



**Partial Differential Equations** — *Eigenvalue problem for fractional Kirchhoff Laplacian*, by J. TYAGI, communicated on June 15, 2017.

ABSTRACT. — In this note, we discuss the isolatedness, simplicity and nodal estimate for the first eigenvalue of fractional Laplacian of Kirchhoff type. This work is motivated by the recent works on the fractional eigenvalues.

KEY WORDS: Fractional Laplacian, variational methods, simplicity and isolatedness

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 35J25, 35J60

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we are interested to discuss the eigenvalue problem for the following fractional Laplacian of Kirchhoff type

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} M\left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy\right) (-\Delta)^s u(x) = \Lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n / \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with smooth boundary,  $n > 2s$  ( $0 < s < 1$ ) and  $(-\Delta)^s$  stands for the fractional Laplacian. Let us assume that (H)  $M : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a continuous function which satisfies

$$M(t) \geq m_0, \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

Let  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the fractional Laplacian of  $u$  is defined as follows:

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_{n,s} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy = C_{n,s} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\varepsilon(x)} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy,$$

where

$$C_{n,s} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1 - \cos \zeta}{|\zeta|^{n+2s}} d\zeta \right)^{-1},$$

which is a normalization constant, see Section 3 [5].

One can also write the above singular integral as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad (-\Delta)^s u(x) = -\frac{C_{n,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x+y) + u(x-y) - 2u(x)}{|y|^{n+2s}} dy,$$

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, u \in S(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

see [5]. When  $s < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\alpha > 2s$ , or if  $f \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 + 2\alpha > 2s$ , the above integral is well-defined.

Following [14], suppose  $X$  denotes the linear space of Lebesgue measurable functions from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that the restriction to  $\Omega$  of any function  $g$  in  $X$  belongs to  $L^2(\Omega)$  and the map

$$(x, y) \mapsto \frac{g(x) - g(y)}{|x - y|^{\frac{n}{2} + s}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus (\mathcal{C}\Omega \times \mathcal{C}\Omega)),$$

where  $\mathcal{C}\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ . Moreover,

$$X_0 = \{g \in X : g = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega\}.$$

Let  $Q = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus (\mathcal{C}\Omega \times \mathcal{C}\Omega)$ . The space  $X$  is endowed with the norm defined as

$$(1.3) \quad \|g\|_X = \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left( \int_Q \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

One can see easily that  $\|\cdot\|_X$  is a norm on  $X$ . Using a sort of Poincaré-Sobolev inequality for functions in  $X_0$  (see [14]), one can see that

$$(1.4) \quad \|u\|_{X_0} = \left( \int_Q \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is a norm on  $X_0$  and is equivalent to the norm defined in (1.3). Since  $v \in X_0$ , so  $v = 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$  and therefore the integral in (1.4) can be extended to all  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . It is easy to see that  $(X_0, \|\cdot\|_{X_0})$  is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{X_0} := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy,$$

see [14] for the details.

One can rewrite (1.1) simply as follows:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} M(\|u\|_{X_0}^2)(-\Delta)^s u(x) = \Lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n / \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\|u\|_{X_0}^2 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy$ .

We say that  $\Lambda$  is an eigenvalue of (1.5) if there exists a nontrivial weak solution  $u \in X_0$  to (1.5), i.e.,

$$(1.6) \quad M(\|u\|_{X_0}^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} \Lambda u \phi dx, \quad \forall \phi \in X_0.$$

When  $s \rightarrow 1^-$ , then using the asymptotics of the constant  $C_{n,s}$ , the equation in (1.1) becomes the elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type

$$(1.7) \quad -M\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right)\Delta u = \Lambda u \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where  $\Omega$  is a smooth domain, see Section 5 [5] for the complete details.

This is called an eigenvalue problem for Kirchhoff equation because it is a generalization of the well-known D'Alembert wave equation

$$(1.8) \quad \rho u_{tt} - \left(\frac{P_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L |u_x|^2 dx\right)u_{xx} = \Lambda u,$$

which was first proposed by Kirchhoff in [9] for free vibrations of elastic strings, where  $L$  is the length of the string,  $h$  is the area of cross section,  $E$  is the Young modulus of the material and  $P_0$  is the initial tension,  $\Lambda$  is a parameter which denotes the eigenvalue of the problem. This work is inspired by the recent works on the eigenvalue problem for fractional  $p$ -Laplace equation [8, 11], where the authors investigate several interesting properties and asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalue. For the linear fractional Laplace equation, the isolatedness, simplicity and nodal estimate for the first eigenvalue has been established in a number of references, see for instance, [14, 6]. For the simplicity, nodal estimate and other qualitative properties of the first eigenvalue of fractional  $p$ -Laplace equation, we refer to [8, 11]. For other related results to linear fractional Laplacian, we refer to [7, 10, 16] and for improved Sobolev embeddings, profile decomposition and related qualitative results for fractional Sobolev spaces, we refer to [12]. We refer to [5] and references therein for an elementary introduction on this subject. Motivated by the above research works, it is natural to ask whether one can obtain similar results for the first eigenvalue of fractional Kirchhoff Laplacian. In this note, we answer this question. In fact, we show a relationship between the eigenvalues of linear fractional Laplacian and fractional Kirchhoff Laplacian and using this, we establish the isolatedness, simplicity and other related properties of the first eigenvalue of fractional Kirchhoff Laplacian. More precisely, we state the main theorem, which we will prove in this paper.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $2s < n < 4s$ ,  $0 < s < 1$  and (H) hold. Then*

- (i)  $(\Lambda_1, u_1)$  is the first eigenpair of (1.1), where

$$\Lambda_1 = M(t^2 \|\phi\|_{X_0}^2) \lambda_1, \quad \text{and} \quad u_1 = t\phi_1, \quad \text{for some } t > 0,$$

where  $(\lambda_1, \phi_1)$  is the first eigenpair of (1.9).

- (ii) Any eigenfunction  $\tilde{v} \in X_0$  associated to a positive eigenvalue  $0 < \Lambda \neq \Lambda_1$  of (1.1) changes sign. Moreover, if  $N$  is a nodal domain of  $\tilde{v}$ , then

$$|N| \geq \left(\frac{m_0}{c\lambda}\right)^{\frac{n}{2s}},$$

- where  $c$  is some constant depending on  $n$  and  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set.
- (iii) Any eigenfunction corresponding to  $0 < \Lambda \neq \Lambda_1$  has only a finite number of nodal domains.
  - (iv)  $\Lambda_1$  is isolated.
  - (v)  $\Lambda_1$  is simple.

REMARK 1.2. We remark that in the above theorem, we have assumed that  $n < 4s$ . This is essentially needed to get the better regularity of the solution in view of fractional Sobolev embeddings.

REMARK 1.3. We remark that one can even consider the above questions for a more general integro-differential operator. More precisely, replacing the fractional Laplacian in (1.1) with the integro-differential operator given by

$$\mathcal{L}_K u(x) = PV \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u(x + y) + u(x - y) - 2u(x))K(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where the symmetric function  $K$  is a Gagliardo-type kernel with measurable coefficients. We believe that Theorem 1.1 holds for an eigenvalue problem involving the above type of operators. We consider this question in the next project and refer to the recent works [1, 4] and the reference therein dealing with such fractional operators.

Let  $\lambda_1 > 0$  be the first eigenvalue of  $(-\Delta)^s$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\phi_1 > 0$  be the corresponding eigenfunction (first eigenfunction), i.e.,

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s \phi_1 = \lambda_1 \phi_1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \phi_1 > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \phi_1 = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

The variational characterization of  $\lambda_1$  is given by

$$(1.10) \quad \lambda_1 = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v|^2 dx : v \in X_0 \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx = 1 \right\}.$$

LEMMA 1.4. Let  $(\lambda_1, \phi_1)$  be the first eigenpair to (1.9). Then  $(\Lambda_1, u_1)$  is the first eigenpair of (1.1), where

$$\Lambda_1 = M(t^2 \|\phi\|_{X_0}^2) \lambda_1, \quad \text{and} \quad u_1 = t \phi_1, \quad \text{for some } t > 0.$$

PROOF. Taking  $u_1 = t \phi_1$ ,  $t > 0$  in (1.5) yields that  $\Lambda_1 = M(t^2 \|\phi\|_{X_0}^2) \lambda_1$ . This completes the proof. □

We will use the following embedding theorem in next proposition.

**THEOREM 1.5** ([5]). *The following embeddings are continuous:*

- (1)  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $2 \leq q \leq \frac{2n}{n-2s}$ , if  $n > 2s$ ,
- (2)  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ , if  $n = 2s$ ,
- (3)  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C_b^j(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , if  $n < 2(s - j)$ .

Moreover, for any  $R > 0$  and any  $p \in [1, 2_*(s))$  the embedding  $H^s(B_R) \hookrightarrow L^p(B_R)$  is compact, where

$$C_b^j(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in C^j(\mathbb{R}^n) : D^k u \text{ is bounded on } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ for } |k| \leq j\}.$$

**PROPOSITION 1.6.** *Let  $2s < n < 4s$ ,  $0 < s < 1$  and (H) hold. Then any eigenfunction  $\tilde{v} \in X_0$  associated to a positive eigenvalue  $0 < \Lambda \neq \Lambda_1$  of (1.1) changes sign. Moreover, if  $N$  is a nodal domain of  $\tilde{v}$ , then*

$$(1.11) \quad |N| \geq \left(\frac{m_0}{c\lambda}\right)^{\frac{n}{2s}},$$

where  $c$  is some constant depending on  $n$  and  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set.

**PROOF.** Let  $v$  be an eigenfunction associated with a positive eigenvalue  $0 < \lambda \neq \lambda_1$ , of the eigenvalue problem

$$(1.12) \quad (-\Delta)^s u = \lambda u \quad \text{in } \Omega; \quad u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega.$$

Then by Prop. 3.6 [6],  $v$  changes sign in  $\Omega$ . Since  $\tilde{v} \in X_0$  is an eigenfunction associated to the positive eigenvalue  $0 < \Lambda \neq \Lambda_1$  of (1.1), so from Lemma 1.4, we may assume that  $\tilde{v} = tv$  and  $\Lambda = M(t^2 \|v\|_{X_0}^2) \lambda$  for some  $t > 0$ . Since  $\lambda \neq \lambda_1$ , so using the fact that  $c\phi$  is also an eigenfunction of (1.12) associated with  $\lambda_1$  for any non-zero scalar  $c$ , one can see easily that  $\Lambda \neq \Lambda_1$ , and  $\tilde{v}$  also changes sign in  $\Omega$ .

Now we prove the estimate (1.11). Assume that  $\tilde{v} > 0$  in  $N$ , the case  $\tilde{v} < 0$  can be dealt similarly. Since  $2s < n < 4s$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , so using the similar arguments as in [3, 13, 15], we have  $\tilde{v} \in C(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap X_0$ . Then  $\tilde{v}|_N \in H_0^s(N)$  and therefore the function  $\eta$  defined as

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} \tilde{v}(x), & x \in N; \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus N \end{cases}$$

belongs to  $X_0$ . Now using  $\eta$  as a test function in the weak formulation of (1.1), we get

$$M(\|\tilde{v}\|_{X_0}^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \tilde{v} \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \eta \, dx = \Lambda \int_{\Omega} \tilde{v} \cdot \eta \, dx, \quad \forall \eta \in X_0.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.13) \quad & M(\|\tilde{v}\|_{X_0}^2) \int_N |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \tilde{v}|^2 dx \\
&= \Lambda \int_N \tilde{v}^2 dx \\
&\leq \left( \int_N \tilde{v}^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2s}{n}} |N|^{\frac{2s}{n}} \\
&= \lambda \|\tilde{v}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}}}^2 |N|^{\frac{2s}{n}} \\
&\leq c\lambda \|\tilde{v}\|_{H_0^s(N)}^2 |N|^{\frac{2s}{n}} \\
&\quad \text{(by Theorem 1.5, where } c \text{ is an embedding constant),} \\
&= c\lambda \left( \int_N |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \tilde{v}|^2 dx \right) |N|^{\frac{2s}{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $M(t) \geq m_0, \forall t \geq 0$ , so from the last inequality, it implies that

$$(1.14) \quad m_0 \int_N |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \tilde{v}|^2 dx \leq c\lambda \left( \int_N |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \tilde{v}|^2 dx \right) |N|^{\frac{2s}{n}}$$

which yields

$$|N| \geq \left( \frac{m_0}{c\lambda} \right)^{\frac{n}{2s}}.$$

This proves the estimate.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.7.** *Let  $2s < n < 4s, 0 < s < 1$  and (H) hold. Then any eigenfunction corresponding to  $0 < \Lambda \neq \Lambda_1$  has only a finite number of nodal domains.*

**PROOF.** Let  $u$  be an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\Lambda > 0$ . Let  $N_j$  be a component of one of the sets  $\{x \in \Omega : u(x) > 0\}$  and  $\{x \in \Omega : u(x) < 0\}$ , then  $u \in X_0$ . Now it follows from (1.11) that

$$|\Omega| \geq \sum_j |N_j| \geq \left( \frac{m_0}{c\lambda} \right)^{\frac{n}{2s}} \sum_j 1$$

so that the number of nodal domains is bounded by  $|\Omega| \left( \frac{m_0}{c\lambda} \right)^{-\frac{n}{2s}}$  and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 1.8.** *Let  $2s < n < 4s, 0 < s < 1$ . Let (H) hold. Then  $\Lambda_1$  is isolated, that is, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that there are no other eigenvalues of (1.1) in the interval  $(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_1 + \delta)$ .*

**PROOF.** We will prove this proposition by the method of contradiction. Suppose that there exists a sequence of eigenvalues  $\Lambda_n$  of (1.1) with  $0 < \Lambda_n \searrow \Lambda_1$ . Let  $U_n$

be a sequence of eigenfunctions associated with  $\Lambda_n$ , i.e,

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{cases} M(\|U_n\|_{X_0}^2)(-\Delta)^s U_n = \Lambda_n U_n & \text{in } \Omega, \\ U_n = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$0 < M(\|U_n\|_{X_0}^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} U_n|^2 dx = \Lambda_n \int_{\Omega} U_n^2 dx,$$

we can define

$$V_n := \frac{M(\|U_n\|_{X_0}^2) U_n}{(\int_{\Omega} U_n^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

It is easy to see that  $V_n$  is bounded in  $X_0$ . So there exists a subsequence (still, we denote it by  $V_n$ ) and  $V \in X_0$  such that

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} V_n &\rightharpoonup V && \text{in } X_0, \\ V_n &\rightarrow V && \text{in } L^p, \quad p \in [1, 2_*(s)), \\ V_n(x) &\rightarrow V(x) && \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\int_{\Omega} V^2 dx = 1$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} V|^2 dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} V_n|^2 dx = \Lambda_1$$

and therefore  $\Lambda_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} V|^2 dx$ . This implies that  $V$  is an eigenfunction associated with  $\Lambda_1$ . Now one can see that  $|V|$  is also an eigenfunction associated with  $\Lambda_1$  and by strong maximum principle [2],  $|V| > 0$  in  $\Omega$ . This implies that either  $V > 0$  or  $V < 0$ . Let us take  $V > 0$  (the proof in the case  $V < 0$  is dealt similarly). Let  $\Omega_n^- = \{x \in \Omega : V_n(x) < 0\}$ . Now by the Egorov's theorem,  $V_n \rightarrow v$  uniformly on  $\Omega$  with the exception of the set of arbitrarily small measure. This implies that

$$(1.17) \quad |\Omega_n^-| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

but this contradicts to the estimate (1.11) for  $\Omega_n^-$  and hence the proof is completed. □

It has been proved that  $\lambda_1$  is simple in the sense that the eigenfunctions associated to it are merely a constant multiple of each other, see for instance [14]. We will be assuming that  $\lambda_1$  is simple and prove the simplicity of the first eigenvalue of  $\Lambda_1$  of (1.1) in next proposition.

**PROPOSITION 1.9.**  $\Lambda_1$  is simple.

PROOF. Since  $\lambda_1$  is simple so let  $w_1$  and  $w_2 \in X_0$  be eigenfunctions associated with  $\lambda_1$ . Then by the simplicity of  $\lambda_1$ ,  $w_1 = cw_2$ , for some non zero scalar  $c$ . Let  $u_1 = t_1 w_1$  and  $u_2 = t_2 w_2$  for some  $t_1 > 0$ ,  $t_2 > 0$ . Now from Lemma 1.4, we have

$$\Lambda_1 = M(t_1^2 \|w_1\|_{X_0}^2) \lambda_1 = M(t_2^2 \|w_2\|_{X_0}^2) \lambda_1$$

and  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are eigenfunctions of (1.1) corresponding to  $\Lambda_1$ , i.e.,

$$M(\|u_1\|_{X_0}^2)(-\Delta)^s u_1 = \Lambda_1 u_1 \quad \text{and} \quad M(\|u_2\|_{X_0}^2)(-\Delta)^s u_2 = \Lambda_1 u_2.$$

Now we have

$$u_1 = t_1 w_1 = t_1 c w_2 = t_1 c \frac{u_2}{t_2} = \frac{t_1 c}{t_2} u_2 = \tilde{c} u_2,$$

which proves the claim. □

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

PROOF. The proof follows from Lemma 1.4, Prop. 1.6, Cor. 1.7, Prop. 1.8 and Prop. 1.9. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. Author thanks the referee for useful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. AUTUORI - A. FISCELLA - P. PUCCI, *Stationary Kirchhoff problems involving a fractional elliptic operator and a critical nonlinearity*, *Nonlinear Anal.* 125 (2015), 699–714.
- [2] X. CABRÉ - Y. SIRE, *Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians, I: Regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates*, *Ann. I. H. Poincaré* 31, 2014, 23–53.
- [3] L. CAFFARELLI - S. SALSA - L. SILVESTRE, *Regularity estimates for the solution and the free boundary of the obstacle problem for the fractional Laplacian*, *Invent. Math.* 171, 2008, 425–461.
- [4] A. DI CASTRO - T. KUUSI - G. PALATUCCI, *Nonlocal Harnack inequalities*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 267 (2014), no. 6, 1807–1836.
- [5] E. DI NEZZA - G. PALATUCCI - E. VALDINOCI, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 136, 2012, no. 5, 521–573.
- [6] G. DWIVEDI - J. TYAGI - R. B. VERMA, *On the bifurcation results for fractional Laplace equations*, *Math. Nachr.* 1–15, 2017 / DOI 10.1002/mana.201600250.
- [7] R. L. FRANK - G. LEANDER, *Refined semiclassical asymptotics for fractional powers of the Laplace operator*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 712, 2016, 1–37.
- [8] G. FRANZINA - G. PALATUCCI, *Fractional p-eigenvalues*, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, (N.S.)* 5 2014, no. 2, 373–386.
- [9] G. KIRCHHOFF, *Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.
- [10] M. KWAŚNICKI, *Eigenvalues of the fractional Laplace operator in the interval*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 262(5), 2012, 2379–2402.



- [11] E. LINDGREN - P. LINDQVIST, *Fractional eigenvalues*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 49, 2014, no. 1–2, 795–826.
- [12] G. PALATUCCI - A. PISANTE, *Improved Sobolev embeddings, profile decomposition, and concentration-compactness for fractional Sobolev spaces*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 50 (2014), no. 3–4, 799–829.
- [13] X. ROS-OTON - J. SERRA, *The extremal solution for the fractional Laplacian*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 50, 2014, 723–750.
- [14] R. SERVADEI - E. VALDINOCI, *Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 33, 2013, 2105–2137.
- [15] L. SILVESTRE, *Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 60 (1), 2007, 67–112.
- [16] A. ZOIA - A. ROSSO - M. KARDAR, *Fractional Laplacian in bounded domains*, Phys. Rev. E (3) 76(2), 021116, 11, 2007.

---

Received 16 March 2017,  
and in revised form 5 June 2017.

J. Tyagi  
Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar  
Palaj, Gandhinagar  
382355 Gujarat, India  
jtyagi@iitgn.ac.in, jtyagi1@gmail.com

