



---

# Abelian varieties with many endomorphisms and their absolutely simple factors

Xavier Guitart

---

**Abstract.** We characterize the abelian varieties arising as absolutely simple factors of  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ -type varieties over a number field  $k$ . In order to obtain this result, we study a wider class of abelian varieties: the  $k$ -varieties  $A/k$  satisfying that  $\mathrm{End}_k^0(A)$  is a maximal subfield of  $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$ . We call them *Ribet–Pyle varieties* over  $k$ . We see that every Ribet–Pyle variety over  $k$  is isogenous over  $\bar{k}$  to a power of an abelian  $k$ -variety and, conversely, that every abelian  $k$ -variety occurs as the absolutely simple factor of some Ribet–Pyle variety over  $k$ . We deduce from this correspondence a precise description of the absolutely simple factors of the varieties over  $k$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ -type.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $k$  be a number field. An abelian variety  $A$  over  $k$  is said to be of  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ -type if its algebra of  $k$ -endomorphisms  $\mathrm{End}_k^0(A) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{End}_k(A)$  is a number field of degree equal to the dimension of  $A$ . The aim of this note is to characterize the abelian varieties over  $\bar{k}$  that arise as absolutely simple factors of  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ -type varieties over  $k$ .

The interest in abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ -type arose in connection with the Shimura–Taniyama conjecture on the modularity of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and its generalization to higher dimensional modular abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . To be more precise, to each  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ -type is attached a compatible system of  $\lambda$ -adic representations  $\rho_{A,\lambda}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(E_{\lambda})$ , where  $E = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0(A)$  and the  $\lambda$ 's are primes of  $E$ . As a consequence of Serre's conjecture on Galois representations these  $\rho_{A,\lambda}$  are modular; that is, there exists a newform  $f \in S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$  such that  $\rho_{A,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{f,\lambda}$  for all primes  $\lambda$  of  $E$ , where  $\rho_{f,\lambda}$  is the  $\lambda$ -adic representation attached to  $f$  (see [4] for the details).

The study of the  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -simple factors of  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ -type varieties over  $\mathbb{Q}$  was initiated by K. Ribet in [4], in which the one-dimensional factors were characterized: they are the elliptic curves  $C/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  that are isogenous to all their Galois conjugates, also known

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification* (2010): 11G10, 14K15.

*Keywords:* Abelian varieties of  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ -type,  $k$ -varieties, building blocks.

as elliptic  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves. This result was completed by Ribet’s student E. Pyle in her PhD thesis [3], where she characterized the higher dimensional  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -simple factors as a certain type of abelian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -varieties called building blocks. More concretely, an abelian variety  $B/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is an *abelian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -variety* if it is  $\text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B)$ -equivariantly isogenous to all of its Galois conjugates; this means that for each  $\sigma \in G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  there exists an isogeny  $\mu_{\sigma} : {}^{\sigma}B \rightarrow B$  such that  $\varphi \circ \mu_{\sigma} = \mu_{\sigma} \circ {}^{\sigma}\varphi$  for all  $\varphi \in \text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B)$ . A *building block* is an abelian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -variety  $B$  whose endomorphism algebra is a central division algebra over a totally real field  $F$ , with Schur index  $t \leq 2$  and reduced degree  $t[F : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim B$ . The following statement is Proposition 1.3 and Proposition 4.5 of [3].

**Theorem 1.1** (Ribet–Pyle). *Let  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  be an abelian variety of  $\text{GL}_2$ -type such that  $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  does not have complex multiplication. Then  $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  decomposes up to  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -isogeny as  $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim B^n$  for some building block  $B/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Conversely, if  $B/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a building block then there exists a  $\text{GL}_2$ -type variety  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim B^n$  for some  $n$ .*

Observe that this result establishes a correspondence between abelian varieties of  $\text{GL}_2$ -type over  $\mathbb{Q}$  without CM and building blocks. In the last chapter of Pyle’s thesis, a series of questions were posed about whether a similar correspondence holds for  $\text{GL}_2$ -type varieties over other fields  $k$ . The goal of this note is to establish such a correspondence when  $k$  is a number field. In this case, the analogue of a building block is an abelian  $k$ -variety (that is, a variety  $B/\bar{k}$  equivariantly isogenous to  ${}^{\sigma}B$  for all  $\sigma \in G_k$ ) whose endomorphism algebra is a central division algebra over a field  $F$  with Schur index  $t \leq 2$  and  $t[F : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim B$ . We call these varieties *building  $k$ -blocks*. We prove in Section 3 that every  $\text{GL}_2$ -type variety  $A/k$  such that  $A_{\bar{k}}$  does not have CM is  $\bar{k}$ -isogenous to the power of a building  $k$ -block. Conversely, every building  $k$ -block arises as the  $\bar{k}$ -simple factor of some variety over  $k$  of  $\text{GL}_2$ -type. In other words, we construct a correspondence

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\{A/k \text{ of } \text{GL}_2\text{-type without CM}\}}{k\text{-isogeny}} \longleftrightarrow \frac{\{\text{building } k\text{-blocks } B/\bar{k}\}}{\bar{k}\text{-isogeny}}.$$

This can be seen as a natural generalization of the results of Ribet and Pyle to a wider class of abelian varieties. Moreover, it is worth noting that varieties over  $k$  of  $\text{GL}_2$ -type play a similar role as their counterparts over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to modularity: they are conjectured to be modular, at least when  $k$  is totally real, in a similar sense as they are known to be modular for  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ . Indeed, if  $A/k$  is of  $\text{GL}_2$ -type and  $k$  is a totally real number field, a generalization of the Shimura–Taniyama conjecture predicts the existence of a Hilbert modular form  $f$  such that  $\rho_{A,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{f,\lambda}$  for all primes  $\lambda$  of  $E = \text{End}_k^0(A)$ . See Conjecture 2.4 in [1] for a precise statement.

Observe that in correspondence (1.1) the objects in the right hand side are  $k$ -varieties whose endomorphism algebras satisfy certain conditions. Instead of proving (1.1) directly, what we do is to construct as a previous step a more general correspondence, in which the right hand side is enlarged to all abelian  $k$ -varieties. As we will see, the varieties that correspond to those in the left hand side are varieties  $A/k$  characterized by the fact that  $A_{\bar{k}}$  is a  $k$ -variety and  $\text{End}_k^0(A)$  is a

maximal subfield of  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$ . We call the varieties satisfying these properties *Ribet–Pyle varieties*, because they arise naturally in this generalization of the results of Ribet and Pyle. Section 2 is devoted to the study of Ribet–Pyle varieties and their absolutely simple factors, and we obtain the following main result:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $k$  be a number field and let  $A/k$  be a Ribet–Pyle variety. Then  $A_{\bar{k}}$  decomposes up to  $\bar{k}$ -isogeny as  $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^n$  for some abelian  $k$ -variety  $B/\bar{k}$ . Conversely, if  $B/\bar{k}$  is a  $k$ -variety then there exists a Ribet–Pyle variety  $A/k$  such that  $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^n$  for some  $n$ .*

This result gives some insight into the nature of the correspondences of Theorem 1.1 and its generalization (1.1). Indeed, what we do in Section 3 is to prove that varieties over  $k$  of  $\text{GL}_2$ -type without CM are Ribet–Pyle varieties, and then we obtain (1.1) by applying Theorem 1.2 to  $\text{GL}_2$ -type varieties.

**Acknowledgements.** I am grateful to my advisor, Professor Jordi Quer, for his help and guidance throughout this work. I would also like to thank Francesc Fité for carefully reading a previous version of this manuscript.

## 2. Ribet–Pyle varieties

Let  $k$  be a number field. In this section we establish and prove the correspondence between abelian  $k$ -varieties and Ribet–Pyle varieties of Theorem 1.2. We begin by giving the relevant definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** *An abelian variety  $B/\bar{k}$  is an abelian  $k$ -variety if for each  $\sigma \in G_k$  there exists an isogeny  $\mu_\sigma : \sigma B \rightarrow B$  compatible with the endomorphisms of  $B$ ; i.e., such that for all  $\varphi \in \text{End}_{\bar{k}}(B)$  the following diagram is commutative:*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \sigma B & \xrightarrow{\mu_\sigma} & B \\ \sigma \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ \sigma B & \xrightarrow{\mu_\sigma} & B. \end{array}$$

**Definition 2.2.** *An abelian variety  $A$  defined over  $k$  is a Ribet–Pyle variety if  $A_{\bar{k}}$  is an abelian  $k$ -variety and  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$  is a maximal subfield of  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$ .*

**Remark 2.3.** We remark that not all abelian varieties  $A$  defined over  $k$  satisfy that  $A_{\bar{k}}$  is a  $k$ -variety. Indeed, although in this case the identity is an obvious isogeny between  $\sigma A$  and  $A$ , it is not necessarily compatible with  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}(A)$  in general.

One of the directions of the correspondence that we aim to establish follows almost immediately from the definitions.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $A/k$  be a Ribet–Pyle variety. Then it decomposes up to  $\bar{k}$ -isogeny as  $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^n$ , for some simple abelian  $k$ -variety  $B$  and some  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be the center of  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$  and let  $\varphi$  be an element of  $F$ . Since  $A_{\bar{k}}$  is a  $k$ -variety, for each  $\sigma \in G_k$  we have that

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma\varphi = \mu_{\sigma}^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \mu_{\sigma},$$

for some isogeny  $\mu_{\sigma} : {}^{\sigma}A_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow A_{\bar{k}}$ . Since  $A$  is defined over  $k$  the isogeny  $\mu_{\sigma}$  belongs to  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$ . Then  $\sigma\varphi = \varphi$  because  $\varphi$  belongs to the center of  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$ . This gives the inclusion  $F \subseteq \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$ . By hypothesis  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$  is a field, so  $F$  is a field as well and this implies that  $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^n$  for some simple variety  $B$  and some  $n$ . Next, we show that  $B$  is a  $k$ -variety. By fixing an isogeny  $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^n$  the center of  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(B)$  can be identified with  $F$ , and each compatible isogeny  $\mu_{\sigma} : {}^{\sigma}A_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow A_{\bar{k}}$  gives rise to an isogeny  $\nu_{\sigma} : {}^{\sigma}B \rightarrow B$ . The relation (2.2) implies that  $\psi = \nu_{\sigma} \circ {}^{\sigma}\psi \circ \nu_{\sigma}^{-1}$  for all  $\psi \in Z(\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(B)) \simeq F$ , so that the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(B) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(B) \\ \psi & \longmapsto & \nu_{\sigma} \circ {}^{\sigma}\psi \circ \nu_{\sigma}^{-1} \end{array}$$

is an  $F$ -algebra automorphism. By the Skolem–Noether Theorem it is inner, and there exists an element  $\alpha_{\sigma} \in \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(B)^*$  such that

$$\nu_{\sigma} \circ {}^{\sigma}\psi \circ \nu_{\sigma}^{-1} = \alpha_{\sigma}^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \alpha_{\sigma},$$

for all  $\psi \in \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(B)$ . The isogeny  $\alpha_{\sigma} \circ \nu_{\sigma}$  satisfies the compatibility condition (2.1) and we see that  $B$  is a  $k$ -variety. □

The following statement gives the other direction of the correspondence between  $k$ -varieties and Ribet–Pyle varieties in the number field case.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $k$  be a number field, and let  $B/\bar{k}$  be a simple abelian  $k$ -variety. Then there exists a Ribet–Pyle variety  $A/k$  such that  $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^n$  for some  $n$ .*

Before giving the proof of Theorem 2.5 we shall need some preliminary results.

**Cohomology classes and splitting fields**

Let  $k$  be a number field and let  $B/\bar{k}$  be a simple abelian  $k$ -variety. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be its endomorphism algebra and let  $F$  be the center of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Since  $B$  has a model over a finite extension of  $k$ , we can choose for each  $\sigma \in G_k$  a compatible isogeny  $\mu_{\sigma} : {}^{\sigma}B \rightarrow B$  in such a way that the set  $\{\mu_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in G_k}$  is locally constant; more precisely, such that  $\mu_{\sigma} = \mu_{\tau}$  if  ${}^{\sigma}B = {}^{\tau}B$ . Then we can define a map  $c_B : G_k \times G_k \rightarrow F^*$  by means of  $c_B(\sigma, \tau) = \mu_{\sigma} \circ {}^{\sigma}\mu_{\tau} \circ \mu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}$ . It is easy to check that  $c_B$  is a continuous 2-cocycle of  $G_k$  with values in  $F^*$  (considering the trivial action of  $G_k$  in  $F^*$ ). Its cohomology class  $[c_B] \in H^2(G_k, F^*)$  is an invariant of the isogeny class of  $B$  and it is independent of the compatible isogenies used to define it.

The inclusion of  $G_k$ -modules with trivial action  $F^* \hookrightarrow \overline{F^*}$  induces a homomorphism between the cohomology groups  $H^2(G_k, F^*) \rightarrow H^2(G_k, \overline{F^*})$ . A theorem of Tate implies that  $H^2(G_k, \overline{F^*}) = \{1\}$  (see Theorem 6.3 of [4]). Therefore, the

image of  $[c_B]$  in  $H^2(G_k, \overline{F}^*)$  is trivial, which means that there exist continuous maps  $\beta : G_k \rightarrow \overline{F}^*$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad c_B(\sigma, \tau) = \beta(\sigma)\beta(\tau)\beta(\sigma\tau)^{-1}.$$

We say that a map  $\beta$  satisfying (2.3) is a *splitting map* for the cocycle  $c_B$ . If  $\chi : G_k \rightarrow \overline{F}^*$  is a character then  $\beta' = \beta\chi$  is another splitting map for  $c_B$ . In fact, as we vary  $\chi$  through all the characters from  $G_k$  to  $\overline{F}^*$  we obtain all the splitting maps for  $c_B$ . For a splitting map  $\beta$ , we will denote by  $E_\beta$  the field  $F(\{\beta(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in G_k}) \subseteq \overline{F}$ . The extension  $E_\beta/F$  is finite because  $\beta$  is continuous.

Let  $m$  be the order of  $[c_B]$  in  $H^2(G_k, F^*)$ , and let  $d$  be a continuous map  $d : G_k \rightarrow F^*$  expressing  $c_B^m$  as a coboundary:

$$(2.4) \quad c_B(\sigma, \tau)^m = d(\sigma)d(\tau)d(\sigma\tau)^{-1}.$$

We define a map

$$\varepsilon_\beta : \begin{array}{ccc} G_k & \longrightarrow & \overline{F}^* \\ \sigma & \longmapsto & \beta(\sigma)^m/d(\sigma). \end{array}$$

By (2.3) and (2.4) we see that  $\varepsilon_\beta : G_k \rightarrow \overline{F}^*$  is a continuous character.

**Lemma 2.6.** *For each nonnegative integer  $n$  there exists a splitting map  $\beta$  such that  $F(\zeta_n) \subseteq E_\beta$ , where  $\zeta_n$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity in  $\overline{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\beta'$  be a splitting map for  $c_B$ , and let  $r$  be the order of  $\varepsilon_{\beta'}$ . Let  $e = \gcd(n, r)$  and let  $\chi : G_k \rightarrow \overline{F}^*$  be a character of order  $mn/e$ , where  $m$  is the order of  $[c_B]$  in  $H^2(G_k, F^*)$ . Then the character  $\chi^m \varepsilon_{\beta'}$  is the character that corresponds to the splitting map  $\beta = \chi\beta'$  and its order is  $nr/e$ , which is a multiple of  $n$ . Therefore  $E_\beta$  contains a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity  $\zeta_n$ . □

### Cyclic splitting fields of simple algebras

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central simple algebra over a number field  $F$ . A well-known result of central simple algebras over number fields guarantees the existence of fields  $L$  cyclic over  $F$  that split  $\mathcal{A}$  (i.e. with  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_F L \simeq M_n(L)$  for some  $n$ ). In order to prove Theorem 2.5 we use a similar result, but with the extension  $L$  being cyclic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and such that  $LF$  splits  $\mathcal{A}$ . Although this is probably also well-known, for lack of reference we include a proof based on the Grunwald–Wang Theorem.

**Theorem 2.7** (Grunwald–Wang Theorem). *Let  $M$  be a number field, and let  $\{(v_1, n_1), \dots, (v_r, n_r)\}$  be a finite set of pairs, where each  $v_i$  is a place of  $M$  and each  $n_i$  is a positive integer such that  $n_i \leq 2$  if  $v_i$  is a real place, and  $n_i = 1$  if  $v_i$  is a complex place. Let  $m$  be the least common multiple of the  $n_i$ 's, and let  $n$  be a positive integer divisible by  $m$ . Then there exists a cyclic extension  $L/M$  of degree  $n$  such that for each  $i$  the degree  $[L_{v_i} : M_{v_i}]$  is divisible by  $n_i$ .*

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $F$  be a number field and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a central division algebra over  $F$ . There exists a cyclic extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $LF$  is a splitting field for  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F'$  be the Galois closure of  $F$ . Let  $n = [F' : \mathbb{Q}]$  and let  $t$  be the Schur index of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}$  be the set of primes of  $F$  where  $\mathcal{D}$  ramifies, and let  $\{p_1, \dots, p_l\}$  be the set of primes of  $\mathbb{Q}$  below  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}$ . The Grunwald–Wang Theorem, when applied to the primes  $p_i$  with  $n_i = tn$ , and to the infinite place of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $n_\infty = 2$ , guarantees the existence of a cyclic extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  of degree  $2tn$  such that  $[L_p : \mathbb{Q}_p] = tn$  for all  $p$  belonging to  $\{p_1, \dots, p_l\}$  and  $L_v = \mathbb{C}$  for all archimedean places  $v$  of  $L$ . Let  $K = LF$ .

If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime of  $F$  dividing  $p$ , and  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a prime of  $K$  dividing  $\mathfrak{p}$ , the fields  $L_p$  and  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  can be seen as subfields of  $K_{\mathfrak{P}}$ . Then the degree  $g = [L_p \cap F_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p]$  divides  $n$ , so  $[L_p : L_p \cap F_{\mathfrak{p}}] = t \frac{n}{g} = [F_{\mathfrak{p}} L_p : F_{\mathfrak{p}}]$  and we see that  $t$  divides  $[K_{\mathfrak{P}} : F_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ . Therefore,  $K$  is a totally imaginary extension of  $F$  such that, for every prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F$  ramifying in  $\mathcal{D}$  and for every prime  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $K$  dividing  $\mathfrak{p}$ , the index  $[K_{\mathfrak{P}} : F_{\mathfrak{p}}]$  is a multiple of the Schur index of  $\mathcal{D}$ . This implies that  $K$  is a splitting field for  $\mathcal{D}$  (see Corollary 18.4-b and Corollary 17.10-a in [2]).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *Every central division  $F$ -algebra is split by an extension of the form  $F(\zeta_m)$  for some  $m$ .*

*Proof.* By the previous proposition there exists a cyclic extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $LF$  splits  $\mathcal{D}$ . The field  $L$  is contained in a field of the form  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$  by the Kronecker–Weber Theorem, and then  $F(\zeta_m)$  splits  $\mathcal{D}$ .  $\square$

### Construction of Ribet–Pyle varieties

In this paragraph we construct Ribet–Pyle varieties having a  $k$ -variety  $B$  as simple factor. Recall that  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes  $\text{End}_k^0(B)$ ,  $F$  is the center of  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $t$  denotes the Schur index of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Fix also a locally constant set of isogenies  $\{\mu_\sigma : \sigma B \rightarrow B\}_{\sigma \in G_k}$ , let  $c_B$  be the cocycle constructed with these isogenies and let  $\beta$  be a splitting map for  $c_B$ .

Let  $n$  be the degree  $[E_\beta : F]$ , and fix an injective  $F$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\phi : E_\beta \longrightarrow M_n(F) \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{B}) \simeq \text{End}_k^0(B^n).$$

The elements of  $E_\beta$  act as endomorphisms of  $B^n$  up to isogeny by means of  $\phi$ . Let  $\hat{\mu}_\sigma$  be the diagonal isogeny  $\hat{\mu}_\sigma : \sigma B^n \rightarrow B^n$  consisting in  $\mu_\sigma$  in each factor.

**Proposition 2.10.** *There exists an abelian variety  $X_\beta$  over  $k$  and a  $\bar{k}$ -isogeny  $\kappa : B^n \rightarrow X_\beta$  such that  $\kappa^{-1} \circ \sigma \kappa = \phi(\beta(\sigma))^{-1} \circ \hat{\mu}_\sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in G_k$ . Moreover, the  $k$ -isogeny class of  $X_\beta$  is independent of the chosen injection  $\phi$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\nu_\sigma$  be the isogeny defined as  $\nu_\sigma = \phi(\beta(\sigma))^{-1} \circ \hat{\mu}_\sigma$ . In order to prove the existence of  $X_\beta$ , by Theorem 8.1 of [4], we need to check that

$$\nu_\sigma \circ \sigma \nu_\tau \circ \nu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} = 1.$$

By the compatibility of  $\mu_\sigma$  we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_\sigma \circ^\sigma \nu_\tau \circ \nu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} &= \phi(\beta(\sigma))^{-1} \circ \hat{\mu}_\sigma \circ^\sigma \phi(\beta(\tau))^{-1} \circ^\sigma \hat{\mu}_\tau \circ \hat{\mu}_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} \circ \phi(\beta(\sigma\tau)) \\ &= \phi(\beta(\sigma))^{-1} \circ \phi(\beta(\tau))^{-1} \circ \hat{\mu}_\sigma \circ^\sigma \hat{\mu}_\tau \circ \hat{\mu}_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} \circ \phi(\beta(\sigma\tau)) \\ &= \phi(\beta(\sigma))^{-1} \circ \phi(\beta(\tau))^{-1} \circ c_B(\sigma, \tau) \circ \phi(\beta(\sigma\tau)) \\ &= \phi(\beta(\sigma))^{-1} \circ \beta(\tau)^{-1} \circ \beta(\sigma\tau) \circ c_B(\sigma, \tau) \\ &= \phi(c_B(\sigma, \tau)^{-1}) \circ c_B(\sigma, \tau) = c_B(\sigma, \tau)^{-1} \circ c_B(\sigma, \tau) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are  $F$ -algebra homomorphisms  $E_\beta \rightarrow M_n(F)$ , and let  $X_{\beta,\phi}$  and  $X_{\beta,\psi}$  denote the varieties constructed by the above procedure using  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  respectively to define the action of  $E_\beta$  on  $B^n$ . We aim to see that  $X_{\beta,\phi}$  and  $X_{\beta,\psi}$  are  $k$ -isogenous.

Let  $C$  denote the image of  $\phi$ . The map  $\phi(x) \mapsto \psi(x): C \rightarrow M_n(F)$  is an  $F$ -algebra homomorphism. Since  $C$  is simple and  $M_n(F)$  is central simple over  $F$ , by the Skolem–Noether Theorem there exists an element  $b$  in  $M_n(F)$  such that  $\phi(x) = b\psi(x)b^{-1}$  for all  $x$  in  $E_\beta$ . By the defining property of  $X_{\beta,\phi}$  and  $X_{\beta,\psi}$  there exist  $\bar{k}$ -isogenies  $\kappa: B^n \rightarrow X_{\beta,\phi}$  and  $\lambda: B^n \rightarrow X_{\beta,\psi}$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad \kappa^{-1} \circ^\sigma \kappa = \phi(\beta(\sigma))^{-1} \circ \hat{\mu}_\sigma = b \circ \psi(\beta(\sigma))^{-1} \circ b^{-1} \circ \hat{\mu}_\sigma,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda^{-1} \circ^\sigma \lambda = \psi(\beta(\sigma))^{-1} \circ \hat{\mu}_\sigma.$$

The  $\bar{k}$ -isogeny  $\nu = \kappa \circ b \circ \lambda^{-1}: X_{\beta,\psi} \rightarrow X_{\beta,\phi}$  is in fact defined over  $k$ , since for each  $\sigma$  of  $G_k$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^{-1} \circ^\sigma \nu &= \lambda \circ b^{-1} \circ \kappa^{-1} \circ^\sigma \kappa \circ^\sigma b \circ^\sigma \lambda^{-1} \\ &= \lambda \circ b^{-1} \circ b \circ \psi(\beta(\sigma))^{-1} \circ b^{-1} \circ \hat{\mu}_\sigma \circ^\sigma b \circ^\sigma \lambda^{-1} \\ &= \lambda \circ \psi(\beta(\sigma))^{-1} \circ \hat{\mu}_\sigma \circ^\sigma b^{-1} \circ^\sigma b \circ^\sigma \lambda^{-1} \\ &= \lambda \circ \lambda^{-1} \circ^\sigma \lambda \circ^\sigma \lambda^{-1} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the compatibility of  $\hat{\mu}_\sigma$  with the endomorphisms of  $B^n$  in the third equality, and the expressions (2.5) and (2.6) in the second and fourth equalities respectively. □

**Proposition 2.11.** *The algebra  $\text{End}_k^0(X_\beta)$  is isomorphic to the centralizer of  $E_\beta$  in  $M_n(\mathcal{B})$ .*

*Proof.*  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(X_\beta)$  is isomorphic to  $M_n(\mathcal{B})$  and every endomorphism of  $X_\beta$  up to  $\bar{k}$ -isogeny is of the form  $\kappa \circ \psi \circ \kappa^{-1}$ , for some  $\psi \in \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(B^n)$ . For  $\sigma$  in  $G_k$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\kappa \circ \psi \circ \kappa^{-1}) = \kappa \circ \psi \circ \kappa^{-1} &\iff \sigma \kappa \circ^\sigma \psi \circ^\sigma \kappa^{-1} = \kappa \circ \psi \circ \kappa^{-1} \\ &\iff \kappa^{-1} \circ^\sigma \kappa \circ^\sigma \psi \circ (\kappa^{-1} \circ^\sigma \kappa)^{-1} = \psi \\ &\iff \beta(\sigma) \circ \hat{\mu}_\sigma \circ^\sigma \psi \circ \hat{\mu}_\sigma^{-1} \circ \beta(\sigma)^{-1} = \psi \\ &\iff \beta(\sigma) \circ \psi \circ \beta(\sigma)^{-1} = \psi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the endomorphisms of  $X_\beta$  defined over  $k$  are exactly the ones coming from endomorphisms  $\psi$  that commute with  $\beta(\sigma)$ , for all  $\sigma$  in  $G_k$ . Now the proposition is clear, since the  $\beta(\sigma)$ 's generate  $E_\beta$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.12.** *The algebra  $\text{End}_k^0(X_\beta)$  is isomorphic to  $E_\beta \otimes_F \mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be the centralizer of  $E_\beta$  in  $M_n(\mathcal{B})$ . In view of Proposition 2.11 we have to prove that  $C \simeq E_\beta \otimes_F \mathcal{B}$ . It is clear that  $E_\beta$  is contained in  $C$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{B}$  is contained in  $C$  because the elements of  $E_\beta$  can be seen as  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $F$ , and these matrices commute with  $\mathcal{B}$  (which is identified with the diagonal matrices in  $M_n(\mathcal{B})$ ). Since  $E_\beta$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  commute there exists a subalgebra of  $C$  isomorphic to  $E_\beta \otimes_F \mathcal{B}$ , which has dimension  $nt^2$  over  $F$ . By the Double Centralizer Theorem we know that

$$[C : F][E_\beta : F] = [M_n(\mathcal{B}) : F] = n^2t^2,$$

and from this we obtain that  $[C : F] = nt^2$ , hence  $C$  is isomorphic to  $E_\beta \otimes_F \mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

At this point we have at our disposal all the tools needed to prove Theorem 2.5.

*Proof of Theorem 2.5.* By Corollary 2.9 there exists an integer  $m$  such that  $F(\zeta_m)$  splits  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\beta$  be a splitting map for  $c_B$  with  $E_\beta$  containing  $F(\zeta_m)$ ; the existence of such a  $\beta$  is guaranteed by Lemma 2.6. Consider the variety  $X_\beta$  defined as in Proposition 2.10. By Corollary 2.12 we have that  $\text{End}_k^0(X_\beta) \simeq E_\beta \otimes_F \mathcal{B}$ , and this latter algebra is in turn isomorphic to  $M_t(E_\beta)$  because  $E_\beta$  is a splitting field for  $\mathcal{B}$ . Therefore, there exists an abelian variety  $A_\beta$  defined over  $k$  such that  $X_\beta \sim_k A_\beta^t$  and  $\text{End}_k^0(A_\beta) \simeq E_\beta$ . Clearly  $A_\beta$  is  $\bar{k}$ -isogenous to  $B^{n/t}$ , where  $n = [E_\beta : F]$ , and we claim that it is a Ribet–Pyle variety. First of all, it is easily seen that the power of a  $k$ -variety is also a  $k$ -variety. This implies that  $(A_\beta)_{\bar{k}}$  is a  $k$ -variety. Moreover, we have that  $[\text{End}_k^0(A_\beta) : F] = [E_\beta : F] = n$ , and the dimension of the ambient algebra is  $[\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A_\beta) : F] = (\frac{n}{t})^2[\mathcal{B} : F] = n^2$ . This implies (cf. Proposition 13.1 in [2]) that  $\text{End}_k^0(A)$  is a maximal subfield of  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let  $B$  be a  $k$ -variety and let  $A/k$  be a Ribet–Pyle variety having  $B$  as  $\bar{k}$ -simple factor. Then  $A$  is  $k$ -isogenous to the variety  $A_\beta$  obtained by applying the above procedure to some cocycle  $c_B$  attached to  $B$  and some splitting map  $\beta$  for  $c_B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(B)$ , let  $F$  be the center of  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $t$  be the Schur index of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $E$  be the maximal subfield  $\text{End}_k^0(A)$  of  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$ , and fix an embedding of  $E$  into  $\bar{F}$ . Let  $\kappa$  be an isogeny  $\kappa : B^n \rightarrow A_{\bar{k}}$ . We have the relation  $[E : F] = nt$ . Let  $\{\mu_\sigma : \sigma B \rightarrow B\}_{\sigma \in G_k}$  be a locally constant set of compatible isogenies and denote by  $\hat{\mu}_\sigma : \sigma B^n \rightarrow B^n$  the diagonal of  $\mu_\sigma$ . Define  $\beta(\sigma) = \kappa \circ \hat{\mu}_\sigma \circ \sigma \kappa^{-1}$ , which is a compatible isogeny  $\beta(\sigma) : A_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow A_{\bar{k}}$ . The fact that  $\beta(\sigma)$  is compatible implies that

$$(2.7) \quad \beta(\sigma) \circ \varphi = \sigma \varphi \circ \beta(\sigma)$$

for all  $\sigma$  in  $G_k$  and for all  $\varphi \in \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$ . In particular, when applied to elements  $\varphi$  of  $E$  this property says that  $\beta(\sigma)$  lies in  $C(E)$ , the centralizer of  $E$ . But  $C(E)$  is



equal to  $E$ , because  $E$  is a maximal subfield. Thus  $\beta(\sigma)$  belongs to  $E$  and it is an isogeny defined over  $k$ . Now we have that

$$\begin{aligned} c_B(\sigma, \tau) &= \mu_\sigma \circ^\sigma \mu_\tau \circ \mu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} = \hat{\mu}_\sigma \circ^\sigma \hat{\mu}_\tau \circ \hat{\mu}_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} \\ &= \beta(\sigma) \circ^\sigma \beta(\tau) \circ \beta(\sigma\tau)^{-1} = \beta(\sigma) \circ \beta(\tau) \circ \beta(\sigma\tau)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and we see that the map  $\sigma \mapsto \beta(\sigma)$  is a splitting map for  $c_B$ . We have already seen the inclusion  $E_\beta \subseteq E$ . From (2.7) it is clear that  $C(E_\beta) \subseteq E$ , and taking centralizers and applying the Double Centralizer Theorem we have that  $E = C(E) \subseteq C(C(E_\beta)) = E_\beta$ . Thus  $E = E_\beta$  and, in particular,  $[E_\beta : F] = nt$ .

Now we define a  $\bar{k}$ -isogeny  $\hat{\kappa}: (B^n)^t \rightarrow A_{\bar{k}}^t$  as the diagonal isogeny associated to  $\kappa$ , and we make  $E_\beta$  act on  $B^{nt}$  by means of  $\hat{\kappa}$ . It is easy to check that  $\hat{\kappa}^{-1} \circ^\sigma \hat{\kappa} = \hat{\kappa}^{-1} \circ \beta(\sigma)^{-1} \circ \hat{\kappa} \circ \hat{\mu}_\sigma$ , so  $A^t$  satisfies the property defining  $X_\beta$ . By the uniqueness property of  $X_\beta$  we have that  $A^t \sim_k X_\beta$ , and so  $A_\beta \sim_k A$ . □

**Remark 2.14.** The hypothesis that  $k$  is a number field has been used only in order to guarantee the existence of splitting maps for  $c_B$ , by means of Tate’s theorem on the triviality of  $H^2(G_k, \bar{F}^\times)$ . Since Tate’s theorem is valid for any global or local field  $k$ , Theorem 1.2 is valid for any global or local field  $k$  as well.

### 3. Varieties over $k$ of $GL_2$ -type and $k$ -varieties

Let  $k$  be a number field. In this section we characterize the absolutely simple factors of the varieties over  $k$  of  $GL_2$ -type, in the case where they do not have complex multiplication.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $A/k$  be an abelian variety of  $GL_2$ -type such that  $A_{\bar{k}}$  does not have complex multiplication. Then  $A$  is a Ribet–Pyle variety.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.5 in [6], we can suppose that  $A_{\bar{k}}$  does not have any simple factor with CM. Let  $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times B_r^{n_r}$  be the decomposition of  $A_{\bar{k}}$  into simple abelian varieties up to isogeny. Since  $E = \text{End}_k^0(A)$  is a field it acts on each factor  $B_i^{n_i}$ , and so it acts on the homology with rational coefficients  $H_1((B_i^{n_i})_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$ , which is a vector space of dimension  $2 \dim B_i^{n_i}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $2 \dim B_i^{n_i}$  is divisible by  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim A$ . But  $\dim A \geq \dim B_i^{n_i}$ , so either  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim B_i^{n_i}$  or  $2[E : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim B_i^{n_i}$ . The latter is not possible, because it would mean that  $B_i^{n_i}$  has CM by  $E$ . Thus  $\dim A = \dim B_i^{n_i}$  and  $A_{\bar{k}}$  has only one simple factor up to isogeny; say  $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^n$ .

Next, we see that  $E$  is a maximal subfield of  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$ . Let  $C$  be the centralizer of  $E$  in  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$ , and let  $\varphi$  be an element in  $C$ . A priori  $\varphi(A_{\bar{k}})$  is isogenous to  $B^r$  for some  $r \leq n$ . Since  $\varphi \in C$ , the field  $E$  acts on  $\varphi(A_{\bar{k}})$ ; as before this implies that  $[E : \mathbb{Q}]$  divides  $2 \dim B^r$ . But  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim A = \dim B^n$ , therefore  $r = n$  or  $r = n/2$ . Again  $r = n/2$  is not possible, because then  $B^r$  would be a factor of  $A_{\bar{k}}$  with CM by  $E$ . Thus  $r = n$  and  $\varphi$  is invertible in  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$ . This implies that  $C$  is a field, and then  $E$  is a maximal subfield of  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(B)$ .

Finally, we see that  $A_{\bar{k}}$  is an abelian  $k$ -variety. For each  $\sigma \in G_k$  the map

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A) \\ \varphi & \longmapsto & \sigma\varphi \end{array}$$

is the identity when restricted to  $E$ . Since  $E$  is a maximal subfield, it contains the center  $F$  of  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$ , so (3.1) is an  $F$ -algebra automorphism. By the Skolem–Noether Theorem there exists an element  $\mu_\sigma$  in  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)^*$  such that  $\sigma\varphi = \mu_\sigma^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \mu_\sigma$ , and we see that  $\mu_\sigma$  is a compatible isogeny in the sense of Definition 2.1.  $\square$

**Definition 3.2.** *A building  $k$ -block is an abelian  $k$ -variety  $B/\bar{k}$  such that  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(B)$  is a central division algebra over a field  $F$ , with Schur index  $t \leq 2$  and reduced degree  $t[F : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim B$ .*

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $k$  be a number field and let  $A/k$  be an abelian variety of  $\text{GL}_2$ -type such that  $A_{\bar{k}}$  does not have CM. Then  $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^n$  for some building  $k$ -block  $B$ . Conversely, if  $B$  is a building  $k$ -block then there exists a variety  $A/k$  of  $\text{GL}_2$ -type such that  $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^n$  for some  $n$ .*

*Proof.* By Propostion 3.1,  $A$  is a Ribet–Pyle variety, and by Proposition 2.4 we have that  $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^n$  for some  $k$ -variety  $B$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(B)$ , let  $F$  be the center of  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $t$  be its Schur index. Then  $E = \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$  is a maximal subfield of  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A) \simeq M_n(\mathcal{B})$ , which has dimension  $n^2t^2$  over  $F$ . Therefore  $[E : F] = nt$ , and multiplying both sides of this equality by  $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$  we see that  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim A = nt[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ . The equality  $t[F : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim B$  follows. Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a division algebra of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -dimension  $t^2[F : \mathbb{Q}]$  that acts on  $H_1(B_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$ , which has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -dimension  $2 \dim B = 2t[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ , we see that necessarily  $t \leq 2$  and  $B$  is a building  $k$ -block.

Conversely, let  $B$  be a building  $k$ -block. In particular it is a  $k$ -variety, and by Theorem 2.5 there exists a Ribet–Pyle variety  $A/k$  such that  $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^n$  for some  $n$ . The field  $E = \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A)$  is a maximal subfield of  $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(A) \simeq M_n(\mathcal{B})$ , which means that  $[E : F] = nt$ . Multiplying both sides of this equality by  $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$  we see that  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = nt[F : \mathbb{Q}] = n \dim B = \dim A$ , and so  $A$  is a variety of  $\text{GL}_2$ -type.  $\square$

In the case  $k = \mathbb{Q}$  the center of the endomorphism algebra of a building  $k$ -block is necessarily totally real, but for arbitrary number fields  $k$  a priori it can be either totally real or CM. That is why in Definition 3.2 the field  $F$  is not required to be totally real. However, if  $k$  admits a real embedding then exactly the same argument of Theorem 1.2 in [3] shows that  $F$  is necessarily totally real. In addition, there are some extra restrictions on the endomorphism algebra.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $k$  be a number field that admits a real embedding. Let  $B$  be a building  $k$ -block, let  $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}_{\bar{k}}^0(B)$  and let  $F = Z(\mathcal{B})$ . Then  $F$  is totally real and  $\mathcal{B}$  is either isomorphic to  $F$  or to a totally indefinite division quaternion algebra over  $F$ .*

*Proof.* We view  $k$  as a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  by means of a real embedding  $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $A/k$  be a  $\text{GL}_2$ -type variety such that  $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^n$ . Let  $E$  be the maximal subfield

$\text{End}_k^0(A)$  of  $\text{End}_k^0(A)$ , and identify  $F$  with  $Z(\text{End}_k^0(A))$ ; under this identification  $F$  is contained in  $E$ . Let  $t$  be the Schur index of  $B$  and let  $m = 2 \dim B/[B : \mathbb{Q}]$ , for which we have that  $mt = 2$ .

The division algebra  $B$  belongs a priori to one of the four types of algebras with a positive involution, according to Albert's classification (see, for instance, Proposition 1 in [5]). However, type III is not possible; indeed, by Proposition 15 in [5], the variety  $B$  would then be isogenous to the square of a CM abelian variety.

To see that type IV is also not possible, suppose that  $F$  is a CM extension of a totally real field  $F_0$ . Let  $\Phi$  denote the complex representation of  $B$  on the space of differential forms  $H^0(B_{\mathbb{C}}, \Omega^1)$ . For every real embedding  $\nu$  of  $F_0$  let  $\chi_\nu, \bar{\chi}_\nu$  be the two complex-conjugate irreducible representations of  $B$  extending  $\nu$ . Let  $r_\nu$  and  $s_\nu$  be the multiplicities of  $\chi_\nu$  and  $\bar{\chi}_\nu$  in  $\Phi$ . For each  $\nu$  we have that  $r_\nu + s_\nu = 2$ ; moreover, the equality  $r_\nu = s_\nu = 1$  is not possible for all  $\nu$  (cf. Propositions 18 and 19 in [5]). This implies that  $\text{Tr}(\Phi)|_F = \sum r_\nu \chi_\nu|_F + s_\nu \bar{\chi}_\nu|_F$  takes non-real values. On the other hand, if we denote by  $\Psi$  the complex representation of  $\text{End}_k^0(A)$  on  $H^0(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \Omega^1)$ , then  $\text{Tr}(\Psi) = n\text{Tr}(\Phi)$ . Since  $A$  is defined over  $k$  we can take a basis of the differentials defined over  $k$ , and with respect to this basis the elements of  $E$  are represented by matrices with coefficients in  $k$ . Since  $F \subseteq E$ , the trace of  $\Psi$  restricted to  $F$  takes values in  $k \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , giving a contradiction with the fact that  $\text{Tr}(\Phi)|_F$  takes non-real values.  $\square$

## References

- [1] DARMON, H.: Rigid local systems, Hilbert modular forms, and Fermat's last theorem. *Duke Math. J.* **102** (2000), no. 3, 413–449.
- [2] PIERCE, R. S.: *Associative algebras*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 88. Studies in the History of Modern Science 9, Springer-Verlag, New York–Berlin, 1982.
- [3] PYLE, E.: Abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with large endomorphism algebras and their simple components over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ . In *Modular curves and abelian varieties*, 189–239. Progress in Math. 224, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.
- [4] RIBET, K. A.: Abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and modular forms. In *Algebra and topology (Taejŏn, 1992)*, 53–79. Korea Adv. Inst. Sci. Tech., Taejŏn, 1992.
- [5] SHIMURA, G.: On analytic families of polarized abelian varieties and automorphic functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **78** (1963), 149–192.
- [6] SHIMURA, G.: Class fields over real quadratic fields and Hecke operators. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **95** (1972), 130–190.

Received July 19, 2010.

XAVIER GUITART: Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada II, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (ETSEIAT), C. Colom 11, 08222 Terrassa, Spain.

E-mail: [xevi.guitart@gmail.com](mailto:xevi.guitart@gmail.com)