

Picard Groups of Some Local Categories

By

Yousuke KAMIYA* and Katsumi SHIMOMURA**

Abstract

For each p -local spectrum E , \mathcal{L}_E denotes the full subcategory consisting of E -local spectra of the category of p -local spectra. The Picard group $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E)$ is the collection of isomorphism classes of invertible spectra in \mathcal{L}_E . If this is a set, it is a group with multiplication defined by the smash product. We show that if a spectrum E satisfies a relation $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle H\mathbf{Z}/p \rangle$ of the Bousfield classes, then $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E) = \mathbf{Z}$. In particular, $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E) = \mathbf{Z}$ if E is connective.

§1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we work in the homotopy category \mathcal{S}_p of p -local spectra for a fixed prime number p . For a spectrum $E \in \mathcal{S}_p$, a spectrum $X \in \mathcal{S}_p$ is called E -acyclic if $X \wedge E = pt$, and called E -local if $[C, X]_* = 0$ for any E -acyclic spectrum C . Let \mathcal{L}_E denote the full subcategory of \mathcal{S}_p consisting of E -local spectra. Then we have the Bousfield localization functor $L_E: \mathcal{S}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_E$ [1]. We call a spectrum $X \in \mathcal{L}_E$ invertible if there is a spectrum Y such that $L_E(X \wedge Y) = L_E S^0$. Let $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E)$ denote the collection of the isomorphism classes of invertible spectra. If $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E)$ is a set, then it is a group whose multiplication is given by $[X] \cdot [Y] = [L_E(X \wedge Y)]$ and the unit element is $[L_E S^0]$. Here $[X]$ denotes the isomorphism class of X . In the following, we

Communicated by K. Saito. Received August 29, 2001. Revised March 13, 2002, February 17, 2003, July 15, 2004, January 16, 2006, June 8, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): Primary 55Q99; Secondary 55P60.

Key words: Picard Group, Stable homotopy category, E -local category.

*101 Sejour Nishinomiya-Imazu, 2-17, Imazuyama-Naka, Nishinomiya, Hyogo 663-8211, Japan.

e-mail: kamiya_yousuke@ybb.ne.jp

**Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520, Japan.

e-mail: katsumi@math.kochi-u.ac.jp

write $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E)$ instead of $[X] \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E)$. It is well known that $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{S^0}) = \text{Pic}(\mathcal{S}_p) = \{S^n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cong \mathbf{Z}$. Consider the Morava K -theories $K(n)$ and the Johnson-Wilson spectra $E(n)$. In [5], Hopkins, Mahowald and Sadofsky showed that the Picard group $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{K(n)})$ for each $K(n)$ contains \mathbf{Z}_p and determined it for $n < 2$. Note that $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{K(n)})$ is often written by Pic_n . For $E(n)$, Hovey and Sadofsky showed $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{E(n)}) = \mathbf{Z}$ in [9] if $2p - 2 > n^2 + n$. In [9], they also determined $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{E(1)})$ at the prime 2, which is the direct sum of \mathbf{Z} and $\mathbf{Z}/2$. In [12], we gave an estimate of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{E(n)})$ by using E_r -term of the $E(n)$ -based Adams spectral sequence converging to $\pi_*(L_{E(n)}S^0)$, and showed that $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{E(2)})$ at the prime 3 is isomorphic to one of $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/3$ and $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/3$.

Recall that the Bousfield class $\langle E \rangle$ denotes the collection of E -acyclic spectra, and we write $\langle F \rangle \geq \langle E \rangle$ if $\langle F \rangle \subset \langle E \rangle$. Let HG denote the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum for a group G . Then one of our results is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *If $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle H\mathbf{Z}/p \rangle$, then $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E) = \mathbf{Z}$.*

It is shown in [1, Lemma 3.2] that if E is connective, then $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle H\mathbf{Z}/p \rangle$ or $\langle E \rangle = \langle H\mathbf{Q} \rangle$. It is well known (*cf.* [5]) that $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{H\mathbf{Q}}) = \mathbf{Z}$.

Corollary 1.2. *If E is connective, then $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E) = \mathbf{Z}$.*

Let I denote the Brown-Comenetz dual of S^0 . In [8] and [10], it is shown that $L_E S^0 = S^0$ or $S\mathbf{Z}_p$ if $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle I \rangle$. Then the above theorem follows from

Theorem 1.3. *If $\langle F \rangle \geq \langle E \rangle \geq \langle I \rangle$, then $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_F) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I)$.*

Note that if $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I) = \mathbf{Z}$, then $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_F) = \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E) = \mathbf{Z}$, though the assumption $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I) = \mathbf{Z}$ seems strong.

In the next section, we study basic relations between the Bousfield classes and Picard groups, and then we consider the Picard groups for spectra with a finite local and prove Theorem 1.3 in the following section. In Section 4, we show $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{H\mathbf{Z}/p}) = \mathbf{Z}$, which together with results given in the previous section proves Theorem 1.1. We describe a filtration of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I)$ in the last section as well as the proofs of some facts. For instance, we prove that the only invertible spectrum which is also a ring spectrum is the E -local sphere $L_E S^0$. We also discuss there the small objects in some local stable homotopy categories.

§2. Relations between Picard Groups

The function spectrum $\mathbf{F}(X, Y)$ is defined to be a spectrum such that $[W \wedge X, Y]_* = [W, \mathbf{F}(X, Y)]_*$ for any spectra W . We define the Spanier-Whitehead dual $D_E(X)$ of X in \mathcal{L}_E by $D_E(X) = \mathbf{F}(X, L_E S^0)$. In particular, we write $D(X) = D_{S^0}(X)$ for the ordinary Spanier-Whitehead dual. It is shown in [11, Proposition A.2.8] that if $X \in \mathcal{L}_E$ is invertible, then $D_E(X)$ is the inverse of it, that is, $L_E(X \wedge D_E(X)) = L_E S^0$.

Lemma 2.1. *For any spectrum $X \in \mathcal{S}_p$, the Spanier-Whitehead dual $D_E(X)$ is E -local.*

Proof. Since $C \wedge X$ is E -acyclic if so is C , $[C, D_E(X)]_* = [C \wedge X, L_E S^0]_* = 0$. □

Note that there is a relation $L_E(L_E(X) \wedge L_E(Y)) = L_E(X \wedge Y)$ of E -localization, which we often use. For example, we have $L_E(X \wedge L_E S^0) = X$ for an E -local spectrum X . By definition, if $\langle F \rangle \geq \langle E \rangle$, then $L_E L_F X = L_E X$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $\langle F \rangle \geq \langle E \rangle$. The localization functor L_E induces a correspondence $l: \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_F) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E)$.*

Proof. Define $l(X) = L_E X$. Then we see that $l(X) \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E)$. In fact, $L_E(l(X) \wedge l(D_F(X))) = L_E(X \wedge D_F(X)) = L_E(L_F(X \wedge D_F(X))) = L_E L_F S^0 = L_E S^0$, since $D_F(X)$ is the inverse of X in \mathcal{L}_F . □

We call a spectrum X *strongly dualizable* in \mathcal{L}_E if $L_E(\mathbf{F}(X, L_E S^0) \wedge Y) = \mathbf{F}(X, Y)$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{L}_E$. In [13, Chapter III], Lewis, May and Steinberger studied properties of strongly dualizable spectra. Among them, we have isomorphisms

$$(2.3) \quad X = D_E(D_E(X)) \quad \text{and} \quad L_E(D_E(X) \wedge D_E(Y)) = D_E(X \wedge Y)$$

for a strongly dualizable spectrum X and a spectrum Y .

$$(2.4) \quad ([11, Proposition A.2.8]) \quad X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E) \text{ is strongly dualizable.}$$

Lemma 2.5. *If $\langle F \rangle \geq \langle E \rangle$ and $L_F S^0 = L_E S^0$, then $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_F) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E)$.*

Proof. Take any spectrum X in $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_F)$. Then $X = D_F(D_F(X))$ by (2.4) and (2.3), which is isomorphic to $D_E(D_E(X))$, since $D_E(-) = D_F(-)$ by

the assumption $L_F S^0 = L_E S^0$. Thus, X is E -local by Lemma 2.1. Furthermore, $L_E(X \wedge D_E(X)) = L_E L_F(X \wedge D_F(X)) = L_E L_F S^0 = L_E S^0$, and hence $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E)$. \square

§3. On Spectra with a Finite Local

We say that a spectrum E has a finite local if there exists a non-trivial finite spectrum X such that $L_E X = X$. It is shown in [8, Proposition 7.2] that E has a finite local if and only if $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle I \rangle$. Here I denotes the Brown-Comenetz dual of the sphere spectrum. Since $\langle I \rangle = \langle I \wedge X \rangle$ for any non-trivial finite spectrum X by [8, Proposition 7.1 (e)], we see that

(3.1) *If E has a finite local, then so does $E \wedge X$ for a non-trivial finite spectrum X .*

It is shown in [10, Theorem B.6(a)] that if E has a finite local and $L_E H\mathbf{Q} \neq pt$ (resp. $L_E H\mathbf{Q} = pt$), then $L_E S^0 = S^0$ (resp. $L_E S^0 = S\mathbf{Z}_p$) (cf. [7, Theorem 3.6]). Hereafter, SG for a group G denotes the Moore spectrum with $H\mathbf{Z}_*(SG) = G$. If $\langle F \rangle \geq \langle E \rangle \geq \langle I \rangle$, then there are two cases:

- 1) $L_F S^0 = L_E S^0$
- 2) $L_F S^0 = S^0$ and $L_E S^0 = S\mathbf{Z}_p$

In the first case, Lemma 2.5 shows that $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_F) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E)$. We study the second case. Put $E/p^i = E \wedge S\mathbf{Z}/p^i$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let E have a finite local and suppose $L_E H\mathbf{Q} = pt$. Then $\langle E/p \rangle = \langle E \rangle$.*

Proof. By [14, Lemma 1.34], we see that $\langle E \rangle = \langle E \wedge S\mathbf{Q} \rangle \vee \langle E/p \rangle$. Since $E \wedge S\mathbf{Q} = E \wedge L_E S\mathbf{Q} = E \wedge L_E H\mathbf{Q} = pt$ by assumption, we have the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.3. *If X is E -local, then $L_{E/p} X = L_{S\mathbf{Z}/p} X$.*

Proof. Consider the cofiber sequence $X \xrightarrow{\eta} L_{E/p} X \rightarrow C_{E/p} X$ of the localization. Then $S\mathbf{Z}/p \wedge C_{E/p} X = pt$, since $C_{E/p} X$ is E -local and $S\mathbf{Z}/p \wedge C_{E/p} X$

is E -acyclic. Applying now $L_{SZ/p}$ to the cofiber sequence, we see that $L_{SZ/p}\eta$ is the desired isomorphism since $C_{E/p}X$ is SZ/p -acyclic. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let E be a spectrum with a finite local. Then the correspondence $l: \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{E/p})$ given in Lemma 2.2 is an injection.*

Proof. If $L_E H\mathbf{Q} = pt$, then $L_E S^0 = L_{E/p} S^0$ by Lemma 3.6, and the lemma follows from Lemma 2.5. Suppose that $L_E H\mathbf{Q} \neq pt$. Then $L_E S^0 = S^0$ and so $D_E(-) = D(-)$. Let $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E)$ be an element such that $l(X) = L_{E/p} S^0$. Since l satisfies $l([X] \cdot [Y]) = l([X]) \cdot l([Y])$, it suffices to show that $X = S^0$.

Consider the cofiber sequence $X \wedge D(X) \xrightarrow{\eta} L_E(X \wedge D(X)) \rightarrow C_E(X \wedge D(X))$ of the localization, which is

$$(3.5) \quad X \wedge D(X) \xrightarrow{\eta} S^0 \longrightarrow C$$

for $C = C_E(X \wedge D(X))$. Since $L_E H\mathbf{Q} \neq pt$, $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle E \rangle \wedge \langle H\mathbf{Q} \rangle = \langle H\mathbf{Q} \rangle$ by [14, Theorem 2.1(h)]. Therefore, C is $H\mathbf{Q}$ -acyclic.

Note that $D(X)$ is E -local by Lemma 2.1 and that $L_{SZ/p}X = L_{E/p}X = L_{SZ/p}S^0$ by Lemma 3.3 and the assumption on X . Then, $SZ/p \wedge X = SZ/p \wedge L_{SZ/p}S^0 = SZ/p \wedge L_{SZ/p}X = SZ/p \wedge L_{SZ/p}S^0 = SZ/p$ and $SZ/p \wedge D(X) = \Sigma D(SZ/p \wedge X) = SZ/p$ by (2.3), since $D(SZ/p) = \Sigma^{-1}SZ/p$. Smashing SZ/p with the cofiber sequence (3.5) yields the cofiber sequence

$$SZ/p \xrightarrow{\eta} SZ/p \longrightarrow SZ/p \wedge C.$$

Applying L_E to this cofiber sequence, we have a commutative diagram with horizontal cofiber sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} SZ/p & \xrightarrow{\eta} & SZ/p & \longrightarrow & SZ/p \wedge C \\ \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_E(SZ/p) & \xrightarrow{L_E(\eta)} & L_E(SZ/p) & \longrightarrow & L_E(SZ/p \wedge C) = pt. \end{array}$$

Here, the left and the middle vertical arrows are equivalences since $L_E(S^0) = S^0$, and so is the right vertical arrow. Thus, $SZ/p \wedge C = L_E(SZ/p \wedge C) = SZ/p \wedge L_E(C) = SZ/p \wedge pt = pt$, which shows C is SZ/p -acyclic.

These show that $C = pt$ by [14, Theorem 2.1(i)] and $\eta: X \wedge D(X) = S^0$. Therefore, $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{S^0})$, that is, X is a suspension of S^0 . Since $SZ/p \wedge X = SZ/p$, we see that $X = S^0$ as desired. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that E has a finite local and $\langle F \rangle \geq \langle E \rangle$. Then $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_F) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E)$. In particular, if $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E) = \mathbf{Z}$, then $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_F) = \mathbf{Z}$.*

Proof. If $L_F S^0 = L_E S^0$, then the corollary follows from Lemma 2.5. If $L_F S^0 = S^0$ and $L_E S^0 = S\mathbf{Z}_p$, then $\langle F \rangle \geq \langle F/p \rangle \geq \langle E/p \rangle = \langle E \rangle$ by Lemma 3.2. Therefore, Lemmas 2.5 and 3.4 imply the corollary. \square

Corollary 3.7. *If E has a finite local, then $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I)$.*

§4. The Picard Group of $\mathcal{L}_{HZ/p}$

Put $D_p(X) = \mathbf{F}(X, S\mathbf{Z}_p) = D_{HZ/p}(X)$. Then, for $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{HZ/p})$, $L_{HZ/p}(X \wedge D_p(X)) = L_{HZ/p} S^0$ by [11, Proposition A.2.8], and so $H\mathbf{Z}/p_*(X) = \mathbf{Z}/p$. Let $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{HZ/p})^0$ denote the subcollection consisting of isomorphism classes $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{HZ/p})$ such that $(H\mathbf{Z}/p)_0(X) = \mathbf{Z}/p$. Then any element of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{HZ/p})$ is a suspension of an element of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{HZ/p})^0$. Furthermore, note the relation $D_p D_p(X) = X$ by (2.3). We also consider the cofiber sequence

$$(4.1) \quad S\mathbf{Z}/p \xrightarrow{p^r} S\mathbf{Z}/p^{r+1} \xrightarrow{j_r} S\mathbf{Z}/p^r \xrightarrow{\delta_r} \Sigma S\mathbf{Z}/p.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{HZ/p})^0$. Then we have an equivalence $L_{HZ}(X \wedge S\mathbf{Z}/p^r) \xrightarrow{\cong} S\mathbf{Z}/p^r$ for each $r > 0$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{HZ}(X \wedge S\mathbf{Z}/p^{r+1}) & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge j_r} & L_{HZ}(X \wedge S\mathbf{Z}/p^r) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ S\mathbf{Z}/p^{r+1} & \xrightarrow{j_r} & S\mathbf{Z}/p^r \end{array}$$

commutes for the map j_r of (4.1).

Proof. Put $Y = X \wedge S\mathbf{Z}/p$ and let g be a generator of $(H\mathbf{Z})_0(Y) = (H\mathbf{Z}/p)_0(X) = \mathbf{Z}/p$. Since $H\mathbf{Z}/p$ is a ring spectrum, $g: S^0 \rightarrow H\mathbf{Z} \wedge Y$ extends to a homotopy equivalence $\tilde{g}: H\mathbf{Z}/p \rightarrow H\mathbf{Z} \wedge Y$. Then we have a map $f: Y \xrightarrow{\iota \wedge 1} H\mathbf{Z} \wedge Y \xleftarrow{\tilde{g}} H\mathbf{Z}/p$ for the unit map ι of $H\mathbf{Z}$. Note that $[Y, \Sigma^k H\mathbf{Z}/p]_0$

$= (HZ/p)^k(Y) = \text{Hom}((HZ/p)_k(Y), Z/p) = \text{Hom}((HZ/p)_k(SZ/p), Z/p) = (HZ/p)^k(SZ/p) = 0$ unless $k = 0, 1$. Consider the Postnikov tower of SZ/p :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} HZ/p & \longleftarrow & X_1 & \longleftarrow & X_2 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \longleftarrow SZ/p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \Sigma^2 H\pi_1(SZ/p) & & \Sigma^3 H\pi_2(SZ/p) & & \Sigma^4 H\pi_3(SZ/p) & & \end{array}$$

Since $[Y, \Sigma^k H\pi_{k-1}SZ/p]_0 = H^k(Y; \pi_{k-1}(SZ/p)) = 0$ for $k > 1$, the map $f: Y \rightarrow HZ/p$ is lifted up to an HZ/p -equivalence $\tilde{f}: Y \rightarrow SZ/p$. The Spanier-Whitehead dual yields an HZ/p -equivalence $D_p(\tilde{f}): \Sigma^{-1}SZ/p \rightarrow D_p(Y) = D_p(X) \wedge \Sigma^{-1}SZ/p$. We do this for $D_p(X)$ instead of X , and obtain an HZ/p -equivalence $f': SZ/p \rightarrow Y$ since $D_p D_p(X) = X$. Since $\tilde{f}f': SZ/p \rightarrow SZ/p$ is an HZ/p -equivalence, it is an equivalence. Therefore, we have a decomposition $Y \cong SZ/p \vee A$ for some spectrum A . Since $(HZ)_*(Y) = Z/p$, we have $HZ_*(A) = 0$ and so $L_{HZ}Y \cong SZ/p$.

Inductively suppose that we have the equivalences of the lemma up to r . Since $\delta_r \in [SZ/p^r, SZ/p]_{-1} = Z/p$ is a generator, so is $1 \wedge \delta_r \in [L_{HZ}(X \wedge SZ/p^r), L_{HZ}(X \wedge SZ/p)]_{-1}$. Here $[L_{HZ}(X \wedge SZ/p^r), L_{HZ}(X \wedge SZ/p)]_{-1}$ is isomorphic to $[SZ/p^r, SZ/p]_{-1} = Z/p$, since X is HZ/p -invertible. Then the composite $\delta'_r: SZ/p^r \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{HZ}(X \wedge SZ/p^r) \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \delta_r} L_{HZ}(X \wedge SZ/p) \xrightarrow{\cong} SZ/p$ is not zero and so $\delta'_r = k\delta_r$ for some $k \neq 0 \in Z/p$. Then we obtain the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} L_{HZ}(X \wedge SZ/p) & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge p^r} & L_{HZ}X \wedge SZ/p^{r+1} & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge j_r} & L_{HZ}(X \wedge SZ/p^r) & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \delta_r} & \Sigma L_{HZ}X \wedge SZ/p \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{dotted} & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ SZ/p & \longrightarrow & W_r & \longrightarrow & SZ/p^r & \xrightarrow{\delta'_r} & \Sigma SZ/p \\ k^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{dotted} & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow k^{-1} \\ SZ/p & \xrightarrow{p^r} & SZ/p^{r+1} & \xrightarrow{j_r} & SZ/p^r & \xrightarrow{\delta_r} & \Sigma SZ/p \end{array}$$

Here W_r denotes a fiber of δ'_r . Now the dotted arrows give the desired equivalence. □

Theorem 4.3. $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{HZ/p}) = Z$.

Proof. Let $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{HZ/p})^0$. Then by [7, Corollary 2.2] with $n = 1$ and $E = HZ$, we see that $X = \lim_r(L_{HZ}X \wedge SZ/p^r)$, which is equivalent to $\lim_r SZ/p^r = SZ_p$ by Lemma 4.2. Since any element X of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{HZ/p})$ is a suspension of an element of $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{HZ/p})^0$, $X = \Sigma^t SZ_p$ for some $t \in Z$. Therefore, $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{HZ/p}) = Z$ whose generator is ΣSZ_p . □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $\langle H\mathbf{Z}/p \rangle > \langle I \rangle$, any spectrum E with $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle H\mathbf{Z}/p \rangle$ has a finite local. Theorem 1.1 is now a corollary of Corollary 3.6 and Theorem 4.3. \square

§5. Some Remarks

Let $K(n)$ denote the n -th Morava K spectrum and consider the spectrum $H_n = \bigvee_{i \geq n} K(i)$. Then H_0 -local (resp. H_0 -acyclic) spectrum is called harmonic (resp. dissonant). A finite spectrum X is called a type n spectrum if $K(n-1)_*(X) = 0$ and $K(n)_*(X) \neq 0$. By [6] and [4], we have a finite ring spectrum MJ_n such that $BP_*(MJ_n) = BP_*/J_n$ for an invariant ideal $J_n = (p^{e_0}, v_1^{e_1}, \dots, v_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}})$ of BP_* . The spectrum MJ_n is a type n spectrum, that is $K(i) \wedge MJ_n = pt$ for $i < n$. Therefore, $H_0 \wedge MJ_n = \bigvee_{i \geq 0} K(i) \wedge MJ_n = H_n$.

Lemma 5.1. *For $n \geq 0$, $\langle H_n \rangle = \langle H_0 \wedge MJ_n \rangle$ for any MJ_n .*

Proposition 5.2. *H_n for $n \geq 0$ has a finite local.*

Proof. Since a finite spectrum is harmonic [14, Corollary 4.5], H_0 has a finite local. Therefore, H_n for $n > 0$ has a finite local by Lemma 5.1 and (3.1). \square

Let $F(n)$ denote a finite spectrum of type n . Then it admits a self map $f: F(n) \rightarrow F(n)$ that induces the multiplication of v_n^a on BP_* -homology for some integer $a > 0$ by [6]. The cofiber of f yields $F(n+1)$, and so $\langle F(n) \rangle \geq \langle F(n+1) \rangle$ (cf. the class invariance theorem [15]). Furthermore, we denote a spectrum $f^{-1}F(n)$ as $\text{Tel}(n)$. Note that the Bousfield class of $\text{Tel}(n)$ depends only on n (cf. [7, Lemma 1.3]). Then the Bousfield class of $H_n^f = \bigvee_{i \geq n} \text{Tel}(i)$ also depends only on n . Since $K(n) \wedge \text{Tel}(n) \neq pt$, we see that $\langle \text{Tel}(n) \rangle \geq \langle K(n) \rangle$ by [14, Theorem 2.1(h)], and so $\langle H_0^f \rangle \geq \langle E(n) \rangle$ for each $n \geq 0$. Indeed, $\langle E(n) \rangle = \langle \bigvee_{0 \leq i \leq n} K(i) \rangle$.

These yield relations of Bousfield classes of spectra.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S^0 \rangle &\geq \langle F(1) \rangle \geq \langle F(2) \rangle \geq \dots \geq \langle H\mathbf{Z}/p \rangle \geq \langle I \rangle \\ \langle S^0 \rangle &\geq \langle X(1) \rangle \geq \langle X(2) \rangle \geq \dots \geq \langle BP \rangle \geq \langle H\mathbf{Z} \rangle \geq \langle H\mathbf{Z}/p \rangle \geq \langle I \rangle \\ &\langle S^0 \rangle \geq \langle H_0^f \rangle \geq \langle H_1^f \rangle \geq \dots \geq \langle I \rangle \\ &\langle BP \rangle \geq \langle H_0 \rangle \geq \langle H_1 \rangle \geq \dots \geq \langle I \rangle \\ \langle BP \rangle &\geq \langle H_0 \rangle \geq \dots \geq \langle I \vee E(n) \rangle \geq \langle I \vee E(n-1) \rangle \geq \dots \\ &\dots \geq \langle I \vee E(0) \rangle = \langle I \vee H\mathbf{Q} \rangle \geq \langle I \rangle \\ \langle H\mathbf{Z}/p \rangle &\geq \langle IBP \rangle \geq \dots \geq \langle IX(n) \rangle \geq \dots \geq \langle IX(1) \rangle \geq \langle I \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Here $X(i)$ is the spectrum given in [14], and IY is the Brown-Comenetz dual of Y . The second sequence is given in [14, p. 369] and the bottom sequence is given in [8, Theorem 8.4]. The Picard groups of the spectra appearing in the first two sequences except for I are all isomorphic to \mathbf{Z} by Corollary 3.6 and Theorem 4.3. The other sequences yield the filtrations of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z} &= \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{S^0}) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{H_0^f}) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{H_1^f}) \subset \cdots \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I) \\ \mathbf{Z} &= \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{BP}) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{H_0}) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{H_1}) \subset \cdots \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I) \\ \mathbf{Z} &= \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{BP}) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{H_0}) \subset \cdots \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{I \vee E(n)}) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{I \vee E(n-1)}) \subset \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{I \vee E(0)}) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I) \\ \mathbf{Z} &= \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{HZ/p}) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{IBP}) \subset \cdots \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{IX(n)}) \subset \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{IX(1)}) \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I) \end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 3.6. We have a problem

Problem. Is $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I) = \mathbf{Z}$?

If this has an affirmative answer, then for all spectra E with a finite local, $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_E) = \mathbf{Z}$ by Corollary 3.6. For each $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I)$, put $W_X = X \wedge D_p(X)$ and let F_X be the fiber of the localization map $W_X \rightarrow L_I W_X = SZ_p$. For a spectrum E , let aE denote the spectrum given by Bousfield in [1, Lemma 1.13] (see also [2] for its proof). Then, we have $\langle aE \rangle \geq \langle C \rangle$ for any E -acyclic spectrum C .

Proposition 5.3. *The collection of $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I)$ such that $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{aF_X}) = \mathbf{Z}$ consists of suspensions of SZ_p . In particular, if $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{aF_X}) = \mathbf{Z}$ for each $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I)$, then $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I) = \mathbf{Z}$.*

Proof. By definition, F_X is I -acyclic, which implies that $\langle aF_X \rangle \geq \langle I \rangle$. Since F_X is also aF_X -acyclic, it follows that $L_{aF_X} W_X = SZ_p$. Therefore, $X \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{aF_X}) = \mathbf{Z}$ and so X is a suspension of SZ_p . □

Since $\langle C \rangle \leq \langle aE \rangle$ for an E -acyclic spectrum C as noted above, we see that $HZ/p \wedge F_X = pt$ implies $\langle aF_X \rangle \geq \langle HZ/p \rangle$, and so $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{aF_X}) = \mathbf{Z}$ by Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 5.4. *Let X be an element of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I)$. If $(HZ/p)_*(F_X) = 0$, then X is a suspension of SZ_p .*

To study the filtration of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_I)$, the next problem seems interesting.

Problem. Determine $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{L}_{I\vee K(n)})$.

Next we consider an invertible spectrum that is also a ring spectrum. We deduce the following by the similar argument given in the previous sections.

Proposition 5.5. *An invertible ring spectrum is the sphere spectrum in \mathcal{L}_E for any E .*

Proof. Let X be an invertible ring spectrum in \mathcal{L}_E . Then $X \wedge X = X \vee (X \wedge \overline{X})$ for a cofiber \overline{X} of the unit map $S^0 \rightarrow X$. Let D_E denote the Spanier-Whitehead dual functor on \mathcal{L}_E . Since $D_E(X)$ is an inverse of X , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} X &= L_E(D_E(X) \wedge X \wedge X) = L_E(D_E(X) \wedge X) \vee L_E(D_E(X) \wedge X \wedge \overline{X}) \\ &= L_E S^0 \vee L_E \overline{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $[S^0, L_E S^0]_*$ is a direct summand of $[X, X]_*$. On the other hand, $[X, X]_*$ is isomorphic to $[S^0, L_E S^0]_*$ under the map assigning $f: X \rightarrow X$ to $f \wedge 1: L_E(X \wedge D_E(X)) \rightarrow L_E(X \wedge D_E(X))$. Since the isomorphism is the one of $[S^0, L_E S^0]_*$ -modules and it sends the identity to identity, the other direct summands of $[X, X]_*$ are zero. In particular, we obtain $L_E \overline{X} = pt$ as desired. \square

In the category \mathcal{L}_E , a spectrum A is small if $[A, L_E(\bigvee_\alpha X_\alpha)]_* = \bigoplus_\alpha [A, X_\alpha]_*$ for any wedge sum $L_E(\bigvee_\alpha X_\alpha)$ in \mathcal{L}_E . In [10, Appendix B], Hovey and Strickland showed that if E has a finite local and is I -acyclic, then the category \mathcal{L}_E does not have non-zero small objects, and that BP , H_0 , $H\mathbf{Z}$, $H\mathbf{Z}/p$ and I are examples for E and $F(n)$ is not. Here, we give other examples. Since I and $K(n)$ are I -acyclic, we see the following:

Proposition 5.6. *For $n \geq 0$, \mathcal{L}_{H_n} , $\mathcal{L}_{I\vee E(n)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{I\vee K(n)}$ do not have non-zero small objects.*

Since the sphere spectrum S^0 has a finite local, so does the finite spectrum $F(n)$ by (3.1). Furthermore, we see $\text{Tel}(n) \wedge F(n+1) = pt$ by definition. Thus $\text{Tel}(n)$ is $F(n+1)$ -acyclic, and so is I -acyclic. Thus we have the following:

Proposition 5.7. *For $n \geq 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{H_n^f}$ does not have non-zero small objects.*

This proof indicates the following fact on spectra with a finite acyclic. Here a spectrum E has a finite acyclic if there is a finite spectrum $X \neq pt$ such that $L_E X = pt$.

Proposition 5.8. *If E has a finite acyclic, then E is I -acyclic.*

This follows from

Proposition 5.9. *If E admits an E -acyclic spectrum that has a finite local, then E is I -acyclic.*

Proof. Let X denote the E -acyclic spectrum of the proposition. Then $E \wedge X = pt$. Since $\langle X \rangle \geq \langle I \rangle$, we obtain $E \wedge I = pt$. \square

Proposition 5.10. *Neither $\mathcal{L}_{X(n)}$ nor $\mathcal{L}_{IX(n)}$ has non-zero small objects.*

Proof. By [8, Theorem 8.4], $\langle I \rangle \leq \langle IX(n) \rangle \leq \langle X(n) \rangle$, and so both of $X(n)$ and $IX(n)$ have finite locals. It is also shown in [8, Lemma 7.1] that $X(n)$ is I -acyclic, and so is $IX(n)$. \square

References

- [1] A. K. Bousfield, The localization of spectra with respect to homology, *Topology* **18** (1979), no. 4, 257–281.
- [2] ———, Correction to: “The Boolean algebra of spectra, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **54** (1979), no. 3, 368–377”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **58** (1983), 599–600.
- [3] E. H. Brown, Jr. and M. Comenetz, Pontrjagin duality for generalized homology and cohomology theories, *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), no. 1, 1–27.
- [4] E. S. Devinatz, Small ring spectra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **81** (1992), no. 1, 11–16.
- [5] M. J. Hopkins, M. E. Mahowald and H. Sadofsky, Constructions of elements in Picard group, in *Topology and representation theory (Evanston, IL, 1992)*, 89–126, *Contemp. Math.*, 158, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [6] M. J. Hopkins and J. H. Smith, Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory. II, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **148** (1998), no. 1, 1–49.
- [7] M. Hovey, Bousfield localization functors and Hopkins’ chromatic splitting conjecture, in *the Čech centennial (Boston, MA, 1993)*, 225–250, *Contemp. Math.*, 181, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [8] M. Hovey and J. H. Palmieri, The structure of the Bousfield lattice, in *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures (Baltimore, MD, 1998)*, 175–196, *Contemp. Math.*, 239, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [9] M. Hovey and H. Sadofsky, Invertible spectra in the $E(n)$ -local stable homotopy category, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **60** (1999), no. 1, 284–302.
- [10] M. Hovey and N. P. Strickland, Morava K -theories and localisation, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139** (1999), no. 666, viii+100 pp.
- [11] M. Hovey, J. H. Palmieri and N. P. Strickland, Axiomatic stable homotopy theory, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (1997), no. 610, x+114 pp.

- [12] Y. Kamiya and K. Shimomura, A relation between the Picard group of the $E(n)$ -local homotopy category and $E(n)$ -based Adams spectral sequence, in *Homotopy theory: relations with algebraic geometry, group cohomology, and algebraic K-theory*, 321–333, Contemp. Math., 346, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [13] L. G. Lewis, Jr., J. P. May and M. Steinberger, *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*. With contributions by J. E. McClure, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1213, Berlin. Springer-Verlag, 1986. x+538 pp.
- [14] D. C. Ravenel, Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories, *Amer. J. Math.* **106** (1984), no. 2, 351–414.
- [15] ———, *Nilpotence and periodicity in stable homotopy theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992. xiv+209 pp.