A Class of Polynomials from Banach Spaces into Banach Algebras

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

Mary L. LOURENÇO^{*} and Luiza A. MORAES^{**}

Abstract

Let *E* be a complex Banach space and *F* be a complex Banach algebra. We will be interested in the subspace $\mathbb{P}_f({}^nE, F)$ of $P({}^nE, F)$ generated by the collection of functions $\varphi^n(n \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi \in L(E, F))$ where $\varphi^n(x) = (\varphi(x))^n$ for each $x \in E$.

§1. Introduction

Let E be a complex Banach space. When F is a complex Banach algebra it is natural to consider the space generated by $\{\varphi^n : \varphi \in L(E, F)\}$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed and $\varphi^n(x) := (\varphi(x))^n$. Our purpose in this paper is to study this space. In fact, we are going to compare it with the space of *n*-homogenous polynomials of finite type and to get its dual in a convenient norm. This paper provides a number of illustrative examples and counterexamples that lead to a better understanding of the space defined by us.

The Banach space of all continuous *n*-linear mappings A from E^n into F endowed with the norm $||A|| = \sup\{||A(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)|| : ||x_j|| \le 1, j = 1, \ldots, n\}$ will be denoted by $L({}^nE, F)$. As usual we will write E' for $L(E, \mathbb{C})$. We denote by $\mathcal{K}(E, F)$ the space of all compact linear operators.

We denote by $P({}^{n}E, F)$ the Banach space of all continuous *n*-homogeneous polynomials *P* from *E* into *F* with the norm $||P|| = \sup\{||P(x)|| : ||x|| \le 1\}$, and by $P_f({}^{n}E, F)$ the space of all finite type *n*-homogeneous polynomials

Communicated by T. Kawai, September 19, 2000.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 46G20.

^{*}Departamento de Matemática, IME-USP, CP 66281 CEP: 05315-970 São Paulo, Brazil. e-mail: mllouren@ime.usp.br

^{**}Instituto de Matemática, UFRJ, CP 68530 CEP: 21945-970 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. e-mail: luiza@dmm.im.ufrj.br

from E into F, i.e, the space generated by the mappings $x \mapsto (\varphi(x))^n \cdot b$ where $\varphi \in E'$ and $b \in F$. We also denote by $P_{wu}(^nE, F)$ the space of all continuous *n*-homogeneous polynomials from E into F that are uniformly weakly continuous when restricted to the bounded subsets of E. More generally if $\varphi_i \in E'(i = 1, ..., n)$ and $b \in F$ we denote by $L_f(^nE, F)$ the space generated by the mappings $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in E^n \mapsto \varphi_1(x_1) \cdot \varphi_2(x_2) \ldots \varphi_n(x_n) \cdot b \in F$ and by $L_{wu}(^nE, F)$ the space of the elements of $L(^nE, F)$ that are uniformly weakly continuous when restricted to any bounded subset of E^n .

For each mapping $f: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $b \in F$ we set $f \otimes b(x) = f(x) \cdot b$ for all $x \in E$.

As usual we will always omit F in the notation in case $F = \mathbb{C}$.

For background on Banach algebras and on continuous n-homogeneous polynomials on Banach spaces we refer to [2].

The authors want to thank Christpher Boyd for providing the proof of Proposition 2.1.

The first named author was supported in part by FAPESP (Brazil). The second named author was supported in part by CNPq (Brazil) Research Grant 300016/82-4. Both want to thank these institutions.

§2. Polynomials

Let *E* be a complex Banach space and *F* be a complex Banach algebra. We define $\mathbb{P}_f({}^nE, F)$ as the space of all $P = \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i^n$ where $\varphi_i \in L(E, F)(i = 1, \dots, k)$. If $F = \mathbb{C}$, it is clear that $\mathbb{P}_f({}^nE, \mathbb{C}) = P_f({}^nE)$ for all *E* and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, if $E = \mathbb{C}$, we have $\mathbb{P}_f({}^n\mathbb{C}, F) \subset P_f({}^n\mathbb{C}, F)$ for every *F* and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, since $\lambda = \lambda \cdot 1$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, it is clear that $\varphi^n(\lambda) = \lambda^n(\varphi(1))^n$ for every $\varphi \in L(\mathbb{C}, F)$ and, consequently, if $P = \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i^n \ (\varphi_i \in L(\mathbb{C}, F))$ we have $P(\lambda) = \lambda^n \sum_{i=1}^k (\varphi_i(1))^n = id_C^n \otimes b(\lambda)$ where $b = \sum_{i=1}^k (\varphi_i(1))^n \in F$.

In this section we are going to establish necessary and sufficient conditions in order to have $P_f({}^nE, F) \subset I\!\!P_f({}^nE, F)$.

Definition 2.1. (1) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that F has the r_n -property if given any $b \in F$ there exists $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p\} \subset F$ such that $b = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \cdot a_i^n$ where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p \in \mathbb{C}$.

(2) We say that an algebra F has the r-property if F has the r_n -property $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 2.1. Every complex algebra with identity has the r-property. *Proof.* Let F be a complex algebra with identity 1_F . Given an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in F$ we have $b = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_l \cdot a_l^n$ where $\lambda_l = (1/n^2)e^{2\pi i l/n}$ and $a_l = b + e^{2\pi i l/n} \cdot 1_F$ for each l = 1, 2, ..., n. Indeed

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} e^{\frac{2\pi i l}{n}} \cdot (b + e^{\frac{2\pi i l}{n}} \cdot 1_{F})^{n} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} e^{\frac{2\pi i l}{n}} \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{n} \binom{n}{r} b^{r} \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi i l(n-r)}{n}}$$
$$= \sum_{r=0}^{n} \binom{n}{r} b^{r} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^{n} e^{\frac{2\pi i (n-r+1) l}{n}}$$
$$= \sum_{l=1}^{n} e^{\frac{2\pi i (n+1) l}{n}} + nb \cdot \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} e^{2\pi i l}\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{r=2}^{n} \binom{n}{r} b^{r} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^{n} e^{\frac{2\pi i (n-r+1) l}{n}}.$$

As $\sum_{l=1}^{n} e^{2\pi i l} = n$ and $\sum_{l=1}^{n} e^{2\pi i (n-r+1)l/n} = 0$ $\forall r = 0, 2, 3, \cdots, n$ the statement follows.

We remark that given an arbitrary Banach space (F, || ||) we can always define a product \odot on F in order that $(F, +, \odot)$ is an algebra with identity. We can also define in F a norm ||| ||| that is equivalent to the original norm || || and such that (F, ||| |||) with above operations is a Banach algebra (with identity). Indeed, let G be a closed hyperplane of F and $e \in F \setminus G$ be such that ||e|| = 1. Given u = ae + x and v = be + y (where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x, y \in G$) we define $u \odot v := abe + (bx + ay)$. It is easy to verify that $(F, +, \odot)$ is an algebra with identity e. Now, if we define |||u||| = |a| + ||x|| for u = ae + x ($a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in G$) we get an equivalent norm on F and (F, ||| |||) endowed with + and \odot is a Banach algebra with identity e.

Proposition 2.2. Let E be a Banach space and F be a Banach algebra. Then $P_f(^nE, F) \subset I\!\!P_f(^nE, F)$ if and only if F has the r_n -property.

Proof. If $P_f({}^{n}E, F) \subset I\!\!P_f({}^{n}E, F)$, then $\varphi^n \otimes b \in I\!\!P_f({}^{n}E, F)$, for every $\varphi \in E'$ and $b \in F$. So, there exist $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_p \in L(E, F)$ such that $\varphi^n \otimes b = \sum_{i=1}^{p} T_i^n$. Now, if $b \neq 0$ it is enough to take $\varphi \neq 0$ and $x_0 \in E$ such that $\varphi(x_0) = 1$ in order to get $b = \varphi^n \otimes b(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} (T_i(x_0))^n$. The case b = 0 is trivial.

Reciprocally, let $P \in P_f({}^nE, F)$. By definition there exist $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_p \in E'$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_p \in F$ such that $P = \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_i^n \otimes b_i$. So, it is enough to show that $\varphi^n \otimes b \in \mathbb{P}_f({}^nE, F)$ for every $\varphi \in E'$ and $b \in F$. As F has the r_n -property,

there exist $b_1, \ldots, b_p \in F$ such that $b = \lambda_1 b_1^n + \cdots + \lambda_p b_p^n$ and consequently $\varphi^n \otimes b = \sum_{i=1}^p (\beta_i \varphi \otimes b_i)^n$ where $\beta_i^n = \lambda_i (i = 1, \ldots, p)$.

As a consequence of Proposition 2.2 we get

Proposition 2.3. Let E be a Banach space. The following are equivalent:

(a) E is a finite dimensional space

(b) $P_f(^nE, F) = \mathbb{I}_f(^nE, F)$ for every Banach algebra F with the r_n -property $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(c) $P_f(^nE, F) = \mathbb{I}\!P_f(^nE, F)$ for every Banach algebra F with identity $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2 we have $P_f({}^nE, F) \subset I\!\!P_f({}^nE, F) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ whenever F is a Banach algebra with the r_n -property. Since $P_f({}^nE, F) = P({}^nE, F)$ if E is a finite dimensional space, we get $(a) \Longrightarrow (b)$. From Proposition 2.1 we have $(b) \Longrightarrow (c)$.

Now suppose that $P_f({}^nE, F) = I\!\!P_f({}^nE, F)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every Banach algebra F with identity. Since we can define in E a product \odot such that $(E, +, \odot)$ is an algebra with identity, we have that $P_f({}^1E, E) = I\!\!P_f({}^1E, E)$.

Now, $\overline{id_E(B_E)} = \overline{B_E}$ is compact since $P_f({}^1E, E) = E' \otimes E \subset \mathcal{K}(E, E)$ and $id_E \in L(E, E) = \mathbb{P}_f({}^1E, E)$. Consequently, dim $E < \infty$.

Next we are going to show by examples that when F has the r_n -property we may have $\overline{P_f(^nE,F)} \subsetneqq \overline{IP_f(^nE,F)}$ and $IP_f(^nE,F) \subsetneqq P(^nE,F)$.

Example 2.1. Let $E = c_0$ endowed with the pointwise product and let F be a Banach algebra with the r_n -property and such that $c_0 \subset F$ as a subalgebra. An example of such an algebra is given by l_{∞} endowed with the pointwise product. We define $P: c_0 \longrightarrow F$ by $P(x) = x^n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k x_k^n \cdot e_k$ for all $x = (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1$ with $\lambda_k > 0 \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{e_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the canonical basis of c_0 . In other words, $P = id_{c_0}^n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \pi_k^n \otimes e_k$, where, for each $k, \ \pi_k((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = x_k$.

Suppose that $||e_k||_F \leq 1, (k = 1, 2, \cdots)$. For every $x \in c_0$ we have $||\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \lambda_k x_k^n \cdot e_k|| \leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} |\lambda_k| \cdot |x_k|^n \leq (\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|) \cdot ||x||^n$. Since $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1$, given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} |\lambda_k| < \epsilon$ and, consequently, $||\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k x_k^n \cdot e_k - \sum_{k=1}^{k_0-1} \lambda_k x_k^n \cdot e_k|| \leq \epsilon$ for all $x \in c_0$ such that $||x|| \leq 1$. So $P_m = id_{c_0}^n + \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \pi_k^n \otimes e_k \in \mathbb{P}_f({}^nc_0, F)$ is such that $P_m \xrightarrow{||||} P$ and, consequently, $P \in \overline{\mathbb{P}_f(nc_0, F)}$.

If $P \in \overline{P_f(nc_0, F)}$ we would have $P - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \pi_k^n \otimes e_k \in \overline{P_f(nc_0, F)}$. But this is a contradiction since $P - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \pi_k^n \otimes e_k = id_{c_0}^n$ and every element of $\overline{P_f(nc_0, F)}$ is compact. So, $P \in \overline{P_f(nc_0, F)} \setminus \overline{P_f(nc_0, F)}$.

Example 2.2. Let $E = l_2$ and $F = l_1$ endowed with the pointwise product. Since $L(l_2, l_1) = \mathcal{K}(l_2, l_1)$, we have that every element of $\mathbb{P}_f({}^nl_2, l_1)$ is compact for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$, let $Q : l_2 \longrightarrow l_1$ be defined by $Q((x_k)_k) := (x_k^n)_k$. Since $(Q(e_k)) := (e_k) \subset Q(B_{l_2})$ doesn't admit convergent subsequence, we have that $Q \in P({}^nl_2, l_1) \setminus \mathbb{P}_f({}^nl_2, l_1)$.

Next we are going to give an example of E and F such that $\overline{P_f(^nE,F)} \not\subset \mathbb{P}_f(^nE,F)$ although $P_f(^nE,F) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}_f(^nE,F)$.

For the construction of the first example we need the following result:

Proposition 2.4. Let $E = c_0$ and $F = l_\infty$ endowed both with the pointwise product. If $P \in \mathbb{P}_f({}^nc_0, l_\infty)$, then $\pi_j \circ P \in P_f({}^nc_0), \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $P \in I\!\!P_f({}^nc_0, l_\infty)$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $P_j := \pi_j \circ P$ where $\pi_j((x_k)_k) = x_j$. We are going to show that $P_j \in P_f({}^nc_0)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition, $P \in I\!\!P_f({}^nc_0, l_\infty)$ if and only if there exist $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_p \in L(c_0, l_\infty)$ such that $P(x) = \sum_{k=1}^p T_k^n(x)$ for all $x \in c_0$. Consequently, $P_j(x) = \sum_{k=1}^p (\pi_j \circ T_k)^n(x)$ for every $x \in c_0$ and it is clear that $P_j \in P_f({}^nc_0) \ \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 2.3. Let $P : c_0 \longrightarrow l_\infty$ be defined by $P((x_k)_k) := a \cdot (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1/2^k) x_k^n)$ where $a = (a_j) \in c_0, a \neq 0$. Since $a \neq 0$, there exists j such that $a_j \neq 0$ and, consequently, $\pi_j \circ P(x) = a_j \cdot (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1/2^k) x_k^n) \notin P_f({}^nc_0)$. By Proposition 2.4 we get $P \notin I\!\!P_f({}^nc_0, l_\infty)$. On the other hand, for every $x \in c_0$ such that $||x|| \leq 1$ we have

$$\left\| P(x) - a \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{p} \frac{1}{2^{k}} x_{k}^{n} \right\| = \left\| a \cdot \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} x_{k}^{n} \right\|$$
$$= \sup_{j} \left| a_{j} \cdot \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} x_{k}^{n} \right| \le \|a\| \cdot \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \longrightarrow 0$$

Consequently, $a \cdot (\sum_{k=0}^{p} (1/2^k) x_k^n) \longrightarrow P(x)$ in the usual norm in $P({}^n c_0, l_\infty)$ and, since $a \cdot (\sum_{k=0}^{p} (1/2^k) x_k^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} (1/2^k) \pi_k^n \otimes a(x)$, we have $P \in \overline{P_f({}^n c_0, l_\infty)}$. So, $P \in \overline{P_f({}^n c_0, l_\infty)} \setminus \mathbb{P}_f({}^n c_0, l_\infty)$ although $P_f({}^n c_0, l_\infty) \not\subseteq \mathbb{P}_f({}^n c_0, l_\infty)$ Even if E is not a subspace of F we may have $\overline{P_f(^nE,F)} \setminus I\!\!P_f(^nE,F) \neq \emptyset$ as the following example shows:

Example 2.4. Let $P : l_2 \longrightarrow l'_2$ be defined by $P((x_k)_k) := ((1/k^2) \cdot x_k^{n-1})_k$, i.e, $P = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1/k^2) \pi_k^{n-1} \otimes e_k$. It is known that there exists a symmetric (n-1)-linear mapping $A : l_2^{n-1} \longrightarrow l'_2$ such that $P(x) = A(x, \ldots, x)$ for every $x \in l_2$. Since $\{A(\sum_{i=1}^p e_i, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^p e_i) : p \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is linearly independent, we have that dim $A(l_2 \times \ldots \times l_2) = \infty$ and, consequently, $A \notin L_f(n^{-1}l_2, l'_2)$. Using the canonical isomorphism between $L(n^{-1}l_2, l'_2)$ and $L(nl_2)$, we associate to A an element $B \in L(nl_2)$ such that $A((x_{1k}), \ldots, (x_{n-1k}))(x_{nk}) = B((x_{1k}), \ldots, (x_{nk}))$. As this isomorphism identifies $L_f(n^{-1}l_2, l'_2)$ with $L_f(nl_2)$, we have $B \notin L_f(nl_2)$. Consequently, if $Q(x) = B(x, \ldots, x)$ we have $Q \notin P_f(nl_2)$.

On the other hand, $P \in \overline{P_f(n^{-1}l_2, l'_2)} \subset P_{wu}(n^{-1}l_2, l'_2)$ and, consequently, $A \in L_{wu}(n^{-1}l_2, l'_2)$. Since the above mentioned canonical isomorphism identifies $L_{wu}(n^{-1}l_2, l'_2)$ with $L_{wu}(nl_2)$, we have $B \in L_{wu}(nl_2)$ and, consequently, $Q \in P_{wu}(nl_2)$. Since l_2 has the a.p., $Q \in \overline{P_f(nl_2)}$. So, there exists $Q \in \overline{P_f(nl_2)} \setminus$ $P_f(nl_2)$. Now, let $R : l_2 \longrightarrow l_1$ be defined by $R(x) = (Q \otimes e_1)(x) = Q(x) \cdot e_1$. It is clear that $R \in P(nl_2, l_1)$.

If we consider l_1 endowed with the pointwise product, we can prove as in Proposition 2.4, that $R \in I\!\!P_f({}^nl_2, l_1)$ implies $\pi_j \circ R \in P_f({}^nl_2) \; \forall j$. But, $\pi_1 \circ R = Q \notin P_f({}^nl_2)$ and so $R \notin I\!\!P_f({}^nl_2, l_1)$. Finally, we have $R \in \overline{P_f({}^nl_2, l_1)}$ and so $\overline{P_f({}^nl_2, l_1)} \setminus I\!\!P_f({}^nl_2, l_1) \neq \emptyset$.

We remark that we don't know if l_1 has the r_n -property when we consider l_1 endowed with the pointwise product. So, in this case, we don't know if $P_f({}^nl_2, l_1) \subset I\!\!P_f({}^nl_2, l_1)$.

By considering l_1 endowed with a convenient product, we will show in Example 2.5 that in fact, we can have E and F such that $P_f({}^nE, F) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}_f({}^nE, F) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}_f({}^nE, F)$.

Example 2.5. Let $E = l_2$ and $F = l_1$ endowed with the following product: $(x_k) \odot (y_k)_k := (z_k)_k$ where $z_1 = x_1 \cdot y_1$ and $z_k = x_1 \cdot y_k + y_1 \cdot x_k \quad \forall k \ge 2$. We remark that, since $(x_k)_k = x_1 \cdot e_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} x_k \cdot e_k$ and $(y_k) = y_1 \cdot e_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} y_k \cdot e_k$, this is the product defined after Proposition 2.1, and so $l_1 = (l_1, +, \odot)$ is a comutative algebra with identity.

From Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 we have $P_f({}^nl_2, l_1) \subset I\!\!P_f({}^nl_2, l_1)$. If $T : l_2 \longrightarrow l_1$ is defined by $T((x_k)) := ((1/k)x_k)_k$ for every $(x_k) \in l_2$, we have clearly $T^n \in I\!\!P_f({}^nl_2, l_1)$. On the other hand, it is easy to check that, $T^n(\sum_{i=1}^p e_i) =$

 $(1, n/2, \dots, n/p, 0, 0, \dots)$ for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $\{T^n(\sum_{i=1}^p e_i) : p \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is linearly independent. Consequently, dim $T^n(l_2) = \infty$ and $T^n \notin P_f(n_2, l_1)$.

Since $L(l_2, l_1) = \mathcal{K}(l_2, l_1) = L_{wu}(l_2, l_1)$, it is clear that $I\!\!P_f({}^nl_2, l_1) \subset P_{wu}({}^nl_2, l_1) = \overline{P_f({}^nl_2, l_1)}$.

Finally, we are going to show that $\overline{P_f(nl_2, l_1)} \setminus I\!\!P_f(nl_2, l_1) \neq \emptyset$.

If $P \in \mathbb{P}_f({}^nl_2, l_1)$, there exist $T_1, \ldots, T_p \in L(l_2, l_1)$ such that $P = \sum_{i=1}^p T_i^n$. For each $x \in l_2$, let $T_{1i}(x) = \pi_1 \circ T_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}$. From the definition of \odot we have that $\pi_1 \circ T_i^n(x) = \pi_1[T_i(x) \odot \ldots \odot T_i(x)] = (T_{1i}(x))^n = (\pi_1 \circ T_i)^n(x)$ for every $x \in l_2$. So, $\pi_1 \circ P = \sum_{i=1}^p (\pi_i \circ T_i)^n$ and, since $\pi_1 \circ T_i \in l'_2$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, p$ we get $\pi_1 \circ P \in P_f({}^nl_2)$.

Now, take $Q \in \overline{P_f(nl_2)} \setminus P_f(nl_2)$ and define $R: l_2 \longrightarrow l_1$ by $R = Q \otimes e_1$. From $\pi_1 \circ R = Q \notin P_f(nl_2)$ we infer $R \notin \mathbb{P}_f(nl_2, l_1)$. Since $Q \in \overline{P_f(nl_2)}$, it follows that for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_p \in l'_2$ such that $||Q - \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_i^n|| < \epsilon$. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^p (\varphi_i \otimes e_1)^n = \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_i^n \otimes e_1 \in P_f(nl_2, l_1)$. Then $||R - \sum_{i=1}^p (\varphi_i \otimes e_1)^n|| < \epsilon$ and hence $R \in \overline{P_f(nl_2, l_1)} \setminus \mathbb{P}_f(nl_2, l_1)$.

We remark that $\overline{IP_f(nl_2, l_1)} = \overline{P_f(nl_2, l_1)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This equality is true in the following general situation:

Proposition 2.5. If E is a Banach space and F is a commutative Banach algebra such that $L(E,F) = \mathcal{K}(E,F)$, then $\overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^nE,F)} \subset P_{wu}(^nE,F)$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. If, in additon, F has the r_n-property and E' has the approximation property, we have $\overline{P_f(^nE,F)} = \overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^nE,F)}$.

Proof. Let $P \in I\!\!P_f(^nE, F)$. For every bounded subset B of E let (x_α) be a net in B such that (x_α) converges weakly to $x \in B$. Since $L(E, F) = \mathcal{K}(E, F) = L_{wu}(E; F)$, we have that $(T(x_\alpha))$ converges to T(x) for all $T \in L(E, F)$. As, by definition of $I\!\!P_f(^nE, F)$, there exist $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_p \in L(E, F)$ such that $P = \sum_{i=1}^p T_i^n$, it is clear that $(P(x_\alpha))$ converges to P(x). So, $I\!\!P_f(^nE, F) \subset P_{wu}(^nE, F)$ and since $P_{wu}(^nE; F)$ is closed it follows that $\overline{I\!\!P_f(^nE, F)} \subset P_{wu}(^nE, F)$.

If, in addition, F has the r_n -property and E' has the approximation property $P_f({}^nE, F) \subset I\!\!P_f({}^nE, F)$ by Proposition 2.2 and $P_{wu}({}^nE, F) = \overline{P_f({}^nE, F)}$ by Proposition 2.7 of [1] and so $\overline{I\!\!P_f({}^nE, F)} = \overline{P_f({}^nE, F)}$.

§3. The Space $I\!\!P(^{n}E, F)$

Let $I\!\!P(^nE,F) = \{P \in P(^nE,F) : P = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^n \ (\varphi \in L(E,F)) \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_i\|^n < \infty \}$ endowed with $|||P||| := \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_i\|^n : P = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^n\}$

where the infimum are taken over all possible representations of P. It is clear that $||| \cdot |||$ is a norm and $||P|| \leq |||P|||$ for all $P \in \mathbb{P}({}^{n}E, F)$.

If $P = \varphi^n$ for some $\varphi \in L(E, F)$, we have that φ^n is a representation of P such that $||\varphi||^n < \infty$ and so $|||\varphi^n||| \leq ||\varphi||^n$. Standard arguments show that the completion of $(\mathbb{P}_f({}^nE, F), ||| |||)$ is $\mathbb{P}({}^nE, F)$ and so we have $(\overline{\mathbb{P}_f({}^nE, F)}, ||| |||) = \mathbb{P}({}^nE, F)$.

Proposition 3.1. The mapping $\beta : \mathbb{P}({}^{n}E, F)' \longrightarrow \{Q \in P({}^{n}L(E, F)): \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q(\varphi_{i}) = 0 \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i}^{n} = 0\}$ defined by $\beta(T)(\varphi) := T(\varphi^{n})$ for every $\varphi \in L(E, F)$ establishes an isometric isomorphism between the two spaces. Under this isomorphism the equicontinuous subsets of $\mathbb{P}({}^{n}E, F)'$ correspond to the locally bounded subsets of $\{Q \in P({}^{n}L(E, F)): \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q(\varphi_{i}) = 0 \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i}^{n} = 0\}.$

Proof. Given $T \in I\!\!P_f({}^nE, F)'$, for every $\sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_i^n = 0$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^p \beta T(\varphi_i) = \sum_{i=1}^p T(\varphi_i^n) = T(\sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_i^n)$. So, β is well defined. Clearly β is linear. Also for every $\varphi \in L(E, F), |\beta T(\varphi)| = |T(\varphi^n)| \leq ||T|| \cdot |||\varphi^n||| \leq ||T|| \cdot |||\varphi||^n$ so $||\beta T|| \leq ||T||$. Conversely, given an arbitrary $P \in I\!\!P_f({}^nE, F)$, for all representation $P = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i^n$ of P we have $|T(P)| = |T(\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i^n)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |T(\varphi_i^n)| = \sum_{i=1}^m |\beta T(\varphi_i)| \leq ||\beta T|| \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m ||\varphi_i||^n$ so that $|T(P)| \leq ||\beta T|| \cdot ||P|||$ and consequently $||T|| \leq ||\beta T|| \quad \forall T \in I\!\!P_f({}^nE, F)'$. Since $I\!\!P_f({}^nE, F)' = I\!\!P({}^nE, F)'$ we have $||\beta T|| = ||T|| \quad \forall T \in I\!\!P({}^nE, F)$ and so β is an isometry and hence 1-1. Let $Q \in P({}^nL(E, F))$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m Q(\varphi_i) = 0$ whenever $\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i^n = 0$. In particular, $\sum_{i=1}^m Q(\varphi_i) = 0$ whenever $\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i^n = 0$ (where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary). We may define $T_Q : I\!\!P_f({}^nE, F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $T_Q(P) = \sum_{i=1}^m Q(\varphi_i)$ where $P = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i^n$ is a representation of P. If $\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i^n = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i^n = 0$.

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{m} Q(\varphi_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} Q(\lambda_i \cdot \psi_i) = 0$ we have $T_Q(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_i^n) - T_Q(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \psi_i^n) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} Q(\varphi_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} Q(\psi_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} Q(\varphi_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i^n Q(\psi_i) = 0$. This means that T_Q is well defined. It is clear that T_Q is linear and $|T_Q(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_i^n)| = |\sum_{i=1}^{m} Q(\varphi_i)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} |Q(\varphi_i)| \leq ||Q|| \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} ||\varphi_i||^n$ for every representation $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_i^n$ of P. So, $|T_Q(P)| \leq ||Q|| \cdot ||P|||$ for every $P \in I\!P_f(^nE, F)$. Accordingly T_Q defines a continuous linear function on $I\!P_f(^nE, F)$ which can be extended uniquely to a continuous linear function \tilde{T}_Q on $I\!P(^nE, F)$ such that $\beta \tilde{T}_Q(\varphi) = T_Q(\varphi^n) = Q(\varphi)$ for every $\varphi \in L(E, F)$. Hence β establishes an isometric isomorphism between $I\!P(^nE, F)'$ and $\{Q \in P(^nL(E, F)) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q(\varphi_i) = 0$ if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^n = 0\}$.

Let \aleph be an equicontinuous subset of $I\!\!P(^nE, F)'$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\sup_{T \in \aleph} |T(P)| < \epsilon$ whenever $|||P||| < \delta$.

Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r^n = \delta$. If $L = \{\varphi \in L(E, F) : ||\varphi|| < r\}$, we have $\sup_{\varphi \in L} |\beta T(\varphi)| = \sup_{\varphi \in L} |T(\varphi^n)| < \epsilon, \forall T \in \aleph$ since $|||\varphi^n||| \le ||\varphi||^n < \delta$. So, $\{\beta T : T \in \aleph\}$ is locally bounded.

Remark 3.1. For each compact subset K of E we consider the seminorm p_K in $\mathbb{P}({}^nE, F)$ defined by $p_K(P) := \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ||\varphi_i||_K^n : P = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^n\}$ where the infimum is taken over all possible representations of P. Let τ_0 be the locally convex topology generated by the family $\{p_K : K \subset E \text{ com$ $pact}\}$. We denote by $L_0(E, F)$ the space L(E, F) endowed with the compact open topology. A slight modification of the arguments from Proposition 3.1 shows that the mapping defined by $\beta(T)(\varphi) := T(\varphi^n)$ for every $\varphi \in L(E, F)$, establishes a continuous isomorphism from $(\mathbb{P}({}^nE, F), \tau_0)'$ onto $\{Q \in P({}^nL(E, F)) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q(\varphi_i) = 0$ if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^n = 0\}$ and transforms the equicontinuous subsets of $(\mathbb{P}({}^nE, F), \tau_0)'$ onto equicontinuous subsets of $\{Q \in$ $P({}^nL_0(E, F)) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q(\varphi_i) = 0$ if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^n = 0\}$.

Example 3.1. Let $T_k : c_0 \longrightarrow c_0$ be defined by $T_k((x_l)) = (y_l)$ where $y_l = 0 \quad \forall l \neq k$ and $y_k = x_k / \sqrt[n]{k}$. Let $P_m = \sum_{k=1}^m T_k^n \in \mathbb{P}_f({}^nc_0, c_0)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to show that $(P_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{P}_f({}^nc_0, c_0)$ and $(P_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $P = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k^n$.

Now, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ||T_k||^n$ diverges since $||T_k||^n = 1/k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So, $P \in \overline{IP_f({}^nc_0, c_0)}^{||} || \setminus IP({}^nc_0, c_0)$ i.e., $\overline{IP_f({}^nc_0, c_0)}^{|||||} \subsetneq \overline{IP_f({}^nc_0, c_0)}^{|||||}$

Remark 3.2. Let $P_N({}^nE, F)$ denote the space of all nuclear *n*-homogeneous polynomials from E into F, i.e, of all $P \in P({}^nE, F)$ such that $P(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k^n(x) b_k$ for every $x \in E$ where $(\varphi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E'$ and $(b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset F$ are sequences satisfying $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ||\varphi_k||^n ||b_k|| < \infty$. We consider $P_N({}^nE, F)$ endowed with the nuclear norm $||P||_N = \inf \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ||\varphi_k||^n \cdot ||b_k||$ where the infimum is taken over all sequences (φ_k) and (b_k) that satisfy the definition. For n = 1, we always have $P_N({}^1E, F) \subset I\!P({}^1E, F)$, but it is not clear if this inclusion remains true in case $n \geq 2$ for all Banach space E and all Banach algebra F.

References

Aron, R. M. and Prolla, J. B., Polynomial approximation of differentiable functions on Banach spaces, J. Reine Angew. Math., 313 (1980), 195–216.

^[2] Mujica, J., Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces, North-Holland Math. Stud., 120, Notas de Matematica, 107 North Holland, 1986.