

PBW Basis of Quantized Universal Enveloping Algebras

By

Yoshihisa SAITO*

§ 0. Introduction

In [4], Lusztig constructed PBW bases of U_q^+ . Then, he introduced the canonical base of U_q^+ [6] in case of A, D, E type. His results can be reformulated in case of U_q^- as follows.

Let L be the sub $\mathbf{Z}[q]$ -module of U_q^- generated by a PBW basis of U_q^- . This submodule is independent of the choice of the PBW basis. Let $\pi' : L \rightarrow L/qL$ be the canonical projection. Then the image B of the PBW basis is a \mathbf{Z} -basis of L/qL and it is independent of the choice of the PBW bases. Let $- : U_q \rightarrow U_q$ be the \mathbf{Q} -algebra involution defined by $e_i \mapsto e_i, f_i \mapsto f_i, q^h \mapsto q^{-h}, q \mapsto q^{-1}$. Then π' induces a \mathbf{Z} -module isomorphism $\pi'' : L \cap \bar{L} \rightarrow L/qL, \mathbf{B} = (\pi'')^{-1}(B)$ is a \mathbf{Z} -basis of $L \cap \bar{L}$ and $\mathbf{Z}[q]$ -basis of L . Moreover each element of \mathbf{B} is fixed by $-$. \mathbf{B} is called the canonical base of U_q^- .

On the other hand, in [1] Kashiwara constructed the global crystal base of U_q^- . Let $(L(\infty), B(\infty))$ be the crystal base of U_q^- and let $U_{\bar{q}}$ be the sub- $\mathbf{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra of U_q^- generated by $f_i^{(n)}$. Then $U_{\bar{q}} \cap L(\infty) \cap L(\infty)^- \rightarrow L(\infty)/qL(\infty)$ is an isomorphism. Let G be the inverse of this isomorphism. Then $G(B(\infty))$ is a base of U_q^- and called the global crystal base of U_q^- .

In [7], Lusztig showed $\mathbf{B} = G(B(\infty))$ in the simply laced case.

In this paper, we show that the monomials of the root vectors form a base of U_q^- and they give a crystal base at $q=0$, when \mathfrak{g} is an arbitrary finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra.

In Section 1, we define the braid group action on the integrable U_q -module. Let M be an integrable U_q -module. Then we shall define the automorphism S_i of M as follows:

$$S_i v = \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(q_i^{-1} e_i t_i^{-1}) \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(-f_i) \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(q_i e_i t_i) q_i^{h_i(h_i+1)/2} v \quad \text{for } v \in M.$$

Communicated by M. Kashiwara, April 1, 1993.
1991 Math. Subject Classification : 17B37, 81R50.

* Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo, 113, Japan.

Here $\exp_q(x)$ denotes the q -analogue of the exponential function $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (q^{k(k-1)/2} / [k]!) x^k$. The operator $q_i^{h_i(h_i+1)/2}$ sends u to $q_i^{m(m+1)/2} u$ if $t_i u = q_i^m u$. Here $q_i = q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}$ and $t_i = q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) h_i}$. Since $q_i^{-1} e_i t_i^{-1}$, f_i and $q_i e_i t_i$ act on M in locally nilpotent way, S_i is well-defined. Moreover $\exp_q(x) \exp_{q^{-1}}(-x) = 1$ implies that S_i is invertible. There exists a unique automorphism T_i of U_q such that $S_i(xv) = (T_i x) S_i v$ for $x \in U_q$ and $v \in M$. This automorphism T_i coincides with the automorphism T_i introduced by Lusztig [4] with a small modification. In Proposition 1.4.1 we shall show that $\{S_i\}$ satisfies the braid relation. In Section 2, we show $\text{Ker } e'_i = T_i U_q^- \cap U_q^-$. (e'_i is defined in 2.1.) This is the key of this paper. In Section 3, we shall give a relation of crystal base and the braid group action. Let P be an element of $T_i^{-1} U_q^- \cap U_q^-$. We assume that P belongs to $L(\infty)$ and $P \bmod qL(\infty)$ belongs to $B(\infty)$. Using the fact that $\text{Ker } e'_i = T_i U_q^- \cap U_q^-$, we show that $T_i P$ belongs to $L(\infty)$ and $T_i P \bmod qL(\infty)$ belongs to $B(\infty)$. Thus $f_i^{(k)} T_i P$ belongs again to $L(\infty)$ and gives a crystal base at $q=0$. In Section 4, we introduce PBW basis $\{f^k; k = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N\}$. Choosing a reduced expression $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_N}$ of the longest element of the Weyl group we define

$$f^k = f_{i_1}^{(k_1)} T_{i_1} (f_{i_2}^{(k_2)} T_{i_2} (\dots f_{i_{N-1}}^{(k_{N-1})} T_{i_{N-1}} f_{i_N}^{(k_N)} \dots)).$$

By the consequence of Section 3 we show that f^k forms a base of $L(\infty)$ and $\{f^k \bmod qL(\infty)\} = B(\infty)$ when \mathfrak{g} is a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra (Main Theorem). This generalizes the result of Lusztig [7].

This paper could not be written without Professor M. Kashiwara's guidance. The author would like to thank Professor M. Kashiwara.

§ 1. Braid Group Action on Integrable Modules

1.1. The operator Φ

We follow the notations in [1, 2, 3]. For example, \mathfrak{g} is a symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra, $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ is the set of simple roots, P is a weight lattice, U_q is the corresponding quantized universal enveloping algebra generated by $e_i, f_i, q^h (h \in P^*)$, etc.

Let $U_q(sl_{2i})$ be the subalgebra of U_q generated by $e_i, f_i, t_i = q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) h_i}$.

Introduce the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra anti-automorphism $*$ of U_q by

$$(1.1.1) \quad e_i^* = e_i, \quad f_i^* = f_i, \quad (q^h)^* = q^{-h}.$$

We define the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra homomorphism $\Phi: U_q \rightarrow \text{End}(U_q)$ by

$$(1.1.2) \quad \Phi(x)(y) = \sum (S(x_{(2)}))^* y x_{(1)}^*$$

where

$$\Delta(x) = \sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1.1.3) \quad \Phi(e_i)(x) &= t_i^{-1}[x, e_i] \\ \Phi(f_i)(x) &= x f_i - f_i t_i x t_i^{-1} \\ \Phi(t_i)(x) &= t_i x t_i^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in U_q$.

Lemma 1.1.1. For $i \neq j$

$$(1.1.4) \quad \Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} q_i^{\binom{n-k}{2}(-a_{ij}-n+1)} f_i^{(n-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)}.$$

Proof. Let A and B be the endomorphisms of U_q defined by $Ax = x f_i$ and $Bx = f_i t_i x t_i^{-1}$. Then we have

$$\Phi(f_i)(x) = Ax - Bx$$

for $x \in U_q$. The operators A and B satisfy the commutation relation:

$$AB = q_i^2 BA.$$

By the q -analogue of the binomial formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f_i^n) &= (A - B)^n \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} q_i^{-k \binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i A^k B^{n-k}. \\ \Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j) &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} q_i^{\binom{n-k}{2}(-n+1)} f_i^{(n-k)} t_i^{n-k} f_j t_i^{-n+k} f_i^{(k)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} q_i^{\binom{n-k}{2}(-a_{ij}-n+1)} f_i^{(n-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

For $n = 1 - a_{ij}$, the Serre relation implies

$$\Phi(f_i^{(1-a_{ij})})(f_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{-a_{ij}+1} (-1)^{-a_{ij}+1-k} f_i^{(-a_{ij}+1-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} = 0.$$

Along with $\Phi(e_i)f_j = 0$, we conclude that f_j is $U_q(sl_2)_i$ -finite and it is a highest weight vector. Here U_q is regarded as a $U_q(sl_2)_i$ -module through Φ .

1.2. Definition of S_i

Let $V(l)$ ($l \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$) be the irreducible $U_q(sl_2)_i$ -module of dimension $l+1$. Let us take a highest weight vector $u_0^{(l)}$ of $V(l)$. Then we have

$$(1.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} e_i u_0^{(l)} &= 0, \\ t_i u_0^{(l)} &= q_i^l u_0^{(l)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $u_k^{(l)} = f_i^{(k)} u_0^{(l)}$. Then we have

$$V(l) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^l \mathbf{Q}(q) u_k^{(l)}.$$

Next, we define the endomorphism S_i of the vector space $V(l)$ by

$$(1.2.2) \quad S_i v = \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(q_i^{-1} e_i t_i^{-1}) \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(-f_i) \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(q_i e_i t_i) q_i^{h_i(h_i+1)/2} v$$

for $v \in V(l)$, where

$$\exp_q(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2}}{[k]!} X^k.$$

The operator $q_i^{h_i(h_i+1)/2}$ sends u to $q_i^{m(m+1)/2} u$ for a vector u with $t_i u = q_i^m u$. Since the action of e_i and f_i are nilpotent, this endomorphism is well defined.

For $x \in U_q$ and $n \geq 0$, we set

$$x_i = \frac{x - x^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} x \\ n \end{matrix} \right\}_i = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n (q_i^{1-k} x)_i}{[n]_i!}.$$

Hence we have

$$(1.2.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} q_i^m \\ n \end{matrix} \right\}_i = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i, & \text{for } m \geq n \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{for } n > m \geq 0 \\ (-1)^n \begin{bmatrix} n-1-m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i, & \text{for } n \geq 0 > m \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1.2.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} x \\ n \end{matrix} \right\}_i = (-1)^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} q_i^{n-1} x^{-1} \\ n \end{matrix} \right\}_i.$$

Ordinary, $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i$ is defined for $m \geq 0, n \geq 0$. But we will extend $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i$ for $m \in \mathbf{Z}, n \geq 0$. We set

$$(1.2.5) \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i = \left\{ \begin{matrix} q_i^m \\ n \end{matrix} \right\}_i.$$

Then we have

$$(1.2.6) \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i = (-1)^n \begin{bmatrix} n-1-m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i.$$

Proposition 1.2.1.

$$(1.2.7) \quad S_i u_k^{(l)} = (-1)^{l-k} q_i^{(l-k)(k+1)} u_{l-k}^{(l)}$$

for any $0 \leq k \leq l$.

The first step is the next lemma.

Lemma 1.2.2. For integers s, k, l with $0 \leq k, s \leq l$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum (-1)^b q^{(l-2k)(c-a) - c(b-c) + b-a(a-b+c)} \begin{bmatrix} l-k+c \\ c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l-s+a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ a \end{bmatrix} \\ = (-1)^{l-k} q^{(l-k)(k-1)} \delta_{k,s} \end{aligned}$$

where the sum ranges over non-negative integers a, b, c such that $s = l - k + a - b + c$.

Proof. We recall the next formula

$$(1.2.8) \quad \sum_{n=0}^k x^{-n} y^{k-n} \begin{Bmatrix} y \\ n \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} x \\ k-n \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} xy \\ k \end{Bmatrix}$$

for $x, y \in U_q$. Then, (1.2.5) and (1.2.8) imply

$$(1.2.9) \quad \sum_{n=0}^k q^{-an+b(k-n)} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ k-n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ k \end{bmatrix}.$$

By (1.2.6) and (1.2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum (-1)^c q^{-c(l-s+a) + (k-c)(-l+k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} l-k+c \\ c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l-s+a \\ k-c \end{bmatrix} \\ = \sum q^{-c(l-s+a) + (k-c)(-l+k-1)} \begin{bmatrix} -l+k-1 \\ c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l-s+a \\ k-c \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} k+a-s-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\ = (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} s-a \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\ = (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} s-a \\ s-a-k \end{bmatrix} \\ = (-1)^{s-a} \begin{bmatrix} -k-1 \\ s-a-k \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum q^{s(s-a-k) + a(k+1)} \begin{bmatrix} -k-1 \\ s-a-k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s-k-1 \\ s-k \end{bmatrix} \\ = \delta_{s,k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum (-1)^{c+s-a} q^{-c(l-s+a) + (k-c)(-l+k-1) + s(s-a-k) + a(k+1)} \begin{bmatrix} l-k+c \\ c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l-s+a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{s,k}.$$

Assume that s equals k . Since $s=l-k+a-b+c$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -c(l-s+a)+(k-c)(-l+k-1)+s(s-a-k)+a(k+1) \\ & = (l-2k)(c-a)-c(b-c)+b-a(a-b+c)-(k+1)(l-k), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\sum (-1)^b q_i^{(l-2k)(c-a)-c(b-c)+b-a(a-b+c)} \begin{bmatrix} l-k+c \\ c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l-s+a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ a \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{l-k} q_i^{(l-k)(k+1)} \delta_{s,k}.$$

We proved the lemma. Q. E. D.

We define the endomorphism s_i of $V(l)$ by

$$(1.2.10) \quad s_i = \sum_{a,b,c} (-1)^b q_i^{c(c-b)-a(a-b+c)+b} e_i^{(a)} f_i^{(b)} e_i^{(c)} t_i^{c-a}.$$

Lemma 1.2.3.

$$(1.2.11) \quad s_i u_k^{(l)} = (-1)^{l-k} q_i^{(l-k)(k+1)} u_{l-k}^{(l)}.$$

Proof. We get

$$(1.2.12) \quad s_i u_k^{(l)} = \sum (-1)^b q_i^{(l-2k)(c-a)+c(c-b)+b-a(a-b+c)} \begin{bmatrix} l-k+c \\ c \end{bmatrix}_i \begin{bmatrix} l-s+a \\ b \end{bmatrix}_i \begin{bmatrix} s \\ a \end{bmatrix}_i u_{l-s}^{(l)}.$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} s_i u_k^{(l)} & = \sum (-1)^b q_i^{c(c-b)-a(a-b+c)+b} e_i^{(a)} f_i^{(b)} e_i^{(c)} (q_i^{(l-2k)(c-a)}) u_k^{(s)} \\ & = \sum (-1)^b q_i^{(l-2k)(c-a)+c(c-b)+b-a(a-b+c)} \\ & \quad \begin{bmatrix} l-k+c \\ c \end{bmatrix}_i \begin{bmatrix} k-c+b \\ b \end{bmatrix}_i \begin{bmatrix} l-k+c-b+a \\ a \end{bmatrix}_i u_{k-a+b-c}^{(l)} \\ & = \sum (-1)^b q_i^{(l-2k)(c-a)+c(c-b)+b-a(a-b+c)} \begin{bmatrix} l-k+c \\ c \end{bmatrix}_i \begin{bmatrix} l-s+a \\ b \end{bmatrix}_i \begin{bmatrix} s \\ a \end{bmatrix}_i u_{l-s}^{(l)} \end{aligned}$$

where $s=l-k+c-b+a$. By Lemma 1.2.2, we have

$$s_i u_k^{(l)} = (-1)^{l-k} q_i^{(l-k)(k+1)} u_{l-k}^{(l)}.$$

Q. E. D.

Proof of Proposition 1.2.1. It is enough to show that s_i equals S_i . First, we get

$$e_i^{(a)} f_i^{(b)} e_i^{(c)} t_i^{c-a} = q_i^{2ac-2ab+a(a-1)-c(c-1)} \frac{(e_i t_i^{-1})^a}{[a]_i!} f_i^{(b)} \frac{(e_i t_i)^c}{[c]_i!}.$$

Then, we have

$$s_i = \sum (-1)^b q_i^{c(c-b)-a(a-b+c)+b+2ac-2ab+a(a-1)-c(c-1)} \frac{(e_i t_i^{-1})^a}{[a]_i!} f_i^{(b)} \frac{(e_i t_i)^c}{[c]_i!}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & c(c-b)-a(a-b+c)+b+2ac-2ab+a(a-1)-c(c-1) \\ &= -\frac{a(a-1)}{2} - a - \frac{b(b-1)}{2} - \frac{c(c-1)}{2} + c + \frac{(-a+b-c)(-a+b-c+1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_i u_k^{(l)} &= \sum_{a,b,c} \frac{q_i^{-a(a-1)/2}}{[a]_i!} (q_i^{-1} e_i t_i^{-1})^a \frac{q_i^{-b(b-1)/2}}{[b]_i!} f_i^b \frac{q_i^{-c(c-1)/2}}{[c]_i!} (q_i e_i t_i)^c q_i^{(-a+b-c)(-a+b-c+1)/2} u_k^{(l)} \\ &= S_i u_k^{(l)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $k = s = l - k + a - b + c$.

Q. E. D.

We define the endomorphism S'_i of $V(l)$ by

$$(1.2.13) \quad S'_i u_k^{(l)} = \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(-q_i^{-1} f_i t_i) \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(e_i) \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(-q_i f_i t_i^{-1}) q_i^{h_i(h_i+1)/2}.$$

We can prove the next result similarly,

$$(1.2.14) \quad S'_i u_k^{(l)} = (-1)^{l-k} q_i^{(l-k)(k+1)} u_{l-k}^{(l)}.$$

Therefore, $S_i = S'_i$, and (1.2.13) is another expression of S_i .

Let M be an integrable U_q -module. M is a direct sum of irreducible $U_q(sl_2)_i$ -modules. So, we regard S_i as an endomorphism of M .

1.3. Definition of T_i

Let $Int(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ be the category of integrable U_q -modules, for an object M of $Int(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ let $\Psi(M)$ be the underlying $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ -vectorspace. Then Ψ is the functor from $Int(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ to the category of $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ vector spaces. Let R be the endomorphism ring. Then R contains S_i as well as U_q .

We define the algebra automorphism T_i of R by

$$(1.3.1) \quad T_i x = \text{Ad} S_i(x)$$

for $x \in U_q$.

Proposition 1.3.1. *We have*

$$(1.3.2) \quad T_i(e_i) = -f_i t_i$$

$$(1.3.3) \quad T_i(f_i) = -t_i^{-1} e_i$$

$$(1.3.4) \quad T_i(t_j) = t_j t_i^{-a_{ij}}$$

$$(1.3.5) \quad T_i(e_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^{-a_{ij}-k} q_i^{a_{ij}+k} e_i^{\{k\}} e_j e_i^{\{-a_{ij}-k\}} \quad \text{for } i \neq j$$

$$(1.3.6) \quad T_i(f_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^{-a_{ij}-k} q_i^{-a_{ij}-k} f_i^{\{-a_{ij}-k\}} f_j f_i^{\{k\}} \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

In particular U_q is stable by T_i .

Proof. Let $\{u_k^{(l)}\}$ be as in 1.2. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_i e_i u_k^{(l)} &= S_i e_i S_i^{-1} S_i u_k^{(l)} \\ &= T_i(e_i) (-1)^{l-k} q_i^{\{l-k\} (k+1)} u_{l-k}^{(l)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_i e_i u_k^{(l)} &= [l-k+1]_i S_i u_k^{(l)} \\ &= [l-k+1]_i (-1)^{l-k+1} q_i^{k(l-k+1)} u_{l-k+1}^{(l)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $T_i(e_i) = -f_i t_i$. Similarly, $T_i(f_i) = -t_i^{-1} e_i$ and $T_i(t_j) = t_j t_i^{-a_{ij}}$. We shall prove (1.3.6).

Lemma 1.3.2. *Let*

$$S_i'' = \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(-f_i) \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(q_i e_i t_i).$$

Then we have

$$\text{Ad}(S_i'')(f_j t_j^{-1}) = q_i^{-a_{ij} (a_{ij}-1)/2} \text{Ad}(\exp_{q_i}(-q_i^{-1} e_i t_i^{-1})) (\Phi(f_i^{\{-a_{ij}\}})(f_j) t_j^{-1}).$$

Proof. Since $[q_i e_i t_i, f_j t_j^{-1}] = 0$, we get

$$\text{Ad}(\exp_{q_i^{-1}}(q_i e_i t_i))(f_j t_j^{-1}) = f_j t_j^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(S_i'')(f_j t_j^{-1}) &= \text{Ad}(\exp_{q_i^{-1}}(-f_i))(f_j t_j^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} q_i^{-\binom{n-1}{2} + k(n-1+a_{ij})} f_i^{\{n-k\}} f_j f_i^{\{k\}} t_j^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_i^{-\binom{n-1}{2} - n(-a_{ij}-n+1)} \Phi(f_i^{\{n\}})(f_j) t_j^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{-a_{ij}} q_i^{\binom{n-1}{2} + n a_{ij}} \Phi(f_i^{\{n\}})(f_j) t_j^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.1.3) and Lemma 1.1.1, $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{-a_{ij}} \mathbf{Q}(q) \Phi(f_i^{\{k\}})(f_j)$ is a $(-a_{ij}+1)$ -dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_i$ -submodule of U_q , and f_j is a highest weight vector of weight $-a_{ij}$. Therefore

$$(1.3.7) \quad \Phi(e_i^{\{k\}}) \Phi(f_i^{\{-a_{ij}\}})(f_j) = \Phi(f_i^{\{-a_{ij}-k\}})(f_j).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Ad}(\exp_{q_i}(-q_i^{-1}e_i t_i^{-1}))(\bar{\Phi}(f_i^{\{-a_{i,j}\}})(f_j)t_j^{-1}) \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q_i^{(n(n+1)/2) + k(n-1)} t_i^{-n} e_i^{\{k\}} (\bar{\Phi}(f_i^{\{-a_{i,j}\}})(f_j)) e_i^{\{n-k\}} t_j^{-1} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_i^{n(n+1)/2} \bar{\Phi}(e_i^{\{n\}}) \bar{\Phi}(f_i^{\{-a_{i,j}\}})(f_j) t_j^{-1} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{-a_{i,j}} q_i^{n(n+1)/2} \bar{\Phi}(f_i^{\{-a_{i,j}-n\}})(f_j) t_j^{-1} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{-a_{i,j}} q_i^{n(n-1)/2 + na_{i,j} + (a_{i,j}(a_{i,j}-1)/2)} \bar{\Phi}(f_i^{\{n\}})(f_j) t_j^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

Let

$$(1.3.8) \quad S'_i = \exp_{q_i}^{-1}(q_i^{-1}e_i t_i^{-1}) S''_i.$$

By Lemma 1.3.2, we have

$$(1.3.9) \quad \text{Ad}(S'_i)(f_j t_j^{-1}) = q_i^{-a_{i,j}(a_{i,j}-1)/2} \bar{\Phi}(f_i^{\{-a_{i,j}\}})(f_j) t_j^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, we get

$$f_j t_j^{-1} = q_i^{-a_{i,j}(a_{i,j}-1)/2} q_i^{h_i(h_i+1)/2} f_j t_j^{-1} t_i^{a_{i,j}} q_i^{-h_i(h_i+1)/2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Ad}(S'_i)(f_j t_j^{-1}) &= q_i^{-a_{i,j}(a_{i,j}-1)/2} \text{Ad}(S_i)(f_j t_j^{-1} t_i^{a_{i,j}}) \\
 &= q_i^{-a_{i,j}(a_{i,j}-1)/2} \text{Ad}(S_i)(f_j) t_j^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This and (1.3.9) imply (1.3.6).

Introduce the \mathbf{Q} -algebra anti-automorphism ω by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega e_i &= f_i \\
 \omega f_i &= e_i \\
 \omega t_i &= t_i^{-1} \\
 \omega q &= q^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying ω to (1.3.6), we obtain (1.3.5).

Q. E. D.

This proposition immediately imply the next corollary.

Corollary 1.3.3.

$$*T_i^* = T_i^{-1}.$$

1.4. The braid group action

Proposition 1.4.1. $\{S_i; i \in I\}$ satisfies the braid relations for the Weyl group

W of g.

Proof. In case of $a_{i,j}=a_{j,i}=-1$, we have to prove

$$S_i S_j S_i = S_j S_i S_j.$$

This is equivalent to

$$S_j = (\text{Ad} S_i)(\text{Ad} S_j)(S_i) = T_i T_j(S_i).$$

By (1.3.2)-(1.3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1.4.1) \quad T_i T_j(f_i) &= f_j \\ T_i T_j(e_i) &= e_j \\ T_i T_j(t_i) &= t_j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_i T_j (\exp_{q_i^{-1}}(q_i^{-1} e_i t_i^{-1}) \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(-f_i) \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(q_i e_i t_i) q_i^{h_i(h_i+1)/2}) \\ = \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(q_i^{-1} T_i T_j(e_i t_i^{-1})) \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(-T_i T_j(f_i)) \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(q_i T_i T_j(e_i t_i)) q_i^{h_j(h_j+1)/2} \\ = \exp_{q_j^{-1}}(q_j^{-1} e_j t_j^{-1}) \exp_{q_j^{-1}}(-f_j) \exp_{q_j^{-1}}(q_j e_j t_j) q_j^{h_j(h_j+1)/2} \\ = S_j. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining cases are similarly proved by the corresponding identities to (1.4.1) due to Lusztig [4]. Q. E. D.

This proposition immediately implies the following result.

Corollary 1.4.2 ([5]). $\{T_i; i \in I\}$ satisfies the braid relations.

§ 2. $T_i U_q^- \cap U_q^- = \mathbf{Ker} e'_i$

2.1. Proof of $T_i U_q^- \cap U_q^- = \mathbf{Ker} e'_i$

Let U_q^- be the subalgebra over $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ of U_q generated by f_i .

Lemma 2.1.1 ([1]). For any $P \in U_q^-$, there exist unique $Q, R \in U_q^-$ such that

$$[e_i, P] = \frac{t_i Q - t_i^{-1} R}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}.$$

By this lemma, if we set $e''_i(P) = Q$ and $e'_i(P) = R$, then e'_i and e''_i are endomorphism of U_q^- . Moreover, we get

$$e''_i f_j = q_i^{a_{ij}} f_j e''_i + \delta_{ij}$$

and

$$e'_i f_j = q_i^{-a_{ij}} f_j e'_i + \delta_{ij}.$$

Here f_j acts on U_q^- by the left multiplication.

The aim of this section is to prove the following result.

Proposition 2.1.2.

$$(2.1.1) \quad T_i(U_q^-) \cap U_q^- = \text{Ker } e'_i.$$

The first step is the next lemma.

Lemma 2.1.3.

$$(2.1.2) \quad f_i(U_q^-) \cap T_i(U_q^-) = \{0\}.$$

Proof. Let $u \in f_i(U_q^-) \cap T_i(U_q^-)$. Then $T_i^{-1}u \in U_q^-$. On the other hand, choosing $x \in U_q^-$ such that $u = f_i x$, we have

$$T_i^{-1}u = -e_i t_i T_i^{-1}x.$$

Since $e_i U_q \cap U_q^- = 0$, we obtain $u = 0$.

Q. E. D.

Lemma 2.1.4. For $i \neq j$

$$(2.1.3) \quad f_j f_i^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n q_i^{k(n-k-a_{ij})} f_i^{(k)} \Phi(f_i^{(n-k)})(f_j).$$

Proof. By the definition of Φ we have

$$\Phi(f_i)(\Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j)) = \Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j) f_i - f_i t_i \Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j) t_i^{-1}.$$

Therefore we have

$$(2.1.4) \quad \Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j) f_i = [n+1]_i \Phi(f_i^{(n+1)})(f_j) + q_i^{2n-a_{ij}} f_i \Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j).$$

We shall show this formula by induction on n ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_j f_i^{(n+1)} &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_i} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n q_i^{k(n-k-a_{ij})} f_i^{(k)} \Phi(f_i^{(n-k)})(f_j) \right) f_i \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_i} \sum_{k=0}^n q_i^{k(n-k-a_{ij})} f_i^{(k)} ([n-k+1]_i \Phi(f_i^{(n-k+1)})(f_j) \\ &\quad + q_i^{2(n-k)-a_{ij}} f_i \Phi(f_i^{(n-k)})(f_j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_i} \sum_{k=0}^n q_i^{k(n-k-a_{ij})} [n-k+1]_i f_i^{(k)} \Phi(f_i^{(n-k+1)})(f_j) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{[n+1]_i} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} q_i^{k(n-k-a_{ij})+n+1} [k]_i f_i^{(k)} \Phi(f_i^{(n-k+1)})(f_j) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} q_i^{k(n+1-k-a_{ij})} f_i^{(k)} \Phi(f_i^{(n-k+1)})(f_j). \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

Corollary 2.1.5.

$$(2.1.5) \quad U_q^- = f_i(U_q^-) + M$$

where M is the $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ -subalgebra of U_q^- generated by $\Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j)$ for $i \neq j$ and $n \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.1.6. For $i \neq j$

$$(2.1.6) \quad T_i^{-1}\Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j) = (\Phi(f_i^{-a_{ij}-n})(f_j))^*.$$

Proof. We shall show this formula by induction on n ,

$$\begin{aligned} & T_i^{-1}\Phi(f_i^{(n+1)})(f_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_i} T_i(\Phi(f_i)\Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_i} T_i(\Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j)f_i - q_i^{-2n-a_{ij}}f_i\Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_i} ((\Phi(f_i^{-a_{ij}-n})(f_j))^*(T_i f_i)^* - q_i^{-2n-a_{ij}}(T_i f_i)^*(\Phi(f_i^{-a_{ij}-n})(f_j))^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_i} (-t_i^{-1}e_i\Phi(f_i^{-a_{ij}-n})(f_j) + q_i^{-2n-a_{ij}}\Phi(f_i^{-a_{ij}-n})(f_j)t_i^{-1}e_i)^* \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_i} (\Phi(e_i)\Phi(f_i^{-a_{ij}-n})(f_j))^* \\ &= (\Phi(f_i^{-a_{ij}-n-1})(f_j))^*. \end{aligned} \quad Q. E. D.$$

Corollary 2.1.7. For $i \neq j$,

$$(2.1.7) \quad \Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j) \in T_i(U_q^-) \cap U_q^-.$$

Proof. U_q^- is stable under $*$. Lemma 2.1.6 immediately implies this corollary. Q. E. D.

Lemma 2.1.8. For $i \neq j$

$$(2.1.8) \quad e'_i\Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j) = q_i^{-2n-a_{ij}}\Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j)e'_i.$$

Proof. First we have

$$e'_i f_i^{(n)} = q_i^{-2n} f_i^{(n)} e'_i + q_i^{1-n} f_i^{(n-1)}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e'_i f_i^{(n-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} &= q_i^{-2n-a_{ij}} f_i^{(n-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} e'_i + q_i^{-2n+k+1-a_{ij}} f_i^{(n-k)} f_j f_i^{(k-1)} \\ &\quad + q_i^{-n+k+1} f_i^{(n-k-1)} f_j f_i^{(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e'_i\Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j) &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} q_i^{(n-k)(-a_{ij}-n+1)} e'_i f_i^{(n-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} \\ &= q_i^{-2n-a_{ij}}\Phi(f_i^{(n)})(f_j)e'_i \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} q_i^{(n-k)(-a_{ij}-n+1)} \cdot (q_i^{-2n+k+1-a_{ij}} f_i^{(n-k)} f_j f_i^{(k-1)} + q_i^{-n+k+1} f_i^{(n-k-1)} f_j f_i^{(k)}).$$

It is easy to show that the second term is 0. Q. E. D.

Lemma 2.1.9.

$$(2.1.9) \quad M = \text{Ker } e'_i.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1.8, we have

$$(2.1.10) \quad M \subset \text{Ker } e'_i.$$

We recall the result in [1],

$$(2.1.11) \quad U_{\bar{q}} = \text{Ker } e'_i \oplus f_i(U_{\bar{q}}^-).$$

Then this lemma follows from Corollary 2.1.5. Q. E. D.

Proof of Proposition 2.1.2. By Lemmas 2.1.6 and 2.1.9, we have

$$(2.1.12) \quad \text{Ker } e'_i \subset T_i(U_{\bar{q}}^-) \cap U_{\bar{q}}^-.$$

Then this proposition follows from Lemma 2.1.3, (2.1.10) and (2.1.12). Q. E. D.

§ 3. Crystals

3.1. Definition of crystal

Definition 3.1.1. A crystal B is a set with

$$(3.1.1) \quad \text{maps } wt : B \rightarrow P, \varepsilon_i : B \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \cup \{-\infty\} \text{ and } \varphi_i : B \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \cup \{-\infty\},$$

$$(3.1.2) \quad \check{e}_i : B \rightarrow B \cup \{0\}, \quad \check{f}_i : B \rightarrow B \cup \{0\}.$$

They are subject to the following axioms:

$$(C1) \quad \varphi_i(b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + \langle h_i, wt(b) \rangle.$$

$$(C2) \quad \text{If } b \in B \text{ and } \check{e}_i b \in B \text{ then, } wt(\check{e}_i b) = wt(b) + \alpha_i, \varepsilon_i(\check{e}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) - 1 \text{ and } \varphi_i(\check{e}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) + 1.$$

$$(C2') \quad \text{If } b \in B \text{ and } \check{f}_i b \in B, \text{ then } wt(\check{f}_i b) = wt(b) - \alpha_i, \varepsilon_i(\check{f}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + 1 \text{ and } \varphi_i(\check{f}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) - 1.$$

$$(C3) \quad \text{For } b, b' \in B \text{ and } i \in I, b' = \check{e}_i b \text{ if and only if } b = \check{f}_i b'.$$

$$(C4) \quad \text{For } b \in B, \text{ if } \varphi_i(b) = -\infty, \text{ then } \check{e}_i b = \check{f}_i b = 0.$$

For two crystals B_1 and B_2 , a morphism ϕ from B_1 to B_2 is a map $B_1 \rightarrow B_2 \cup \{0\}$ that satisfies the following conditions:

$$(3.1.3) \quad \text{If } b \in B_1 \text{ and } \phi(b) \in B_2, \text{ then } wt(\phi(b)) = wt(b), \varepsilon_i(\phi(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b), \text{ and } \varphi_i(\phi(b)) = \varphi_i(b),$$

$$(3.1.4) \quad \text{For } b \in B_1, \text{ we have } \phi(\tilde{e}_i b) = \tilde{e}_i \phi(b) \text{ provided } \phi(b) \text{ and } \phi(\tilde{e}_i b) \in B_2,$$

$$(3.1.5) \quad \text{For } b \in B_1, \text{ we have } \phi(\tilde{f}_i b) = \tilde{f}_i \phi(b) \text{ provided } \phi(b) \text{ and } \phi(\tilde{f}_i b) \in B_2.$$

A morphism $\phi: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ is called *strict*, if it commutes with all \tilde{e}_i and \tilde{f}_i .

A morphism $\phi: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ is called an *embedding*, if ϕ induces an injective map $B_1 \cup \{0\} \rightarrow B_2 \cup \{0\}$.

For two crystals B_1 and B_2 , we define its tensor product $B_1 \otimes B_2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 \otimes B_2 &= \{b_1 \otimes b_2; b_1 \in B_1 \text{ and } b_2 \in B_2\} \\ \varepsilon_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \max\{\varepsilon_i(b_1), \varepsilon_i(b_2) - wt_i(b_1)\} \\ \varphi_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \max\{\varphi_i(b_1) + wt_i(b_2), \varphi_i(b_2)\} \\ wt(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= wt(b_1) + wt(b_2). \end{aligned}$$

Here $wt_i(b) = \langle h_i, wt(b) \rangle$. The action of \tilde{e}_i and \tilde{f}_i are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i b_1 \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \geq \varepsilon_i(b_2) \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{e}_i b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) < \varepsilon_i(b_2) \end{cases} \\ \tilde{f}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_i b_1 \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) > \varepsilon_i(b_2) \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_i b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \leq \varepsilon_i(b_2). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.1.2. For $i \in I$, B_i is the crystal defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} B_i &= \{b_i(n); n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \\ wt(b_i(n)) &= n \\ \varphi_i(b_i(n)) &= n, \quad \varepsilon_i(b_i(n)) = -n \\ \varphi_j(b_i(n)) &= \varepsilon_j(b_i(n)) = -\infty \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

We define the action of \tilde{e}_i and \tilde{f}_i by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_i(b_i(n)) &= b_i(n+1) \\ \tilde{f}_i(b_i(n)) &= b_i(n-1) \\ \tilde{e}_j(b_i(n)) &= \tilde{f}_j(b_i(n)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

We write b_i for $b_i(0)$.

Example 3.1.3. For $\lambda \in P_+$, $B(\lambda)$ is the crystal associated with the crystal base of the simple module with highest weight λ . The unique element of $B(\lambda)$ of weight λ is denoted by u_λ .

Example 3.1.4. $B(\infty)$ is the crystal associated with the crystal base of U_q^- . We set $\varepsilon_i(b) = \max\{k \geq 0; \tilde{e}_i^k b \neq 0\}$, $\varphi_i(b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + \langle h_i, wt(b) \rangle$. The unique element of $B(\infty)$ of weight 0 is denoted by u_∞ .

3.2. Some results

Theorem 3.2. ([3]).

$$(3.2.1) \quad B(\infty)^* = B(\infty).$$

We define the operators \tilde{e}_i^* , \tilde{f}_i^* of U_q^- by

$$(3.2.2) \quad \tilde{e}_i^* = * \tilde{e}_i^*, \text{ and } \tilde{f}_i^* = * \tilde{f}_i^*.$$

Theorem 3.2.2 ([3]). 1. For any i , there exists a unique strict embedding of crystals

$$\Psi_i : B(\infty) \hookrightarrow B(\infty) \otimes B_i$$

that sends u_∞ to $u_\infty \otimes b_i$.

2. If $\Psi_i(b) = b' \otimes \tilde{f}_i^n b_i (n \geq 0)$, then $\varepsilon_i(b^*) = n$, $\varepsilon_i(b'^*) = 0$ and

$$(3.2.3) \quad \Psi_i(\tilde{e}_i^* b) = \begin{cases} b' \otimes \tilde{f}_i^{n-1} b_i & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(3.2.4) \quad \Psi_i(\tilde{f}_i^* b) = b' \otimes \tilde{f}_i^{n+1} b_i$$

3. $Im \Psi_i = \{b \otimes \tilde{f}_i^n b_i : b \in B(\infty), \varepsilon_i(b^*) = 0, n \geq 0\}$.

3.3. Action on $L(\lambda)$

Lemma 3.3.1 ([3]). For $b \in B(\infty)$

$$(3.3.1) \quad f_i^{(a)} G(b) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_i(b) + a \\ a \end{bmatrix}_i G(\tilde{f}_i^a b) \text{ mod } f_i^{a+1} U_q^-$$

$$(3.3.2) \quad G(b) f_i^{(a)} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_i(b^*) + a \\ a \end{bmatrix}_i G(\tilde{f}_i^{*a} b) \text{ mod } U_q^- f_i^{a+1}.$$

Let $\lambda \in P_+$, and let $V(\lambda)$ be the irreducible U_q -module generated by the highest weight vector u_λ of highest weight λ . For $w \in W$, let us denote by $u_{w\lambda}$ the global base of weight $w\lambda$. Then we have

$$(3.3.3) \quad u_{w\lambda} = u_\lambda \quad \text{if } w=1.$$

If $w = s_i w' > w'$, then we have

$$(3.3.4) \quad u_{w\lambda} = f_i^{(c)} u_{w'\lambda} \quad \text{where } c = \langle h_i, w\lambda \rangle.$$

Lemma 3.3.2. For $b \in B(\infty)$

$$(3.3.5) \quad G(b)u_{w\lambda} = G(\check{f}_i^{*c} b)u_{w'\lambda}.$$

Proof. Note that $f_i u_{w\lambda} = 0$ and $f_i^{1+c} u_{w'\lambda} = 0$. By Lemma 3.3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} G(b)u_{w\lambda} &= G(b)f_i^{(c)} u_{w'\lambda} \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_i(b^*) + c \\ c \end{bmatrix}_i G(\check{f}_i^{*c} b) + U_q^- f_i^{c+1} \right) u_{w'\lambda} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_i(b^*) + c \\ c \end{bmatrix}_i G(\check{f}_i^{*c} b) u_{w'\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\varepsilon_i(b^*) = 0$, it is obvious. If $\varepsilon_i(b^*) \neq 0$, then we have

$$(3.3.6) \quad G(b)u_{w\lambda} \in U_q^- f_i u_{w\lambda} = 0$$

and

$$G(\check{f}_i^{*c} b)u_{w'\lambda} \in U_q^- f_i^{c+1} u_{w'\lambda} = 0$$

since $G(b) \in U_q^- f_i^{\varepsilon_i(b^*)}$ and $\varepsilon_i((\check{f}_i^{*c} b)^*) = \varepsilon_i(b^*) + c$. Q. E. D.

Corollary 3.3.3. Let $\lambda \in P_+$, $P \in L(\infty)$ and $b \in B(\infty)$ such that $b \equiv P \pmod{qL(\infty)}$. Then we have

$$(3.3.7) \quad Pu_{s_i\lambda} \equiv G(\check{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b)u_\lambda \pmod{qL(\lambda)}.$$

Proposition 3.3.4.

$$(3.3.8) \quad T_i(P)u_\lambda \in L(\lambda)$$

and

$$(3.3.9) \quad T_i(P)u_\lambda \equiv \begin{cases} \vartheta_i^m G(\check{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b)u_\lambda & \text{if } \varphi(\check{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) + \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \varphi_i(\check{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) + \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

where $m = \varepsilon_i(\check{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b)$.

Proof. We recall (1.2.4)

$$S_i u_k^{(l)} = (-1)^{l-k} q_i^{(l-k)(k+1)} u_{l-k}^{(l)}.$$

So, $L(\lambda)$ and $L(\lambda)/qL(\lambda)$ are stable by S_i , and for $b \in B(\lambda)$

$$(3.3.10) \quad S_i b = \begin{cases} \tilde{\theta}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)} b & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$(3.3.11) \quad S_i P u_{s_i \lambda} \equiv \begin{cases} \tilde{\theta}_i^m G(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) u_\lambda & \text{if } \varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) + \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) + \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, by (3.3.10)

$$\begin{aligned} S_i P u_{s_i \lambda} &= T_i(P) S_i u_{s_i \lambda} \\ &= T_i(P) u_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have (3.3.8) and (3.3.9).

Q. E. D.

3.4. Action on $L(\infty)$

Let $b \in B(\infty)$ and $\lambda \in P_+$.

Lemma 3.4.1. $G(b)u_\lambda \neq 0$ if and only if $\varepsilon_i(b^*) \leq \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle$ for any i .

Proof.

$$\{P \in U_q^-; P u_\lambda = 0\} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} U_q^- f_i^{+\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle}$$

and

$$U_q^- f_i^a = \bigoplus_{\varepsilon_i(b^*) \geq a} \mathbf{Q}(q)G(b).$$

So, we have

$$\{P \in U_q^-; P u_\lambda = 0\} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \bigoplus_{\varepsilon_i(b^*) > \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} \mathbf{Q}(q)G(b).$$

Q. E. D.

Lemma 3.4.2. ([2]).

$$(3.4.1) \quad \varepsilon_j(\tilde{f}_i^a b) \leq \varepsilon_j(b) \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Now, let us assume that $\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle$ is sufficiently large for any i .

Lemma 3.4.3. If $\varepsilon_i(b^*) = 0$, then $G(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b)u_\lambda \neq 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4.1, $G(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b)u_\lambda \neq 0$ if and only if $\varepsilon_j^*(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) \leq \langle h_j, \lambda \rangle$ for any j , where we set $\varepsilon_i^*(b) = \varepsilon_i(b^*)$ for $b \in B(\infty)$. If $i = j$, then

$$\varepsilon_j^*(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) = \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle + \varepsilon_i^*(b) = \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle.$$

If $i \neq j$, then

$$\varepsilon_j^*(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) \leq \varepsilon_j^*(b) \leq \lambda_j$$

since $\lambda \gg 0$.

Q. E. D.

Let b be an element of $B(\infty)$ and let us assume that $P = G(b)$ belongs to $T_i^{-1}U_q^- \cap U_q^-$.

Lemma 3.4.4.

$$(3.4.2) \quad G(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) u_\lambda \neq 0.$$

Proof. Since $T_i^{-1} = *T_i*$ and U_q^- is stable under $*$

$$\begin{aligned} T_i^{-1}(U_q^-) \cap U_q^- &= (T_i(U_q^-) \cap U_q^-)^* \\ &= (\text{Ker } e_i)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $e_i' P^* = 0$, and therefore $\tilde{e}_i P^* = 0$. This implies

$$(3.4.3) \quad \varepsilon_i^*(b) = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.4.3, we have (3.4.2).

Q. E. D.

Lemma 3.4.5.

$$(3.4.4) \quad T_i(P) u_\lambda \neq 0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.3.4, it is enough to show that $\varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) + \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = 0$. We have

$$(3.4.5) \quad \varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) + \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = \varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) + \langle h_i, wt(b) - \lambda \rangle.$$

Since $\varepsilon_i^*(b) = 0$, $\Psi_i(b) = b \otimes b_i$ and $\Psi_i(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) = b \otimes \tilde{f}_i^{\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) &= \varepsilon_i(b \otimes \tilde{f}_i^{\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b_i) \\ &= \max\{\varepsilon_i(b), \varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i^{\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b_i) - \langle h_i, wt(b) \rangle\} \\ &= \max\{\varepsilon_i(b), \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle - \langle h_i, wt(b) \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(3.4.6) \quad \varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) = \langle h_i, \lambda - wt(b) \rangle.$$

Since $\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle$ is sufficiently large, it equals $\langle h_i, \lambda - wt(b) \rangle$. Therefore $\varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) + \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = 0$.

Q. E. D.

Lemma 3.4.6.

$$(3.4.7) \quad \varphi_i(b) + \varepsilon_i^*(b) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } b \in B(\infty).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2.2

$$\Psi_i(b) = b' \otimes \tilde{f}_i^n b_i$$

where $n = \varepsilon_i^*(b) = -\varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i^n b_i)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i(b) &= \varphi_i(b' \otimes \tilde{f}_i^n b_i) \\ &= \max\{\varphi_i(b') + \langle h_i, wt(\tilde{f}_i^n b_i) \rangle, \varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i^n b_i)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\varphi_i(b) + \varepsilon_i^*(b) = \varphi_i(b) - \varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i^n b_i) \geq 0. \quad Q. E. D.$$

Proposition 3.4.7.

$$(3.4.8) \quad T_i P \in L(\infty).$$

$$(3.4.9) \quad T_i P \equiv \tilde{f}_i^{*\varphi_i(b)} \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)} b \pmod{qL(\infty)}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4.6, we have

$$(3.4.10) \quad \varphi_i(b) = \varphi_i(b) + \varepsilon_i^*(b) \geq 0.$$

By (3.4.3), (3.4.6) and (3.4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_i(\tilde{\varrho}_i^m \tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b) &= \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\langle h_i, \lambda - wt(b) \rangle} (b \otimes \tilde{f}_i^{\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b_i) \\ &= \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)} b \otimes \tilde{f}_i^{\varphi_i(b)} b_i. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 3.2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_i(\tilde{f}_i^{*\varphi_i(b)} \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)} b) &= \tilde{f}_i^{*\varphi_i(b)} (\tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)} b \otimes b_i) \\ &= \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)} b \otimes \tilde{f}_i^{\varphi_i(b)} b_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since Ψ_i is an embedding, we have

$$(3.4.11) \quad \tilde{\varrho}_i^m \tilde{f}_i^{*\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} b = \tilde{f}_i^{*\varphi_i(b)} \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)} b.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(3.4.12) \quad T_i(P)u_\lambda \equiv G(\tilde{f}_i^{*\varphi_i(b)} \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)} b)u_\lambda.$$

For $\lambda \gg 0$, Proposition 3.3.4 and (3.4.12) imply this proposition. Q. E. D.

Corollary 3.4.8. *Let us define the map $A_i : \{b \in B(\infty); \varepsilon_i^*(b) = 0\} \rightarrow \{b \in B(\infty); \varepsilon_i(b) = 0\}$ by $b \mapsto \tilde{f}_i^{*\varphi_i(b)} \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)} b$. Then A_i is bijective and $A_i^{-1}(b) = \tilde{f}_i^{\varphi_i^*(b)} \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i^*(b)} b$.*

Proof. Let $b \in \{b \in B(\infty); \varepsilon_i^*(b) = 0\}$, $b' = \tilde{f}_i^{*\varphi_i(b)} \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)} b$. By Proposition 3.4.7 $\varepsilon_i(b') = 0$. We have

$$(3.4.13) \quad \varepsilon_i^*(b') = \varphi_i(b).$$

Indeed we have $\Psi_i(b') = \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)} b \otimes \tilde{f}_i^{\varphi_i(b)} b_i$. Theorem 3.2.2 implies (3.4.13). We get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i^*(b') &= \varepsilon_i^*(b') + \langle h_i, wt(b') \rangle \\ &= \varphi_i(b) + \langle h_i, (\varepsilon_i(b) - \varphi_i(b))\alpha_i + wt(b) \rangle \\ &= 2\varepsilon_i(b) - \varphi_i(b) + \langle h_i, wt(b) \rangle \\ &= \varepsilon_i(b). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2.2 and (3.4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_i(\tilde{\varrho}_i^{*\varepsilon_i^*}(b')b') &= \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)}b \otimes b_i \\ &= \Psi_i(\tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)}b). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\tilde{\varrho}_i^{*\varepsilon_i^*}(b')b' = \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)}b.$$

Hence, we have

$$\tilde{f}_i^{\varphi_i^*}(b')\tilde{\varrho}_i^{*\varepsilon_i^*}(b')b' = \tilde{f}_i^{\varphi_i(b)}\tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)}b = b.$$

Let $b \in \{b \in B(\infty); \varepsilon_i(b)=0\}$ and $b' = \tilde{f}_i^{\varphi_i^*}(b)\tilde{\varrho}_i^{*\varepsilon_i^*}(b)b$. We have the following formulas similarly

$$(3.4.14) \quad \varepsilon_i^*(b')=0$$

$$(3.4.15) \quad \tilde{f}_i^{*\varphi_i(b)}\tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)}b' = b.$$

The corollary is proved.

Q. E. D.

§ 4. Main Theorem

4.1. Proof of Main Theorem

In this section, we assume that \mathfrak{g} is a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra.

Proposition 4.1.1 [4]. (1) *Let $w \in W$ and let $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ be a reduced expression of w . Then the automorphism $T_w = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_k}$ of U_q is independent of the choice of the reduced expression of w .*

(2) *If $w\alpha_i \in R^+$, then $T_w f_i \in U_q^-$.*

Fix a reduced expression $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$ of the longest element of W . This gives us an ordering of the set of all positive roots R^+

$$(4.1.1) \quad \beta_1 = \alpha_{i_1}, \quad \beta_2 = s_{i_1}\alpha_{i_2}, \quad \dots, \quad \beta_N = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{N-1}}\alpha_{i_N}.$$

We define

$$(4.1.2) \quad f_{\beta_m} = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{m-1}}(f_{i_m})$$

and

$$(4.1.3) \quad f^k = f_{\beta_1}^{(k_1)} f_{\beta_2}^{(k_2)} \cdots f_{\beta_N}^{(k_N)} \quad \text{where } k = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^N.$$

Theorem 4.1.2 (Main Theorem).

(i) $f^k \in L(\infty)$ for any $k = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$.

(ii) $\{f^k \bmod qL(\infty); k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^N\} = B(\infty)$.

Proof. Let $P \in L(\infty)$ such that $T_i P \in U_q^-$. Then we have $e_i' T_i P = 0$.

Therefore

$$(4.1.4) \quad f_i^{\{n\}} T_i P = \tilde{f}_i^n T_i P.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(4.1.5) \quad f_i^{\{n\}} T_i P \in L(\infty)$$

and

$$(4.1.6) \quad f_i^{\{n\}} T_i P \equiv \tilde{f}_i^n \tilde{f}_i^{* \varphi_i(b)} \tilde{\varrho}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)} b \pmod{qL(\infty)} \text{ if } P \equiv b \pmod{qL(\infty)}.$$

By (4.1.5), we have $f^k \in L(\infty)$ immediately.

By Proposition 3.4.7, we have $f^k \pmod{qL(\infty)} \in B(\infty)$ for any k . So, there exist the canonical map $\pi : \{f^k\} \rightarrow B(\infty)$ by $f^k \mapsto f^k \pmod{qL(\infty)}$. We write b^k for $f^k \pmod{qL(\infty)}$.

The first step is the next lemma.

Lemma 4.1.3. π is injective.

Proof. Let $b_{(1)} = T_{i_1} f_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \cdots T_{i_{N-1}} f_{i_N}^{(k_N)} \pmod{qL(\infty)} \in B(\infty)$. Then we have

$$b^k = \tilde{f}_{i_1}^{k_1} b_{(1)}$$

and

$$T_{i_1} f_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \cdots T_{i_{N-1}} f_{i_N}^{(k_N)} \in T_{i_1}(U_{\bar{q}}^-) \cap U_{\bar{q}}^- = \text{Ker } e'_{i-1}.$$

Therefore we have $\tilde{\varrho}_{i_1} b_{(1)} = 0$. Hence we obtain $k_1 = \varepsilon_{i_1}(b^k)$. This implies

$$b_{(1)} = \tilde{\varrho}_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_{i_1}(b^k)} b^k.$$

By Corollary 3.4.8, we have

$$f_{i_2}^{(k_2)} T_{i_3} \cdots T_{i_{N-1}} f_{i_N}^{(k_N)} \equiv A_{i_1}^{-1}(b_{(1)}) \pmod{qL(\infty)}.$$

Let $b_{(2)} = \tilde{\varrho}_{i_2}^{\varepsilon_{i_2}(A_{i_1}^{-1}(b_{(1)}))} A_{i_1}^{-1}(b_{(1)})$. Then similarly we have

$$\varepsilon_{i_2}(A_{i_1}^{-1}(b_{(2)})) = k_2,$$

$$b_{(2)} \equiv T_{i_2} f_{i_3}^{(k_3)} \cdots T_{i_{N-1}} f_{i_N}^{(k_N)},$$

and

$$f_{i_3}^{(k_3)} \cdots T_{i_{N-1}} f_{i_N}^{(k_N)} \equiv A_{i_2}^{-1}(b_{(2)}) \pmod{qL(\infty)}.$$

Repeating this, $k = (k_1, \dots, k_N)$ is uniquely determined by b^k .

Now we define a map $b^k \mapsto f^{\rho(b^k)}$. It is trivial that this map is π^{-1} . Therefore π is injective. Q. E. D.

Let $Q_- = \sum \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i$.

$$(4.1.7) \quad t_i f_{\beta_m} t_i^{-1} = q_i^{-\langle h_i, \beta_m \rangle} f_{\beta_m}.$$

For $\xi \in Q_-$, we set

$$B_\xi = \{b^k; k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^N, wt(b^k) = \xi\}.$$

Hence we have $B_\xi \subset B(\infty)_\xi$. By (4.1.7), we obtain

$$\#B_\xi = \#\{(c_1, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^N; \xi = -\sum c_i \beta_i\}.$$

On the other hand, the PBW theorem for finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra implies

$$\begin{aligned} \#B(\infty)_\xi &= \dim_{\mathbf{Q}(q)}(U_q^-)_\xi \\ &= \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(U^-)_\xi \\ &= \#\{(c_1, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^N; \xi = -\sum c_i \beta_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$B_\xi = B(\infty)_\xi.$$

Hence we obtain (ii).

Q. E. D.

4.2. Examples

Example 4.2.1.

$$\begin{aligned} g &= A_2, & I &= \{1, 2\}, & a_{ij} &= a_{ji} = -1, \\ \beta_1 &= \alpha_1, & \beta_2 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, & \beta_3 &= \alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, $\Psi_{121}: B(\infty) \subset u_\infty \otimes B_1 \otimes B_2 \otimes B_1$. We shall calculate $\Psi_{121}(f^{(k_1 k_2 k_3)})$. First we have

$$\Psi_1(f_1^{(k_3)}) = u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_3} b_1,$$

$$T_2 f_1^{(k_3)} \equiv \tilde{f}_2^{* \varphi_2(b)} \tilde{\varepsilon}_2^{(b)} b \quad \text{where } f_1^{(k_3)} \equiv b \pmod{qL(\infty)}$$

and

$$\varphi_2(b) = k_3, \quad \varepsilon_2(b) = 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 f_1^{(k_3)} &\equiv \tilde{f}_2^{* k_3} b \\ &\xrightarrow{\Psi_2} b \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_3} b_2 \\ &\xrightarrow{\Psi_1} u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_3} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_3} b_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi_2(u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_3} b_1) = k_3$ and $\varepsilon_2(\tilde{f}_1^{k_3} b_1) = k_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_2^{(k_2)} T_2 f_1^{(k_3)} &\mapsto \tilde{f}_2^{k_2} (u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_3} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_3} b_2) \\ &= u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_3} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2 + k_3} b_2. \end{aligned}$$

$$T_1 f_2^{(k_2)} T_2 f_1^{(k_3)} \equiv \tilde{f}_1^{* \varphi_1(b')} \tilde{\varepsilon}_1^{\varepsilon_1(b')} b, \quad \text{where } b' \equiv f_2^{(k_2)} T_2 f_1^{(k_3)}.$$

Since $\varphi_1(b') = \varphi_1(u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_3} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2 + k_3} b_2) = k_2$ and $\varepsilon_1(b') = k_3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 b' &\longmapsto \tilde{e}_1^{e_1(b')} b' \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} b_1 \\
&\longmapsto \tilde{e}_1^{k_3} (u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_3} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} b_2) \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} b_1 \\
&= u_\infty \otimes b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_1} b_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{(k_1, k_2, k_3)} &= f_{\beta_1}^{(k_1)} f_{\beta_2}^{(k_2)} f_{\beta_3}^{(k_3)} \\
&= f_1^{(k_1)} T_1 f_2^{(k_2)} T_2 f_1^{(k_3)} \\
&\equiv f_1^{(k_1)} T_1 b' \\
&\longmapsto \tilde{f}_1^{k_1} (u_\infty \otimes b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} b_1) \\
&= \begin{cases} u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_1} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2-k_3} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} b_1 & (k_1 < k_3) \\ u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_3} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_1+k_2-k_3} b_1 & (k_1 \geq k_3). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

By [3], we know

$$B(\infty) = \{u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_1} b_1; 0 \leq k_1, 0 \leq k_2, 0 \leq k_3\}.$$

We shall calculate $(u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_1} b_1)^*$. First we have

$$(\tilde{f}_1^{k_1} \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} u_\infty)^* \longmapsto u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_1} b_1.$$

And we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}_1^{k_1} \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} u_\infty &\longmapsto \tilde{f}_1^{k_1} \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} (u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} b_1) \\
&\longmapsto \tilde{f}_1^{k_1} (u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} b_1) \\
&\longmapsto \begin{cases} u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_1} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} b_1 & (k_1 \leq k_3) \\ u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_3} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_1+k_2-k_3} b_1 & (k_1 \geq k_3). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{(k_1, k_2, k_3)} &\equiv \tilde{f}_1^{k_1} \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} u_\infty \\
&\longmapsto (u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_2} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_1} b_1)^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Example 4.2.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
g &= B_2, & I &= \{1, 2\}, & a_{1j} &= -2, & a_{j1} &= -1, \\
\beta_1 &= \alpha_1, & \beta_2 &= 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, & \beta_3 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, & \beta_4 &= \alpha_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We can calculate similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f^{(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)} \\
 \longrightarrow & \begin{cases} u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_1+2k_2} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_3+k_4} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_3} b_1 (k_2 \leq k_4, k_1 \leq -2k_2 + k_3 + 2k_4) \\ u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_3+2k_4} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_3+k_4} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_1+2k_2-2k_4} b_1 (k_2 \leq k_4, k_1 \geq -2k_2 + k_3 + 2k_4) \\ u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_4} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_1+2k_4} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{2k_2+k_3-2k_4} b_1 (k_2 \geq k_4, k_1 \leq k_3) \\ u_\infty \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_4} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_3+2k_4} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2^{k_2+k_3} b_2 \otimes \tilde{f}_1^{k_1+2k_2-2k_4} b_1 (k_2 \geq k_4, k_1 \geq k_3). \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Kashiwara, M., Crystallizing the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras, *Duke Math. J.*, **63** (1991), 465-516.
- [2] ———, Grobal crystal base of quantum groups, *RIMS preprint 756* (1991), to appear in *Duke Math. J.*
- [3] ———, Crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula, *RIMS preprint 880* (1992).
- [4] G. Lusztig, Quantum groups at roots of 1. *Geom. Dedicata*, **33** (1990), 89-113.
- [5] ———, Finite dimensional Hopf algebras arising from quantized universal enveloping algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **3** (1990), 257-296.
- [6] ———, Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **3** (1990), 447-498.
- [7] ———, Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras II, *Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl.*, **102** (1990), 175-201.