

Fibrewise Hopf Construction and Hoo Formula for Pairings

By

Nobuyuki ODA*

Abstract

Let I' be a fibrewise co-Hopf space over a topological space B . A I'_B -suspension space $I'_B X$ is a generalization of a fibrewise suspension space $\Sigma_B X$ for any fibrewise pointed space X over B . Making use of I'_B -suspension space, we define I'_B -Hopf construction and prove a I'_B -suspension formula which generalizes the suspension formula of C. S. Hoo. The dual formula is also proved.

Introduction

Let \mathbf{Top}_B^B be the category of fibrewise pointed topological spaces over a base space B and fibrewise pointed continuous maps over B (cf. James [4, 5]). We write simply $f: X \rightarrow Y$ for morphisms in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Throughout this paper a *space* X means a fibrewise pointed topological space over B and a *map* $f: X \rightarrow Y$ means a fibrewise pointed continuous map over B between fibrewise pointed spaces X and Y over B .

Let I' be a co-Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then we define a I'_B -suspension space $I'_B X$ by $I' \wedge_B X$ for any space X in \mathbf{Top}_B^B and I'_B -suspension map $I'_B \alpha: I'_B A \rightarrow I'_B Z$ by $I'_B \alpha = 1_{I'} \wedge_B \alpha: I'_B A = I' \wedge_B A \rightarrow I' \wedge_B Z = I'_B Z$ for any map $\alpha: A \rightarrow Z$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B (cf. [7]). This defines a I'_B -suspension map between fibrewise pointed homotopy sets:

$$I'_B: [A, Z]_B^B \longrightarrow [I'_B A, I'_B Z]_B^B.$$

Let $\mu: X \times_B Y \rightarrow Z$ be a pairing in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then for any maps $\alpha: A \rightarrow X$ and $\beta: A \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B , we can define a map $\alpha \dagger \beta: A \rightarrow Z$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . On the other hand, $[I'_B A, I'_B Z]_B^B$ has a binary operation (denoted by \dagger) induced by the fibrewise co-Hopf structure of $I'_B A$.

A co-Hopf space I' in \mathbf{Top}_B^B is called a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B if $[I', Z]_B^B$ is naturally an algebraic loop for any space Z in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . The following theorem shows that the pairing \dagger and the binary operation \dagger are closely related.

Communicated by K. Saito, June 1, 1993.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 55P30, 55P35, 55P40.

* Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Fukuoka University, 8-19-1 Nanakuma, Jonanku, Fukuoka, 814-01, Japan.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Let $\mu: X \times_B Y \rightarrow Z$ be a pairing in \mathbf{Top}_B^B with axes $f: X \rightarrow Z$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$. Then the following relation holds in $[\Gamma_B A, \Gamma_B Z]_B^B$ for any maps $\alpha: A \rightarrow X$ and $\beta: A \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B :*

$$\Gamma_B(\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta) = J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \dot{+}_B \{ \Gamma_B(f \circ \alpha) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(g \circ \beta) \}.$$

The Γ_B -Hopf construction $J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu)$ in the above theorem is defined in Definition 2.7 and $\Delta_{A, B}: A \rightarrow A \times_B A$ is a fibrewise diagonal map over B . The Γ_B -Hopf construction $J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu)$ is a generalization of the Hopf construction $J(\mu)$ of Hoo [2, 3]. If $B = \{*\}$ and $\Gamma = S^1$, then the Γ_B -Hopf construction coincides with the usual Hopf construction (e. g. on p. 502 of Whitehead [8]). The above formula is a generalization of Theorem 1 of Hoo [3].

In §1 we review some properties of pairings, copairings, Γ_B -suspension spaces and Γ_B -loop spaces ([6, 7]). In §2 we define Γ_B -Hopf construction. In §3 we prove Γ_B -suspension formula and related results. In §§4 and 5 we prove the dual results of §§2 and 3 respectively.

We use the following symbols in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . An isomorphism in \mathbf{Top}_B^B is denoted by \cong_B . Let X and Y be spaces in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . The space $X \vee_B Y$ is the *fibrewise wedge sum* over B which is a subspace of the *fibrewise product* $X \times_B Y$ over B . We have a natural inclusion map $j_B: X \vee_B Y \subset X \times_B Y$. The *fibrewise smash product* over B is defined by $X \wedge_B Y = (X \times_B Y) /_B (X \vee_B Y)$. The *fibrewise pointed mapping-space* over B is denoted by $\text{map}_B^B(X, Y)$ (cf. §9 of [5]).

We denote by $\Delta_{X, B}: X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ the *fibrewise diagonal map* over B and $\nabla_{X, B}: X \vee_B X \rightarrow X$ the *fibrewise folding map* over B . We denote by $*_B: X \rightarrow Y$ the *fibrewise constant map* over B .

A *fibrewise pointed homotopy relation* over B is denoted by \simeq_B and the set of the fibrewise pointed homotopy classes over B is denoted by $[X, Y]_B^B$.

Let $\Sigma = B \times S^1$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then $\Sigma_B X = \Sigma \wedge_B X$ is the *fibrewise reduced suspension space* of X and $\Omega_B X = \Sigma_B^* X = \text{map}_B^B(\Sigma, X)$ is the *fibrewise loop space* of X . (We remark that James [5] uses the symbol $\Sigma_B^* X$ for the fibrewise reduced suspension space and $\Omega_B^* X$ for the fibrewise loop space of a space X in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . We use our abbreviated symbols for simplicity.)

We assume that all the spaces are fibrewise pointed non-degenerated spaces with closed section (cf. §22 of [5]). Moreover we assume that the co-Hopf space Γ is fibrewise locally compact and fibrewise regular (cf. [5]).

§1. Fibrewise Induced Pairings

We call a map $\mu: X \times_B Y \rightarrow Z$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B a *pairing* with the axes $f: X \rightarrow Z$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ if it satisfies the condition that

$$\mu | X \vee_B Y \simeq_B \nabla_{Z, B} \circ (f \vee_B g): X \vee_B Y \longrightarrow Z.$$

Such a map $\mu: X \times_B Y \rightarrow Z$ is also called a *fibrewise pairing over B* or a *pairing*

in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

If we are given a pairing $\mu: X \times_B Y \rightarrow Z$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B , we define a map $\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta: A \rightarrow Z$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B for any maps $\alpha: A \rightarrow X$ and $\beta: A \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B by

$$\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta = \mu \circ (\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ \Delta_{A, B}.$$

The relations $\alpha \dot{+}_B *_{B} = f_*(\alpha)$ and $*_{B} \dot{+}_B \beta = g_*(\beta)$ holds in $[A, Z]_B^B$. We have an equality of maps in \mathbf{Top}_B^B

$$(\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta) \circ \delta = (\alpha \circ \delta) \dot{+}_B (\beta \circ \delta)$$

for any maps $\alpha: A \rightarrow X$, $\beta: A \rightarrow Y$ and $\delta: D \rightarrow A$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

We call a map $\theta: A \rightarrow X \vee_B Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B a *copairing* with the *coaxes* $h: A \rightarrow X$ and $r: A \rightarrow Y$ if it satisfies the condition that

$$j \circ \theta \simeq_B (h \times_B r) \circ \Delta_{A, B}: A \longrightarrow X \times_B Y$$

for the inclusion map $j: X \vee_B Y \rightarrow X \times_B Y$. Such a map $\theta: A \rightarrow X \vee_B Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B is also called a *fibrewise copairing over B* or a *copairing in Top_B^B*.

If we are given a copairing $\theta: A \rightarrow X \vee_B Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B , we define a map $\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta: A \rightarrow Z$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B for any maps $\alpha: X \rightarrow Z$ and $\beta: Y \rightarrow Z$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B by

$$\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta = \nabla_{Z, B} \circ (\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta.$$

The relations $\alpha \dot{+}_B *_{B} = h^*(\alpha)$ and $*_{B} \dot{+}_B \beta = r^*(\beta)$ hold in $[A, Z]_B^B$. We have an equality of maps in \mathbf{Top}_B^B

$$\zeta \circ (\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta) = (\zeta \circ \alpha) \dot{+}_B (\zeta \circ \beta)$$

for any maps $\alpha: X \rightarrow Z$, $\beta: Y \rightarrow Z$ and $\zeta: Z \rightarrow W$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

A space X in \mathbf{Top}_B^B is said to be a *Hopf space in Top_B^B* or a *fibrewise Hopf space over B* if there is a pairing $\mu: X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B with axes $f \simeq_{BG} \simeq_{B1_X}$. Such a pairing μ is called a *fibrewise multiplication* of X . If X is a Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B , then $[A, X]_B^B$ has a natural binary operation $\dot{+}_B$ defined above for any space A in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

A space A in \mathbf{Top}_B^B is said to be a *co-Hopf space in Top_B^B* or a *fibrewise co-Hopf space over B* if there is a copairing $\theta: A \rightarrow A \vee_B A$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B with coaxes $h \simeq_{Br} \simeq_{B1_A}$. Such a copairing θ is called a *fibrewise co-multiplication* of A . If A is a co-Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B , then $[A, X]_B^B$ has a natural binary operation $\dot{+}_B$ defined above for any space X in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

Let Γ be a **co-Hopf space** in \mathbf{Top}_B^B with a fibrewise co-multiplication $\gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \vee_B \Gamma$. We write $\Gamma \wedge_B X = \Gamma_B X$ (the Γ_B -suspension space of X) for any space X in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . A map $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B induces a Γ_B -suspension map $\Gamma_B \alpha: \Gamma_B X \rightarrow \Gamma_B Y$ by $\Gamma_B \alpha = 1_\Gamma \wedge_B \alpha: \Gamma \wedge_B X \rightarrow \Gamma \wedge_B Y$. We see $\Gamma_B \alpha \circ \Gamma_B \beta = \Gamma_B(\alpha \circ \beta)$ for any maps $\alpha: Y \rightarrow Z$ and $\beta: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

We define a fibrewise pointed map $\gamma_X = \gamma \wedge_B 1_X: \Gamma_B X \rightarrow \Gamma_B X \vee_B \Gamma_B X$ by

$$\gamma_X : \Gamma_B X = \Gamma \wedge_B X \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vee_B \Gamma) \wedge_B X \cong_B (\Gamma \wedge_B X) \vee_B (\Gamma \wedge_B X) = \Gamma_B X \vee_B \Gamma_B X$$

(cf. (6.1) of [5]). Then $\Gamma_B X$ is a co-Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B with a fibrewise co-multiplication γ_X for any space X in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . If $\alpha, \beta : \Gamma_B X \rightarrow Y$ and $\delta : W \rightarrow X$ are maps in \mathbf{Top}_B^B , then as maps in \mathbf{Top}_B^B we have

$$(\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B \delta = (\alpha \circ \Gamma_B \delta) \dot{+}_B (\beta \circ \Gamma_B \delta).$$

There are following isomorphisms as co-Hopf spaces in \mathbf{Top}_B^B (cf. (6.2) and (6.1) of [5], (3.80) of [4]);

$$\Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y) \cong_B (\Gamma_B X) \wedge_B Y \cong_B X \wedge_B \Gamma_B Y$$

and

$$\Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y) \cong_B (\Gamma_B X) \vee_B (\Gamma_B Y).$$

Dually, we define $\Gamma_B^* X = \text{map}_B^B(\Gamma, X)$ (the Γ_B -loop space of X) for any space X in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . A map $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B induces a Γ_B -loop map $\Gamma_B^* \alpha : \Gamma_B^* X \rightarrow \Gamma_B^* Y$. We see $\Gamma_B^* \alpha \circ \Gamma_B^* \beta = \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \circ \beta)$ for any maps $\alpha : Y \rightarrow Z$ and $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . We define a fibrewise pointed map $\gamma_X^* = \text{map}_B^B(\gamma, 1_X) : \Gamma_B^* X \times_B \Gamma_B^* X \rightarrow \Gamma_B^* X$ by

$$\gamma_X^* : \text{map}_B^B(\Gamma, X) \times_B \text{map}_B^B(\Gamma, X) \cong_B \text{map}_B^B(\Gamma \vee_B \Gamma, X) \longrightarrow \text{map}_B^B(\Gamma, X)$$

(cf. (9.19) of [5]). Then $\Gamma_B^* X$ is a Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B with fibrewise multiplication γ_X^* for any space X in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

If $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow \Gamma_B^* Y$ and $\zeta : Y \rightarrow Z$ are maps in \mathbf{Top}_B^B , then as maps in \mathbf{Top}_B^B we have

$$(\Gamma_B^* \zeta) \circ (\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta) = (\Gamma_B^* \zeta \circ \alpha) \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_B^* \zeta \circ \beta).$$

There is the following isomorphism as Hopf spaces in \mathbf{Top}_B^B (cf. (9.21) of [5]);

$$\Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y) \cong_B \Gamma_B^* X \times_B \Gamma_B^* Y.$$

Proposition 1.1. *Let Γ be a co-Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Let X be a fibrewise locally compact and fibrewise regular space and Y any space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then the adjoint map*

$$\tau : [\Gamma_B X, Y]_B^B \cong [X, \Gamma_B^* Y]_B^B$$

is an isomorphism of sets which satisfies

$$\tau(\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta) = \tau(\alpha) \dot{+}_B \tau(\beta)$$

for any elements $\alpha, \beta \in [\Gamma_B X, Y]_B^B$. Moreover it satisfies the following relations.

- (i) $\tau(\alpha \circ \Gamma_B \beta) = \tau(\alpha) \circ \beta$ for any elements $\alpha \in [\Gamma_B Y, Z]_B^B$ and $\beta \in [X, Y]_B^B$.
- (ii) $\tau(\zeta \circ \alpha) = \Gamma_B^* \zeta \circ \tau(\alpha)$ for any elements $\alpha \in [\Gamma_B Y, Z]_B^B$ and $\zeta \in [Z, W]_B^B$.

Proof. By (9.20), (9.25) and (6.2) of [5], we see that $\tau : [\Gamma_B X, Y]_B^B \rightarrow [X, \Gamma_B^* Y]_B^B$ is a bijection of homotopy sets. The statement that τ is a homomorphism is proved by a similar argument as in the case of the usual adjoint

map $\tau: [\Sigma X, Y] \cong [X, \Omega Y]$.

(i) and (ii) are direct consequences of definitions. q. e. d.

Let S be a set with a binary operation $+$. We call S an *algebraic loop* if S has two-sided identity (denoted by 0) and for any elements a, b of S , the equations

$$x + a = b \quad \text{and} \quad a + y = b$$

have a unique pair of solutions $x, y \in S$. A map $\phi: S \rightarrow L$ between two algebraic loops is called a *homomorphism* if $\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$ holds for any $a, b \in S$. If $\phi: S \rightarrow L$ is a homomorphism, we have $\phi(0) = 0$. A sequence $S \xrightarrow{\phi} L \xrightarrow{\psi} R$ of algebraic loops and homomorphisms is said to be *exact* if $\text{Im } \phi = \text{Ker } \psi$.

A Hopf space X in \mathbf{Top}_B^B is called a *looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B* or a *fibrewise looplike space over B* if the homotopy set $[A, X]_B^B$ is an algebraic loop with the binary operation \vdash_B for any space A in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

A co-Hopf space A in \mathbf{Top}_B^B is called a *co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B* or a *fibrewise co-looplike space over B* if the homotopy set $[A, X]_B^B$ is an algebraic loop with the binary operation $\dot{\vdash}_B$ for any space X in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

§ 2. Fibrewise Γ -Hopf Construction

If X and Y are fibrewise non-degenerate spaces over B , then the cofiber of $j: X \vee_B Y \rightarrow X \times_B Y$ is fibrewise pointed homotopy equivalent to $X \wedge_B Y$ (cf. (22.5) of [5]). Consider a fibrewise pointed cofibration sequence

$$X \vee_B Y \xrightarrow{j} X \times_B Y \xrightarrow{q} X \wedge_B Y \xrightarrow{\delta} \Sigma_B(X \vee_B Y) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_B j} \Sigma_B(X \times_B Y) \rightarrow \dots$$

(cf. § 21 of [5]). Then we have a fibrewise pointed cofibration sequence

$$\Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B j} \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B q} \Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B \delta} \Sigma_B \Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_B \Gamma_B j} \dots$$

for any co-Hopf space (Γ, γ) in \mathbf{Top}_B^B (cf. (20.19) and (21.2) of [5]).

Let $p_1: X \times_B Y \rightarrow X$ and $p_2: X \times_B Y \rightarrow Y$ be the fibrewise projections and $i_1: X \rightarrow X \vee_B Y$ and $i_2: Y \rightarrow X \vee_B Y$ the inclusion maps. We define a fibrewise pointed map $\rho = \rho(\Gamma, X, Y): \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y) \rightarrow \Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y)$ by

$$\rho = \nabla_{Z, B} \circ \{ \Gamma_B(i_1 \circ p_1) \vee_B \Gamma_B(i_2 \circ p_2) \} \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \Gamma_B(i_1 \circ p_1) \dot{\vdash}_B \Gamma_B(i_2 \circ p_2),$$

where $Z = \Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}: \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y) \rightarrow \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y) \vee_B \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)$ is the fibrewise co-Hopf structure of $\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)$ induced by the fibrewise co-Hopf structure $\gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \vee_B \Gamma$ of Γ .

Proposition 2.1. *Let Γ be a co-Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Let $j: X \vee_B Y \rightarrow X \times_B Y$ be the inclusion map. Then the map $\rho: \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y) \rightarrow \Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y)$ defined above satisfies a relation*

$$\rho \circ \Gamma_B j \simeq_B 1_{\Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y)}.$$

Proof. We remark that $\Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y) \cong_B \Gamma_B X \vee_B \Gamma_B Y$ (cf. (6.1) of [5]). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \circ \Gamma_B j | \Gamma_B X \times_B \{*_B\} &= \rho \circ \Gamma_B j \circ \Gamma_B i_1 \\ &= \{ \Gamma_B(i_1 \circ p_1) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(i_2 \circ p_2) \} \circ \Gamma_B j \circ \Gamma_B i_1 \\ &= \Gamma_B(i_1 \circ p_1 \circ j \circ i_1) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(i_2 \circ p_2 \circ j \circ i_1) \\ &= \Gamma_B i_1 \dot{+}_B *_B \simeq_B \Gamma_B i_1 = 1_{\Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y)} | \Gamma_B X \times_B \{*_B\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $\rho \circ \Gamma_B j | \{*_B\} \times_B \Gamma_B Y \simeq_B 1_{\Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y)} | \{*_B\} \times_B \Gamma_B Y$.

Then the result follows. *q. e. d.*

We first prove naturality of the fibrewise pointed map ρ . Consider another fibrewise cofibration sequence

$$X' \vee_B Y' \xrightarrow{j'} X' \times_B Y' \xrightarrow{q'} X' \wedge_B Y'.$$

Then we have another fibrewise pointed map

$$\rho' = \Gamma_B(i'_1 \circ p'_1) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(i'_2 \circ p'_2) : \Gamma_B(X' \times_B Y') \longrightarrow \Gamma_B(X' \vee_B Y').$$

(We use the symbols i'_1, p'_1, ρ' etc. for the maps corresponding to i_1, p_1, ρ etc. respectively.)

Proposition 2.2. *Let Γ be a co-Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . The following diagram is strictly commutative in \mathbf{Top}_B^B for any maps $\alpha : X' \rightarrow X$ and $\beta : Y' \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \rho' & \\ & \Gamma_B(X' \times_B Y') \longrightarrow \Gamma_B(X' \vee_B Y') & \\ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) & \downarrow \rho & \downarrow \Gamma_B(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \\ & \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y) \longrightarrow \Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y) & \end{array}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) &= \{ \Gamma_B(i_1 \circ p_1) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(i_2 \circ p_2) \} \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \\ &= \Gamma_B\{i_1 \circ p_1 \circ (\alpha \times_B \beta)\} \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B\{i_2 \circ p_2 \circ (\alpha \times_B \beta)\} \\ &= \Gamma_B\{(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ i'_1 \circ p'_1\} \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B\{(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ i'_2 \circ p'_2\} \\ &= \Gamma_B(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \{ \Gamma_B(i'_1 \circ p'_1) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(i'_2 \circ p'_2) \} \\ &= \Gamma_B(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \rho'. \end{aligned}$$

q. e. d.

Lemma 2.3. *Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then*

$$(\Gamma_B q)^* : [\Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y), Z]_B^B \longrightarrow [\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y), Z]_B^B$$

is a monomorphism for any space Z in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

Proof. Consider a fibrewise pointed cofibration sequence

$$\Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y) \xrightarrow{I'_{Bj}} \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y) \xrightarrow{I'_{Bq}} \Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y) \xrightarrow{I'_{B\delta}} \Sigma_B \Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y) \longrightarrow \dots$$

There is a fibrewise pointed map $\rho: \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y) \rightarrow \Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y)$ such that $\rho \circ \Gamma_{Bj} \simeq_B 1_{\Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y)}$ by Proposition 2.1. Then by the long homotopy exact sequence of algebraic loops and homomorphisms, we have the result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then there exists a unique element $v = v(\Gamma, X, Y)$ of $[\Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y), \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)]_B^B$ such that*

$$(v \circ \Gamma_{Bq}) \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_{Bj} \circ \rho) = 1_{\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)}$$

in $[\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y), \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)]_B^B$.

Proof. Consider an exact sequence of algebraic loops and homomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow [\Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y), \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)]_B^B &\xrightarrow{(\Gamma_{Bq})^*} [\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y), \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)]_B^B \\ &\xrightarrow{(I'_{Bj})^*} [\Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y), \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)]_B^B. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y), \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)]_B^B$ is an algebraic loop, its elements $1_{\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)}$ and $\Gamma_{Bj} \circ \rho$ determine a unique element $t \in [\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y), \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)]_B^B$ such that

$$t \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_{Bj} \circ \rho) = 1_{\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)}.$$

Then we see $(\Gamma_{Bj})^* \{t \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_{Bj} \circ \rho)\} = (\Gamma_{Bj})^* \{1_{\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)}\}$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_{Bj})^* \{t \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_{Bj} \circ \rho)\} &= \{t \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_{Bj} \circ \rho)\} \circ \Gamma_{Bj} \\ &= (t \circ \Gamma_{Bj}) \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_{Bj} \circ \rho \circ \Gamma_{Bj}) \simeq_B (t \circ \Gamma_{Bj}) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_{Bj} \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2.1. It follows that $(t \circ \Gamma_{Bj}) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_{Bj} = \Gamma_{Bj}$ as fibrewise homotopy classes and hence $t \circ \Gamma_{Bj} = (\Gamma_{Bj})^*(t) = 0$. Hence there exists an element v of $[\Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y), \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)]_B^B$ such that $v \circ \Gamma_{Bq} = (\Gamma_{Bq})^*(v) = t$ or

$$\{v \circ (\Gamma_{Bq})\} \dot{+}_B \{(\Gamma_{Bj}) \circ \rho\} = 1_{\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)}.$$

The element v is unique by Lemma 2.3.

q. e. d.

We now prove that the fibrewise pointed map v defined by Theorem 2.4 is natural. Consider another fibrewise pointed cofibration sequence

$$X' \vee_B Y' \xrightarrow{j'} X' \times_B Y' \xrightarrow{q'} X' \wedge_B Y'.$$

Then there exists an element $v' \in [\Gamma_B(X' \wedge_B Y'), \Gamma_B(X' \times_B Y')]_B^B$ such that

$$(v' \circ \Gamma_B q') \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_B j' \circ \rho') = 1_{\Gamma_B(X' \times_B Y')}$$

in $[\Gamma_B(X' \times_B Y'), \Gamma_B(X' \times_B Y')]_B^B$ by Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.5. *Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . The following diagram is homotopy commutative in \mathbf{Top}_B^B for any maps $\alpha: X' \rightarrow X$ and $\beta: Y' \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_B(X' \wedge_B Y') & \xrightarrow{v'} & \Gamma_B(X' \times_B Y') \\ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \\ \Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y) & \xrightarrow{v} & \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y) \end{array}$$

Proof. We have a monomorphism

$$(\Gamma_B q')^*: [\Gamma_B(X' \wedge_B Y'), \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)]_B^B \longrightarrow [\Gamma_B(X' \times_B Y'), \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)]_B^B$$

by Lemma 2.3. So we show that

$$(\Gamma_B q')^* \{ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ v' \} = (\Gamma_B q')^* \{ v \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \}.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Gamma_B q')^* \{ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ v' \} \dot{+}_B \{ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B j' \circ \rho' \} \\ &= \{ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ v' \circ \Gamma_B q' \} \dot{+}_B \{ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B j' \circ \rho' \} \\ &= \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ \{ (v' \circ \Gamma_B q') \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_B j' \circ \rho') \} \\ &= \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ 1_{\Gamma_B(X' \times_B Y')} \text{ by 2.4} \\ &= 1_{\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)} \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \\ &= \{ (v \circ \Gamma_B q) \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_B j \circ \rho) \} \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \text{ by 2.4} \\ &= \{ v \circ \Gamma_B q \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \} \dot{+}_B \{ \Gamma_B j \circ \rho \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \} \\ &= \{ v \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B q' \} \dot{+}_B \{ \Gamma_B j \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \rho' \} \text{ by 2.2} \\ &= (\Gamma_B q')^* \{ v \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \} \dot{+}_B \{ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B j' \circ \rho' \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the above equality holds in an algebraic loop, we have

$$(\Gamma_B q')^* \{ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ v' \} = (\Gamma_B q')^* \{ v \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \}$$

in $[\Gamma_B(X' \times_B Y'), \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)]_B^B$.

q. e. d.

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Gamma_B j & \Gamma_B q \\ \Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y) & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y) \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y) \\ & \rho & v \end{array}$$

We have already shown that $\rho \circ \Gamma_B j \simeq_B 1_{\Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y)}$ (Proposition 2.1) and

$(v \circ \Gamma_{BQ}) \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_{Bj} \circ \rho) \simeq_B 1_{\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)}$ (Theorem 2.4).

Proposition 2.6. *Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then the following relations hold.*

- (i) $\Gamma_{BQ} \circ v \simeq_B 1_{\Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y)}$.
- (ii) $\rho \circ v \simeq_B *_B$.

Proof. (i) By Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\Gamma_{BQ} \circ \{(v \circ \Gamma_{BQ}) \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_{Bj} \circ \rho)\} \simeq_B \Gamma_{BQ} \circ 1_{\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)}.$$

It follows then that $(\Gamma_{BQ} \circ v \circ \Gamma_{BQ}) \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_{BQ} \circ \Gamma_{Bj} \circ \rho) \simeq_B \Gamma_{BQ}$ and hence

$$(\Gamma_{BQ} \circ v) \circ \Gamma_{BQ} \simeq_B (\Gamma_{BQ} \circ v \circ \Gamma_{BQ}) \dot{+}_B *_B \simeq_B \Gamma_{BQ} = 1_{\Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y)} \circ \Gamma_{BQ}.$$

Since $(\Gamma_{BQ})^*: [\Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y), \Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y)]_B^B \rightarrow [\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y), \Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y)]_B^B$ is a monomorphism by Lemma 2.3, we have $\Gamma_{BQ} \circ v \simeq_B 1_{\Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y)}$.

(ii) By Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.4, we see

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho \circ v \circ \Gamma_{BQ}) \dot{+}_B \rho &\simeq_B (\rho \circ v \circ \Gamma_{BQ}) \dot{+}_B (\rho \circ \Gamma_{Bj} \circ \rho) \\ &= \rho \circ \{(v \circ \Gamma_{BQ}) \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_{Bj} \circ \rho)\} \simeq_B \rho \circ 1_{\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)} = \rho. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(\rho \circ v) \circ \Gamma_{BQ} \simeq_B *_B \circ \Gamma_{BQ}$ and hence $\rho \circ v \simeq_B *_B$. *q. e. d.*

Remark. The existence of ρ (Proposition 2.1) and v (Theorem 2.4) corresponds to the following Γ_B -decomposition (cf. [7]):

$$\Gamma_B(X \times_B Y) \simeq_B \Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y) \vee_B \Gamma_B(X \vee_B Y).$$

Definition 2.7. Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Let $\mu: X \times_B Y \rightarrow Z$ be a pairing in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . We define the Γ_B -Hopf construction

$$J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \in [\Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y), \Gamma_B Z]_B^B$$

by $J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) = (\Gamma_B \mu) \circ v$ for the element $v \in [\Gamma_B(X \wedge_B Y), \Gamma_B(X \times_B Y)]_B^B$ obtained in Theorem 2.4.

Remark. If $B = \{*\}$ and $\Gamma = S^1$, then the Γ_B -Hopf construction coincides with the ordinary Hopf construction (cf. §4 of Chapter XI of Whitehead [8]).

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\mu: X \times_B Y \rightarrow Z$ be a pairing in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then the following formulas hold.*

- (i) $J_{\Gamma, B}(\zeta \circ \mu) = \Gamma_B \zeta \circ J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu)$ for any map $\zeta: Z \rightarrow Z'$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .
- (ii) $J_{\Gamma, B}\{\mu \circ (\alpha \times_B \beta)\} = \Gamma_B \mu \circ J_{\Gamma, B}(\alpha \times_B \beta) = J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta)$ for any maps $\alpha: X' \rightarrow X$ and $\beta: Y' \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

Proof. (i) $J_{\Gamma, B}(\zeta \circ \mu) = \Gamma_B(\zeta \circ \mu) \circ v = \Gamma_B \zeta \circ \Gamma_B \mu \circ v = (\Gamma_B \zeta) \circ J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu)$.

(ii) The first equality is a result of (i). We prove the second one.

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{\Gamma, B}\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta)\} &= \Gamma_B\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta)\} \circ v' \\
 &= \Gamma_B \mu^\circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ v' \\
 &= \Gamma_B \mu^\circ v \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \text{ by 2.5} \\
 &= J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta). \qquad q. e. d.
 \end{aligned}$$

§ 3. Fibrewise Γ -Suspension Formula

Now we generalize the suspension formula of Hoo [3] to the case of Γ_B -suspension space $\Gamma_B X$ for any space X in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Consider a diagram

$$\Gamma_B(A \vee_B A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B j} \\ \xleftarrow{\rho} \end{array} \Gamma_B(A \times_B A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B q} \\ \xleftarrow{v} \end{array} \Gamma_B(A \wedge_B A).$$

In this situation we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Let $\mu: X \times_B Y \rightarrow Z$ be a pairing in \mathbf{Top}_B^B with axes $f: X \rightarrow Z$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$. Then the following relation holds in $[\Gamma_B A, \Gamma_B Z]_B^B$ for any maps $\alpha: A \rightarrow X$ and $\beta: A \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B :*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_B(\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta) &= J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \dot{+}_B \{ \Gamma_B(f \circ \alpha) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(g \circ \beta) \}. \\
 (\text{Remark. } J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) &= J_{\Gamma, B}\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta)\} \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \\
 &= J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \circ \Gamma_B\{(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \circ q \circ \Delta_{A, B}\} = J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \circ \Gamma_B\{q \circ (\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ \Delta_{A, B}\}.)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $1_{\Gamma_B(A \times_B A)} = (v \circ \Gamma_B q) \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_B j \circ \rho)$ by Theorem 2.4, the map $\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta): A \times_B A \rightarrow X \times_B Y \rightarrow Z$ induces

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_B\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta)\} &= \Gamma_B\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta)\} \circ \{(v \circ \Gamma_B q) \dot{+}_B (\Gamma_B j \circ \rho)\} \\
 &= \Gamma_B\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta)\} \circ v \circ \Gamma_B q \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta)\} \circ \Gamma_B j \circ \rho \\
 &= J_{\Gamma, B}\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta)\} \circ \Gamma_B q \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ j\} \circ \rho
 \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of $J_{\Gamma, B}$. Now, the last term is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Gamma_B\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ j\} \circ \rho \\
 &= \Gamma_B\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ j\} \circ \{\Gamma_B(i_1 \circ p_1) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(i_2 \circ p_2)\} \\
 &= \Gamma_B\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ j \circ i_1 \circ p_1\} \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ j \circ i_2 \circ p_2\} \\
 &= \Gamma_B\{\mu^\circ(\alpha \times_B * \beta) \circ \Delta_{A, B} \circ p_1\} \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B\{\mu^\circ(* \times_B \beta) \circ \Delta_{A, B} \circ p_2\} \\
 &= \Gamma_B(f_*(\alpha) \circ p_1) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(g_*(\beta) \circ p_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha \dot{+}_B *_{B} \beta = f_*(\alpha)$ and $*_{B} \dot{+}_B \beta = g_*(\beta)$ in $[A, Z]_B^B$. We compose $\Gamma_B \Delta_{A, B}$ from the right and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_B(\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta) &= \Gamma_B\{\mu \circ (\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ \Delta_{A, B}\} \\ &= J_{\Gamma, B}\{\mu \circ (\alpha \times_B \beta)\} \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \dot{+}_B \{\Gamma_B(f_*(\alpha) \circ p_1 \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(g_*(\beta) \circ p_2 \circ \Delta_{A, B})\} \\ &= J_{\Gamma, B}\{\mu \circ (\alpha \times_B \beta)\} \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \dot{+}_B \{\Gamma_B(f_*(\alpha)) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(g_*(\beta))\} \\ &= J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \dot{+}_B \{\Gamma_B(f \circ \alpha) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(g \circ \beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

q. e. d.

Corollary 3.2. *Assume the conditions of Theorem 3.1. If $\Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \simeq_B *_{B}$ or $\Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \simeq_B *_{B}$ or $J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \simeq_B *_{B}$, then the Γ_B -suspension map $\Gamma_B : [A, Z]_B^B \rightarrow [\Gamma_B A, \Gamma_B Z]_B^B$ satisfies*

$$\Gamma_B(\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta) = \Gamma_B(f \circ \alpha) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(g \circ \beta).$$

Proof. By the formula of Theorem 3.1, we have the result. *q. e. d.*

A space A is called a *co-grouplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B* or a *fibrewise co-grouplike space over B* if it is a homotopy associative co-Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B with a fibrewise pointed homotopy inverse $\nu : A \rightarrow A$, namely,

$$1_A \dot{+}_B \nu \simeq_B *_{B} \simeq_B \nu \dot{+}_B 1_A.$$

If Γ is a co-grouplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B , then so is $\Gamma_B X$ for any space X in \mathbf{Top}_B^B , and hence $[\Gamma_B X, Y]_B^B$ is a group for any spaces X and Y in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

Theorem 3.3. *Let Γ be a co-grouplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Let $\mu : X \times_B Y \rightarrow Z$ be a pairing in \mathbf{Top}_B^B with axes $f : X \rightarrow Z$, $g : Y \rightarrow Z$, and (Z', μ') a Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . If the pairing induced by μ is denoted by $\dot{+}_B$ and the one induced by μ' denoted by $\dot{+}'_B$, then for any maps $\zeta : Z \rightarrow Z'$, $\alpha : A \rightarrow X$ and $\beta : A \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B , the following formula holds in $[\Gamma_B A, \Gamma_B(Z')]_B^B$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_B\{\zeta \circ (\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta)\} &= [\Gamma_B \zeta \circ J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \dot{+}_B J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu') \circ \Gamma_B\{(\zeta \circ f) \wedge_B (\zeta \circ g)\}] \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \\ &\quad \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B\{(\zeta \circ f \circ \alpha) \dot{+}'_B (\zeta \circ g \circ \beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We see by Theorem 3.1 that

$$\Gamma_B(\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta) = J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(f \circ \alpha) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(g \circ \beta).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_B\{\zeta \circ (\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta)\} &= \Gamma_B \zeta \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta) \\ &= \Gamma_B \zeta \circ J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \\ &\quad \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(\zeta \circ f \circ \alpha) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(\zeta \circ g \circ \beta). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 3.1, we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_B\{(\zeta \circ f \circ \alpha) \dot{+}'_B (\zeta \circ g \circ \beta)\} \\ &= J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu') \circ \Gamma_B\{(\zeta \circ f \circ \alpha) \wedge_B (\zeta \circ g \circ \beta)\} \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(\zeta \circ f \circ \alpha) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B(\zeta \circ g \circ \beta). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the two equations above that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_B\{\zeta \circ (\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta)\} \\ &= \Gamma_B \zeta \circ J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \\ & \quad \dot{-}_B J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu') \circ \Gamma_B\{(\zeta \circ f \circ \alpha) \wedge_B (\zeta \circ g \circ \beta)\} \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B\{(\zeta \circ f \circ \alpha) \dot{+}'_B (\zeta \circ g \circ \beta)\} \\ &= [\Gamma_B \zeta \circ J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \dot{-}_B J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu') \circ \Gamma_B\{(\zeta \circ f) \wedge_B (\zeta \circ g)\}] \circ \Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \\ & \quad \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B\{(\zeta \circ f \circ \alpha) \dot{+}'_B (\zeta \circ g \circ \beta)\}. \tag{q. e. d.} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.4. *Assume the conditions of Theorem 3.3. Then we have*

$$\Gamma_B\{\zeta \circ (\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta)\} = \Gamma_B\{(\zeta \circ f \circ \alpha) \dot{+}'_B (\zeta \circ g \circ \beta)\}$$

if one of the following conditions is satisfied;

- (i) $\Gamma_B(q \circ \Delta_{A, B}) \simeq_{B^*B}$,
- (ii) $\Gamma_B(\alpha \wedge_B \beta) \simeq_{B^*B}$,
- (iii) $\Gamma_B \zeta \circ J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu) \simeq_B J_{\Gamma, B}(\mu') \circ \Gamma_B\{(\zeta \circ f) \wedge_B (\zeta \circ g)\}$.

Proof. By the formula of Theorem 3.3, we have the result.

§ 4. Fibrewise Γ^* -Hopf Construction

We now study the dual constructions. Consider a fibrewise pointed fibration sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \Omega_B(X \times_B Y) \xrightarrow{\partial} X \wr_B Y \xrightarrow{i} X \vee_B Y \xrightarrow{j} X \times_B Y,$$

where $X \wr_B Y$ is the fibrewise homotopy fibre of the inclusion map $j: X \vee_B Y \rightarrow X \times_B Y$ (cf. Crabb and James [1]). Then we have a fibrewise pointed fibration sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \Omega_B \Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B^* \partial} \Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B^* i} \Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B^* j} \Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y)$$

(cf. (23.2) of [5]). Since $\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)$ is a Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^* , we define a fibrewise pointed map $\sigma = \sigma(\Gamma, X, Y): \Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y) \rightarrow \Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)$ by

$$\sigma = \tilde{\gamma} \circ \{\Gamma_B^*(i_1 \circ p_1) \times_B \Gamma_B^*(i_2 \circ p_2)\} \circ \Delta_{C, B} = \Gamma_B^*(i_1 \circ p_1) \dot{+}_B \Gamma_B^*(i_2 \circ p_2)$$

where $p_1: X \times_B Y \rightarrow X$, $i_1: X \rightarrow X \vee_B Y$, $p_2: X \times_B Y \rightarrow Y$, $i_2: Y \rightarrow X \vee_B Y$ are the fibrewise projections and the inclusions and $C = \Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y)$. The map $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the fibrewise Hopf structure of $\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)$ induced by the fibrewise co-Hopf structure

$\gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \vee_B \Gamma$ of Γ .

Proposition 4.1. *Let Γ be a co-Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^g . Let $j: X \vee_B Y \rightarrow X \times_B Y$ be the inclusion map. Then the map $\sigma: \Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y) \rightarrow \Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)$ defined above satisfies a relation*

$$(\Gamma_B^* j) \circ \sigma \simeq_B 1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y)}.$$

Proof. We remark that $\Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y) \cong_B \Gamma_B^* X \times_B \Gamma_B^* Y$ (cf. (9.9) of [5]). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_B^* p_1) \circ (\Gamma_B^* j) \circ \sigma &= \Gamma_B^* p_1 \circ \Gamma_B^* j \circ \{ \Gamma_B^*(i_1 \circ p_1) \uplus_B \Gamma_B^*(i_2 \circ p_2) \} \\ &= \Gamma_B^*(p_1 \circ j \circ i_1 \circ p_1) \uplus_B \Gamma_B^*(p_1 \circ j \circ i_2 \circ p_2) \\ &= \Gamma_B^* p_1 \uplus_B \Gamma_B^* p_1 \simeq_B \Gamma_B^* p_1 = (\Gamma_B^* p_1) \circ 1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $(\Gamma_B^* p_2) \circ (\Gamma_B^* j) \circ \sigma \simeq_B (\Gamma_B^* p_2) \circ 1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y)}$. It follows that $(\Gamma_B^* j) \circ \sigma \simeq_B 1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y)}$. *q. e. d.*

Now we prove naturality of fibrewise pointed map σ . Consider another fibrewise pointed fibration sequence:

$$\dots \longrightarrow \Omega_B \Gamma_B^*(X' \times_B Y') \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B^* \sigma'} \Gamma_B^*(X' \times_B Y') \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B^* i'} \Gamma_B^*(X' \vee_B Y') \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B^* j'} \Gamma_B^*(X' \times_B Y').$$

Then we have another fibrewise pointed map

$$\sigma' = \Gamma_B^*(i'_1 \circ p'_1) \uplus_B \Gamma_B^*(i'_2 \circ p'_2): \Gamma_B^*(X' \times_B Y') \longrightarrow \Gamma_B^*(X' \vee_B Y').$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let Γ be a co-Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^g . The following diagram is strictly commutative in \mathbf{Top}_B^g for any maps $\alpha: X \rightarrow X'$ and $\beta: Y \rightarrow Y'$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^g .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y) \\ I_B^*(\alpha \times_B \beta) \downarrow & & \downarrow I_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \\ \Gamma_B^*(X' \times_B Y') & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & \Gamma_B^*(X' \vee_B Y') \end{array}$$

Proof. We use the symbols i'_1, p'_1, σ' etc. for those maps corresponding to i_1, p_1, σ etc. respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma' \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \times_B \beta) &= \{ \Gamma_B^*(i'_1 \circ p'_1) \uplus_B \Gamma_B^*(i'_2 \circ p'_2) \} \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \times_B \beta) \\ &= \Gamma_B^* \{ i'_1 \circ p'_1 \circ (\alpha \times_B \beta) \} \uplus_B \Gamma_B^* \{ i'_2 \circ p'_2 \circ (\alpha \times_B \beta) \} \\ &= \Gamma_B^* \{ (\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ i_1 \circ p_1 \} \uplus_B \Gamma_B^* \{ (\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ i_2 \circ p_2 \} \\ &= \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B^*(i_1 \circ p_1) \uplus_B \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B^*(i_2 \circ p_2) \\ &= \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \{ \Gamma_B^*(i_1 \circ p_1) \uplus_B \Gamma_B^*(i_2 \circ p_2) \} \\ &= \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

q. e. d.

Lemma 4.3. *Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then*

$$(\Gamma_B^*i)_* : [A, \Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y)]_B^B \longrightarrow [A, \Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)]_B^B$$

is a monomorphism for any space A in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

Proof. By Proposition 4.1 and the long fibrewise pointed homotopy exact sequence, we have the result. *q. e. d.*

Theorem 4.4. *Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . There exists a unique element $\omega = \omega(\Gamma, X, Y)$ of $[\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y)]_B^B$ such that*

$$1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)} = (\Gamma_B^*i \circ \omega) \dagger_B (\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*j)$$

in $[\Gamma_B^(X \vee_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)]_B^B$.*

Proof. Consider an exact sequence of algebraic loops and homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (\Gamma_B^*i)_* & \\ [\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y)]_B^B & \longrightarrow & [\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)]_B^B \\ & (\Gamma_B^*j)_* & \\ & \longrightarrow & [\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y)]_B^B. \end{array}$$

For elements $1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)}$ and $\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*j$ of $[\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)]_B^B$ which is an algebraic loop, there exists a unique element $t \in [\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)]_B^B$ such that

$$t \dagger_B (\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*j) = 1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)}.$$

Then we see $(\Gamma_B^*j)_* \{t \dagger_B (\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*j)\} = (\Gamma_B^*j)_* \{1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)}\} = \Gamma_B^*j$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_B^*j)_* \{t \dagger_B (\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*j)\} &= (\Gamma_B^*j \circ t) \dagger_B (\Gamma_B^*j \circ \sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*j) \\ &\simeq_B (\Gamma_B^*j \circ t) \dagger_B (1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y)} \circ \Gamma_B^*j) \simeq_B (\Gamma_B^*j \circ t) \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*j \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 4.1. It follows then that

$$(\Gamma_B^*j \circ t) \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*j = \Gamma_B^*j$$

in $[\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y)]_B^B$. Thus we have $\Gamma_B^*j \circ t = (\Gamma_B^*j)_*(t) = 0$. Hence there exists an element $\omega \in [\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y)]_B^B$ such that $(\Gamma_B^*i)_*(\omega) = t$. It follows that

$$\{(\Gamma_B^*i \circ \omega) \dagger_B (\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*j)\} = 1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)}.$$

The uniqueness of ω is obtained by Lemma 4.3.

q. e. d.

The element ω defined in Theorem 4.4 is natural. Consider another fibrewise pointed fibration sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Omega_B \Gamma_B^*(X' \times_B Y') \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B^*\sigma'} \Gamma_B^*(X' \wr_B Y') \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B^*i'} \Gamma_B^*(X' \vee_B Y') \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B^*j'} \Gamma_B^*(X' \times_B Y').$$

Then we have another element ω' of $[\Gamma_B^*(X' \vee_B Y'), \Gamma_B^*(X' \wr_B Y')]_B^B$ such that

$$1_{\Gamma_B^*(X' \vee_B Y')} = (\Gamma_B^* i' \circ \omega') \dagger_B (\sigma' \circ \Gamma_B^* j')$$

in $[\Gamma_B^*(X' \vee_B Y'), \Gamma_B^*(X' \vee_B Y')]_B^B$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Let $\alpha : X \rightarrow X'$ and $\beta : Y \rightarrow Y'$ be any maps in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then the following diagram is homotopy commutative in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \omega & \\ & \Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y) \longrightarrow \Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y) & \\ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & \Gamma_B^*(X' \vee_B Y') \longrightarrow \Gamma_B^*(X' \wr_B Y') & \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \wr_B \beta) \end{array}$$

Proof. Consider the monomorphism

$$(\Gamma_B^* i')_* : [\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X' \wr_B Y')]_B^B \longrightarrow [\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X' \vee_B Y')]_B^B$$

of Lemma 4.3. It is sufficient to show that

$$(\Gamma_B^* i')_* \{ \omega' \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \} = (\Gamma_B^* i')_* \{ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \wr_B \beta) \circ \omega \}.$$

Now we see

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Gamma_B^* i')_* \{ \omega' \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \} \dagger_B \{ \sigma' \circ \Gamma_B^* j' \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \} \\ &= \{ \Gamma_B^* i' \circ \omega' \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \} \dagger_B \{ \sigma' \circ \Gamma_B^* j' \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \} \\ &= \{ (\Gamma_B^* i' \circ \omega') \dagger_B (\sigma' \circ \Gamma_B^* j') \} \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \\ &= 1_{\Gamma_B^*(X' \vee_B Y')} \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \text{ by 4.4} \\ &= \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ 1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)} \\ &= \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \{ (\Gamma_B^* i' \circ \omega) \dagger_B (\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^* j) \} \text{ by 4.4} \\ &= \{ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B^* i' \circ \omega \} \dagger_B \{ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \sigma \circ \Gamma_B^* j \} \\ &= \{ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B^* i' \circ \omega \} \dagger_B \{ \sigma' \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \times_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B^* j \} \text{ by 4.2} \\ &= \{ \Gamma_B^* i' \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \wr_B \beta) \circ \omega \} \dagger_B \{ \sigma' \circ \Gamma_B^* j' \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \} \\ &= (\Gamma_B^* i')_* \{ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \wr_B \beta) \circ \omega \} \dagger_B \{ \sigma' \circ \Gamma_B^* j' \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $(\Gamma_B^* i')_* \{ \omega' \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \} = (\Gamma_B^* i')_* \{ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \wr_B \beta) \circ \omega \}$. *q. e. d.*

Consider the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \Gamma_B^* i & & \Gamma_B^* j & \\ & \Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y) \longleftarrow \Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y) \longrightarrow \Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y) & & & \\ & \omega & & \sigma & \end{array}$$

We have already shown that $\Gamma_B^*j \circ \sigma \simeq_B 1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y)}$ (Proposition 4.1) and $1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)} \simeq_B (\Gamma_B^*i \circ \omega) \dagger_B (\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*j)$ (Theorem 4.4).

Proposition 4.6. *Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then the following relations hold.*

- (i) $\omega \circ \Gamma_B^*i \simeq_B 1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y)}$
- (ii) $\omega \circ \sigma \simeq_B *_{B}$.

Proof. (i) By Theorem 4.4, we have

$$1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)} \circ \Gamma_B^*i = \{(\Gamma_B^*i \circ \omega) \dagger_B (\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*j)\} \circ \Gamma_B^*i.$$

It follows then that $\Gamma_B^*i = (\Gamma_B^*i \circ \omega \circ \Gamma_B^*i) \dagger_B (\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*j \circ \Gamma_B^*i)$ and hence

$$\Gamma_B^*i \circ 1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y)} = \Gamma_B^*i = (\Gamma_B^*i \circ \omega \circ \Gamma_B^*i) \dagger_B *_{B} \simeq_B \Gamma_B^*i \circ \omega \circ \Gamma_B^*i.$$

Since $(\Gamma_B^*i)_* : [\Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y)]_B^B \rightarrow [\Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)]_B^B$ is a monomorphism by Lemma 4.3, we have $1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y)} \simeq_B \omega \circ \Gamma_B^*i$.

(ii) By Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.4, we see

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_B^*i \circ \omega \circ \sigma) \dagger_B \sigma &\simeq_B (\Gamma_B^*i \circ \omega \circ \sigma) \dagger_B (\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*j \circ \sigma) \\ &= \{(\Gamma_B^*i \circ \omega) \dagger_B (\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*j)\} \circ \sigma \simeq_B 1_{\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y)} \circ \sigma = \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\Gamma_B^*i \circ \omega \circ \sigma \simeq_B *_{B} = \Gamma_B^*i \circ *_{B}$ and hence $\omega \circ \sigma \simeq_B *_{B}$. *q. e. d.*

Remark. The existence of such ω and σ with the relations mentioned above corresponds to the fibrewise pointed homotopy decomposition (cf. [7]):

$$\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y) \simeq_B \Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y) \times_B \Gamma_B^*(X \times_B Y).$$

Definition 4.7. Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Let $\theta : A \rightarrow X \vee_B Y$ be a copairing in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then we define Γ_B^* -Hopf construction

$$J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) \in [\Gamma_B^*A, \Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y)]_B^B$$

by $J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) = \omega \circ \Gamma_B^*\theta$ for the element $\omega \in [\Gamma_B^*(X \vee_B Y), \Gamma_B^*(X \wr_B Y)]_B^B$ obtained in Theorem 4.4.

Proposition 4.8. *Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Let $\theta : A \rightarrow X \vee_B Y$ be a copairing in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then the following formulas hold.*

- (i) $J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta \circ \delta) = J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) \circ \Gamma_B^*\delta$ for any map $\delta : D \rightarrow A$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .
- (ii) $J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\{\alpha \vee_B \beta\} \circ \theta) = J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B^*\theta = \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \wr_B \beta) \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta)$ for any maps $\alpha : X \rightarrow X'$ and $\beta : Y \rightarrow Y'$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B .

Proof. (i) $J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta \circ \delta) = \omega \circ \Gamma_B^*(\theta \circ \delta) = \omega \circ \Gamma_B^*\theta \circ \Gamma_B^*\delta = J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) \circ \Gamma_B^*\delta$.

(ii) The first equation is the result of (i). We now prove the second one.

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{\Gamma, B}^*\{(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\} &= \omega' \circ \Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\} \\
 &= \omega' \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \Gamma_B^*(\theta) \\
 &= \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \dot{\vdash}_B \beta) \circ \omega \circ \Gamma_B^*(\theta) \quad \text{by 4.5} \\
 &= \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \dot{\vdash}_B \beta) \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta).
 \end{aligned}$$

q. e. d.

§ 5. Fibrewise Γ^* -Suspension Formula

Consider a diagram

$$\Gamma_B^*(Z \dot{\vdash}_B Z) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\Gamma_B^* i} \\ \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B^* j} \end{array} \Gamma_B^*(Z \vee_B Z) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\Gamma_B^* i} \\ \xrightarrow{\Gamma_B^* j} \end{array} \Gamma_B^*(Z \times_B Z).$$

$\omega \qquad \qquad \qquad \sigma$

In this situation we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let Γ be a co-looplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Let $\theta: A \rightarrow X \vee_B Y$ be a copairing in \mathbf{Top}_B^B with coaxes $h: A \rightarrow X$ and $r: A \rightarrow Y$. Let $\alpha: X \rightarrow Z$ and $\beta: Y \rightarrow Z$ be maps in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Then we have the following formula in $[\Gamma_B^* A, \Gamma_B^* Z]_B^B$.*

$$\Gamma_B^*(\alpha \dot{\vdash}_B \beta) = \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B} \circ i) \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \dot{\vdash}_B \beta) \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) \dot{\vdash}_B \{ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \circ h) \dot{\vdash}_B \Gamma_B^*(\beta \circ r) \}.$$

(Remark. $\Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B} \circ i) \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \dot{\vdash}_B \beta) \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) = \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B} \circ i) \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta$)

$$= \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B} \circ i \circ (\alpha \dot{\vdash}_B \beta)) \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) = \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B} \circ (\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ i) \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta).$$

Proof. We have $1_{\Gamma_B^*(Z \vee_B Z)} = (\Gamma_B^* i \circ \omega) \dot{\vdash}_B (\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^* j)$ by Theorem 4.4, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\} &= \{(\Gamma_B^* i \circ \omega) \dot{\vdash}_B (\sigma \circ \Gamma_B^* j)\} \circ \Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\} \\
 &= \Gamma_B^* i \circ \omega \circ \Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\} \dot{\vdash}_B \sigma \circ \Gamma_B^* j \circ \Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\} \\
 &= \Gamma_B^* i \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*\{(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\} \dot{\vdash}_B \sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*\{j \circ (\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we see that the last term is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma \circ \Gamma_B^*\{j \circ (\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\} &= \{ \Gamma_B^*(i_1 \circ p_1) \dot{\vdash}_B \Gamma_B^*(i_2 \circ p_2) \} \circ \Gamma_B^*\{j \circ (\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\} \\
 &= \Gamma_B^*\{i_1 \circ p_1 \circ j \circ (\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\} \dot{\vdash}_B \Gamma_B^*\{i_2 \circ p_2 \circ j \circ (\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\} \\
 &= \Gamma_B^*\{i_1 \circ \nabla_{Z, B} \circ (\alpha \vee_{B^* B}) \circ \theta\} \dot{\vdash}_B \Gamma_B^*\{i_2 \circ \nabla_{Z, B} \circ (*_B \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\} \\
 &= \Gamma_B^*\{i_1 \circ (\alpha \dot{\vdash}_B *_B)\} \dot{\vdash}_B \Gamma_B^*\{i_2 \circ (*_B \dot{\vdash}_B \beta)\} \\
 &= \Gamma_B^*(i_1 \circ h^*(\alpha)) \dot{\vdash}_B \Gamma_B^*(i_2 \circ r^*(\beta)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Composing with $\Gamma_B^* \nabla_{Z, B}$ from the left, we have

$$\Gamma_B^*(\alpha \dot{\vdash}_B \beta) = \Gamma_B^*\{ \nabla_{Z, B} \circ (\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B \circ i}) \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^* \{(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ \theta\} \dagger_B \{ \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B \circ i_1} \circ h^*(\alpha)) \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B \circ i_2} \circ r^*(\beta)) \} \\
&= \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B \circ i}) \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) \dagger_B \{ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \circ h) \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*(\beta \circ r) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

q. e. d.

Corollary 5.2. *Assume the conditions of Theorem 5.1. If $\Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B \circ i}) \simeq_{B^*B}$ or $\Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \simeq_{B^*B}$ or $J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) \simeq_{B^*B}$, then the Γ -loop map $\Gamma_B^*: [A, Z]_B^B \rightarrow [\Gamma_B^*A, \Gamma_B^*Z]_B^B$ satisfies*

$$\Gamma_B^*(\alpha \dagger_B \beta) = \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \circ h) \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*(\beta \circ r).$$

Proof. By the formula of Theorem 5.1, we have the result. *q. e. d.*

Theorem 5.3. *Let Γ be a co-grouplike space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . Let $\theta: A \rightarrow X \vee_B Y$ be a copairing in \mathbf{Top}_B^B with coaxes $h: A \rightarrow X$ and $r: A \rightarrow Y$. Let (A', θ') be a co-Hopf space in \mathbf{Top}_B^B . If the pairing induced by θ is denoted by \dagger_B and the one induced by θ' denoted by \dagger'_B , then for any maps $\delta: A' \rightarrow A$, $\alpha: X \rightarrow Z$ and $\beta: Y \rightarrow Z$ in \mathbf{Top}_B^B , the following formula holds in $[\Gamma_B^*(A'), \Gamma_B^*Z]_B^B$.*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \dagger_B \beta) \circ \delta\} \\
&= \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B \circ i}) \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ [J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) \circ \Gamma_B^*\delta \dashv_B \Gamma_B^*\{(h \circ \delta) \vee_B (r \circ \delta)\} \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta')] \\
&\quad \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \circ h \circ \delta) \dagger'_B (\beta \circ r \circ \delta)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We see

$$\Gamma_B^*(\alpha \dagger_B \beta) = \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B \circ i}) \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \circ h) \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*(\beta \circ r)$$

by Theorem 5.1. Then composing with $\Gamma_B^*\delta$ from the right, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \dagger_B \beta) \circ \delta\} \\
&= \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B \circ i}) \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) \circ \Gamma_B^*\delta \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \circ h \circ \delta) \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*(\beta \circ r \circ \delta).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \circ h \circ \delta) \dagger'_B (\beta \circ r \circ \delta)\} \\
&= \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B \circ i}) \circ \Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \circ h \circ \delta) \vee_B (\beta \circ r \circ \delta)\} \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta') \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \circ h \circ \delta) \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*(\beta \circ r \circ \delta).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \dagger_B \beta) \circ \delta\} \\
&= \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B \circ i}) \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) \circ \Gamma_B^*\delta \\
&\quad \dashv_B \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B \circ i}) \circ \Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \circ h \circ \delta) \vee_B (\beta \circ r \circ \delta)\} \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta') \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \circ h \circ \delta) \dagger'_B (\beta \circ r \circ \delta)\} \\
&= \Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B \circ i}) \circ \Gamma_B^*(\alpha \vee_B \beta) \circ [J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta) \circ \Gamma_B^*\delta \dashv_B \Gamma_B^*\{(h \circ \delta) \vee_B (r \circ \delta)\} \circ J_{\Gamma, B}^*(\theta')] \\
&\quad \dagger_B \Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \circ h \circ \delta) \dagger'_B (\beta \circ r \circ \delta)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

q. e. d.

Corollary 5.4. *Assume the conditions of Theorem 5.3. Then the formula*

$$\Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \dot{+}_B \beta) \circ \delta\} = \Gamma_B^*\{(\alpha \circ h \circ \delta) \dot{+}'_B (\beta \circ r \circ \delta)\}$$

holds if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $\Gamma_B^*(\nabla_{Z, B} \circ i) \simeq_{B^*B}$,
- (ii) $\Gamma_B^*(\alpha \triangleright_B \beta) \simeq_{B^*B}$,
- (iii) $J_{\mathbb{T}, B}^*(\theta) \circ \Gamma_B^* \delta \simeq_B \Gamma_B^*\{(h \circ \delta) \triangleright_B (r \circ \delta)\} \circ J_{\mathbb{T}, B}^*(\theta')$.

Proof. By the formula of Theorem 5.3, we have the result.

References

- [1] Crabb, M. and James, I.M., *Fibrewise homotopy theory*, to be published.
- [2] Hoo, C.S., A note on a theorem of Ganea, Hilton and Peterson, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **19** (1968), 909-911.
- [3] ———, On the suspension of an H-space, *Duke Math. J.*, **36** (1969), 315-324.
- [4] James, I.M., *General topology and homotopy theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [5] ———, *Fibrewise topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [6] Oda, N., Pairings of homotopy sets over and under B , *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **36** (1993), 231-240.
- [7] ———, Fibrewise decomposition of generalized suspension spaces and loop spaces, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **30** (1994), 281-295.
- [8] Whitehead, G.W., Elements of homotopy theory, *Graduate Texts in Math.*, **61**, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1978.

