

*Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. 17 (2006), 397[–403](#page-6-0)*

**Mathematical analysis.** — *Dissipative stochastic equations in Hilbert space with time dependent coefficients*, by GIUSEPPE DA PRATO and MICHAEL RÖCKNER, communicated on 23 June 2006.

ABSTRACT. — We prove existence and, under an additional assumption, uniqueness of an evolution system of measures  $(v_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  for a stochastic differential equation with time dependent dissipative coefficients. We prove that if  $P_{s,t}$  denotes the corresponding transition evolution operator, then  $P_{s,t}\varphi$  behaves asymptotically as  $t \to +\infty$ like a limit curve (which is independent of s) for any continuous and bounded "observable"  $\varphi$ .

KEY WORDS: Dissipative stochastic equations; evolution systems of measures; mixing.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2000): 47D07, 37L40, 35B40, 47H06.

## <span id="page-0-0"></span>1. INTRODUCTION

We are given a separable Hilbert space H (with norm  $|\cdot|$  and inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ ); we denote by  $L(H)$  the space of all bounded linear operators in H. We are also given a cylindrical Wiener process defined on a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, (\mathscr{F}_t)_{t>0}, \mathbb{P})$  in H.

We are concerned with the following stochastic differential equation:

(1.1) 
$$
dX = (AX + F(t, X))dt + \sqrt{C} dW(t), \quad X(s) = x \in H,
$$

where  $A: D(A) \subset H \to H$  is the infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$  semigroup  $e^{tA}$  in H,  $C \in L(H)$  is symmetric nonnegative and  $F: D(F) \subset \mathbb{R} \times H \to H$  is such that  $F(t, \cdot)$  is dissipative for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

When s is negative, in order to give a meaning to equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0), we shall extend  $W(t)$ and the filtration  $(\mathscr{F}_t)_{t>0}$  for all  $t < 0$ . To do so we take another cylindrical process  $W_1(t)$ independent of  $W(t)$  and set

$$
\overline{W}(t) = \begin{cases} W(t) & \text{if } t \ge 0, \\ W_1(-t) & \text{if } t \le 0. \end{cases}
$$

Moreover, we denote by  $\overline{\mathscr{F}}_t$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\overline{W}(s), s \leq t, t \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Concerning  $A, C, F$  we shall assume

<span id="page-0-1"></span>HYPOTHESIS 1.1. (i) *There is*  $\omega > 0$  *such that*  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \leq -\omega |x|^2$  *for all*  $x \in D(A)$ *.* (ii)  $C \in L(H)$  *is symmetric, nonnegative and such that* 

$$
\int_0^{+\infty} \mathrm{Tr}[e^{tA}Ce^{tA^*}] dt < +\infty.
$$

(iii)  $F: \mathbb{R} \times H \to H$  *is continuous and there exist*  $M > 0$  *and*  $K > 0$  *such that* 

$$
|F(t,0)| \leq M, \quad |F(t,x) - F(t,y)| \leq K|x-y| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in H, t \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

*Moreover,*

$$
\langle F(t,x)-F(t,y),x-y\rangle\leq 0 \quad \text{for all } x,y\in H,\ t\in\mathbb{R}.
$$

A *mild solution*  $X(t, s, x)$  of [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) is an adapted stochastic process  $X \in$  $C([s, T]; L^2(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P}))$  such that

$$
(1.2) \tX(t,s,x) = e^{(t-s)A}x + \int_s^t e^{(t-r)A} F(r, X(r,s,x)) dr + W_A(t,s), \t t \geq s,
$$

where  $W_A(t, s)$  is the *stochastic convolution* 

(1.3) 
$$
W_A(t,s) = \int_s^t e^{(t-r)A} \sqrt{C} d\overline{W}(r), \quad t \geq s.
$$

It is well known that, in view of Hypothesis [1.1\(](#page-0-1)ii),  $W_A(t, s)$  is a Gaussian random variable in H with mean 0 and covariance operator  $Q_{t,s}$  given by

$$
(1.4) \tQ_{t,s}x = \int_s^t e^{rA}Ce^{rA^*}x dr, \t t \geq s, x \in H,
$$

and that there exists a unique mild solution of [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) (see e.g. [\[5\]](#page-6-1)). We define the transition evolution operator

$$
P_{s,t}\varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X(t,s,x))], \quad t \geq s, \, \varphi \in C_b(H),
$$

where  $C_b(H)$  is the Banach space of all continuous and bounded mappings  $\varphi : H \to \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the sup norm

$$
\|\varphi\|_0 = \sup_{x \in H} |\varphi(x)|.
$$

<span id="page-1-0"></span>REMARK 1.2. Since  $(t, s, x) \mapsto X(t, s, x)$  is mean square continuous, hence continuous in distribution, it follows by definition that  $P_{s,t}$  is *Feller*, that is,  $P_{s,t}\varphi \in C_b(H)$  for all  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$  and any  $s < t$ .

The aim of this paper is to prove the existence and, under a suitable condition, uniqueness of an *evolution system of measures*  $(v_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  indexed by  $\mathbb{R}$  (see [\[2\]](#page-6-2)). This means that each  $v_t$  is a probability measure on H and

$$
(1.5) \qquad \int_H P_{s,t}\varphi(x)\,\nu_s(dx) = \int_H \varphi(x)\,\nu_t(dx) \quad \text{ for all } \varphi \in C_b(H), \ s < t.
$$

This concept is the natural generalization of the notion of an invariant measure to nonautonomous systems. We notice that an evolution system of measures indexed by R is a measure solution of the corresponding (dual) Kolmogorov equation on all the real line. So, it is a generalization of a measure solution of the Kolmogorov equations on half-lines (see [\[1\]](#page-6-3)).

Using the system  $(v_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  we are able to study the asymptotic behaviour of  $P_{s,t}\varphi(x)$ . We prove that

(1.6) 
$$
\lim_{s \to -\infty} P_{s,t} \varphi(x) = \int_H \varphi(y) \nu_t(dy), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in H
$$

and

(1.7) 
$$
\lim_{t\to+\infty}\bigg[P_{s,t}\varphi(x)-\int_H\varphi(y)\,\nu_t(dy)\bigg]=0, \quad \forall s\in\mathbb{R},\,x\in H.
$$

The second result implies that  $P_{s,t}\varphi(x)$  approaches as  $t \to +\infty$  a curve, parametrized by  $t$ , which is independent of  $s$  and  $x$ . This is the natural generalization of the strongly mixing property for an autonomous dissipative system (see e.g. [\[4,](#page-6-4) §3.4]).

In a paper in preparation we shall study the case when the coefficient  $F(t, x)$  is singular, generalizing the results in [\[3\]](#page-6-5).

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>2. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF AN EVOLUTION FAMILY OF MEASURES INDEXED BY R

It is convenient to write equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) as a family of deterministic equations indexed by  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Setting  $Y(t) = X(t, s, x) - W_A(t, s)$ , we see that  $Y(t)$  is a mild solution of the deterministic evolution equation

(2.1) 
$$
Y'(t) = AY(t) + F(t, Y(t) + W_A(t, s)), \quad Y(s) = x.
$$

<span id="page-2-1"></span>In the following we shall treat  $Y(t)$  as a classical solution for simplicity. This argument can be made rigorous by approximating  $W_A$  with  $n(n - A)^{-1}W_A$  and x with  $n(n - A)^{-1}x$ and then letting  $n \to \infty$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *For any*  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  *there is*  $C_m > 0$  *such that* 

$$
(2.2) \t\t\t\mathbb{E}(|X(t,s,x)|^{2m}) \leq C_m(1+e^{-m\omega(t-s)}|x|^{2m}).
$$

PROOF. Multiplying [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0) by  $|Y(t)|^{2m-2}Y(t)$  and taking into account Hypothesis [1.1](#page-0-1) yields, for a suitable constant  $C_m^1$ ,

$$
\frac{1}{2m} \frac{d}{dt} |Y(t)|^{2m} \le -\omega |Y(t)|^{2m} + \langle F(t, W_A(t, s)), Y(t) \rangle |Y(t)|^{2m-2} \n+ \langle F(t, Y(t) + W_A(t, s)) - F(t, W_A(t, s)), Y(t) \rangle |Y(t)|^{2m-2} \n\le -\omega |Y(t)|^{2m} + \langle F(t, W_A(t, s)), Y(t) \rangle |Y(t)|^{2m-2} \n\le -\frac{\omega}{2} |Y(t)|^{2m} + C_m^1 |F(W_A(t, s))|^{2m}.
$$

By a standard comparison result it follows that

$$
|Y(t)|^{2m} \leq e^{-m\omega(t-s)}|x|^{2m} + 2mC_m^1 \int_s^t e^{-m\omega(t-\sigma)} |F(\sigma, W_A(t, \sigma))|^{2m} d\sigma,
$$

and finally we find that, for some constant  $C_m^2$ ,

(2.3) 
$$
|X(t,s,x)|^{2m} \leq C_m^2 e^{-m\omega(t-s)} |x|^{2m} + C_m^2 \left( \int_s^t e^{-m\omega(t-\sigma)} |F(\sigma, W_A(t,\sigma))|^{2m} d\sigma + |W_A(t,s)|^{2m} \right).
$$

Now the conclusion follows by taking expectation, recalling that in view of Hypothesis [1.1,](#page-0-1)

$$
|F(t,x)| \leq |F(t,0)| + |F(t,x) - F(t,0)| \leq M + K|x|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \ x \in H,
$$

and using the fact that

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R},\,t\geq s}\mathbb{E}|W_A(t,s)|^{2m}<+\infty.\qquad\Box
$$

The following lemma gives a generalization to the time dependent case of a result proved in [\[4\]](#page-6-4).

LEMMA 2.2. Assume that Hypothesis [1](#page-0-1).1 holds. Then for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $\eta_t \in$  $L^2(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$  *(independent of x)* such that

(2.4) 
$$
\lim_{s \to -\infty} X(t, s, x) = \eta_t \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P}).
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>*Moreover,*

(2.5) 
$$
\mathbb{E}|X(t,s,x)-\eta_t|^2 \leq 2e^{-2\omega(t-s)}(|x|^2+C_2).
$$

PROOF. Let  $h > 0$  and set  $Z(t) = X(t, s, x) - X(t, s - h, x), t \geq s$ . Then  $Z(t)$  is a mild solution of the following problem:

(2.6) 
$$
\begin{cases} Z'(t) = AZ(t) + F(t, X(t, s, x)) - F(t, X(t, s - h, x)), \\ Z(s) = x - X(s, s - h, x). \end{cases}
$$

Multiplying [\(2.6\)](#page-3-0) by  $Z(t)$  and taking into account Hypothesis [1.1](#page-0-1) yields

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|Z(t)|^2 \leq -\omega |Z(t)|^2.
$$

Therefore

$$
|X(t,s,x)-X(t,s-h,x)|^2=|Z(t)|^2\leq e^{-2\omega(t-s)}|x-X(s,s-h,x)|^2.
$$

Now, by Lemma [2.1](#page-2-1) it follows that

$$
(2.7) \qquad \mathbb{E}|X(t,s,x)-X(t,s-h,x)|^2 \leq 2e^{-2\omega(t-s)}(|x|^2+C_2(1+e^{-2\omega h}|x|^2)).
$$

Consequently, by the completeness of  $L^2(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $x \in H$ , there exists the limit  $\overline{2}$ 

$$
\lim_{s\to-\infty}X(t,s,x):=\eta_t(x)\quad\text{ in }L^2(\Omega,\mathscr{F},\mathbb{P}).
$$

Moreover, letting  $h \to \infty$  yields [\(2.5\)](#page-3-1) (if we know that  $\eta_t(x)$  is independent of x).

DISSIPATIVE STOCHASTIC EQUATIONS **401**

<span id="page-4-0"></span>It remains to show that  $\eta_t(x)$  is independent of x.

Let x, y ∈ H and set  $V(t) = X(t, s, x) - X(t, s, y)$ . Then  $V(t)$  is the solution of the following problem:

(2.8) 
$$
\begin{cases} V'(t) = AV(t) + F(t, X(t, s, x)) - F(t, X(t, s, y)), \\ V(s) = x - y. \end{cases}
$$

Multiplying [\(2.8\)](#page-4-0) by  $V(t)$  and taking into account Hypothesis [1.1](#page-0-1) yields

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|V(t)|^2 \leq -\omega|V(t)|^2, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
$$

so that

$$
|X(t,s,x) - X(t,s,y)|^2 = |V(t)|^2 \le e^{-2\omega(t-s)}|x-y|^2, \quad t,s \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

Letting  $s \to -\infty$  we see that  $\eta_t(x) = \eta_t(y)$ , as required.  $\Box$ 

In the following we shall denote by  $v_t$  the law of  $\eta_t$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

PROPOSITION 2.3.  $(v_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  *is an evolution system of measures indexed by*  $\mathbb{R}$ *,* 

$$
(2.9) \qquad \int_H P_{s,t}\varphi(x)\,\nu_s(dx) = \int_H \varphi(x)\,\nu_t(dx), \quad s \le t, \ \varphi \in C_b(H).
$$

*Moreover, for all*  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$  *we have* 

(2.10) 
$$
\lim_{s \to -\infty} P_{s,t} \varphi(x) = \int_H \varphi(y) \nu_t(dy), \quad x \in H.
$$

PROOF. Let us first prove [\(2.10\)](#page-4-1). Let  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$ . Letting  $s \to -\infty$  in the identity

<span id="page-4-2"></span><span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
P_{s,t}\varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X(t,s,x))]
$$

and recalling [\(2.4\)](#page-3-2) yields

$$
\lim_{s\to-\infty} P_{s,t}\varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\eta_t)] = \int_H \varphi(y) \nu_t(dy),
$$

and [\(2.10\)](#page-4-1) is proved. Let us prove [\(2.9\)](#page-4-2). Let  $s < t < \tau$ . Letting  $s \to -\infty$  in the identity

$$
P_{s,t}P_{t,\tau}\varphi(x)=P_{s,\tau}\varphi(x),
$$

recalling Remark [1.2](#page-1-0) and taking into account [\(2.10\)](#page-4-1) yields

$$
\int_H P_{t,\tau}\varphi(y)\,\nu_t(dy) = \int_H \varphi(y)\,\nu_\tau(dy). \qquad \Box
$$

The following result gives information on the asymptotic behaviour of  $P_{s,t}\varphi(x)$  when  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let*  $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$ *. Then for any*  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  *and*  $x \in H$ *, we have* 

(2.11) 
$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \left[ P_{s,t} \varphi(x) - \int_H \varphi(x) \nu_t(dx) \right] = 0.
$$

PROOF. Fix  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in H$  and choose  $s_1 < s$ . Set, for  $t > s$ ,

$$
X(t) = X(t, s, x),
$$
  $Y(t) = X(t, s_1, x),$   $Z(t) = X(t) - Y(t).$ 

Then we have

$$
\frac{d}{dt}Z(t) = AZ(t) + F(t, X(t)) - F(t, Z(t)), \quad Z(s) = x - X(s, s_1, x).
$$

Multiplying scalarly both sides of this identity by  $Z(t)$  and taking into account the dissipativity of  $F(t, \cdot)$  yields

$$
\frac{d}{dt}|Z(t)|^2 \le 2\omega |Z(t)|^2,
$$

so that

$$
|X(t,s,x)-X(t,s_1,x)|^2=|Z(t)|^2\leq e^{-2\omega(t-s)}|x-X(s,s_1,x)|^2.
$$

Letting  $s_1 \rightarrow -\infty$  yields

$$
|X(t, s, x) - \eta_t|^2 = |Z(t)|^2 \le e^{-2\omega(t-s)} |x - \eta_s|^2.
$$

Consequently,

$$
\left| P_{s,t} \varphi(x) - \int_H \varphi(x) \, \nu_t(dx) \right|^2 = \left| \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X(t,s,x))] - \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\eta_t)] \right|^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq ||\varphi||^2_{C_b^1(H)} \mathbb{E}(|X(t,s,x) - \eta_t|^2) \leq ||\varphi||^2_{C_b^1(H)} e^{-2\omega(t-s)} \mathbb{E}(|x - \eta_s|^2),
$$

which yields the conclusion.  $\Box$ 

We end the paper with a uniqueness result.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Assume that*  $(\zeta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  *is an evolution system of measures indexed by*  $\mathbb{R}$ *and that there exists* C > 0 *such that*

$$
\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\int_H|x|^2\,\zeta_t(dx)\leq C.
$$

*Then*  $\zeta_t = v_t$  *for all*  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *.* 

PROOF. Let  $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$ . By the assumption we have, for  $s < t$ ,

$$
\int_H P_{s,t}\varphi(x)\,\zeta_s(dx) = \int_H \varphi(x)\,\zeta_t(dx).
$$

We claim that

(2.12) 
$$
\lim_{s \to -\infty} \int_H P_{s,t} \varphi(x) \zeta_s(dx) = \int_H \varphi(x) \nu_t(dx).
$$

By the claim it follows that  $\zeta_t = v_t$  by the arbitrariness of  $\varphi$ . To prove the claim write

$$
(2.13)\ \int_H P_{s,t}\varphi(x)\,\zeta_s(dx) = \int_H \left(P_{s,t}\varphi(x) - \int_H \varphi(y)\,\nu_t(dy)\right)\zeta_s(dx) + \int_H \varphi(y)\,\nu_t(dy).
$$

<span id="page-6-0"></span>DISSIPATIVE STOCHASTIC EQUATIONS **403**

But, since

$$
P_{s,t}\varphi(x)-\int_H\varphi(y)\,\nu_t(dy)=\mathbb{E}(\varphi(X(t,s,x)-\varphi(\eta_t)),
$$

we have, taking into account [\(2.5\)](#page-3-1),

$$
\left| P_{s,t} \varphi(x) - \int_H \varphi(y) \nu_t(dy) \right|^2 \leq ||\varphi||^2_{C_b^1(H)} \mathbb{E}(|X(t,s,x) - \eta_t)|^2)
$$
  

$$
\leq 2e^{-2\omega(t-s)} (|x|^2 + C_2) ||\varphi||^2_{C_b^1(H)}.
$$

So,

$$
\left|\int_H \left(P_{s,t}\varphi(x)-\int_H \varphi(y)\,\nu_t(dy)\right)\zeta_s(dx)\right|^2 \leq 2\|\varphi\|_{C_b^1(H)}^2 e^{-2\omega(t-s)}\bigg(C_2+\int_H |x|^2\,\zeta_s(dx)\bigg),
$$

and the conclusion follows.  $\Box$ 

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[1] V. BOGACHEV - G. DA PRATO - M. RÖCKNER, *Existence of solutions to weak parabolic equations for measures*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 88 (2004), 753–774.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[2] G. DA PRATO - M. RÖCKNER, *Singular dissipative stochastic equations in Hilbert spaces*. Probab. Theory Related Fields 124 (2002), 261–303.
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[3] G. DA PRATO - M. RÖCKNER, A note on nonautonomous stochastic differential equations. In: Proceedings of the 5th Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications (Ascona, 2005), R. Dalang, M. Dozzi and F. Russo (eds.), Progr. Probab., Birkhäuser, to appear.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[4] G. DA PRATO - J. ZABCZYK, *Ergodicity for Infinite Dimensional Systems*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 229, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996.
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[5] P. GUIOTTO, *Cauchy problem for nonautonomous Kolmogorov equations*. Stochastic Anal. Appl. 14 (1996), 393–410.

Received 4 March 2006, and in revised form 5 June 2006.

> G. Da Prato Scuola Normale Superiore Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7 56126 PISA, Italy daprato@sns.it

M. Röckner Department of Mathematics and Statistics Purdue University 150 N. University St. WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907-2067, USA roeckner@math.purdue.edu