Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **34** (2018), no. 4, 1853–1866 DOI 10.4171/RMI/1046



# On Galois group of factorized covers of curves

Angel Carocca and Martha Romero Rojas

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{P}^1$  be a sequence of covers of compact Riemann surfaces. In this work we study and completely characterize the Galois group  $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi \circ \psi)$  of  $\varphi \circ \psi$  under the following properties:  $\varphi$  is a simple cover of degree m and  $\psi$  is a Galois unramified cover of degree n with abelian Galois group of type  $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s)$ .

We prove that  $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi \circ \psi) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_s})^{m-1} \rtimes \mathbf{S}_m$ . Furthermore, we give a natural geometric generator system of  $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi \circ \psi)$  obtained by studying the action on the compact Riemann surface  $\mathcal{Z}$  associated to the Galois closure of  $\varphi \circ \psi$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a compact Riemann surface and  $\varphi \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  a cover of degree m. The Galois closure of  $\varphi$  is a Galois cover  $\widehat{\varphi} \colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  of smallest possible degree such that there exists a sequence of compact Riemann surfaces  $\mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\psi}} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{P}^1$  with  $\varphi \circ \widehat{\psi} = \widehat{\varphi}$  (up to equivalence the Galois closure is unique). Let  $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X})$  be the field of meromorphic functions on  $\mathcal{X}$ . The Galois group  $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$  of the cover  $\varphi$  is the Galois group associated to the Galois closure of the field extension  $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X})/\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ . An elementary property of  $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$  is that it has a natural representation as a transitive subgroup of the symmetric group  $\mathbf{S}_m$ .

The problem of determining the structure of the group  $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$  in general was originally considered by O. Zariski [18].

Since then, many authors have worked on it imposing conditions on the cover. For instance, the cover  $\varphi$  is called *simple* if the fiber  $\varphi^{-1}(p)$  over every branch point  $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$  consists of exactly m-1 different points. In this case it is well known that the Galois group  $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group  $\mathbf{S}_m$  and  $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$  is generated geometrically by transpositions, see [6], [11] and [14]. Related problems have been considered by other authors, [1], [3], [10], [11] and [14].

 $<sup>\</sup>label{eq:Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary \ 14E20, \ 14H37; \ Secondary \ 14H55.$ 

Keywords: Covers, Riemann surfaces, monodromy, automorphisms.

Now, consider  $\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{P}^1$  a sequence of covers of compact Riemann surfaces, and denote by  $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi \circ \psi)$  the Galois group of the *factorized cover*  $\varphi \circ \psi \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ . Many authors have studied the problem of determining the geometric structure of  $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi \circ \psi)$  based on special properties of the covers  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , see for instance [2], [8], [9], [11], [12], [15] and [17]. Probably the most studied case of a factorized cover  $\varphi \circ \psi$  is when  $\psi \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is an unramified cover of degree two; the results obtained in this situation involve a systematic study of the Weyl groups  $WB_m$ and  $WD_m$ , see for instance [12] and [17].

Another interesting case of factorized covers  $\varphi \circ \psi$  was studied by Biggers–Fried in [4]. Using results on meromorphic functions, they proved that if  $\varphi$  is a simple cover of degree m, and  $\psi \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is an unramified Galois cover of degree n with cyclic Galois group, then

$$\mathfrak{G}(\varphi \circ \psi) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_n)^{m-1} \rtimes \mathbf{S}_m$$

In this paper we extend the Biggers–Fried result by considering factorized covers  $\varphi \circ \psi$  with  $\varphi$  a simple cover of degree m, and  $\psi \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  an unramified Galois cover with abelian Galois group of type  $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s)$ . In this case, using group theoretical arguments, we prove that

$$\mathfrak{G}(\varphi \circ \psi) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_s})^{m-1} \rtimes \mathbf{S}_m.$$

Furthermore, we give a natural geometric system of generators of  $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi \circ \psi)$  as a transitive subgroup of  $\mathbf{S}_{mn}$ .

# 2. Preliminaries

In order to fix the notation, we start by recalling some basic properties on group action on compact Riemann surfaces. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g(\mathcal{X})$  and G a finite group acting on  $\mathcal{X}$ . The quotient space  $\mathcal{X}/G := \mathcal{X}_G$ is a smooth surface and the quotient projection  $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}_G$  is a branched cover. This cover may be partially characterized by a vector of numbers  $(\gamma; m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ , where  $\gamma$  is the genus of  $\mathcal{X}_G$ , the integer  $0 \leq r \leq 2g(\mathcal{X}) + 2$  is the number of branch points of the cover, and the integers  $m_j$  are the orders of the cyclic subgroups  $G_j$ of G which fix points on  $\mathcal{X}$ . We call  $(\gamma; m_1, \ldots, m_r)$  the branching data of G on  $\mathcal{X}$ . These numbers satisfy the Riemann-Hurwitz equation

(2.1) 
$$\frac{2(\mathsf{g}(\mathcal{X})-1)}{|G|} = 2(\gamma-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_j}\right)$$

A  $(2\gamma + r)$ -tuple  $(a_1, \ldots, a_{\gamma}, b_1, \ldots, b_{\gamma}, c_1, \ldots, c_r)$  of elements of G is called a *generating vector of type*  $(\gamma; m_1, \ldots, m_r)$  if

(2.2)  

$$G = \left\langle a_1, \dots, a_{\gamma}, b_1, \dots, b_{\gamma}, c_1, \dots, c_r \right\rangle / \prod_{i=1}^{\gamma} [a_i, b_i] \prod_{j=1}^r c_j = 1, \ |c_j| = m_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, r, \ \mathcal{R} \right\rangle,$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is a set of appropriate relations on  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{\gamma}, b_1, \ldots, b_{\gamma}, c_1, \ldots, c_r\}$  and  $[a_i, b_i] = a_i b_i a_i^{-1} b_i^{-1}$ .

Riemann's existence theorem then tells us that (see [5]):

**Theorem 2.1.** The group G acts on a surface  $\mathcal{X}$  of genus  $g(\mathcal{X})$  with branching data  $(\gamma; m_1, \ldots, m_r)$  if and only if G has a generating vector of type  $(\gamma; m_1, \ldots, m_r)$  satisfying the Riemann–Hurwitz formula (2.1).

Given an action of G on  $\mathcal{X}$ , the elements of a generating vector for this action will be called *geometric generators* for G.

For a subgroup  $H \leq G$ , the structure of the intermediate cover  $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}_H$  is given by the signature of the action of H, as follows.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let G be a finite group acting on a compact Riemann surface  $\mathcal{X}$  with branching data  $(\gamma; m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ . For each  $j = 1, \ldots, r$ , consider the stabilizer  $G_j$  of the corresponding fixed points on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Then for each subgroup  $H \leq G$  we have

(2.3) 
$$g(\mathcal{X}_H) = |G:H|(\gamma-1) + 1 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^r (|G:H| - |H \setminus G/G_j|),$$

where  $|H \setminus G/G_j|$  is the number of double cosets  $H \setminus G/G_j$ .

*Proof.* See [16].

#### 3. Some properties of factorized covers

In this section we collect some properties of the Galois group of certain factorized covers. Let

 $\varphi: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ 

be a simple cover of degree m; that is, the fiber  $\varphi^{-1}(p)$  over every branch point  $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$  consists of exactly m-1 different points. We recall that in this case the Galois group of  $\varphi$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group  $\mathbf{S}_m$  and is geometrically generated by transpositions, see for instance [6], [11] or [14].

Now consider a Galois cover of degree n

$$\psi: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}.$$

We denote by  $\varphi \circ \psi$  the Galois cover of the factorized cover  $\varphi \circ \psi$  and by  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}(\varphi \circ \psi)$  the corresponding Galois group of  $\varphi \circ \psi$ . We begin with some general properties.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the Riemann surface associated to  $\varphi \circ \psi$ . Then there are subgroups N and H of  $\mathfrak{G}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $\mathcal{Z}_N \cong \mathcal{Y}, \ \mathcal{Z}_H \cong \mathcal{X} \text{ and } \mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{G}} \cong \mathbb{P}^1.$
- (2)  $N_{\mathfrak{G}} = \{1\}$ , where  $N_{\mathfrak{G}} = \operatorname{Core}_{\mathfrak{G}}(N)$ . In particular, if  $N \leq G$ , then  $N = \{1\}$ and  $\mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{Y}$ .

- (3) *H* is a maximal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .
- (4)  $\mathfrak{G}/K \cong S_m$ , where  $S_m$  is the symmetric group of degree m and  $K = H_{\mathfrak{G}}$ .
- (5)  $N \leq H$ .

*Proof.* (1) and (2) follow from the definition of Galois cover.

- (3) and (4) follow since  $\varphi \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is a simple cover.
- (5) follows since  $\psi \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is a Galois cover.

**Remark 3.2.** The monodromy representation of the cover  $\varphi \circ \psi \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is the natural group homomorphism  $\rho \colon \Pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus B, q) \to \mathbf{S}_{mn}$  with transitive image in  $\mathbf{S}_{nm}$ . It is well known that this representation is equivalent to the permutational representation given by the action of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on the right cosets of N in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . To obtain this representation, we consider  $\{1 = x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\}$  a right transversal of H in  $\mathfrak{G}$ and  $\{1 = h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n\}$  a right transversal of N in H. Then the set  $\{h_j x_i / i = 1, \ldots, m, j = 1, \ldots, n\}$  is a right transversal of N in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

Let  $\Omega = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_m$ , where  $\Delta_i = \{Nh_1x_i, Nh_2x_i, \ldots, Nh_nx_i\}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ . Then  $\mathfrak{G}$  acts transitively on  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathfrak{G} \cong \mathfrak{G}/N_{\mathfrak{G}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{S}_{nm}$  and  $\mathfrak{G}$  acts transitively on the set  $\{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_m\}$  with kernel  $K = H_{\mathfrak{G}}$ . We will use the same letters  $\mathfrak{G}$ , H and N to denote their corresponding images in  $\mathbf{S}_{nm}$ ; we will also identify the set  $\Delta_i$  with the set  $\{i, m + i, 2m + i, \ldots, (n-1)m + i\} = \Delta_i$ .

Thus for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$  we have the following results:

- $N_i = x_i^{-1} N x_i$  stabilizes each point in  $\Delta_i$ . Here  $N_1 = N$ .
- $H_i = x_i^{-1} H x_i$  stabilizes the set  $\Delta_i$ . Here  $H_1 = H$ .
- $K = H_{\mathfrak{G}}$  stabilizes each set  $\Delta_i$ .
- If  $N \neq \{1\}$ , then  $H = \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{G}}(N)$ , the normalizer of N in G. Since  $N = \mathbf{S}_{mn-1} \cap \mathfrak{G}$  we have that  $|\operatorname{Fix}(N)| = |\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{G}}(N) : N| = |H : N| = n$ . Thus N stabilizes n points. In particular,  $N = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{Stb}(j) \cap \mathfrak{G}$ , where  $\operatorname{Stb}(j)$  is the stabilizer in  $\mathbf{S}_{mn-1}$  of the point  $j \in \Delta_1$ .
- For  $m \geq 3$ , we have  $K = H_{\mathfrak{G}} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{m-1} H^{g_j}$  and  $K \neq \bigcap_{j=1}^{m-2} H^{g_j}$  for any subset  $\{H^{g_1}, H^{g_2}, \ldots, H^{g_{m-1}}\}$  with m-1 distinct conjugates of H in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

The following diagram illustrates the relationship between covers and subgroups:



where  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the Riemann surface associated to  $\varphi \circ \psi$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r\}$  be a set of geometric generators of  $\mathfrak{G}$  given by the action on  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $G_i = \langle g_i \rangle$ . Then the following properties hold:

(1) The cover  $\psi \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is unramified if and only

$$|H:N| |H \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i| = |N \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i|$$
 for all  $1 \le i \le r$ .

(2) If the cover  $\psi \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is unramified, then  $K \cap G_i = \{1\}$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $\psi$  is unramified. By the Riemann–Hurwitz formula (2.1), we have

$$g(\mathcal{Y}) = |H: N|(g(\mathcal{X}) - 1) + 1.$$

On the other hand, using the formula (2.3) (Proposition 2.2), we have

$$g(\mathcal{Y}) = -|\mathfrak{G}: N| + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r} (|\mathfrak{G}: N| - |N \backslash \mathfrak{G}/G_i|), \text{ and}$$
$$g(\mathcal{X}) = -|\mathfrak{G}: H| + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r} (|\mathfrak{G}: H| - |H \backslash \mathfrak{G}/G_i|).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} |N \backslash \mathfrak{G}/G_i| = |H:N| \sum_{i=1}^{r} |H \backslash \mathfrak{G}/G_i|.$$

Since  $|N \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i| \leq |H: N| |H \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i|$ , we conclude that, for every  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $|H: N| |H \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i| = |N \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i|$ .

Now, suppose  $|N \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i| = |H:N| |H \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . Since

$$g(\mathcal{Y}) = -|\mathfrak{G}: N| + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r} (|\mathfrak{G}: N| - |N \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i|),$$

we obtain  $g(\mathcal{Y}) = |H: N|(g(\mathcal{X}) - 1) + 1$ . Hence by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula we conclude that the cover  $\psi: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is unramified.

(2) Assume that  $\psi$  is unramified. Then  $|H:N| |H \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i| = |N \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i|$ .

Let  $C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)$  be the conjugacy class of g in  $\mathfrak{G}$  and C a complete set of representatives of the conjugacy classes of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Applying a well-known formula for the cardinality of double cosets ([13], p. 55), we have

$$\frac{|H|}{|N|} \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|H||G_i|} \sum_{g \in C} \frac{|(C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap H)| C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap G_i|}{|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|} = \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|N||G_i|} \sum_{g \in C} \frac{|(C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap N)| C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap G_i|}{|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|} = \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|S||G_i|} \sum_{g \in C} \frac{|(C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap N)| C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap G_i|}{|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|} = \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|S||G_i|} \sum_{g \in C} \frac{|(C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap N)| C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap G_i|}{|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|} = \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|S||G_i|} \sum_{g \in C} \frac{|(C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap N)| C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap G_i|}{|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|} = \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|S||G_i|} \sum_{g \in C} \frac{|(C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap N)| C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap G_i|}{|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|} = \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|S||G_i|} \sum_{g \in C} \frac{|(C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap N)| C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap G_i|}{|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|} = \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|S||G_i|} \sum_{g \in C} \frac{|(C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap N)| C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap G_i|}{|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|} = \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|S||G_i|} \sum_{g \in C} \frac{|(C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap N)| C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap G_i|}{|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|} = \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|S||G_i|} \sum_{g \in C} \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|} = \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|S||G_i|} \sum_{g \in C} \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|} = \frac{|\mathfrak{G}|}{|S||} = \frac$$

Hence

$$\sum_{g \in C} \frac{\left( |C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap G_i| \right) \left( |C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap H| - |C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap N| \right)}{|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|} = 0.$$

Since  $N \leq H$  for all  $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ , we have that  $C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap N \subseteq C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap H$  and

$$\frac{\left(|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)\cap G_i|\right)\left(|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)\cap H|-|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)\cap N|\right)}{|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|}=0.$$

Therefore, for all  $g \in C$  we have

$$|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap G_i| = 0$$
 or  $|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap H| = |C^{\mathfrak{G}}(g) \cap N|.$ 

Let  $1 \neq k \in K = H_{\mathfrak{G}}$ . If we assume  $|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(k) \cap N| = |C^{\mathfrak{G}}(k) \cap H|$  then  $C^{\mathfrak{G}}(k) = C^{\mathfrak{G}}(k) \cap H = C^{\mathfrak{G}}(k) \cap N$ . Hence  $C^{\mathfrak{G}}(k) \subseteq N_{\mathfrak{G}} = \{1\}$ , a contradiction.

Therefore, for all  $1 \neq k \in K$  we have that  $|C^{\mathfrak{G}}(k) \cap G_i| = 0$  and  $K \cap G_i = \{1\}$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Suppose  $\psi : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is an unramified cover. Then the following properties hold.

(1) The action of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  induces a geometric presentation of  $\mathfrak{G}$  given by

$$\mathfrak{G} = \left\langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r \ / \ \prod_{i=1}^r g_i = 1, \ g_i^2 = 1, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, r, \ \mathcal{R} \right\rangle,$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is a set of appropriate relations on  $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r\}$ .

(2) For  $K = H_{\mathfrak{G}}$ , the corresponding action of  $\mathfrak{G}/K$  on  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  induces a geometric presentation of  $\mathfrak{G}/K$  given by

$$\mathfrak{G}/K = \left\langle g_1 K, g_2 K, \dots, g_r K \ / \ \prod_{i=1}^r g_i = 1, \ g_i^2 = 1, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, r, \ \mathcal{R}' \right\rangle \cong S_m,$$

where  $\mathcal{R}'$  is a set of appropriate relations on the set of cosets  $\{Kg_1, \ldots, Kg_r\}$ .

- (3) The corresponding image in  $S_{mn}$  for each  $g_i$  has a cycle structure given as a product of n disjoint transpositions.
- (4) The corresponding image in  $S_m$  for each  $g_i K$  is a transposition.
- (5)  $H \leq \mathfrak{G}$  if and only if m = 2.

Proof. Since  $\varphi: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is a simple cover and  $\psi: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is an unramified cover we have that the fiber under the factorized covering  $\varphi \circ \psi$  of each branch point  $b \in \mathbb{P}^1$  is given by  $(\varphi \circ \psi)^{-1}(b) = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{n(m-1)}\}$ . Then the cycle structure of  $\varphi \circ \psi$  at b is an n(m-1)-tuple  $(2^n, 1^{nm-2n})$  where the ramification index of  $\varphi \circ \psi$  at each of the points  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$  is 2 and at each of the points  $p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}, \ldots, p_{mn-2n}$  is 1. This implies that the corresponding image in  $\mathbf{S}_{mn}$  for each geometric generator of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , given by the action of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ , has cycle structure as a product of n disjoint transpositions and that the corresponding image in  $\mathbf{S}_m$  of each  $g_i K$  is a transposition. In this way we conclude (1), (2), (3) and (4).

(5) Assume  $H \leq G$ . By Proposition 3.3, we have  $\langle g_1 \rangle \leq H$ . Hence  $\langle g_1 \rangle H = \mathfrak{G}$ , since H is a maximal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Hence m = 2.

**Remark 3.5.** According to Proposition 3.4, if  $\psi: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is an unramified cover, then the corresponding image in  $\mathbf{S}_{mn}$  for each geometric generator  $g_i$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , given by the action of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ , has cycle structure as a product of n disjoint transpositions. By Proposition 3.3, we have

- if  $m \ge 3$ , then each  $G_i = \langle g_i \rangle$  is contained in the intersection of m-2 different conjugates of N in  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $G_i$  is not contained in  $K = H_{\mathfrak{G}}$ ;
- if m = 2, then each  $G_i = \langle g_i \rangle$  is not contained in H.

**Proposition 3.6.** Suppose  $\psi : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is an unramified cover. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $N = \{1\},\$
- (2)  $H \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{G}$  (or, equivalently, m = 2).

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.4,  $\mathfrak{G}$  has a geometric presentation of the form

$$\mathfrak{G} = \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r \mid g_i^2 = 1, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, r, \mathcal{R} \rangle,$$

and we can assume that  $g_1 \notin H$ .

Assume  $N = \{1\}$ . Then  $g_1$  does not fix points of the set  $\Omega = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_m$ and interchanges  $\Delta_1$  with  $\Delta_k$ . Since  $g_1$  has cycle structure as a product of n disjoint transpositions and  $g_1 \notin H$ , we obtain that m = 2 and  $H \leq \mathfrak{G}$ .

Suppose  $H \leq \mathfrak{G}$  (or equivalently m = 2). By Proposition 3.3 we have  $\langle g_1 \rangle \not\leq H$ . Hence  $\langle g_1 \rangle H = \mathfrak{G}$ . For each  $2 \leq i \leq r$  we can write  $g_i = h_i g_1$  with  $h_i \in H$  according to Remark 3.5. Since  $g_i^2 = 1$  we have  $g_1 h_i g_1 = h_i^{-1}$  and  $\mathfrak{G} = \langle g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r \rangle = \langle h_2, h_3, \ldots, h_r \rangle \langle g_1 \rangle$ . Therefore  $H = \langle h_2, h_3, \ldots, h_r \rangle$  and  $g_1$  normalizes each subgroup of H. So,  $N = \{1\}$ , since  $N \leq H$  and  $N_{\mathfrak{G}} = \{1\}$ .

#### 4. On the structure of Galois Group of factorized covers

In this section we will determine the structure of the Galois group of certain factorized covers. We recall the notation:  $\varphi \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is a simple cover of degree m, the cover  $\psi \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is Galois of degree n and  $\widehat{\varphi \circ \psi}$  is the Galois cover of the factorized cover  $\varphi \circ \psi$ . The group  $\mathfrak{G}$  is the corresponding Galois group of  $\varphi \circ \psi$ . Also  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the compact Riemann surface associated to  $\widehat{\varphi \circ \psi}$  and the subgroups N and H of  $\mathfrak{G}$  correspond to  $\mathcal{Z}_N \cong \mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_H \cong \mathcal{X}$ , respectively. We are using the same letters  $\mathfrak{G}$ , H and N to denote their images in  $\mathbf{S}_{nm}$ , given by the permutational representation of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on the set of the right cosets of Nin  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Here we identify the set  $\Delta_i = \{Nh_1x_i, Nh_2x_i, \ldots, Nh_nx_i\}$  with the set  $\{i, m + i, 2m + i, \ldots, (n-1)m + i\} = \Delta_i$ , and we will use the same letters  $g_i$  to denote the corresponding image in  $\mathbf{S}_{nm}$  for each geometric generator  $g_i$ .

Collecting some results of the previous section, we have the following.

**Remark 4.1.** As proved in the previous section, if  $\psi: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is unramified and m = 2, then  $N = \{1\}$  and  $H \leq \mathfrak{G}$ . Also, for any geometric generator  $g \in \mathfrak{G}$  we have  $\mathfrak{G} = H\langle g \rangle$  and  $ghg = h^{-1}$  for all  $h \in H$ , since  $g^2 = 1$ .

Therefore, for the remainder of this section we consider  $m \ge 3$ . Now, we are able to prove the following.

**Proposition 4.2.** If  $\psi: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is unramified, then  $\mathfrak{G}$  contains a subgroup L which is isomorphic to  $S_m$  and L has at least m different conjugates in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_m$  be the set of the *m* different conjugates of *N* in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . As follows from Remark 3.5, we can choose elements  $g_1 \in (N_3 \cap N_4 \cap \cdots \cap N_m) \setminus K$ ,  $g_2 \in (N_1 \cap N_4 \cap \cdots \cap N_m) \setminus K, \ldots, g_{m-1} \in (N_1 \cap N_2 \cap \cdots \cap N_{m-3} \cap N_{m-2}) \setminus K$ . The corresponding image of  $g_i$  in  $\mathbf{S}_{mn}$  may be written as follows:

$$g_i = (i \ i+1)(m+i \ m+i+1)\cdots((n-1)m+i \ (n-1)m+i+1).$$

In this way we have

- $g_i^2 = 1$ , for all i = 1, ..., m 1.
- $(g_i g_{i+1})^3 = 1$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, m-2$ .
- $g_i g_j = g_j g_i$ , when  $|i j| \ge 2$ .

Hence  $L = \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m-1} / g_i^2 = (g_i g_{i+1})^3 = [g_i, g_j] = 1, |i - j| \ge 2 \rangle \cong \mathbf{S}_m$ , according to the Coxeter presentation for the symmetric group  $\mathbf{S}_m$ , see [7].

Since for each  $1 \leq i < m$  we have  $g_i \notin H_i \cup H_{i+1}$  and since  $\mathfrak{G}$  acts transitively on the set  $\{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_m\}$ , we obtain that L has at least m different conjugates in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

In [4] Biggers and Fried studied factorized covers  $\varphi \circ \psi$  where  $\varphi \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is a simple cover of degree m and  $\psi \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is an unramified Galois cover of degree n with cyclic Galois group. They also characterized, for this case, the Galois group of  $\varphi \circ \psi$ , by studying the corresponding fields of meromorphic functions.

We will now extend the Biggers–Fried result to the case when  $\psi: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is an unramified Galois cover of degree n with abelian Galois group. An interesting point is that we will give group-theoretical proofs for our results.

We start with the following auxiliary lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let G be a finite group, and let  $H \leq G$  with |G:H| = k and abelian core  $K = H_G$ . If  $L \leq G$  is such that  $L \cong S_k$  and  $L^g \leq H$  for all  $g \in G$ , then  $G = K \rtimes L$ .

*Proof.* By the action of G on the set of the right cosets of H in G, we have that  $G/K \leq \mathbf{S}_k$ . If  $K \cap L = \{1\}$ , then  $KL/K \cong L \cong \mathbf{S}_k$  and  $k! = |KL/K| \leq |G/K| \leq |\mathbf{S}_k| = k!$ . Hence  $G/K \cong KL/L \cong \mathbf{S}_k$  and  $G = K \rtimes L$ .

Let  $U = K \cap L$  and suppose that  $U \neq \{1\}$ . Then U is a non-trivial abelian normal subgroup of  $L \cong \mathbf{S}_k$ , and hence  $2 \leq k \leq 4$ .

• If k = 2, then  $\mathbf{S}_2 \cong L \nleq H$  and  $U = \{1\}$ , a contradiction.

• If k = 3, then |U| = 3 and |KL/K| = |L/U| = 2. Furthermore, since |G:H| = 3 and |KL/K| = 2, we have that  $G/K \cong \mathbf{S}_3$ . Hence H/K and KL/K are 2-Sylow subgroups of G/K. Thus  $H/K = (KL/K)^g = KL^g/K$  for some  $g \in G$ . It follows that  $H = KL^g$  and  $L^g \leq H$ , a contradiction.

1860

• If k = 4, then |U| = 4 and |KL/K| = |L/U| = 6. Since |G : H| = 4 and |KL/K| = 6, we conclude that  $G/K \cong \mathbf{S}_4$ . Hence H/K and KL/K are normalizers of 3-Sylow subgroups of G/K. Therefore  $H/K = (KL/K)^g = KL^g/K$  for some  $g \in G$ . In this way  $H = KL^g$  and  $L^g \leq H$ , the final contradiction.

**Proposition 4.4.** If  $\varphi \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is unramified with abelian Galois group, then the following properties hold:

- (1)  $K = H_{\mathfrak{G}}$  is abelian.
- (2)  $\mathfrak{G} = K \rtimes L$ , with  $L \cong \mathbf{S}_m$ . Also,  $L \cap H \cong \mathbf{S}_{m-1}$ ,  $L \cap H \leq N$  and  $N = (L \cap H)(N \cap K)$ .
- (3) H = NK.
- (4)  $N \cap K \neq \{1\}.$
- (5)  $K = (N \cap K)(N^g \cap K)$  for each  $g \notin H$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\}$  be a right transversal of H in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . The result follows by considering the group monomorphism

$$\Phi: K \to (H/N)^{x_1} \times (H/N)^{x_2} \times \dots \times (H/N)^{x_m}$$

defined by  $\Phi(k) = (N^{x_1}k, N^{x_2}k, ..., N^{x_m}k).$ 

(2) By Proposition 4.2,  $\mathfrak{G}$  contains a subgroup L isomorphic to  $\mathbf{S}_m$ , which is generated by the elements

$$g_i = (i \ i+1)(m+i \ m+i+1)\cdots((n-1)m+i \ (n-1)m+i+1),$$

with  $1 \leq i < m$ .

By Remark 3.2, H stabilizes the set  $\Delta_1 = \{1, m+1, 2m+1, \ldots, (n-1)m+1\}$ . Hence for all  $g \in \mathfrak{G}$  there exists some i such that  $g_i^g \notin H$ . Therefore  $L^g \nleq H$ , and  $\mathfrak{G} = K \rtimes L$ , according to Lemma 4.3.

We have  $L \cap H = \langle g_2, g_3, \dots, g_{m-1} \rangle \leq L \cap N \cong \mathbf{S}_{m-1}$ , since  $m = |\mathfrak{G} : H| = |L : L \cap H|$ . Now  $N = (N \cap K)(L \cap H)$ , since  $H = K(H \cap L)$ .

(3) Since  $\mathfrak{G} = K \rtimes L$  we have  $\mathfrak{G}/K \cong \mathbf{S}_m$  and  $H/K \cong \mathbf{S}_{m-1}$ . Let  $V \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{G}$  such that  $V/K \cong \mathbf{A}_m$ , the alternating group.

If  $NK \neq H$ , then  $N \leq NK \leq H \cap V \leq V$ , since  $N \leq H$ . By Proposition 3.4 and Remark 3.5, the group  $\mathfrak{G}$  has a geometric presentation given by

$$\mathfrak{G} = \left\langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r \ / \ \prod_{i=1}^r g_i = 1, \ g_i^2 = 1, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, r \text{ and } \mathcal{R} \right\rangle,$$

where each generator  $g_i$  is an element of some conjugate of N. Then

$$\mathfrak{G} = \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r \rangle \leq \langle N^g / g \in \mathfrak{G} \rangle \leq V,$$

a contradiction. Hence NK = H.

(4) If  $N \cap K = \{1\}$ , then the commutator subgroup  $[N, K] = \{1\}$ , since  $N \leq H$  and  $K \leq H$ . Therefore, K commutes with N and similarly with all conjugates of N. Hence K commutes with L and  $L \leq \mathfrak{G}$ , a contradiction, according to Proposition 4.2.

(5) Let  $g \notin H$ . Since H/N is abelian,  $N(N^g \cap K) \trianglelefteq H$ . So  $(N \cap K)(N^g \cap K) \trianglelefteq H$ . Similarly,  $(N \cap K)(N^g \cap K) \trianglelefteq H^g$ . Hence  $(N \cap K)(N^g \cap K) \trianglelefteq \langle H, H^g \rangle = \mathfrak{G}$ , since H is a maximal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

By item (2),  $\mathfrak{G} = KL$  with  $L \cong \mathbf{S}_m$  and  $N = (N \cap K)(H \cap L) \leq (N \cap K)(N^g \cap K)L$ . Let  $x \in \mathfrak{G}$  and write x = yz with  $x \in K$  and  $z \in L$ . Then  $N^x = N^{yz} = N^z \leq ((N \cap K)(N^g \cap K)L)^z \leq (N \cap K)(N^g \cap K)L$ . Hence  $(N \cap K)(N^g \cap K)L = \mathfrak{G}$ , according to Remark 3.5. Therefore

$$K = K \cap (N \cap K)(N^g \cap K)L = (N \cap K)(N^g \cap K)(L \cap K) = (N \cap K)(N^g \cap K). \quad \Box$$

**Proposition 4.5.** If  $\varphi: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is an unramified Galois cover with abelian Galois group, then  $K \cong (H/N)^{m-1}$ .

Proof. Assume  $H/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_s}$  and consider  $M_j \leq H$  such that  $M_j/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_j}$  and  $M_j \cap M_i = N$  for all  $1 \leq j, i \leq s$  and  $j \neq i$ . Let  $g \notin H$  and  $N_2 = N^g$ . For each  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , we have

$$M_j \cap K = (M_j \cap K) \cap K = (M_j \cap K) \cap (N \cap K)(N_2 \cap K) = (N \cap K)(M_j \cap N_2 \cap K)$$

and

$$M_j = N(K \cap M_j) = N(N \cap K)(M_j \cap N_2 \cap K) = N(M_j \cap N_2 \cap K) = N\langle x_j \rangle,$$

with  $x_j \in M_j \cap N_2 \cap K$ .

Using the permutational representation described in Remark 3.2, we may identify the elements (renumbering if necessary)

$$x_{1} = (1, m + 1, 2m + 1, \dots, (n_{1} - 1)m + 1)$$

$$(n_{1}m + 1, (n_{1} + 1)m + 1, (n_{1} + 2)m + 1, \dots, (2n_{1} - 1)m + 1)$$

$$(2n_{1}m + 1, (2n_{1} + 1)m + 1, (2n_{1} + 2)m + 1, \dots, (3n_{1} - 1)m + 1) \cdots$$

$$((q_{1} - 1)n_{1}m + 1, ((q_{1} - 1)n_{1} + 1)m + 1, ((q_{1} - 1)n_{1} + 2)m + 1, \dots, (q_{1}n_{1} - 1)m + 1) C_{13} \cdots C_{1m}$$

and for  $2 \leq j \leq s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x_j &= (1, t_j m + 1, 2t_j m + 1, \dots, (n_j - 1)t_j m + 1) \\ &(m + 1, (t_j + 1)m + 1, (2t_j + 1)m + 1, \dots, ((n_j - 1)t_j + 1)m + 1) \\ &(2m + 1, (t_j + 2)m + 1, (2t_j + 2)m + 1, \dots, ((n_j - 1)t_j + 2)m + 1) \cdots \\ &((q_j - 1)m + 1, (q_j + t_j - 1)m + 1, (2t_j + q_j - 1)m + 1, \\ &\dots, ((n_j - 1)t_j + q_j - 1)m + 1) \mathcal{C}_{j3} \cdots \mathcal{C}_{jm}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $q_j = |H: M_j|$ ,  $t_j = |M_1 M_2 \cdots M_{j-1}: N|$  and  $C_{ji}$  is a permutation of  $\Delta_i$  for each  $3 \le i \le m$ .

For each  $1 \le i \le m$ ,  $2 \le j \le s$  and  $1 \le r \le m-1$ , consider the elements

$$\begin{split} P_{1i} &= (1, \ m+i, \ 2m+i, \dots, (n_1-1)m+i) \\ &(n_1m+i, \ (n_1+1)m+i, \ (n_1+2)m+i, \dots, ((2n_1-1)m+i) \\ &(2n_1m+i, \ (2n_1+1)m+i, \ (2n_1+2)m+i, \dots, ((3n_1-1)m+i) \cdots \\ &((q_1-1)n_1m+i, \ ((q_1-1)n_1+1)m+i, \ ((q_1-1)n_1+2)m+i, \\ &\dots, (q_1n_1-1)m+i) \\ P_{ji} &= (1, \ t_jm+i, \ 2t_jm+i, \dots, (n_j-1)t_jm+i) \\ &(m+i, \ (t_j+1)m+i, \ (2t_j+1)m+i, \dots, ((n_j-1)t_j+1)m+i) \\ &(2m+i, \ (t_j+2)m+i, \ (2t_j+2)m+i, \dots, ((n_j-1)t_j+2)m+i) \cdots \\ &((q_j-1)m+i, \ (q_j+t_j-1)m+i, \ (2t_j+q_j-1)m+i, \\ &\dots, ((n_j-1)t_j+q_j-1)m+i) \end{split}$$

and

$$g_r = (r, r+1)(m+r, m+r+1)(2m+r, 2m+r+1)$$
  
... ((n-1)m+r, (n-1)m+r+1).

According to Proposition 4.4 we have  $\mathfrak{G} = KL$  with  $L = \langle g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{m-1} \rangle$ . But  $a_{j1} = x_j g_1 x_j^{-1} g_1 = P_{j1} P_{j2}^{-1} \in K$ , and also for  $T = (g_1 \ g_2 \cdots g_{m-1})^{-1}$ we have  $a_{j2} = Ta_{j1}T^{-1} = P_{j2}P_{j3}^{-1}$ ,  $a_{j3} = T^2a_{j2}T^{-2} = P_{j3}P_{j4}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{jm} = T^{m-1}a_{jm-1}T^{-(m-1)} = P_{jm}P_{j1}^{-1}$ . Hence,  $a_{j1}a_{j2}a_{j3}\cdots a_{jm} = 1$ , and the normal subgroup  $\mathcal{Q}_j = \langle a_{j1}, a_{j2}, a_{j3}, \ldots, a_{jm-1} \rangle$  has order  $n_j^{m-1}$ . Applying the same arguments for all  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , we obtain a normal subgroup  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \cdots \mathcal{Q}_s \leq K$  of order  $(n_1 n_2 \cdots n_s)^{m-1} = n^{m-1}$ .

Let  $\{N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_m\}$  be the set of the *m* different conjugates of *N* in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . For the group homomorphism

$$\phi: K \to K/(N_1 \cap K) \times K/(N_2 \cap K) \times \dots \times K/(N_m \cap K)$$

defined by  $\phi(k) = (k(N_1 \cap K), k(N_2 \cap K), \dots, k(N_m \cap K))$ , we have

$$\ker(\phi) = N_1 \cap K \cap \dots \cap N_m \cap K = N_1 \cap \dots \cap N_m = \{1\},\$$

and thus  $K \cong \text{Im}(\phi)$ .

Suppose that

$$(a_{11}(N_1 \cap K), (N_2 \cap K), \dots, (N_m \cap K)) = \phi(k) = (k(N_1 \cap K), \dots, k(N_m \cap K)) \in \text{Im}(\phi).$$

Then  $k \in N_2 \cap \cdots \cap N_m$  and hence  $k = C_1$  is a permutation of the set  $\Delta_1$ and  $a_{11}(N_1 \cap K) = P_{11}P_{12}^{-1}(N_1 \cap K) = C_1(N_1 \cap K)$ . Therefore  $C_1 = P_{11}$  and  $P_{12} \in N_2 \cap K$ . In this way we obtain  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \langle P_{11}, P_{12}, P_{13}, \ldots, P_{1m} \rangle \leq K$  and  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{Q}_1 \langle P_{11} \rangle$ . Consider, renumbering if necessary,  $\{a_{11}, a_{21}, \ldots, a_{u1}\}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_j \leq K$  for  $1 \leq j \leq u$  and  $\{a_{u+11}, \ldots, a_{s1}\}$  such that  $(a_{i1}(N_1 \cap K), (N_2 \cap K), \ldots, (N_m \cap K)) \notin \operatorname{Im}(\phi)$  for  $u+1 \leq i \leq s$ . Hence

$$K = \mathcal{P}_1 \langle P_{11} \rangle \mathcal{P}_2 \langle P_{21} \rangle \cdots \mathcal{P}_u \langle P_{u1} \rangle \mathcal{Q}_{u+1} \cdots \mathcal{Q}_s$$
  
=  $P_{11} P_{21} \cdots P_{u1} \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \cdots \mathcal{Q}_s = P_{11} P_{21} \cdots P_{u1} \mathcal{Q}_s$ 

Since  $P_{j1}^{-r}g_1P_{j1}^r = P_{j1}^{-r}P_{j2}^rg_1 \in \langle P_{j1}P_{j2}^{-1}, g_1 \rangle$ , we have  $\langle g_1 \rangle^{\mathfrak{G}} = \langle g_1 \rangle^{KL} = \langle g_1 \rangle^{P_{11}P_{21}\cdots P_{u1}\mathcal{Q}L} \leq \mathcal{Q}L$ , a contradiction. Therefore, for all j, i we have  $(a_{ji}(N_1 \cap K), (N_2 \cap K), \ldots, (N_m \cap K)) \notin \operatorname{Im}(\phi)$ . Therefore  $K = \mathcal{Q}$  and  $K \cong (H/N)^{m-1}$ .  $\Box$ 

We summarize the above results in the following:

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be the Galois group of a factorized cover  $\varphi \circ \psi$  with  $\varphi \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ a simple cover of degree m and  $\psi \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  an unramified Galois cover of degree n, with abelian Galois group of type  $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s)$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{G} = (\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_s})^{m-1} \rtimes S_m.$$

**Corollary 4.7.** Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the Riemann surface associated to the Galois cover of  $\varphi \circ \psi$ . Then a geometric system of generators for the action of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ , as a transitive subgroup of  $S_{mn}$ , is given by

$$\left\{g_{1},g_{1},\ldots,g_{m-1},g_{m-1},g_{m},g_{m},g_{m+1},g_{m+1},\ldots,g_{m+s-1},g_{m+s-1},\underbrace{g_{1},g_{1},\ldots,g_{1},g_{1}}_{2(g(\mathcal{X})-s)}\right\}$$

where, for 
$$1 \le i \le m - 1$$
 and  $2 \le j \le s$ ,  
 $g_i = (i, i+1) (m+i, m+i+1) (2m+i, 2m+i+1)(3m+i, 3m+i+1) \cdots ((n_1 n_2 \cdots n_s - 2)m+i, (n_1 n_2 \cdots n_s - 2)m+i+1) ((n_1 n_2 \cdots n_s - 1)m+i, (n_1 n_2 \cdots n_s - 1)m+i+1),$   
 $g_m = x_1^{-1} g_1 x_1,$   
 $g_{m+j-1} = x_j^{-1} g_1 x_j,$   
with

$$x_{1} = (1, m + 1, \dots, (n_{1} - 1)m + 1)(n_{1}m + 1, (n_{1} + 1)m + 1, \dots, (2n_{1} - 1)m + 1)\cdots ((n_{2} \cdots n_{s} - 2)n_{1}m + 1, ((n_{2} \cdots n_{s} - 2)n_{1} + 1)m + 1, \dots, ((n_{2} \cdots n_{s} - 1)n_{1} - 1)m + 1) ((n_{2} \cdots n_{s} - 1)n_{1}m + 1, ((n_{2} \cdots n_{s} - 1)n_{1} + 1)m + 1, \cdots (n_{1}n_{2} \cdots n_{s} - 1)m + 1),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x_j &= (1, t_j m + 1, 2t_j m + 1, \dots, (n_j - 1)t_j m + 1) \\ &(m + 1, (t_j + 1)m + 1, (2t_j + 1)m + 1, \dots, ((n_j - 1)t_j + 1)m + 1) \\ &(2m + 1, (t_j + 2)m + 1, (2t_j + 2)m + 1, \dots, ((n_j - 1)t_j + 2)m + 1) \cdots \\ &((q_j - 1)m + 1, (q_j + t_j - 1)m + 1, (2t_j + q_j - 1)m + 1, \\ &\dots, ((n_j - 1)t_j + q_j - 1)m + 1). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Following the proof of Proposition 4.5, we have that the given set is a generator system and obviously satisfies the Riemann–Hurwitz equation (2.1) with branching data (0; 2, 2, ..., 2, 2). Also, we have

$$g(\mathcal{Z}) = \frac{n^{m-1}m! (g(\mathcal{X}) + m - 3)}{2} + 1.$$

Considering  $N = \langle g_2, \ldots, g_{m-1}, g_m, g_{m+1}, \ldots, g_{m+s-1} \rangle$  and  $H = N_{\mathfrak{G}}(N)$ , we obtain  $|\mathfrak{G}: H| = m$ , |H: N| = n and H/N is abelian of type  $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s)$ .

For each  $1 \le i \le m + s - 1$  let  $G_i = \langle g_i \rangle$ . Since

$$|H:N| |H \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i| = |N \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i|,$$

we have that  $\mathcal{Z}_N \to \mathcal{Z}_H$  is an unramified Galois cover, according to Proposition 3.3. Also, since

$$|H \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i| = m-1$$
 and  $|N \setminus \mathfrak{G}/G_i| = n(m-1)$ 

we have  $g(\mathcal{Z}_H) = g(\mathcal{X})$  and  $g(\mathcal{Z}_N) = |H: N|(g(\mathcal{X}) - 1) = n(g(\mathcal{X}) - 1)$ .

### References

- ALLCOCK, D. AND HALL, CH.: Monodromy groups of Hurwitz-type problems. Adv. Math. 225 (2010), no. 1, 69–80.
- [2] ARENAS-CARMONA, L. AND ROJAS, A. M.: Unramified prime covers of hyperelliptic curves and pairs of p-gonal curves. In In the tradition of Ahlfors-Bers, V: The triennial Ahlfors-Bers Colloquium (Rutgers University, 2008), 35–47. Contemp. Math. 510, American Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [3] ARTEBANI, M. AND PIROLA, G. P.: Algebraic functions with even monodromy. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), no. 2, 331–341
- [4] BIGGERS, R. AND FRIED, M.: Irreducibility of moduli spaces of cyclic unramified covers of genus g curves. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 295 (1986), no. 1, 59–70.
- [5] BROUGHTON, S. A.: Classifying finite group actions on surfaces of low genus. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 69 (1991), no. 3, 233–270.
- [6] CAROCCA, A., LANGE, H., RODRÍGUEZ, R. E. AND ROJAS, A. M.: Products of Jacobians as Prym–Tyurin varieties. Geom. Dedicata 139 (2009), 219–231.
- [7] COXETER, H. S. M.: The groups determined by the relations  $S^l = T^m = (S^{-1}T^{-1}ST)^p = 1$ . Part I. Duke Math. J. **2** (1936), no. 1, 61–73.
- [8] DIAZ, S., DONAGI, R. AND HARBATER, D.: Every curve is a Hurwitz space. Duke Math. J. 59 (1989), no. 3, 737–746.
- [9] FRIED, M.: Combinatorial computation of moduli dimension of Nielsen classes of covers. In Graphs and algorithms (Boulder, CO, 1987), 61–79. Contemp. Math. 89, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
- [10] GURALNICK, R. M. AND NEUBAUER, M. G.: Monodromy groups of branched coverings: the generic case. In *Recent developments in the inverse Galois problem (Seattle,* WA, 1993), 325–352. Contemp. Math. 186, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

- [11] GURALNICK, R. M. AND SHARESHIAN, J.: Symmetric and alternating groups as monodromy groups of Riemann surfaces. I. Generic covers and covers with many branch points. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 189 (2007), no. 886.
- [12] KANEV, V.: Hurwitz spaces of Galois coverings of P<sup>1</sup>, whose Galois groups are Weyl groups. J. Algebra 305 (2006), no. 1, 442–456.
- [13] KERBER, A.: Applied finite group actions. Second edition. Algorithms and Combinatorics 19, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [14] MAGAARD, K. AND VÖLKLEIN, H.: The monodromy group of a function on a general curve. Israel J. Math. 141 (2004), 355–368.
- [15] RECILLAS, S.: The Jacobian of the Galois extension of a trigonal curve. In XXVIth National Congress of the Mexican Mathematical Society (Morelia, 1993), 159–167. Aportaciones Mat. 14, Soc. Mat. Mexicana, México, 1994.
- [16] ROJAS, A. M.: Group actions on Jacobian varieties. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 23 (2007), no. 2, 397–420.
- [17] VETRO, F.: Irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces of coverings with monodromy groups Weyl groups of type  $W(B_d)$ . Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8) 10 (2007), no. 2, 405–431.
- [18] ZARISKI, O.: Collected Papers. Vol. III. Topology of curves and surfaces, and special topics in the theory of algebraic varieties. Edited and with an introduction by M. Artin and B. Mazur. Mathematicians of Our Time, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.-London, 1978.

Received August 30, 2016. Published online December 6, 2018.

ANGEL CAROCCA: Departamento de Matemática, Universidad de La Frontera, Casilla 54-D, 4780000 Temuco, Chile. E-mail: angel.carocca@ufrontera.cl

MARTHA ROMERO ROJAS: Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad del Cauca, Calle 5 No. 4-70, Popayán Cauca 190003, Colombia. E-mail: mjromero@unicauca.edu.co

The first author was supported by Fondecyt grant 1130445 and CONICYT PIA ACT 1415. The second author would like to thank Universidad del Cauca for support through Proyecto VRI 4188.