



Partial Differential Equations — *Existence and multiplicity results for a weighted p -Laplace equation involving Hardy potentials and critical nonlinearities*, by ROBERTA MUSINA, communicated by Carlo Sbordone on 13 February 2009.

ABSTRACT. — We study a class of elliptic problems involving weighted p -Laplace operators, critical growths and Hardy potentials. The main motivation lies in some Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities that were proved by Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg in 1984.

KEY WORDS: Variational methods; critical growth; weighted L^p -Laplace operator; Hardy inequalities; Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities; breaking symmetry.

2000 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 53A10 (49J10).

Dedicated to Renato Caccioppoli on the 50th anniversary of his death.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with a class of variational problems involving weights that are powers of the distance from the origin. More precisely, we look for nonnegative weak solutions to

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|x|^a |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = \lambda |x|^{a-p} u^{p-1} + |x|^{-b_q} u^{q-1} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla u|^p dx < \infty, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 < p < q, \quad a > p - N, \quad b_q = N - q \frac{N - p + a}{p}, \\ \lambda < \left(\frac{N - p + a}{p} \right)^p, \quad q \leq p^* := \frac{Np}{N - p} \quad \text{if } p < N. \end{aligned}$$

Much interest has been paid to problems of the form (1.1). Assume for instance that $a = 0$. Then $-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = -\Delta_p u$ is the standard p -Laplace operator. Let $p < N$, and notice that $b_{p^*} = N - p^*(N - p)/p = 0$. Positive solutions to

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p U = U^{p^*-1} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^p dx < \infty \end{cases}$$

are explicitly known since the celebrated papers [1] by Aubin and [27] by Talenti. In particular, it turns out that $U > 0$ solves (1.3) if and only if U is an extremal for the Sobolev constant S_p .

A large number of papers deal with (1.1) and with similar variational problems. We quote for example [2], [5], [7]–[23], [25], [26], [28], [29] and references there-in. At our knowledge, all the available results for (1.1) require $p = 2$, or $\lambda = 0$, or $a = 0$.

The purpose of the present paper is twofold. We survey some of the results from [11] and [13] about the semilinear elliptic case $p = 2$, from [5], [15], [26], where $\lambda = 0$ is assumed, and from the appendix of the paper [14], that deals with a non-compact problem for the p -Laplace operator. In addition we prove new existence and multiplicity results by suitably adapting the arguments of [15] and [16].

The remaining of the present paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we point out the main features of problem (1.1).

In Section 3 we focus our attention on the existence of a ground state (see Section 2 for the definition) and of a radially symmetric nontrivial solution. The main results in this section are Theorems 3.1 and 3.4.

In Section 4 we compare the ground state and the radially symmetric solution. We report on the breaking symmetry results from [13], where $p = 2$, and from [5], [26], where $\lambda = 0$ is assumed. Then we take $2 \leq p < q < p^*$ and we use the arguments in [16] to find out a region of parameters a , q and λ where breaking symmetry occurs. The main result in this section is Theorem 4.4.

NOTATION

We denote by c any constant $c \in \mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$ that depends only on fixed parameters.

Let $p > 1$ and let $N \geq 1$ be an integer. We set $p^* := \frac{Np}{N-p}$ if $p < N$ and $p^* = \infty$ if $p \geq N$. If $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we put

$$\lambda_{p,a} := \left(\frac{N - p + a}{p} \right)^p.$$

We denote by B_R the N -dimensional ball of radius R centered at the origin. The surface measure of $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} = \partial B_1$ is $\omega_N = |\partial B_1|$.

Let $X = (X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. Then X' is its topological dual space. For any sequence g_h in X , we write $g_h \rightharpoonup g$ if g_h converges to $g \in X$ weakly, and $g_h \rightarrow g$ if $\|g_h - g\| \rightarrow 0$.

Let $q \in (1, +\infty)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^N . We denote by $L^q(\Omega; |x|^\alpha dx)$ the space of measurable functions u , such that $|x|^{\alpha/q} u \in L^q(\Omega)$.

For any exponent $p \in (1, N)$, the space $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is defined as the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the L^p norm of $|\nabla u|$. It is well known that $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded into $L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The explicit value of the Sobolev constant

$$S_p := \inf_{\substack{U \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \\ U \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U|^{p^*} dx\right)^{p/p^*}}$$

and of its minimizers were given in [1] and in [27].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this preliminary section we recall some well known integral inequalities and we describe the mean features of problem (1.1).

2.1. Hardy and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg Inequalities

Let $p > 1$ and $a > p - N$. The Hardy inequality states that

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda_{p,a} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |u|^p dx < \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla u|^p dx$$

for any $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thanks to (2.1), we can define the reflexive Banach space $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$ as the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the L^p -norm of $|x|^{a/p} |\nabla u|$. Notice that $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; dx) = \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if $p < N$ and $a = 0$.

We will deal also with the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities. Let $1 < p < q$ and assume $q \leq p^*$ if $p < N$. Set $b_q := N - q(N - p + a)/p$. In [6] it is proved that there exists a constant $c = c(N, p, a, q) > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad c \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |u|^q dx \right)^{p/q} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla u|^p dx$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$. If $\lambda < \lambda_{p,a}$, then inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) plainly imply that the infimum

$$(2.3) \quad S_p(a, \lambda, q) := \inf_{\substack{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla u|^p dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |u|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |u|^q dx\right)^{p/q}}$$

is positive. Notice that $S_p(a, 0, p) = \lambda_{p,a}$ and $S_p(0, 0, p^*) = S_p$ if $p < N$.

Assume that $S_p(a, \lambda, q)$ is attained by a function $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$. Then u is nonnegative weak solution to (1.1), up to a multiplicative constant. The argument is nowadays standard and it will be omitted. Any solution to (1.1) which achieves $S_p(a, \lambda, q)$ is called *ground state*.

2.2. Lack of Compactness

In this section we describe some lack of compactness phenomena that may be observed in studying the minimization problem (2.3). In order to simplify notations we put

$$(2.4) \quad n(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla u|^p dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |u|^p dx.$$

Notice that $n(u)^{1/p}$ is bounded from below and from above by the norm of u in $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$, by Hardy inequality. In particular, if $p = 2$ or if $\lambda = 0$ then $n(\cdot)^{1/p}$ is an (equivalent) norm in $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$.

The invariances of the functional $n(\cdot)$ and of the norm in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^{-bq} dx)$ generate noncompact minimizing sequences. Assume for instance that $S_p(a, \lambda, q)$ is attained by a function $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$. Take any sequence $t_h > 0$ and set

$$u_h(x) := t_h^{(N-p+a)/p} u(t_h x).$$

Since

$$n(u_h) = n(u), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-bq} |u_h|^q dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-bq} |u|^q dx,$$

then u_h achieves $S_p(a, \lambda, q)$ for any h . Now we can easily exhibit noncompact minimizing sequences. Take for instance $t_h \rightarrow \infty$. Then the functions u_h *concentrate* at 0, that is, $|x|^a |\nabla u_h|^p \rightarrow 0$ in $L^1(\{|x| > R\})$ for any $R > 0$. Also *vanishing* may be produced: if $t_h \rightarrow 0$ then $|x|^a |\nabla u_h|^p \rightarrow 0$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

In the limiting case $p < N$ and $q = p^*$, the group of translations is responsible of additional and worst lack of compactness phenomena. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ choose a map $U_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$S_p \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U_\varepsilon|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dx\right)^{p/p^*}} < S_p + \varepsilon.$$

Fix a point $x_0 \neq 0$ and put

$$U_{\varepsilon,h}(x) := h^{(N-p)/p} U_\varepsilon(h(x - x_0)).$$

Notice that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(U_{\varepsilon,h})}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{Na/(N-p)} |U_{\varepsilon,h}|^{p^*} dx\right)^{p/p^*}} = S_p.$$

Test $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*)$ with $U_{\varepsilon,h}$ and pass to the limit to get

$$(2.5) \quad S_p(a, \lambda, p^*) \leq S_p.$$

This is a crucial inequality. Assume that $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*) = S_p$. If $\varepsilon_h \rightarrow 0$ is a suitably chosen sequence, then $U_{\varepsilon_h,h}$ approaches $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*)$. Notice that $U_{\varepsilon_h,h}$ concentrates at $x_0 \neq 0$ as $h \rightarrow \infty$. In addition, it can be proved that it blows-up an extremal for the Sobolev constant S_p . Actually, the infimum $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*)$ might be not achieved if equality holds in (2.5). This happens, for instance, when $a = 0$, $\lambda < 0$ and when $p = 2$, $a > 0$ (see Propositions 3.5 and 3.6). On the other

hand, in the next section we will show that the strict inequality $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*) < S_p$ guarantees enough compactness and the existence of a minimizer.

3. EXISTENCE

The first result in this section provides sufficient conditions for the existence of a ground state.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $1 < p < q$, $a > p - N$ and assume that (1.2) is satisfied.*

- i) *If $p \geq N$ or if $q < p^*$, then $S_p(a, \lambda, q)$ is achieved.*
- ii) *If $p < N$, then $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*)$ is achieved provided that $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*) < S_p$.*

Theorem 3.1 was already known for some special values of the parameters involved. We quote [11] for $p = 2$, [15] for $\lambda = 0$ and finally [14] for $a = 0$.

The proof in [11] is based on a helpful functional change that does not behaves nicely when $p \neq 2$. The argument adopted in [14] to handle the case $a = 0$ is based on a hard adaptation of the Concentration-Compactness Lemmata by P. L. Lions (see also [2], [28] for a noncompact problem with cylindrical weights). We notice also that in case $a < 0$ and $\lambda = 0$, a change of the x -variable reduces the problem to the case $a = 0$, where Schwarz symmetrization gives the existence of a ground state that is radially symmetric (see [18]). In general, when $a \geq 0$ or $\lambda \neq 0$ one can not look forward to a radially symmetric ground state (compare with the results in Section 4).

To prove Theorem 3.1 we follow the main ideas of the papers [22] and [15], that deal with a class of variational problems with spherical and cylindrical weights. In particular, the paper [22] is concerned with the semilinear case $p = 2$, while $\lambda = 0$ is assumed in [15]. The proofs in [22], [15] were inspired by arguments that have been developed by Sacks and Uhlenbeck in their seminal paper [24] on minimal spheres in a Riemannian manifold (see also [22] and [23] for a similar variational problem and [3], [4], [9] for the H -surfaces problem).

The strategy consists in selecting a “good” minimizing sequence via Ekeland’s variational principle and rescaling argument. The proof of Theorem 3.1 turns out to be direct, self-contained and flexible.

The next Lemma is the main step in the proof.

LEMMA 3.2. *“ ε -compactness lemma”. Let $1 < p < q$, $a > p - N$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^N . Assume that (1.2) is satisfied. Let $u_h \rightarrow 0$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-b_q} |u_h|^q dx < S_p(a, \lambda, q)^{q/(q-p)},$$

$$(3.2) \quad -\operatorname{div}(|x|^a |\nabla u_h|^{p-2} \nabla u_h) - \lambda |x|^{a-p} |u_h|^{p-2} u_h = |x|^{-b_q} |u_h|^{q-2} u_h + f_h \quad \text{on } \Omega,$$

where $f_h \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)'$. Then $u_h \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; |x|^{-b_q} dx)$.

PROOF. Let Ω' be any domain compactly contained in Ω . Take any nonnegative function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ on Ω' . Use Lemmata 1.1 and 1.2 in [15] to check that $\varphi^p u_h$ is an admissible test function for (3.2) and that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla u_h|^{p-2} \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla(\varphi^p u_h) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla(\varphi u_h)|^p dx + o(1).$$

Therefore

$$(3.3) \quad n(\varphi u_h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |u_h|^{q-p} |\varphi u_h|^p dx + o(1),$$

where $n(\cdot)$ is defined in (2.4). We notice that

$$n(\varphi u_h) \geq S_p(a, \lambda, q) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |\varphi u_h|^q dx \right)^{p/q}$$

by (2.3). Then we use Hölder inequality to estimate the right-hand side of (3.3). In this way we get

$$\begin{aligned} & S_p(a, \lambda, q) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |\varphi u_h|^q dx \right)^{p/q} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-b_q} |u_h|^q dx \right)^{(q-p)/q} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |\varphi u_h|^q dx \right)^{p/q} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (3.1) we infer

$$o(1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |\varphi u_h|^q dx \geq \int_{\Omega'} |x|^{-b_q} |u_h|^q dx,$$

as $\varphi \equiv 1$ on Ω' . Since Ω' was arbitrarily chosen, this proves that $u_h \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L_{\text{loc}}^q(\Omega; |x|^{-b_q} dx)$. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. Fix a small $\varepsilon_0 < S_p(a, \lambda, q)^{q/(q-p)}$ and let $n(\cdot)$ be the functional defined in (2.4). The proof will be carried out in two steps.

STEP 1. We claim that there exists a weakly convergent sequence u_h , such that

$$(3.4) \quad S_p(a, \lambda, q)^{q/(q-p)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |u_h|^q dx = n(u_h) + o(1),$$

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_2} |x|^{-b_q} |u_h|^q dx = \varepsilon_0,$$

$$(3.6) \quad -\operatorname{div}(|x|^a |\nabla u_h|^{p-2} \nabla u_h) - \lambda |u_h|^{p-2} u_h = |x|^{-b_q} |u_h|^{q-2} u_h + f_h \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $f_h \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)'$. For, it suffices to use Ekeland's variational principle and to notice that the ratio

$$\frac{n(u_h)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |u_h|^q dx\right)^{p/q}}$$

is homogeneous and invariant under rescaling. The sequence u_h is bounded in $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$ by (3.4) and by Hardy's inequality. Thus we can assume that $u_h \rightharpoonup u$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$, for some $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$.

STEP 2. We claim that $u \neq 0$ and that u achieves $S_p(a, \lambda, q)$.

Assume by contradiction that $u_h \rightarrow 0$. Then Lemma 3.2 and (3.5) imply that $u_h \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q_{\text{loc}}(B_2; |x|^{-b_q} dx)$. If $q < p^*$ then $u_h \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q(B_2; |x|^{-b_q} dx)$ by Rellich Theorem. This conclusion clearly contradicts (3.5). Hence we assume $p < N$ and

$q = p^*$. Since $u_h \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{p^*}_{\text{loc}}(B_2; |x|^{Na/(N-p)} dx)$, then $\int_{B_1} |x|^{Na/(N-p)} |u_h|^{p^*} dx \rightarrow 0$. From (3.5) we infer

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_K |x|^{Na/(N-p)} |u_h|^{p^*} dx = \varepsilon_0 > 0,$$

where $K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid 1 < |x| < 2\}$. Choose a nonnegative smooth function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\varphi \equiv 0$ in a neighbourhood of 0 and $\varphi \equiv 1$ on K . As in the proof of Lemma 3.2 we can use $\varphi^p u_h$ as test function in (3.6) to get

$$(3.8) \quad n(\varphi u_h) \leq S_p(a, \lambda, p^*) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{Na/(N-p)} |\varphi u_h|^{p^*} dx \right)^{p/p^*} + o(1).$$

Notice that

$$|x|^{(a-p)/p} |\varphi u_h| \rightarrow 0, \quad |x|^{a/p} |\nabla(\varphi u_h)| - |\nabla(|x|^{a/p} \varphi u_h)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

by Rellich Theorem, as φ has compact support in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. Thus

$$n(\varphi u_h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(|x|^{a/p} \varphi u_h)|^p dx + o(1) \geq S_p \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{Na/(N-p)} |\varphi u_h|^{p^*} dx \right)^{p/p^*} + o(1)$$

by the Sobolev inequality. In particular, from (3.8) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & S_p \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{Na/(N-p)} |\varphi u_h|^{p^*} dx \right)^{p/p^*} \\ & \leq S_p(a, \lambda, p^*) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{Na/(N-p)} |\varphi u_h|^{p^*} dx \right)^{p/p^*} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*) < S_p$ and since $\varphi \equiv 1$ on K , we infer

$$\int_K |x|^{Na/(N-p)} |u_h|^{p^*} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{Na/(N-p)} |\varphi u_h|^{p^*} dx = o(1),$$

which contradicts (3.7). Thus, $u_h \rightharpoonup u \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$.

Next, from (3.6) we get that u solves

$$-\operatorname{div}(|x|^a |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) - \lambda |x|^{a-p} |u|^{p-2} u = |x|^{-b_q} |u|^{q-2} u \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

In particular

$$S_p(a, \lambda, q) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |u|^q dx \right)^{p/q} \leq n(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |u|^q dx$$

by (3.2). Since $u \neq 0$, using also (3.4) we get

$$n(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |u|^q dx \geq S_p(a, \lambda, q)^{q/(q-p)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |u_h|^q dx.$$

But then the lower semicontinuity of the norm in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^{-b_q} dx)$ implies

$$n(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |u|^q dx = S_p(a, \lambda, q)^{q/(q-p)}.$$

Therefore u achieves $S_p(a, \lambda, q)$. The proof is complete. □

REMARK 3.3. Assume $N = 1 < p < q$, $a > p - 1$, $\lambda < |(1 - p + a)/p|^p$. If \underline{u} is a minimizer for $S_p(a, \lambda, q)$, then \underline{u} vanishes on a half-line. For, argue as in the proof of Lemma A.7 in [15]. As a corollary to Theorem 3.1 we get the existence of a positive solution $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; s^a ds)$ to the ODE problem

$$\begin{cases} -(s^a |u'|^{p-2} u')' = \lambda s^{a-p} u^{p-1} + s^{-b_q} u^{q-1} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+ \\ u(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The arguments in Section 4 of [15] can be used in order to prove existence for any $a \neq 1 - p$.

In the next existence result we take advantage of the invariance of problem (1.1) with respect to rotations. Notice that no upper bounds on q are needed.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $N \geq 2$, $1 < p < q$ and $a > p - N$. Then problem (1.1) has a nonnegative radially symmetric solution $\underline{u} \neq 0$ for any $\lambda < \lambda_{p,a}$.*

PROOF. We introduce the space $\mathcal{D}_{\text{rad}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$ of radially symmetric maps in $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$. Use (2.1) to check that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) \cap \mathcal{D}_{\text{rad}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{rad}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$ (see for example [22]). Fix any function $u = u(|x|) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$. Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla u|^p dx = \omega_N \int_0^\infty r^{a+N-1} |u'|^p dr,$$

then the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities (2.2) and the Hardy inequality (2.1) (with $N = 1$ and a replaced by $a + N - 1 > p - 1$) imply that the infimum

$$S_{p,\text{rad}}(a, \lambda, q) := \inf_{\substack{u \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{rad}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla u|^p dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |u|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |u|^q dx\right)^{p/q}}$$

is positive. We claim that $S_{p,\text{rad}}(a, \lambda, q)$ is achieved on $\mathcal{D}_{\text{rad}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$. The proof goes as for Theorem 3.1. Only minor modifications are needed. In particular, one has to take radially symmetric cut-off functions φ . The conclusion follows via standard arguments. \square

3.1. On the Inequality $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*) < S_p$

Let $p \in (1, N)$, $a > p - N$, $\lambda < \lambda_{p,a}$ and take $q = p^*$. If in addition $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*) < S_p$, then problem (1.1) has a ground state solution, by Theorem 3.1.

In this section we collect a few sufficient conditions for $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*) < S_p$. We start by recalling some known facts.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let $a = 0$. Then $S_p(0, \lambda, p^*) < S_p$ if and only if $0 < \lambda < \lambda_{p,0}$. If $\lambda < 0$ then $S_p(0, \lambda, p^*)$ is not achieved.*

The results in Proposition 3.5 were already noticed in [29] for $p = 2$ and in Appendix A of [14] for general exponents $p \in (1, N)$. Let us check it for completeness. By (2.5) it turns out that $S_p(0, \lambda, p^*) \leq S_p$ for any λ . Thus equality holds if $\lambda \leq 0$. Notice that $S_p(0, \lambda, p^*)$ can not be achieved if $\lambda < 0$, as S_p is achieved. Next, assume $\lambda > 0$ and test $S_p(0, \lambda, p^*)$ with the radially symmetric function

$$(3.9) \quad U(x) = (1 + |x|^{p/(p-1)})^{-(N-p)/p}.$$

By the results in [1], [27], it turns out that U achieves the Sobolev constant S_p . The strict inequality $S_p(0, \lambda, p^*) < S_p$ immediately follows.

When $p = 2$, Proposition 3.5 easily implies the next result.

PROPOSITION 3.6 ([11]). *Let $p = 2$. Then $S_2(a, \lambda, 2^*) < S_2$ if and only if*

$$(3.10) \quad \lambda_{2,a} - \lambda_{2,0} \leq \lambda < \lambda_{2,a}.$$

If $\lambda < \lambda_{2,a} - \lambda_{2,0}$ then $S_2(a, \lambda, 2^)$ is not achieved.*

To prove Proposition 3.6 it is convenient to use the functional transform

$$u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx) \rightarrow |x|^{a/2} u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

It turns out that the minimization problems for $S_2(a, \lambda, 2^*)$ and for $S_2(0, \mu, 2^*)$ are equivalent, provided that λ and μ satisfy the identity $\lambda_{2,a} - \lambda = \lambda_{2,0} - \mu$. In particular (3.10) holds if and only if $0 < \mu < \lambda_{2,0}$. The conclusion readily follows from Proposition 3.5.

As far as we know, if $p \neq 2$ no similar transform is available and very few is known. In the next result we take $p \in (1, N)$, $a < 0$ and we improve Theorem 0.2 in [15].

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let $p - N < a < 0$. Then there exists $\lambda^* < \lambda_{p,a} \frac{N-1}{N-p} \frac{ap}{N+a}$ such that $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*) < S$ if $\lambda_* < \lambda < \lambda_{p,a}$.*

PROOF. Set

$$\lambda_0 := \lambda_{p,a} \frac{N-1}{N-p} \frac{ap}{N+a},$$

and let U be the Aubin-Talenti function defined in (3.9). Notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla U|^p dx - \lambda_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |U|^p dx < \left(1 - \frac{N-1}{N-p} \frac{ap}{N+a}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla U|^p dx$$

by Hardy inequality. Hence

$$S_p(a, \lambda_0, p^*) < \frac{N}{N-p} \frac{N-p-a(p-1)}{N+a} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla U|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{Na/(N-p)} |U|^{p^*} dx\right)^{p/p^*}}.$$

On the other hand, it has been shown in [15], proof of Theorem 0.2, that

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla U|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{Na/(N-p)} |U|^{p^*} dx\right)^{p/p^*}} \leq S_p \frac{N-p}{N} \frac{N+a}{N-p-a(p-1)}.$$

Therefore $S_p(a, \lambda_0, p^*) < S_p$. To conclude, notice that $S_p(a, \lambda_0 - \varepsilon, p^*) < S_p$ if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, and that the map $\lambda \rightarrow S_p(a, \lambda, p^*)$ is non-increasing. \square

When a is positive an additional restriction is needed.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let $0 < a < \frac{N-p}{p-1}$. Then there exists $\lambda^* < a \left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}$ such that $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*) < S$ if $\lambda_* < \lambda < \lambda_{p,a}$.*

PROOF. Set

$$c_N := \left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^{p-1},$$

and notice that $ac_N < \lambda_{p,a}$ for any $a < \frac{N-p}{p-1}$. As in Proposition 3.7 it suffices to prove that $S_p(a, ac_N, p^*) < S_p$. The strategy again consists in testing $S_p(a, \lambda, p^*)$ with the Aubin-Talenti function U defined in (3.9). In order to simplify notations we set

$$\Phi(x) = 1 + |x|^{p/(p-1)},$$

in such a way that $U = \Phi^{-(N-p)/p}$ and $U^{p^*} = \Phi^{-N}$. Notice that

$$-\Delta_p U = Nc_N U^{p^*-1} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^p dx = Nc_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U|^{p^*} dx = Nc_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi^{-N} dx = (Nc_N)^{1-N/p} S_p^{N/p}.$$

In particular

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi^{-N} dx = (Nc_N)^{-N/p} S_p^{N/p}.$$

For $x \neq 0$ we compute

$$-\operatorname{div}(|x|^a |\nabla U|^{p-2} \nabla U) = (-\Delta_p U + ac_N U^{p^*-1} \Phi) |x|^a \\ = Nc_N (1 + aN^{-1} \Phi) |x|^a U^{p^*-1}.$$

Therefore $U \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$ since $a < (N - p)/(p - 1)$, and

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla U|^p dx = Nc_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a \Phi^{-N} (1 + aN^{-1} \Phi) dx.$$

We notice also that

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |U|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a \Phi^{-N} (|x|^{-1} \Phi)^p dx.$$

Next, use Hölder inequality to estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a \Phi^{-N} dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{Na/(N-p)} \Phi^{-N} dx \right)^{p/p^*} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi^{-N} dx \right)^{p/N}.$$

Thus from (3.11) we infer

$$(3.14) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{Na/(N-p)} |U|^{p^*} dx \right)^{-p/p^*} \leq S_p \left(Nc_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a \Phi^{-N} dx \right)^{-1}.$$

From (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) we finally get

$$S_p(a, ac_N, p^*) \leq S_p \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a \Phi^{-N} (1 - G(x)) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a \Phi^{-N} dx},$$

where

$$G(x) = aN^{-1} (|x|^{-p} \Phi^{p-1} - 1) \Phi = aN^{-1} [(1 + |x|^{-p/(p-1)})^{p-1} - 1] \Phi > 0.$$

The conclusion readily follows. □

REMARK 3.9. The estimate for λ^* in Propositions 3.7 and 3.8 are not sharp, at least when $p = 2$ (compare with Proposition 3.6). Also the restriction $a < \frac{N-p}{p-1}$ in Proposition 3.8 is purely technical.

4. BREAKING SYMMETRY AND MULTIPLICITY

Assume $p < q < p^*$, $a > p - N$ and $\lambda < \lambda_{p,a}$. By the results in Section 3, the best constants $S_p(a, \lambda, q)$ and $S_{p,\text{rad}}(a, \lambda, q)$ are both (well defined and) achieved. In general it turns out that

$$S_p(a, \lambda, q) \leq S_{p,\text{rad}}(a, \lambda, q).$$

When $S_p(a, \lambda, q) < S_{p,\text{rad}}(a, \lambda, q)$ a “breaking symmetry” phenomenon appears. In this case problem (1.1) has a radial solution and a ground state solution which is not radially symmetric.

In this last part of the paper we collect some sufficient conditions to have $S_p(a, \lambda, q) < S_{p,\text{rad}}(a, \lambda, q)$. The goal is to get the existence of multiple non-negative solutions to (1.1).

Breaking symmetry was already observed by Catrina and Wang in [11], in case $p = 2$. In [13] Felli and Schneider gave a sharper description of the region in which breaking symmetry occurs.

THEOREM 4.1 ([13]). *Let $N > 2 = p$, $a > 2 - N$ and $2 < q < 2^*$. If*

$$\lambda < \lambda_{2,a} - 4 \frac{N-1}{q^2-4}$$

then $S_2(\lambda, a, q) < S_{2,\text{rad}}(a, \lambda, q)$ and problem (1.1) has at least two distinct nontrivial positive solutions.

Theorem 4.1 is equivalent to Corollary 1.2 in [13]. For, use the functional transform $u \rightarrow |x|^{\bar{a}/2} u(x)$, where $\bar{a} = 2 - N + 2\sqrt{\lambda_{2,a} - \lambda}$.

Breaking symmetry was investigated also in [5] and [26] in case $p > 1$ and $\lambda = 0$.

THEOREM 4.2 ([5], [26]). *Let $N \geq 2$ and $1 < p < q < p^*$. Then there exists $a_0(N, p, q)$ such that for $a > a_0(N, p, q)$ no minimizer for $S_p(a, 0, q)$ is radial.*

Theorem 4.2 and Theorems 3.1, 3.4 in Section 3.1 immediately imply the next multiplicity result.

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let $N \geq 2$, $1 < p < q < p^*$ and $a > p - N$. Then for every a large enough problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|x|^a |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = |x|^{-b_q} u^{q-1} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla u|^p dx < \infty \end{cases}$$

has at least two distinct nonnegative and nontrivial solutions.

The proofs of Theorem 4.2 in [5] and in [26] are based on the analysis of the asymptotic behaviour of the best constants $S_p(a, 0, q)$ and $S_{p,\text{rad}}(a, 0, q)$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$.

In [26], Smets and Willem showed that some partial symmetry is preserved in the region where $S_p(a, 0, q) < S_{p,\text{rad}}(a, 0, q)$.

Next we state a new breaking symmetry result. Notice that the additional restriction $p \geq 2$ is needed.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let $N \geq 2$, $2 \leq p < q$ and $a > p - N$. Assume $q \leq p^*$ if $p < N$. Then there exists $\lambda_{sb} = \lambda(N, p, a, q)$ such that for any $\lambda < \lambda_{sb}$ no minimizer for $S_p(a, \lambda, q)$ is radial.*

Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 imply the following corollary to Theorem 4.4.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let $N \geq 2$, $2 \leq p < q < p^*$ and $a > p - N$. Then there exists $\lambda_{sb} = \lambda(N, p, a, q)$ such that for any $\lambda < \lambda_{sb}$ problem (1.1) has at least two distinct nonnegative and nontrivial solutions.*

To prove Theorem 4.4 we show that the Morse index of nontrivial radially symmetric solutions increases as $\lambda \ll \lambda_{p,a}$. The responsible are the eigenfunctions of the (strongly elliptic) Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{N-1} . In (4.5) we give an explicit sufficient condition to have $S_p(a, \lambda, q) < S_{p,\text{rad}}(a, \lambda, q)$. For instance, breaking symmetry occurs in the following cases:

- when $\lambda = 0$ and $a \geq p - N + p\sqrt{\frac{(N-1)(p-1)}{q-p}}$;
- when $a = 0$, $p > 2$ and $\lambda < -\frac{2}{p-2} \left(\frac{(N-1)(p-1)(p-2)}{(q-p)p}\right)^{p/2}$;
- when a is large enough and

$$\lambda \leq \left(\frac{N - p + a}{p}\right)^p - \left(\frac{N - p + a}{p}\right)^{p-2} \frac{(N - 1)(p - 1)}{q - p}.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.4 needs a preliminary Lemma. In order to simplify notations, for $u \neq 0$ we set

$$n(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla u|^p dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |u|^p dx$$

as in Section 2.2, and

$$d(u) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b_q} |u|^q dx\right)^{p/q}, \quad Q(u) = \frac{n(u)}{d(u)}.$$

LEMMA 4.6. *Let $p > 1$, $a > p - N$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $q > p$ and assume $q \leq p^*$ if $p < N$. If \underline{u} is a radially symmetric local minimum for Q on $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx) \setminus \{0\}$, then*

$$Q(\underline{u}) \leq \frac{(N - 1)(p - 1)}{q - p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla \underline{u}|^p dx\right)^{(p-2)/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |\underline{u}|^p dx\right)^{2/p}.$$

PROOF. By homogeneity we can assume that $d(\underline{u}) = 1$, so that $Q(\underline{u}) = n(\underline{u})$. Compute the partial derivative of Q at \underline{u} , along any direction

$h \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$. Since \underline{u} is a minimum point for Q , then $Q'(\underline{u}) \cdot h = 0$ and $Q''(\underline{u}) \cdot h \cdot h \geq 0$. Hence $n'(\underline{u}) \cdot h = Q(\underline{u})d'(\underline{u}) \cdot h$ and

$$(4.1) \quad Q(\underline{u})d''(\underline{u}) \cdot h \cdot h \leq n''(\underline{u}) \cdot h \cdot h.$$

Now we choose the direction h . Let $f_1 \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ be an eigenfunction of the Laplace operator on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} relatively to the smaller positive eigenvalue, that is,

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} f_1 d\sigma = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |f_1|^2 d\sigma = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\nabla_{\sigma} f_1|^2 d\sigma = N - 1.$$

We are allowed to take $h(x) = u(|x|)f_1(x/|x|)$, as $h \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$. It turns out that

$$d''(\underline{u}) \cdot h \cdot h = p \left[\frac{p-q}{q} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-bq} |\underline{u}|^{q-2} u h \right)^2 + (q-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-bq} |\underline{u}|^{q-2} |h|^2 \right]$$

that is

$$(4.3) \quad d''(\underline{u}) \cdot h \cdot h = p(q-1)$$

by (4.2). Now notice that $|\nabla h|^2 = |\nabla \underline{u}|^2 |f_1|^2 + |x|^{-2} |\underline{u}|^2 |\nabla_{\sigma} f_1|^2$ and

$$n''(\underline{u}) \cdot h \cdot h = p(p-1) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p-2} |\nabla h|^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |\underline{u}|^{p-2} |h|^2 \right].$$

Since $p \geq 2$, from (4.2) we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p-2} |\nabla h|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla \underline{u}|^p + (N-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-2} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p-2} |\underline{u}|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla \underline{u}|^p + (N-1) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla \underline{u}|^p \right)^{(p-2)/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |\underline{u}|^p \right)^{2/p} \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder inequality. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} n''(\underline{u}) \cdot h \cdot h \\ \leq p(p-1) \left[Q(\underline{u}) + (N-1) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla \underline{u}|^p \right)^{(p-2)/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |\underline{u}|^p \right)^{2/p} \right], \end{aligned}$$

that compared with (4.1) and (4.3) readily leads to the conclusion. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.4. Assume that $\underline{u} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{rad}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N; |x|^a dx)$ achieves $S_p(a, \lambda, q)$ for some $\lambda < \lambda_{p,a}$. To simplify notations we normalize \underline{u} to have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-bq} |\underline{u}|^q dx = 1$. From Lemma 4.6 we infer

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla \underline{u}|^p dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |\underline{u}|^p dx \leq \gamma \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla \underline{u}|^p dx \right)^{(p-2)/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |\underline{u}|^p dx \right)^{2/p},$$

where $\gamma := (N - 1)(p - 1)/(q - p)$. We set

$$s^p := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a |\nabla \underline{u}|^p dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-p} |\underline{u}|^p dx}, \quad \alpha := \frac{N - p + a}{p}.$$

Notice that $s > \alpha$ by Hardy’s inequality. From (4.4) we readily get $\lambda \geq s^p - \gamma s^{p-2}$. Elementary calculus can be used to compute the infimum of $s \rightarrow s^p - \gamma s^{p-2}$ on $\{s > \alpha\}$. In this way we get

$$\lambda > \alpha^p - \gamma \alpha^{p-2} \quad \text{if } p = 2 \text{ or } a \geq p - N + \sqrt{\gamma p(p - 2)},$$

$$\lambda \geq -2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{p} \right)^{p/2} (p - 2)^{(p-2)/2} \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

For smaller values of the parameters λ no radially symmetric function achieves $S_p(a, \lambda, q)$. Conversely, if

$$(4.5) \quad \lambda \leq \alpha^p - \gamma \alpha^{p-2} \quad \text{if } p = 2 \text{ or } a \geq p - N + \sqrt{\gamma p(p - 2)},$$

$$\lambda < -2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{p} \right)^{p/2} (p - 2)^{(p-2)/2} \quad \text{otherwise}$$

then $S_p(a, \lambda, q) < S_{p,\text{rad}}(a, \lambda, q)$. □

REMARK 4.7. Some of the results in the present paper can be proved also for a class of noncompact problems on \mathbb{R}^N involving cylindrical weights. For details see [2], [10], [15], [16], [19]–[23] and [28].

REFERENCES

- [1] T. AUBIN, *Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev*, J. Differential Geom. 11 (1976), 573–598.
- [2] M. BADIALE - G. TARANTELLO, *A Sobolev-Hardy inequality with applications to a nonlinear elliptic equation arising in astrophysics*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 163 (2002), 252–293.
- [3] F. BETHUEL - O. REY, *Multiple solutions to the Plateau problem for nonconstant mean curvature*, Duke Math. J. 73 (1994), 593–646.
- [4] H. BREZIS - J. M. CORON, *Convergence of solutions of H-systems or how to blow bubbles*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 89 (1985), 21–56.
- [5] W. BYEON - Z. Q. WANG, *Symmetry breaking of extremal functions for the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities*, Comm. Cont. Math. 4 (2002), 457–465.
- [6] L. CAFFARELLI - R. KOHN - L. NIRENBERG, *First order interpolation inequalities with weights*, Compositio Math. 53 (1984), 259–275.

- [7] P. CALDIROLI - R. MUSINA, *On the existence of extremal functions for a weighted Sobolev embedding with critical exponent*, Calc. of Var. 8 (1999), 365–387.
- [8] P. CALDIROLI - R. MUSINA, *Existence and non existence results for a class of nonlinear singular Sturm-Liouville equations*, Adv. Diff. Eq. 6, 303–326 (2001).
- [9] P. CALDIROLI - R. MUSINA, *Existence of minimal H-bubbles*, Comm. Cont. Math. 4 (2002), 177–209.
- [10] D. CASTORINA - I. FABBRI - G. MANCINI - K. SANDEEP, *Hardy-Sobolev extremals, hyperbolic symmetry and scalar curvature equations*, J. Diff. Equations 246 (2009), 1187–1206.
- [11] F. CATRINA - Z. Q. WANG, *On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities: sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of extremal functions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 54 (2001), 229–258.
- [12] K. S. CHOU - C. W. CHU, *On the best constant for a weighted Hardy-Sobolev inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 48 (1993), 137–151.
- [13] V. FELLI - M. SCHNEIDER, *Perturbation results of critical elliptic equations of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type*, J. Diff. Equations 191 (2003), 121–142.
- [14] R. FILIPPUCCI - P. PUCCI - F. ROBERT, *On a p -Laplace equation with multiple critical nonlinearities*, J. Math Pures Appl. 91 (2008), 156–177.
- [15] M. GAZZINI - R. MUSINA, *On a Sobolev-type inequality related to the weighted p -Laplace operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 352 (2009), 99–111.
- [16] M. GAZZINI - R. MUSINA, *On the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities: symmetry and breaking symmetry of extremal functions*, Commun. Contemp. Math., in press.
- [17] N. GHOUSSOUB - C. YUAN, *Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDES involving the critical Hardy and Sobolev exponents*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 5703–5743.
- [18] T. HORIUCHI, *Best constant in weighted Sobolev inequality with weights being powers of distance from the origin*, J. Inequal. Appl. 1 (1997), 275–292.
- [19] G. MANCINI - I. FABBRI - K. SANDEEP, *Classification of solutions of a critical Hardy Sobolev operator*, J. Diff. Equations 224 (2006), 258–276.
- [20] G. MANCINI - K. SANDEEP, *Cylindrical symmetry of extremals of a Hardy-Sobolev inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 183 (2004), 165–172.
- [21] G. MANCINI - K. SANDEEP, *On a semilinear elliptic equation in \mathbb{H}^N* , Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., 7 (2008), 635–671.
- [22] R. MUSINA, *Ground state solutions of a critical problem involving cylindrical weights*, Nonlinear Analysis 68 (2008), 3972–3986.
- [23] R. MUSINA, *Existence of extremals for the Maz'ya and for the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities*, Nonlinear Analysis, 70 (2009), 3002–3007.
- [24] J. SACKS - K. UHLENBECK, *The existence of minimal immersions of 2-spheres*, Ann. Math. 113 (1981), 1–24.
- [25] D. SMETS, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with Hardy potential and critical nonlinearities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2005), 2909–2938.
- [26] D. SMETS - M. WILLEM, *Partial symmetry and asymptotic behavior for some elliptic variational problems*, Calc. Var. 18 (2003), 57–75.
- [27] G. TALENTI, *Best constants in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura e Appl. 110 (1976), 353–372.
- [28] A. TERTIKAS - K. TINTAREV, *On existence of minimizers for the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura e Appl. 186 (2007), 645–662.

- [29] S. TERRACINI, *On positive entire solutions to a class of equations with a singular coefficient and critical exponent*, Adv. Diff. Eq. 2 (1996), 241–264.

Received 15 December 2008,
and in revised form 12 January 2009.

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Informatica
Università di Udine
Via delle Scienze, 206
33100 Udine, Italy
E-mail: musina@dimi.uniud.it

