

A Generalization of φ -conditional Expectation and Operator Valued Weight

By

Masataka HIRAKAWA*

Abstract

Let M be a von Neumann algebra and N a von Neumann subalgebra of M . For any n.f.s. weights φ and ψ on M and N , respectively, we construct a normal map $E: M_+ \rightarrow \hat{N}_+$, which is the φ -conditional expectation if $\psi = \varphi|_N$, and is the operator valued weight if $\sigma_t^\psi = \sigma_t^\varphi|_N$ ($\forall t \in \mathbf{R}$).

Introduction

Let M be a von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful semifinite (n.f.s.) weight φ , and N be a von Neumann subalgebra of M with an n.f.s. weight ψ . The conditional expectations or the operator valued weights from M to N have been studied by several authors.

In [7], Takesaki showed that there exists a faithful normal norm 1 projection (which is also called a conditional expectation) E which satisfies $\varphi = \varphi \circ E$ if and only if $\varphi|_N$ is semifinite and $\sigma_t^\varphi(N) = N$ ($\forall t \in \mathbf{R}$).

In [3], Haagerup showed that there exists an n.f.s. operator valued weight E which satisfies $\varphi = \psi \circ E$ if and only if $\sigma_t^\varphi|_N = \sigma_t^\psi$ ($\forall t \in \mathbf{R}$).

In another direction, when $\psi = \varphi|_N$, Accardi and Cecchini constructed in [1] the normal completely positive map E which satisfies

$$(E(x_1^* x_2) J_N \eta_\psi(y_1) | J_N \eta_\psi(y_2)) = (y_1^* y_2 J_M \eta_\varphi(x_1) | J_M \eta_\varphi(x_2)), \\ \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi, \quad \forall y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{n}_\psi.$$

This map E is called the φ -conditional expectation and is a norm 1 projection if φ satisfies $\sigma_t^\varphi(N) = N$ $\forall t \in \mathbf{R}$.

In this paper, we generalize this Accardi and Cecchini's construction to the case that ψ is not necessarily equal to $\varphi|_N$. And we show that if φ and ψ satisfy $\sigma_t^\varphi|_N = \sigma_t^\psi$, $\forall t \in \mathbf{R}$, then the constructed map is the operator valued weight.

Communicated by H. Araki, April 8, 1991.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 46L50

* Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan.

Notations

Throughout this paper, M will denote a von Neumann algebra and N a von Neumann subalgebra of M . We also assume that $(M, \mathfrak{H}, J_M, \mathcal{P}_M)$ and $(N, \mathfrak{K}, J_N, \mathcal{P}_N)$ are standard forms and φ and ψ are n.f.s. weights on M and N , respectively.

Then we can define the linear map $x \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi \mapsto \eta_\varphi(x) \in \mathfrak{H}$ canonically, and the linear map $x \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi \mapsto \theta_\varphi(x) \in M_*$ as $\theta_\varphi(y^*z) = \omega_{J_M \eta_\varphi(y), J_M \eta_\varphi(z)}$ for $y, z \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi$. The map $\theta_\varphi(x)$ has the following properties.

- (i) $0 \leq x \Rightarrow 0 \leq \theta_\varphi(x)$.
- (ii) $f \in M_*^+, f \leq \varphi \Rightarrow f = \theta_\varphi(x) \quad (\exists x \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi \cap M_+)$.
- (iii) $\varphi(x) = \sup \{ \langle x, \theta_\varphi(y) \rangle; y \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi \cap M_+, \|y\| < 1 \} \quad (\forall x \in M_+)$.

The analogous objects $\eta_\psi(y), \theta_\psi(y)$ for N are defined with respect to ψ .

Let \hat{N}_+ be the extended positive part of N [3]. An N -weight on M is a map $T: M_+ \rightarrow \hat{N}_+$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $T(\lambda x) = \lambda T(x) \quad (\lambda \geq 0, x \in M_+)$.
- (ii) $T(x + y) = T(x) + T(y) \quad (x, y \in M_+)$.

Moreover, we say that T is an operator valued weight if

- (iii) $T(a^*xa) = a^*T(x)a, \quad (x \in M_+, a \in N)$.

We put

$$\mathfrak{n}_T = \{x \in M; T(x^*x) \in N_+\},$$

$$\mathfrak{m}_T = \mathfrak{n}_T^* \mathfrak{n}_T = \text{span}\{x^*y; x, y \in \mathfrak{n}_T\}.$$

We say that T is *normal* if

$$x_i \nearrow x \Rightarrow T(x_i) \nearrow T(x) \quad (x_i, x \in M_+).$$

T is *faithful* if $T(x^*x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$, and T is *semifinite* if \mathfrak{m}_T is σ -weakly dense in M .

§1. A Generalization of φ -conditional Expectation

For φ and ψ , we put

$$\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi, \psi}$$

$$= \{a \in M; \text{there exists } \lambda > 0 \text{ such that } \varphi(y^*a^*ay) \leq \lambda\psi(y^*y) \text{ for any } y \in N\}.$$

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_{\varphi, \psi} = \text{span}\{b^*a \in M; a, b \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi, \psi}\}.$$

Since \mathfrak{N} is a left ideal, there exists a projection $e = e_{\varphi, \psi} \in M$ such that

$$\overline{\mathfrak{N}}^{\sigma^{-w}} = Me_{\varphi, \psi}.$$

Lemma 1.1. (i) $\mathfrak{R}N \subset \mathfrak{R}$, $N\mathfrak{M}N \subset \mathfrak{M}$.

(ii) $\mathfrak{R}n_\psi \subset n_\varphi$, $n_\psi^*\mathfrak{M}n_\psi \subset m_\varphi$.

(iii) $e_{\varphi, \psi} \in N' \cap M$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are immediate.

(iii) For each unitary $u \in N$ and each $x \in \mathfrak{M} \cap M^+$, $u^*xu \in \mathfrak{M} \cap M^+$ from (i), hence we have $u^*e_{\varphi, \psi}u \leq e_{\varphi, \psi}$ and $ue_{\varphi, \psi}u^* \leq e_{\varphi, \psi}$. Therefore for each unitary $u \in N$, we have $u^*e_{\varphi, \psi}u = e_{\varphi, \psi}$, this implies $e_{\varphi, \psi} \in N' \cap M$ \square

Example 1.2. (i) If $\varphi|_N \leq \lambda\psi$ for some $\lambda > 0$, then $e_{\varphi, \psi} = 1$.

(ii) If $\sigma_t^\varphi(y) = \sigma_t^\psi(y)(\forall y \in N, \forall t \in \mathbf{R})$, then $e_{\varphi, \psi} = 1$.

Proof. (ii) By [3], there exists a unique n.f.s. operator valued weight $F: M_+ \rightarrow \hat{N}_+$ such that $\varphi = \psi \circ F$. For any $a \in n_F$, we have

$$\varphi(y^*a^*ay) = \psi(F(y^*a^*ay)) = \psi(y^*F(a^*a)y) \leq \|F(a^*a)\| \psi(y^*y) \quad (\forall y \in N).$$

Hence $n_F \subset \mathfrak{R}$, this implies $e_{\varphi, \psi} = 1$. \square

The weight φ is said to be ψ -absolutely continuous in [4] if $M = N$, $\varphi + \psi$ is semifinite, and $\text{Ker}(D\psi; D(\varphi + \psi))_{-i/2} = 0$. The next Proposition shows that if $M = N$ and M is a factor, then $e_{\varphi, \psi} = 1$ is equivalent to $\varphi \leq \lambda\psi$ for some $\lambda > 0$.

Proposition 1.3. If $M = N$ and $e_{\varphi, \psi} = 1$, then the following statements are satisfied.

(i) $\varphi + \psi$ is an n.f.s. weight.

(ii) φ is ψ -absolutely continuous.

(iii) If M is a factor, then there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\varphi \leq \lambda\psi$.

Proof. (i) From Lemma 1.1, $\mathfrak{R}n_\psi \subset n_\varphi \cap n_\psi = n_{\varphi+\psi}$.

(ii) Let $d = (D\psi; D(\varphi + \psi))_{-i/2}$ and assume $d\xi = 0$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{H}$. We choose a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \subset n_{\varphi+\psi}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{\varphi+\psi}(x_n) = J\xi$ ($J = J_N = J_M$). Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_\psi(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} JdJ\eta_{\varphi+\psi}(x_n) = Jd\xi = 0.$$

Since, if $a \in \mathfrak{R}$, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\|\eta_\varphi(ax_n)\|^2 \leq \lambda \|\eta_\psi(x_n)\|^2$, we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_\varphi(ax_n) = 0$.

Consequently, for any $a \in \mathfrak{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|aJ\xi\|^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta_{\varphi+\psi}(ax_n)\|^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta_\varphi(ax_n)\|^2 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta_\psi(ax_n)\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $e_{\varphi, \psi} = 1$, this implies $\xi = 0$.

(iii) By (ii), d has the inverse. Hence we have

$$\eta_{\varphi+\psi}(y) = Jd^{-1}J\eta_\psi(y) \quad (\forall y \in n_{\varphi+\psi}).$$

Let $f \in \mathfrak{R}$ be a nonzero projection. Then there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\|fJd^{-1}J\eta_\psi(y)\|^2 = \|\eta_{\varphi+\psi}(fy)\|^2 \leq (1 + \lambda)\|\eta_\psi(y)\|^2 \quad (\forall y \in \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi+\psi}).$$

It follows from above that $fJd^{-1}J$ is bounded. Let $(Jd^{-1}J)^* = vh$ be the polar decomposition, then h is affiliated to M' , for $Jd^{-1}J$ is affiliated to M' . Thus we have $hf \supset fh$. Moreover, from

$$fh = f hv^*v = fJd^{-1}Jv$$

we have that fh is bounded, so that $fhf(\supset fh)$ is bounded. Hence if $h = \int \mu dE_\mu$ is the spectral decomposition, then $\overline{fhf} = \int \mu d(fE_\mu f)$ and there exists $\mu_0 > 0$ such that $f(1 - E_{\mu_0})f = 0$. On the other hand, since M is a factor, the induction $x \in M' \mapsto fx f \in fM'f$ is isomorphic. Hence, $1 - E_{\mu_0} = 0$. This means that h is bounded, so that $Jd^{-1}J$ is bounded.

Consequently, there exists $\lambda > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(y^*y) &\leq \|\eta_{\varphi+\psi}(y)\|^2 = \|Jd^{-1}J\eta_\psi(y)\|^2 \\ &\leq \lambda \|\eta_\psi(y)\|^2 = \lambda \psi(y^*y) \quad (\forall y \in \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi+\psi}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{n}_\psi \subset \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi+\psi}$, it follows that $\varphi(y^*y) \leq \lambda \psi(y^*y)$ for any $y \in \mathfrak{n}_\psi$. \square

For each $a \in \mathfrak{R}$, we have a unique bounded linear map $V_a: \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ which satisfies

$$V_a \eta_\psi(y) = \eta_\varphi(ay) \quad (\forall y \in \mathfrak{n}_\psi).$$

It is easy to see that

$$xV_a y = V_{xay} \quad (\forall x \in M, \forall y \in N, \forall a \in \mathfrak{R}).$$

Lemma 1.4. *If $a, b \in \mathfrak{R}$, then*

$$a^*a \leq b^*b \Rightarrow \omega_{J_M V_a \xi} \leq \omega_{J_M V_b \xi} \text{ on } M \quad (\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{R}).$$

Proof. If $y \in \mathfrak{n}_\psi$, then

$$\omega_{J_M V_a \eta_\psi(y)} = \theta_\varphi(y^*a^*ay) \leq \theta_\varphi(y^*b^*by) = \omega_{J_M V_b \eta_\psi(y)}.$$

Since $\eta_\psi(\mathfrak{n}_\psi)$ is dense in \mathfrak{R} ,

$$\omega_{J_M V_a \xi} \leq \omega_{J_M V_b \xi}$$

hold for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{R}$. \square

From the above Lemma, it is easy to see that if $a^*a = b^*b$ ($a, b \in \mathfrak{R}$), then $\omega_{J_M V_a \xi} = \omega_{J_M V_b \xi}$ on M for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{R}$. Moreover we see that

$$\{\omega_{J_M V_{a^{1/2}} \xi} \in M_*^+ : a \in \mathfrak{M} \cap M_+, \|a\| < 1\}$$

is upward directed for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{R}$.

For each $\xi \in \mathfrak{R}$, let φ_ξ be a normal weight on M such that

$$\varphi_\xi(x) = \sup\{\omega_{J_M V_{\alpha^{1/2}} J_N \xi}(x) : a \in \mathfrak{M} \cap M_+, \|a\| < 1\} \quad (\forall x \in M_+).$$

Lemma 1.5. *If $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{R}$, then*

$$\omega_\xi = \omega_\eta \text{ on } N \Rightarrow \varphi_\xi = \varphi_\eta.$$

Proof. Note that there exists a partial isometry $u' \in N'$ such that $u'\xi = \eta$. Indeed, we can define u' by $u'y\xi = y\eta$ for $\forall y \in N$ and $u'\xi = 0$ for $\forall \zeta \in [N\xi]^\perp$.

Let $u = J_N u' J_N \in N$. Then for any $a \in \mathfrak{M} \cap M_+, \|a\| < 1$, we have

$$\omega_{J_M V_{\alpha^{1/2}} J_N \eta} = \omega_{J_M V_{\alpha^{1/2}} u J_N \xi} = \omega_{J_M V_{\alpha^{1/2}} u J_N \xi} = \omega_{J_M V_{(u^* a u)^{1/2}} J_N \xi} \leq \varphi_\xi.$$

Hence, $\varphi_\eta \leq \varphi_\xi$, so that $\varphi_\eta = \varphi_\xi$. \square

For any $\omega \in N_*^+$, there exists a $\xi \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that $\omega = \omega_\xi$. We shall put

$$\varphi_\omega = \varphi_\xi.$$

By the above Lemma, this definition does not depend on the choice of ξ .

Lemma 1.6. *For any $x \in M_+$, there exists an element $E(x) \in \hat{N}_+$ such that*

$$\langle E(x), \omega \rangle = \langle x, \varphi_\omega \rangle \quad (\forall \omega \in N_*^+).$$

Proof. Since for any $x \in M_+$ and $a \in \mathfrak{M} \cap M_+$ the map

$$\xi \in \mathfrak{R} \mapsto \omega_{J_M V_{\alpha^{1/2}} J_N \xi}(x) = \|x^{1/2} J_M V_{\alpha^{1/2}} J_N \xi\|^2$$

is continuous, it follows that

$$\xi \in \mathfrak{R} \mapsto \varphi_\xi(x) = \sup\{\omega_{J_M V_{\alpha^{1/2}} J_N \xi}(x) : a \in \mathfrak{M} \cap M_+, \|a\| < 1\}$$

is a lower semicontinuous positive quadratic form. Hence there exists a positive operator h on \mathfrak{R} such that $\|h^{1/2} \xi\|^2 = \varphi_\xi(x) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{R}$.

Since for any unitary $u' \in N'$, we have

$$\omega_{J_M V_{\alpha^{1/2}} J_N u' \xi} = \omega_{J_M V_{\alpha^{1/2}} u J_N \xi} = \omega_{J_M V_{(u^* a u)^{1/2}} J_N \xi}$$

where $u = J_N u' J_N$, it follows that $\varphi_{u' \xi} = \varphi_\xi \quad (\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{R})$. Therefore $h \equiv E(x)$ is affiliated to N . \square

Theorem 1.7. *For given φ and ψ , there exists a normal N -weight $E: M_+ \rightarrow \hat{N}_+$ such that*

- (i) $\langle E(x), \theta_\psi(y) \rangle = \langle \theta_\varphi(x), y e_{\varphi, \psi} \rangle \quad (\forall x \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi \cap M_+, \forall y \in \mathfrak{m}_\psi \cap N_+)$,
- (ii) $\psi \circ E = \sup\{\varphi_{\theta_\psi(y)}; y \in \mathfrak{m}_\psi \cap N_+, \|y\| < 1\} \leq \varphi$.

Proof. (i) Let $E(x)$ be as in Lemma 1.6. Then it is easy to see that E is a normal N -weight on M . For any $x \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi \cap M_+$ and $y \in \mathfrak{m}_\psi \cap N_+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_{\theta_\psi(y)}(x) &= \sup\{\omega_{J_M V_a^{1/2} \eta_\psi(y^{1/2})}(x); a \in \mathfrak{M} \cap M_+, \|a\| < 1\} \\
 &= \sup\{\langle x, \theta_\phi(y^{1/2} a y^{1/2}) \rangle; a \in \mathfrak{M} \cap M_+, \|a\| < 1\} \\
 &= \sup\{\langle \theta_\phi(x), y^{1/2} a y^{1/2} \rangle; a \in \mathfrak{M} \cap M_+, \|a\| < 1\} \\
 &= \langle \theta_\phi(x), y^{1/2} e_{\phi, \psi} y^{1/2} \rangle = \langle \theta_\phi(x), y e_{\phi, \psi} \rangle.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

$$\tag{2}$$

(ii) Since

$$\psi = \sup\{\theta_\psi(y); y \in \mathfrak{m}_\psi \cap N_+, \|y\| < 1\},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi \circ E &= \sup\{\theta_\psi(y) \circ E; y \in \mathfrak{m}_\psi \cap N_+, \|y\| < 1\} \\
 &= \sup\{\varphi_{\theta_\psi(y)}; y \in \mathfrak{m}_\psi \cap N_+, \|y\| < 1\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (1), we have $\varphi_{\theta_\psi(y)} \leq \varphi$ ($\forall y \in \mathfrak{m}_\psi \cap N_+, \|y\| < 1$). Hence $\psi \circ E \leq \varphi$. \square

In the rest of this paper, E will denote the map defined by Lemma 1.6.

Proposition 1.8. (i) *If $\psi = \varphi|_N$, then E has a unique linear extension, which is a φ -conditional expectation.*

(ii) *If $e_{\phi, \psi} = 1$, then $\psi(E(x)) = \varphi(x)$ ($\forall x \in \mathfrak{m}_\phi \cap M_+$).*

Proof. (i) Since $1 \in \mathfrak{N}$, it follows that $\varphi_\omega \in M_\ast^+$ ($\forall \omega \in N_\ast^+$). Hence $E(x) \in N_+, \forall x \in M_+$. Therefore, E has a unique linear extension from M to N . If we also denote this map by E , then E satisfies the following equation.

$$\langle E(x), \theta_\psi(y) \rangle = \langle \theta_\phi(x), y \rangle \quad (\forall x \in \mathfrak{m}_\phi, \forall y \in \mathfrak{m}_\psi)$$

(ii) For any $x \in \mathfrak{m}_\phi \cap M_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(E(x)) &= \sup\{\langle E(x), \theta_\psi(y) \rangle; y \in \mathfrak{m}_\psi \cap N_+, \|y\| < 1\} \\
 &= \sup\{\langle \theta_\phi(x), y \rangle; y \in \mathfrak{m}_\psi \cap N_+, \|y\| < 1\} \\
 &= \langle \theta_\phi(x), 1 \rangle = \varphi(x).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{ii}$$

§ 2. The Case Where $\sigma_t^\varphi|_N = \sigma_t^\psi, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

In this section, we assume that φ and ψ satisfy

$$\sigma_t^\varphi(y) = \sigma_t^\psi(y) \quad (\forall y \in N, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Then by [3], there exists a unique n.f.s. operator valued weight $F: M_+ \rightarrow \widehat{N}_+$ such that $\varphi = \psi \circ F$. Hence, from Example 1.2, we have $e_{\phi, \psi} = 1$, in particular, $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{n}_F$.

Lemma 2.1. *The following equalities hold.*

- (i) $y J_M V_a J_N \zeta = J_M V_a J_N y \zeta \quad (\forall y \in N, \forall \zeta \in \mathfrak{K}, \forall a \in \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{n}_\phi)$.
- (ii) $\varphi_{y\omega y^\ast} = \varphi_\omega(y^\ast \cdot y) \quad (\forall \omega \in N_\ast^+, \forall y \in N)$.

Proof. (i) Let M_a^φ and N_a^ψ be the sets of all entire analytic elements for φ and ψ , respectively. Then from the assumption, we have that $N_a^\psi \subset M_a^\varphi$.

By [2, Lemma 7], we have that

$$\eta_\varphi(ax) = J_M \sigma_{-i/2}^\varphi(x^*) J_M \eta_\varphi(a) \quad (\forall a \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi, \forall x \in M_a^\varphi).$$

Hence, we get for $a \in \mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$, $y \in N_a^\psi$ and $z \in N_a^\psi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\psi$

$$\begin{aligned} y J_M V_a \eta_\psi(z) &= y J_M \eta_\varphi(az) = y \sigma_{-i/2}^\varphi(z^*) J_M \eta_\varphi(a) \\ &= \sigma_{-i/2}^\varphi(\sigma_{i/2}^\varphi(y) z^*) J_M \eta_\varphi(a) \\ &= J_M \eta_\varphi(az \sigma_{i/2}^\varphi(y)^*) \\ &= J_M V_a \eta_\psi(z \sigma_{i/2}^\psi(y)^*) \\ &= J_M V_a J_N y J_N \eta_\psi(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $J_N \eta_\psi(N_a^\psi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\psi)$ is dense in \mathfrak{R} and N_a^ψ is σ -weakly dense in N , we obtain (i).

(ii) Let $\{v_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathfrak{n}_\psi$ be a net such that

$$v_i \xrightarrow{s} 1.$$

Since for any $a \in \mathfrak{R}$, we have $av_i \in \mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$, using (i) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{y J_M V_a J_N \zeta} &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{y J_M V_{av_i} J_N \zeta} \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{J_M V_{av_i} J_N y \zeta} \\ &= \omega_{J_M V_a J_N y \zeta} \quad (\forall \zeta \in \mathfrak{R}, \forall y \in N). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\varphi_\zeta(y^* x y) = \varphi_{y \zeta}(x) \quad \forall x \in M_+. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.2. *E is equal to F.*

Proof. By the above Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E(y^* x y), \omega \rangle &= \langle y^* x y, \varphi_\omega \rangle = \langle x, \varphi_{y \omega y^*} \rangle \\ &= \langle y^* E(x) y, \omega \rangle \quad (\forall \omega \in N_*^+, \forall y \in N, \forall x \in M). \end{aligned}$$

This means that E is an operator valued weight. Hence to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that $\psi \circ E = \varphi$. [3]

We can obtain $b_j \in M_a^\varphi$ $j \in J$ such that

$$b_j \in \mathfrak{M} \cap M_+, \quad \|b_j\| < 1, \quad \sigma_\alpha^\varphi(b_j) \xrightarrow{s^*} 1 \quad (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}).$$

In fact, using some $a_j \in \mathfrak{M} \cap M_+$ $j \in J$ which satisfies

$$\|a_j\| < 1 \quad (\forall j \in J) \quad \text{and} \quad a_j \xrightarrow{s^*} 1,$$

we may define b_j by

$$b_j = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \sigma_t^\varphi(a_j) dt .$$

Similarly, we can obtain $y_k \in N_a^\psi$ ($k \in K$) such that

$$y_k \in \mathfrak{n}_\psi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\psi^* , \quad \|y_k\| < 1 \quad (\forall k \in K), \quad \sigma_\alpha^\psi(y_k) \xrightarrow{s^*} 1 \quad (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}) .$$

Since b_j and y_k are analytic, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} J_M V_{x b_j} \eta_\psi(y_k^*) &= J_M \eta_\varphi(x b_j y_k^*) = \sigma_{-i/2}^\varphi(y_k b_j) J_M \eta_\varphi(x) \\ &= \sigma_{-i/2}^\psi(y_k) \sigma_{-i/2}^\varphi(b_j) J_M \eta_\varphi(x) \rightarrow J_M \eta_\varphi(x) \quad (j, k \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$.

Therefore, we have that for $x \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$, $\|x\| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\varphi(x^*x) &= \lim_{j, k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{J_M V_{x b_j} \eta_\psi(y_k^*)} \\ &\leq \sup_k \varphi_{J_M \eta_\psi(y_k^*)} = \sup_k \varphi_{\theta_\psi(y_k^* y_k)} \\ &= \sup_k \theta_\psi(y_k^* y_k) \circ E \\ &\leq \psi \circ E \quad (\forall x \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi, \|x\| < 1) . \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\varphi \leq \psi \circ E$. Therefore, using Theorem 1.7, we have $\varphi = \psi \circ E$. \square

Proposition 2.3. *We have that*

$$\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{m}_\varphi \cap M_+ = \{x \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi \cap M_+; \text{there exists } \lambda > 0 \text{ such that } \theta_\varphi(x)|_N \leq \lambda\psi\} .$$

Proof. According to [6, proposition 2.17], it is true that for any $y \in N_a^\psi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\psi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\psi^*$

$$\psi(\sigma_{i/2}^\psi(y) \sigma_{-i/2}^\psi(y^*)) = \psi(y^*y) .$$

Thus, if $a \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi \cap M_+$ and there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\theta_\varphi(a)|_N \leq \lambda\psi$, then for any $y \in N_a^\psi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\psi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\psi^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(y^*ay) &= \|\eta_\varphi(a^{1/2}y)\|^2 = \|J_M \sigma_{-i/2}^\psi(y^*) J_M \eta_\varphi(a^{1/2})\|^2 \\ &= \langle \sigma_{-i/2}^\psi(y^*)^* \sigma_{-i/2}^\psi(y^*), \theta_\varphi(a) \rangle \\ &\leq \lambda\psi(y^*y) . \end{aligned}$$

Using the density of $\eta_\psi(N_a^\psi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\psi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\psi^*)$ in $\eta_\psi(\mathfrak{n}_\psi)$, we have that $a \in \mathfrak{M} \cap M_+$.

Conversely, we assume $a \in \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{m}_\varphi \cap M_+$, then for some $\lambda > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y^*y, \theta_\varphi(a) \rangle &= \|J_M y J_M \eta_\varphi(a^{1/2})\|^2 = \|\eta_\varphi(a^{1/2} \sigma_{i/2}^\psi(y)^*)\|^2 \\ &\leq \lambda\psi(\sigma_{i/2}^\psi(y) \sigma_{i/2}^\psi(y)^*) = \lambda\psi(y^*y) \quad (\forall y \in N_a^\psi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\psi \cap \mathfrak{n}_\psi^*) . \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as above, we have $\theta_\varphi(a)|_N \leq \lambda\psi$. \square

References

- [1] Accardi, L., and Cecchini, C., Conditional expectations in von Neumann algebras and a theorem of Takesaki, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **45** (1982), 245–273.
- [2] Connes, A., Sur le théorème de Radon-Nikodym pour les poids normaux fidèles semifinis, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **97** (1973), 253–258.
- [3] Haagerup, U., Operator valued weights in von Neumann algebras, I, II, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **32** (1979), 175–206; **33** (1979), 399–361.
- [4] Kosaki, H., Lebesgue decomposition of states on a von Neumann algebras, *Amer. J. Math.*, **107** (1985), 697–735.
- [5] Petz, D., A dual in von Neumann algebras, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, **35** (1984), 475–483.
- [6] Strătilă, S., *Modular theory in operator algebras*, Editura Academiei and Abacus Press, 1981
- [7] Takesaki, M., Conditional expectation in von Neumann algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **9** (1972), 306–321.

