

Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. 17 (2006), 199-210

Partial differential equations. — *Steklov eigenvalues for the* ∞ -*Laplacian*, by JESUS GARCIA-AZORERO, JUAN J. MANFREDI, IRENEO PERAL and JULIO D. ROSSI.

ABSTRACT. — We study the Steklov eigenvalue problem for the ∞ -Laplacian. To this end we consider the limit as $p \to \infty$ of solutions of $-\Delta_p u_p = 0$ in a domain Ω with $|\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \partial u_p / \partial v = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u$ on $\partial \Omega$. We obtain a limit problem that is satisfied in the viscosity sense and a geometric characterization of the second eigenvalue.

KEY WORDS: Quasilinear elliptic equations; viscosity solutions; Neumann boundary conditions; eigenvalue.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2000): 35J65, 35J50, 35J55.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ be the *p*-Laplacian. The limit operator $\lim_{p\to\infty} \Delta_p = \Delta_{\infty}$ is the ∞ -Laplacian given by

$$\Delta_{\infty} u = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$$

in the viscosity sense (see [5], [6] and [10]). This operator appears naturally when one considers absolutely minimizing Lipschitz extensions of a boundary function f (see [1], [2], and [11]).

Our concern in this paper is the study of the Steklov eigenvalue problem for the ∞ -Laplacian. To this end we consider the ∞ -Laplacian in a bounded smooth domain as limit of the *p*-Laplacian as $p \to \infty$. Therefore our aim is to analyze the limit as $p \to \infty$ for the Steklov eigenvalue problem

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary and $\partial/\partial v$ is the outer normal derivative. Steklov eigenvalues have been introduced in [16] for p = 2. For the existence of a sequence of variational eigenvalues see [16] for p = 2 and [7] for general p. As happens for the eigenvalues for the Dirichlet problem for the p-Laplacian, in general, it is not known if this sequence constitutes the whole spectrum. Note that the first eigenvalue of (1.1) is $\lambda_{1,p} = 0$ with eigenfunction $u_{1,p} \equiv 1$. Hence we can trivially pass to the limit and obtain $\lambda_{1,\infty} = 0$ with eigenfunction $u_{1,\infty} \equiv 1$. Our main result in this paper shows that we can pass to the limit in the variational eigenvalues defined in [7]. Since the first eigenvalue is isolated [15], there exists a second eigenvalue that has a variational characterization [8].

We can pass to the limit in this second eigenvalue and obtain a geometric characterization of the second Steklov eigenvalue for the ∞ -Laplacian. Moreover we obtain a uniform limit of the sequence of eigenfunctions (along subsequences) and we find a limit eigenvalue problem that is satisfied in a viscosity sense which involves the ∞ -Laplacian together with a boundary condition with the normal derivative $\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}$.

THEOREM 1.1. For the first eigenvalue of (1.1) we have

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \lambda_{1,p}^{1/p} = \lambda_{1,\infty} = 0,$$

with eigenfunction given by $u_{1,\infty} = 1$. For the second eigenvalue,

$$\lim_{p\to\infty}\lambda_{2,p}^{1/p}=\lambda_{2,\infty}=\frac{2}{\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)}.$$

Moreover, given eigenfunctions $u_{2,p}$ of (1.1) with eigenvalues $\lambda_{2,p}$ normalized by $||u_{2,p}||_{L^{\infty}}(\partial \Omega) = 1$, there exists a sequence $p_i \to \infty$ such that $u_{2,p_i} \to u_{2,\infty}$ in $C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$. The limit $u_{2,\infty}$ is a solution of

(1.2)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\infty} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Lambda(x, u, \nabla u) = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

in the viscosity sense, where

$$\Lambda(x, u, \nabla u) \equiv \begin{cases} \min\{|\nabla u| - \lambda_{2,\infty}|u|, \partial u/\partial v\} & \text{if } u > 0, \\ \max\{\lambda_{2,\infty}|u| - |\nabla u|, \partial u/\partial v\} & \text{if } u < 0, \\ \partial u/\partial v & \text{if } u = 0. \end{cases}$$

For the k-th eigenvalue, if $\lambda_{k,p}$ is the k-th variational eigenvalue of (1.1) with eigenfunction $u_{k,p}$ normalized by $||u_{k,p}||_{L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)} = 1$, then every sequence tending to infinity has a subsequence p_i such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\lambda_{k,p_i}^{1/p_i}=\lambda_{*,\infty}$$

and $u_{k,p_i} \to u_{*,\infty}$ in $C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, where $(u_{*,\infty}, \lambda_{*,\infty})$ is a solution of (1.2).

We thus have a simple geometrical characterization of $\lambda_{2,\infty}$ as $2/\text{diam}(\Omega)$. From this characterization and the convergence of the eigenfunctions we conclude that the second Steklov eigenfunction in an annulus or a ball is not radial. Also we find that the domain that maximizes $\lambda_{2,\infty}$ among domains with fixed volume is a ball.

We end the introduction with a brief comment on the Dirichlet case. Eigenvalues of the *p*-Laplacian, $-\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u$, with Dirichlet boundary conditions, u = 0 on $\partial \Omega$, have been extensively studied since [9]. The limit as $p \to \infty$ was studied in [13], [12]. In these papers the authors prove results similar to ours. However our proofs are necessarily different due to the presence of the Neumann boundary condition. An anisotropic version of the Dirichlet problem was studied in [4].

200

2. The Steklov eigenvalue problem

First, let us recall some well known results concerning the Steklov eigenvalue problem for the *p*-Laplacian. To this end, we introduce a topological tool, the *genus* (see [14]).

DEFINITION 2.1. Given a Banach space X, we consider the class $\Sigma = \{A \subset X : A \text{ is closed}, A = -A\}$. Over this class we define the genus, $\gamma : \Sigma \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, as

$$\gamma(A) = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \text{there exists } \varphi \in C(A, \mathbb{R}^k - \{0\}) \text{ such that}$$

 $\varphi(x) = -\varphi(-x) \text{ for all } x \in A\}.$

We have the following result whose proof can be obtained following [7]; we omit the details.

THEOREM 2.1. There exists a sequence of eigenvalues λ_n of (1.1) such that $\lambda_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. The so-called variational eigenvalues λ_k can be characterized by

(2.1)
$$\frac{1}{\lambda_k} = \sup_{C \in C_k} \min_{u \in C} \frac{\|u\|_{L^p(\partial \Omega)}^p}{\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p},$$

where $C_k = \{C \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega) : C \text{ is compact, symmetric and } \gamma(C) \ge k\}$ and γ is the genus.

There exists a second eigenvalue for (1.1) and it coincides with the second variational eigenvalue $\lambda_{2,p}$ (see [8]). Moreover, the following characterization of the second eigenvalue $\lambda_{2,p}$ holds:

$$\lambda_{2,p} = \inf_{C \in A} \sup_{u \in C} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx}{\int_{\partial \Omega} |u|^p \, d\sigma} \right\},\,$$

where $A = \{C \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega) : C \text{ is compact, symmetric and } \gamma(C) \ge 2\}$. Observe that every eigenfunction associated with λ_2 changes sign on $\partial \Omega$ (see [15]).

Following [3] let us recall the definition of viscosity solution taking into account general boundary conditions.

DEFINITION 2.2. Consider the boundary value problem

(2.2)
$$\begin{cases} F(x, \nabla u, D^2 u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ B(x, u, \nabla u) = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

(1) A lower semicontinuous function u is a viscosity supersolution of (2.2) if for every $\phi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $u - \phi$ has a strict minimum at $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$ with $u(x_0) = \phi(x_0)$ we have: If $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ then

 $\max\{B(x_0, \phi(x_0), \nabla \phi(x_0)), F(x_0, \nabla \phi(x_0), D^2 \phi(x_0))\} \ge 0,$

and if $x_0 \in \Omega$ then

$$F(x_0, \nabla \phi(x_0), D^2 \phi(x_0)) \ge 0.$$

(2) An upper semicontinuous function u is a viscosity subsolution of (2.2) if for every $\phi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $u - \phi$ has a strict maximum at $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$ with $u(x_0) = \phi(x_0)$ we have: If $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ then

 $\min\{B(x_0, \phi(x_0), \nabla \phi(x_0)), F(x_0, \nabla \phi(x_0), D^2 \phi(x_0))\} \le 0,$

and if $x_0 \in \Omega$ then

$$F(x_0, \nabla \phi(x_0), D^2 \phi(x_0)) \le 0.$$

(3) Finally, u is a viscosity solution if it is a viscosity super- and subsolution.

In our case for the Steklov problem for the *p*-Laplacian we have

$$F_p(\eta, X) \equiv -\operatorname{Trace}(A_p(\eta)X),$$

where

$$A_p(\eta) = \operatorname{Id} + (p-2)\frac{\eta \otimes \eta}{|\eta|^2} \quad \text{if } \eta \neq 0, \qquad A_p(0) = I_N,$$

and

(2.3)
$$B_p(x, u, \eta) \equiv |\eta|^{p-2} \langle \eta, \nu(x) \rangle - \lambda |u|^{p-2} u.$$

With this notation we have

$$\Delta_p u = F_p(\nabla u, D^2 u) \equiv -\left\{\frac{|\nabla \phi(x_0)|^2 \Delta \phi(x_0)}{p-2} + \Delta_\infty \phi(x_0)\right\}.$$

REMARK 2.1. If B_p is monotone in the variable $\partial u/\partial v$, Definition 2.2 takes a simpler form (see [3]). This is indeed the case for (2.3). More concretely, if u is a supersolution of (1.1) and $\phi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ is such that $u - \phi$ has a strict minimum at x_0 with $u(x_0) = \phi(x_0)$, then:

(1) if $x_0 \in \Omega$, then

$$-\left\{\frac{|\nabla\phi(x_0)|^2 \Delta\phi(x_0)}{p-2} + \Delta_{\infty}\phi(x_0)\right\} \ge 0,$$

(2) if $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$, then

$$|\nabla\phi(x_0)|^{p-2}\langle\nabla\phi(x_0),\nu(x_0)\rangle \ge \lambda |\phi(x_0)|^{p-2}\phi(x_0).$$

Let us state a lemma that says that weak solutions of (1.1) are viscosity solutions.

LEMMA 2.1. A continuous weak solution of (1.1) is a viscosity solution.

PROOF. Let $x_0 \in \Omega$ and let ϕ be a test function such that $u(x_0) = \phi(x_0)$ and $u - \phi$ has a strict minimum at x_0 . We want to show that

$$-(p-2)|\nabla\phi|^{p-4}\Delta_{\infty}\phi(x_0)-|\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\Delta\phi(x_0)\geq 0.$$

Assume that this is not the case; then there exists a radius r > 0 such that

$$-(p-2)|\nabla\phi|^{p-4}\Delta_{\infty}\phi(x) - |\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\Delta\phi(x) < 0$$

STEKLOV EIGENVALUES FOR THE ∞ -LAPLACIAN

for every $x \in B(x_0, r)$. Set $m = \inf_{|x-x_0|=r}(u - \phi)(x)$ and let $\psi(x) = \phi(x) + m/2$. This function ψ satisfies $\psi(x_0) > u(x_0)$ and

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla\psi|^{p-2}\nabla\psi) < 0.$$

Multiplying by $(\psi - u)^+$ extended by zero outside $B(x_0, r)$ we get

$$\int_{\{\psi>u\}} |\nabla \psi|^{p-2} \nabla \psi \nabla (\psi-u) < 0.$$

Taking $(\psi - u)^+$ as a test function in the weak form we get

$$\int_{\{\psi>u\}} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla (\psi - u) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$C(N,p)\int_{\{\psi>u\}}|\nabla\psi-\nabla u|^{p}\leq\int_{\{\psi>u\}}\langle|\nabla\psi|^{p-2}\nabla\psi-|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u,\nabla(\psi-u)\rangle<0,$$

a contradiction.

If $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ we want to prove

$$\max\{|\nabla\phi(x_0)|^{p-2}\langle\nabla\phi(x_0),\nu(x_0)\rangle - \lambda|\phi(x_0)|^{p-2}\phi(x_0), - (p-2)|\nabla\phi|^{p-4}\Delta_{\infty}\phi(x_0) - |\nabla\phi|^{p-2}\Delta\phi(x_0)\} \ge 0.$$

Assume that this is not the case. We proceed as before to obtain

$$\int_{\{\psi>u\}} |\nabla \psi|^{p-2} \nabla \psi \nabla (\psi-u) < \int_{\partial \Omega \cap \{\psi>u\}} \lambda |u|^{p-2} u(\psi-u),$$

and

$$\int_{\{\psi>u\}} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla (\psi-u) \ge \int_{\partial \Omega \cap \{\psi>u\}} \lambda |u|^{p-2} u (\psi-u).$$

Therefore,

$$C(N,p)\int_{\{\psi>u\}}|\nabla\psi-\nabla u|^{p}\leq\int_{\{\psi>u\}}\langle|\nabla\psi|^{p-2}\nabla\psi-|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u,\nabla(\psi-u)\rangle<0,$$

again a contradiction. This proves that u is a viscosity supersolution. The proof that u is a viscosity subsolution runs as above; we omit the details. \Box

With all these preliminaries we are ready to pass to the limit as $p \to \infty$ in the eigenvalue problem.

Since $u_{1,p} \equiv 1$ is the first eigenfunction of (1.1) associated to $\lambda_{1,p} = 0$ we can trivially pass to the limit and obtain

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \lambda_{1,p}^{1/p} = 0 = \lambda_{1,\infty}$$

J. GARCIA-AZORERO ET AL.

...

and

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} u_{1,p} = 1 = u_{1,\infty}$$

Now let us prove a geometrical characterization of the second Steklov eigenvalue for the ∞ -Laplacian, defined by

(2.4)
$$\lambda_{2,\infty} = \inf_{C \in A_0} \sup_{u \in C} \left\{ \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)}} \right\},$$

where $A_0 = \{C \subset W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) : C \text{ is compact, symmetric and } \gamma(C) \ge 2\}$. We have

LEMMA 2.2. $\lambda_{2,\infty}$ has the following geometrical characterization:

$$\lambda_{2,\infty} = \frac{2}{\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)}$$

PROOF. Let

$$R = \sup\{r : \exists x_0, x_1 \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ with } B(x_0, r) \cap B(x_1, r) = \emptyset\} = \frac{\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)}{2}.$$

We can take as test functions in (2.4) normalized linear combinations of two cones centered at x_0 and x_1 with radius R, that is, if

$$C_0(x) = \left(1 - \frac{|x - x_0|}{R}\right)_+, \quad C_1(x) = \left(1 - \frac{|x - x_1|}{R}\right)_+,$$

we consider

$$\phi(x) = \alpha C_0(x) + \beta C_1(x)$$
 with $\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} = 1$.

We obtain (as in [13])

$$\lambda_{2,\infty} \leq \frac{1}{R} = \frac{2}{\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)}.$$

To prove the reverse inequality, take a function u in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ that changes sign and is such that

$$\lambda_{2,\infty} \geq \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)}} - \varepsilon.$$

Now u^+ and u^- have disjoint supports and we may normalize so that $||u^+||_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} =$ $||u^-||_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} = 1$; then

$$\|\nabla u^+\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \ge 1/R$$
 or $\|\nabla u^-\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \ge 1/R$.

Therefore,

$$\lambda_{2,\infty} \geq \frac{1}{R} - \varepsilon = \frac{2}{\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)} - \varepsilon.$$

Since this holds for every ε , the proof is complete.

Lemma 2.3.

$$\limsup_{p\to\infty}\lambda_{2,p}^{1/p}\leq\lambda_{2,\infty}.$$

PROOF. As above, let $C_0(x)$ and $C_1(x)$ be two cones centered at x_0 and x_1 of radius R as above, that is,

$$C_0(x) = \left(1 - \frac{|x - x_0|}{R}\right)_+, \quad C_1(x) = -\left(1 - \frac{|x - x_1|}{R}\right)_+.$$

Let us normalize a function $v = aC_0 - bC_1$ (a, b > 0) by $||v||_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} = 1$; then

$$\lambda_{2,p}^{1/p} \le \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p\right)^{1/p}}{\left(\int_{\partial \Omega} |v|^p\right)^{1/p}}.$$

Hence

$$\limsup \lambda_{2,p}^{1/p} \le \frac{1}{R} = \lambda_{2,\infty},$$

as we wanted to prove.

LEMMA 2.4. Given eigenfunctions $u_{2,p}$ of (1.1) with eigenvalues $\lambda_{2,p}$ normalized by $\|u_{2,p}\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} = 1$, there exists a sequence $p_i \to \infty$ such that

$$u_{2,p_i} \to u_{2,\infty}$$
 in $C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$.

The limit $u_{2,\infty}$ satisfies $||u_{2,\infty}||_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ and it changes sign on $\partial\Omega$. Moreover it is a minimizer of (2.4) and

$$\lim_{p\to\infty}\lambda_{2,p}^{1/p}=\lambda_{2,\infty}.$$

PROOF. If q < p, then

(2.5)
$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{2,p}|^q \right)^{1/q} \le |\Omega|^{1/q-1/p} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{2,p}|^p \right)^{1/p}$$
$$= \lambda_{2,p}^{1/p} |\Omega|^{1/q-1/p} \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |u_{2,p}|^p \right)^{1/p} \le \lambda_{2,p}^{1/p} |\Omega|^{1/q-1/p} |\partial\Omega|^{1/p}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, there exists a constant C independent of p such that

(2.6)
$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{2,p}|^q\right)^{1/q} \le C.$$

Hence, as $u_{2,p}$ are uniformly bounded in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ we can take a subsequence which converges weakly in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ (and hence in $C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ if q > N) to a limit $u_{2,\infty}$. Since this can be done for any q we infer that $u_{2,\infty} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. Indeed, from (2.5), we get

(2.7)
$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{2,\infty}|^q\right)^{1/q} \leq \limsup_{p_i \to \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{2,p_i}|^q\right)^{1/q} \leq \lambda_{2,\infty} |\Omega|^{1/q}.$$

Hence, letting $q \to \infty$ in (2.7) we get

(2.8)
$$\|\nabla u_{2,\infty}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \liminf_{p \to \infty} \lambda_{2,p}^{1/p} \leq \lambda_{2,\infty}.$$

From the convergence in $C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ of the sequence u_{2,p_i} and the normalization $||u_{2,p_i}||_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ we obtain

$$\|u_{2,\infty}\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} = 1.$$

To end the proof we need to check that $u_{2,\infty}$ changes sign. Assume that $u_{2,\infty} \ge 0$. Hence u_{2,p_i}^- converges uniformly to zero in $\overline{\Omega}$. From (2.9) there exists $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ such that $u_{2,\infty}(x_0) = 1$. At level p we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u = 0,$$

so

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (u^+)^{p-1} = \int_{\partial\Omega} (u^-)^{p-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.10) \quad |\partial\Omega|^{1/r-1/(p_i-1)} \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |u_{2,p_i}^+|^r \right)^{1/r} \le \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |u_{2,p_i}^+|^{p_i-1} \right)^{1/(p_i-1)} \\ = \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |u_{2,p_i}^-|^{p_i-1} \right)^{1/(p_i-1)} \le |\partial\Omega|^{1/(p_i-1)} \|u_{2,p_i}^-\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

From the uniform convergence of u_{2,p_i} and (2.8), letting $p_i \to \infty$ we get

(2.11)
$$|\partial \Omega|^{1/r} \left(\int_{\partial \Omega} |u_{2,\infty}^+|^r \right)^{1/r} \le 0.$$

A contradiction. This proves that $u_{2,\infty}$ changes sign and satisfies (2.8) and (2.9). Hence, from the definition of $\lambda_{2,\infty}$ we obtain

$$\lambda_{2,\infty} \leq \liminf_{p \to \infty} \lambda_{2,p}^{1/p}.$$

This fact and Lemma 2.3 end the proof. \Box

Now let us analyze the equation satisfied by $u_{2,\infty}$. Let

$$\Lambda(x, u, \eta) \equiv \begin{cases} \min\{|\eta| - \lambda_{2,\infty}|u|, \langle \eta, \nu(x) \rangle\} & \text{if } u > 0, \\ \max\{\lambda_{2,\infty}|u| - |\eta|, \langle \eta, \nu(x) \rangle\} & \text{if } u > 0, \\ \langle \eta, \nu(x) \rangle & \text{if } u = 0. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.5. The limit $u_{2,\infty}$ is a viscosity solution of

(2.12)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\infty} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Lambda(x, u, \nabla u) = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. First, let us check that $-\Delta_{\infty}u_{2,\infty} = 0$ in the viscosity sense in Ω . Let us recall the standard proof. Let ϕ be a smooth test function such that $u_{2,\infty} - \phi$ has a strict maximum at $x_0 \in \Omega$. Since u_{2,p_i} converges uniformly to $u_{2,\infty}$ we see that $u_{2,p_i} - \phi$ has a maximum at some point $x_i \in \Omega$ with $x_i \to x_0$. Now we use the fact that u_{2,p_i} is a viscosity solution of

$$-\Delta_p u = 0$$

to obtain

(2.13)
$$-(p_i-2)|\nabla\phi|^{p_i-4}\Delta_{\infty}\phi(x_i)-|\nabla\phi|^{p_i-2}\Delta\phi(x_i)\leq 0.$$

If $\nabla \phi(x_0) = 0$ we get $-\Delta_{\infty} \phi(x_0) \le 0$. If this is not the case, we find that $\nabla \phi(x_i) \ne 0$ for large *i* and then

$$-\Delta_{\infty}\phi(x_i) \le \frac{1}{p_i - 2} |\nabla \phi|^2 \Delta \phi(x_i) \to 0 \quad \text{as } i \to \infty.$$

We conclude that

$$\Delta_{\infty}\phi(x_0) \le 0.$$

That is, $u_{2,\infty}$ is a viscosity subsolution of $-\Delta_{\infty}u = 0$.

Now we check the boundary condition.

Assume that $u_{2,\infty} - \phi$ has a strict minimum at $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ such that $u_{2,\infty}(x_0) = \phi(x_0)$ > 0. Using the uniform convergence of u_{2,p_i} to $u_{2,\infty}$ we deduce that $u_{2,p_i} - \phi$ has a minimum at some $x_i \in \overline{\Omega}$ with $x_i \to x_0$. If $x_i \in \Omega$ for infinitely many *i*, we can argue as before to obtain

$$\Delta_{\infty}\phi(x_0) \ge 0.$$

On the other hand, if $x_i \in \partial \Omega$ we have

$$|\nabla \phi|^{p_i-2}(x_i)\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu}(x_i) \ge \lambda_{2,p_i}|\phi|^{p_i-2}(x_i)\phi(x_i).$$

If $\nabla \phi(x_0) = 0$, then

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu}(x_0) = 0.$$

If $\nabla \phi(x_0) \neq 0$ we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu}(x_i) \geq \lambda_{2,p_i}^{1/(p_i-1)} \left(\frac{\lambda_{2,p_i}^{1/(p_i-1)} |\phi|}{|\nabla \phi|}(x_i) \right)^{p_i-2} \phi(x_i).$$

Since $\lambda_{2,p}^{1/(p-1)} \to \lambda_{2,\infty}$ as $p \to \infty$ we conclude that

$$\frac{\lambda_{2,\infty}|\phi|}{|\nabla\phi|}(x_0) \le 1.$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu}(x_0) \ge 0.$$

Hence, if $u_{2,\infty} - \phi$ has a strict minimum at $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ with $\phi(x_0) = u_{2,\infty}(x_0) > 0$, we have

(2.14)
$$\max\left\{\min\left\{(-\lambda_{2,\infty}|\phi|+|\nabla\phi|)(x_0),\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(x_0)\right\},-\Delta_{\infty}\phi(x_0)\right\}\geq 0.$$

Now assume that $u_{2,\infty} - \phi$ has a strict maximum at $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ with $u_{2,\infty}(x_0) = \phi(x_0) > 0$. The uniform convergence of u_{2,p_i} to $u_{2,\infty}$ implies that $u_{2,p_i} - \phi$ has a maximum at some $x_i \in \overline{\Omega}$ with $x_i \to x_0$. If $x_i \in \Omega$ for infinitely many *i*, as before we obtain

$$-\Delta_{\infty}u_{2,\infty}(x_0) \le 0.$$

On the other hand, if $x_i \in \partial \Omega$ we have

$$|\nabla \phi|^{p_i-2}(x_i)\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu}(x_i) \leq \lambda_{2,p_i} |\phi|^{p_i-2}(x_i)\phi(x_i).$$

If $\nabla \phi(x_0) = 0$, then

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu}(x_0) = 0.$$

If $\nabla \phi(x_0) \neq 0$ we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu}(x_i) \leq \lambda_{2,p_i}^{1/(p_i-1)} \left(\frac{\lambda_{2,p_i}^{1/(p_i-1)} |\phi|}{|\nabla \phi|}(x_i)\right)^{p_i-2} \phi(x_i).$$

If $\lambda_{2,\infty}|\phi|(x_0) < |\nabla\phi|(x_0)$, then

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu}(x_0) \le 0.$$

Hence,

(2.15)
$$\min\left\{\min\left\{(-\lambda_{2,\infty}|\phi|+|\nabla\phi|)(x_0),\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(x_0)\right\},-\Delta_{\infty}\phi(x_0)\right\}\leq 0.$$

Inequalities (2.14) and (2.15) give the boundary condition, in the viscosity sense, in the region u > 0. The rest of the cases are handled similarly; we just state the final results: If $u_{2,\infty} - \phi$ has a strict maximum at x_0 such that $u_{2,\infty}(x_0) = \phi(x_0) < 0$, then we get

(2.16)
$$\min\left\{\max\left\{(\lambda_{2,\infty}|\phi|-|\nabla\phi|)(x_0),\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(x_0)\right\},-\Delta_{\infty}\phi(x_0)\right\}\leq 0.$$

If $u_{2,\infty} - \phi$ has a strict minimum at $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ with $u_{2,\infty}(x_0) = \phi(x_0) < 0$, then we get

(2.17)
$$\max\left\{\max\left\{(\lambda_{2,\infty}|\phi|-|\nabla\phi|)(x_0),\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(x_0)\right\},-\Delta_{\infty}\phi(x_0)\right\}\geq 0.$$

If $u_{2,\infty} - \phi$ has a strict minimum at $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ such that $u_{2,\infty}(x_0) = \phi(x_0) = 0$ we get

(2.18)
$$\max\left\{\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(x_0), -\Delta_{\infty}\phi(x_0)\right\} \ge 0.$$

STEKLOV EIGENVALUES FOR THE ∞ -LAPLACIAN

Finally, if $u_{2,\infty} - \phi$ has a strict maximum at x_0 with $u_{2,\infty}(x_0) = \phi(x_0) = 0$ it follows that

(2.19)
$$\min\left\{\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(x_0), -\Delta_{\infty}\phi(x_0)\right\} \le 0.$$

Inequalities (2.14)–(2.19) prove the result.

Using the same ideas we can prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.6. Let $\lambda_{k,p}$ be the k-th variational eigenvalue of (1.1) with eigenfunction $u_{k,p}$ normalized by $||u_{k,p}||_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} = 1$. Then every sequence tending to infinity has a subsequence p_i such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\lambda_{k,p_i}^{1/p_i}=\lambda_{*,\infty},\quad u_{k,p_i}\to u_{*,\infty}\quad in\ C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}),$$

where $(u_{*,\infty}, \lambda_{*,\infty})$ is a solution of (1.2).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This research was started while the fourth author (JDR) was a visitor at Universidad Autónoma de Madrid. He is grateful to this institution for its hospitality and stimulating atmosphere.

JGA and IP supported by project MTM2004-02223, M.C.Y.T. Spain.

JJM supported in part by NSF award DMS-0100107.

JDR supported by Fundacion Antorchas, CONICET and ANPCyT PICT 05009.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. ARONSSON, *Extensions of functions satisfying Lipschitz conditions*. Ark. Mat. 6 (1967), 551–561.
- [2] G. ARONSSON M. G. CRANDALL P. JUUTINEN, A tour of the theory of absolutely minimizing functions. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (2004), 439–505.
- G. BARLES, Fully nonlinear Neumann type conditions for second-order elliptic and parabolic equations. J. Differential Equations 106 (1993), 90–106.
- [4] M. BELLONI B. KAWOHL, The pseudo-p-Laplace eigenvalue problem and viscosity solutions as p → ∞. ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 10 (2004), 28–52.
- [5] T. BHATTACHARYA E. DI BENEDETTO J. MANFREDI, *Limits as* $p \to \infty$ of $\Delta_p u_p = f$ and related extremal problems. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 1989, 15–68.
- [6] M. G. CRANDALL H. ISHII P. L. LIONS, User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1992), 1–67.
- [7] J. FERNÁNDEZ BONDER J. D. ROSSI, Existence results for the p-Laplacian with nonlinear boundary conditions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 263 (2001), 195–223.
- [8] J. FERNÁNDEZ BONDER J. D. ROSSI, A nonlinear eigenvalue problem with indefinite weights related to the Sobolev trace embedding. Publ. Math. 46 (2002), 221–235.
- J. GARCÍA-AZORERO I. PERAL, Existence and non-uniqueness for the p-Laplacian: nonlinear eigenvalues. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 12 (1987), 1389–1430.
- [10] H. ISHII P. L. LIONS, Viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear second-order elliptic partial differential euqations. J. Differential Equations 83 (1990), 26–78.

- [11] R. JENSEN, Uniqueness of Lipschitz extensions: minimizing the sup norm of the gradient. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 123 (1993), 51–74.
- [12] P. JUUTINEN P. LINDQVIST J. J. MANFREDI, The ∞-eigenvalue problem. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 148 (1999), 89–105.
- [13] P. JUUTINEN P. LINDQVIST, On the higher eigenvalues for the ∞-eigenvalue problem. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 23 (2005), 169–192.
- [14] M. A. KRASNOSEL'SKIĬ, Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Integral Equations. Macmillan, New York, 1964.
- [15] S. MARTINEZ J. D. ROSSI, Isolation and simplicity for the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian with a nonlinear boundary condition. Abst. Appl. Anal. 7 (2002), 287–293.
- [16] M. W. STEKLOV, Sur les problèmes fondamentaux en physique mathématique, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 19 (1902), 455–490.

Received 6 July 2005,

and in revised form 31 August 2005.

J. Garcia-Azorero, I. Peral Departamento de Matemáticas Universidad Autonoma de Madrid 28049 MADRID, Spain jesus.azorero@uam.es, ireneo.peral@uam.es

> J. J. Manfredi Department of Mathematics University of Pittsburgh PITTSBURGH, PA 15260, USA manfredi@math.pitt.edu

J. D. Rossi Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC) Serrano 123, MADRID, Spain on leave from Departamento de Matemática, FCEyN UBA (1428) BUENOS AIRES, Argentina jrossi@dm.uba.ar