

L^2 boundedness for maximal commutators with rough variable kernels

Yanping Chen, Yong Ding and Ran Li

Abstract

For $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the k -th order maximal commutator of the singular integral operator T with rough variable kernels is defined by

$$T_{b,k}^* f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} \frac{\Omega(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^k f(y) dy \right|.$$

In this paper the authors prove that the k -th order maximal commutator $T_{b,k}^*$ is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if Ω satisfies the same conditions given by Calderón and Zygmund. Moreover, the L^2 -boundedness of the k -th order commutator of the rough maximal operator M_Ω with variable kernel, which is defined by

$$M_{\Omega;b,k} f(x) = \sup_{r > 0} \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{|x-y| < r} |\Omega(x, x-y)| |b(x) - b(y)|^k |f(y)| dy,$$

is also given here. These results obtained in this paper are substantial improvement and extension of some known results.

1. Introduction

Let S^{n-1} be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) and $d\sigma$ be the area element on S^{n-1} . A function Ω defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})$ for $q \geq 1$, if Ω satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) for any $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$, $\Omega(x, \lambda z) = \Omega(x, z)$;
- (ii) $\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(x, z')|^q d\sigma(z') \right)^{1/q} < \infty$, where $z' = z/|z|$, for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B20, 42B25.

Keywords: Singular integral, maximal operator, commutator, BMO, variable kernel.

If $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})$ satisfies

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x, z') d\sigma(z') = 0 \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.1}$$

then the singular integral operator with variable kernel is defined by

$$Tf(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^n} f(y) dy.$$

In 1955, Calderón and Zygmund [5] investigated the L^2 boundedness of the operator T . They found that these operators are relevant in the second order linear elliptic equations with variable coefficients. In [5], Calderón and Zygmund obtained the following result (see also [6]):

Theorem A (see [5]) *If $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})$ for $q > 2(n-1)/n$ and satisfies (1.1), then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|Tf\|_{L^2} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2}$.*

Remark 1.1 In [5], Calderón and Zygmund showed that the condition $q > 2(n-1)/n$ is optimal in the sense that the L^2 -boundedness of T fails if $q \leq 2(n-1)/n$.

It is well known that maximal singular integral operators play a key role in studying the convergence of the singular integral operators almost everywhere. The mapping properties of the maximal singular integrals with convolution kernels have been extensively studied (see [25], [15] and [18], for example). In 1980, Aguilera and Harboure [1] considered the L^2 boundedness of the maximal singular integral operator T^* with variable kernel, where T^* is defined by

$$T^*f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} \frac{\Omega(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^n} f(y) dy \right| = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |T_\varepsilon f(x)|,$$

where

$$T_\varepsilon f(x) = \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} \frac{\Omega(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^n} f(y) dy.$$

Theorem B (see [1]) *If $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})$ for $q > 4(n-1)/(2n-1)$ and satisfies (1.1), then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|T^*f\|_{L^2} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2}$.*

In 1985, using spherical harmonic expansions of the kernel, Cowling and Mauceri [13] proved that the conclusion of Theorem B still holds for $q > 2(n-1)/n$. The same conclusion was also obtained by Christ, Duoandikoetxea and Rubio de Francia [10] by the method of rotations and mixed norm estimates in 1986.

Theorem C (see [13] or [10]) *If $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})$ for $q > 2(n-1)/n$ and satisfies (1.1), then T^* is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Obviously, the range of q in Theorem C is also optimal by Remark 1.1.

In the present paper, we will discuss the L^2 -boundedness of the maximal commutator of the singular integral with variable kernel. Let us recall some background. The commutators of the Hilbert transform were first introduced by Calderón in [3] and play an important role in the study of the Cauchy integral along Lipschitz curves (see also [4]). Motivated by the work of Calderón on commutators, in their famous paper [11] Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss discussed the commutator $[b, T]$ generated by a classical Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator T and a function b , which is defined by

$$[b, T]f(x) = b(x)Tf(x) - T(bf)(x),$$

where $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that is,

$$\|b\|_* := \sup_{\text{cube } Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b(y) - b_Q| dy < \infty$$

with $b_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q b(x) dx$. The authors of [11] gave a characterization of L^p -boundedness of the commutators generated by the Riesz transforms R_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$). Using this characterization, Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss got a decomposition theorem of the real Hardy spaces.

These commutators are of interest in harmonic analysis and PDE's. For example, the commutators have some important applications in the theory of non-divergent elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients (see [2], [8], [9] and [14]). Moreover, there is also an interesting connection between the nonlinear commutator, considered by Rochberg and Weiss in [24], and Jacobian mappings of vector functions. They have been applied in the study of nonlinear partial differential equations (see [19], [21], [12], [23] and Iwaniec's nice survey paper [22]).

The commutators of the singular integral operators with variable kernel arise naturally in the study of PDE's with variable coefficients. In 1991, to study interior $W^{2,2}$ estimates for nondivergence elliptic second order equation with discontinuous coefficients, Chiarenza, Frasca and Longo [8] (see also [9]) proved the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of the commutator for the singular integral with variable kernel. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the k -th order commutator of T with variable kernel is defined by

$$T_{b,k}f(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \underbrace{[b, \dots [b, T_\varepsilon]]}_k f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^k f(y) dy.$$

For simplicity, we denote $T_{b,1}$ by T_b below. Clearly, $T_{b,k}$ is also a natural generalization of the commutator of the classical Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator with convolution kernel.

Theorem D (see [8]) *If $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C^\infty(S^{n-1})$ and satisfies (1.1), then T_b is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Recently, Chen and Ding [7] proved that the conclusion of Theorem D holds still after removing this stronger smoothness condition assumed on Ω in its second variate.

Theorem E (see [7]) *If $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})$ for $q > 2(n - 1)/n$ and satisfies (1.1), then for $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|T_{b,k}f\|_{L^2} \leq C\|b\|_*^k\|f\|_{L^2}$.*

Theorem E shows that the size condition of Ω in Theorem A is enough for the L^2 boundedness of higher order commutator of the singular integral with rough variable kernel. Inspired by Theorem E, a natural problem is whether or not the higher order maximal commutator $T_{b,k}^*$ of the singular integral T with rough variable kernel is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under the same conditions of Theorem E, where $T_{b,k}^*$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{b,k}^*f(x) &:= \sup_{\varepsilon>0} \underbrace{|[b, \dots [b, T_\varepsilon]]f(x)|}_k \\ &= \sup_{\varepsilon>0} \left| \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} \frac{\Omega(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^k f(y) dy \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the case $k = 0$ recaptures the maximal singular integral operator T^* with variable kernel.

In this paper we will give a positive answer to the above problem. Our main result is following:

Theorem 1 *Suppose that $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})$ for $q > 2(n - 1)/n$ satisfies (1.1). Then for $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|T_{b,k}^*f\|_{L^2} \leq C\|b\|_*^k\|f\|_{L^2}$.*

It is not difficult to check that the following inequality holds for the commutator $T_{b,k}^*$:

$$T_{b,k}^*f(x) \leq \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |T_{b,k}^{2^l}f(x)| + M_{\Omega;b,k}f(x), \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$T_{b,k}^{2^l}f(x) := \underbrace{[b, \dots [b, T_{2^l}]]}_k f(x) = \int_{|x-y|>2^l} \frac{\Omega(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^k f(y) dy$$

and

$$M_{\Omega;b,k}f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{|x-y|<r} |\Omega(x, x-y)| |b(x) - b(y)|^k |f(y)| dy.$$

The latter is called the k -th order commutator of the maximal operator with rough variable kernel. Thus, to obtain Theorem 1, it is necessary to discuss the L^2 -boundedness of $M_{\Omega;b,k}$ in (1.2). Moreover, the L^2 -boundedness of $M_{\Omega;b,k}$ has its significance and interest independently.

Theorem 2 *If $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})$ for $q > 2(n - 1)/n$. Then for $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $M_{\Omega;b,k}$ is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Remark 1.2 Note that no smoothness is required on Ω in Theorems 1 and 2. In this sense, the results both of Theorems 1 and 2 are new even for the maximal commutators of singular integrals with convolution kernel.

Remark 1.3 By Remark 1.1, the condition $q > 2(n - 1)/n$ in Theorems 1 and 2 are optimal for the L^2 -boundedness of the higher order commutators $T_{b,k}^*$ and $M_{\Omega;b,k}$.

Throughout this paper, for convenience, we use the notations $L_{b,k}$ or $(K)_{b,k}$ alternately to denote the k -th commutators generated by a function b and a convolution operator L with its integral kernel K . That is,

$$L_{b,k}f(x) := \underbrace{[b, \dots [b, L]]}_k f(x) =: (K)_{b,k}f(x).$$

The notations “ $\hat{}$ ” and “ \vee ” denote the Fourier transform and the inverse Fourier transform, respectively. The letter C will stand for a positive constant which is independent of the essential variables and not necessarily the same one in each occurrence. $|E|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the measurable set E in \mathbb{R}^n . As usual, for $p \geq 1$, $p' = p/(p - 1)$ denotes the dual exponent of p .

2. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 2. In this proof, we need to use the boundedness of the maximal operator with rough variable kernel M_Ω , which is defined by

$$M_\Omega f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{|x-y|<r} |\Omega(x, x - y)| |f(y)| dy.$$

We hence show first a mapping property of M_Ω . Note that M_Ω is a version of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator with variable kernel. We therefore write the L^p -boundedness of M_Ω as a theorem, although its proof is simple.

Theorem 3. *For $1 < p \leq \max\{2, (n + 1)/2\}$ and $q > p(n - 1)/(p - 1)n$, if $\Omega(x, z') \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})$, then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|M_\Omega f\|_{L^p} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p}$.*

Remark 2.1 If we take $p = 2$ then $q > 2(n - 1)/n$, which is just the same kernel condition as in Theorem A.

Before showing Theorem 3, we give some notations and a lemma. For $y' \in S^{n-1}$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator along direction y' is defined by

$$\mathfrak{M}f(x, y') = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{-r}^r |f(x - ty')| dt, \quad (x, y') \in \mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1}.$$

For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, the mixed norm space $L^p(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L^p(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1}) &:= \\ &:= \left\{ F : \|F\|_{L^p(L^q)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} |F(x, y')|^q d\sigma(y') \right)^{p/q} dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 (see [10]) *The direct maximal operator \mathfrak{M} is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1})$ for all $1 < p \leq \max\{2, (n + 1)/2\}$ and $q < p(n - 1)/(n - p)$.*

Proof of Theorem 3. By the method of rotations, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} M_\Omega f(x) &\leq \sup_{r>0} r^{-1} \int_0^r \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(x, y')| |f(x - ty')| d\sigma(y') dt \\ &\leq 2 \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathfrak{M}f(x, y') |\Omega(x, y')| d\sigma(y'). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder’s inequality and Lemma 2.1 for $\Omega(x, y') \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})$ and $q > p(n - 1)/(p - 1)n$ (equivalently, $q' < p(n - 1)/(n - p)$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_\Omega f\|_{L^p} &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \mathfrak{M}f(x, y') |\Omega(x, y')| d\sigma(y') \right)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} |\mathfrak{M}f(x, y')|^{q'} d\sigma(y') \right)^{p/q'} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(x, y')|^q d\sigma(y') \right)^{p/q} dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})} \|\mathfrak{M}f\|_{L^p(L^{q'})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 3. ■

Let us now turn to the proof of Theorem 2. Let us begin with recalling some known results.

Lemma 2.2 (see [7]) *Suppose that $0 < \beta < 1$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by \mathcal{H}_m the space of surface spherical harmonics of degree m on S^{n-1} with its dimension D_m . $\{Y_{m,j}\}_{j=1}^{D_m}$ denotes a normalized complete system in \mathcal{H}_m . Let*

$$K_{\ell,m,j}(x) = \frac{Y_{m,j}(x')}{|x|^n} \chi_{\{2^\ell < |x| \leq 2^{\ell+1}\}}(x).$$

Then

$$|\widehat{K_{\ell,m,j}}(\xi)| = \begin{cases} Cm^{-\lambda-1}|2^\ell\xi||Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, & |2^\ell\xi| \leq 1, \\ Cm^{-\lambda-1+\beta/2}|2^\ell\xi|^{-\beta/2}|Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, & |2^\ell\xi| > 1, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

$$|\widehat{K_{\ell,m,j}}(\xi)| \leq Cm^{-\lambda-1}|Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \tag{2.2}$$

$$|\nabla\widehat{K_{\ell,m,j}}(\xi)| \leq C2^\ell, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\lambda = (n - 2)/2$ and $\xi' = \xi/|\xi|$.

Lemma 2.3 (see [20]) *Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a radial function such that $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset \{\xi : 1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$ and $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^2(2^{-l}\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \neq 0$. Define the multiplier S_l by $\widehat{S_l f}(\xi) = \psi(2^{-l}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$. For $b \in BMO$ and a nonnegative integer k , denote by $S_{l;b,k}$ the k -th order commutator of S_l . Then, for $1 < p < \infty$*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |S_{l;b,k}f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C(n, k, p) \|b\|_*^k \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. By Hölder’s inequality, we split $\|M_{\Omega;b,k}f\|_{L^2}$ into two parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{\Omega;b,k}f\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{|x-y|<r} |\Omega(x, x-y)||b(x) - b(y)|^{2k}|f(y)|dy \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{|x-y|<r} |\Omega(x, x-y)||f(y)|dy \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M_{\Omega;b,2k}f(x)) \cdot (M_{\Omega}f(x)) dx \\ &\leq \|M_{\Omega;b,2k}f\|_{L^2} \|M_{\Omega}f\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Applying Theorem 3 with $p = 2$ and $q > 2(n - 1)/n$, we obtain that

$$\|M_{\Omega}f\|_{L^2} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.5}$$

By (2.4) and (2.5), to prove Theorem 2, it suffices to show

$$\|M_{\Omega;b,2k}f\|_{L^2} \leq C\|b\|_*^{2k}\|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.6}$$

Let

$$\Omega_0(x, y') = |\Omega(x, y')| - \frac{\|\Omega(x, \cdot)\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})}}{\sigma(S^{n-1})}.$$

It is easy to check that $\Omega_0(x, y') \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})$ for $q > 2(n - 1)/n$ and satisfies (1.1). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\Omega;b,2k}f(x) &\leq C \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^{l-1} < |x-y| \leq 2^l} \frac{|\Omega(x, x-y)|}{|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^{2k} |f(y)| dy \\ &= C \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^{l-1} < |x-y| \leq 2^l} \frac{\Omega_0(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^{2k} |f(y)| dy \\ &\quad + C \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^{l-1} < |x-y| \leq 2^l} \frac{\|\Omega(x, \cdot)\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})}}{\sigma(S^{n-1})|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^{2k} |f(y)| dy \\ &:= N_1 + N_2. \end{aligned}$$

Define the k -th order commutator $M_{b,k}$ formed by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M and a BMO function b by

$$M_{b,k}f(x) = \sup_{r>0} r^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<r} |b(x) - b(y)|^k |f(y)| dy.$$

Applying Theorem 2.4 in [17] with $\alpha = \beta \equiv 1$, we know that

$$\|M_{b,k}f\|_{L^2} \leq C \|b\|_*^k \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.7}$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\|b\|_* = 1$. Observe that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\|N_2\|_{L^2} \leq C \|M_{b,2k}f\|_{L^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

Therefore, to show (2.6), it remains to give the following estimate of N_1 :

$$\|N_1\|_{L^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.8}$$

As in [6], by a limit argument we may reduce the proof of Theorem 1 to the case of $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\Omega_0(x, z') = \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} a_{m,j}(x) Y_{m,j}(z')$$

is a finite sum. Notice that $\Omega_0(x, z')$ satisfies (1.1), so $a_{0,j} \equiv 0$. Denote

$$a_m(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |a_{m,j}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{m,j}(x) = \frac{a_{m,j}(x)}{a_m(x)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} d_{m,j}^2(x) = 1, \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\Omega_0(x, z') = \sum_{m \geq 1} a_m(x) \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} d_{m,j}(x) Y_{m,j}(z'). \tag{2.11}$$

Let

$$F_{l,m,j;b,2k}f(x) = \int_{2^{l-1} < |x-y| \leq 2^l} \frac{Y_{m,j}(x-y)}{|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^{2k} |f(y)| dy.$$

Using Hölder’s inequality twice and (2.10), we get for $0 < \theta < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} N_1^2 &= C \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{2^l < |x-y| \leq 2^{l+1}} \frac{\Omega_0(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^{2k} |f(y)| dy \right| \right)^2 \\ &\leq C \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^2(x) m^{-\theta} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^\theta \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_{l,m,j;b,2k}f(x)| \right)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

By [6, p. 230], for $q > 2(n - 1)/n$, if we take $0 < \theta < 1$ and close to 1 sufficiently, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{m \geq 1} a_m^2(x) m^{-\theta} \right)^{1/2} &\leq C \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega_0(x, z')|^q d\sigma(z') \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \|\Omega_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(S^{n-1})}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

By (2.12) and (2.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \|N_1\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^\theta \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_{l,m,j;b,2k}f(x)| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^\theta \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_{l,m,j;b,2k}f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, (2.8) will follow if we can show that there exists $0 < \beta < (1 - \theta)/2$ such that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_{l,m,j;b,2k}f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} \leq C m^{-1+\beta} \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.14}$$

Let us take a radial function $\psi \in C_0^\infty$ such that $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset \{\xi : 1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$ and $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^2(2^{-i}\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \neq 0$. Define the multiplier S_i by $\widehat{S_i f}(\xi) = \psi(2^{-i}\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j = 1, \dots, D_m$, set

$$\begin{aligned} E_{l,m,j}^i(\xi) &= \widehat{K_{l,m,j}}(\xi) \psi(2^{l-i}\xi), \\ F_{l,m,j}f(x) &= K_{l,m,j} * f(x) \\ \text{and } \widehat{F_{l,m,j}^i f}(\xi) &= E_{l,m,j}^i(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where and in the sequel, $K_{l,m,j}$ is defined in Lemma 2.2. Define by the operator $F_{l,m,j;b,k}$ and $F_{l,m,j;b,k}^i$ the k -th order commutators of $F_{l,m,j}$ and $F_{l,m,j}^i$, respectively. Then

$$F_{l,m,j;b,2k}f(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (F_{l,m,j}^i S_{i-l})_{b,2k}f(x). \tag{2.15}$$

By (2.15) and Minkowski inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |(F_{l,m,j;b,2k}f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} = \\ & = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (F_{l,m,j}^i S_{i-l})_{b,2k}f(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |(F_{l,m,j}^i S_{i-l})_{b,2k}f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & := R. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

With the aid of the formula

$$(b(x) - b(y))^k = \sum_{u=0}^k C_k^u (b(x) - b(z))^u (b(z) - b(y))^{k-u}, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.17}$$

it is easy to check that

$$(F_{l,m,j}^i S_{i-l})_{b,2k}f(x) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2k} C_{2k}^\alpha F_{l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i (S_{i-l;b,2k-\alpha}f)(x). \tag{2.18}$$

Let

$$F_{l,m;b,\alpha}^i f(x) := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |F_{l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then, applying Minkowski inequality and by (2.18),

$$\begin{aligned} R & = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left| \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2k} C_{2k}^\alpha F_{l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i (S_{i-l;b,2k-\alpha}f)(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2k} C_{2k}^\alpha \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |F_{l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i (S_{i-l;b,2k-\alpha}f)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & = C \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2k} C_{2k}^\alpha \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F_{l,m;b,\alpha}^i (S_{i-l;b,2k-\alpha}f)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Hence, if there exists $0 < v_0 < 1$ such that

$$\|F_{l,m;b,\alpha}^i f\|_{L^2} \leq C m^{-1+\beta} 2^{-\beta v_0|i|/2} \|f\|_{L^2}, \tag{2.20}$$

then we may get (2.14). In fact, by (2.16), (2.19) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |(F_{l,m,j;b,2k} f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2k} C_{2k}^\alpha m^{-1+\beta} 2^{-v_0\beta|i|/2} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(S_{i-l;b,2k-\alpha} f)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & = C \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2k} C_{2k}^\alpha m^{-1+\beta} 2^{-v_0\beta|i|/2} \left\| \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |S_{i-l;b,2k-\alpha} f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C m^{-1+\beta} \|f\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to finish the proof of Theorem 2, it remains to verify (2.20). Define the operator $\tilde{F}_{l,m,j}^i$ by

$$\widehat{\tilde{F}_{l,m,j}^i f}(\xi) = E_{l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\xi) \hat{f}(\xi).$$

Denote by $\tilde{F}_{l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i$ the α -th order commutator of $\tilde{F}_{l,m,j}^i$. Let

$$\tilde{F}_{l,m;b,\alpha}^i f(\xi) := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |\tilde{F}_{l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i f(\xi)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{K_{l,m,j}}(\xi)| & \leq C m^{-\lambda-1+\beta/2} \min\{2^l \xi, 2^l \xi^{-\beta/2}\} |Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \\ |\widehat{K_{l,m,j}}(\xi)| & \leq C m^{-\lambda-1} |Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \\ |\nabla \widehat{K_{l,m,j}}(\xi)| & \leq C 2^l. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\text{supp}(E_{l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\cdot)) \subset \{\xi : 2^{i-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{i+1}\}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\xi)| & \leq C m^{-\lambda-1+\beta/2} \min\{2^i, 2^{-\beta i/2}\} |Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \\ |E_{l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\xi)| & \leq C m^{-\lambda-1} |Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \\ |\nabla E_{l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\xi)| & \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.3 in [7] with $\delta = 2^i$ and $s = 0$, we know that for any fixed $0 < v < 1$ and nonnegative integer α

$$\|\tilde{F}_{l,m;b,\alpha}^i\|_{L^2} \leq C m^{(-1+\beta/2)v} 2^{-\beta|i|v/2} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

For fixed $0 < \beta < (1-\theta)/2$, we can find $0 < v_0 < 1$ such that $v_0(-1+\beta/2) \leq -1 + \beta$. Hence

$$\|\tilde{F}_{l,m;b,\alpha}^i\|_{L^2} \leq Cm^{-1+\beta}2^{-\beta|i|v_0/2}\|f\|_{L^2},$$

which implies (2.20) by dilation-invariance. Therefore we have completed the proof of Theorem 2. ■

3. Proof of Theorem 1

This section is divided into two parts. In Subsection 3.1, we give a lemma which plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1. In Subsection 3.2, we will finish the proof of Theorem 1.

3.1. Key lemma

Lemma 3.1.1 *For $0 < \delta < \infty, m \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j = 1, \dots, D_m$, take $B_{s,\delta,m,j} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp}(B_{s,\delta,m,j}) \subset \{\xi : \delta/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\delta\}$. Let $T_{s,\delta,m,j}$ be the multiplier operators defined by*

$$\widehat{T_{s,\delta,m,j}f}(\xi) = B_{s,\delta,m,j}(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi), \quad j = 1, \dots, D_m.$$

Moreover, for $b \in BMO$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $T_{s,\delta,m,j;b,k}$ the k -th order commutator of $T_{s,\delta,m,j}$ and

$$T_{s,\delta,m;b,k}f(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} (T_{s,\delta,m,j;b,k}f(x))^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

If for some constant $0 < \beta < 1$, $B_{s,\delta,m,j}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$|B_{s,\delta,m,j}(\xi)| \leq C2^{-\beta s/2}m^{-\lambda-1+\beta/2} \min\{\delta, \delta^{-\beta/2}\}|Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \tag{3.1.1}$$

$$|B_{s,\delta,m,j}(\xi)| \leq Cm^{-\lambda-1}|Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \tag{3.1.2}$$

$$|\nabla B_{s,\delta,m,j}(\xi)| \leq C2^s, \tag{3.1.3}$$

then for any fixed $0 < v < 1$, there exists a positive constant $C = C(n, k, v)$ such that

$$\|T_{s,\delta,m;b,k}f\|_{L^2} \leq C2^{-\beta sv/2}m^{-(1+\beta/2)v} \min\{\delta^v, \delta^{-\beta v/2}\}\|b\|_*^k\|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.1.4}$$

Proof. We may assume that $\|b\|_* = 1$. Let us consider a $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ radial function ϕ , such that $\text{supp}\phi \subset \{x : 1/2 \leq |x| \leq 2\}$ and $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(2^{-l}|x|) = 1$ for any $|x| > 0$. Denote $\phi_0(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^0 \phi(2^{-l}|x|)$ and $\phi_l(x) = \phi(2^{-l}|x|)$ for positive integer l . Then $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp}\phi_0 \subset \{x : 0 < |x| \leq 2\}$.

Let $K_{s,\delta,m,j}(x) = (B_{s,\delta,m,j})^\vee(x)$. Denote $K_{s,\delta,m,j}^l(x) = K_{s,\delta,m,j}(x)\phi_l(x)$ for $l = 0, 1, \dots$. We have

$$K_{s,\delta,m,j}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} K_{s,\delta,m,j}^l(x).$$

Denote by $T_{s,\delta,m,j}^l$ and $T_{s,\delta,m,j;b,k}^l$ the convolution operator with kernel $K_{s,\delta,m,j}^l$ and the k -th order commutator of $T_{s,\delta,m,j}^l$ and b , respectively. Then by Minkowski's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{s,\delta,m;b,k}f\|_{L^2} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left| \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} T_{s,\delta,m,j;b,k}^l f(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left| T_{s,\delta,m,j;b,k}^l f(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &:= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \|T_{s,\delta,m;b,k}^l f\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.5}$$

where $T_{s,\delta,m;b,k}^l f(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left| T_{s,\delta,m,j;b,k}^l f(x) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}$. It is easy to see that (3.1.4) is the consequence of (3.1.5) and the following Claim 1.

Claim 1: For any fixed $0 < v < 1$, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\|T_{s,\delta,m;b,k}^l f\|_{L^2} \leq C 2^{-\beta s v/2} m^{(-1+\beta/2)v} 2^{-l\gamma} \min\{\delta^v, \delta^{-\beta v/2}\} \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.1.6}$$

Below we show Claim 1 by an almost orthogonality decomposition. For $l \geq 0$, we decompose $\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{d=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_d$, where Q_d 's are non-overlapping cubes with side length 2^l . Set $f_d = f\chi_{Q_d}$. Then $f(x) = \sum_{d=-\infty}^{\infty} f_d(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It is obvious that $\text{supp}(T_{s,\delta,m,j;b,k}^l f_d) \subset 10nQ_d$ since $\text{supp}(K_{s,\delta,m,j}^l) \subset \{x : |x| \leq 2^{l+2}\}$. Moreover, the sets in the family $\{\text{supp}(T_{s,\delta,m,j;b,k}^l f_d)\}_{d=-\infty}^{\infty}$ have bounded overlaps. So we have the following almost orthogonality property:

$$\|T_{s,\delta,m,j;b,k}^l f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \sum_{d=-\infty}^{\infty} \|T_{s,\delta,m,j;b,k}^l f_d\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{s,\delta,m;b,k}^l f\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \|T_{s,\delta,m,j;b,k}^l f\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{d=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \|T_{s,\delta,m,j;b,k}^l f_d\|_{L^2}^2 = C \sum_{d=-\infty}^{\infty} \|T_{s,\delta,m;b,k}^l f_d\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it suffices to verify (3.1.6) for a function f with $\text{supp} f \subset Q$, where Q has its side length 2^l .

Choose $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, φ identically one on $50nQ$, and $\text{supp}\varphi \subset 100nQ$. Set $\tilde{Q} = 200nQ$, and $b_{\tilde{Q}} = |\tilde{Q}|^{-1} \int_{\tilde{Q}} b(y) dy$. Let

$$\tilde{b}(x) = (b(x) - b_{\tilde{Q}})\varphi(x).$$

It is easy to see that

$$T_{s,\delta,m,j;b,k}^l f(x) = \sum_{\mu=0}^k C_k^\mu \tilde{b}^\mu(x) T_{s,\delta,m,j}^l (\tilde{b}^{k-\mu} f)(x).$$

Denote

$$T_{s,\delta,m}^l f(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |T_{s,\delta,m,j}^l f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{s,\delta,m;b,k}^l f\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left| \sum_{\mu=0}^k C_k^\mu \tilde{b}^\mu(x) T_{s,\delta,m,j}^l (\tilde{b}^{k-\mu} f)(x) \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{\mu=0}^k \left| \tilde{b}^\mu(x) T_{s,\delta,m,j}^l (\tilde{b}^{k-\mu} f)(x) \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{\mu=0}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{b}^\mu(x)|^2 \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |T_{s,\delta,m,j}^l (\tilde{b}^{k-\mu} f)(x)|^2 dx \\ &= C \sum_{\mu=0}^k \|\tilde{b}^\mu T_{s,\delta,m}^l (\tilde{b}^{k-\mu} f)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.7}$$

Thus, in order to prove Claim 1, by (3.1.7) we only need to show the following

Claim 2: For any fixed $0 < v < 1$, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that for a function f supported in Q with side length 2^l

$$\|\tilde{b}^\mu T_{s,\delta,m}^l (\tilde{b}^{k-\mu} f)\|_{L^2} \leq C 2^{-\beta s v/2} m^{-(1+\beta/2)v} 2^{-l\gamma} \min\{\delta^v, \delta^{-\beta v/2}\} \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.1.8}$$

However, Claim 2 can be reduced from the following

Claim 3: For $g \in L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq q' \leq 2$ (hence $2 \leq q \leq \infty$) and $0 < t < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{s,\delta,m}^l g\|_{L^q} &\leq C 2^{\frac{2ts}{q}} 2^{-\frac{\beta(1-t)s}{q}} 2^{-\frac{2tl}{q}} m^{\frac{(-2+\beta)(1-t)}{q} - (1-\frac{2}{q}) + \frac{2t\lambda}{q}} \delta^{n(1-\frac{2}{q})} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{\delta, \delta^{-\beta}\})^{\frac{2(1-t)}{q}} \|g\|_{L^{q'}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.9}$$

In fact, notice that for any $1 < \sigma < \infty$ and $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, k$,

$$\|\tilde{b}^\mu\|_{L^\sigma} \leq C \|b\|_*^\mu |Q|^{1/\sigma} \leq C 2^{nl/\sigma}.$$

Then for any $2 < q_1, q_2 < \infty$ with $1/q_1 + 1/q_2 = 1/2$, applying Hölder's inequality twice and by (3.1.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\tilde{b}^\mu T_{s,\delta,m}^l(\tilde{b}^{k-\mu} f)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\tilde{b}^\mu\|_{L^{q_1}} \|T_{s,\delta,m}^l(\tilde{b}^{k-\mu} f)\|_{L^{q_2}} \\
 &\leq C 2^{\frac{2ts}{q_2}} 2^{-\frac{\beta(1-t)s}{q_2}} 2^{-\frac{2tl}{q_2}} \delta^{n(1-\frac{2}{q_2})} m^{\frac{(-2+\beta)(1-t)}{q_2} - (1-\frac{2}{q_2}) + \frac{2t\lambda}{q_2}} \\
 &\quad \times (\min\{\delta, \delta^{-\beta/2}\})^{\frac{2(1-t)}{q_2}} \|\tilde{b}^\mu\|_{L^{q_1}} \|\tilde{b}^{k-\mu} f\|_{L^{q_2'}} \\
 &\leq C 2^{\frac{2ts}{q_2}} 2^{-\frac{\beta(1-t)s}{q_2}} 2^{-\frac{2tl}{q_2}} \delta^{n(1-\frac{2}{q_2})} m^{\frac{(-2+\beta)(1-t)}{q_2} - (1-\frac{2}{q_2}) + \frac{2t\lambda}{q_2}} \\
 &\quad \times (\min\{\delta, \delta^{-\beta/2}\})^{\frac{2(1-t)}{q_2}} \|\tilde{b}^\mu\|_{L^{q_1}} \|\tilde{b}^{k-\mu}\|_{L^{2q_2/(q_2-2)}} \|f\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C 2^{\frac{2ts}{q_2}} 2^{-\frac{\beta(1-t)s}{q_2}} 2^{-\frac{2tl}{q_2} + nl(1-\frac{2}{q_2})} m^{\frac{(-2+\beta)(1-t)}{q_2} - (1-\frac{2}{q_2}) + \frac{2t\lambda}{q_2}} \delta^{n(1-\frac{2}{q_2})} \\
 &\quad \times (\min\{\delta, \delta^{-\beta/2}\})^{\frac{2(1-t)}{q_2}} \|f\|_{L^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.10}$$

Now, for any fixed $0 < v < 1$, we choose $q_2 > 2$ but close to 2 sufficiently and $t > 0$ but close to 0 sufficiently, such that q_2 and t satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned}
 2t/q_2 &> n(1 - 2/q_2), \\
 2t/q_2 - \beta(1 - t)/q_2 &< -v\beta/2, \\
 (-2 + \beta)(1 - t)/q_2 - (1 - 2/q_2) + 2t\lambda/q_2 &< (-1 + \beta/2)v.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma &:= 2t/q_2 - n(1 - 2/q_2) > 0, \\
 m^{(-2+\beta)(1-t)/q_2 - (1-2/q_2) + 2t\lambda/q_2} &\leq m^{(-1+\beta/2)v}, \\
 2^{\frac{2ts}{q_2}} 2^{-\frac{\beta(1-t)s}{q_2}} &\leq 2^{-v\beta s/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $\delta \geq 1$, then by (3.1.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\tilde{b}^\mu T_{s,\delta,m}^l(\tilde{b}^{k-\mu} f)\|_{L^2} &\leq C 2^{-v\beta s/2} m^{(-1+\beta/2)v} 2^{-l\gamma} \delta^{n(1-2/q_2)} \delta^{-\beta(1-t)/q_2} \|f\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C 2^{-v\beta s/2} m^{(-1+\beta/2)v} 2^{-l\gamma} \delta^{-\beta v/2} \|f\|_{L^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.11}$$

If $0 < \delta < 1$, similar to the estimate of (3.1.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\tilde{b}^\mu T_{s,\delta,m}^l(\tilde{b}^{k-\mu} f)\|_{L^2} &\leq C 2^{-v\beta s/2} m^{(-1+\beta/2)v} 2^{-l\gamma} \delta^{n(1-2/q_2)} \delta^{2(1-t)/q_2} \|f\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C 2^{-v\beta s/2} m^{(-1+\beta/2)v} 2^{-l\gamma} \delta^v \|f\|_{L^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.12}$$

Thus Claim 2 follows from (3.1.11) and (3.1.12).

Hence, to finish the proof of Lemma 3.1.1, it remains to verify Claim 3.

First we consider the case where $q = \infty$. By the definition of $T_{s,\delta,m,j}^l$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{s,\delta,m}^l g(x)| &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_{s,\delta,m,j}^l(x-y)| |g(y)| dy \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |K_{s,\delta,m,j}^l(x-y)|^2 \right)^{1/2} |g(y)| dy \\ &\leq \|g\|_{L^1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |\widehat{K_{s,\delta,m,j}^l}(\xi)|^2 \right)^{1/2} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\widehat{K_{s,\delta,m,j}^l}(\xi) = \widehat{K_{s,\delta,m,j}} * \widehat{\phi_l}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B_{s,\delta,m,j}(y) \widehat{\phi_l}(\xi - y) dy \quad (3.1.13)$$

and (see [6, p. 225, (2.6)])

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |Y_{m,j}(x')|^2 \right)^{1/2} \sim m^\lambda, \quad \text{for any } x \neq 0, \quad (3.1.14)$$

by (3.1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |\widehat{K_{s,\delta,m,j}^l}(\xi)|^2 \right)^{1/2} d\xi &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B_{s,\delta,m,j}(y) \widehat{\phi_l}(\xi - y) dy \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |B_{s,\delta,m,j}(y)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \widehat{\phi_l}(\xi - y) dy d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\delta/2 < |y| < 2\delta} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |B_{s,\delta,m,j}(y)|^2 \right)^{1/2} dy \|\widehat{\phi_l}\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq Cm^{-\lambda-1} \int_{\delta/2 < |y| < 2\delta} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |Y_{m,j}(y')|^2 \right)^{1/2} dy \\ &\leq Cm^{-1} \delta^n, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\|T_{s,\delta,m}^l g\|_{L^\infty} \leq Cm^{-1} \delta^n \|g\|_{L^1}. \quad (3.1.15)$$

For $q = 2$, note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\phi}(\eta) d\eta = \phi(0) = 0$, then by (3.1.13) and (3.1.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{K_{s,\delta,m,j}^l}(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(B_{s,\delta,m,j}(x - 2^{-l}y) - B_{s,\delta,m,j}(x))| |\widehat{\phi}(y)| dy \\ &\leq C2^{-l} \|\nabla B_{s,\delta,m,j}\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y| |\widehat{\phi}(y)| dy \leq C2^s 2^{-l}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, applying Plancherel theorem and the fact (see [6, p. 226, (2.7)])

$$D_m \sim m^{2\lambda}, \tag{3.1.16}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{s,\delta,m}^l g\|_{L^2} &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |\widehat{K_{s,\delta,m,j}^l}(\xi)|^2 |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C 2^s 2^{-l} m^\lambda \|g\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.17}$$

Applying Plancherel theorem again, (3.1.1), (3.1.13) and (3.1.14) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{s,\delta,m}^l g\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |\widehat{K_{s,\delta,m,j}^l}(\xi)|^2 |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |B_{s,\delta,m,j} * \widehat{\phi}_l(\xi)|^2 |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B_{s,\delta,m,j}(\xi - y) \widehat{\phi}_l(y) dy \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\}^2 |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |B_{s,\delta,m,j}(\xi - y)|^2 \right)^{1/2} |\widehat{\phi}_l(y)| dy \right\}^2 |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C 2^{-s\beta} m^{-2\lambda-2+\beta} (\min\{\delta, \delta^{-\beta/2}\})^2 \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |Y_{m,j}((\xi - y)')|^2 \right)^{1/2} |\widehat{\phi}_l(y)| dy \right)^2 |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C 2^{-s\beta} m^{-2+\beta} (\min\{\delta, \delta^{-\beta/2}\})^2 \|\widehat{\phi}_l\|_{L^1}^2 \|g\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\|T_{s,\delta,m}^l g\|_{L^2} \leq C 2^{-s\beta/2} m^{-(1+\beta/2)} \min\{\delta, \delta^{-\beta/2}\} \|g\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.1.18}$$

Hence, interpolating between estimates (3.1.17) and (3.1.18), for any $0 < t < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{s,\delta,m}^l g\|_{L^2} &\leq C 2^{-tl} 2^{ts} 2^{-(1-t)s\beta/2} m^{t\lambda} m^{(-1+\frac{\beta}{2})(1-t)} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{\delta, \delta^{-\beta/2}\})^{1-t} \|g\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.19}$$

Thus we obtain (3.1.9) for $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ by interpolating between (3.1.15) and (3.1.19). The proof of Lemma 3.1.1. is now completed. ■

Remark 3.1.1. When $k = 0$, Lemma 3.1.1 also holds; when $s = 0$, Lemma 3.1.1 is just the Lemma 2.3 in [7].

Remark 3.1.2. From the proof of Lemma 3.1.1, if we replace (3.1.1)-(3.1.3) by

$$|B_{s,\delta,m,j}(\xi)| \leq Cm^{-\lambda-1}2^{-s} \min\{\delta, \delta^{-1}\}|Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \tag{3.1.1}'$$

$$|B_{s,\delta,m,j}(\xi)| \leq Cm^{-\lambda-1}2^{-s}|Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \tag{3.1.2}'$$

$$|\nabla B_{s,\delta,m,j}^i(\xi)| \leq C2^{-s}, \tag{3.1.3}'$$

then for any fixed $0 < v < 1$, there exists a positive constant $C = C(n, k, v)$ such that

$$\|T_{s,\delta,m;j,b,k}f\|_{L^2} \leq C2^{-s}m^{-v} \min\{\delta^v, \delta^{-v}\}\|b\|_*^k\|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.1.4}'$$

Lemma 3.1.2 (see [7, (3.4)]) *For some $0 < \beta < (1 - \theta)/2$ and $0 < \theta < 1$*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |T_{m,j;b,k}f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} \leq Cm^{-1+\beta}\|f\|_{L^2},$$

where

$$T_{m,j;b,k}f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{Y_{m,j}(x-y)}{|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^k f(y) dy.$$

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1

We still assume that $\|b\|_* = 1$. By (1.2) and Theorem 2, it suffices to show that

$$\left\| \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{|x-y|>2^l} \frac{\Omega(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^k f(y) dy \right| \right\|_{L^2} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.2.1}$$

Similarly to the decomposition of $\Omega_0(x, z')$ in the proof of Theorem 2, we have

$$\Omega(x, z') = \sum_{m \geq 1} a_m(x) \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} d_{m,j}(x) Y_{m,j}(z'),$$

where $a_m(x)$ and $d_{m,j}(x)$ satisfy (2.9) and (2.10). For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$T_{s,m,j;b,k}f(x) = \int_{2^s < |x-y| \leq 2^{s+1}} \frac{Y_{m,j}(x-y)}{|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^k f(y) dy.$$

Then

$$\int_{|x-y|>2^l} \frac{\Omega(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^k f(y) dy = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j;b,k}f(x).$$

Using Hölder’s inequality twice, by (2.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{|x-y| > 2^l} \frac{\Omega(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^n} (b(x) - b(y))^k f(y) dy \right| \right)^2 \leq \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^2(x) m^{-\theta} \right) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^\theta \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j;b,k} f(x) \right|^2 \right) \quad (3.2.2) \\ & \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty \times L^q} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^\theta \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j;b,k} f(x) \right|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$ is defined by (2.13).

Hence, by (3.2.2) it is easy to see that, to get (3.2.1), it suffices to show that for some $0 < \beta < (1 - \theta)/2$,

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j;b,k} f(x) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} \leq C m^{-1+\beta} \|f\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.2.3)$$

We will give the proof of (3.2.3) by induction on the order k .

(i) *Proof of (3.2.3) for $k = 0$.*

In this case, we need to show that for $0 < \beta < (1 - \theta)/2$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j} f(x) \right| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} \leq C m^{-1+\beta} \|f\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.2.4)$$

Take $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp}(\eta) \subset \{x : |x| \leq 1\}$ and $\eta(x) \equiv 1$ when $|x| \leq 1/2$. Let $\Phi_l \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\hat{\Phi}_l(\xi) = \eta(2^l \xi)$. Let

$$T_{m,j} f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{Y_{m,j}(x-y)}{|x-y|^n} f(y) dy \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, 2, \dots, D_m.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j} f(x) \right| & \leq \left| \Phi_l * (T_{m,j} f(x)) \right| + \left| \Phi_l * \left(\sum_{s=-\infty}^{l-1} T_{s,m,j} f(x) \right) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| (\delta - \Phi_l) * \left(\sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j} f \right) (x) \right| \quad (3.2.5) \\ & := P_1 + P_2 + P_3, \end{aligned}$$

where and in the sequel, δ denotes the Dirac function. Below we set up the estimate of (3.2.4) for P_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$), respectively. Firstly, we consider P_1 .

By [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} P_1 \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\Phi_l * T_{m,j} f(x)|^2 dx \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M(T_{m,j} f)(x)|^2 dx \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_{m,j} f(x)|^2 dx \\
 &= C \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \|T_{m,j} f(x)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq C m^{-2} \|f\|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.6}$$

where and in the sequel, M denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Secondly, we consider P_2 . For $s \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, D_m$, define

$$K_{s,m,j}(x) = \frac{Y_{m,j}(x')}{|x|^n} \chi_{\{2^s < |x| \leq 2^{s+1}\}}(x).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} P_2 \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=-\infty}^{l-1} (\Phi_l * K_{s,m,j} * f)(x) \right| \right)^2 dx \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=-\infty}^{l-1} (\Phi_l * K_{s,m,j} * f)(x) \right|^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\Phi_l * K_{l-s,m,j} * f)(x) \right|^2 dx \\
 &\leq \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |(\Phi_l * K_{l-s,m,j} * f)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right)^2 \\
 &= \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\Phi}_l(\xi) \widehat{K_{l-s,m,j}}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{\Phi}_l \widehat{K_{l-s,m,j}}) \subset \{\xi : |2^l \xi| \leq 1\}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, Plancherel theorem and (3.1.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} (\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} P_2)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} \leq \\
 & \leq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{\{l: 2^l \leq |\xi|^{-1}\}} |\widehat{\Phi}_l(\xi) \widehat{K_{l-s,m,j}}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \quad + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{\{l: 2^l > |\xi|^{-1}\}} |\widehat{\Phi}_l(\xi) \widehat{K_{l-s,m,j}}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq Cm^{-1-\lambda} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^{-s} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{\{l: 2^l \leq |\xi|^{-1}\}} |2^l \xi|^2 |Y_{m,j}(\xi)|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq Cm^{-1-\lambda} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |Y_{m,j}(\xi)|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq Cm^{-1} \|f\|_{L^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.7}$$

Finally, we discuss P_3 . By Minkowski inequality and Plancherel theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} (\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} P_3)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = \\
 & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=l}^{\infty} ((\delta - \Phi_l) * K_{s,m,j} * f)(x) \right| \right)^2 dx \\
 & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} ((\delta - \Phi_l) * K_{s+l,m,j} * f)(x) \right|^2 dx \\
 & \leq \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |((\delta - \Phi_l) * K_{s+l,m,j} * f)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right)^2 \\
 & = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |1 - \widehat{\Phi}_l(x)| |\widehat{K_{s+l,m,j}}(x)| |\hat{f}(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{supp}((1 - \widehat{\Phi}_l) \widehat{K_{s+l,m,j}}) \subset \{\xi : |2^l \xi| > 1/2\}$, by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$|(1 - \widehat{\Phi}_l(\xi)) \widehat{K_{s+l,m,j}}(\xi)| \leq Cm^{-1-\lambda+\beta/2} 2^{-\beta s/2} |2^l \xi|^{-\beta/2} |Y_{m,j}(\xi')|.$$

Similarly to (3.2.7), it is easy to obtain that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} (\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} P_3)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} \leq Cm^{-1+\beta/2} \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.2.8}$$

By (3.2.6)–(3.2.8), we obtain (3.2.4) and hence (3.2.3) holds for $k = 0$.

(ii) *Proof of (3.2.3) for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

In this case, we assume that (3.2.3) is true for all integers u with $0 \leq u \leq k - 1$ and we will prove that (3.2.3) holds also for k .

Take $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\eta(x) \equiv 1$ when $|x| \leq 1/2$, $\text{supp}(\eta) \subseteq \{x : |x| \leq 1\}$. Let $\Phi_l \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\hat{\Phi}_l(\xi) = \eta(2^l \xi)$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=l}^\infty T_{s,m,j;b,k} f(x) &\leq |\Phi_l * T_{m,j;b,k} f(x)| + \left| \Phi_l * \sum_{s=-\infty}^{l-1} T_{s,m,j;b,k} f(x) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{s=l}^\infty T_{s,m,j;b,k} f(x) - \Phi_l * \left(\sum_{s=l}^\infty T_{s,m,j;b,k} f \right)(x) \right| \\ &:= I + II + III. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.9}$$

We need to prove that (3.2.3) is true for I, II and III , respectively. First we consider I . By Lemma 3.1.2, for $0 < \beta < (1 - \theta)/2$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} (\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} I)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\Phi_l * T_{m,j;b,k} f(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M(T_{m,j;b,k} f)(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_{m,j;b,k} f(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C m^{-2+2\beta} \|f\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.10}$$

Now we consider II . Denote by G_l and $G_{l;b,u}$ the convolution operator with kernel Φ_l and the u -th order commutator of G_l , respectively. Applying formula (2.17) we can write

$$II = \left| \left(\Phi_l * \left(\sum_{s=-\infty}^{l-1} K_{s,m,j} \right) \right)_{b,k} f(x) - \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} C_k^u G_{l;b,k-u} \left(\sum_{s=-\infty}^{l-1} T_{s,m,j;b,u} f \right)(x) \right|.$$

Let

$$II_1 = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left(\Phi_l * \left(\sum_{s=-\infty}^{l-1} K_{s,m,j} \right) \right)_{b,k} f(x) \right|$$

and

$$II_2 = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} C_k^u G_{l;b,k-u} \left(\sum_{s=-\infty}^{l-1} T_{s,m,j;b,u} f \right)(x) \right|.$$

Therefore, if we can show that for some $0 < \beta < (1 - \theta)/2$,

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} II_1^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} \leq Cm^{-1+\beta} \|f\|_{L^2} \tag{3.2.11}$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} II_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} \leq Cm^{-1+\beta} \|f\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.2.12}$$

then (3.2.3) holds for II .

For II_1 , applying Minkowski inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} II_1^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=-\infty}^{l-1} (\Phi_l * K_{s,m,j})_{b,k} f(x) \right| \right)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=-\infty}^{l-1} (\Phi_l * K_{s,m,j})_{b,k} f(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\Phi_l * K_{l-s,m,j})_{b,k} f(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |(\Phi_l * K_{l-s,m,j})_{b,k} f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &:= W. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$U_{s,l,m,j} f = \Phi_l * K_{l-s,m,j} * f.$$

Denote

$$U_{s,l,m,j,b,k} = (\Phi_l * K_{l-s,m,j})_{b,k}.$$

Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty$ be a radial function such that $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\text{supp} \psi \subset \{\xi : 1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$ and $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^2(2^{-i}\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \neq 0$. Define the multiplier S_i by $\widehat{S_i f}(\xi) = \psi(2^{-i}\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, and $j = 1, \dots, D_m$. Set

$$B_{s,l,m,j}(\xi) = \widehat{\Phi}_l(\xi) \widehat{K_{l-s,m,j}}(\xi), \quad B_{s,l,m,j}^i(\xi) = B_{s,l,m,j}(\xi) \psi(2^{l-i}\xi).$$

Define the operator $U_{s,l,m,j}^i$ by $(U_{s,l,m,j}^i f)^\wedge(\xi) = (U_{s,l,m,j} f)^\wedge(\xi) \psi(2^{l-i}\xi)$. Denote by $U_{s,l,m,j;b,k}^i$ the k -th order commutator of $U_{s,l,m,j}^i$. Then

$$U_{s,l,m,j;b,k} f(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} ((U_{s,l,m,j}^i S_{i-l})_{b,k} f)(x).$$

Applying the equation above and Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 W &= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left| \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} ((U_{s,l,m,j}^i S_{i-l})_{b,k} f)(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left| ((U_{s,l,m,j}^i S_{i-l})_{b,k} f)(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
 &:= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} W_{i,s}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.13}$$

Write $(U_{s,l,m,j}^i S_{i-l})_{b,k} f(x) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^k C_k^\alpha U_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i (S_{i-l;b,k-\alpha} f)(x)$ and

$$U_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i f(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |U_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_{i,s} &= \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left| \sum_{\alpha=0}^k C_k^\alpha U_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i (S_{i-l;b,k-\alpha} f)(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{\alpha=0}^k C_k^\alpha \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |U_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i (S_{i-l;b,k-\alpha} f)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
 &= C \sum_{\alpha=0}^k C_k^\alpha \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |U_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i (S_{i-l;b,k-\alpha} f)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We claim that there exists $0 < v < 1$ such that

$$\|U_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i f\|_{L^2} \leq C m^{-1+\beta} 2^{-s} 2^{-v|i|} \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.2.14}$$

If so, then by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_{i,s} &\leq C \sum_{\alpha=0}^k C_k^\alpha m^{-1+\beta} 2^{-s} 2^{-v|i|} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(S_{i-l;b,k-\alpha} f)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq C m^{-1+\beta} 2^{-s} 2^{-v|i|} \|f\|_{L^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.15}$$

Thus, (3.2.11) will follow from (3.2.13) and (3.2.15). Now we estimate (3.2.14). Define the operator $U_{s,l,m,j}^i$ by $(\tilde{U}_{s,l,m,j}^i f)^\wedge(\xi) = B_{s,l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)$. Denote by $\tilde{U}_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i$ the α -th order commutator of $\tilde{U}_{s,l,m,j}^i$. Let

$$\tilde{U}_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i f(\xi) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |\tilde{U}_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i f(\xi)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

By the definition of $B_{s,l,m,j}$ and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{s,l,m,j}(\xi)| &\leq Cm^{-\lambda-1}2^{-s} \min\{|2^l\xi|, |2^l\xi|^{-1}\} |Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \\ |B_{s,l,m,j}(\xi)| &\leq Cm^{-\lambda-1}2^{-s} |Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \\ |\nabla B_{s,l,m,j}(\xi)| &\leq C2^l2^{-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\text{supp}(B_{s,l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\cdot)) \subset \{\xi : 2^{i-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{i+1}\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{s,l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\xi)| &\leq Cm^{-\lambda-1}2^{-s} \min\{2^i, 2^{-i}\} |Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \\ |B_{s,l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\xi)| &\leq Cm^{-\lambda-1}2^{-s} |Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \\ |\nabla B_{s,l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\xi)| &\leq C2^{-s} \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1.4)' with $\delta = 2^i$, for any fixed $0 < v < 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|\tilde{U}_{s,l,m;b,\alpha}^i\|_{L^2} \leq Cm^{-v}2^{-s}2^{-|i|v} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

Thus, for $0 < \beta < (1 - \theta)/2$ ($0 < \theta < 1$), we can take $0 < v_0 < 1 - \beta$ in the above estimate. Hence we obtain

$$\|\tilde{U}_{s,l,m;b,\alpha}^i\|_{L^2} \leq Cm^{-1+\beta}2^{-s}2^{-|i|v_0} \|f\|_{L^2},$$

which implies, by dilation-invariance,

$$\|U_{s,l,m;b,\alpha}^i\|_{L^2} \leq Cm^{-1+\beta}2^{-s}2^{-|i|v_0} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

So we proved (3.2.14). Now let us turn to (3.2.12). Write

$$\begin{aligned} II_2 &= \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} C_k^u G_{l;b,k-u} \left(\sum_{s=-\infty}^{l-1} T_{s,m,j;b,uf} \right) (x) \right| \\ &= \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} C_k^u G_{l;b,k-u} \left(T_{m,j;b,uf} - \sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j;b,uf} \right) (x) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} C_k^u G_{l;b,k-u} (T_{m,j;b,uf})(x) \right| \\ &\quad + \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} C_k^u G_{l;b,k-u} \left(\sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j;b,uf} \right) (x) \right| \\ &:= II_{21} + II_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to prove (3.2.12), it is sufficient to show that for some $0 < \beta < (1 - \theta)/2$,

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} II_{21}^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} \leq Cm^{-1+\beta} \|f\|_{L^2} \tag{3.2.17}$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} II_{22}^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} \leq Cm^{-1+\beta} \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.2.18}$$

However, (3.2.17) is just a consequence of Lemma 3.1.2 and (2.7). In fact, for $0 < \beta < (1 - \theta/2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} II_{21}^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} C_k^u \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |G_{l;b,k-u}(T_{m,j,b,u}f)(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} C_k^u \|M_{b,k-u}(T_{m,j,b,u}f)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \|T_{m,j,b,u}f\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq Cm^{-2+2\beta} \|f\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, to obtain (3.2.18), applying (2.7) and the induction assumptions for $0 \leq u \leq k - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} II_{22}^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2 &= \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} C_k^u G_{l;b,k-u} \left(\sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j;b,u}f \right) (x) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| G_{l;b,k-u} \left(\sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j;b,u}f(x) \right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left| M_{b,k-u} \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j;b,u}f(x) \right| \right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j;b,u}f(x) \right| \right)^2 dx \\ &\leq Cm^{-2+2\beta} \|f\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we prove (3.2.3) for *II*. Finally, we show (3.2.3) is true for *III*. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} III &= \left| \sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j;b,k}f(x) - \left(\Phi_l * \sum_{s=l}^{\infty} K_{s,m,j} \right)_{b,k} f(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} C_k^u G_{l;b,k-u} \left(\sum_{s=l}^{\infty} T_{s,m,j;b,u}f \right) (x) \right| \\ &\leq III_1 + II_{22}, \end{aligned}$$

where $III_1 = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left(\sum_{s=l}^{\infty} (\delta - \Phi_l) * K_{s,m,j} \right)_{b,k} f(x) \right|$.

Thus, by (3.2.18), we need only to verify that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} III_1^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} \leq Cm^{-1+\beta} \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.2.19}$$

Applying Minkowski inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} III_1^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2} = \\ & = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=l}^{\infty} ((\delta - \Phi_l) * K_{s,m,j})_{b,k} f(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} ((\delta - \Phi_l) * K_{s+l,m,j})_{b,k} f(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \tag{3.2.20} \\ & \leq \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |((\delta - \Phi_l) * K_{s+l,m,j})_{b,k} f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & := Q. \end{aligned}$$

Let $V_{s,l,m,j} f = (\delta - \Phi_l) * K_{s+l,m,j} * f$ and $V_{s,l,m,j;b,k} = ((\delta - \Phi_l) * K_{s+l,m,j})_{b,k}$. Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty$ be a radial function such that $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\text{supp} \psi \subset \{\xi : 1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$ and $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^2(2^{-i}\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \neq 0$. Define the multiplier S_i by $\widehat{S_i f}(\xi) = \psi(2^{-i}\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j = 1, \dots, D_m$, set

$$D_{s,l,m,j}(\xi) = (1 - \widehat{\Phi}_l(\xi)) \widehat{K_{s+l,m,j}}(\xi)$$

and

$$D_{s,l,m,j}^i(\xi) = D_{s,l,m,j}(\xi) \psi(2^{l-i}\xi).$$

Define the operator $V_{s,l,m,j}^i$ by $(V_{s,l,m,j}^i f)^\wedge(\xi) = (V_{s,l,m,j} f)^\wedge(\xi) \psi(2^{l-i}\xi)$ and denote by $V_{s,l,m,j;b,k}^i$ the k -th order commutator of $V_{s,l,m,j}^i$. Then it is clear that

$$V_{s,l,m,j;b,k} f(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} ((V_{s,l,m,j}^i S_{i-l})_{b,k} f)(x).$$

By Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q & = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left| \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} ((V_{s,l,m,j}^i S_{i-l})_{b,k} f)(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |((V_{s,l,m,j}^i S_{i-l})_{b,k} f)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \tag{3.2.21} \\ & := \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_{i,s}. \end{aligned}$$

Write $(V_{s,l,m,j}^i S_{i-l})_{b,k} f(x) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^k C_k^\alpha V_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i (S_{i-l;b,k-\alpha} f)(x)$ and let

$$V_{s,l,m;b,\alpha}^i f(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |V_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{i,s} &= \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} \left| \sum_{\alpha=0}^k C_k^\alpha V_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i (S_{i-l;b,k-\alpha} f)(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{\alpha=0}^k C_k^\alpha \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |V_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i (S_{i-l;b,k-\alpha} f)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= C \sum_{\alpha=0}^k C_k^\alpha \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |V_{s,l,m;b,\alpha}^i (S_{i-l;b,k-\alpha} f)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, if we can prove that there exists $0 < v < 1$ such that,

$$\|V_{s,l,m;b,\alpha}^i f\|_{L^2} \leq C m^{-1+\beta} 2^{-\beta s/2} 2^{-v|i|\beta/2} \|f\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.2.22}$$

then applying Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{i,s} &\leq C \sum_{\alpha=0}^k C_k^\alpha m^{-1+\beta} 2^{-\beta s/2} 2^{-v\beta|i|/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(S_{i-l;b,k-\alpha} f)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C m^{-1+\beta} 2^{-\beta s/2} 2^{-v\beta|i|/2} \|f\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.23}$$

Thus, (3.2.19) follows by (3.2.20), (3.2.21) and (3.2.23). Hence, it remains to show (3.2.22). To this end, define the multiplier $\tilde{V}_{s,l,m,j}^i$ by

$$\widehat{\tilde{V}_{s,l,m,j}^i f}(\xi) = D_{s,l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)$$

and denote by $\tilde{V}_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i$ the α -th order commutator of $\tilde{V}_{s,l,m,j}^i$. Let

$$\tilde{V}_{s,l,m;b,\alpha}^i f(\xi) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_m} |\tilde{V}_{s,l,m,j;b,\alpha}^i f(\xi)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{s,l,m,j}(\xi)| &\leq C m^{-\lambda-1+\beta/2} 2^{-\beta s/2} \min\{|2^l \xi|, |2^l \xi|^{-\beta/2}\} |Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \\ |D_{s,l,m,j}(\xi)| &\leq C m^{-\lambda-1} |Y_{m,j}(\xi')|, \\ |\nabla D_{s,l,m,j}(\xi)| &\leq C 2^l 2^s. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{supp}(D_{s,l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\cdot)) \subset \{\xi : 2^{i-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{i+1}\}$, we have the following estimates:

$$|D_{s,l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\xi)| \leq Cm^{-\lambda-1+\beta/2}2^{-\beta s/2} \min\{2^i, 2^{-i\beta/2}\}|Y_{m,j}(\xi')|,$$

$$|D_{s,l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\xi)| \leq Cm^{-\lambda-1}|Y_{m,j}(\xi')|,$$

$$|\nabla D_{s,l,m,j}^i(2^{-l}\xi)| \leq C2^s.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1.1 with $\delta = 2^i$, we know for any fixed $0 < v < 1$ and nonnegative integer u

$$\|\tilde{V}_{s,l,m;b,\alpha}^i f\|_{L^2} \leq C2^{-\beta sv/2}m^{(-1+\beta/2)}2^{-\beta|i|v/2}\|f\|_{L^2},$$

which implies

$$\|V_{s,l,m;b,\alpha}^i f\|_{L^2} \leq C2^{-\beta sv/2}m^{(-1+\beta/2)}2^{-\beta|i|v/2}\|f\|_{L^2}$$

by dilation-invariance. This is (3.2.22) and (3.2.3) holds for *III*. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their deep gratitude to the referee for his/her carefully reading, valuable comments and suggestions in the revision of the original manuscript.

References

- [1] AGUILERA, N. AND HARBOURE, E.: Some inequalities for maximal operators. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **29** (1980), 559–576.
- [2] BRAMANTI, M. AND CERUTTI, M.: Commutators of singular integrals on homogeneous spaces. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B(7)* **10** (1996), 843–883.
- [3] CALDERÓN, A. P.: Commutators of singular integrals operators. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **53** (1965), 1092–1099.
- [4] CALDERÓN, A. P.: On commutators of singular integrals. *Studia Math.* **53** (1975), 139–174.
- [5] CALDERÓN, A. P. AND ZYGMUND, A.: On a problem of Mihlin. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **78** (1955), 209–224.
- [6] CALDERÓN, A. P. AND ZYGMUND, A.: On singular integrals with variable kernels. *Applicable Anal.* **7** (1977/78), 221–238.
- [7] CHEN, Y. AND DING, Y.: L^2 boundedness for commutator of rough singular integral with variable kernel. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **24** (2008), no. 2, 531–547.
- [8] CHIARENZA, F., FRASCA, M. AND LONGO, P.: Interior $W^{2,p}$ estimates for nondivergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients. *Ricerche Mat.* **40** (1991), 149–168.

- [9] CHIARENZA, F., FRASCA, M. AND LONGO, P.: $W^{2,p}$ -solvability of the Dirichlet problem for nondivergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **336** (1993), 841–853.
- [10] CHRIST, M., DUOANDIKOETXEA, J. AND RUBIO DE FRANCIA, J. L.: Maximal operators related to the radon transform and the Calderón-Zygmund method of rotations. *Duke Math. J.* **53** (1986), 189–209.
- [11] COIFMAN, R., ROCHERBERG, R. AND WEISS, G.: Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **103** (1976), 611–635.
- [12] COIFMAN, R., LIONS, P., MEYER, Y. AND SEMMES, S.: Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **72** (1993), 247–286.
- [13] COWLING, M. AND MAUCERI, G.: Inequalities for some maximal functions. I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **287** (1985), 431–455.
- [14] DI FAZIO, G. AND RAGUSA, M. A.: Interior estimates in Morrey spaces for strong solutions to nondivergence form equations with discontinuous coefficients. *J. Funct. Anal.* **112** (1993), 241–256.
- [15] DUOANDIKOETXEA, J. AND RUBIO DE FRANCIA, J. L.: Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates. *Invent. Math.* **84** (1986), 541–561.
- [16] FEFFERMAN, R.: On an operator arising in the Calderón-Zygmund method of rotations and the Bramble-Hilbert lemma. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **80** (1983), 3877–3878.
- [17] GARCÍA-CUERVA, J., HARBOURE, E., SEGOVIA, C. AND TORREA, J. L.: Weighted norm inequalities for commutators of strongly singular integrals. *Indiana. Univ. Math. J.* **40** (1991), 1397–1420.
- [18] GRAFAKOS, L. AND STEFANOV, A.: L^p bounds for singular integrals and maximal singular integrals with rough kernels. *Indiana. Univ. Math. J.* **47** (1998), 455–469.
- [19] GRECO, L. AND IWANIEC, T.: New inequalities for the Jacobian. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **11** (1994), 17–35.
- [20] HU, G.: $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness for the commutator of a homogeneous singular integral operator. *Studia Math.* **154** (2003), 13–27.
- [21] IWANIEC, T. AND SBORDONE, C.: Weak minima of variational integrals. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **454** (1994), 143–161.
- [22] IWANIEC, T.: Nonlinear commutators and Jacobians. In *Proceedings of the conference dedicated to Professor Miguel de Guzmán (El Escorial, 1996)*. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **3** (1997), Special Issue, 775–796.
- [23] PÉREZ, C.: Sharp estimates for commutators of singular integrals via iterations of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **3** (1997), 743–756.

- [24] ROCHBERG, R. AND WEISS, G.: Derivatives of analytic families of Banach spaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **118** (1983), 315–347.
- [25] STEIN, E. AND WEISS, G.: *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*. Princeton Mathematical Series **32**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.

Recibido: 12 de marzo de 2009

Revisado: 25 de febrero de 2010

Yanping Chen
Department of Mathematics and Mechanics
Applied Science School
University of Science and Technology Beijing
Beijing 100083, China
yanpingch@126.com

Yong Ding
(*corresponding author*)
School of Mathematical Sciences
Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems (BNU)
Ministry of Education, China
Beijing Normal University
Beijing 100875, China
dingy@bnu.edu.cn

Ran Li
School of Mathematical Sciences
Beijing Normal University
Beijing 100875, China
indy216@163.com