal triple systems

Freudenthal [19, 20] obtained the exceptional simple Lie algebras in terms of some triple systems, later called Freudenthal triple systems. Actually, if T is such a system, the direct sum of two copies of T becomes a Lie triple system, and hence the odd part of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Lie algebra. In this section, the exceptional split simple Freudenthal triple systems will be recovered from the models given in the previous section of the exceptional simple Lie algebras. For our purposes, the approach given by Yamaguti and Asano [32] of Freudenthal's construction is more suitable.

Let us first recall some definitions and results.

Let T be a vector space endowed with a nonzero alternating bilinear form $(.|.) : T \times T \to F$, and a triple product $T \times T \times T \to T$: $(x, y, z) \mapsto [xyz]$. Then $\big(T, [...], (.|.)\big)$ is said to be a *symplectic triple system* (see [32]) if it satisfies the following identities:

(4.1a) $[xyz] = [yxz]$

(4.1b) $[xyz] - [xzy] = (x|z)y - (x|y)z + 2(y|z)x$

(4.1c) $[xy[uvw]] = [[xyu]vw] + [u[xyv]w] + [uv[xyw]]$

(4.1d) $([xyu]|v) + (u|[xyv]) = 0$

for any elements $x, y, z, u, v, w \in T$.

Note that (4.1b) can be written as

(4.2) $[xyz] - [xzy] = \psi_{x,y}(z) - \psi_{x,z}(y)$

with $\psi_{x,y}(z) = (x|z)y + (y|z)x$. (The maps $\psi_{x,y}$ span the symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(T)$.)

Also, (4.1c) is equivalent to $d_{x,y} = [xy.]$ being a derivation of the triple system. Let $\mathrm{inder}(T)$ be the linear span of $\{d_{x,y} : x, y \in T\}$, which is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(T)$. For any $x, y, z, a, b \in T$, by (4.1b) and (4.1c),

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad 0 &= [xy([zab] - [zba])] - (z|b)[xya] + (z|a)[xyb] - 2(a|b)[xyz] \\
&= ([[xyz]ab] - [[xyz]ba]) + ([z[xya]b] - [zb[xya]]) \\
&\quad + ([za[xyb]] - [z[xyb]a]) - (z|b)[xya] + (z|a)[xya] - 2(a|b)[xyz] \\
&= (([xyz]|b) + (z|[xyb]))a - (([xyz]|a) + (z|[xya]))b \\
&\quad + 2(([xya]|b) + (a|[xyb]))z.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, if $\dim T \geq 3$, (4.1d) (that is, $\mathrm{inder}(T) \subseteq \mathfrak{sp}(T)$) follows from (4.1b) and (4.1c). Also, with $a = z$ (4.3) gives

$$
3(([xya]|b) + (a|[xyb])) = 0,
$$

so the same applies if the characteristic of F is $\neq 3$. This was already noted, over fields of characteristic 0, in [32]. However, this is no longer true if the characteristic of F is 3 and $\dim T = 2$. First, notice that in this case, the right hand side of (4.1b) becomes

$$
(x|z)y + (y|x)z + (z|y)x
$$

which is 0, since it is skew symmetric on its arguments and $\dim T = 2$. Hence (4.1a) and (4.1b) merely say that $[...]$ is symmetric on its arguments.

As a counterexample in characteristic 3, take $T = Fa + Fb$ with $(a|b) = 1$ and $[...]$ determined by $[aaa] = [bbb] = [abb] = 0$ and $[aab] = b$. One checks easily that (4.1c) is satisfied, but $d_{a,a} \notin \mathfrak{sp}(T)$, so (4.1d) is not satisfied.

Symplectic triple systems are strongly related to a particular kind of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Lie algebras:

Theorem 4.4 *Let $(T, [...], (.|.))$ be a symplectic triple system and let $(V, \langle.|.\rangle)$ be a two dimensional vector space endowed with a nonzero alternating bilinear form. Define the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ with*

$$
\begin{cases}
\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \mathrm{inder}(T) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(V) & \text{(direct sum of ideals)} \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = T \otimes V
\end{cases}
$$

and anticommutative multiplication given by:

- $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ *is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$,*
- $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ *acts naturally on $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$; that is*

$$
[d, x \otimes v] = d(x) \otimes v, \qquad [s, x \otimes v] = x \otimes s(v),
$$

for any $d \in \mathrm{inder}(T)$, $s \in \mathfrak{sp}(V)$, $x \in T$, and $v \in V$.

- *For any $x, y \in T$ and $u, v \in V$:*

  $$(4.5) \qquad\qquad [x \otimes u, y \otimes v] = \langle u|v \rangle d_{x,y} + (x|y)\gamma_{u,v}$$

  where $\gamma_{u,v} = \langle u|. \rangle v + \langle v|. \rangle u$.

Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra.

Conversely, given a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ with

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(V) & \text{(direct sum of ideals)}, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = T \otimes V & \text{(as a module for } \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \text{)}, \end{cases}$$

where T is a module for $\mathfrak{s}$, by $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$-invariance of the Lie bracket, equation (4.5) is satisfied for an alternating bilinear form $(.|.) : T \times T \to F$ and a symmetric bilinear map $d_{.,.} : T \times T \to \mathfrak{s}$. Then, if $(.|.)$ is not 0 and a triple product on T is defined by means of $[xyz] = d_{x,y}(z)$, $\big(T, [...], (.|.)\big)$ is a symplectic triple system.

Proof. This is a reformulation and extension of the results in [32, p. 256], suitable for our purposes. First, since $[xy.] \in \mathfrak{sp}(T)$ for any $x, y \in T$, a straightforward computation shows that the Jacobi identity holds in the algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Conversely, if $\mathfrak{g} = \big(\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(V)\big) \oplus (T \otimes V)$ is a Lie algebra as above, (4.1a) follows by the symmetry of $d_{x,y}$. Also, the Jacobi identity with an element of $\mathfrak{s}$ and two basic tensors in $T \otimes V$ shows that $(.|.)$ and the map $(x, y) \mapsto d_{x,y}$ are $\mathfrak{s}$-invariant and, in particular, one gets (4.1c). Finally, the Jacobi identity $J(x \otimes w, y \otimes v, z \otimes v) = 0$ with $x, y, z \in T$ and $\langle v|w \rangle = 1$ gives (4.1b).   ∎

Note that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in Theorem 4.4 is graded by the root system BC_1 with grading subalgebra of type C_1 (see [6]).

If $\{v, w\}$ is a symplectic basis of V (notation as in the previous Theorem), so $\langle v|w \rangle = 1$, then with $h = -\gamma_{v,w}$, $e = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{v,v}$ and $f = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{w,w}$, $\{h, e, f\}$ is a canonical basis of $\mathfrak{sp}(V) \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2$ ($[h, e] = 2e$, $[h, f] = -2f$, $[e, f] = h$) with $h(v) = v$, $h(w) = -w$. Hence the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ above is 5-graded

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_i = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [h, x] = ix\}$ for any i. Here $\mathfrak{g}_2 = Fe$, $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} = Ff$, $\mathfrak{g}_1 = T \otimes v$, $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = T \otimes w$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{s} \oplus Fh$.

Now, let T be a vector space endowed with a nonzero alternating bilinear form $(.|.) : T \times T \to F$, and a triple product $T \times T \times T \to T$, $(x, y, z) \mapsto xyz$.

Then $\big(T, xyz, (.|.)\big)$ is said to be a *Freudenthal triple system* (see [29, 18, 8]) if it satisfies:

(4.6a) xyz is symmetric in its arguments,

(4.6b) $(x|yzt)$ is symmetric in its arguments,

(4.6c) $(xyy)xz + (yxx)yz + (xyy|z)x + (yxx|z)y + (x|z)xyy + (y|z)yxx = 0$

for any $x, y, z, t \in T$.

Note that the bilinear form $(.|.)$ is not assumed to be nondegenerate.

Theorem 4.7 *Let $(.|.)$ be an alternating bilinear form on the vector space T and let xyz and $[xyz]$ be two triple products on T related by $xyz = [xyz] - \psi_{x,y}(z)$ for any $x, y, z \in T$. Then $\big(T, xyz, (.|.)\big)$ is a Freudenthal triple system if and only if $\big(T, [xyz], (.|,)\big)$ is a symplectic triple system.*

Proof. First assume that $\big(T, [...], (.|.)\big)$ is a symplectic triple system and define $xyz = [xyz] - \psi_{x,y}(z)$ for any $x, y, z \in T$. Then xyz is symmetric in x and y since so are $[xyz]$ and $\psi_{x,y}$, while (4.2) implies that xyz is symmetric in y and z, thus proving (4.6a). Also, $(x|yzt)$ is symmetric in y, z and t and, since both $[yz.]$ and $\psi_{y,z}$ belong to $\mathfrak{sp}(T)$, it follows that $(x|yzt)$ is symmetric too in x and t, proving (4.6b). Finally, with $d_{x,y} = [xy.]$ for any $x, y \in T$,

$$d_{x,xyy} = d_{x,d_{x,y}(y)} - d_{x,\psi_{x,y}(y)} = d_{x,d_{x,y}(y)} - (x|y)d_{x,y},$$
$$d_{y,yxx} = d_{y,d_{y,x}(x)} - d_{y,\psi_{y,x}(x)} = d_{y,d_{x,y}(x)} + (x|y)d_{x,y},$$

so by (4.1c)

$$d_{x,xyy} + d_{y,yxx} = d_{x,d_{x,y}(y)} + d_{d_{x,y}(x),y} = [d_{x,y}, d_{x,y}] = 0.$$

Thus,

$$d_{x,xyy} + d_{y,yxx} = 0$$

for any $x, y \in T$, and this is equivalent to (4.6c).

Conversely, assume that $\big(T, xyz, (.|.)\big)$ is a Freudenthal triple system. Then (4.1a) and (4.1b) follow from (4.6a), while (4.6a) and (4.6b) imply (4.1d). It is enough then to prove that $d_{x,x} = [xx.] : y \mapsto xxy + \psi_{x,x}(y)$ is a derivation of $(T, [...])$ for any $x \in T$, and since $d_{T,T} \subseteq \mathfrak{sp}(T)$, it suffices to show that $d_{x,x}$ is a derivation of the Freudenthal triple system or, equivalently, that

(4.8) $$d_{x,x}(yyz) = 2(d_{x,x}(y))yz + yy(d_{x,x}(z))$$

for any $x, y, z \in T$.

Linearizing (4.6c) in y, then taking $z = y$ and using (4.6a) and (4.6b) one obtains

$$(4.9) \quad 2(xyt)xy + (yxx)yt + (xxt)yy + 2(xyt|y)x$$
$$+ (yxx|y)t + (txx|y)y + 2(x|y)xyt + (t|y)yxx = 0.$$

Now, interchange x and y in (4.9) and subtract the result from (4.9) to get

$$(4.10) \quad (xxy)yt - (yyx)xt + yy(xxt) - xx(yyt) - (x|yyt)x + (y|xxt)y$$
$$+ 4(x|y)xyt + (t|y)yxx - (t|x)xyy = 0.$$

Adding (4.10) and (4.6c) with $z = t$ gives

$$2(xxy)yt + yy(xxt) - xx(yyt) - 2(x|yyt)x + 4(x|y)xyt + 2(x|t)xyy = 0,$$

which is equivalent to (4.8). ∎

Remark 4.11 *There are several results in the literature relating different triple systems. See, for instance, [24, Section 2], [17] or [8].*

Related constructions of a 5-graded Lie algebra from Freudenthal triple systems (or equivalent ternary algebras) are given in [16, 25].

The models of the exceptional Lie algebras in Section 3 fit in the situation described in Theorem 4.4. Therefore, by Theorem 4.7, they provide models of Freudenthal triple systems.

Take for instance our model for $\mathfrak{e}_8$ (3.15). For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7}$, $8 \in \sigma$ and (3.15) can be rewritten as

$$\mathfrak{e}_8 = \left(\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(V_8) \right) \bigoplus \left(\left(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7}} V(\sigma \setminus \{8\}) \right) \otimes V_8 \right),$$

and we are in the situation of Theorem 4.4. In particular,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{e}_8, \mathfrak{e}_7} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_8} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7}} V(\sigma \setminus \{8\})$$

is a simple Freudenthal triple system of dimension $2^3 \times 7 = 56$, whose triple product and nondegenerate alternating bilinear form can be easily computed in terms of the invariant maps $\varphi_{\sigma, \tau}$ in (2.17).

In the same vein,

$$\mathfrak{e}_7 = \Big(\mathfrak{d}_6 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(V_4)\Big) \bigoplus \left(\Big(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{d}_6}} V(\sigma \setminus \{4\}) \Big) \otimes V_4 \right),$$

$$\mathfrak{e}_6 = \Big(\mathfrak{a}_5 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(V_4)\Big) \bigoplus \left(\Big(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{c}_3}} \tilde{V}(\sigma \setminus \{4\}) \Big) \otimes V_4 \right),$$

$$\mathfrak{f}_4 = \Big(\mathfrak{c}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(V_4)\Big) \bigoplus \left(\Big(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{c}_3}} V(\sigma \setminus \{4\}) \Big) \otimes V_4 \right),$$

and we obtain simple Freudenthal triple systems defined on:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{e}_7, \mathfrak{d}_6} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{e}_7} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{d}_6}} V(\sigma \setminus \{4\}),$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{e}_6, \mathfrak{a}_5} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{c}_3}} \tilde{V}(\sigma \setminus \{4\}),$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{f}_4, \mathfrak{c}_3} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_4} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{c}_3}} V(\sigma \setminus \{4\}),$$

of dimension $2^3 \times 4 = 32$, $2^3 + 2^2 \times 3 = 20$ and $2^3 + 2 \times 3 = 14$, respectively.

The classification in [29] and [8] implies that, over algebraically closed fields, these are the simple Freudenthal triple systems associated to the split simple Jordan algebras of degree 3, that is, the Freudenthal triple systems originally considered by Freudenthal.

References

[1] ALBUQUERQUE, H. AND MAJID, S.: Quasialgebra structure of the octonions. *J. Algebra* **220** (1999), no. 1, 188-224.

[2] ALLISON, B. N. AND FAULKNER, J. R.: Nonassociative coefficient algebras for Steinberg unitary Lie algebras. *J. Algebra* **161** (1993), no. 1, 1–19.

[3] BAEZ, J. C.: The octonions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **39** (2002), no. 2, 145–205 (electronic).

[4] BARTON, C. H. AND SUDBERY, A.: Magic squares of Lie algebras. `arXiv: math.RA/0001083`.

[5] BARTON, C. H. AND SUDBERY, A.: Magic squares and matrix models of Lie algebras. *Adv. Math.* **180** (2003), no. 2, 596–647.

[6] BENKART, G. AND SMIRNOV, O.: Lie algebras graded by the root system BC_1. *J. Lie Theory* **13** (2003), no. 1, 91–132.

[7] Bourbaki, N.: *Lie groups and Lie algebras.* Chapters 4–6. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressley, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[8] Brown, G.: Freudenthal triple systems of characteristic three. *Algebras Groups Geom.* **1** (1984), no. 4, 399–441.

[9] Dieudonné, J.: Les algèbres de Lie simples associées aux groupes simples algèbriques sur un corps de caractéristique $p > 0$. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* **6** (1957), 198–204.

[10] Elduque, A.: Symmetric composition algebras. *J. Algebra* **196** (1997), no. 1, 282–300.

[11] Elduque, A.: The magic square and symmetric compositions. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **20** (2004), 475–491.

[12] Elduque, A.: A new look at Freudenthal's Magic Square. In *Nonassociative algebra and its applications*, 149–165, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **246**, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.

[13] Elduque, A. and Myung, H. C.: Flexible composition algebras and Okubo algebras. *Comm. Algebra* **19** (1991), no. 4, 1197–1227.

[14] Elduque, A. and Myung, H. C.: On flexible composition algebras. *Comm. Algebra* **21** (1993), no. 7, 2481–2505.

[15] Elduque, A. and Pérez, J. M.: Composition algebras with associative bilinear form. *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), no. 3, 1091–1116.

[16] Faulkner, J. R.: A construction of Lie algebras from a class of ternary algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **155** (1971), 397–408.

[17] Faulkner, J. R. and Ferrar, J. C.: On the structure of symplectic ternary algebras. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **75**= *Indag. Math.* **34** (1972), 247–256.

[18] Ferrar, J. C.: Strictly regular elements in Freudenthal triple systems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **174** (1972), 313–331.

[19] Freudenthal, H.: Beziehungen der $\mathfrak{E}_7$ und $\mathfrak{E}_8$ zur Oktavenebene. II. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A.* **57** = *Indag. Math.* **16** (1954), 363–368.

[20] Freudenthal, H.: Beziehungen der $\mathfrak{E}_7$ und $\mathfrak{E}_8$ zur Oktavenebene. VIII. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A.* **62** = *Indag. Math.* **21** (1959), 447–465.

[21] Grishkov, A. N.: The automorphisms group of the multiplicative Cartan decomposition of Lie algebra E_8. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **11** (2001), no. 6, 737–752.

[22] Grishkov, A. N.: Lie algebras with triality. *J. Algebra* **266** (2003), no. 2, 698–722.

[23] Jacobson, N.: Composition algebras and their automorphisms. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* **7** (1958), 55–80.

[24] Kamiya, N.: A structure theory of Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems. III. *Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ.* **23** (1989), 33–51.

[25] Kantor, I. L. and Skopec, I. M.: Freudenthal trilinear systems. *Trudy Sem. Vektor. Tenzor. Anal.* **18** (1978), 250–263.

[26] Knus, M-A., Merkurjev, A., Rost, M. and Tignol, J-P.: *The book of involutions.* American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **44**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[27] Landsberg, J. M. and Manivel, L.: Triality, exceptional Lie algebras and Deligne dimension formulas. *Adv. Math.* **171** (2002), no. 1, 59–85.

[28] Landsberg, J. M. and Manivel, L.: Representation theory and projective geometry. In *Algebraic transformation groups and algebraic varieties*, 71–122. Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. **132**. Springer, Berlin, 2004.

[29] Meyberg, K.: Eine Theorie der Freudenthalschen Tripelsysteme. I, II. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **71**=Indag. Math. **30** (1968), 162–174, 175–190.

[30] Onishchik, A. L. and Vinberg, E. B.: *Lie groups and Lie algebras, III.* Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences **41**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[31] Tits, J.: Algèbres alternatives, algèbres de Jordan et algèbres de Lie exceptionnelles. I. Construction. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **69** = *Indag. Math.* **28** (1966), 223–237.

[32] Yamaguti, K. and Asano, H.: On the Freudenthal's construction of exceptional Lie algebras. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **51** (1975), no. 4, 253–258.

[33] Veĭsfeĭler, B. Ju. and Kac, V. G.: Exponentials in Lie algebras of characteristic p. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **35** (1971), 762–788.

Alberto Elduque
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad de Zaragoza
50009-Zaragoza, Spain
elduque@unizar.es