



---

# On stable rank of $H^\infty$ on coverings of finite bordered Riemann surfaces

Alexander Brudnyi

---

**Abstract.** We prove that the Bass stable rank of the algebra of bounded holomorphic functions on an unbranched covering of a finite bordered Riemann surface is equal to one.

## 1. Formulation of main results

Let  $S'$  be a (not necessarily connected) unbranched covering of a finite bordered Riemann surface  $S$ . In this paper we continue the study initiated in [3] of the algebra  $H^\infty(S')$  of bounded holomorphic functions on  $S'$ . (We write  $H^\infty := H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , where  $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$  is the open unit disk.) It was shown in our previous work that algebras  $H^\infty(S')$  and  $H^\infty$  share many common properties (e.g., they are Hermite, their maximal ideal spaces are two-dimensional with vanishing second Čech cohomology groups, etc., see [3–5] for the corresponding results). The purpose of this paper is to prove that these algebras have also the same Bass stable rank. The latter notion is defined as follows.

Let  $A$  be an associative ring with unit. For a natural number  $n$  let  $U_n(A)$  denote the set of *unimodular* elements of  $A^n$ , i.e.,

$$U_n(A) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n : Aa_1 + \dots + Aa_n = A\}.$$

An element  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in U_n(A)$  is called *reducible* if there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \in A$  such that  $(a_1 + c_1a_n, \dots, a_{n-1} + c_{n-1}a_n) \in U_{n-1}(A)$ . The *stable rank*  $\text{sr}(A)$  is the least  $n$  such that every element of  $U_{n+1}(A)$  is reducible. The concept of the stable rank introduced by Bass [1] plays an important role in some stabilization problems of algebraic  $K$ -theory. Following Vaserstein [13], we call a ring of stable rank 1 a *B-ring*. (We refer to this paper for some examples and properties of *B-rings*.)

In [11], Treil proved the following result.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f, g \in H^\infty$  be such that  $\|f\|_{H^\infty} \leq 1$ ,  $\|g\|_{H^\infty} \leq 1$  and*

$$(1.1) \quad \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (|f(z)| + |g(z)|) =: \delta > 0.$$

Then there exists a function  $G \in H^\infty$  such that the function  $\Phi = f + gG$  is invertible in  $H^\infty$ , and moreover  $\|G\|_{H^\infty} \leq C$  and  $\|\Phi^{-1}\|_{H^\infty} \leq C$ , where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $\delta$ .

(Here and below for a normed space  $B$  its norm is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_B$ .)

By the Carleson corona theorem, condition (1.1) is satisfied if and only if  $(f, g) \in U_2(H^\infty)$ . Hence, Treil's theorem implies that  $H^\infty$  is a  $B$ -ring.

Theorem A was used by Tolokonnikov [10] to prove that algebras  $H^\infty(U)$  are  $B$ -rings for finitely connected domains and for some Behrens domains  $U$ . Since then, no other classes of Riemann surfaces  $U$  for which  $H^\infty(U)$  are  $B$ -rings were known. In the present paper, we prove the following extension of Theorem A.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $S'$  be an unbranched covering of a finite bordered Riemann surface  $S$ . Let  $f, g \in H^\infty(S')$  be such that  $\|f\|_{H^\infty(S')} \leq 1$ ,  $\|g\|_{H^\infty(S')} \leq 1$  and*

$$(1.2) \quad \inf_{z \in S'} (|f(z)| + |g(z)|) =: \delta > 0.$$

*Then there exists a function  $G \in H^\infty(S')$  such that the function  $\Phi = f + gG$  is invertible in  $H^\infty(S')$ , and moreover  $\max\{\|G\|_{H^\infty(S')}, \|\Phi^{-1}\|_{H^\infty(S')}\} \leq C$ , where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $\delta$  and  $S$ .*

By the corona theorem for  $H^\infty(S')$  (see Corollary 1.6 in [3]), condition (1.2) is satisfied if and only if  $(f, g) \in U_2(H^\infty(S'))$ . Hence, Theorem 1.1 implies:

**Theorem 1.2.**  *$H^\infty(S')$  is a  $B$ -ring.*

**Remark 1.3.** It is known that every  $B$ -ring is *Hermite* (see, e.g., Theorem 2.7 in [13]), i.e., any finitely generated stably free right module over the ring is free (equivalently, any rectangular left-invertible matrix over the ring can be extended to an invertible matrix). Let  $J \subset H^\infty(S')$  be a closed ideal and  $H_J^\infty := \{c + f : c \in \mathbb{C}, f \in J\}$  be the unital closed subalgebra generated by  $J$ . Then Corollary 1.2 implies that  $H_J^\infty$  is a  $B$ -ring (see, e.g., Theorem 4 in [12]); hence, it is Hermite. This gives a generalization of Theorem 1.1 in [4] proved by a different method.

Let  $M_n(H^\infty(S'))$  be the algebra of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $H^\infty(S')$  regarded as the subspace of bounded linear operators on  $(H^\infty(S'))^n$  equipped with the operator norm. We apply Theorem 1.1 to the problem of reducing a matrix with entries in  $\text{SL}_n(H^\infty(S')) \subset M_n(H^\infty(S'))$  (the subset of matrices with determinant 1) to the identity matrix by addition operations, that is, representing a matrix by the product of elementary matrices (i.e., those that differ from the identity matrix by at most one non-diagonal entry).

**Theorem 1.4.** *Every matrix in  $\text{SL}_n(H^\infty(S'))$  of norm  $\leq M$  is a product of at most  $(n-1)(\frac{3n}{2} + 1)$  elementary matrices whose norms are bounded from above by a constant depending only on  $M, n$  and  $S$ .*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on Theorem A and some results of the author presented in [5] and [6], along with some topological results. In the next section we collect some results required for the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof is given in Section 4.

## 2. Auxiliary results

**2.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{M}(A)$  denote the maximal ideal space of a commutative complex unital Banach algebra  $A$ , i.e., the set of nonzero homomorphisms  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  equipped with the *Gelfand topology*. In this part we present some facts about the maximal ideal space  $\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$ , where  $r: S' \rightarrow S$  is a (not necessarily connected but second-countable) unbranched covering of a bordered Riemann  $S$ , see Section 2 of [4] and Section 4 of [5] for details.

Recall that  $H^\infty(S')$  separates points of  $S'$  and the map  $\iota: S' \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  sending  $x \in S'$  to the evaluation functional  $\delta_x \in (H^\infty(S'))^*$  at  $x$  embeds  $S'$  into  $\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  as an open dense subset – the corona theorem for  $H^\infty(S')$ .

The covering  $r: S' \rightarrow S$  can be viewed as a fiber bundle over  $S$  with a discrete (at most countable) fiber  $F$ . Let  $E(S, \beta F)$  be the space obtained from  $S'$  by taking the Stone–Čech compactifications of fibres under  $r$ . It is a normal Hausdorff space and  $r$  extends to a continuous map  $r_E: E(S, \beta F) \rightarrow S$  such that  $(E(S, \beta F), S, r_E, \beta F)$  is a fibre bundle on  $S$  with fibre  $\beta F$  and  $S'$  is an open dense subbundle of  $E(S, \beta F)$ . Each  $f \in H^\infty(S')$  admits an extension  $\hat{f} \in C(E(S, \beta F))$ , and the algebra formed by such extensions separates points of  $E(S, \beta F)$ . Thus  $\iota$  extends to a continuous injection  $\hat{\iota}: E(S, \beta F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$ ,  $(\hat{\iota}(\xi))(f) := \hat{f}(\xi)$ .

In what follows, we identify  $E(S, \beta F)$  with its image under  $\hat{\iota}$ . Also, for  $K \subset S$  we set  $K' := r^{-1}(K)$ ,  $K_E := r_E^{-1}(K)$  and for a subset  $U$  of a topological space we denote by  $\overset{\circ}{U}$ ,  $\bar{U}$  and  $\partial U$  its interior, closure and boundary.

It is well known that  $S$  can be regarded as a domain in a compact Riemann surface  $R$  such that  $R \setminus \bar{S}$  is the finite disjoint union of open disks with analytic boundaries. Let  $A(S) \subset H^\infty(S)$  be the subalgebra of functions continuous up to the boundary. We denote by  $\hat{r}: \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S')) \rightarrow \bar{S}$  the continuous surjective map induced by the transpose of the homomorphism  $A(S) \rightarrow H^\infty(S')$ ,  $f \mapsto f \circ r$ . Then  $E(S, \beta F)$  coincides with the open set  $\hat{r}^{-1}(S)$  and  $\hat{r}|_{E(S, \beta F)} = r_E$ .

Let  $U \subset R$  be an open set such that  $V := U \cap \bar{S} \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\hat{r}^{-1}(V)$  is an open subset of  $\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  and due to the corona theorem,  $\overset{\circ}{V}' := r^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{V})$ , where  $\overset{\circ}{V} := U \cap S$ , is an open dense subset of  $\hat{r}^{-1}(V)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Each  $f \in H^\infty(\overset{\circ}{V}')$  admits an extension  $\hat{f} \in C(\hat{r}^{-1}(V))$ .*

*Proof.* We reduce the statement to some known results.

We have to extend  $f$  continuously to each point  $\xi \in \hat{r}^{-1}(V)$ . The set  $\hat{r}^{-1}(V)$  is the disjoint union of the open set  $\overset{\circ}{V}_E = \hat{r}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{V})$  and the set  $\hat{r}^{-1}(V \cap \partial S)$ . So we consider two cases.

Case 1.  $\xi \in \hat{r}^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{V})$ .

Let  $O \subset \overset{\circ}{V}$  be an open simply connected neighbourhood of  $\hat{r}(\xi)$ . By the definition of the bundle  $E(S, \beta F)$ , the set  $O_E = r_E^{-1}(O)$  is homeomorphic to  $O \times \beta F$  and this homeomorphism maps  $O' = r^{-1}(O)$  biholomorphically onto  $O \times F$ . Then Lemma 3.1 of [2] implies that  $f|_{O'} \in H^\infty(O')$  admits an extension  $\hat{f} \in C(O_E)$  as required (because  $O_E$  is an open neighbourhood of  $\xi$ ).

Case 2.  $\xi \in \hat{r}^{-1}(V \cap \partial S)$ .

Let  $\hat{r}(\xi)$  belong to a connected component  $\gamma$  of  $\partial S$ . By the definition of  $S$ , there are a relatively open neighbourhood  $A_\gamma \subset \mathring{S}$  of  $\gamma$  and a homeomorphic map  $A_\gamma \rightarrow A := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : c < |z| \leq 1\}, c > 0$ , which maps  $\gamma$  onto the unit circle  $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}$  and is holomorphic on  $\mathring{A}_\gamma$ . Without loss of generality, we identify  $A_\gamma$  with  $A$  and  $\gamma$  with  $\mathbb{S}$ . Then since  $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , there is a relatively open subset  $\Pi \subset V \cap A$  which is a rectangle in polar coordinates with one side of the boundary on  $\mathbb{S}$  such that  $\hat{r}(\xi) \in \Pi$ . Repeating literally the arguments of the proof of Proposition 4.2 in [5], we obtain that each function from  $H^\infty(\mathring{\Pi}')$  admits a continuous extension to  $\hat{r}^{-1}(\Pi)$ . Since the latter is an open neighbourhood of  $\xi$ , this gives the required extension of  $f$  to  $\xi$ . We leave the details to the readers. ■

**Remark 2.2.** Since  $\mathring{V}'$  is dense in  $\hat{r}^{-1}(V)$ , the above extension preserves supremum norm. Then the transpose of the restriction homomorphism  $H^\infty(S') \rightarrow H^\infty(\mathring{V}')$ ,  $f \mapsto f|_{\mathring{V}'}$ , induces a continuous map  $s_V: \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(\mathring{V}')) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  with image  $\hat{r}^{-1}(\mathring{V})$  one-to-one on  $s_V^{-1}(\hat{r}^{-1}(V))$ .

**2.2.** A compact subset  $K \subset \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  is said to be *holomorphically convex* (with respect to the algebra  $H^\infty(S')$ ) if for every  $\xi \notin K$  there is  $f \in H^\infty(S')$  such that

$$\max_K |\hat{f}| < |\hat{f}(\xi)|;$$

here  $\hat{f} \in C(\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S')))$  is the Gelfand transform of  $f$ .

A holomorphically convex subset  $Z \subset \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  is called a *hull* if there is a proper ideal  $I \subset H^\infty(S')$  such that

$$Z = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S')) : \hat{f}(\xi) = 0 \quad \forall f \in I\}.$$

*The algebra  $H^\infty(S')$  is a B-ring if and only if for every hull  $Z \subset \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  the map  $C(\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S')), \mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow C(Z, \mathbb{C}^*), \mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , induced by restriction to  $Z$  is onto, see [7].*

In the next two lemmas,  $S = \mathbb{D}$  and  $S' = S \times F$  for some  $F \subset \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $K \subset \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  is holomorphically convex, then for every  $g \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^*)$ , there exists  $\tilde{g} \in C(\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S')), \mathbb{C}^*)$  such that  $\tilde{g}|_K = g$ .*

*Proof.* According to Lemma 5.3 in [6], the homomorphism of the Čech cohomology groups  $H^1(\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S')), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(K, \mathbb{Z})$  induced by the restriction map to  $K$  is surjective. In turn, by the Arens–Royden theorem,  $H^1(K, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H^1(\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S')), \mathbb{Z})$  are connected components of topological groups  $C(K, \mathbb{C}^*)$  and  $C(\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S')), \mathbb{C}^*)$ , respectively. Hence, for each  $g \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^*)$ , there is  $g_1 \in C(\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S')), \mathbb{C}^*)$  such that  $g \cdot (g_1^{-1})|_K = e^h$  for some  $h \in C(K)$ . Let  $\tilde{h} \in C(\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S')))$  be an extension of  $h$  (existing by the Titze–Urysohn theorem). Then  $\tilde{g} = g_1 e^{\tilde{h}}$  is the required extension of  $g$ . ■

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose  $K \subset \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  is holomorphically convex and  $Z \subset \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  is a hull. Then  $K \cup Z \subset \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  is holomorphically convex.*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \notin K \cup Z$ . By the hypothesis, there exist  $f, g \in H^\infty(S')$  such that  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \hat{g}(\xi) = 1$  and

$$\max_K |f| =: c < 1, \quad g|_Z = 0.$$

Let  $M := \max_K |g|$ . We choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $c^n M < 1$ . Then for  $h := f^n g \in H^\infty(S')$  we have

$$\max_{K \cup Z} |\hat{h}| \leq c^n M < 1 = |\hat{h}(\xi)|.$$

This shows that the set  $K \cup Z$  is holomorphically convex.  $\blacksquare$

**2.3.** The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on the following result.

Let a connected compact Hausdorff space  $X$  be such that there are a closed cover  $(X_j)_{j=1}^m$  of  $X$  and continuous maps  $s_j: \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(\mathbb{D} \times F)) \rightarrow X$ ,  $F \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , satisfying

- (i)  $X_j \subset s_j(\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(\mathbb{D} \times F)))$  and  $s_j$  is one-to-one on  $s_j^{-1}(X_j)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ;
- (ii) for every  $J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $i \notin J$ , the subset

$$s_i^{-1}((\cup_{j \in J} X_j) \cap X_i) \subset \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(\mathbb{D} \times F))$$

is holomorphically convex.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Suppose  $Z \subset X$  is such that for every  $j$  the set  $s_j^{-1}(Z)$  is a hull. Then for every  $g \in C(Z, \mathbb{C}^*)$ , there exists  $\tilde{g} \in C(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$  such that  $\tilde{g}|_Z = g$ .*

*Proof.* We set  $Z_j := s_j^{-1}(Z)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Assume that  $Z \cap X_j \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $s_j^* g := g \circ s_j \in C(Z_j, \mathbb{C}^*)$ . Since  $Z_j$  is a hull, the Treil theorem [11] implies that there is  $g_j \in C(\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(\mathbb{D} \times F)), \mathbb{C}^*)$  which extends  $s_j^* g$ . Hence, due to (i),  $\tilde{g}_j := g_j \circ s_j^{-1}|_{X_j} \in C(X_j, \mathbb{C}^*)$  and extends  $g|_{Z \cap X_j}$ . If  $Z \cap X_j = \emptyset$ , we define  $\tilde{g}_j = 1$ .

We order the sets of the cover  $(X_i)_{i=1}^m$  as follows. Choose some  $X_{i_1} \subset \{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$ . If  $X_{i_p}$  is already chosen, we choose  $X_{i_{p+1}}$  so that

$$X_{i_{p+1}} \cap (\cup_{j=1}^p X_{i_j}) \neq \emptyset.$$

This is possible because  $X$  is connected. We extend  $g$  by induction on the indices of the order.

For  $j = 1$  we set  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}_{i_1}$  on  $X_{i_1}$ . Suppose that  $\tilde{g}$  is already defined on  $\cup_{j=1}^p X_{i_j}$ . Let us define it on  $\cup_{j=1}^{p+1} X_{i_j}$ . To this end let

$$g_{p,p+1} := \tilde{g} \cdot \tilde{g}_{i_{p+1}}^{-1} \quad \text{on} \quad (\cup_{j=1}^p X_{i_j}) \cap X_{i_{p+1}} =: K.$$

By (ii),  $s_{i_{p+1}}^{-1}(K) \subset \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(\mathbb{D} \times F))$  is holomorphically convex and since by the hypothesis  $Z_{i_{p+1}}$  is a hull, Lemma 3.1 implies that  $s_{i_{p+1}}^{-1}(K) \cup Z_{i_{p+1}}$  is a holomorphically convex subset of  $\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(\mathbb{D} \times F))$ . Moreover,  $s_{i_{p+1}}^*(g_{p,p+1}) \in C(s_{i_{p+1}}^{-1}(K), \mathbb{C}^*)$  and equals 1 on  $s_{i_{p+1}}^{-1}(K) \cap Z_{i_{p+1}}$  if the latter is nonvoid. Then it can be extended to a function in  $C(s_{i_{p+1}}^{-1}(K) \cup Z_{i_{p+1}}, \mathbb{C}^*)$  equal to 1 on  $Z_{i_{p+1}}$  ( $\neq \emptyset$ ). Due to Lemma 2.3, the extended

function can be further extended to a function from  $C(\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(\mathbb{D} \times F)), \mathbb{C}^*)$ . Composing this extension with  $s_{i_{p+1}}^{-1}|_{X_{i_{p+1}}}$ , we obtain an extension  $\tilde{g}_{p,p+1} \in C(X_{i_{p+1}}, \mathbb{C}^*)$  of  $g_{p,p+1}$  equal to 1 on  $Z \cap X_{i_{p+1}}$  if this set is not empty. Let us define

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{g}|_{X_{i_{p+1}}} := \tilde{g}_{i_{p+1}} \cdot \tilde{g}_{p,p+1}.$$

Then  $\tilde{g}|_{X_{i_{p+1}}}$  extends  $g|_{Z \cap X_{i_{p+1}}}$  and

$$\tilde{g}|_{X_{i_{p+1}}} \cdot \tilde{g}^{-1}|_{\cup_{j=1}^p X_{i_j}} = \tilde{g}_{i_{p+1}} \tilde{g}_{p,p+1} \tilde{g}^{-1} = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad (\cup_{j=1}^p X_{i_j}) \cap X_{i_{p+1}},$$

i.e., (2.1) gives the required extension of  $\tilde{g}|_{\cup_{j=1}^p X_{i_j}}$  to  $\cup_{j=1}^{p+1} X_{i_j}$ . This completes the proof of the induction step and hence of the proposition.  $\blacksquare$

**2.4.** We apply Proposition 2.5 to  $X = \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$ , where  $S'$  is an unbranched covering of a finite bordered Riemann surface  $S$ . To construct the required cover  $(X_j)$  of  $X$  in this case, we prove the following topological result.

**Lemma 2.6.** *There is a finite cover  $(U_j)_{j=1}^m$  of  $\bar{S}$  by compact subsets homeomorphic to  $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$  such that each  $U_i$  is contained in an open simply connected set  $V_i \subset R$  with simply connected intersection  $V_i \cap S$ , each  $U_i$  intersects with at most two other sets of the family, and each non-void  $U_i \cap U_j$  is homeomorphic to  $I := [0, 1]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\bar{S}$  is triangulable, we may regard it as a two dimensional polyhedral manifold. It follows from the Whitehead theorem (Theorem (3.5) in [14]) that there are a (finite) one-dimensional polyhedron  $L \subset \bar{S}$  with sets of edges  $E_L$  and vertices  $V_L$  and a piecewise linear strong deformation retraction  $F: \bar{S} \times I \rightarrow S$  of  $\bar{S}$  onto  $L$  which maps  $\partial S$  onto  $L$  such that

(a)  $F^{-1}(x, 1) \subset \bar{S}$  is a connected polyhedron homeomorphic to a *star tree* with internal vertex  $x$  of degree 2 if either  $x \in \mathring{e}$  for some  $e \in E_L$  or  $x \in V_L$  is of degree  $\leq 2$ , and of degree  $> 2$  if  $x \in V_L$  is of degree  $> 2$ , and this homeomorphism maps  $F^{-1}(x, 1) \cap \partial S$  onto the set of external vertices of the tree.

(b) If  $e \in E_L$ , then  $F^{-1}(\mathring{e}, 1) \cap \partial S$  is the disjoint union of two sets homeomorphic to  $I$ .

Let  $E_L := \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ . We define

$$U_i := \overline{F^{-1}(\mathring{e}_i, 1)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Then every  $U_i$  is a polyhedral submanifold of  $\bar{S}$  homeomorphic to  $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$  with the boundary formed by some arcs in  $\partial S$  along with some subsets of  $F^{-1}(v_{i_j}, 1)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , homeomorphic to  $I$ ; here  $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2} \in V_L$  are endpoints of  $e_i$ . Clearly every non-void intersection  $U_i \cap U_j \subset F^{-1}(e_i \cap e_j, 1)$  is homeomorphic to  $I$ . Moreover, it is readily seen that each  $U_i$  is contained in an open simply connected subset  $V_i \subset R$  with simply connected intersection  $V_i \cap S$  because  $\bar{S}$  is the strong deformation retract of some of its open neighbourhoods in  $R$  (see, e.g., Theorem (3.3) in [14]).  $\blacksquare$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

*Proof.* We retain notation of Lemma 2.6. We set

$$\Gamma_i = \overline{\partial U_i \setminus \partial S} \quad \text{and} \quad W_i := V_i \cap S, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Then  $\Gamma_i$  consists of two connected components homeomorphic to  $I$  and  $W_i$  is an open simply connected subset of  $S$ . By the definition,  $\Gamma_i \subset \overline{W}_i$ .

Let  $A(W_i) \subset H^\infty(W_i)$  be the subalgebra of functions continuous up to the boundary. We denote by  $\hat{r}_i: \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(W'_i)) \rightarrow \overline{W}_i$  the continuous surjective map induced by the transpose of the inclusion homomorphism  $r_i: A(W_i) \rightarrow H^\infty(W'_i)$ ,  $f \mapsto f \circ r_i$ .

Let  $K$  be either  $\Gamma_i$  or its connected component. We set

$$\tilde{K} := \hat{r}_i^{-1}(K).$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *The set  $\tilde{K} \subset \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(W'_i))$  is holomorphically convex.*

*Proof.* By our construction, the open set  $V_i \setminus K$  is connected. By the Riemann mapping theorem, there is a biholomorphic map  $\psi_i$  of  $V_i$  onto  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \psi_i(K)$  is a connected open subset of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Therefore the compact set  $\psi_i(K) \subset \mathbb{C}$  is polynomially convex, see, e.g., [9], Chapter III, Lemma 1.3. Hence,  $K \Subset V_i$  is holomorphically convex with respect to the algebra  $H^\infty(V_i)$  and so it is holomorphically convex in  $\overline{W}_i$  ( $\Subset V_i$ ) with respect to the algebra  $A(W_i)$ . Since  $\hat{r}_i$  is a surjection onto  $\overline{W}_i$  and  $\tilde{K} \subset \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(W'_i))$  is the preimage of  $K$ , it is holomorphically convex. ■

Since  $W'_i := r^{-1}(W_i)$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{D} \times F$ , where  $F$  is the fibre of the unbranched covering  $r: S' \rightarrow S$ , algebras  $H^\infty(W'_i)$  and  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D} \times F)$  are isomorphic. We denote by  $s_i: \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(\mathbb{D} \times F)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  the continuous map induced by the transpose of the composition of the restriction homomorphism  $H^\infty(S') \rightarrow H^\infty(W'_i)$  and the isomorphism  $H^\infty(W'_i) \rightarrow H^\infty(\mathbb{D} \times F)$ . Then due to Remark 2.2, the image of  $s_i$  is  $\hat{r}^{-1}(\overline{W}_i)$  and  $s_i$  is one-to-one on  $s_i^{-1}(\hat{r}^{-1}(V_i \cap \bar{S}))$ .

We set  $X_i := \hat{r}^{-1}(U_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then  $(X_i)_{i=1}^m$  is a closed cover of  $\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  satisfying condition (i) of Proposition 2.5, i.e.,  $s_i$  is one-to-one on  $s_i^{-1}(X_i)$  (as  $U_i \subset V_i \cap \bar{S}$ ).

Moreover, for every  $J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $i \notin J$ , the set  $(\cup_{j \in J} X_j) \cap X_i$  is either void or the preimage under  $\hat{r}$  of  $\Gamma_i$  or its connected component. Hence, due to Lemma 3.1,  $s_i^{-1}((\cup_{j \in J} X_j) \cap X_i) \subset \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(\mathbb{D} \times F))$  is holomorphically convex, i.e.,  $(X_i)_{i=1}^m$  satisfies condition (ii) of Proposition 2.5 as well.

Finally, if  $Z \subset \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S'))$  is a hull, then  $Z_i := s_i^{-1}(Z)$  is a hull for  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D} \times F)$ . Hence, every function  $g \in C(Z, \mathbb{C}^*)$  has an extension  $\tilde{g} \in C(\mathfrak{M}(H^\infty(S')), \mathbb{C}^*)$ , by Proposition 2.5. But this is equivalent to the fact that  $H^\infty(S')$  is a  $B$ -ring, see Section 2.2 above.

The proof of the theorem is complete. ■

### 4. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.4

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $S'$  is a connected unbranched covering of  $S$ .

Let  $f, g \in H^\infty(S')$  be such that  $\|f\|_{H^\infty(S')} \leq 1$ ,  $\|g\|_{H^\infty(S')} \leq 1$  and

$$(4.1) \quad \inf_{z \in S'} (|f(z)| + |g(z)|) =: \delta > 0.$$

Due to Theorem 1.2, there exists a function  $G \in H^\infty(S')$  such that the function  $f + gG$  is invertible in  $H^\infty(S')$ . By  $\mathcal{G}_{f,g,\delta,S'}$  we denote the class of such functions  $G$ . We must prove that

$$(4.2) \quad C = C(\delta, S) := \sup_{f,g,S'} \inf_{G \in \mathcal{G}_{f,g,\delta,S'}} \max\{\|G\|_{H^\infty(S')}, \|(f + gG)^{-1}\|_{H^\infty(S')}\}$$

is finite. (Here the supremum is taken over all functions  $f, g$  satisfying the above hypotheses and all connected unbranched coverings  $S'$  of  $S$ .)

Let  $\{S'_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, f_i, g_i \in H^\infty(S'_i)$ , be sequences satisfying assumptions of the theorem such that

$$(4.3) \quad C = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{G \in \mathcal{G}_{f_i, g_i, \delta, S'_i}} \max\{\|G\|_{H^\infty(S'_i)}, \|(f_i + g_i G)^{-1}\|_{H^\infty(S'_i)}\}.$$

The disjoint union  $S' := \sqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S'_i$  is clearly an unbranched covering of  $S$  and functions  $f, g \in H^\infty(S')$  defined by the formulas

$$f|_{S'_i} := f_i, \quad g|_{S'_i} := g_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

are of norms  $\leq 1$  and satisfy condition (4.1) on  $S'$ . Then due to Theorem 1.2 there exists a function  $G \in H^\infty(S')$  such that the function  $f + gG$  is invertible in  $H^\infty(S')$ . We set

$$G_i := G|_{S'_i}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then due to (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} C &\leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \max\{\|G_i\|_{H^\infty(S'_i)}, \|(f_i + g_i G_i)^{-1}\|_{H^\infty(S'_i)}\} \\ &= \max\{\|G\|_{H^\infty(S')}, \|(f + gG)^{-1}\|_{H^\infty(S')}\}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Since  $H^\infty(S')$  is a  $B$ -ring (by Theorem 1.2), Lemma 9 and Remark 10 of [8] imply that each matrix  $F \in \text{SL}_n(H^\infty(S'))$  can be presented as a product of at most  $(n-1)(\frac{3n}{2} + 1)$  elementary matrices. Let us show that if

$$(4.4) \quad \|F\|_{\mathcal{M}_n(H^\infty(S'))} \leq M,$$

then these matrices can be chosen so that their norms are bounded from above by a constant depending only on  $M, n$  and  $S$ .

As before, we may assume that  $S'$  is connected. Let  $\mathcal{F}_{M,S',n}$  be the class of matrices  $F \in \text{SL}_n(H^\infty(S'))$  satisfying (4.4). For every  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{M,S',n}$  by  $\Pi_{F,M,S',n}$ , we denote the set of all possible products of  $F$  by at most  $(n-1)(\frac{3n}{2} + 1)$  elementary matrices. By



the above arguments, the set  $\Pi_{F,M,S',n}$  is non-void. For each  $\pi \in \Pi_{F,M,S',n}$ , by  $\|\pi\|$  we denote maximum of norms of elementary matrices in  $\pi$ . We have to prove that

$$(4.5) \quad C = C(S, M, n) := \sup_{S', F \in \mathcal{F}_{M,S',n}} \inf_{\pi \in \Pi_{F,M,S',n}} \|\pi\| < \infty;$$

here  $S'$  runs over all connected unbranched coverings of  $S$ .

Let  $S'_i$  and  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_{M,S'_i,n}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , be such that

$$(4.6) \quad C = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\pi \in \Pi_{F_i, M, S'_i, n}} \|\pi\|.$$

It is clear that the disjoint union  $S' := \sqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S'_i$  is an unbranched covering of  $S$  and the matrix  $F \in H^\infty(S')$  defined by the formula

$$F|_{S'_i} := F_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

belongs to the class  $\mathcal{F}_{M,S',n}$ . Then there is  $\pi \in \Pi_{F,M,S',n}$ . Let  $\pi_i$  be the product obtained by the restriction of elementary matrices in  $\pi$  to  $S'_i$ . Then each  $\pi_i \in \Pi_{F_i, M, S'_i, n}$  and so, due to (4.6),

$$C \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|\pi_i\| = \|\pi\| < \infty.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

**Acknowledgements.** I thank the anonymous referee for useful comments improving the presentation of the paper.

**Funding.** Research supported in part by NSERC.

## References

- [1] Bass, H.: K-theory and stable algebra. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **22** (1964), 5–60.
- [2] Brudnyi, A.: Topology of maximal ideal space of  $H^\infty$ . *J. Funct. Anal.* **189** (2002), no. 1, 21–52.
- [3] Brudnyi, A.: Projections in the space  $H^\infty$  and the corona theorem for subdomains of coverings of finite bordered Riemann surfaces. *Ark. Mat.* **42** (2004), no. 1, 31–59.
- [4] Brudnyi, A.: Grauert- and Lax–Halmos-type theorems and extension of matrices with entries in  $H^\infty$ . *J. Funct. Anal.* **206** (2004), no. 1, 87–108.
- [5] Brudnyi, A.: Projective freeness of algebras of bounded holomorphic functions on infinitely connected domains. To appear in *Algebra i Analiz* **33** (2021), no. 4.
- [6] Brudnyi, A.: Dense stable rank and Runge-type approximation theorem for  $H^\infty$  maps. To appear in *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, doi: [10.1017/S1446788721000045](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788721000045), 2021.
- [7] Corach, G. and Suárez, F.D.: Extension problems and stable rank in commutative Banach algebras. *Topology Appl.* **21** (1985), no. 1, 1–8.
- [8] Dennis, R. K. and Vaserstein, L. N.: On a question of M. Newman on the number of commutators. *J. Algebra* **118** (1988), no. 1, 150–161.

- [9] Gamelin, T. W.: *Uniform algebras*. Prentice–Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1969.
- [10] Tolokonnikov, V.: Stable rank of  $H^\infty$  in multiply connected domains. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), no. 10, 3151–3156.
- [11] Treil, S.: The stable rank of  $H^\infty$  equals 1. *J. Funct. Anal.* **109** (1992), no. 1, 130–154.
- [12] Vaserstein, L. N.: Stable range of rings and dimension of topological spaces. *Functional Anal. Appl.* **5** (1971), 102–110.
- [13] Vaserstein, L. N.: Bass’s first stable range condition. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **34** (1984), no. 2-3, 319–330.
- [14] Whitehead, J. H. C.: The immersion of an open 3-manifold in Euclidean 3-space. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **11** (1961), 81–90.

Received October 6, 2020. Published online June 29, 2021.

**Alexander Brudnyi**

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta T2N 1N4,  
Canada;

[abrudnyi@ucalgary.ca](mailto:abrudnyi@ucalgary.ca)