

Quantization of Contact Manifolds

By

Masaki KASHIWARA *

Abstract

We show the existence of the stack of micro-differential modules on an arbitrary contact manifold, although we cannot expect the global existence of the ring of micro-differential operators.

§ 0. Introduction

In [SKK], we defined the sheaf of micro-differential operators on the cotangent bundle and we associated a quantized contact transformation with a given contact transformation.

More precisely, for a complex manifold X , let us denote by \mathcal{E}_X the ring of micro-differential operators regarded as a sheaf of rings on the projective cotangent bundle P^*X . Let X and Y be two manifolds with the same dimension. Let U_X and U_Y be open subsets of P^*X and P^*Y respectively, and let $f: U_X \rightarrow U_Y$ be a holomorphic map preserving the canonical 1-form. Then for any point $p \in U_X$ there exists an open neighborhood U of p and a \mathbf{C} -ring isomorphism $\varphi: f^{-1}\mathcal{E}_Y|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X|_U$. Such a φ is not unique, although with other extra data we can reduce the uniqueness of φ up to the inner automorphism by micro-differential operators with 1 as its principal symbol.

Now let us consider a contact manifold Z with $(2n+1)$ dimension. This means that Z is endowed with an invertible \mathcal{O}_Z -module $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ and a 1-form $\omega \in \Gamma(Z, \Omega_Z^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(1))$ such that $\omega \wedge (d\omega)^n$ is a generator of $\Omega_Z^{2n+1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(2n+1)$. Here $\mathcal{O}_Z(k) = \mathcal{O}_Z(1)^{\otimes k}$.

The purpose of this paper is to show that we can naturally define a stack (a sheaf of categories) on Z that is locally isomorphic to the stack of modules over the ring of micro-differential operators.

Let us take an open covering $Z = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ and contact embeddings $f_i: U_i \hookrightarrow P^*X_i$. Set $\mathcal{A}_i = f_i^{-1}((\Omega_{X_i}^n)^{\otimes 1/2} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{X_i} \otimes (\Omega_{X_i}^n)^{\otimes -1/2})$. Then, \mathcal{A}_i is a sheaf of \mathbf{C} -rings on

Received February 13, 1992.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification (s): 53C99

* RIMS, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, 606-01, JAPAN.

U_i endowed with an antiautomorphism $*$ such that $*^2 = 1$. The ring \mathcal{A}_i has the order filtration $F(\mathcal{A}_i)$ such that $Gr_k^F \mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{O}_Z(k)$.

Lemma 1. *Let \mathcal{G} be the sheaf of automorphisms of \mathcal{A}_i commuting with $*$. Then $\{P \in F_0(\mathcal{A}_i); P^*P = 1, \sigma_0(P) = 1\} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ given by $P \mapsto Ad(P)$ is bijective. Here σ_0 is the symbol map $F_0(\mathcal{A}_i) \rightarrow Gr_0^F \mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{O}_Z$.*

Proof. For $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, let $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ be the sheaf of micro-differential operators of order $\lambda + \mathbf{Z}_{\leq 0}$. Then any automorphism φ of \mathcal{E}_X is given by $Ad(P)$ for some λ and some invertible element P of $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$. If φ commutes with $*$, then $Ad(P^*P) = \text{id}$ and hence P^*P must be constant. Hence P is order 0 and we can normalize $P^*P = 1$ and $\sigma_0(P) = 1$ by dividing P by a suitable constant. Q.E.D.

Now, shrinking U_i if necessary, we may assume that there exists a \mathbf{C} -ring isomorphism $f_{ij}: \mathcal{A}_j|_{U_{ij}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_i|_{U_{ij}}$ which commutes with $*$. Here we employed the notation

$$U_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_p} = U_{i_0} \cap U_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_p}.$$

Then $f_{ij} \circ f_{jk}: \mathcal{A}_k|_{U_{ijk}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i|_{U_{ijk}}$ is not equal to $f_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}}$ in general. Hence we cannot patch \mathcal{A}_i together to get a ring globally defined on Z .

By Lemma 1, there exists $P_{ijk} \in \Gamma(U_{ijk}; F_0(\mathcal{A}_i))$ such that

$$(0.1) \quad f_{ij} \circ f_{jk} = Ad(P_{ijk}) \circ f_{ik} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{ijk}^* P_{ijk} = 1, \quad \sigma_0(P_{ijk}) = 1.$$

For $i, j, k, l \in I$, we have

$$(f_{ij} \circ f_{jk}) \circ f_{kl} = Ad(P_{ijk}) \circ f_{ik} \circ f_{kl} = Ad(P_{ijk} P_{kjl}) \circ f_{il}$$

and

$$f_{ij} \circ (f_{jk} \circ f_{kl}) = f_{ij} \circ Ad(P_{jkl}) \circ f_{jl} = Ad(f_{ij}(P_{jkl})) \circ f_{ij} \circ f_{jl} = Ad(f_{ij}(P_{jkl}) P_{ijl}) \circ f_{il}.$$

Hence by Lemma 1, we obtain

$$(0.2) \quad P_{ijk} P_{kjl} = f_{ij}(P_{jkl}) P_{ijl}.$$

This cocycle relation permits us to patch the categories of \mathcal{A}_i -modules to get a stack globally defined over Z .

§ 1. Stack

Let us recall the definition of a stack on a topological space X . A prestack \mathcal{C} on X consists of following data:

- (1.1) a category $\mathcal{C}(U)$ for any open subset U of X ,

(1.2) A functor $r_{VU}: \mathcal{E}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(V)$ for open subsets V and U with $V \subset U$.

(1.3) An isomorphism of functors $\theta_{WVU}: r_{WV} \circ r_{VU} \rightarrow r_{WU}$ for open subsets $W \subset V \subset U$.

They are assumed to satisfy the following axioms.

(PS1) $r_{UU} = id$.

(PS2) $\theta_{UUU} = id$.

(PS3) For open subsets $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset U_3 \subset U_4$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} r_{U_1 U_2} \circ r_{U_2 U_3} \circ r_{U_3 U_4} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{U_2 U_3 U_4}} & r_{U_1 U_2} \circ r_{U_2 U_4} \\ \downarrow \theta_{U_1 U_2 U_3} & & \downarrow \theta_{U_1 U_2 U_4} \\ r_{U_1 U_3} \circ r_{U_3 U_4} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{U_1 U_3 U_4}} & r_{U_1 U_4} \end{array}$$

commutes.

A prestack \mathcal{E} is called a stack if it satisfies furthermore the following axioms.

(S1) For any open subset U and $A, B \in Ob(\mathcal{E}(U))$, the presheaf on U

$$\mathcal{H}om(A, B): U \supset V \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}(V)}(r_{VU}(A), r_{VU}(B))$$

is a sheaf.

(S2) Let $\{U_i\}$ be an open covering of an open set U , $A_i \in Ob(\mathcal{E}(U_i))$ and let $\varphi_{ij}: r_{U_i U_j}(A_j) \rightarrow r_{U_i U_i}(A_i)$ be an isomorphism. Assume the commutativity of the following diagram for any i, j, k :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} r_{U_{i,j,k} U_j k} r_{U_j k U_k} A_k & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{jk}} & r_{U_{i,j,k} U_j k} r_{U_j k U_j} A_j & \xrightarrow{\theta_{U_{i,j,k} U_j k U_i}} & r_{U_{i,j,k} U_j} A_j \\ \downarrow \theta_{U_{i,j,k} U_j k U_k} & & & & \uparrow \theta_{U_{i,j,k} U_j k U_i} \\ r_{U_{i,j,k} U_k} A_k & & & & r_{U_{i,j,k} U_i} r_{U_i U_j} A_j \\ \uparrow \theta_{U_{i,j,k} U_j k U_k} & & & & \downarrow \varphi_{ij} \\ r_{U_{i,j,k} U_i k} r_{U_i k U_k} A_k & & & & r_{U_{i,j,k} U_i} r_{U_i U_i} A_i \\ \downarrow \varphi_{ik} & & & & \downarrow \theta_{U_{i,j,k} U_i U_i} \\ r_{U_{i,j,k} U_i k} r_{U_i k U_i} A_i & \xrightarrow{\theta_{U_{i,j,k} U_i k U_i}} & & & r_{U_{i,j,k} U_i} A_i. \end{array}$$

Then there exist an object A of $\mathcal{E}(U)$ and a family of isomorphisms $\phi_i: r_{U_i U}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} A_i$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
r_{U_i, U_j} r_{U_j, U} A & \xrightarrow{\theta_{U_i, U_j, U}} & r_{U_i, U} A & \xleftarrow[\sim]{\theta_{U_i, U, U}} & r_{U_j, U_i} r_{U_i, U} A \\
\downarrow \phi_j & & & & \downarrow \phi_i \\
r_{U_i, U_j} A_j & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} & & & r_{U_j, U_i} A_i
\end{array}$$

commutes.

For an open subset U of X , we can define the restriction $\mathcal{E}|_U$ to U , which is a stack on U .

For two stacks $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ on X , we can define the notion of functors from \mathcal{E}_1 to \mathcal{E}_2 and for two functors f, g from \mathcal{E}_1 to \mathcal{E}_2 , we can define the notion of morphisms from f to g . We call a functor $f: \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ an equivalence if there exists a functor $g: \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$ such that $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ are isomorphic to the identity respectively.

§ 2. Patching of Stacks

Let $\{U_i\}$ be an open covering of X and \mathcal{E}_i a stack on U_i . Let $\varphi_{ij}: \mathcal{E}_i|_{U_{ij}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_j|_{U_{ij}}$ be an equivalence of stacks. Let $\psi_{ijk}: \varphi_{ij} \circ \varphi_{jk} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_{ik}$ be an isomorphism of functors from $\mathcal{E}_k|_{U_{ijk}}$ to $\mathcal{E}_i|_{U_{ijk}}$. Assume that

For any i, j, k, l the diagram

$$(PC) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\varphi_{ij} \circ \varphi_{jk} \circ \varphi_{kl} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{kli}} & \varphi_{ij} \circ \varphi_{jl} \\
\downarrow \psi_{ijk} & & \downarrow \psi_{ijl} \\
\varphi_{ik} \circ \varphi_{kl} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{kli}} & \varphi_{il}
\end{array}$$

commutes.

Then there exists a stack \mathcal{E} and an equivalence $\mathcal{E}|_{U_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_i$ satisfying the plausible compatibility conditions. Such a \mathcal{E} is unique up to equivalence.

§ 3. Patching of Stacks of Modules

In this paper, a ring means a (not necessarily commutative) ring with 1. Let $\{U_i\}$ be an open covering of X and let \mathcal{A}_i be a sheaf of rings on U_i . Assume that there is given a ring isomorphism $f_{ij}: \mathcal{A}_j|_{U_{ij}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i|_{U_{ij}}$, and $a_{ijk} \in \Gamma(U_{ijk}; \mathcal{A}_i^\times)$ such that

$$(C1) \quad f_{ij} \circ f_{jk} = Ad(a_{ijk})f_{ik} \quad \text{in } \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_k|_{U_{ijk}}, \mathcal{A}_i|_{U_{ijk}})$$

and

$$(C2) \quad a_{ijk} a_{ikl} = f_{ij}(a_{jkl}) a_{ijl} \quad \text{in } \Gamma(U_{ijk}; \mathcal{A}_i^\times).$$

Here \mathcal{A}_i^\times denotes the sheaf of invertible sections of \mathcal{A}_i .

Note that if the \mathcal{A}_i are commutative, then $\{f_{ij}\}$ satisfies the chain conditions and hence we can define the globally defined ring \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A}|_{U_i} \simeq \mathcal{A}_i$. In a non-commutative case, we cannot construct such an \mathcal{A} in general, but we can construct a stack locally isomorphic to the stack of \mathcal{A}_i -modules.

Let $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ be the stack of left \mathcal{A}_i -modules on U_i . In order to patch $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ together, let us apply the result in § 2.

For $M \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_j)$, let $\varphi_{ij}(M)$ be the \mathcal{A}_i -module with a sheaf isomorphism $\alpha_{ij}: M \rightarrow \varphi_{ij}(M)$ such that

$$a\alpha_{ij}(u) = \alpha_{ij}(f_{ji}(a)u) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{A}_i \text{ and } u \in M.$$

This defines the functor $\varphi_{ij}: \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_j)|_{U_{ij}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_i)|_{U_{ij}}$.

Let us define an isomorphism of functors

$$\psi_{ijk}: \varphi_{ij} \circ \varphi_{jk} \rightarrow \varphi_{ik}$$

as follows. For $M \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_k)|_{U_{ijk}}$, we define

$$\psi_{ijk}(M): \varphi_{ij} \circ \varphi_{jk}(M) \rightarrow \varphi_{ik}(M)$$

by $\alpha_{ij}\alpha_{jk}(u) \mapsto \alpha_{ik}(a_{kji}^{-1}u)$ for $u \in M$. Let us check that $\psi_{ijk}(M)$ is \mathcal{A}_i -linear. For $a \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and $u \in M$, we have

$$a\alpha_{ij}\alpha_{jk}(u) = \alpha_{ij}(f_{ji}(a)\alpha_{jk}(u)) = \alpha_{ij}\alpha_{jk}(f_{kj}f_{ji}(a)u) = \alpha_{ij}\alpha_{jk}(\alpha_{kji}f_{ki}(a)a_{kji}^{-1}u).$$

Hence we obtain

$$\psi_{ijk}(M)(a\alpha_{ij}\alpha_{jk}(u)) = \alpha_{ik}(f_{ki}(a)a_{kji}^{-1}u) = a\alpha_{ik}(a_{kji}^{-1}u) = a\psi_{ijk}(M)(\alpha_{ij}\alpha_{jk}(u)).$$

Thus, $\psi_{ijk}(M)$ is \mathcal{A}_i -linear and hence ψ_{ijk} is a well-defined morphism of functors.

Next, we shall check the chain condition (PC). The composition $\psi_{ikl} \circ \psi_{ijk}$ is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{ikl}\psi_{ijk}(\alpha_{ij}\alpha_{jk}\alpha_{kl}(u)) &= \psi_{ikl}\alpha_{ik}(\alpha_{kji}^{-1}\alpha_{kl}(u)) = \psi_{ikl}\alpha_{ik}\alpha_{kl}(f_{ik}(a_{kji}^{-1})u) \\ &= \alpha_{il}(a_{lki}^{-1}f_{lk}(a_{kji}^{-1})u). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{ijl}\psi_{jkl}(\alpha_{ij}\alpha_{jk}\alpha_{kl}(u)) &= \psi_{ijl}\alpha_{ij}(\psi_{jkl}(\alpha_{jk}\alpha_{kl}(u))) = \psi_{ijl}\alpha_{ij}\alpha_{jl}(a_{lkj}^{-1}u) \\ &= \alpha_{il}(a_{lji}^{-1}a_{lkj}^{-1}u). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\psi_{ikl} \circ \psi_{ijk} = \psi_{ijl} \circ \psi_{jkl}$ follows from (C2).

By the arguments in § 2, we can patch $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ together and we obtain a globally defined stack.

Now, we can apply this result to the situation in § 1, and we obtain

Theorem 2. *For any contact manifold Z , we can define canonically a stack $\text{Mod}(Z)$ on Z , which is locally equivalent to the stack of \mathcal{E}_X -modules.*

We call an object L of $\text{Mod}(Z)$ invertible if it is locally isomorphic to \mathcal{A}_1 . If there is an invertible object L , then $\mathcal{A} = \text{End}(L)$ is a sheaf of rings locally isomorphic to the sheaf of micro-differential operators and $\text{Mod}(Z)$ is equivalent to $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$. Hence the existence of a globally defined ring of micro-differential operators is equivalent to the existence of an invertible object.

§ 4. Sheaf of Microfunctions

Let Z be a contact manifold and let $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real analytic submanifold such that Z is a complexification of $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let \bar{Z} be the complex conjugate of Z . By shrinking Z if necessary, we may assume that there is an isomorphism of complex manifolds $\bar{Z} \rightarrow Z$ that is set-theoretically the identity on $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$. Assume that $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ has a complex conjugation and $\sqrt{-1}\omega$ is invariant by the complex conjugation. Let Λ_x be the set of oriented Lagrangian vector subspaces in $T_x(Z_{\mathbb{R}})$. Then $\Lambda = \cup \Lambda_x$ is a fiber bundle over $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\pi: \Lambda \rightarrow Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the projection.

Since $\pi_1(\Lambda_x) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, there is a canonical double covering $p: \tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow \Lambda \times_{Z_{\mathbb{R}}} \Lambda$ over $\Lambda \times_{Z_{\mathbb{R}}} \Lambda$ with a canonical map $i: \Lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}$ such that $p \circ i$ is the diagonal embedding.

Let p_1 and p_2 be the first and the second projection from $\Lambda \times_{Z_{\mathbb{R}}} \Lambda$ onto Λ . Let σ be the covering automorphism of $p: \tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow \Lambda \times_{Z_{\mathbb{R}}} \Lambda$ and let L be the subsheaf of $p_* \mathbf{C}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ consisting of sections s such that $\sigma^* s = -s$. Then L is locally isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}_{\Lambda \times_{Z_{\mathbb{R}}} \Lambda}$ and $i^{-1}L$ is canonically isomorphic to \mathbf{C}_{Λ} . Let \mathcal{E} be the stack on $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by: for any open subset U of $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\mathcal{E}(U) = \{(F, \varphi); F \text{ is a sheaf on } \pi^{-1}(U) \text{ and } \varphi \text{ is an automorphism } p_2^{-1}F \otimes L \simeq p_1^{-1}F \text{ such that } i^{-1}\varphi: F \rightarrow F \text{ is equal to the identity}\}$.

Then \mathcal{E} is a stack locally equivalent to the stack of sheaves on $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$.

We can define the stack $\mathcal{E} \otimes \text{Mod}(Z)$ over $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ in an obvious way. Then for $M \in \text{Mod}(Z)$ and $F \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \text{Mod}(Z)$, $\mathcal{H}om(M, F)$ belongs to \mathcal{E} .

Now, we have

Proposition 3. *We can define canonically an object $\mathcal{E}_{Z_{\mathbb{R}}}$ of $\mathcal{E} \otimes \text{Mod}(Z)$, which is locally isomorphic to the sheaf of microfunctions.*

§ 5. Regular Holonomic Systems

Since the notion of regular holonomic \mathcal{E} -modules is invariant by the quantized contact transformations, we can define the notion of regular holonomic systems for objects in $\text{Mod}(Z)$. The subcategory $\text{Reg}(Z)$ of regular holonomic

systems in $\text{Mod}(Z)$ forms a full abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}(Z)$.

Let Λ be a Lagrangian submanifold of Z . Then $(\Omega_{\Lambda}^{\dim \Lambda})^{\otimes 1/2}$ defines the stack \mathcal{E}_{Λ} of twisted sheaves (cf. e.g. [K1]). The stack \mathcal{E}_{Λ} is locally isomorphic to the stack of sheaves on Λ and it contains $(\Omega_{\Lambda}^{\dim \Lambda})^{\otimes 1/2}$ as an object. Then we have the following proposition, which is a translation of Theorem (10.3) [K2]

Proposition 4. *The category of regular holonomic systems with support in Λ is equivalent to the category of locally constant objects in \mathcal{E}_{Λ} .*

Here a locally constant object L in \mathcal{E}_{Λ} is an object in \mathcal{E}_{Λ} locally isomorphic to a constant sheaf of finite rank.

§ 6. Discussion

We know by the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, the category of perverse sheaves is equivalent to the category of regular holonomic D_X -modules. We can ask what is the stack of “perverse sheaves on Z ”, which is equivalent to the stack $\text{Reg}(Z)$ of regular holonomic systems on Z .

Another question is : we defined $\text{Mod}(Z)$ for a contact manifold Z . Is there an analogue of $\text{Mod}(Z)$ on any Poisson manifold Z ?

References

- [G] Giraud, J., *Cohomologie non abélienne*, Springer, 1971.
- [SKK] Sato, M., Kawai T. and Kashiwara, M., Microfunctions and Pseudo-differential Equations, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer, **287** (1973), 265-523.
- [K1] Kashiwara, M., Representation theory and D -modules on flag variety, *Astérisque*, **173-174** (1989), 55-110.
- [K2] ———, Introduction to Microlocal Analysis, *Enseign. Math.*, **32** (1986), 227-259.
- [SGA1] Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1960/61 SGA1, Revêtements Etals et Group Fondamental, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer, **224** (1971).

