Fourier Hyperfunctions as the Boundary Values of Smooth Solutions of Heat Equations

Ву

Kwang Whoi KIM*, Soon-Yeong CHUNG** and Dohan KIM***

Abstract

We show that if a C^{∞} -solution u(x, t) of heat equation in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ does not increase faster than $\exp[\epsilon(\frac{1}{t}+|x|)]$ then its boundary value determines a unique Fourier hyperfunction. Also, we prove the decomposition theorem for the Fourier hyperfunctions. These results generalize the theorems of T. Kawai and T. Matsuzawa for Fourier hyperfunctions and solve a question given by A. Kaneko.

§ 0. Introduction

T. Kawai and T. Matsuzawa have shown in [10, 15] that the boundary value of a C^{∞} -solution of heat equation in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ which does not increase faster than $\exp(\varepsilon/t)$ is a well-defined hyperfunction. However, little is known about the characterization of a solution whose boundary value determines a Fourier hyperfunction near a characteristic boundary point. The purpose of this paper is to discuss this problem, that is, if a C^{∞} -solution U(x, t) satisfies some growth condition (see (2.2)) then we can assign a unique compactly supported Fourier hyperfunction u(x) to U(x, t). Furthermore we can find such a Fourier tame solution U(x, t) of heat equation for any compactly supported Fourier hyperfunction u(x). To show this, we use the estimate for the heat kernel in [15] and structure theorems of ultradistributions given in [11, 13].

We use the multi-index notations such as $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$, $\partial^{\alpha} = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \partial_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ where \mathbb{N}_0 is the set of nonnegative

Communicated by T. Kawai, February 10, 1992. Revised March 30 and July 6, 1992. 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46F20, 46F15, 35K05

^{*} Department of Mathematics Education, Jeonju University, Jeonju 560-759, Korea

^{**} Department of Mathematics, Duksung Women's University, Seoul 132-714, Korea

^{***} Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151–742, Korea Research partially supported by KOSEF and GARC.

integers, and $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$.

§ 1. Complex and Real Versions of Fourier Hyperfunctions

First, we are going to introduce the complex and real versions of Fourier hyperfunctions and show their equivalence.

We denote by D^n the compactification $\mathbb{R}^n \cup S_{\infty}^{n-1}$ of \mathbb{R}^n , where S_{∞}^{n-1} is an (n-1)-dimensional sphere at infinity. When x is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by $x \infty$ the point on S^{n-1} which is represented by x, where we identify S^{n-1} with $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}/\mathbb{R}^+$. The space D^n is given the natural topology, that is: (i) If a point x of D^n belongs to \mathbb{R}^n , a fundamental system of neighborhoods of x is the set of all open balls containing the point x. (ii) If a point $x \in D^n$ belongs to S_{∞}^{n-1} , a fundamental system of neighborhoods of x ($=y\infty$) is given by the following family

$$U_{\widetilde{a},A}(y\infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; x/|x| \in \widetilde{A}, |x| > A\} \cup \{a\infty; a \in \widetilde{A}\},\$$

where \tilde{d} is a neighborhood of y in S^{n-1} .

Definition 1.1. Let K be a compact set in \mathcal{D}^n . We say that ϕ is in $\mathcal{F}(K)$ if $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{R}^n)$ for any neighborhood \mathcal{Q} of K and if there are positive constants h and k such that

$$|\phi|_{k,h} = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\partial^{\alpha} \phi(x)|}{h^{|\alpha|} \alpha!} \exp k|x| < \infty.$$

We say that $\phi_j \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{F}(K)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ if there are positive constants h and k such that

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{R}^n \\ \sigma}} \frac{|\partial^{\omega} \phi_j(x)|}{h^{|\omega|} \alpha!} \exp k |x| \to 0 \text{ as } j \to \infty,$$

where Ω is any neighborhood of K.

We denote by $\mathcal{F}'(K)$ the strong dual space of $\mathcal{F}(K)$ and call its elements Fourier hyperfunctions carried by K.

Definition 1.2. We say that $\phi(z)$ is in Q(K) if $\phi(z)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{R}^n + i\{|y| \le r\}$ for some r > 0 and if for some k > 0

$$\sup_{z\in\mathscr{Q}\cap \mathbb{R}^n+i\{|y|\leq r\}} |\phi(z)| \exp k|z| <\infty$$

where \mathcal{Q} is a neighborhood of K in \mathcal{D}^n .

Remark. Let K be a compact subset of D^n . Then for any neighborhood \mathcal{Q} of K in D^n there exists a neighborhood V of K in D^n such that for some $\delta > 0$

$$(\overline{V}\cap \mathbf{R}^n)_{\delta}\subset \mathscr{Q}\cap \mathbf{R}^n$$
,

where $U_{\delta} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x-y| \le \delta\}$ for some $y \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

We denote by E(x, t) the *n*-dimensional heat kernel:

$$E(x, t) = \begin{cases} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-|x|^2/4t\right), & t > 0\\ 0, & t \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.3. For every $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(K)$, let

$$\phi_t(x) = \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{n_t}} E(x-y, t) \,\phi(y) \,dy, \quad t > 0 \,.$$

Then $\phi_t \in Q(\mathbf{D}^n)$ and $\phi_t \rightarrow \phi$ in $\mathcal{F}(K)$ as $t \rightarrow 0_+$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(K)$. Then we can easily show that ϕ_t is in $Q(D^n)$. There are positive constants C, h, k and δ such that

(1.1)
$$\sup_{x \in K_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}} |\partial^{\alpha} \phi(x)| \leq Ch^{|\alpha|} \alpha! \exp(-k|x|)$$

On the other hand we have for any $\delta > 0$

$$\partial_x^{\alpha}(\phi_t(x) - \phi(x)) = \int_{|y| \le \delta} E(y, t) \, \partial_x^{\alpha}(\phi(x - y) - \phi(x)) \, dy$$
$$+ \int_{|y| \ge \delta} E(y, t) \, \partial_x^{\alpha} \, \phi(x - y) \, dy$$
$$- \int_{|y| \ge \delta} E(y, t) \, \partial_x^{\alpha} \, \phi(x) \, dy$$
$$= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \, .$$

Making use of (1.1), we have for $|y| \leq \delta$

$$\sup_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^{\alpha} \phi(x-y) - \partial^{\alpha} \phi(x)|$$

$$\leq C' |y| h^{|\alpha|+1} (|\alpha|+1)! \exp(-k|x|)$$

$$\leq C'' |y| (Hh)^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! \exp(-k|x|)$$

for some C', C'' and H < 1.

For any $\epsilon > 0$, taking $\delta > 0$ so small that $C'' \delta < \epsilon$, we have

$$\frac{|I_1| \exp k|x|}{(Hh)^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!} < \varepsilon ,$$

and it follows from (1.1) that

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in K \cap \mathbb{R}^n \\ \alpha}} \frac{|I_3| \exp k |x|}{h^{|\alpha|} \alpha!} \leq C \int_{|y| \geq \delta} E(y, t) \, dy \to 0$$

and

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in K \cap \mathbb{R}^n \\ \alpha}} \frac{|I_2| \exp k |x|}{h^{|\alpha|} \alpha!} \leq C \int_{|y| \geq \delta} E(y, t) \exp k |y| \, dy \to 0$$

as $t \rightarrow 0_+$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 1.4. $\mathcal{F}(K)$ is topologically isomorphic to Q(K).

Proof. Let $\phi \in Q(K)$. Then ϕ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{R}^n + i\{|y| \le r\}$ for some r > 0 and for some k > 0

$$\sup_{z\in\mathscr{Q}\cap \mathbb{R}^n+i\{|y|\leq r\}} |\phi(z)| \exp k|z| < \infty ,$$

where \mathcal{Q} is any neighborhood of K in \mathcal{D}^n . Let $\frac{1}{r} = h > 0$. Then for $x \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\partial^{\alpha} \phi(x) = \frac{\alpha!}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|z_n - x_n| = r} \cdots \int_{|z_1 - x_1| = r} \frac{\phi(z) \, dz}{(z_1 - x_1)^{\alpha_1 + 1} \cdots (z_n - x_n)^{\alpha_n + 1}} \, .$$

Let $z = \xi + i\eta$. If $|x_j| \ge 2r$ then we have

$$|\xi_j| \ge |x_j|/2.$$

Therefore it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^{\varpi} \phi(\alpha)| &\leq h^{|\varpi|} \alpha \lim_{|z_j - x_j| = r} |\phi(z)| \\ &\leq h^{|\varpi|} \alpha \lim_{|z_j - x_j| = r} |\phi(z)| \exp k(|\xi| - \frac{|x|}{2}) \\ &\leq Ch^{|\varpi|} \alpha \lim_{|z| = r} |\phi(z)| \exp (-k|x|/2) \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbf{R}^n + i\{|\eta| \leq r\}} |\phi(z)| \exp k|z|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{|\partial^{\infty} \phi(x)|}{h^{|\infty|} \alpha!} \exp k|x|/2 \leq C \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbf{R}^{n} + i\{|\eta| \leq r\}} |\phi(z)| \exp k|z|$$

<\p>

for any $|x_j| \ge 2r$.

On the other hand, we have for any $|x_j| \leq 2r$

$$|\partial^{\alpha} \phi(x)| \exp k |x|/2$$

FOURIER HYPERFUNCTION AS BOUNDARY VALUE

$$\leq \exp(k\sqrt{n}/h) \cdot \alpha! h^{|\alpha|} \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbf{R}^n + i\{|\eta| \leq r\}} |\phi(z)| \exp k |z|$$

Therefore it follows that

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbf{R}^{n} \\ \alpha}} \frac{\left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha} \phi(x) \right|}{h^{|\alpha|} \alpha !} \exp k |x|$$

$$\leq \exp \left(2k\sqrt{n}/h \right) \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbf{R}^{n} + i\{|\eta| \le r\}} |\phi(z)| \exp k |z|$$

$$< \infty.$$

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(K)$. Then it follows from Pringsheim Theorem that ϕ can be analytically continued to a strip $\{z=x+iy | x \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbf{R}^n, |y| \le r < \frac{1}{h}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(z)| \exp k |x| &= \exp k |x| |\sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{\alpha} \phi(x)}{\alpha !} (iy)^{\alpha} | \\ &\leq C \sum_{\alpha} \frac{|\partial^{\alpha} \phi(x)|}{h^{|\alpha|} \alpha !} \exp k |x| (h|y|)^{|\alpha|} \\ &\leq C' \sum_{\alpha} (hr)^{|\alpha|}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\sup_{z\in\mathscr{Q}\cap \mathbf{R}^n+i\{|y|\leq 1/h\}} |\phi(z)|\exp k|z| < \infty,$$

which completes the proof.

Remark. Let K be a compact set in D^n and let $u \in \mathcal{F}'(K)$. Then for any h, k > 0 there is a constant C such that

$$|u(\phi)| \leq C \sup_{x \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbf{R}^n} \frac{|\partial^{\omega} \phi(x)|}{h^{|\omega|} \alpha!} \exp k|x|, \ \phi \in Q(\mathbf{D}^n),$$

where Ω is any neighborhood of K in D^{n} .

This is equivalent to the condition that for every neighborhood \mathcal{Q} of K and for every k>0 there is a constant C such that

(1.2)
$$|u(\phi)| \leq C \sup_{x \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbf{R}^n + i\{|\eta| + r\}} |\phi(z)| \exp k|z|, \phi \in Q(\mathbf{D}^n).$$

Proposition 1.5. Let $P(\partial) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} a_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha}$ be a differential operator of infinite order with constant coefficients satisfying the following: For any L>0there exists a constant C>0 such that

$$|a_{\alpha}| \leq CL^{|\alpha|}/\alpha!$$

for all α . Then the operators

293

Kwang Whoi Kim, Soon-Yeong Chung and Dohan Kim

(1.3) $P(\partial): \mathcal{F}(D^n) \to \mathcal{F}(D^n)$

and

(1.4)
$$P(\partial): \mathcal{F}'(\mathcal{D}^n) \to \mathcal{F}'(\mathcal{D}^n)$$

are continuous.

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}^n)$ and h > 0. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^{\beta} P(\partial) \phi(x)| \exp k |x| \\ \leq \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} |a_{\alpha}| |\partial^{\alpha+\beta} \phi(x)| \exp k |x| \\ \leq \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} |\phi|_{k,k} \frac{CL^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} h^{|\alpha+\beta|} (\alpha+\beta)! \\ \leq C |\phi|_{k,k} (2h)^{|\beta|} \beta! \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} (2hL)^{|\alpha|}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if we choose h>0 so small that 2Lh<1 then we obtain

$$|P(\partial) \phi(x)|_{k,2h} \leq C |\phi|_{k,h}, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}^n),$$

which proves that (1.3) is continuous. The continuity of (1.4) is easily obtained by this fact.

§ 2. Main Theorems

The following lemma is very useful later. For the details of the proof we refer to Komatsu [13], Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10.

Lemma 2.1. For any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ there exist a function $v(t) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and an ultradifferential operator P(d/dt) such that

$$supp \ v \subset [0, \varepsilon_1];$$

$$|v(t)| \leq C \exp(-N^*(1/t)), \ t > 0;$$
for any $h > 0$, $P(d/dt) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (d/dt)^k, \ |a_k| \leq C_k \ h^k/k!^2;$

$$(2.1) \qquad P(d/dt) \ v(t) = \delta + w(t).$$

Here $w(t) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, supp $w \subset [\varepsilon_1/2, \varepsilon_1]$ and δ is a Dirac measure, and

$$N^*(t) = \sup_p \log \frac{t^p}{h_p p!}$$

where $h_p = (l_1 \cdots l_p)^{-1}$ for some sequence l_p decreasing to 0.

294

In fact, we can construct the above ultradifferential operator P(d/dt) by taking

$$P(\zeta) = (1 + \zeta)^2 \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} (1 + \frac{l_p \zeta}{p^2}).$$

Let K be a compact set in D^n . Then we denote by $\mathcal{F}_K^{\text{tame}}$ the totality of C^{∞} -solutions of heat equation $(\partial_t - \Delta) u(x, t) = 0$ on \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ which satisfy the following:

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant C such that

(2.2)
$$|u(x,t)| \leq C \exp\left[\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{t}+t+|x|\right)-\frac{\operatorname{dis}\left(x,K_{\delta}\cap \boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)^{2}}{8t}\right]$$

in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ . Then we have:

Theorem 2.2. Let $u \in \mathcal{F}'(K)$ and let

(2.3)
$$U(x,t) = u_y(E(x-y,t)), \quad t > 0.$$

Then $U(x, t) \in \mathcal{F}_{K}^{tame}$ and

(2.4)
$$U(x, t) \rightarrow u \quad in \quad \mathcal{F}'(K) \quad as \quad t \rightarrow 0_+.$$

Conversely, every element in \mathcal{F}_{K}^{tame} can be expressed in the form (2.3) with unique element $u \in \mathcal{T}'(K)$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{F}'(K)$. Then it is obvious that the function U(x, t) defined by (2.3) belongs to $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ and satisfies the heat equation on \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ ;

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) U(x, t) = 0.$$

If follows from (1.2) that for t > 0

$$|U(x, t)| \leq C \sup_{\substack{y \in K_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n} \\ |\eta| \leq r}} |E(x-y-i\eta, t)| \exp k |x|$$

$$\leq C \sup_{\substack{y \in K_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n} \\ |\eta| \leq r}} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp \left[\frac{-(1/2)|x-y|^{2}+8k^{2}t^{2}+4kt |x|+\eta^{2}}{4t}\right]$$

$$\leq C' \exp \left[2k^{2}t+k|x|+\frac{r^{2}}{4t}-\frac{\operatorname{dis}(x, K_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n})^{2}}{8t}\right].$$

Let $\varepsilon = \max \{2k^2, k, r^2/4\}$. Then we obtain (2.2). Hence $U(x, t) \in \mathcal{F}_K^{\text{tame}}$. Now let

$$G(y,t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} E(x-y,t) \,\phi(x) \,dx \,, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{F}(K) \,.$$

Then by Theorem 1.3 we can easily see that

(2.5)
$$G(\cdot, t) \to \phi \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{F}(K) \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0_+$$

Also, we have

(2.6)
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} U(x, t) \,\phi(x) \, dx = u_y(G(y, t))$$

by taking the limit of the Riemann sum of the left side. Then applying (2.5) to (2.6) we obtain (2.4).

Now we will prove the converse. Let $U(x, t) \in \mathcal{F}_{K}^{tame}$ and let

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; t \neq 0 \text{ or } x \notin K \cap \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

Since the heat operator is hypoelliptic the condition (2.2) implies

$$\lim_{t\to 0_+} \tilde{P}(\partial_t, \partial_x) U(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in K \cap \mathbb{R}^n$$

for any linear differential operator (with constant coefficients) $\tilde{P}(\partial_t, \partial_x)$ of finite order. It follows that there is a C^{∞} -function c(x, t) satisfying the following:

$$c(x, t) = U(x, t)$$
 in R_{+}^{n+1}

and

$$c(x, t)$$
, together with all its derivatives vanishes on $\mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$

The assumption (2.2) implies that U(x, t) does not increase faster than exp $[\epsilon(\frac{1}{t}+|x|)]$ as $t \to 0_+$. We see that there exists a Fourier hyperfunction $\psi(x, t)$ which satisfies the following:

 $\psi = c$ on Q

and

supp
$$\psi \subset \overline{R_+^{n+1}}$$
.

In fact, let functions v, w and an ultradifferential operator P(d/dt) be as in Lemma 2.1. Define

$$\tilde{c}(x,t) = \int_0^\infty c(x,t+s) v(s) \, ds \, .$$

Then we have

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) \tilde{c}(x, t) = 0$$
 in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+

It follows from Lemma 2.1 and (2.2) that

$$|\tilde{c}(x,t)| \leq C' \exp \varepsilon(|x|+t), t \geq 0.$$

Thus $\tilde{c}(x, t)$ is a continuous function of an infra-exponential type in $\overline{R_{+}^{n+1}}$. Using (2.1) we obtain for t>0.

(2.7)
$$P(-\Delta) \tilde{c}(x,t) = P(-d/dt) \tilde{c}(x,t)$$
$$= c(x,t) + \int_0^\infty c(x,t+s) w(s) ds.$$

Since $\tilde{c}(x, t)$ and the second term of the right hand side of (2.7) can be continuously extended beyond the hyperplane t=0, we obtain the extension $\psi(x, t)$ of c(x, t).

Since c(x, t) is a C^{∞} -solution of heat equation on \mathcal{Q} , we have

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) \psi(x, t) = 0$$
 on Ω .

In what follows, a Fourier hyperfunction $\psi(x, t)$ thus obtained shall be called a Fourier tame extension of U for short.

Let $g(x) = \tilde{c}(x, 0)$ and $h(x) = -\int_0^\infty c(x, s) w(s) ds$. Then g and h are also continuous functions of an infra-exponential type, and hence Fourier hyperfunctions. We define a Fourier hyperfunction u as

$$u(x) = P(-\Delta) g(x) + h(x).$$

Since

$$\lim_{t\to 0_+} U(x,t) = 0, x \oplus K,$$

we see that $u \in \mathcal{F}'(K)$.

We define a Fourier hyperfunction $\alpha(x, t)$ by

$$\alpha(x,t) = \begin{cases} \int E(x-y,t) u(y) \, dy \,, \quad t > 0 \\ 0, \qquad t < 0 \end{cases}$$

Let $\alpha_+(x, t)$ be the restriction of α to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ . Then we have $\alpha_+(x, t) \in \mathcal{F}_K^{\text{tame}}$.

Let $\beta(x, t)$ be a Fourier tame extension of $\alpha_+(x, t)$. Note that β does not coincide with α in general. It follows from (2.4) that

$$\lim_{t \to 0_+} \alpha(x, t) = \lim_{t \to 0_+} \beta(x, t)$$
$$= \lim_{t \to 0_+} \psi(x, t) .$$

Hence we have

$$(\partial_t - \Delta)(\psi(x, t) - \alpha(x, t)) = 0$$
 in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} .

By the well known uniqueness theorem for the solutions of the Cauchy problem to the heat equation we have $\psi = \alpha$ (See [4]).

Remarks. (i) The estimate (2.2) for $K = D^n$ is the following:

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C \exp \epsilon \left[\frac{1}{t} + t + |x|\right]$$

(ii) If $K \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ then the estimate (2.2) is replaced by

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C \exp\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{t} - \frac{\operatorname{dis}(x, K)^2}{4t}\right].$$

In this case, T. Kawai and T. Matsuzawa have shown in [9, 14] that its boundary value determines a unique hyperfunction with carrier K so that the vanishing of g(x) implies the vanishing of u(x, t).

(iii) Since it suffices to consider the estimate (2.2) for sufficiently small t>0, we may omit the term ϵt in (2.2).

Corollary 2.3. There exists an isomorphism

$$b: \mathscr{F}_{K}^{\mathsf{tame}} \to \mathscr{F}'(K)$$
.

From the proof of Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following corollaries:

Corollary 2.4. Each function in \mathcal{F}_{K}^{tame} is real analytic.

Corollary 2.5. If $u \in \mathcal{F}'(K)$, then there exist an ultradifferential operator P(d/dt) of Gevrey order 2, a continuous function g of an infra-exponential type and a C^{∞} -function h of an infra-exponential type such that

$$u(x) = P(-\Delta) g(x) + h(x)$$

where $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)$.

We can consider $\mathcal{F}'(K_1) \subset \mathcal{F}'(K_2)$ if $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \subset \mathcal{D}^n$. Let $\mathcal{F}' = \bigcup_{K \subset \subset \mathcal{D}^n} \mathcal{F}'(K)$. Then we have:

Theorem 2.6. If $u \in \mathcal{F}'$ then there is a smallest compact set $K \subset \subset D^n$ such that $u \in \mathcal{F}'(K)$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{F}'$ and let K be the intersection of all compact set K' in D^n such that $u \in \mathcal{F}'(K')$. By Theorem 2.2 a defining function

$$U(x, t) = u_y(E(x-y, t)), t > 0$$

is uniquely defined and satisfies the heat equation in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus (K \times \{0\})$. Noting

that $u = \lim_{t \to 0_+} U(\cdot, t)$, we see that $u \in \mathcal{F}'(K)$.

Theorem 2.7. Let K_1, \dots, K_r be compact subsets of \mathbf{D}^n and $u \in \mathcal{F}'(K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_r)$. Then we can find $u_i \in \mathcal{F}'(K_1)$ so that $u = u_1 + \dots + u_r$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the statement when r=2. Let U(x, t) be the function defined by (2.3). The theorem will be proved if we can split U into a sum $U=U_1+U_2$ where $U_j \in \mathcal{F}_{K_j}^{\text{tame}}, j=1, 2$. Let \tilde{U} be a Fourier tame extension of U. Then \tilde{U} satisfies the heat equation in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\setminus(\tilde{K}_1\cup\tilde{K}_2)$ where $\tilde{K}_j=K_j\times\{0\}\cap\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j=1, 2$. We take a function $\psi\in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\setminus\tilde{K}_1\cap\tilde{K}_2)$ constructed in [7, Corollary 1.4.11] such that $\psi=0$ for large |x|+t and near $(\tilde{K}_2\setminus(\tilde{K}_1\cap\tilde{K}_2)), \psi=1$ near $(\tilde{K}_1\setminus\tilde{K}_1\cap\tilde{K}_2)$ and $\psi\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Here "near" means in the sense of the slowly varying metric defined in [6, Chap. 1]. We will split \tilde{U} as follows:

$$ilde{U}_1=\psi ilde{U}{-} ilde{V}\,,~~ ilde{U}_2=(1{-}\psi)~ ilde{U}{+} ilde{V}\,.$$

We define $\psi \tilde{U} \in \mathcal{F}'(\mathbf{D}^{n+1})$ such that $\psi \tilde{U} = 0$ near $(\tilde{K}_2 \setminus (\tilde{K}_1 \cap \tilde{K}_2))$ and $(1-\psi) \tilde{U} = 0$ near $(\tilde{K}_1 \setminus (\tilde{K}_1 \cap \tilde{K}_2))$. We can write

$$(\partial_i - \Delta) \psi \tilde{U} = \tilde{F} + f$$

where \tilde{F} and f are in $\mathcal{F}'(D^{n+1})$ such that

$$\widetilde{F} = egin{cases} \left(\partial_t - \mathit{\Delta}
ight) \left(\psi U
ight), & t > 0 \ 0, & t < 0 \end{cases}$$

and $f \in \mathcal{F}'(\mathbf{D}^{n+1})$, supp $f \subset K_1 \times \{0\}$. Now we define

$$\widetilde{V}(x,t) = E * \widetilde{F}(x,t) \in \mathcal{F}'(D^{n+1})$$

and $V(x, t) \equiv \tilde{V}(x, t)$ for t > 0. Then we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus \widetilde{K}_1 \cap \widetilde{K}_2)$$
, supp $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \subset \overline{\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}}$

and

$$V(\cdot,t) \rightarrow 0$$
 uniformly in $\{x; \operatorname{dis}(x, K_1 \cap K_2) \geq \delta\}$

for every $\delta > 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0_+$. Since we have

$$V(x, t) = \psi U - E * f(x, t),$$

we have for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$V(x, t) = O(\exp \varepsilon [1/t+t+|x|])$$
 as $t \to 0_+$.

Thus we have the desired property that

$$U_1 = \psi U - V \in \mathcal{F}_{K_1}^{\text{tame}}$$

and

$$U_2 = (1 - \psi) U + V \in \mathcal{F}_{K_2}^{\text{tame}}$$

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Professor A. Kaneko for suggesting the problem and to the referee for correcting Lemma 2.1.

References

- Chou, C.C., La transformation de Fourier complexe et l'equation de convolution, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.
- [2] Chung, S.Y. and Kim. D., Structure of the Fourier hyperfunctions of maximal type, preprint.
- [3] _____, Equivalence of the defining sequences for ultradistributions, to appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [4] _____, Uniqueness for the Cauchy problem of heat equations without uniform condition on time, *preprint*.
- [5] Chung, S.Y., Kim, D. and Kim, S.K., Solvability of Mizohata and Lewy operators, to appear in J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA, 40 (1993).
- [6] Gel'fand, I.M. and Shilov, G.E., *Generalized functions III*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967.
- [7] Hörmander, L., The analysis of linear partial differential operator I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1983.
- [8] ———, Between distributions and hyperfunctions, Astérisque, 131 (1985), 89-106.
- [9] Kaneko, A., Introduction to hyperfunctions, KIT Sci. Publ., 1988.
- [10] Kawai, T. and Matsuzawa, T., On the boundary value of a solution of the heat equation, Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ., 25 (1989), 491–498.
- [11] Komatsu, H., Ultradistributions I, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA, 20 (1973), 25-105.
- [12] _____, Ultradistributions II, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo. Sect. IA, 24 (1977), 637-628.
- [13] —, Introduction to the theory of generalized functions, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1978, (in Japanese).
- [14] Matsuzawa, T., A calculus approach to hyperfunctions I, Nagoya Math. J., 108 (1987), 53-66.
- [15] —, A calculus approach to hyperfunctions II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 313 (1989), 619-654.
- [16] ———, A calculus approach to hyperfunctions III, Nagoya Math. J., 118 (1990), 133–153.
- [17] Seeley, R.T., Extension of C[∞]-functions defined in a half space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 15 (1964), 625-626.
- [18] Widder, D.V., The heat equation, Academic Press, 1975.