



---

# Polynomial values in small subgroups of finite fields

Igor E. Shparlinski

---

**Abstract.** For a large prime  $p$ , and a polynomial  $f$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of  $p$  elements, we obtain a lower bound on the size of the multiplicative subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$  containing  $H \geq 1$  consecutive values  $f(x)$ ,  $x = u + 1, \dots, u + H$ , uniformly over  $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$  and an  $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$ .

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background

For a prime  $p$ , we use  $\mathbb{F}_p$  to denote the finite field of  $p$  elements, which we always assume to be represented by the set  $\{0, \dots, p - 1\}$ .

For a rational function  $r(X) = f(X)/g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p(X)$  with two relatively primes polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$  and a set  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ , we use  $r(\mathcal{S})$  to denote the value set

$$r(\mathcal{S}) = \{r(x) : x \in \mathcal{S}, g(x) \neq 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p.$$

Given two sets  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ , we consider the size of the intersection of  $r(\mathcal{S})$  and  $\mathcal{T}$ , that is,

$$N_r(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = \#(r(\mathcal{S}) \cap \mathcal{T}).$$

A large variety of upper bounds on  $N_r(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$  and its multivariate generalisations, for various sets and  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  (such as intervals, subgroups, zero-sets of algebraic varieties and their Cartesian products) and functions  $r$ , are given in [2], [3], [4], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [12], [16], [20], together with a broad scope of applications.

Here, we are mostly interested in studying  $N_r(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$  for an interval  $\mathcal{I}$  of several consecutive integers and a multiplicative subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ .

We note that in the case when  $\mathcal{G}$  is a group of quadratic residues, this question is essentially the classical question about the distribution of quadratic residues and non-residues in consecutive values of rational functions and polynomials. However here concentrate on the case of subgroups  $\mathcal{G}$  of relatively small order.

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification* (2010): Primary 11D79; Secondary 11T06.

*Keywords:* Polynomial congruences, finite fields.

We also use  $T_r(H)$  to denote the smallest possible  $T$  such that there is an interval  $\mathcal{I} = \{u + 1, \dots, u + H\}$  of  $H$  consecutive integers and a multiplicative subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$  of order  $T$  for which

$$(1.1) \quad r(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$$

and thus  $N_r(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}) = \#r(\mathcal{I})$ .

It is shown in [15] that if  $r(X) = f(X)/g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p(X)$  with two relatively primes polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$  then for any interval  $\mathcal{I} = \{u + 1, \dots, u + H\}$  of  $H$  consecutive integers and a subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$  of order  $T$ , the quantity  $N_r(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$  is “small”.

To formulate the result precisely we recall that the notations  $U = O(V)$ ,  $U \ll V$  and  $V \gg U$  are all equivalent to the inequality  $|U| \leq cV$  with some constant  $c > 0$ . Throughout the paper, the implied constants in these symbols may occasionally depend, where obvious, on degrees (such as  $d$ ) and the number of variables of various polynomials, as well as on the integer parameter  $\nu \geq 1$ , but are absolute otherwise. We also use  $o(1)$  to denote a quantity that tends to zero when one of the indicated parameters (usually  $H$  or  $p$ ) tends to infinity while  $d, \nu$  and other similar parameters are fixed.

Then, by the bound of [15] in the special case where  $r = f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$  is a polynomial of degree  $d \geq 2$ , we have

$$(1.2) \quad N_f(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}) \ll (1 + H^{(d+1)/4} p^{-1/4d}) H^{1/2d} T^{1/2}.$$

Note that we have  $\#r(\mathcal{I}) \gg \mathcal{I}$ . In particular, if (1.1) holds then the bound (1.2) implies that

$$H \ll (1 + H^{(d+1)/4} p^{-1/4d}) H^{1/2d} T^{1/2},$$

from which we derive

$$(1.3) \quad T_f(H) \gg \min\{H^{2-1/d}, H^{-(d-1)(d-2)/2d} p^{1/2d}\}.$$

For a linear fractional function

$$r(X) = a \frac{X + s}{X + t}$$

with  $s \not\equiv t \pmod{p}$ , the bound of Lemma 35 in [3] implies that there is an absolute constant  $c > 0$  such that if for some positive integer  $\nu$  we have

$$(1.4) \quad H \leq p^{c\nu^{-4}},$$

then for the set

$$r(\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ a \frac{x + s}{x + t} : x \in \mathcal{I} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$$

we have

$$\#\{a_1 \dots a_\nu : a_1, \dots, a_\nu \in r(\mathcal{I})\} \geq H^{\nu+o(1)}.$$

Thus, if  $r(\mathcal{I}) \in \mathcal{G}$  then  $\#\mathcal{G} \geq H^{\nu+o(1)}$ . Therefore,

$$(1.5) \quad T_r(H) \geq H^{\nu+o(1)} \quad \text{as } H \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using a result of D’Andrea, Krick and Sombra, Theorem 2 in [14], instead of Lemma 23 in [3], one can improve Lemmas 35 and 38 in [3] and relax (1.4) as

$$H \leq p^{cv^{-3}}.$$

For larger values of  $H$ , by bound (29) in [3], we have

$$N_r(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}) \leq (1 + H^{3/4} p^{-1/4}) T^{1/2} p^{o(1)},$$

as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus

$$T_r(H) \geq \min \{H^2, H^{1/2} p^{1/2}\} p^{o(1)}.$$

### 1.2. Our results

Here we use the methods of [3], based on an application effective Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz, see [14], [18], to obtain a variant of the bound of (1.5) for polynomials and thus to improve (1.3) for small values of  $H$ .

Furthermore, combining some ideas from [15] with a bound on the number on integer points on quadrics (which replaces the bound of Bombieri and Pila [1] in the argument of [15]), we improve (1.2) for quadratic polynomials. In fact, this argument stems from that of Cilleruelo and Garaev [11].

**Acknowledgements.** The author is very grateful to Domingo Gómez–Pérez for discussions and very helpful comments, and to Harald Helfgott for pointing out to [19]. Thanks also go to the referee for a very careful reading of the manuscript and several useful comments.

This work was finished during a very enjoyable research stay of the author at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn.

## 2. Preparations

### 2.1. Effective Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz

We recall that the logarithmic height of a nonzero polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$  is defined as the logarithm of the largest (by absolute value) coefficient of  $P$ .

Our argument uses the following quantitative version version of Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz due to D’Andrea, Krick and Sombra [14], which in turn improves previous results of Krick, Pardo and Sombra (Theorem 1 in [18]). In fact we only need a very special form of Corollary 4.38 in [14].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $P_1, \dots, P_N \in \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$  be  $N \geq 1$  polynomials in  $n$  variables without a common zero in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of degree at most  $D \geq 3$  and of logarithmic height at most  $H$ . Then there is a positive integer  $b$  with*

$$\log b \leq (n + 1)D^n H + C(D, N, n),$$

where  $C(D, N, n)$  is some constant, depending only on  $D$ ,  $N$  and  $n$ , and polynomials  $R_1, \dots, R_N \in \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$  such that

$$P_1 R_1 + \dots + P_N R_N = b.$$

We note that Corollary 4.38 in [14] gives explicit estimates on all other parameters as well (that is, on the heights and degrees of the polynomials  $R_1, \dots, R_N$ ), see also [14].

**2.2. Some facts on algebraic integers**

We also need a bound of Chang, Proposition 2.5 in [5], on the divisor function in algebraic number fields. As usual, for algebraic number field  $\mathbb{K}$  we use  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{K}}$  to denote the ring of integers. As usual, we define the logarithmic height of an algebraic number  $\alpha \neq 0$  as the logarithmic height of its minimal polynomial.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree  $k = [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ . For any nonzero algebraic integer  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{K}}$  of logarithmic height at most  $H \geq 2$ , the number of pairs  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  of algebraic integers  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{K}}$  of logarithmic height at most  $H$  with  $\gamma = \gamma_1\gamma_2$  is at most  $\exp(O(H/\log H))$ , where the implied constant depends on  $k$ .*

Finally, as in [3], we use the following result, this is exactly the statement that is established in the proof of Lemma 2.14 in [5] (see Equation (2.15) in [5]).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $P_1, \dots, P_N, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$  be  $N + 1 \geq 2$  polynomials in  $n$  variables of degree at most  $D$  and of logarithmic height at most  $H \geq 1$ . If the zero-set*

$$P_1(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = \dots = P_N(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Q(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \neq 0$$

*is not empty, then it has a point  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$  in an extension  $\mathbb{K}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree  $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] \leq C_1(D, n)$  such that their minimal polynomials are of logarithmic height at most  $C_2(D, N, n)H$ , where  $C_1(D, n)$  depends only on  $D$  and  $n$ , and  $C_2(D, N, n)$  depends only on  $D, N$  and  $n$ .*

**2.3. Integral points on quadrics**

The following bound on the number of integral points on quadrics is given in Lemma 3 of [17]. We say that a quadratic polynomial  $G(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$  is *affinely equivalent to a parabola*, if there is a linear transformation of the variables which reduces  $G$  to the polynomial  $X^2 - Y$ , that is, if

$$G(a_{11}X + a_{12}Y + b_1, a_{21}X + a_{22}Y + b_2) = X^2 - Y$$

for some coefficients  $a_{ij}, b_j \in \mathbb{C}, i, j = 1, 2$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let*

$$G(X, Y) = AX^2 + BXY + CY^2 + DX + EY + F \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$$

*be an irreducible quadratic polynomial with coefficients of size at most  $H$ . Assume that  $G(X, Y)$  is not affinely equivalent to a parabola and has a nonzero determinant*

$$\Delta = B^2 - 4AC \neq 0.$$

*Then, as  $H \rightarrow \infty$ , the equation  $G(x, y) = 0$  has at most  $H^{o(1)}$  integral solutions  $(x, y) \in [0, H] \times [0, H]$ .*

**2.4. Small values of linear functions**

We need a result about small values of residues modulo  $p$  of several linear functions. Such a result has been derived in [13], Lemma 3.2, from the Dirichlet pigeonhole principle. Here we use a slightly more precise and explicit form of this result which is derived in [15], Lemma 6, from the *Minkowski theorem*.

For an integer  $a$  we use  $\langle a \rangle_p$  to denote the smallest by absolute value residue of  $a$  modulo  $p$ , that is

$$\langle a \rangle_p = \min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |a - kp|.$$

**Lemma 5.** *For any real numbers  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  with*

$$p > V_1, \dots, V_m \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad V_1 \dots V_m > p^{m-1}$$

*and integers  $b_1, \dots, b_m$ , there exists an integer  $v$  with  $\gcd(v, p) = 1$  such that*

$$\langle b_i v \rangle_p \leq V_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

**3. Main results**

**3.1. Arbitrary polynomials**

For a set  $\mathcal{A}$  in an arbitrary semi-group, we use  $\mathcal{A}^{(\nu)}$  to denote the  $\nu$ -fold product set, that is,

$$\mathcal{A}^{(\nu)} = \{a_1 \dots a_\nu : a_1, \dots, a_\nu \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

First we note that in order to get a lower bound on  $T_f(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$  it is enough to give a lower bound on the cardinality of  $f(\mathcal{I})^{(\nu)}$  for any integer  $\nu \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 6.** *For every positive integers  $d$  and  $\nu$  there is a constant  $c(d, \nu) > 0$ , depending only on  $d$  and  $\nu$ , such that for any polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$  of degree  $d$  and interval  $\mathcal{I}$  of*

$$H \leq c(d, \nu) p^{1/(d+1)\nu_0^{d+1}}$$

*consecutive integers, where  $\nu_0 = \max\{3, \nu\}$ , we have*

$$\#f(\mathcal{I})^{(\nu)} \geq H^{\nu+o(1)} \quad \text{as } H \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* Clearly, we can assume that

$$f(X) = X^d + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} a_{d-k} X^k$$

is monic.

It is also clear that we can assume that  $\mathcal{I} = \{1, \dots, H\}$ .

We consider the collection  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \dots, Z_d]$  of polynomials

$$P_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}(Z_1, \dots, Z_d) = \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \left( x_i^d + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} Z_{d-k} x_i^k \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \left( y_i^d + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} Z_{d-k} y_i^k \right),$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_\nu)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_\nu)$  are integral vectors with entries in  $[1, H]$ , and such that

$$P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Note that

$$P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^\nu f(x_i) - \prod_{i=1}^\nu f(y_i) \pmod{p}.$$

Clearly if  $P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$  is identical to zero then, by the uniqueness of polynomial factorisation in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \dots, Z_d]$ , the components of  $\mathbf{y}$  are permutations of those of  $\mathbf{x}$ . So, if  $\mathcal{P}$  does not contain any nonzero polynomial, we obviously obtain

$$\#f(\mathcal{I})^{(\nu)} \geq \frac{1}{\nu!} (\#f(\mathcal{I}))^\nu \gg H^\nu.$$

Hence, we now assume that  $\mathcal{P}$  contains non-zero polynomials.

Note that every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  is of degree at most  $\nu$  and of logarithmic height at most  $\nu \log H + O(1)$ .

We take a family  $\mathcal{P}_0$  containing the largest possible number

$$N \leq (\nu + 1)^d$$

of linearly independent polynomials  $P_1, \dots, P_N \in \mathcal{P}$ , and consider the variety

$$\mathcal{V} : \{(Z_1, \dots, Z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d : P_1(Z_1, \dots, Z_d) = \dots = P_N(Z_1, \dots, Z_d) = 0\}.$$

Assume that  $\mathcal{V} = \emptyset$ . Then by Lemma 1 we see that there are polynomials  $R_1, \dots, R_N \in \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \dots, Z_d]$  and a positive integer  $b$  with

$$(3.1) \quad \log b \leq (d + 1) \nu_0^{d+1} \log H + O(1)$$

and such that

$$(3.2) \quad P_1 R_1 + \dots + P_N R_N = b$$

Substituting

$$(Z_1, \dots, Z_d) = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$$

in (3.2), we see that the left hand side of (3.2) is divisible by  $p$ . Since  $b \geq 1$  we obtain  $p \leq b$ . Taking an appropriately small value of  $c(d, \nu)$  in the condition of the theorem, we see from (3.1) that this is impossible.

Therefore the variety  $\mathcal{V}$  is nonempty. Applying Lemma 3 (with the polynomial  $Q = 1$ ) we see that it has a point  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$  with components of logarithmic height  $O(\log H)$  in an extension  $\mathbb{K}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree  $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] = O(1)$ .

Consider the maps  $\Phi : \mathcal{I}^\nu \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$  given by

$$\Phi : \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_\nu) \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^\nu f(x_j)$$

and  $\Psi : \mathcal{I}^\nu \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  given by

$$\Psi : \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_\nu) \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^\nu \left( x_j^d + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \beta_{d-k} x_j^k \right).$$

Clearly, if  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{y})$  then

$$P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

thus  $P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(Z_1, \dots, Z_d) \in \mathcal{P}$ . Recalling the definitions of the family  $\mathcal{P}_0$  and of  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$ , we see that  $P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d) = 0$ . Hence  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \Psi(\mathbf{y})$ . We now conclude that for every  $\mathbf{x}$  the multiplicity of the value  $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$  in the image set  $\text{Im}\Phi$  of the map  $\Phi$  is at most the multiplicity of the value  $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$  in the image set  $\text{Im}\Psi$  of the map  $\Psi$ . Thus,

$$\#f(\mathcal{I})^{(\nu)} = \#\text{Im}\Phi \geq \#\text{Im}\Psi = \#\mathcal{C}^{(\nu)},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ x^d + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \beta_{d-k} x^k : 1 \leq x \leq H \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{K}.$$

Using Lemma 2 inductively, we see that for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  there are at most  $H^{o(1)}$  representations  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_\nu$  with  $\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus, we now conclude that  $\#\mathcal{C}^{(\nu)} \geq H^{\nu+o(1)}$ , as  $H \rightarrow \infty$ , and derive the result.  $\square$

### 3.2. Quadratic polynomials

For quadratic square-free polynomials  $f$ , using Lemma 4 instead of the bound of Bombieri and Pila [1] in the argument of [15] we immediately obtain the following result.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$  be a square-free quadratic polynomial. For any interval  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $H$  consecutive integers and a subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$  of order  $T$ , we have*

$$N_f(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}) \leq (1 + H^{3/4} p^{-1/8}) T^{1/2} p^{o(1)}, \quad \text{as } H \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* We follow closely the argument of [15]. We can assume that

$$(3.3) \quad H \leq cp^{1/2}$$

for some constant  $c > 0$  as otherwise the desired bound is weaker than the trivial estimate

$$N_f(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}) \leq \min\{H, T\} \leq H^{1/2} T^{1/2}.$$

Making the transformation  $X \mapsto X + u$  we reduce the problem to the case where  $\mathcal{I} = \{1, \dots, H\}$ .

Let  $1 \leq x_1 < \dots < x_k \leq H$  be all  $k = N_f(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$  values of  $x \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $f(x) \in \mathcal{G}$ .

Let  $f(X) = a_0X^2 + a_1X + a_2$ ,  $a_0 \neq 0$ .

Let us consider the quadratic polynomial

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_\lambda(X, Y) &= f(X) - \lambda f(Y) \\ &= a_0X^2 - \lambda a_0Y^2 + a_1X - \lambda a_1Y + a_2(1 - \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

One easily verifies that  $Q_\lambda(X, Y)$  is irreducible for  $\lambda \neq 1$ .

We see that there are only at most  $2k$  pairs  $(x_i, x_j)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ , for which  $f(x_i)/f(x_j) = 1$ . Indeed, if  $x_j$  is fixed, then  $f(x_i)$  is also fixed, and thus  $x_i$  can take at most 2 values.

We now assume that  $k \geq 4$  as otherwise there is nothing to prove. Therefore, there is  $\lambda \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \{1\}$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad f(x) \equiv \lambda f(y) \pmod{p}$$

for at least

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{k^2 - 2k}{T} \geq \frac{k^2}{2T}$$

pairs  $(x, y)$  with  $x, y \in \{1, \dots, H\}$ .

We now apply Lemma 5 with  $m = 4$ ,

$$b_1 = a_0 \quad b_2 = -\lambda a_0, \quad b_3 = a_1, \quad b_4 = -\lambda a_1$$

and

$$V_1 = V_2 = 2p^{3/4}H^{-1/2}, \quad V_3 = V_4 = 2p^{3/4}H^{1/2}.$$

Thus

$$V_1V_2V_3V_4 = 16p^3 > p^3.$$

We also assume that the constant  $c$  in (3.3) is small enough so the condition

$$V_i \leq 2p^{3/4}H^{1/2} < p, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4,$$

is satisfied. Note that

$$(3.7) \quad V_1H^2 = V_2H^2 = V_3H = V_4H = 2p^{3/4}H^{3/2}.$$

Let  $v$  be the corresponding integer.

We now consider the quadratic polynomial  $F(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$  with coefficients in the interval  $[-p/2, p/2]$ , obtained by reducing the coefficients of the polynomial  $vQ_\lambda(X, Y)$  modulo  $p$ . Clearly (3.5) implies

$$(3.8) \quad F(x, y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Furthermore, since  $x, y \in \{1, \dots, H\}$ , we see from (3.7) and the trivial estimate  $|F(0, 0)| \leq p/2$  that

$$|F(x, y)| \leq 8p^{3/4}H^{3/2} + p/2.$$

In turn, together with (3.8) this implies that

$$(3.9) \quad F(x, y) - zp = 0$$

for some integer  $z \ll 1 + H^{3/2}p^{-1/4}$ .



Clearly, for any integer  $z$  the reducibility of  $F(X, Y) - pz$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  implies the reducibility of  $F(X, Y)$  and then in turn of  $Q_\lambda(X, Y)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , which is impossible as  $\lambda \neq 1$ .

It is also easy to see that completing the polynomials  $f(X)$  and  $\lambda f(Y)$  full squares, we see that  $Q_\lambda(X, Y)$  is affinely equivalent to a polynomial of the shape  $X^2 - \lambda Y^2 + \mu$ . So it is not affinely equivalent to a parabola over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and thus the same holds for  $F(X, Y)$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The non-vanishing of the determinant is straightforward as well. Hence, the condition of Lemma 4 are satisfied for  $F(X, Y)$  and we see that, as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , for every  $z$  the equation (3.9) has  $p^{o(1)}$  solutions. Thus the congruence (3.5) has at most  $(1 + H^{3/2}p^{-1/4})p^{o(1)}$  solutions. Together with (3.6), this yields the inequality

$$\frac{k^2}{2T} \leq (1 + H^{3/2}p^{-1/4})p^{o(1)},$$

which concludes the proof. □

## 4. Comments

We remark that Mendes da Costa [19] has recently given an improvement of the bound of Bombieri and Pila [1] in the case of a class of elliptic curves. It is quite possible that the results and ideas of [19] can be used to improve (1.2) for some cubic polynomials. Regardless of this application, extending the bound of [19] to more general cubic curves and also obtaining a more explicit bounds are both very interesting questions.

## References

- [1] BOMBIERI, E. AND PILA, J.: The number of integral points on arcs and ovals. *Duke Math. J.* **59** (1989), no. 2, 337–357.
- [2] BOURGAIN, J.: On the distribution of the residues of small multiplicative subgroups of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . *Israel J. Math.* **172** (2009), 61–74.
- [3] BOURGAIN, J., GARAEV, M. Z., KONYAGIN, S. V. AND SHPARLINSKI, I. E.: On the hidden shifted power problem. *SIAM J. Comput.* **41** (2012), no. 6, 1524–1557.
- [4] BOURGAIN, J., GARAEV, M. Z., KONYAGIN, S. V. AND SHPARLINSKI, I. E.: Multiplicative congruences with variables from short intervals. *J. Anal. Math.* **124** (2014), 117–147.
- [5] CHANG, M.-C.: Factorization in generalized arithmetic progressions and applications to the Erdős–Szemerédi sum-product problems. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **13** (2003), no. 4, 720–736.
- [6] CHANG, M.-C.: Order of Gauss periods in large characteristic. *Taiwanese J. Math.* **17** (2013), no. 2, 621–628.
- [7] CHANG, M.-C.: Elements of large order in prime finite fields. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **88** (2013), no. 1, 169–176.

- [8] CHANG, M.-C.: Sparsity of the intersection of polynomial images of an interval. *Acta Arith.* **165** (2014), no. 3, 243–249.
- [9] CHANG, M.-C., CILLERUELO, J., GARAEV, M. Z., HERNÁNDEZ, J., SHPARLINSKI, I. E. AND ZUMALACÁRREGUI, A.: Points on curves in small boxes and applications. *Michigan Math. J.* **63** (2014), 503–534.
- [10] CHANG, M.-C., KERR, B., SHPARLINSKI, I. E. AND ZANNIER, U.: Elements of large order on varieties over prime finite fields. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **26** (2014), no. 3, 579–594.
- [11] CILLERUELO, J. AND GARAEV, M. Z.: Concentration of points on two and three dimensional modular hyperbolas and applications. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **21** (2011), no. 4, 892–904.
- [12] CILLERUELO, J., GARAEV, M. Z., OSTAFE, A. AND SHPARLINSKI, I. E.: On the concentration of points of polynomial maps and applications. *Math. Z.* **272** (2012), no. 3-4, 825–837.
- [13] CILLERUELO, J., SHPARLINSKI, I. E. AND ZUMALACÁRREGUI, A.: Isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over a finite field in some thin families. *Math. Res. Lett.* **19** (2012), no. 2, 335–343.
- [14] D’ANDREA, C., KRICK, T. AND SOMBRA, M.: Heights of varieties in multiprojective spaces and arithmetic Nullstellensätze. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **46** (2013), no. 4, 549–627.
- [15] GÓMEZ-PÉREZ, D. AND SHPARLINSKI, I. E.: Subgroups generated by rational functions in finite fields. *Monatsh. Math.* **176** (2015), no. 2, 241–253.
- [16] KERR, B.: Solutions to polynomial congruences in well shaped sets. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **88** (2013), no. 3, 435–447.
- [17] KONYAGIN, S. V. AND SHPARLINSKI, I. E.: On convex hull of points on modular hyperbolas. *Mosc. J. Comb. Number Theory* **1** (2011), no. 1, 43–51.
- [18] KRICK, T., PARDO, L. M. AND SOMBRA, M.: Sharp estimates for the arithmetic Nullstellensatz. *Duke Math. J.* **109** (2001), no. 3, 521–598.
- [19] MENDES DA COSTA, D.: Integral points on elliptic curves and the Bombieri–Pila bounds. Preprint, ArXiv: 1301.4116, 2013.
- [20] SHPARLINSKI, I. E.: Groups generated by iterations of polynomials over finite fields. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)* **59** (2016), no. 1, 235–245.

Received August 18, 2014; revised January 27, 2015.

IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI: Department of Pure Mathematics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia.

E-mail: [igor.shparlinski@unsw.edu.au](mailto:igor.shparlinski@unsw.edu.au)