Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **⁴²** (2006), 803–835

Monodromy at Infinity and Fourier Transform II

By

Claude Sabbah[∗]

Abstract

For a regular twistor \mathscr{D} -module and for a given function f , we compare the nearby cycles at $f = \infty$ and the nearby or vanishing cycles at $\tau = 0$ for its partial Fourier-Laplace transform relative to the kernel $e^{-\tau f}$.

§ **1. Introduction**

The regular polarizable twistor \mathscr{D} -modules on a complex manifold form a category generalizing that of polarized Hodge *D*-modules, introduced by M. Saito in [6]. This category, together with some of its properties, has been considered in [4]. A potential application is to produce a category playing the role, in complex algebraic geometry, of pure perverse ℓ -adic sheaves with wild ramification, that is, a category enabling meromorphic connections with *irregular* singularities together with a notion of weight, compatible with various functors as direct images by projective morphisms or nearby/vanishing cycles.

A way to obtain irregular singularity from a regular *D*-module is to apply the functor that we call partial Laplace transform.

In [4, Appendix], we have sketched some results concerning the behaviour of regular twistor *D*-modules with respect to a partial Fourier-Laplace transform. We then have extensively used such results in [2] and [3]. In this article, we give details for the proof of the results which are not proved in [4, Appendix]. The proofs yet appeared in a preprint form in [5, Chap. 8]. As indicated in [4, Appendix], the goal is to analyze the behaviour of polarized regular twistor

Communicated by M. Kashiwara. Received September 8, 2005. Revised December 26, 2005.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification(s): Primary 32S40; Secondary 14C30, 34Mxx. Key words: Twistor *D*-module, Fourier-Laplace transform, specialization

[∗]UMR 7640 du CNRS, Centre de Math´ematiques Laurent Schwartz, Ecole polytechnique, ´ F–91128 Palaiseau cedex, France.

c 2006 Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University. All rights reserved.

D-modules under a partial (one-dimensional) Fourier-Laplace transform. We generalize to such objects the main result of [1], comparing, for a given function f, the nearby cycles at $f = \infty$ and the nearby or vanishing cycles for the partial Fourier-Laplace transform in the f-direction (Theorem 5.1).

A remark concerning the terminology. We use the term (partial) *Laplace transform* when we consider the transform for *D*-modules (or *R*-modules). The effect of such a transform on a sesquilinear pairing is an ordinary Fourier transform. On a twistor object, consisting of a pair of *R*-modules and a sesquilinear pairing between them with values in distributions, the corresponding transform is called *Fourier-Laplace*.

§ **2. A Quick Review of Polarizable Twistor** *D***-Modules**

Let us quickly review some basic definitions and results concerning polarizable twistor *D*-modules. We refer to [4] for details.

§ **2.a. Some notation**

We denote by Ω_0 the complex line with coordinate z, and by **S** the unit circle $|z|=1$. In fact, one could also take for Ω_0 any open neighborhood of the closed unit disc $\mathbf{D} = \{z \in \Omega_0 \mid |z| \leq 1\}$. For any $z_o \in \Omega_0$, we put

 $\bullet \ \zeta_o = \text{Im} \, z_o,$

- $\ell_{z_o} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ the function $(\alpha' + i\alpha'') \mapsto \alpha' (\text{Im } z_o)\alpha''$,
- $\alpha * z_o = \alpha' z_o + i \alpha'' (z_o^2 + 1)/2.$

(See [4, Chap. 0] for more notation and definitions.)

 \S **2.b.** The category \mathscr{R} -Triples (X)

Given a *n*-dimensional complex manifold X, we denote by $\mathscr X$ the manifold $X \times \Omega_0$, by $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}}$ its structure sheaf, and by $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$ the sheaf of differential operators defined in local coordinates x_1, \ldots, x_n as $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}} \langle \mathfrak{F}_{x_1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{F}_{x_n} \rangle$, where we put $\mathfrak{F}_{x_i} =$ $z\partial_{x_i}$.

A module over $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}}$ or $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$ is said to be *strict* if it has no \mathscr{O}_{Ω_0} -torsion.

The objects of the category \mathscr{R} - Triples(X) are the triples $\mathscr{T} = (\mathscr{M}', \mathscr{M}'', C)$, where M' , M'' are left $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules and C : $\mathcal{M}'_{|S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{M}''_{|S} \to \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{b}_{X \times S/S}$ is a sesquilinear pairing. Here, $\mathscr{O}_\mathbf{S}$ means $\mathscr{O}_{\Omega_0|\mathbf{S}}, \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}_{X\times S/\mathbf{S}}$ is the sheaf of distributions on $X \times S$ which are continuous with respect to $z \in S$, and the conjugation is taken in the twistor sense $(cf. [4, §1.5.a])$: it is the usual conjugation functor in the X direction, and is the involution $z \mapsto -z^{-1}$ in the z-direction.

The morphisms are pairs (φ', φ'') of morphisms, contravariant on the "prime" side, and covariant on the "double-prime" side, which satisfy the compatibility relation $C_1(\varphi'm'_2, m''_1) = C_2(m'_2, \varphi''m''_1)$.
For any $k \in \frac{1}{2}$, the Tate twist (b) is defined by

For any $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, the Tate twist (k) is defined by $\mathscr{T}(k) = (\mathscr{M}', \mathscr{M}'', (iz)^{-2k}C)$, and the adjoint \mathscr{T}^* of \mathscr{T} is $(\mathscr{M}'', \mathscr{M}', C^*)$, with $C^*(m'', m') = C(m', m'')$.

A sesquilinear duality *S* of weight $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ on \mathcal{T} is a morphism $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{T} \to$ *T* [∗](−w).

There is a natural notion of direct image by a morphism f between smooth complex manifolds, which is denoted by f_{\dagger} .

§ **2.c. Specialization along a smooth hypersurface**

We consider the following situation: the manifold X is an open set in the product $\mathbb{C} \times X'$ of the complex line with some complex manifold X' , we regard the coordinate t on $\mathbb C$ as a function on X, and we put $X_0 = t^{-1}(0)$. There is a corresponding derivation \eth_t , and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is equipped with an increasing filtration $V_{\bullet} \mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$, for which \mathfrak{d}_t^k has degree k , t^k has degree $-k$ (for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$), and any local section of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ has degree 0.

A coherent left $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module \mathcal{M} is said to be strictly specializable along \mathscr{X}_0 if there exist, near any $(x_o, z_o) \in \mathscr{X}$, a finite set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a good Vfiltration indexed by $\ell_{z_0}(A+\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{R}$, denoted by $V^{(z_0)}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{M})$, such that, for any $a\in\ell_{z_0}(A+\mathbb{Z}),$

- each graded piece $gr_a^{V^{(z_o)}} \mathcal{M}$ is a strict $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ -module;
- on each $gr_a^{V^{(z_o)}}$ *M*, the operator $\mathfrak{d}_t t$ has a minimal polynomial which takes the form

$$
\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in A + \mathbb{Z} \\ \ell_{z_o}(\alpha) = a}} [- (s + \alpha \star z)]^{\nu_{\alpha}},
$$

where the integers ν_{α} only depend on α mod \mathbb{Z} ;

- if we denote by $\psi_{t,\alpha}$ *M* the kernel of a sufficiently large power of $\mathfrak{d}_t t + \alpha \star z$ acting on $\operatorname{gr}_a^{V^{(z_o)}} \mathcal{M}$, with $a = \ell_{z_o}(\alpha)$, then
	- $t : \psi_{t,\alpha} \mathcal{M} \to \psi_{t,\alpha-1} \mathcal{M}$ is onto for $\ell_{z_0}(\alpha) \leq 0$,
	- $\eth_t : \psi_{t,\alpha} \mathcal{M} \to \psi_{t,\alpha+1} \mathcal{M}$ is onto for $\ell_{z_0}(\alpha) \geq -1$, but $\alpha \neq -1$.

We say that the strictly specializable module \mathcal{M} is regular along \mathcal{X}_0 if each $V_a^{(z_o)}$ *M* is $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}}$ -coherent (*cf.* [4, § 3.1.d]).

Given an object $\mathscr T$ of $\mathscr R$ -Triples(X) for which $\mathscr M'$ and $\mathscr M''$ are strictly specializable along \mathscr{X}_0 , and any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the specialization $\psi_{t,\alpha}C$ is defined by

(2.1)

(2.1)
\n
$$
\psi_{t,\alpha} \mathcal{M}'_{|S} \underset{\mathcal{O}_S}{\otimes} \overline{\psi_{t,\alpha} \mathcal{M}''_{|S}} \xrightarrow{\psi_{t,\alpha} C} \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{b}_{X_0 \times S/S}
$$
\n
$$
([m'], \overline{[m']}) \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad } \text{Res}_{s=\alpha \star z/z} \langle |t|^{2s} C(m', \overline{m''}), \cdot \wedge \chi(t) \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} dt \wedge d\bar{t} \rangle,
$$

where m', m'' are local liftings of $[m'], [m'']$. In such a way, we get an object $\psi_{t,\alpha}$ \mathscr{T} of \mathscr{R} - Triples (X_0) .

We also define the objects $\Psi_{t,\alpha}$ *T* by starting from the *localization* of *T* along \mathscr{X}_0 (*cf.* [4, § 3.4]).

§ **2.d. Polarizable twistor** *D***-modules**

Let w be an integer. The category $MT^{(r)}(X, w)$ of regular twistor \mathscr{D} modules is defined in [4, Def. 4.1.2]. It is a full subcategory of \mathcal{R} -Triples(X). Each object of $MT^{(r)}(X, w)$ is, in particular, strictly specializable along any local analytic hypersurface, as well as all its successive specializations.

The Tate twist by $(-w/2)$ is an equivalence between MT^(r)(X, w) and $MT^{(r)}(X, 0)$. If X is reduced to a point, the category $MT^{(r)}(\text{pt}, 0)$ (the regularity condition is now empty) was defined by C. Simpson in [7] as the category of twistor structures, which is equivalent to the category of trivializable vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 , or the category of C-vector spaces.

A polarization of an object of $MT^{(r)}(X, w)$ is a sesquilinear duality $\mathscr S$ of weight w which induces, by any successive specializations ending to a point, and gradation by the successive monodromy filtrations, a polarization of the punctual twistor structures (*cf.* [4, § 4.2]). The subcategory $MT^{(r)}(X, w)^{(p)}$ consisting of polarizable regular twistor *D*-modules is semisimple (*cf.* Prop. 4.2.5 in *loc. cit.*).

§ **3. Partial Laplace Transform of** *R^X* **-Modules**

§ **3.a. The setting**

§ 3. Partial Laplace Transform of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -Modules
§ 3.a. The setting
We consider the product $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^1$ of two affine lines with coordinates (t, τ) , **and the partial compactification** $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \hat{\mathbb{A}}^1$ **covered by two affine charts, with the partial compactification** $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \hat{\mathbb{A}}^1$ **, covered by two affine charts, with** respective coordinates (t, τ) and (t', τ) , where we put $t' = 1/t$. We denote

by ∞ the divisor $\{t = \infty\}$ in \mathbb{P}^1 , defined by the equation $t' = 0$, as well as its by ∞ the divisor $\{t = \infty$
inverse image in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \hat{\mathbb{A}}^1$. Let \mathbb{R}^n be divisor $\{t = \infty\}$ in \mathbb{P}^1 , defined by the equation $t' = 0$, as well as its see image in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^1$.
Let Y be a complex manifold. We put $X = Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $\widehat{X} = Y \times \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^1$ and

by ∞ the divisor $\{t = \infty\}$ in \mathbb{P}^1 , defined by the equation $t' = 0$, as well as its inverse image in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \hat{\mathbb{A}}^1$.
Let Y be a complex manifold. We put $X = Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $\hat{X} = Y \times \hat{\mathbb{A}}^1$ and $Z = Y \$ denoted by) ∞ . We have projections

Let *M* be a left $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ the localized module Let *M* be a left $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ the localized module $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ [∗∞] $\otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{M}$. Then $p^+\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a left $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ [∗∞]-module. We denote by $p^+\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \otimes$ $\mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}$ or, for short, by \mathscr{H} , the $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{L}}[\ast\infty]$ -module $p^+\mathscr{M}$ equipped with the *M* \mathcal{M} the localized module p⁺ $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a left $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}}[*\infty]$ -module. We denote by $p^+\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\otimes\mathcal{M}$, the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}[*\infty]$ -module $p^+\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ equipped with the twisted action of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ described by the exponential factor: the $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ -action is unchanged, and, for any local section m of *M*,

• in the chart (t, τ) ,

(3.2)
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathfrak{F}_t(m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) &= [(\mathfrak{F}_t - \tau)m] \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}, \\
\mathfrak{F}_\tau(m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) &= -tm \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z},\n\end{aligned}
$$

• in the chart (t', τ) ,

(3.3)
\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathfrak{F}_{t'}(m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) &= [(\mathfrak{F}_{t'} + \tau/t'^2)m] \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}, \\
\mathfrak{F}_{\tau}(m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) &= -m/t' \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z},\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n**Definition 3.4.** The partial Laplace transform $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ of \mathcal{M} is the complex

of $\mathscr{R}_{\widehat{\mathscr{R}}}$ -modules Th \widehat{p}_+ *P* = partial Laplace transform
 $\mathscr{H} = \widehat{p}_{+}(p^{+}\widetilde{\mathscr{M}} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}).$

$$
\widehat{p}_+^{\mathscr{F}} \mathscr{M} = \widehat{p}_+(p^+ \widetilde{\mathscr{M}} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}).
$$

Recall (*cf.* [4, Prop. A.2.7]) that we have:

Proposition 3.5. Let M be a coherent $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$ -module. Then \mathscr{W} is $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{Z}}$ -**Recall** (*cf.* [4, Prop. A.2.7]) that we have:
 Proposition 3.5. Let *M* be a coherent $\Re \chi$ -module. Then $\Im M$ is coherent. If moreover *M* is good, then so is $\Im M$, and therefore $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} = \widehat{p}_+$ *F M is* $\mathscr{R}_{\widehat{\mathscr{R}}}$ -coherent.

Let us also recall the definition of the Fourier transform of a sesquilinear pairing. Assume that \mathcal{M}' , \mathcal{M}'' are good $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules. Let C : $\mathcal{M}'_{|S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S}$

 $\mathcal{M}_{|\mathbf{S}}'' \to \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}_{X\times\mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S}}$ be a sesquilinear pairing. We will define a sesquilinear pairing between the corresponding Laplace transforms: sesquil
ding L
 \widehat{C} : $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$

$$
\widehat{C}:\widehat{\mathscr{M}}'_{|\mathbf{S}}\otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{S}}}\widehat{\overline{\mathscr{M}}''_{|\mathbf{S}}}\longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}_{\widehat{X}\times\mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S}}.
$$

Given local sections m', m'' of $p^+ \mathscr{M}^{\prime}_{|S}, p^+ \mathscr{M}^{\prime\prime}_{|S}$, which can be written as $\hat{C}: \overline{\mathcal{M}_{|\mathbf{S}}'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{S}}} \overline{\mathcal{M}_{|\mathbf{S}}''} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{b}_{\hat{X} \times \mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S}}.$
Given local sections m', m'' of $p^+ \mathcal{M}_{|\mathbf{S}}', p^+ \mathcal{M}_{|\mathbf{S}}''$, which can be written as $m' = \sum_i \phi_i \otimes m'_i$, $m'' = \sum_j \psi_j \otimes m''_j$ \hat{C} : \hat{C}
ions m
 $\prime = \sum$ and m'_i, m''_j local sections of $\mathcal{M}'_{|\mathbf{S}}, \mathcal{M}''_{|\mathbf{S}}$, let φ be a C^{∞} relative form of maximal degree on $Z \times S$ with compact support. We define the sesquilinear pairing ${}^{\mathscr{F}}C: {}^{\mathscr{H}}\!M'_{|S} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_S} {}^{\mathscr{H}}\!M''_{|S} \to \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{b}_{Z \times S/S}$ by the following formula: ms of $\mathcal{M}_{|S}^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}_{|S}^{\prime\prime}$, let
compact support.
 $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}_{Z\times S/S}$ by the f
 ϕ , φ) := $\sum \langle \widetilde{C}(m'_i) \rangle$

$$
\langle ^{\mathscr{F}}\!C(m',\overline{m''}),\varphi\rangle := \sum_{i,j}\Big\langle \widetilde{C}(m'_i,\overline{m''_j}),\int_p e^{z\overline{t\tau}-t\tau/z} \phi_i\overline{\psi_j}\varphi\Big\rangle.
$$

This is meaningful, as, for any $z \in S$, the expression $z\overline{t\tau} - t\tau/z$ is purely imaginary, so the integral is a (partial) Fourier transform of a function having compact support with respect to τ , hence defines a func imaginary, so the integral is a (partial) Fourier transform of a function having compact support with respect to τ , hence defines a function having rapid decay $'_{i}, m''_{j}$), which is *a priori* a distribution on $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times S$, tempered in the *t*-direction and continuous with respect to z. $\mathbf{S},$ temp $:$ direct $\widehat{C} = \widehat{p}_\dagger^0$

We can now define, using the direct image defined in [4, § 1.6.d],

$$
\widehat{C} = \widehat{p}_{\dagger}^{0,\mathscr{F}} C.
$$

§ **4. Partial Laplace Transform and Specialization**

Denote by i_{∞} the inclusion $Y \times {\{\infty\}} \hookrightarrow X$. We will consider the functors $\psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ and $\psi_{t',\alpha}$, as well as the functors $\Psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ and $\Psi_{t',\alpha}$ of Definition 3.4.3 in [4]. We denote by N_{τ} , $N_{t'}$ the natural nilpotent endomorphisms on the corresponding nearby cycles modules. We denote by $M_{\bullet}(N)$ the monodromy filtration of the nilpotent endomorphism N and by $gr N : gr_M^M \to gr_{-2}^M$ the morphism
induced by N Γ_{E} for $\ell > 0$, $R = M$ denotes the minimizes gr_M^M besonance $\text{Im}(m N)^{\ell+1}$ induced by N. For $\ell \ge 0$, $P \text{ gr}_{\ell}^M$ denotes the primitive part ker(gr N)^{$\ell+1$} of gr_{ℓ}^M and PM_{ℓ} the inverse image of $P \text{ gr}_{\ell}^M$ by the natural projection $M_{\ell} \rightarrow$ gr_{ℓ}^M . Recall that, in an ab gr_{ℓ}^{M} and PM_{ℓ} the inverse image of $P gr_{\ell}^{M}$ by the natural projection $M_{\ell} \rightarrow gr_{\ell}^{M}$. Recall that, in an abelian category, the primitive part $P gr_{\ell}^{M}$ is equal to ker $N/(ker N \cap Im N)$. We will also denote by gr_{ℓ}^M . Recall that, in an abelian category, the primitive part $P gr_0^M$ is equal to \mathbb{R}^N .

Given a finite set of points with multiplicities in Ω_0 , we will consider the corresponding divisor D and the corresponding sheaf $\mathscr{O}_{\Omega_0}(-D)$. Given a \mathscr{R} module *N*, we will put as usual $\mathcal{N}(-D) = \mathcal{O}_{\Omega_0}(-D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\Omega_0}} \mathcal{N}$.

Proposition 4.1 (*cf.* [4, Prop. A.3.1])**.** *Assume that M is strictly specializable and regular along* $t' = 0$. Then,

(i) *for any* $\tau_o \neq 0$, *the* $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ *-module* $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o/z}$ *is* $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ *-coherent*; *it is also* strictly specializable (but not regular in general) along $t' = 0$, with a con*stant* $\tau_o \neq 0$, *the* $\Re x$ -module $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o/z}$ *is* $\Re x$ -coherent; *i strictly specializable* (*but not regular in general*) *along* $t' = 0$, *with stant V*-filtration, *so that all* ψ_{t',α *strictly specializable (our not regular in general)* diong $t = 0$, with a constant *V*-filtration, so that all $\psi_{t',\alpha}(\tilde{M} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o/z})$ are identically 0.
Assume moreover that M is strict. Then,
(ii) the $\mathcal{R$

Assume moreover that M is strict. Then,

- *along* $\tau = \tau_o$ *for any* $\tau_o \in \mathbb{A}^1$; *it is equal to the minimal extension of its*
along $\tau = \tau_o$ *for any* $\tau_o \in \mathbb{A}^1$; *it is equal to the minimal extension of its localization along* $\tau = 0$;
- (iii) *if* $\tau_o \neq 0$, *the V*-filtration of \mathscr{H} along $\tau \tau_o = 0$ *is given by*

$$
V_k \mathscr{H} = \begin{cases} \mathscr{H} & \text{if } k \geq -1, \\ (\tau - \tau_o)^{-k+1} \mathscr{H} & \text{if } k \leq -1; \end{cases}
$$

we have

$$
\psi_{\tau-\tau_o,\alpha} \mathscr{H} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \notin -\mathbb{N} - 1, \\ \mathscr{M} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o/z} & \text{if } \alpha \in -\mathbb{N} - 1. \end{cases}
$$

- (iv) If $\tau_o = 0$, we have:
	- (a) *for any* $\alpha \neq -1$ *with* $\text{Re }\alpha \in [-1,0[$, *a functorial isomorphism on some neighborhood of* $\mathbf{D} := \{ |z| \leq 1 \},\$ $\psi_{t',\alpha}$ *M*(−D_α)_|**D**, N_t

$$
(\Psi_{\tau,\alpha}\tilde{\mathscr{M}}_{|\mathbf{D}},\mathrm{N}_{\tau}) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} i_{\infty,+}(\psi_{t',\alpha}\tilde{\mathscr{M}}(-D_{\alpha})_{|\mathbf{D}},\mathrm{N}_{t'}),
$$

where D_{α} *is the divisor* $1 \cdot i$ *if* $\alpha' = -1$ *and* $\alpha'' > 0$ *, the divisor* $1 \cdot (-i)$ *if* $\alpha' = -1$ *and* $\alpha'' < 0$ *, and the empty divisor otherwise*; u^{μ} *a* > 0, *t*
divisor other
 $\psi_{t',-1}\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}, \mathrm{N}_{t'}$

(b) *for* $\alpha = 0$, *a functorial isomorphism*

$$
(\psi_{\tau,0} \mathscr{H}, \mathcal{N}_{\tau}) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} i_{\infty,+} (\psi_{t',-1} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}, \mathcal{N}_{t'}),
$$

(c) *for* $\alpha = -1$, *two functorial exact sequences*

$$
(\psi_{\tau,0} \mathcal{M}, N_{\tau}) \xrightarrow{\sim} i_{\infty,+} (\psi_{t',-1} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}, N_{t'}),
$$

\n-1, two functional exact sequences
\n
$$
0 \longrightarrow i_{\infty,+} \ker N_{t'} \longrightarrow \ker N_{\tau} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\min} \longrightarrow 0
$$

\n
$$
0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\min} \longrightarrow \text{coker } N_{\tau} \longrightarrow i_{\infty,+} \text{coker } N_{t'} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} \textit{inducing isomorphisms}\\ i_{\infty,+}\ker\mathrm{N}_{t'} &\stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \ker\mathrm{N}_{\tau}\cap \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{N}_{\tau}\subset \ker\mathrm{N}_{\tau}\\ \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{\mathrm{min}} &\stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \ker\mathrm{N}_{\tau}/(\ker\mathrm{N}_{\tau}\cap \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{N}_{\tau})\subset \mathrm{coker}\,\mathrm{N}_{\tau}, \end{aligned}
$$

such that the natural morphism ker $N_\tau \to \text{coker } N_\tau$ *induces the identity* $\begin{aligned} \textit{such that} \ \textit{on} \ \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\min}. \end{aligned}$

Proof of 4.1(i). Let us first prove the $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$ -coherence of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}} \otimes \mathscr{E}^{-t\tau_o/z}$ when $\tau_o \neq 0$. As this $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module is $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ [*∞]-coherent by construction, it is enough
to prove that it is locally finitely generated over $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$, and the only problem is
at $t' = 0$. We also work l to prove that it is locally finitely generated over $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$, and the only problem is at $t' = 0$. We also work locally near $z_o \in \Omega_0$ and forget the exponent (z_o) in the *V*-filtration along $t' = 0$. Then, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[1/t'] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} V_{<0} \mathcal{M}$, equipped with its natural $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$ -structure. By the regularity assumption, $V_{\leq 0}\mathscr{M}$ is $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathbb{A}^1}$ coherent, so we can choose finitely many $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathbb{A}^1}$ -generators m_i of $V_{< 0}\mathscr{M}$.

The regularity assumption implies that, for any i ,

$$
t'\eth_{t'}m_i\in\sum_j\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathbb{A}^1}\cdot m_j.
$$

In $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o/z}$, using (3.3), this is written as

(4.2)
$$
(t'\eth_{t'}-\tau_0/t')(m_i\otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o/z})\in \sum_j \mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathbb{A}^1}\cdot (m_j\otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o/z}),
$$

and therefore

$$
(\tau_o/t')(m_i \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o/z}) \in \sum_j V_0 \mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}} \cdot (m_j \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o/z}).
$$

It follows that $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}} \otimes \mathscr{E}^{-t\tau_o/z}$ is $V_0 \mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$ -coherent, generated by the $m_i \otimes \mathscr{E}^{-t\tau_o/z}$. It is then obviously $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$ -coherent. The previous relation also implies that It follows that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o/z}$ is $V_0 \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -coherent, generated by the $m_i \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o/z}$.
It is then obviously $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -coherent. The previous relation also implies that $\tau_o(m_i \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o$ by $V_a(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o/z}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau_o/z}$ for any a, is good and has a Bernstein polynomial equal to 1.

Proof of 4.1(ii) for $\tau_o \neq 0$ **and 4.1(iii).** The analogue of Formula (4.2) now reads

$$
(t'\eth_{t'} + \tau \eth_{\tau})(m_i \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) \in \sum_j \mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathbb{A}^1} \cdot (m_j \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}).
$$

Therefore, the $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{Z}/\widehat{\mathbb{A}}^1}$ -module generated by the $m_j \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}$ is $V_0 \mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{Z}}$ -coherent, where V denotes the filtration relative to $\tau - \tau_o$. It is even $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{Z}}$ -coherent if $\tau_o \neq 0$, as τ is a unit near τ_o , and this easily gives 4.1(iii), therefore also 4.1(ii) when $\tau_o \neq 0$.

Proof of 4.1(ii) for $\tau_o = 0$ **.** Let us now consider the case where $\tau_o = 0$. Then the previous argument gives the regularity of \mathscr{H} along $\tau = 0$. We will now show the strict specializability along $\tau = 0$. We will work near $z_o \in \Omega_0$ and forget the exponent (z_o) in the V-filtrations relative to $\tau = 0$ and to $t' = 0$.

Away from $t' = 0$ the result is easy: near $t = t_o$, Formula (3.2), together with the strictness of \mathcal{M} , implies that \mathcal{H} is strictly noncharacteristic along $\tau = 0$, hence $\mathscr{H} = V_{-1}\mathscr{H}$ and $\psi_{\tau,-1}\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{M}$ (*cf.* [4, § 3.7]).

We will now focus on $t' = 0$. Denote by $V_{\bullet} \mathscr{M}$ the V-filtration of \mathscr{M} relative to t' and put, for any $a \in [-1, 0],$ v_{-1} *m* and
 $v_{\text{cous on}} t' =$
 $\text{any } a \in [-1]$
 V_{a+k} *M* = t

$$
V_{a+k}\widetilde{\mathscr{M}} = t'^{-k}V_{a}\mathscr{M} \ (= V_{a+k}\mathscr{M} \text{ if } k \leq 0).
$$

to t' and put, for any $a \in [-1, 0],$
 $V_{a+k}\mathscr{M} = t'^{-k}V_a\mathscr{M} (= V_{a+k}\mathscr{M} \text{ if } k \leq 0).$

Each $V_a\mathscr{M}$ is a $V_0\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$ -coherent module and, by regularity, is also $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathbb{A}^1}$ coherent. We will now construct the *V*-filtration of \mathscr{U} along $\tau = 0$. For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, put For extend the *V*-filtration of \mathscr{H}
 $\mathscr{H} = \sum \mathfrak{d}_{t'}^p \left[(p^* V_a \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} \right]$

$$
U_a \mathscr{H} = \sum_{p \geqslant 0} \mathfrak{d}_{t'}^p \big[(p^* V_a \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} \big],
$$

 $U_a \mathscr{H} = \sum_{p\geqslant 0} \mathfrak{d}_{t'}^p \big[(p^* V_a \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} \big],$
i.e., U_a is the $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{L}/\hat{\mathbb{A}}^1}$ -module generated by $(p^* V_a \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}$ in \mathscr{H} . Notice that, when we restrict to $t' \neq 0$, we have for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
U_{a|t'\neq 0} = \mathscr{H}_{|t'\neq 0}.
$$

- (ii)(1) Clearly, U_{\bullet} is an increasing filtration of \mathscr{H} and each U_a is $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{Z}/\hat{A}^1}$ coherent for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
- by (3.3):

(ii)(1) Clearly,
$$
U_{\bullet}
$$
 is an increasing filtration of \mathcal{H} and each U_a is $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Z}/\hat{A}^1}$
coherent for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
(ii)(2) U_a is stable by $\tau \mathfrak{d}_{\tau}$: indeed, for any local section m of $V_a \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, we have
by (3.3):

$$
(\tau \mathfrak{d}_{\tau}) \mathfrak{d}_{t'}^p (m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) = \mathfrak{d}_{t'}^p (\tau \mathfrak{d}_{\tau}) (m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z})
$$

$$
= \mathfrak{d}_{t'}^p [t' \mathfrak{d}_{t'} (m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) - (t' \mathfrak{d}_{t'} m) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}]
$$

$$
= \mathfrak{d}_{t'}^{p+1} (t' m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) - \mathfrak{d}_{t'}^p [(\mathfrak{d}_{t'} t' m) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}].
$$

The first term in the RHS is in U_{a-1} and the second one is in U_a , as The first term in the $V_a \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}$ is stable by $\mathfrak{d}_{t'} t'$ '.

(ii)(3) For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $U_{a+1} = U_a + \mathfrak{d}_\tau U_a$: indeed, for m as above, we have *L*_a: indeed, f
 $\frac{1}{\tau}m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}$

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{\tau} \cdot \mathfrak{F}_{t'}^p(m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) = -\mathfrak{F}_{t'}^p\left(\frac{1}{t'}m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}\right) \in U_{a+1},
$$

hence $\mathfrak{d}_{\tau}U_a \subset U_{a+1}$; applying this equality the in the other way gives the desired equality. This also shows that $\mathfrak{d}_{\tau}: \operatorname{gr}^U_a \mathcal{H} \to \operatorname{gr}^U_{a+1} \mathcal{H}$ is an isomorphism for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

(ii)(4) For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\tau U_a \subset U_{a-1}$: indeed, one has, for m as above

$$
\tau(m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) = t^{\prime 2} \mathfrak{F}_{t'}(m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) - (t^{\prime 2} \mathfrak{F}_{t'} m) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}
$$

$$
= \mathfrak{F}_{t'}(t^{\prime 2} m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) - (\mathfrak{F}_{t'}t^{\prime 2} m) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z};
$$

the first term of the RHS clearly belongs to U_{a-2} and the second one to U_{a-1} . the first term of the RHS clearly belongs to U_{a-2} and the second one to U_{a-1} .
(ii)(5) Denote by $b_a(s)$ the minimal polynomial of $-\partial_{t'}t'$ on $gr_a^V \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}$. Then, for

m as above, we have

$$
-(\eth_{t'}t' + \tau \eth_{\tau})(m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) = -(\eth_{t'}t'm) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}
$$

after (3.3). Therefore, we have $b_a(-[\partial_{t'}t' + \tau \partial_{\tau}])$ $(m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) \in U_{\leq a}$. Using that $\mathfrak{F}_{t'}t'(m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) = \mathfrak{F}_{t'}(t'm \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) \in U_{a-1}$ by definition, we deduce that $b_a(-\tau \eth_\tau)(m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) \in U_{\leq a}$. Therefore, $b_a(-\tau \eth_\tau)U_a \subset$ $U_{\leq a}$. Using that $\partial_{t'} t'(m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/2}) = \partial_{t'} (t'm \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/2}) \in U_{a-1}$ by definition,
we deduce that $b_a(-\tau \partial_{\tau})(m \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/2}) \in U_{\leq a}$. Therefore, $b_a(-\tau \partial_{\tau})U_a \subset U_{\leq a}$.
(ii)(6) We will now identify $U_a/U_{\leq a}$ w

 η is a new variable. Notice first that both objects are supported on $\{t'=0\}$. Consider the map y $U_a/U_{\leq a}$ with

e. Notice first

the map
 $V_a \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}[\eta] \longrightarrow U_a$

ple. Notice first that both objects
\nr the map
\n
$$
V_a \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}[\eta] \longrightarrow U_a
$$
\n
$$
\sum_p m_p \eta^p \longmapsto \sum_p \widetilde{\sigma}_{t'}^p (m_p \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}).
$$

Its composition with the natural projection $U_a \rightarrow U_a/U_{\leq a}$ induces a $\sum_p m_p \eta^p \longmapsto \sum_p \tilde{\sigma}_{t'}^p (m_p \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}).$
Its composition with the natural projection $U_a \to U_a/U_{\leq a}$ induces a
surjective mapping $\operatorname{gr}_a^V \mathscr{M}[\eta] \to U_a/U_{\leq a}$. In order to show that it is Its composition with the natural project
surjective mapping $\operatorname{gr}_a^V \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}[\eta] \to U_a/U_{
injective, it is enough to show that, if $\sum$$ surjective mapping $g_1 a \mathcal{M}[\eta] \to \partial_a/\partial \zeta_a$. In order to show that it is
injective, it is enough to show that, if $\sum_p \delta_{t'}^p (m_p \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z})$ belongs to
 $U_{\leq a}$, then each m_p belongs to $V_{\leq a} \mathcal{M}$. For that Its composition with the natural projection $U_a \to U_a/U_{\leq a}$ induces a
surjective mapping $gr_a^V \mathcal{M}[\eta] \to U_a/U_{\leq a}$. In order to show that it is
injective, it is enough to show that, if $\sum_p \eth_{t'}^p (m_p \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z})$ belo to work with an algebraic version of U_a , where "p^{*"} means "⊗_CC[τ]". $\sum_{\ell=0}^r \tau^\ell (n_\ell)$ $U_{\leq a}$, then each m_p belongs to $V_{\leq a}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. For that purpose, it is enough
to work with an algebraic version of U_a , where " p^* " means " $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}[\tau]$ ".
Notice that, if a local section $\sum_{\ell=0}^r \tau$ (by using that $\mathfrak{d}_{t'}(n \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) = (\mathfrak{d}_{t'}n) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} - \tau((n/t'^2) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}).$ to work with an algebraic version
Notice that, if a local section $\sum_{\ell=1}^{r}$
belongs to U_a , then the leading coeff
(by using that $\partial_{t'}(n \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) = (\mathfrak{F}^2)$
Remark then that, using (3.3) , $\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}$ $\int_{p=0}^{q} \mathfrak{d}_{t'}^{p}(m_{p} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z})$ is a polynomial
officient $\mathfrak{d}_{t'-q}^{q}(t'^{2q})(m_{q} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z})$ of degree q in τ with leading coefficient $\pm(\tau^q/t'^{2q})(m_q \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z})$. If the sum belongs to $U_{\leq a}$, this implies that m_q/t' $\tau((n/t'^2) \otimes \varepsilon^{-t\tau/z}))$.
 $\tau^{t\tau/z})$ is a polynomial
 τ^{2q} ($m_q \otimes \varepsilon^{-t\tau/z}$). If
 $\tau^{2q} \in V_{\leq a+2q}$ *M*, *i.e.*, Remark then that, using (3.3), $\sum_{p=0}^{q} \frac{\partial^p}{\partial t'} (m_p \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z})$ is a polynomial
of degree q in τ with leading coefficient $\pm (\tau^q/t'^{2q})(m_q \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z})$. If
the sum belongs to $U_{\leq a}$, this implies that m_q from an energy displanal of degree q in τ with leading coefficie
the sum belongs to $U_{\leq a}$, this implies
 $m_q \in V_{\leq a} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}$. Therefore, by induction α
sections of $V_{\leq a} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}$, as was to be shown. the sum belongs to $U_{\leq a}$, this implies that $m_q/t'^{2q} \in V_{\leq a+2q}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, *i.e.*, $m_q \in V_{\leq a}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Therefore, by induction on q, all coefficients m_p are local sections of $V_{\leq a}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, as was to be show

the identification with $U_a/U_{\leq a}$. First, the $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{Y}}$ -module structure is the

MONODROMY AT INFINITY II **813**

natural one on gr^V_a *M*, naturally extended to gr^V_a *M*[*n*]. Then one checks that

that
\n(4.3)
$$
\mathfrak{F}_{t'} \sum_{p} m_p \eta^p = \eta \sum_{p} m_p \eta^p, \quad t' \sum_{p} m_p \eta^p = -\mathfrak{F}_{\eta} \sum_{p} m_p \eta^p,
$$
\n(4.4)
$$
\tau \mathfrak{F}_{\tau} \sum_{p} m_p \eta^p = \sum_{p} (\mathfrak{F}'_{t} t') (m_p) \eta^p.
$$

p p

If we denote by i_{∞} the inclusion $Y \times {\infty} \rightarrow X$, the $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$ -module (4.4) $\tau \mathfrak{F}_{\tau} \sum_{p} m_{p} \eta^{p} = \sum_{p} (\mathfrak{F}'_{t} t') (m_{p}) \eta^{p}.$
If we denote by i_{∞} the inclusion $Y \times {\infty} \rightarrow X$, the $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module $\operatorname{gr}_{a}^{V} \mathcal{M}[\eta]$ that these formulas define is nothing but $i_{\infty,+} \operatorname{gr}_{$ have obtained an isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules: inclusion

mulas defi

phism of .
 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$, $\overline{\partial}_{t'}$
 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$, $\partial_{t'}$

(4.5)
$$
(i_{\infty,+} \operatorname{gr}^V_a \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}, \eth_t \cdot t') \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} (\operatorname{gr}^U_a \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}, \tau \eth_\tau)
$$

(ii)(7) Consider the filtration $V_{\bullet}^{\mathscr{T}} \mathscr{M}$ defined for $a \in [-1,0[$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
V_{a+k} \mathscr{H} = \begin{cases} U_{a+1+k} & \text{if } k \geqslant 0, \\ \tau^{-k} U_{a+1} & \text{if } k \leqslant 0. \end{cases}
$$

This is a V-filtration relative to τ on \mathscr{H} , by (ii)(1), (ii)(2), (ii)(3) and (ii)(4). It is good, by the equality in (ii)(3) and because $\tau V_a^{\mathscr{F}} \mathscr{U} =$ V_{a-1} ^{*y*}*M* for $a < 0$ by definition. Notice that, for $a > -1$, we have $\mathrm{gr}_a^V \mathscr{H} = \mathrm{gr}_{a+1}^U \mathscr{H}.$

For $a > -1$, we can use (4.5) to get a minimal polynomial of the right form for $-\partial_{\tau}\tau$ acting on $gr_a^V \mathcal{H}$ (here is the need for a shift by 1 between $gr_a^V \mathcal{H} = gr_{a+1}^U \mathcal{H}$.
For $a > -1$, we can use (4.5) to get a minimal polynomial of the right
form for $-\mathfrak{F}_{\tau}\tau$ acting on $gr_a^V \mathcal{H}$ (here is the need for a shift by 1 between
U and *V*), and strictness foll which is by assumption.

It therefore remains to analyze $gr_a^V \mathcal{H}$ for $a \leq -1$.

(ii)(8) We will analyze $gr_{-1}^V \mathcal{H} = U_0 / \tau U_{\leq 1}$ through the following two diagrams $\frac{1}{2}$ of exact sequences, where the nonlabelled maps are the natural ones:

$$
(U_{<0} \cap \tau \mathcal{U})/\tau U_{<1}
$$
\n
$$
(4.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow U_{<0}/\tau U_{<1} \longrightarrow U_0/\tau U_{<1} \longrightarrow U_0/U_{<0} \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
U_{<0}/(U_{<0} \cap \tau \mathcal{U})
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow
$$
\n
$$
0
$$

and

$$
(4.7) \quad 0 \longrightarrow U_0/U_{<0} \xrightarrow{\tau \eth_\tau} U_0/\tau U_{<1} \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad} U_0/\tau U_1 \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad} 0
$$
\n
$$
U_{<0}/(\tau U_1 \longrightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad} U_0/\tau U_1 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
U_0/(\tau U_1 + U_{<0})
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow
$$
\n
$$
0
$$

Notice that, in (4.7), $\tau \eth_\tau$ is injective because it is the composition

(4.8)
$$
U_0/U_{<0} \xrightarrow{\eth_\tau} U_1/U_{<1} \xrightarrow{\tau} U_0/\tau U_{<1},
$$

 \mathfrak{d}_{τ} is an isomorphism $(cf. (ii)(3))$ and τ is injective, as it acts injectively on \mathscr{H} . Recall that $(\text{gr}^U_0 \mathscr{H}, \tau \eth_\tau)$ is identified, by (ii)(6), (4.0) $\mathcal{O}_0 / \mathcal{O}_{\leq 0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_1 / \mathcal{O}_{\leq 0}$
 \mathfrak{F}_{τ} is an isomorphism $(cf. (ii)(3))$

jectively on \mathcal{M} . Recall that $(gr_0^V$

with $i_{\infty,+}(gr_0^V \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}, \mathfrak{F}_{t'}t')$. Notice als). Notice also that $\tau \eth_\tau$ vanishes on $U_{\leq 0}/\tau U_{\leq 1}$ (resp. on $U_0/\tau U_1$), as $\partial_\tau U_{<0} \subset U_{<1}$ (resp. $\partial_\tau U_0 \subset U_1$). It remains therefore to prove the strictness of $U_{\leq 0}/\tau U_{\leq 1}$ to get the desired properties for $gr_{-1}^V \mathcal{H}$. We denote by $N_{t'}$ the action of $-t'\mathfrak{d}_{t'}$ on (resp. on $U_0/\tau U_1$), as $\mathfrak{d}_{\tau} U_{<0} \subset U_{<1}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{d}_{\tau} U_0 \subset U_1$)
therefore to prove the strictness of $U_{<0}/\tau U_{<1}$ to get
properties for $gr_{-1}^V \mathcal{M}$. We denote by $N_{t'}$ the action
 $gr_{-1}^V \mathcal$ ∂_t acting on therefore to prove the strictness of $U_{<0}$
properties for $\operatorname{gr}_{-1}^V \mathcal{M}$. We denote by N
 $\operatorname{gr}_{-1}^V \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ (by strictness, ker N_{t'} is equal to the
 $\psi_{t',-1} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \subset \operatorname{gr}_{-1}^V \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$). The strictn strictness of $i_{\infty,+}\psi_{t',-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, that of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\min}$ (defined in [4, Def. 3.4.7]) and *F M* follows then from the properties for $gr_{-1}^V \mathcal{M}$. We denote by $N_{t'}$ the action of $-t'\mathfrak{d}_{t'}$ on $gr_{-1}^V \mathcal{M}$ (by strictness, ker $N_{t'}$ is equal to the kernel of $-t'\mathfrak{d}_{t'}$ acting on $\psi_{t',-1} \mathcal{M} \subset gr_{-1}^V \mathcal{M}$. The strictness the first two lines of the lemma below, applied to the diagram (4.6).

Lemma 4.9. *We have functorial isomorphisms of R^X -modules*:

the first two lines of the lemma below, applied to the diagram
\n**Lemma 4.9.** We have functional isomorphisms of
$$
\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}\cdot n
$$

\n
$$
U_{<0}/(U_{<0} \cap \tau U_1) = U_{<0}/(U_{<0} \cap \tau^{\mathcal{Y}}\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\min}
$$
\n
$$
i_{\infty,+} \ker N_{t'} \xrightarrow{\sim} (U_{<0} \cap \tau^{\mathcal{Y}}\mathcal{M})/\tau U_{<1}
$$
\n
$$
i_{\infty,+} \operatorname{coker} N_{t'} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_0/(\tau U_1 + U_{<0}).
$$
\n*Proof.* For $m_0, \ldots, m_p \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, we can write

Proof. For
$$
m_0, ..., m_p \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}
$$
, we can write
\n(4.10) $m_0 \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} + \eth_{t'}(m_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}) + \cdots + \eth_{t'}^p(m_p \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z})$
\n $= n_0 \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} - \tau \Big[(n_1/t'^2) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} + \cdots + \eth_{t'}^{p-1} \big((n_p/t'^2) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} \big) \Big]$

$$
n_{p} = m_{p} \t m_{p-1} = m_{p-1} + \eth_{t'} m_{p} \t m_{p-1} = n_{p-1} - \eth_{t'} n_{p}
$$

(4.11)

$$
\vdots \t \vdots
$$

$$
n_{1} = m_{1} + \eth_{t'} m_{2} + \cdots + \eth_{t'}^{p-1} m_{p} \t m_{1} = n_{1} - \eth_{t'} n_{2}
$$

$$
n_{0} = m_{0} + \eth_{t'} m_{1} + \cdots + \eth_{t'}^{p} m_{p} \t m_{0} = n_{0} - \eth_{t'} n_{1}
$$

Sending an element to its constant term in its τ expansion gives an $m_0 = m_0 + \eth_{t'} m_1 + \cdots + \eth_{t'}^p m_p \qquad m_0 = n_0 - \eth_{t'} n_1$

Sending an element to its constant term in its τ expansion gives an

injective morphism $U_{<0}/(U_{<0} \cap \mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{M}$. Formulas (4.10) and (4.11) show that the image of this morphism is the $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$ -submodule of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}$ generated by $V_{\leq 0}$ $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$: this is by definition the minimal extension of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ $\arccos t' = 0.$

Let us show that

with

$$
(4.12) \t\t\t U_{<0} \cap \tau U_1 = U_{<0} \cap \tau \mathcal{U}.
$$

Consider a local section of $U_{\leq 0} \cap \tau^{\mathscr{G}} \mathscr{M}$, written as in (4.10); it satisfies (4.12) $U_{<0} \cap \tau U_1 = U_{<0} \cap \tau^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{U}$.
Consider a local section of $U_{<0} \cap \tau^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{U}$, written as in (4.10); it satisfies
thus $m_0, \ldots, m_p \in V_{<0} \mathcal{M}$ and $n_0 = 0$; then $\partial_t n_1 = -m_0 \in V_{<0} \mathcal{M}$ (4.12) $U_{\leq 0} \cap \tau U_1 = U_{\leq 0} \cap \tau \mathcal{U}$.
Consider a local section of $U_{\leq 0} \cap \tau \mathcal{U}$, written as in (4.10); it satisfies
thus $m_0, \ldots, m_p \in V_{\leq 0} \mathcal{M}$ and $n_0 = 0$; then $\eth_{t'} n_1 = -m_0 \in V_{\leq 0} \mathcal{M}$.
This on n_1 is equivalent to t' of $U_{\leq 0} \cap \tau^{\mathscr{U}}$, written as in (4.10); it satisfies
 $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}$ and $n_0 = 0$; then $\eth_{t'} n_1 = -m_0 \in V_{\leq 0} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}$.

a local section of $V_{-1} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}$: indeed, the condition
 $\eth_{t'} n_1 \in V_{\leq -1} \widetilde{\mathscr$ thus $m_0, \ldots, m_p \in V_{<0}$ and $n_0 = 0$; then $\mathfrak{F}_{t'} n_1 = -m_0 \in V_{<0}$.

This implies that n_1 is a local section of V_{-1} and W_{-1} indeed, the condition

on n_1 is equivalent to $t'\mathfrak{F}_{t'} n_1 \in V_{< -1}$ are This implies that n_1 is a local section of $V_{-1}\mathcal{M}$: indeed, the condition
on n_1 is equivalent to $t'\mathfrak{d}_{t'}n_1 \in V_{<-1}\mathcal{M}$; use then that, by strictness of
 $gr_a^V \mathcal{M}$, $t'\mathfrak{d}_{t'}$ acts injectively on gr_a^V hence (4.12), and the first line of the lemma. Notice moreover that the class of each n_j in $gr_{-1}^V \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is in ker $N_{t'}$. ectively on $\operatorname{gr}_a^V \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$
now assume that *n*
 $\lim_{t \to 1} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is in ker $N_{t'}$.

Let η be a new variable. We define a morphism

$$
\ker \mathrm{N}_{t'}[\eta] \longrightarrow U_{<0}/\tau U_{<1}
$$

by the rule

$$
ker N_{t'}[\eta] \longrightarrow U_{<0}/\tau U_{<1}
$$
\nby the rule

\n
$$
(4.13)
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{j\geqslant 1} [n_j]\eta^{j-1} \longmapsto -\tau \Big[(n_1/t^2) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} + \dots + \mathfrak{F}_{t'}^{p-1} \big((n_p/t^2) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} \big) \Big],
$$
\nby taking some lifting n_j of each $[n_j] \in \ker N_{t'} \subset \operatorname{gr}_{-1}^V \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ in $V_{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

\n• This morphism is well defined: using (4.10), write

\n
$$
-\tau \mathfrak{F}_{t'}^{j-1} \big((n_j/t'^2) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} \big) = \mathfrak{F}_{t'}^j \big(n_j \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} \big) - \mathfrak{F}_{t'}^{j-1} \big((\mathfrak{F}_{t'} n_j) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} \big);
$$

• This morphism is well defined: using (4.10), write

$$
V \text{ taking some lifting } n_j \text{ of each } [n_j] \in \ker \mathbb{N}_{t'} \subset \operatorname{gr}_{-1}^{\prime} \mathcal{M} \text{ in } V_{-1} \mathcal{M}.
$$
\n• This morphism is well defined: using (4.10), write\n
$$
-\tau \eth_{t'}^{j-1}\big((n_j/t'^2) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} \big) = \eth_{t'}^j \big(n_j \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} \big) - \eth_{t'}^{j-1} \big((\eth_{t'} n_j) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z} \big);
$$

that $[n_j]$ belongs to ker $N_{t'}$ is equivalent to t' $\eth_t n_j \in V_{\lt -1}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$; there-CLAUDE SABBAH
fore, both $[n_j]$ belongs to ker $N_{t'}$ is equivalent to $t'\overline{\partial}_{t'}n_j \in V_{<-1}\mathscr{M}$; there-
fore, both n_j and $\overline{\partial}_{t'}n_j$ belong to $V_{<0}\mathscr{M}$; moreover, if $n_j \in V_{<-1}\mathscr{M}$, so that $n_j/t'^2 \in V_{\leq 1} \mathcal{M}$, the image is in $\tau U_{\leq 1}$. g in the N_{t'} is equivalent to $t'\mathfrak{d}_{t'}$
and $\mathfrak{d}_{t'}n_j$ belong to $V_{<0}\mathscr{M}$; more
 $t^2 \in V_{<1}\mathscr{M}$, the image is in $\tau U_{<1}\mathscr{M}$.

- This morphism is injective: as we have seen in $(ii)(6)$, the term between brackets in (4.13) belongs to $U_{\leq 1}$ if and only if each n_j/t'^2 so that $n_j/t'^2 \in V_{<1}\mathcal{M}$, the image is in $\tau U_{<1}$.
This morphism is injective: as we have seen
between brackets in (4.13) belongs to $U_{<1}$ if an
belongs to $V_{<1}\mathcal{M}$, *i.e.*, each n_j is in $V_{<1}\mathcal{M$
- The image of this morphism is equal to $(U_{\leq 0} \cap \tau \mathcal{H})/\tau U_{\leq 1}$: this was shown in the proof of (4.12).

As in (ii)(6), we can identify ker $N_{t'}[\eta]$ with $i_{\infty,+}$ ker $N_{t'}$ and the morphism is seen to be $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -linear.

Let us now consider the third line of the lemma. We identify $U_0/(\tau U_1 +$ $U_{\leq 0}$) with the cokernel of $\tau : \operatorname{gr}^U_1 \to \operatorname{gr}^U_0$ or, equivalently, to that of $\tau \delta_{\tau} : \text{gr}^U_0 \to \text{gr}^U_0$. By (ii)(6), it is identified with $i_{\infty,+}$ coker $\delta_{t'} t'$ acting Let us now consider the third line of the lemm
 $U_{<0}$) with the cokernel of $\tau : \text{gr}_1^U \to \text{gr}_0^U$ or
 $\tau \eth_\tau : \text{gr}_0^V \to \text{gr}_0^U$. By (ii)(6), it is identified w

on $i_{\infty,+} \text{ gr}_0^V \mathcal{M}$. Use now the isomorphism a. We identify $U_0/(\tau U_1 + \tau)$, equivalently, to that of
ith $i_{\infty,+}$ coker $\partial_t \cdot t'$ acting
' : $gr_0^V \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \to gr_{-1}^V \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ to conclude.

(ii)(9) We will now prove that all the $gr_a^V \mathcal{H}$ for $a \leq -1$ are strict and have a Bernstein polynomial. In (ii)(8) we have proved this for $a = -1$.

Choose $a < -1$. It follows from the definition of $V_{\bullet}^{\mathscr{F}}M$ that

(4.14)
$$
\tau : \operatorname{gr}_{a+1}^V \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_a^V \mathscr{M}
$$

is onto. Therefore, by decreasing induction on α and using (ii)(7), we have a Bernstein relation on each $gr_a^V \mathcal{H}$. It remains to prove the strictness of such a module. This is also done by decreasing induction on a, as it is now known to be true for any $a \in [-1,0]$. It is enough to show that (4.14) is also injective for any $a < -1$, and it is also enough to show that

$$
\eth_{\tau}\tau:\mathrm{gr}_{a+1}^V\mathscr{H}\longrightarrow\mathrm{gr}_{a+1}^V\mathscr{H}.
$$

is injective. If a section m satisfies $\partial_{\tau} \tau m = 0$ then, according to the Bernstein relation that we previously proved, it also satisfies $\prod_{i} (\alpha * z)^{\nu_{\alpha}} m = 0$, where the product is taken on a set of $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\ell_{z_o}(\alpha) = a + 1 < 0$ and $\nu_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Such a set does not contain 0 and the is injective. It a section m satisfies $\sigma_{\tau} \tau m = 0$ then, according the Bernstein relation that we previously proved, it also $\prod_{z} (\alpha \star z)^{\nu_{\alpha}} m = 0$, where the product is taken on a set of $\alpha \in \ell_{z_o}(\alpha) = a + 1 < 0$ and $_{a+1}^V$ *FM* is strict. Therefore, $m = 0$, hence the injectivity.

(ii)(10) By construction, the filtration $V_{\bullet}^{\mathscr{F}} \mathscr{M}$ satisfies moreover that

• $\tau : \operatorname{gr}_a^V \mathscr{H} \to \operatorname{gr}_{a-1}^V \mathscr{H}$ is onto for any $a < 0$, $a-1$ • $\mathfrak{d}_{\tau} : \text{gr}_a^V \mathcal{H} \to \text{gr}_{a+1}^V \mathcal{H}$ is onto for any $a \geq -1$.

This implies that all the conditions for strict specializability (*cf.* [4, Def. 3.3.8]) are satisfied, and that moreover the morphism can_{τ} introduced in [4, Rem. 3.3.6(6)] is *onto*. Notice also that the morphism var_{τ} is injective: indeed, this means that $\tau : \mathrm{gr}^V_0 \mathcal{U} \to \mathrm{gr}^V_{-1} \mathcal{U}$ is injective, or equivalently that $\tau: U_1/U_{\leq 1} \to U_0/\tau U_{\leq 1}$ is injective, which has been seen after (4.8) .

In other words, we have shown that \mathscr{H} is strictly specializable along $\tau = 0$ and that it is equal to the *minimal extension* of its localization along $\tau = 0$, as defined in [4, § 3.4.b].

Proof of 4.1(iv). Now that \mathcal{H} is known to be strictly specializable along $\tau = 0$, the $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$ -modules $\psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{H}$ (*cf.* Lemma 3.3.4 in *loc. cit.*) are along $\tau = 0$, as defined in [4, § 3.4.b].
Proof of 4.1(iv). Now that \mathcal{H} is known to be along $\tau = 0$, the $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules $\psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathcal{H}$ (*cf.* Lemma defined. We can compare them with $i_{\infty,+}\psi_{t',\alpha}\mathcal{H$

(iv)(1) For any $z_o \in \Omega_0$, we have a natural morphism, defined locally near z_o (putting $a = \ell_{z_o}(\alpha)$)

(putting
$$
a = \ell_{z_o}(\alpha)
$$
)

\n(4.15)

\n
$$
\psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \text{gr}^V_a \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \text{gr}^U_{a+1} \mathcal{M}
$$
\n
$$
\longrightarrow i_{\infty,+} \text{gr}^V_{a+1} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\qquad i_{\infty,+} t'} i_{\infty,+} \text{gr}^V_a \widetilde{\mathcal{M}},
$$
\nwhich takes values in $i_{\infty,+} \psi_{t',\alpha} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. One verifies that the various

morphisms glue together in a well defined morphism $\psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ $\mathscr{H} \rightarrow$ which takes
morphisms $\iota_{\infty,+}\psi_{t',\alpha}\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}$.

Lemma 4.16. *Near any* $z_o \in \mathbf{D}$, *the natural morphism* $\psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{H} \to$ gr_{a+1}^U *M* $(a = \ell_{z_o}(\alpha))$ *is injective for any* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}^*)$ *and, if* $a \ge -1$ *,* $a+1$ _g $\psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ F **ma 4.16.** Near any $z_o \in \mathbf{D}$, the natural m
 \mathcal{M} ($a = \ell_{z_o}(\alpha)$) is injective for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\mathcal{M} \to i_{\infty,+} \psi_{t',\alpha} \mathcal{M}$ is an isomorphism near z_o .

Proof. If $a > -1$, this has been proved in (4.5). Assume that $a = -1$ (and $\alpha \notin -\mathbb{N}^*$). If we decompose the horizontal sequence (4.6) with respect to the eigenvalues of $-\tau \tilde{\sigma}_{\tau}$, we get that, for any $\alpha \neq -1$ with $\ell_{z_0}(\alpha) = -1$, the natural morphism

$$
\psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{H} \longrightarrow U_0/U_{<0}
$$

is an isomorphism onto $(U_0/U_{<0})_{\alpha+1}$ and, according to (4.5), we have
an isomorphism
 $\psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{H} \xrightarrow{\sim} i_{\infty,+} \psi_{t',\alpha+1} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}} \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad i_{\infty,+} \psi_{t',\alpha} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}} \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad i_{\infty,+} \psi_{t',\alpha} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}} \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad i_{\infty,+$ an isomorphism

$$
\psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{H} \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} i_{\infty,+} \psi_{t',\alpha+1} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}} \stackrel{i_{\infty,+}t'}{\longrightarrow} i_{\infty,+} \psi_{t',\alpha} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}.
$$

Assume now that $a < -1$. Let $k \geqslant 0$ be such that $b = a + k \in [-1, 0]$. We prove the result by induction on k, knowing that it is true for $k = 0$. By induction, we have a commutative diagram

showing that the lower horizontal arrow is injective if and only if $\mathfrak{d}_{\tau}\tau$ is injective on $\psi_{\tau,\alpha+1}$ *M*, which follows from strictness if $(\alpha+1) \star z \neq 0$, that is, if $\alpha \neq -1$. П

- (iv)(2) Proof of 4.1(ivb). When $\alpha = 0$, the proof follows from Lemma 4.16.
- (iv)(3) Assume now that $\alpha \neq -1$ satisfies $\text{Re}\,\alpha \in [-1,0]$. We wish to show that (4.15) induces an isomorphism Assume now that $\alpha \neq -1$ satisfies Re $\alpha \in [-1, 0]$.
that (4.15) induces an isomorphism
(4.17) $\psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{|\mathbf{D}} \xrightarrow{\sim} i_{\infty,+} \psi_{t',\alpha} \mathcal{M}(-D_{\alpha})_{|\mathbf{D}}$.

(4.17)
$$
\psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{H}_{|\mathbf{D}} \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} i_{\infty,+} \psi_{t',\alpha} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}(-D_{\alpha})_{|\mathbf{D}}
$$

This is a local question with respect to $z \in D$.

Clearly, the image of $\psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{H} \to \text{gr}_{a+1}^U \mathscr{H}$ is contained in $\ker[(\partial_\tau \tau + \alpha \star z)^N : \operatorname{gr}_{a+1}^U \mathcal{M} \to \operatorname{gr}_{a+1}^U \mathcal{M}],$ for $N \gg 0$ and is equal to this submodule if $a \ge -1$.

If $a < -1$ and if $k \geqslant 1$ is such that $a+k \in [-1,0[$, the image is identified with If $a < -1$ and if $k \ge 1$ is such that $a + k \in [-1, 0]$, the image is identified
with
 $\text{Im}(\tau^k \eth^k_\tau) : \text{ker}(\eth_\tau \tau + \alpha \star z)^N \longrightarrow \text{ker}(\eth_\tau \tau + \alpha \star z)^N$,
and it is identified with the image of the multiplication by $\prod_{j=1}^k (\alpha + j) \star$

$$
\operatorname{Im}(\tau^k\eth^k_\tau): \ker(\eth_\tau\tau + \alpha \star z)^N \longrightarrow \ker(\eth_\tau\tau + \alpha \star z)^N,
$$

on this module. For $j = 1, ..., k$, the number $\beta = \alpha + j$ satisfies $\text{Re } \beta \geqslant 0, \ \beta \neq 0 \text{ and } \ell_{z_0}(\beta) < 0. \text{ Then } \beta \star z = 0 \text{ has a solution } z \text{ in } \mathbf{D}$ iff Re $\beta = 0$, and this solution is $z = \pm i$. This occurs iff Re $\alpha = -1$ and in this module. For $j = 1,...,k$, the number $\beta = \alpha + j$ satisfies
 $\text{Re } \beta \ge 0$, $\beta \ne 0$ and $\ell_{z_o}(\beta) < 0$. Then $\beta \star z = 0$ has a solution z in **D**

iff $\text{Re } \beta = 0$, and this solution is $z = \pm i$. This occurs iff $\text{Re } \$ $\text{Re } \beta \ge 0$, $\beta \ne 0$ and $\ell_{z_o}(\beta) < 0$. Then $\beta \star z = 0$ has a solution z in **D**
iff $\text{Re } \beta = 0$, and this solution is $z = \pm i$. This occurs iff $\text{Re } \alpha = -1$ and
 $j = 1$. In conclusion, the image of $\psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ *M*_|**D** we assume that $\ell_{z_0}(\alpha) < -1$, the divisor of $z \mapsto (\alpha + 1) \star z$ coincides, near z_o , with the divisor D_α , hence (4.17).

(iv)(4) We now show that there is no difference between $\psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathcal{H}$ and $\Psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathcal{H}$ on some neighborhood of **D**.

Lemma 4.18. *Assume that* $\alpha \neq -1$ *and* $\alpha' := \text{Re } \alpha \in [-1, 0[$ *. Then the natural inclusion* $\psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{D}} \hookrightarrow \Psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{D}}$ *is an isomorphism.*

Note that the existence of an inclusion is proved in [4, Lemma 3.4.2(1)].

Proof. The question is local near points $z \in \mathbf{D}$ such that $\ell_z(\alpha) \geq 0$, otherwise the result follows from Lemma 3.4.1 in *loc. cit.* Fix z_o such that $\ell_{z_o}(\alpha) \geq 0$ and let $k \geq 1$ be such that $\ell_{z_o}(\alpha - k) \in [-1, 0]$. We have a commutative diagram

and, as $a := \ell_{z_o}(\alpha)$ and $a - k$ are $\geqslant -1$ and $\alpha \neq -1$, $\psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ *M* $(\text{resp. } \psi_{\tau,\alpha-k} \mathscr{H})$ is contained in $\text{gr}_{a+1}^U \mathscr{H}$ (resp. in $\text{gr}_{a+1-k}^U \mathscr{H}$), $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\mu_{\tau,\alpha-k} \mathcal{M}}{\det(\epsilon)}$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}_{a+1} \mathcal{M}$ (resp. in $\mathcal{G}_{a+1-k} \mathcal{M}$),
using the local filtration U near z_o . It follows $(cf. (ii)(3))$ that \eth^k_τ : $\psi_{\tau,\alpha-k}$ *M* $\to \psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ *M* is an isomorphism. Therefore, the image of $\psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ \mathscr{H} in $\Psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ \mathscr{H} is identified with the image of $\mathfrak{d}^{k}_{\tau}\tau^{k}$ acting on $\Psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ *<i>M*. Using the nilpotent endomorphism $N_{\tau} = -(\mathfrak{d}_{\tau}\tau + \alpha \star z)$, we write $\partial_{\tau}^{k} \tau^{k}$ as $(-1)^{k}(\mathbf{N}_{\tau} + \alpha \star z) \cdots (\mathbf{N}_{\tau} + (\alpha - k + 1) \star z)$. The proof of the lemma will be complete if we show that none of the $(\alpha - j) \star z_o$ $(j = 0, \ldots, k - 1)$ vanishes (assuming that $z_o \in \mathbf{D}$).

Notice that $\beta := \alpha - j$ satisfies $\beta' < 0$ and $\beta' - \zeta_o \beta'' \geq 0$. Assume that $\beta \star z_o = 0$. By the previous conditions, we must have $\beta'' \neq 0$ and $z_o \neq 0$, $\beta \star z_o = 0$. By the previous conditions, we must have $\rho \neq 0$ and $z_o \neq 0$,
and the only possibility for z_o is then $z_o = i\zeta_o$ and $\zeta_o = \frac{\beta' - \sqrt{\beta'^2 + \beta''^2}}{\beta''}.$ Now, the condition $\beta' < 0$ implies $|\zeta_o| > 1$, so $z_o \notin \mathbf{D}$.

 $(iv)(5)$ Proof of 4.1(iva). It follows from (4.17) and Lemma 4.18 that we have a functorial isomorphism between (4.17) and Lemma
 *M*_|**D** $\longrightarrow i_{\infty,+}\psi_{\tau,\alpha}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(-D_{\alpha})|_{\mathbf{D}}$

(4.19)
$$
\Psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{H}_{|\mathbf{D}} \longrightarrow i_{\infty,+} \psi_{\tau,\alpha} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}(-D_{\alpha})_{|\mathbf{D}}
$$

when $\alpha \neq -1$ satisfies Re $\alpha \in [-1, 0]$. This ends the proof of 4.1(iv) when $\alpha \neq -1$.

(iv)(6) Proof of 4.1(ivc). Let us now consider the case when $\alpha = -1$. The two exact sequences that we consider are the vertical exact sequences in (4.6) and (4.7) , according to Lemma 4.9.

For the second assertion, notice first that, as the image of $\text{Im } N_\tau \cap$ two exact sequences that we consi
in (4.6) and (4.7), according to Le
For the second assertion, notice if
 $ker N_{\tau}$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{min}}$ is supported on {t $' = 0$, it is zero by the definition of the minimal extension, hence we have an inclusion $\text{Im } N_\tau \cap \text{ker } N_\tau \subset$ $i_{\infty,+}$ ker $N_{t'}$. To prove $i_{\infty,+}$ ker $N_{t'} \subset \text{Im } N_{\tau}$, remark that the image of (4.13) is in $\tau(U_1/U_{\leq 1})$, hence in $\tau\psi_{\tau,0}$ *M*, that is, in Im var_{τ}, hence in $\text{Im } \mathrm{N}_{\tau}$.

The last assertion is nothing but the identification $U_{\leq 0} \cap \tau^{\mathscr{L}} \mathscr{U} = U_{\leq 0} \cap$ τU_1 of Lemma 4.9.

§ **5. Partial Fourier-Laplace Transform of Regular Twistor** *D***-Modules**

The main result of this article is (*cf.* [4, Th. A.4.1]):

Theorem 5.1. Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'', C, \mathcal{S})$ be an object of The main result of this article is (*cf.* [4, Th. A.4.1]):
 Theorem 5.1. Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'', C, \mathcal{S})$ be an object of $\text{MT}^{(r)}(X, w)^{(p)}$. Then, along $\tau = 0$, $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}'$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}''$ are strictly speci **able**, *regular and S-decomposable. Moreover*, $\Psi_{\tau,\alpha}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$, *with* Re $\alpha \in [-1,0[$, *able*, *regular and S-decomposable. Moreover*, $\Psi_{\tau,\alpha}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$, *with* Re $\alpha \in [-1,0[$, **Theorem 5.1.** Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'', C, \mathcal{S})$ be an object of $\text{MT}^{(r)}(X, w)^{(p)}$. Then, along $\tau = 0$, $\hat{\mathcal{M}}'$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}''$ are strictly specializable, regular and *S*-decomposable. Moreover, $\Psi_{\tau,\alpha}(\widehat$ Theorem 5.1. MT^(r)(X, w)^(p). Then, along $\tau = 0$, able, regular and S-decomposable. Moreover and $\phi_{\tau,0}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, \widehat{\mathcal{S}})$ induce, by grading with $M_{\bullet}(N_{\tau})$, an object of MLT^(r)($\widehat{X}, w; -1$)^(p).

Note that the definition of S-decomposability is given in [4, Def. 3.5.1], and that of the category $MLT^{(r)}$ in § 4.1.f of *loc. cit.* In particular, all conditions of Definition 4.1.2 in *loc. cit.* are satisfied along the hypersurface $\tau = 0$.

This theorem is a generalization of $[1, Th. 5.3]$, without the $\mathbb{Q}\text{-structure}$ however. In fact, we give a precise comparison with nearby cycles of $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S})$ at $t = \infty$ as in [1, Th. 4.3].

In order to prove Theorem 5.1, we need to extend the results of Proposition 4.1 to objects with sesquilinear pairings.

§ **5.a. "Positive" functions of** z

Recall that we denote by **D** the disc $|z| \leq 1$ and by **S** its boundary. Let $\lambda(z)$ be a meromorphic function defined in some neighborhood of **S**. If the neighborhood is sufficiently small, it has zeros and poles at most on **S**. We say that λ is "real" if it satisfies $\overline{\lambda} = \lambda$, where $\overline{\lambda}(z)$ is defined as $c(\lambda(-1/c(z)))$ and c is the usual complex conjugation. For instance, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the function

 $z \mapsto \alpha * z/z$ is "real". If $\lambda(z)$ is "real" and if ψ is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb C$ which is real (in the usual sense, *i.e.*, $\psi c = c\psi$), then $\psi \circ \lambda$ is "real". In particular, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$, the function $z \mapsto \Gamma(\alpha \star z/z)$ is "real".

Lemma 5.2. *Let* $\lambda(z)$ *be a "real" invertible holomorphic function in some neighborhood of* **S***. Then there exists an invertible holomorphic function* $\mu(z)$ *in some neighborhood of* **D** *such that* $\lambda = \pm \mu \overline{\mu}$ *in some neighborhood of* **S***. Moreover, such a function* μ *is unique up to multiplication by a complex number having modulus equal to* 1*.*

Definition 5.3. Let λ be as in the lemma. We say that λ is "positive" if $\lambda = \mu \overline{\mu}$, with μ invertible on **D**, and "negative" if $\lambda = -\mu \overline{\mu}$.

Remark 5.4. *Positive or negative "real" meromorphic functions.* Assume that λ is a nonzero "real" *meromorphic* function in some neighborhood if $\lambda = \mu \overline{\mu}$, with μ invertible on **D**,
 Remark 5.4. *Positive or ne*

sume that λ is a nonzero "real" *m*

of **S**. Then λ can be written as \prod of **S**. Then λ can be written as $\prod_i[(z - z_i)(\overline{z - z_i})]^{m_i} \cdot h$ with $z_i \in \mathbf{S}$, h holomorphic invertible near **S** and $\overline{h} = h$: indeed, one shows that, if $z_o \in S$, then $\overline{z-z_o} = (z+z_o) \cdot (-1/z_o z)$; therefore, if $z_o \in \mathbf{S}$ is a pole or a zero of λ with order $m_o \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $-z_o$ has the same order, hence the product decomposition of λ . blue invertible near **S** and $h = h$: indeed, one shows the $\overline{z_o} = (z + z_o) \cdot (-1/z_o z)$; therefore, if $z_o \in \mathbf{S}$ is a pole c r $m_o \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $-z_o$ has the same order, hence the prod It follows from Lemma 5.2 that $\lambda = \pm$

 $i(z - z_i)_i^m$, $z_i \in S$, $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and μ holomorphic invertible on **D**. This decomposition is not unique, as one may change some z_i with $-z_i$. The sign is also nonuniquely determined, as we have, for any $z_o \in \mathbf{S}$, c invertible or
 z_i with $-z_i$. T
 $\mathbf{S},$
 $-1 = \left(\frac{z - z_o}{1}\right)$

$$
-1 = \left(\frac{z - z_o}{z + z_o}\right) \cdot \overline{\left(\frac{z - z_o}{z + z_o}\right)}.
$$

Nevertheless, the decomposition and the sign are uniquely defined (up to a multiplicative constant) if we fix a choice of a "square root" of the divisor of λ so that no two points in the support of this divisor are opposed, and if we impose that the divisor of g is contained in this "square" root". The sign does not depend on the choice of such a "square root". We say that λ is "positive" if the sign is +, and "negative" if the sign $is -$.

Proof of Lemma 5.2. One can write $\lambda = \nu \cdot \overline{\mu}$ with μ holomorphic invertible near **D** and ν meromorphic in some neighborhood of **D** and having poles or zeros at 0 at most. The function $c(z) = \nu/\mu = \overline{\nu}/\overline{\mu}$ defines a meromorphic function on \mathbb{P}^1 with divisor supported by $\{0,\infty\}$. Thus, $c(z) = c \cdot z^k$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $\lambda = c z^k \mu \overline{\mu}$. Moreover, the equality $\overline{\lambda} = \lambda$ implies that

 $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k = 0$. Changing notation for μ gives $\lambda = \pm \mu \overline{\mu}$, with μ invertible on **D**.

For uniqueness, assume that $\mu \overline{\mu} = \pm 1$ with μ holomorphic invertible in some neighborhood of **D**. Then $\pm 1/\overline{\mu}$ is also holomorphic in some neighborhood of $|z| \geq 1$, so μ extends as a holomorphic function on \mathbb{P}^1 and thus is constant. This implies that $\mu \overline{\mu} = 1$. \Box

Lemma 5.5. *Let* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ *be such that* $\text{Re } \alpha \in [0,1]$ *and* $\alpha \neq 0$ *. Then the meromorphic function*

$$
\lambda(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha \star z/z)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha \star z/z)}
$$

is "real" and "positive" (it is holomorphic invertible near S *if* $\text{Re } \alpha \neq 0$).

Proof. That this function is "real" has yet been remarked. The only possible pole/zero of λ on **S** is $\pm i$, which occurs if there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\text{Re } \alpha + k = 0$. It is a simple pole (resp. a simple zero) if $k \geq 0$ (resp. $k \leq -1$). As we assume $\text{Re}\,\alpha \in [0,1]$, the only possibility is when $\text{Re}\,\alpha = 0$, with $k = 0$ (hence a pole).

Write $\lambda(z)$ as $\Gamma(\alpha \star z/z)^2 \cdot (1/\pi) \sin \pi(\alpha \star z/z)$. It is then equivalent to showing that $(1/\pi) \sin \pi(\alpha \star z/z)$ is "positive" for α as above.

Write $\alpha = \alpha' + i\alpha''$. The result is clear if $\alpha'' = 0$, as we then have $\alpha \star z/z = \alpha' \in]0,1[$. We thus assume now that $\alpha'' \neq 0$. Write $\lambda(z)$ as $\Gamma(\alpha \star z/z)^2 \cdot (1/\pi) \sin \pi(\alpha \star z/z)$. It is then $(1/\pi) \sin \pi(\alpha \star z/z)$ is "positive" for α as above Write $\alpha = \alpha' + i\alpha''$. The result is clear if $\alpha'' = 0$, $z = \alpha' \in]0,1[$. We thus assume now that $\alpha'' \neq 0$.
For a ne now that $\alpha'' \neq 0$

 $\frac{\beta}{\beta''}$ and we can write $\frac{\beta \star z}{z} = \frac{\beta'' b}{2}$ 2 $\left(1+\frac{iz}{b}\right)$ $\left(1+\frac{iz}{b}\right)$. $\frac{\beta \star z}{z}$
we have $n - a$
' + $\sqrt{(n - \alpha)^2}$ $\overline{)}$
 $\geqslant 1$ and we
 $\frac{1}{n} + \sqrt{(n + \alpha')^2}$

If α is as above, we have $n - \alpha', n + \alpha' > 0$ for any $n \ge 1$ and we put for $n \ge 0$

$$
b_n = -\frac{n - \alpha' + \sqrt{(n - \alpha')^2 + \alpha''^2}}{\alpha''}, \quad c_n = \frac{n + \alpha' + \sqrt{(n + \alpha')^2 + \alpha''^2}}{\alpha''}.
$$

$$
n \ge 1, \text{ we have } |b_n|, |c_n| > 1 \text{ and}
$$

$$
(n - \alpha) \star z \qquad n - \alpha' + \sqrt{(n - \alpha')^2 + \alpha''^2}, \qquad iz \sqrt{(n - iz)}.
$$

For $n \geq 1$, we have $|b_n|, |c_n| > 1$ and

1, we have
$$
|b_n|, |c_n| > 1
$$
 and
\n
$$
\frac{(n - \alpha) \star z}{z} = \frac{n - \alpha' + \sqrt{(n - \alpha')^2 + \alpha''^2}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{iz}{b_n}\right) \overline{\left(1 + \frac{iz}{b_n}\right)},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{(n + \alpha) \star z}{z} = \frac{n + \alpha' + \sqrt{(n + \alpha')^2 + \alpha''^2}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{iz}{c_n}\right) \overline{\left(1 + \frac{iz}{c_n}\right)}.
$$
\n
$$
\text{where}
$$
\n
$$
\sqrt{\text{tr} (n - \alpha' + \sqrt{(n - \alpha')^2 + \alpha''^2})} (n + \alpha' + \sqrt{(n + \alpha')^2 + \alpha''^2})
$$

The number

$$
\frac{(n+\alpha)\star z}{z} = \frac{n+\alpha'+\sqrt{(n+\alpha')^2+\alpha''^2}}{2}\left(1+\frac{iz}{c_n}\right)\overline{\left(1+\frac{iz}{c_n}\right)}.
$$

number

$$
c(\alpha) = \prod_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{(n-\alpha'+\sqrt{(n-\alpha')^2+\alpha''^2})(n+\alpha'+\sqrt{(n+\alpha')^2+\alpha''^2})}{4n^2}
$$

is (finite and) positive. On the other hand, as $\frac{1}{b_n} + \frac{1}{c_n}$ $\frac{1}{c_n} = -\frac{\alpha'\alpha''}{n^2} + O(1/n^3),$ the infinite product

$$
\prod_{n\geqslant 1} \left(1 + \frac{iz}{b_n}\right) \left(1 + \frac{iz}{c_n}\right)
$$

defines an invertible holomorphic function in some neighborhood of **D**. Put

$$
\prod_{n\geqslant 1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{b_n}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{c_n}\right)
$$

ues an invertible holomorphic function in some neighborhood of **D**. Pt

$$
g(z) = \left(\frac{c(\alpha)(\alpha' + \sqrt{\alpha'^2 + \alpha''^2})}{2}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{iz}{c_0}\right) \prod_{n\geqslant 1} \left(1 + \frac{iz}{b_n}\right) \left(1 + \frac{iz}{c_n}\right).
$$

Then we have $(1/\pi) \sin \pi(\alpha \star z/z) = g(z)\overline{g}(z)$.

If μ is a meromorphic function on some neighborhood of **D**, we denote by D_µ its divisor on **D**. If *M* is a $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module, we put $\mathcal{M}(D_{\mu}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(D_{\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{M}$ with its natural $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$ -structure.

Lemma 5.6. *Let* $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'', C, \mathcal{S})$ *be an object of* $MT(X, w)^{(p)}$ *. Then, for each* μ *as above,* $(\mathcal{M}'(D_{\mu}), \mathcal{M}''(D_{\mu}), \mu \overline{\mu} C, \mathcal{S})$ *is an object of* $MT(X, w)^{(p)}$ *isomorphic to* $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S})$ *.*

Remark 5.7. We only assume here that \mathcal{M}' , \mathcal{M}'' are defined in some neighborhood of **D**, and not necessarily on Ω_0 . This does not change the category $MT(X, w)^{(p)}$.

Proof. The isomorphism is given by μ : $\mathcal{M}'(D_{\mu}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ and $\cdot(1/\mu)$: $\mathscr{M}'' \rightarrow \mathscr{M}''(D_\mu).$ \Box

§ **5.b. Exponential twist and specialization of a sesquilinear pairing**

We now come back to our original situation of $\S 3.a.$ Let $\mathscr{T} =$ $(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'', C)$ be an object of \mathcal{R} -Triples(X). We have defined the object $\mathscr{F} \mathscr{T} = (\mathscr{H}', \mathscr{H}'', \mathscr{F} C)$ of \mathscr{R} -Triples(*Z*). If we assume that $\mathscr{M}', \mathscr{M}''$ are strict and strictly specializable along $t' = 0$, then \mathscr{H}' , \mathscr{H}'' are strictly specializable along $\tau = 0$. Then, for Re $\alpha \in [-1, 0], \Psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ *FT* is defined as in [4, § 3.6]. Recall (*cf.* (3.6.2) in *loc. cit.*) that we denote by $\mathcal{N}_{\tau}: \Psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{F} \mathcal{J} \to \Psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{F} \mathcal{J}(-1)$ the morphism $(-iN_\tau, iN_\tau)$. If $\alpha = -1$ (more generally if α is real) we have $\Psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ ^F $\mathscr{T} = \psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ ^F \mathscr{T} . We also consider, as in § 3.6.b of *loc. cit.*, the vanishing cycle object $\phi_{\tau,0}$ ^F*T*.

The purpose of this section is to extend Proposition 4.1(iv) to objects of \mathscr{R} -Triples. It will be convenient to assume, in the following, that $\mathscr{M}' = \mathscr{M}'_n$

 \Box

and $\mathcal{M}'' = \mathcal{M}_{\min}''$; with such an assumption, we will not have to define a sesquilinear pairing on the minimal extensions used in Proposition 4.1(iv), as we can use the given C .

Proposition 5.8 (*cf.* $[4, \text{Prop. A.4.2}]$). For $\mathscr T$ as above, we have iso*morphisms in* \mathcal{R} - Triples (X) :

$$
\begin{aligned} \left(\Psi_{\tau,\alpha}{}^{\mathscr{F}}\mathscr{T},\mathscr{N}_{\tau}\right) &\xrightarrow{\sim} i_{\infty,+} \left(\Psi_{t',\alpha}{}^{\mathscr{T}},\mathscr{N}_{t'}\right), \quad \forall \alpha \neq -1 \text{ with } \operatorname{Re}\alpha \in [-1,0[,\\ \left(\phi_{\tau,0}{}^{\mathscr{F}}\mathscr{T},\mathscr{N}_{\tau}\right) &\xrightarrow{\sim} i_{\infty,+} \left(\psi_{t',-1}{}^{\mathscr{T}},\mathscr{N}_{t'}\right), \end{aligned}
$$

and an exact sequence

$$
0\longrightarrow i_{\infty,+}\ker\mathscr{N}_{t'}\longrightarrow\ker\mathscr{N}_{\tau}\longrightarrow\mathscr{T}\longrightarrow 0
$$

inducing an isomorphism $P \text{ gr}_{0}^{\text{M}} \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{T}$.

Corollary 5.9 (*cf.* [4, Cor. A.4.3]). *Assume that* $\mathscr T$ *is an object of* $MT^{(r)}(X, w)$ (resp. $(\mathscr{T}, \mathscr{S})$ *is an object of* $MT^{(r)}(X, w)^{(p)}$ *). Then, for any* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}\,\alpha \in [-1,0], (\Psi_{\tau,\alpha}^{\sigma}\mathscr{F},\mathscr{N}_{\tau})$ *induces by gradation an object of* $MLT^{(r)}(X, w; -1)$ (resp. *an object of* $MLT^{(r)}(X, w; -1)^{(p)}$).

Proof of Corollary 5.9*.* Suppose that Proposition 5.8 is proved. Assume first that $\mathscr T$ is an object of $MT^{(r)}(X, w)$. Then, by definition, $i_{\infty,+}(\operatorname{gr}^{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{w}}\Psi_{t',\alpha} \mathcal{T}, \operatorname{gr}^{\mathbf{M}}_{-2} \mathcal{N}_{t'})$ is an object of $\operatorname{MLT}^{(r)}(X, w; -1)$ for any α
with Bee ϵ , 1, 9^t therefore as is $(\mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{M}})^{\mathbf{r}}$, $\mathcal{R} \infty$, $\mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{M}}$, ϵ for Proof of Corollary 5.9. Suppose t
sume first that \mathcal{T} is an object of N
 $i_{\infty,+}(gr_{\bullet}^M \Psi_{t',\alpha} \mathcal{T}, gr_{-2}^M \mathcal{N}_{t'})$ is an object
with $\text{Re}\,\alpha \in [-1,0[$; therefore, so is ($[-1, 0]$; therefore, so is $(\text{gr}^M \Psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{T}, \text{gr}^M_{-2} \mathscr{N}_{\tau})$ for any such $\alpha \neq -1$. When $\alpha = -1$, as \mathscr{H}' , \mathscr{H}'' are equal to their minimal extension along $\tau = 0$ (*cf.* Proposition 4.1) the morphism is (
 \mathcal{H}'' a
e mor

$$
\mathscr{C}an: (\psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{T}, \mathbf{M}_{\bullet}(\mathscr{N}_{\tau})) \longrightarrow (\phi_{\tau,0} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{T}(-1/2), \mathbf{M}_{\bullet-1}(\mathscr{N}_{\tau})),
$$

(*cf.* § 3.6.b in *loc. cit.*) is onto. It is strictly compatible with the monodromy filtrations (*cf.* [6, Lemme 5.1.12]), and induces an isomorphism $P \operatorname{gr}^M_{\ell} \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{T} \longrightarrow P \operatorname{gr}^M_{\ell-1} \phi_{\tau,0} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{T}(-1/2)$ for any $\ell \geq 1$, hence an isomorphism

$$
P\operatorname{gr}_{\ell}^M\psi_{\tau,-1}^{\mathscr{F}}\mathscr{T}\xrightarrow{\sim}i_{\infty,+}P\operatorname{gr}_{\ell-1}^M\psi_{t',-1}\mathscr{T}(-1/2).
$$

By assumption on \mathscr{T} , the right-hand term is an object of $MT^{(r)}(X, w+\ell)$, hence so is the left-hand term. Moreover, $P \text{ gr}_0^M \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{T} \simeq \mathscr{T}$ is in $MT^{(r)}(X,w)$. This gives the claim when $\alpha = -1$.

In the polarized case, we can reduce to the case where $w = 0, \mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}''$, $\mathscr{S} = (\text{Id}, \text{Id})$ and $C^* = C$. Then these properties are satisfied by the objects above, and the polarizability on the τ -side follows from the polarizability on the t' -side. \Box

The proof of the proposition will involve the computation of a Mellin transform with kernel given by a function $I_{\hat{\chi}}(t, s, z)$. We first analyze this Mellin transform. **The function** I_{$\hat{\chi}$} (t, s, z). We first analyze this Mellin transform.
 The function $I_{\hat{\chi}}(t, s, z)$. Let $\hat{\chi} \in C_c^{\infty}(\hat{\mathbb{A}}^1, \mathbb{R})$ be such that $\hat{\chi}(\tau) \equiv 1$ near

 $\tau = 0$. For any $z \in \mathbf{S}$, $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Re}(s + 1) > 0$, put $I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t, s, z)$. Let $\widehat{\chi} \in C_c^{\infty}(\widehat{\mathbb{A}}^1, \mathbb{R})$ be
 $i \in \mathbf{S}, t \in \mathbb{A}^1$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that Re
 $I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t, s, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{z\overline{t\tau} - t\tau/z} |\tau|^{2s} \widehat{\chi}(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{2s}$

(5.10)
$$
I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t,s,z) = \int_{\widehat{\mathbb{A}}^1} e^{z\overline{t\tau}-t\tau/z} |\tau|^{2s} \widehat{\chi}(\tau) \frac{i}{2\pi} d\tau \wedge d\overline{\tau}.
$$

We also write $I_{\hat{\chi}}(t',s,z)$ when working in the coordinate t' on \mathbb{P}^1 . We will use the following coarse properties (they are similar to the properties described for the function I_{χ} of § 3.6.b of *loc. cit.*).

- (i) Denote by $I_{\hat{\chi},k,\ell}(t,s,z)$ $(k,\ell \in \mathbb{Z})$ the function obtained by integrating $|\tau|^{2s} \tau^k \overline{\tau}^{\ell}$. Then, for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(s + 1 + (k + \ell)/2) > 0$ and any $z \in \mathbf{S}$, the function $(t, s, z) \to I_{\hat{\chi},k,\ell}(t, s, z)$ is C^{∞} , depends holomorphically on s, and satisfies $\lim_{t\to\infty} I_{\hat{\chi},k,\ell}(t,s,z) = 0$ locally uniformly with respect to s, z .
- (ii) We have

$$
tI_{\widehat{\chi},k,\ell} = z(s+k)I_{\widehat{\chi},k-1,\ell} + zI_{\partial \widehat{\chi}/\partial \tau,k,\ell} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \delta_t I_{\widehat{\chi},k,\ell} = -I_{\widehat{\chi},k+1,\ell} \\ \overline{t}I_{\widehat{\chi},k,\ell} = \overline{z}(s+\ell)I_{\widehat{\chi},k,\ell-1} + \overline{z}I_{\partial \widehat{\chi}/\partial \overline{\tau},k,\ell} \qquad \overline{\delta}_t I_{\widehat{\chi},k,\ell} = -I_{\widehat{\chi},k,\ell+1}, \end{array}
$$

where the equalities hold on the common domain of definition (with respect to s) of the functions involved. Notice that the functions $I_{\partial \widehat{\chi}/\partial \tau, k, \ell}$ and $I_{\partial \widehat{\chi}/\partial \overline{\tau},k,\ell}$ are C^{∞} on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C} \times S$, depend holomorphically on s, and are infinitely flat at $t = \infty$.

It follows that, for $\text{Re}(s + 1) > 0$, we have

(5.11)
$$
\begin{aligned}\nt\eth_t I_{\widehat{\chi}} &= -z(s+1)I_{\widehat{\chi}} + zI_{\partial \widehat{\chi}/\partial \tau,1,0}, \\
\overline{t\eth_t} I_{\widehat{\chi}} &= -\overline{z}(s+1)I_{\widehat{\chi}} + \overline{z}I_{\partial \widehat{\chi}/\partial \overline{\tau},0,1}.\n\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Moreover, for any $p \ge 0$, any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(s + 1 + (k + \ell)/2) > p$ and any $z \in \mathbf{S}$, all derivatives up to order p of $I_{\hat{\chi},k,\ell}(t',s,z)$ with respect to t' tend to 0 when $t' \to 0$, locally uniformly with respect to s, z; therefore, $I_{\hat{\chi},k,\ell}(t,s,z)$ extends as a function of class C^p on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times {\rm Re}(s + 1 + (k + \ell)/2) > p \} \times S$, holomorphic with respect to s.

Mellin transform with kernel $I_{\hat{\gamma}}(t, s, z)$. We will work near $z_o \in \mathbf{S}$. For any local sections μ', μ'' of $\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}''$ and any C^{∞} relative form φ of maximal degree on $X \times S$ with compact support contained in the open set where μ', μ'' are defined, the function $(s, z) \longmapsto \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''$ degree on $X \times S$ with compact support contained in the open set where μ', μ'' are defined, the function

$$
(s,z)\longmapsto \big\langle C(\mu',\overline{\mu''}), \varphi I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t,s,z)\big\rangle
$$

is holomorphic with respect to s for $\text{Re } s \gg 0$ (according to (i)), continuous with respect to z. One shows as in Lemma 3.6.6 of *loc. cit.*, using (iii), that it extends as a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane, with poles on sets $s = \alpha \star z/z$.

This result can easily be extended to local sections μ', μ'' of $\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}''$: indeed, this has to be verified only near $t = \infty$; there exists $p \geqslant 0$ such that, in the neighborhood of the support of φ , $t'^p \mu'$, $t'^p \mu''$ are local sections of \mathcal{M}' , \mathcal{M}'' ; apply then the previous argument to the kernel $|t|^{2p} I_{\hat{\chi}}(t, s, z)$. In the following, we This result can easily be extended to local
deed, this has to be verified only near $t = \infty$; th
neighborhood of the support of φ , $t'^p \mu'$, $t'^p \mu''$ as
ply then the previous argument to the kernel $|t|^2$
will write $\$ $\langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \varphi I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t,s,z) \rangle$ instead of $\langle C(t'^p\mu', t'^p \overline{\mu''}), \varphi | t |^{2p} I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t,s,z) \rangle$ near $t = \infty$.

Lemma 5.12. *Assume that* φ *is compactly supported on* $(X \setminus \infty) \times S$ *. Then, for* μ' *,* μ'' *as above, we have* ctly supported of
 $=\langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \varphi \rangle$

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=-1}\big\langle C(\mu',\overline{\mu''}),\varphi I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t,s,z)\big\rangle=\big\langle C(\mu',\overline{\mu''}),\varphi\big\rangle.
$$

Proof. The function $(s + 1)I_{\hat{\chi}}(t, s, z)$ can be extended to the domain $\text{Re}(s+1) > -1/2$ as C^{∞} function of (t, s, z) , holomorphic with respect to s: use (ii) with $k = 1, \ell = 0$ to write $(s + 1)I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t, s, z) = (t/z)I_{\widehat{\chi}, 1,0} - I_{\partial \widehat{\chi}/\partial \tau, 1,0}$ It is then enough to show that this C^{∞} function, when restricted to $s = -1$, is identically equal to 1. It amounts to proving that, for any t, z ,

$$
\lim_{\substack{s \to -1 \\ \text{Re } s > -1}} [(s+1)I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t,s,z)] = 1.
$$

For Re $s > -1$ we have $I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t, s, z) = J(t, s, z) + H_{\widehat{\chi}}(t, s, z)$, with

$$
\lim_{\substack{s \to -1 \\ \text{Re } s > -1}} \left[(s+1) I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t,s,z) \right] = 1.
$$
\ne have

\n
$$
I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t,s,z) = J(t,s,z) + H_{\widehat{\chi}}(t,s,z), \text{ with }
$$
\n
$$
J(t,s,z) = \int_{|\tau| \leq 1} e^{-2i \operatorname{Im} t \tau/z} |\tau|^{2s} \frac{i}{2\pi} d\tau \wedge d\overline{\tau},
$$

and $H_{\hat{\chi}}$ extends as a C^{∞} function on $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbf{S}$, holomorphic with respect $\int_{|\tau| \leq 1}$
function
 $\frac{1}{2}(s+1)$

to s. It is therefore enough to work with
$$
J(t, s, z)
$$
 instead of $I_{\hat{\chi}}$. We now have
\n
$$
J(t, s, z) = |t|^{-2(s+1)} \int_{|u| < |t|} e^{-2i \operatorname{Im} u} |u|^{2s} \frac{i}{2\pi} du \wedge d\overline{u}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\pi} |t|^{-2(s+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{|t|} e^{-2i\rho \sin \theta} \rho^{2s+1} d\rho d\theta.
$$

Now, integrating by part, we get

Monopromy AT INFINITE II

\nNow, integrating by part, we get

\n
$$
\int_0^{|t|} e^{-2i\rho \sin \theta} \rho^{2s+1} d\rho = \frac{|t|^{2s+2} e^{-2i|t| \sin \theta}}{2s+2} + \frac{2i \sin \theta}{2s+2} \int_0^{|t|} e^{-2i\rho \sin \theta} \rho^{2s+2} d\rho,
$$
\nand

and the second integral is holomorphic near $s = -1$. Therefore,

d the second integral is holomorphic near
$$
s = -1
$$
. Therefore,
\n
$$
(s+1)J(t,s)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{|t|^{-2(s+1)}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|t|^{2s+2} e^{-2i|t|\sin\theta} + 2i \sin\theta \int_0^{|t|} e^{-2i\rho \sin\theta} \rho^{2s+2} d\rho \right] d\theta.
$$
\n
$$
\text{king } s \to -1 \text{ gives}
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{s \to -1} \left[(s+1)J(t,s) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[e^{-2i|t|\sin\theta} + 2i \sin\theta \int_0^{|t|} e^{-2i\rho \sin\theta} d\rho \right] d\theta.
$$

Taking $s \rightarrow -1$ gives

$$
\lim_{\substack{s \to -1 \\ \text{Re}\, s > -1}} [(s+1)J(t,s)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[e^{-2i|t|\sin\theta} + 2i\sin\theta \int_0^{|t|} e^{-2i\rho\sin\theta} \, d\rho \right] d\theta.
$$

Now,

$$
2\pi J_0 \qquad J_0
$$

\n
$$
2i \sin \theta \int_0^{|t|} e^{-2i\rho \sin \theta} d\rho = -\int_0^{|t|} \frac{d}{d\rho} (e^{-2i\rho \sin \theta}) d\rho = 1 - e^{-2i|t| \sin \theta},
$$

hence $\lim_{\substack{s \to -1 \\ \text{Re } s > -1}}$ $[(s+1)J(t,s)]=1.$

Remark 5.13. To simplify notation, we now put

$$
J_{\widehat{\chi}}(t,s,z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s+1)} I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t,s,z).
$$

Using (ii) as in the previous lemma, one obtains that there exists a C^{∞} function on $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbf{S}$, holomorphic with respect to s, which coincides with $J_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}}$ when $\text{Re}(s + 1) > 0$. This implies that, when the support of φ does not contain ∞ , Using (ii) as in the previous lemm
on $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbf{S}$, holomorphic wit
Re($s + 1$) > 0. This implies that
the meromorphic function $s \mapsto \langle$ $\langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \varphi J_{\widehat{\chi}}(t, s, z) \rangle$ is entire.

We now work near ∞ with the coordinate t'. Assume that μ' is a local Re(*s* + 1) > 0. This implies that, when the support of φ does
the meromorphic function $s \mapsto \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}) , \varphi J_{\hat{\chi}}(t, s, z) \rangle$ is entir
We now work near ∞ with the coordinate *t'*. Assume t
section of $V_{a_1+1}^{(z_0)}$ -. Assume more-We now work near ∞ with the coordinate t'. Ass
section of $V_{a_1+1}^{(z_0)}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'$ and that μ'' is a local section of $V_{a_2+1}^{(-)}$
over that the class of μ' in $\text{gr}_{a_1+1}^{V^{(z_0)}}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'$ is in $\psi_{t',\alpha_$, and that the class of μ'' in $gr_{a_2+1}^{V^{(-z_0)}} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}''$ is in $\psi_{t',\alpha_2+1} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}''$. Then one proves as in Lemma 3.6.6 of We now work near ∞ with the
section of $V_{a_1+1}^{(z_o)}\mathcal{M}'$ and that μ'' is a
over that the class of μ' in $gr_{a_1+1}^{V(z_o)}$
 μ'' in $gr_{a_2+1}^{V(-z_o)}\mathcal{M}''$ is in $\psi_{t',\alpha_2+1}\mathcal{M}'$
loc. cit. that $\langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}),$ *loc. cit.* that $\langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \varphi J_{\hat{\chi}}(t', s, z) \rangle$ has poles on sets $s = \gamma \star z/z$ with γ such ້ that $2 \text{ Re } \gamma < a_1 + a_2 \text{ or } \gamma = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2.$

Let us then consider the case where $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 := \alpha$. Then, if ψ has compact support and vanishes along $t' = 0$, the previous result shows that $\langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \psi J_{\widehat{\chi}}(t', s, z) \rangle$ has no pole along $s = \alpha \star z/z$. It follows that that $2 \text{Re }\gamma \lt \text{Let us}$
compact sure
 $\langle C(\mu',\overline{\mu''}), \psi \rangle$
Res_{s= $\alpha \star z/z$} $\langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \varphi J_{\widehat{\chi}}(t',s,z) \rangle$ only depends on the restriction of φ to

 \Box

 $t' = 0$; in other words, it is the direct image of a distribution on $t' = 0$ by the inclusion i_{∞} . We will identify this distribution with $\psi_{t',\alpha+1}C$. We will put

$$
i_{\infty}^*\varphi=\frac{\varphi_{|\infty}}{\frac{i}{2\pi}dt'\wedge d\overline{t'}}.
$$

Lemma 5.14. *For any* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $\text{Re }\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$, *and* μ', μ'' *lifting local* $sections [\mu'], [\mu'']$ **14.** For any \int of $\psi_{t',\alpha+1}$ *M*⁻¹ $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi = \frac{\varphi}{\frac{i}{2\pi}dt'}$
 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{t',\alpha+1} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}$ \prime , we have, when the support of φ *is contained in the open set where* μ' , μ'' are defined, $\begin{aligned} \textbf{Lemma} \ Sections \ [\mu'], \ \textbf{contained in} \ \text{Res}_{s=\alpha \star z/z} \ \langle \end{aligned}$

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=\alpha \star z/z} \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \varphi J_{\widehat{\chi}}(t', s, z) \rangle = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha \star z/z)} \langle \psi_{t', \alpha+1} C([\mu'], \overline{[\mu'']}), i^*_{\infty} \varphi \rangle.
$$

Proof. Let $\chi(t')$ be a C^{∞} function which has compact support and is $\equiv 1$ near $t' = 0$. As $\varphi - i^*_{\infty} \varphi \wedge \chi(t') \frac{i}{2\pi} dt' \wedge d\overline{t'}$ vanishes along $t' = 0$, the left-hand term in the lemma is equal to Let $\chi(t')$ be a
s $\varphi - i^*_{\infty} \varphi \wedge$
mma is equa
Res_{s=α*z/z} \langle

(5.15)
$$
\text{Res}_{s=\alpha \star z/z} \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), J_{\widehat{\chi}}(t', s, z) i_{\infty}^* \varphi \wedge \chi(t') \frac{i}{2\pi} dt' \wedge d\overline{t'} \rangle.
$$

On the other hand, as $\text{Re}\,\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$, we have $\alpha * z/z \notin \mathbb{N}$ for any $z \in \mathbf{S}$, and the function $1/\Gamma(-s)$ does not vanish when $s = \alpha \star z/z$ for any such α and z. Therefore, by definition of $\psi_{t', \alpha+1} C$, the right-hand term is equal to

(5.16)
$$
\text{Res}_{s=\alpha \star z/z} \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)} \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), |t'|^{2(s+1)} i_{\infty}^* \varphi \wedge \chi(t') \frac{i}{2\pi} dt' \wedge d\overline{t'} \rangle.
$$

Put $J_{\hat{\chi}}(t, s, z) = |t|^{2(s+1)} J_{\hat{\chi}}(t, s, z)$. Then, by (5.11) expressed in the coordinate t' , we have $|t|^{2(s+1)} J_{\mathfrak{D}}(t,s,z)$. Then, by (5,

$$
t'\frac{\partial \widetilde{J}_{\widehat{X}}}{\partial t'} = -\widetilde{J}_{\partial \widehat{X}/\partial \tau,1,0}, \quad \overline{t'} \frac{\partial \widetilde{J}_{\widehat{X}}}{\partial \overline{t'}} = -\widetilde{J}_{\partial \widehat{X}/\partial \overline{\tau},0,1},
$$

and both functions $\tilde{J}_{\partial \hat{\chi}/\partial \tau,1,0}$ and $\tilde{J}_{\partial \hat{\chi}/\partial \tau,0,1}$ extend as C^{∞} functions, infinitely
flat at $t' = 0$ and holomorphic with respect to $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Put
 $\tilde{K}_{\hat{\chi}}(t', s, z) = -\int_0^1 \left[\tilde{J}_{\partial \hat{\chi$ flat at $t' = 0$ and holomorphic with respect to $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Put

$$
\widetilde{K}_{\widehat{\chi}}(t',s,z) = -\int_0^1 \left[\widetilde{J}_{\partial \widehat{\chi}/\partial \tau,1,0}(\lambda t',s,z) + \widetilde{J}_{\partial \widehat{\chi}/\partial \overline{\tau},0,1}(\lambda t',s,z) \right] d\lambda.
$$

Then $\widetilde{K}_{\widehat{X}}$ is of the same kind. Notice now that, for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(s + 1) \in$ $]0, 1/4[$ and any $z \in S$, we have

(5.17)
$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} (|t|^{2(s+1)} I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t,s,z)) = \frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(-s)}.
$$

[Let us sketch the proof of this statement. We assume for instance that $\hat{\chi} \equiv 1$ when $|\tau| \leq 1$. We can replace $I_{\hat{\chi}}(t, s, z)$ with

$$
\int_{|\tau| \leq 1} e^{z\overline{t\tau} - t\tau/z} |\tau|^{2s} \frac{i}{2\pi} d\tau \wedge d\overline{\tau}
$$

without changing the limit, and we are reduced to computing

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2i\rho \sin \theta} \rho^{2s+1} d\rho d\theta.
$$

Using the Bessel function $J_0(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ir\sin\theta} d\theta$, this integral is written as

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} e^{-2i\rho \sin \theta} \rho^{2s+1} d\rho d\theta.
$$

el function $J_0(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ir \sin \theta} d\theta$, this integral

$$
2 \int_0^{\infty} \rho^{2s+1} J_0(2\rho) d\rho = \frac{1}{2^{2s+1}} \int_0^{\infty} r^{2s+1} J_0(r) dr,
$$

and it is known (*cf.* [8, § 13.24, p. 391]) that, on the strip $Re(s + 1) \in [0, 1/4]$, the latter integral is equal to $2^{2s+1}\Gamma(s+1)/\Gamma(-s)$.] $\begin{aligned}\n\mathcal{L}\left\{\n\begin{aligned}\n\mathcal{L}\left\{\n\begin{aligned}\n\mathcal{L}\left\{\n\begin{aligned}\n\mathcal{L}\left\{\n\mathcal{L}\right\}\n\end{aligned}\right\},\n\mathcal{L}\left\{\n\mathcal{L}\right\},\n\mathcal{L}\left\{\n\mathcal{L}\right\},\n\mathcal{L}\left\{\n\mathcal{L}\right\},\n\mathcal{L}\left\{\n\mathcal{L}\right\},\n\mathcal{L}\left\{\n\mathcal{L}\right\},\n\mathcal{L}\left\{\n\mathcal{L}\right\},\n\mathcal{L}\left\{\n$

by Taylor's formula. For fixed $t' \neq 0$ and $z \in S$, both functions are holomorphic for $\text{Re}(s + 1) > 0$, hence they coincide when $\text{Re}(s + 1) > 0$ and we thus have on this domain

$$
J_{\widehat{\chi}}(t', s, z) = \frac{|t'|^{2(s+1)}}{\Gamma(-s)} + K_{\widehat{\chi}}(t', s, z).
$$

By the properties of $K_{\widehat{\chi}}$, this implies that the function

$$
J_{\widehat{\chi}}(t', s, z) = \frac{|t'|^{-(\delta+1)}}{\Gamma(-s)} + K_{\widehat{\chi}}(t', s, z).
$$

rties of $K_{\widehat{\chi}}$, this implies that the function

$$
s \longmapsto \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), K_{\widehat{\chi}}(t', s, z) i_{\infty}^* \varphi \wedge \chi(t') \frac{i}{2\pi} dt' \wedge d\overline{t'} \rangle
$$

is entire for any $z \in S$. Hence, there exists an entire function of s such that the difference of the meromorphic functions considered in (5.15) and (5.16), when restricted to the half-plane $\text{Re}(s + 1) > p$ (with p large enough so that they are holomorphic on the half-plane), coincides with this entire function. This difference is therefore identically equal to this entire function of s , and (5.15) and (5.16) coincide. This proves the lemma. \Box

Proof of Proposition 5.8*.* We will work near $z_o \in S$. By definition (*cf.* § 2.c), given any local sections $[m'], [m'']$ of $\psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{H}', \psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{H}''$ and local for any C^{∞} relative form φ of maximal degree on $X \times S$, .
ค.า

liftings *m'*, *m''* in *V_{a'} W'*, *V_{a''} W''* with *a'* = *ℓ_{z_o}*(α) and *a''* = *ℓ_{-z_o}*(α), we have, for any
$$
C^{\infty}
$$
 relative form φ of maximal degree on $X \times S$,
(5.18)
 $\langle \psi_{\tau,\alpha} {\mathscr{F}}C([m'], \overline{[m']}), \varphi \rangle = \text{Res}_{s=\alpha \star z/z} \langle {\mathscr{F}}C(m', \overline{m''}), \varphi | \tau |^{2s} \hat{\chi}(\tau) \frac{i}{2\pi} d\tau \wedge d\overline{\tau} \rangle$,

830 CLAUDE SABBAH

where $\hat{\chi} \equiv 1$ near $\tau = 0$. In particular, for sections m', m'' of the form $\mu' \otimes$ $\mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}$, $\mu'' \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}$ with μ', μ'' CLAUDE SABBAH
particular, for sections m', m'' of the form $\mu' \otimes$
' local sections of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, the definition of ^{*FC*} implies that the right-hand term above can be written as = 0. In part
ith μ', μ'' loc:
erm above ca
Res_{s=α*z/z} \langle $\overline{\imath}$

(5.19) $\text{Res}_{s=\alpha \star z/z} \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \varphi I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t, s, z) \rangle.$

[Here, we mean that both functions

can that both functions

\n
$$
\langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \varphi I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t, s, z) \rangle \quad \text{and}
$$
\n
$$
\langle \mathscr{F} C(\mu' \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}, \overline{\mu'' \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}}), \varphi |\tau|^{2s} \widehat{\chi}(\tau) \frac{i}{2\pi} d\tau \wedge d\overline{\tau} \rangle,
$$

a priori defined for $\text{Re } s \gg 0$, are extended as meromorphic functions of s on the whole complex plane.] Moreover, by $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{X}}$ -linearity, it is enough to prove Proposition 5.8 for such sections.

Proof of Proposition 5.8 *away from* ∞ . This is the easy part of the proof. We only have to consider $\alpha = -1$ and, for φ compactly supported on $(X \setminus \infty) \times S$, we are reduced to proving that This is the ea

ind, for φ compared at
 $= \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \varphi \rangle$

on
$$
(X \setminus \infty) \times \mathbf{S}
$$
, we are reduced to proving that
\n
$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=-1} \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \varphi I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t, s, z) \rangle = \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \varphi \rangle,
$$
\nfor local sections μ', μ'' of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}'}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}''}$. This is Lemma 5.12.

Proof of Proposition 5.8 *near* ∞ *for* $\alpha \neq -1, 0$. The question is local on **D**. We can compute (5.18) by using liftings of m', m'' in $gr_{\mathcal{U}+1}^{U} \mathcal{H}'$, $gr_{\mathcal{U}'+1}^{U} \mathcal{H}''$, and $gr_{\mathcal{U}+1}^{U} \mathcal{H}''$, are sensitive to (4.15) . By \mathcal{B} linearity, we selve consider sections m' , according to (4.15). By \mathscr{R} -linearity, we only consider sections $m' = t'^{-1}\mu' \otimes$ $\mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}$, $m'' = t'^{-1}\mu'' \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}$, where μ' is a local section of $V_{\alpha'}\mathcal{M}'$ and μ'' of if $\alpha \neq -1, 0$. The question
ags of m', m'' in $gr_{\alpha'+1}^{U} \mathcal{H}'$, going only consider sections m' .
is a local section of $V_{\alpha'}\mathcal{M}'$ **D**. We
accordi
 $\mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}$,
 $V_{a''}$ ℓ . According to (5.19) , we have y \mathscr{R} -linearity, we on
 $\mathscr{E}^{-t\tau/z}$, where μ' is

(5.19), we have
 \overline{D} , φ = Res_{s= $\alpha \star z/z$} \langle

$$
\langle \psi_{\tau,\alpha} \mathscr{F} C([m'], \overline{[m'']}), \varphi \rangle = \operatorname{Res}_{s=\alpha \star z/z} \langle C(t'^{-1} \mu', \overline{t'^{-1} \mu''}), \varphi I_{\widehat{\chi}}(t, s, z) \rangle,
$$

and, from Lemma 5.14, this is

$$
\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha*z/z)}{\Gamma(-\alpha*z/z)}\langle\psi_{t',\alpha+1}C([t'^{-1}\mu'],\overline{[t'^{-1}\mu'}]),i^*_{\infty}\varphi\rangle
$$

=
$$
\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha*z/z)}{\Gamma(-\alpha*z/z)}\langle\psi_{t',\alpha}C([\mu'],\overline{[\mu'']}),i^*_{\infty}\varphi\rangle.
$$

By Lemma 5.5 and its proof, we have $\Gamma(1 + \alpha \star z/z)/\Gamma(-\alpha \star z/z) = \mu \overline{\mu}$, with $D_{\mu} = -D_{\alpha}$ (recall that D_{α} was defined in Proposition 4.1(iva)), as we assume $\text{Re } \alpha \in [-1, 0]$. We then apply Lemma 5.6. \Box

$$
\Box
$$

Proof of Proposition 5.8 *near* ∞ *for* $\alpha = 0$. By the same reduction as above, we consider local sections m'_0, m''_0 of $V_0 \mathcal{H}'$, $V_0 \mathcal{H}''$ of the form $m'_0 =$
 $\omega' \otimes s = tr/z$ and $\omega'' \otimes s = tr/z$ where ω' and an local sections of $V_0 \mathcal{H}'$, $V_0 \mathcal{H}''$ $\mu'_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}$, $m''_0 = \mu''_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}$, where μ'_1, μ''_1
We noticed that $\mathcal{E}(-t'\mu'_1)$ and μ''_2 by (2.2) $\alpha = 0$. By the same reduce
of $V_0 \mathcal{M}'$, $V_0 \mathcal{M}''$ of the form
" are local sections of $V_1 \mathcal{M}''$ ction a
 $m m'_0 =$
 $\overline{N}_1 M'_0$ $\mu'_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}$, $m''_0 = \mu''_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-t\tau/z}$, where μ'_1 , μ''_1 are local sections of $V_1 \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'$, $V_1 \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}''$.
We notice¹ that $\mathfrak{F}_\tau(-t'm_0'') = m_0''$ by (3.3) and, using [4, (3.6.23)] with $m''_{-1} =$ $-t'm''_0$ (and replacing there t with τ), we get W

We notice¹ that
$$
\mathfrak{F}_{\tau}(-t'm_0'') = m_0''
$$
 by (3.3) and, using [4, (3.6.23)] with $m''_{-1} = -t'm_0''$ (and replacing there t with τ), we get
\n
$$
\langle \phi_{\tau,0} \mathscr{F}C([m_0'], \overline{[m_0'']}), \varphi \rangle = \langle \phi_{\tau,0} \mathscr{F}C([m_0'], \overline{[0, \pi''_{-1}]}), \varphi \rangle
$$
\n
$$
= -z^{-1} \langle \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{F}C([\tau m_0'], \overline{[m''_{-1}]}), \varphi \rangle
$$
\n
$$
= z^{-1} \operatorname{Res}_{s=-1} \langle \mathscr{F}C(m_0', \overline{t'm_0''}), \varphi_{\tau} |\tau|^{2s} \widehat{\chi}(\tau) \frac{i}{2\pi} d\tau \wedge d\overline{\tau} \rangle
$$
\n
$$
= z^{-1} \operatorname{Res}_{s=-1} \langle C(\mu'_1, \overline{\mu''}_1), \varphi_{\tau} \overline{t'} I_{\widehat{\chi},1,0} \rangle,
$$

by definition of \mathscr{F}_C . Now, by (ii) after (5.10), we have $z^{-1}\overline{t'}I_{\hat{\chi},1,0}$ $(s + 1)|t'|^2 I_{\hat{\chi}} + |t'|^2 I_{\partial \hat{\chi}/\partial \tau, 1,0}$, and the second term will not contribute to the residue, so ow, by $\lim_{n \to \infty}$, $\lim_{n \to \infty}$ $\partial \hat{\mathcal{L}}/\partial \tau$ 1.0, and the second

$$
\langle \phi_{\tau,0} \mathscr{F}C([m'_0], \overline{[m''_0]}), \varphi \rangle
$$

= Res_{s=-1} $\langle C(\mu'_1, \overline{\mu''_1}), \varphi(s+1)|t'|^2 I_{\hat{\chi}} \rangle$
= Res_{s=-1} $\langle C(t'\mu'_1, \overline{t'\mu''_1}), \varphi J_{\hat{\chi}} \rangle$ by (5.13)
= $\langle \psi_{t',0} C(t'\mu'_1, \overline{t'\mu''_1}), i^*_{\infty} \varphi \rangle$ by Lemma 5.14 with $\alpha = -1$
= $\psi_{t',-1} C(\mu'_{-1}, \overline{\mu''_{-1}}), i^*_{\infty} \varphi \rangle$,

if we put $\mu_{-1} = t'^2 \mu_1$.

Proof of Proposition 5.8 *near* ∞ *for* $\alpha = -1$. Let us first explain how $\psi_{\tau,-1}$ ^FC is defined and how it induces a sesquilinear pairing on $P \operatorname{gr}_0^M \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{H}$ '', $P \operatorname{gr}_0^M \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{H}$ ''.

In order to compute $\psi_{\tau,-1}^{\mathscr{F}}C$, we lift local sections $[m'], [m'']$ of $\psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{H}'$, $\psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{H}''$ in $U_0 \mathscr{H}'$, $U_0 \mathscr{H}''$ and compute (5.18) for $\alpha = -1$. We know, by [4, Lemma 3.6.6], that this is well defined.

To compute the induced form on P gr^M, we use (4.6) and (4.7) and, arguing
the second local dependence of the second of as above, we have to consider sections m', m'' of $U_{\leq 0}$ $\mathcal{H}', U_{\leq 0}$ \mathcal{H}'' . We are then reduced to proving that, for local sections μ', μ'' of $V_{< 0} \mathcal{M}', V_{< 0} \mathcal{M}'',$ we have defined.
use (4.6) and (4.7) a
 $U_{<0}$ \mathscr{H}' , $U_{<0}$ \mathscr{H}'' . V
' of $V_{<0}$ $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}'$, $V_{<0}$ $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}'$ d form on $P\,\mathrm{gr}^\mathrm{M}_0,$ we use (4.6) and (4.7) as
 $\mathscr{H}', U_{\leq 0}\mathscr{H}''$. $V_{\leq 0}\mathscr{H}', V_{\leq 0}\mathscr{H}''$
 $= \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \varphi \rangle$

reduced to proving that, for local sections
$$
\mu', \mu''
$$
 of $V_{<0} \mathcal{M}', V_{<0} \mathcal{M}'',$
\n
$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=-1} \frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(-s)} \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), |t'|^{2(s+1)} \varphi \rangle = \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), \varphi \rangle.
$$
\nBy [4, Lemma 3.6.6], the meromorphic function $s \mapsto \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}), |t'| \rangle$

 $\langle C(\mu',\overline{\mu''}), |t'|^{2(s+1)}\,\varphi\rangle$ has poles along sets $s + 1 = \gamma \star z/z$ with $\text{Re}\gamma < 0$. For such a γ and for

 \Box

 1 ¹I thank the referee for correcting a previous wrong proof and pointing out that, in the formula of [4, Lemma 3.6.33] which was previously used here, the term $|t|^{2s}$ has to be replaced with $|t|^{2s} - s$, making the right-hand term in this formula independent of χ .

832

CLAUDE SABBAH
 $z \in \mathbf{S}$, we cannot have $\gamma * z/z = 0$. Therefore, $s \mapsto \langle$ S32
 $CLAUDE SABBAH$
 $z \in S$, we cannot have $\gamma * z/z = 0$. Therefore, $s \mapsto \langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}) , |t'|^{2(s)}$

is holomorphic near $s = -1$ and its value at $s = -1$ is $\langle C(\mu', \overline{\mu''}) , \varphi \rangle$ $\langle C(\mu',\overline{\mu''}), |t'|^{2(s+1)}\,\varphi\rangle$ $\langle C(\mu', \mu''), \varphi \rangle$. The assertion follows. \Box

§ **5.c. Proof of Theorem 5.1**

We first reduce to weight 0, and assume that $w = 0$. It is then possible to assume that $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}, C, \text{Id})$. We may also assume that \mathcal{M} has strict support. Then, in particular, we have $\mathscr{M} = \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{\min}$, as defined above.

According to Corollary 5.9 (and to Proposition 5.8 for $\phi_{\tau,0}$), we can apply the arguments given in [4, $\S 6.3$] to the direct image by q.

Notice that we also get:

Corollary 5.20. Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'', C, \mathcal{S})$ be an object of $MT^{(r)}(X, w)^{(p)}$. Then, we have isomorphisms in \mathscr{R} -Triples (X) : Corollary 5.20. **b**. $\overline{}$
in, we → (

Corotary 3.20. Let
$$
(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}) = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}, C, \mathcal{I})
$$
 be an $log(X, w)^{(p)}$. Then, we have isomorphisms in \mathcal{R} -Triples (X) :
\n $(\Psi_{\tau,\alpha}\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{N}_{\tau}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Psi_{t',\alpha}\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{t'})$, $\forall \alpha \neq -1$ with $\text{Re }\alpha \in [-1, 0]$,
\n $(\phi_{\tau,0}\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{N}_{\tau}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\psi_{t',-1}\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{t'})$.

§ **5.d. A complement in dimension one**

Let first us indicate some shortcut to obtain the S-decomposability of $\widehat{\mathscr{M}}$ when Y is reduced to a point, so that $X = \mathbb{P}^1$. First, without any assumption on Y , we have exact sequences, according to Proposition 4.1,

(5.21)
$$
0 \longrightarrow \ker N_{\tau} \longrightarrow \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{H} \xrightarrow{\text{can}_{\tau}} i_{\infty,+} \psi_{t',-1} \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

$$
0 \longrightarrow i_{\infty,+} \psi_{t',-1} \mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{\text{var}_{\tau}} \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{H} \longrightarrow \text{coker } N_{\tau} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

and

(5.22)
$$
0 \longrightarrow i_{\infty,+} \ker N_{t'} \longrightarrow \ker N_{\tau} \longrightarrow \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow 0
$$

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} N_{\tau} \longrightarrow i_{\infty,+} \operatorname{coker} N_{t'} \longrightarrow 0.
$$

It follows that $\mathcal{H}^1 q_+$ ker can_{τ} = $\mathcal{H}^1 q_+ \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{-1} q_+$ coker var_{τ} = $\mathcal{H}^{-1} q_+ \mathcal{M}$. By the first part of the proof, we then have exact sequences $\psi_{\tau,-1} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\text{can}_{\tau}} \psi_{\tau,0} \$

$$
\mathcal{H}^{-1}q_{+}\mathcal{M}.
$$
 By the first part of the proof, we then have exact sequences

$$
\psi_{\tau,-1}\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\text{can}_{\tau}} \psi_{\tau,0}\widehat{\mathcal{M}} = \psi_{t',-1}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{1}q_{+}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow 0
$$

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-1}q_{+}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \psi_{t',-1}\mathcal{M} = \psi_{\tau,0}\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\text{var}_{\tau}} \psi_{\tau,-1}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}.
$$

Therefore, if $q_+ \mathscr{M}$ has cohomology in degree 0 only, $\widehat{\mathscr{M}}$ is a minimal extension along $\tau = 0$. Such a situation occurs if Y is reduced to a point, so that $X = \mathbb{P}^1$: indeed, as $(\mathscr{T}, \mathscr{S})$ is an object of $MT^{(r)}(\mathbb{P}^1, 0)^{(p)}$, we can assume that \mathscr{T} is simple (*cf.* [4, Prop. 4.2.5]); denote by M the restriction of M to $z = 1$, *i.e.*, $M = \mathcal{M}/(z-1)\mathcal{M}$; by Theorem 5.0.1 of *loc. cit.*, M is an irreducible regular holonomic $\mathscr{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ -module;

- if M is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, then q_+M has cohomology in degree 0 only [use duality to reduce to the vanishing of $\mathcal{H}^{-1}q_+M$, which is nothing but the space of global sections of the local system attached to M away from its singular points]; by Theorem 6.1.1 of *loc. cit.*, each cohomology $\mathcal{H}^j q_+ \mathcal{M}$ is strict and its fibre at $z = 1$ is $\mathcal{H}^j q_+ M$; therefore, $\mathcal{H}^j q_+ M = 0$ if $j \neq 0$; the space of global sections of the local system attached to *M* away from its
singular points]; by Theorem 6.1.1 of *loc. cit.*, each cohomology $\mathcal{H}^{j}q_{+}\mathcal{M}$
is strict and its fibre at $z = 1$ is $\mathcal{H}^{j}q_{+}M$;
- *Singular points*; by Theorem 6.1.1 of *loc. cit.*, each cohomology $\mathcal{H}^j q_+ \mathcal{M}$
is strict and its fibre at $z = 1$ is $\mathcal{H}^j q_+ M$; therefore, $\mathcal{H}^j q_+ \mathcal{M} = 0$ if $j \neq 0$;
otherwise, *M* is isomorphic to is strict and its filt
otherwise, M is is
 $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{P}^1}$ (where \mathscr{P}^1
and $\psi_{\tau,-1}\widehat{\mathscr{M}}=0$; $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{P}^1}$ (where \mathscr{P}^1 denotes $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \Omega_0$, *cf.* § 2.b), so $\widehat{\mathscr{M}}$ is supported on $\tau = 0$
and $\psi_{\tau,-1}\widehat{\mathscr{M}} = 0$;
in conclusion, the S-decomposability of $\widehat{\mathscr{M}}$ along $\tau = 0$ is true in bo

Corollary 5.20 does not give information on $\psi_{\tau,-1}\mathscr{T}$. We will derive it now in dimension one.

Proposition 5.23. Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'', C, \mathcal{S})$ be an object *of* $MT^{(r)}(\mathbb{P}^1,w)^{(p)}$. Assume that $\mathscr T$ is simple and not isomorphic to $(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}, C, \mathrm{Id})(-w/2)$ *. Then, if* $q : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathrm{pt}$ *denotes the constant map, the complex* q_+ *T has cohomology in degree* 0 *only and we have natural isomorphisms* $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{S(\lambda)}{\lambda}$, *S*) $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{S(\lambda)}{\lambda}$ and $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{S(\lambda)}{\lambda}$ *for all* $\ell \geq 0$

$$
\text{morphisms}
$$
\n
$$
\text{gr}_{\ell}^{\mathbf{M}} \psi_{\tau,-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}) \xrightarrow{\text{can}_{\tau}} \text{gr}_{\ell-1}^{\mathbf{M}} \phi_{\tau,0}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}) (-1/2) \quad \text{for all } \ell \geq 1,
$$
\n
$$
\text{gr}_{\ell}^{\mathbf{M}} \psi_{\tau,-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}) \xleftarrow{\text{var}_{\tau}} \text{gr}_{\ell+1}^{\mathbf{M}} \phi_{\tau,0}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}) (1/2) \quad \text{for all } \ell \leq -1,
$$
\n
$$
P \text{ gr}_{0}^{\mathbf{M}} \psi_{\tau,-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^{0} q_{+}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}).
$$

(The gluing C for the trivial twistor $(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}, C, \mathrm{Id})$ is given by $f \otimes \overline{g} \mapsto f\overline{g}$.)

Proof. We first reduce to weight 0 and take $\mathscr{T} = (\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{M}, C)$ with $\mathscr{S} =$ (Id, Id). We *a priori* know by [4] that the morphisms can_{τ} and var_{τ} in the proposition are morphisms in $MT^{(r)}(\mathbb{P}^1, w)^{(p)}$, so we only need to show the isomorphism at the level of $\mathcal M$. Notice that, by Proposition 4.1(iv), the exact sequences (5.22) induce isomorphisms

(5.24)
$$
P \operatorname{gr}_0^M \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{H} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{M} \text{ and } \mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} P \operatorname{gr}_0^M \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{H}.
$$

The first point $(\mathcal{H}^i q_+ \mathcal{T} = 0$ for $i \neq 0$) is shown in the preliminary remark above under the assumption on $\mathscr T$ made in the proposition. Notice also that we have shown, as a consequence, that $\mathscr{H}^i q_+$ ker N_τ and $\mathscr{H}^i q_+$ coker N_τ also vanish for $i \neq 0$. With the exact sequences (5.21), this implies that above under the assumption on \mathcal{F} hade in the proposition. Notice all
we have shown, as a consequence, that $\mathcal{H}^{i}q_{+}$ ker N_{τ} and $\mathcal{H}^{i}q_{+}$ coker i
vanish for $i \neq 0$. With the exact sequences (5.2

(5.25)
$$
\mathscr{H}^0 q_+ \ker \mathrm{N}_\tau = \ker \widehat{\mathrm{N}}_\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{H}^0 q_+ \operatorname{coker} \mathrm{N}_\tau = \operatorname{coker} \widehat{\mathrm{N}}_\tau,
$$

where \widehat{N}_{τ} denotes (here, in order to avoid confusion) the nilpotent endomorphism on $\mathscr{H}^0 q_+ \psi_{\tau,-1}$ *M*_{τ} = ker \hat{N}_{τ} and $\mathcal{H}^0 q_+$ coker N_{τ} = coker \hat{N}
*m*e, in order to avoid confusion) the nilpotent $\mathcal{H} = \psi_{\tau,-1} \hat{\mathcal{M}}$. We then have exact sequences otes (here, in order to avoid confusion) the nilp
 $\psi_+ \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathcal{M} = \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathcal{M}$. We then have exact sequently $0 \longrightarrow \ker \widehat{N}_{\tau} \longrightarrow \psi_{\tau,-1} \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\text{can}_{\tau}} \psi_{\tau,0} \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow 0$,

$$
d_{+}\psi_{\tau,-1}\mathscr{H} = \psi_{\tau,-1}\widehat{\mathscr{M}}.
$$
 We then have exact sequer

$$
0 \longrightarrow \ker \widehat{N}_{\tau} \longrightarrow \psi_{\tau,-1}\widehat{\mathscr{M}} \xrightarrow{\text{can}_{\tau}} \psi_{\tau,0}\widehat{\mathscr{M}} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

$$
0 \longrightarrow \psi_{\tau,0}\widehat{\mathscr{M}} \xrightarrow{\text{var}_{\tau}} \psi_{\tau,-1}\widehat{\mathscr{M}} \longrightarrow \text{coker } \widehat{N}_{\tau} \longrightarrow 0.
$$

As can_{τ} and var_{τ} are strictly compatible with the monodromy filtration after $0 \longrightarrow \psi_{\tau,0} \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\text{Var}_{\tau}} \psi_{\tau,-1} \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \text{coker } \widehat{N}_{\tau} \longrightarrow 0.$
As can_{τ} and var_{τ} are strictly compatible with the monodromy filtration after
a shift by 1 (*cf.* [6, Lemme 5.1.12]), and as ker $\widehat{N}_{\$ we get the first isomorphism for $\ell \geq 1$. Similarly, use that $M_{-1}\psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{M}$ is $\psi_{\tau,-1}M \longrightarrow \text{coker N}_{\tau} \longrightarrow 0.$

tible with the monodromy filtration after

and as ker \hat{N}_{τ} is contained in $M_0\psi_{\tau,-1}M$,
 ≥ 1 . Similarly, use that $M_{-1}\psi_{\tau,-1}M$ is As can_{τ} and var_{τ} are strictly compatible with the monodromy filtration
a shift by 1 (*cf.* [6, Lemme 5.1.12]), and as ker \hat{N}_{τ} is contained in $M_0\psi_{\tau}$,
we get the first isomorphism for $\ell \ge 1$. Simila

To get the third isomorphism, we only have to show that \mathscr{H}^0q_+ commutes with taking $P \text{ gr}^{\text{M}}_0$ because of (5.24). We deduce first from the previous results
that we also have \mathscr{L}_{loc} Im N = 0 for $i \neq 0$ and \mathscr{L}_{loc} Im N = Im \hat{N} = Than that we also have $\mathscr{H}^i q_+ \text{Im} N_\tau = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ and $\mathscr{H}^0 q_+ \text{Im} N_\tau = \text{Im} \hat{N}_\tau$. Then, Im var_{τ} to get the second isomorphism for $\ell \le -1$.
somorphism, we only have to show that $\mathcal{H}^0 q_+$ commutes
cause of (5.24). We deduce first from the previous results
 q_+ Im N_{τ} = 0 for $i \ne 0$ and \mathcal{H} the injective morphism

$$
0\longrightarrow\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{N}_\tau\longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{N}_\tau+\ker \mathbf{N}_\tau
$$

remains injective after applying $\mathcal{H}^0 q_+$ and, as the $\mathcal{H}^i q_+$ vanish for $i \neq 0$, we conclude that the cokernel satisfies

that the cokernel satisfies
\n
$$
\mathscr{H}^{i}q_{+}P \operatorname{gr}_{0}^{\mathcal{M}} \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{M} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 0 \text{ and } \mathscr{H}^{0}q_{+}P \operatorname{gr}_{0}^{\mathcal{M}} \psi_{\tau,-1} \mathscr{M}
$$
\n
$$
= P \operatorname{gr}_{0}^{\mathcal{M}} \psi_{\tau,-1} \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}.
$$

References

- [1] Sabbah, C., Monodromy at infinity and Fourier transform, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **33** (1997), 643-685.
- [2] , Fourier-Laplace transform of irreducible regular differential systems on the Riemann sphere, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **59** (2004), 1165-1180, arXiv: math.AG/0408294.
- [3] , *Fourier-Laplace transform of a variation of polarized complex Hodge structure*, arXiv: math.AG/0508551, 36 pages, 2005.
- [4] Sabbah, C., Polarizable twistor *D*-modules, *Astérisque*, No. 300, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2005.
- [5] , *Polarizable twistor D-modules*, pr´epublication, arXiv: math.AG/0503038, vi+226 pages, 2005.
- [6] Saito, M., Modules de Hodge polarisables, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **24** (1988), 849-995.
- [7] Simpson, C., *Mixed twistor structures*, Prépublication Université de Toulouse & arXiv: math.AG/9705006, 1997.
- [8] Watson, G. N., *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1922.