# A Note on Embeddings of $S_4$ and $A_5$ into the Two-dimensional Cremona Group and Versal Galois Covers

 $_{\rm By}$ 

Shinzo BANNAI and Hiro-o TOKUNAGA\*

# Abstract

In this article, we prove that two versal Galois covers for  $S_4$  and  $A_5$  introduced in [17], [18] and [19] are birationally distinct to each other. As a corollary, we obtain two non-conjugate embeddings of  $S_4$  and  $A_5$  into  $\operatorname{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

#### Introduction

Let X and Y be normal projective varieties defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ , the field of complex numbers. A finite surjective morphism  $\pi : X \to Y$  is called Galois, if the induced field extension  $\mathbb{C}(X)/\mathbb{C}(Y)$  of the field of rational functions is Galois. Given a finite group G, we simply call  $\pi : X \to Y$  a G-cover if it is Galois and  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C}(X)/\mathbb{C}(Y)) \cong G$ . In [17] and [19], a notion called "versal Galois covers" is introduced, of which the definition is as follows:

**Definition 0.1.** Let G be a finite group. A G-cover  $\varpi : X \to Y$  is called a versal Galois cover for G or a versal G-cover if it satisfies the following property:

For any G-cover  $\pi: W \to Z$ , there exists a G-equivariant rational map  $\mu: W \dashrightarrow X$  such that

Communicated by A. Tamagawa. Received November 15, 2005. Revised February 13, 2006, October 11, 2006.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 14E20, 14L30.

Key words: versal Galois cover, Cremona embedding.

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics and Information Science, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minamiohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan.

<sup>© 2007</sup> Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University. All rights reserved.

$$\mu(W) \not\subset \operatorname{Fix}(X,G),$$

where  $Fix(X, G) := \{x \in X \mid \text{the stabilizer group at } x, G_x \neq \{1\}\}.$ 

*Remark.* The rational map  $\mu$  induces a rational map  $\overline{\mu} : \mathbb{Z} \dashrightarrow Y$ . Concerning this rational map  $\overline{\mu}$ , there exists a Zariski open set U such that (i)  $U \subset \operatorname{dom}(\overline{\mu}), \operatorname{dom}(\bullet)$  being the domain of a rational map  $\bullet$ , and (ii)  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  is birationally equivalent to  $U \times_Y X$  over U. (see [18], Proposition 1.2).

The notion of versal G-covers implicitly appeared in [12] and [13] as the "pull-back" construction of G-covers, where Namba showed that there exists a versal G-cover of dimension  $\sharp(G)$  for any finite group G. Namba's model, however, has too large dimension for practical use.

For a finite subgroup G in  $GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ , Bannai and Tsuchihashi construct versal G-covers of dimension n by using toric geometry in [1] and [19].

In [5], the notion of the essential dimension,  $ed_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ , of G is introduced and it is known that the following equality holds (see [5] and [18]):

$$\operatorname{ed}_{\mathbb{C}}(G) = \min\{\dim X \mid \varpi : X \to Y \text{ is a versal } G\text{-cover}\}.$$

By Theorem 6.2 in [5],  $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathbb{C}}(G) = 1$  if and only if G is either a cyclic group or a dihedral group of order 2n (n: odd). As a next step, in [17], [18] and [19], we study the case of  $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathbb{C}}(G) = 2$  and give some explicit examples.

Among explicit examples in [17], [18], two different versal G-covers,  $\varpi_{G,1}$ :  $X_1 \to Y_1$  and  $\varpi_{G,2} : X_2 \to Y_2$  are given for the cases when G is  $S_4$ , the symmetric group of 4-letters and  $A_5$ , the alternating group of 5-letters (see §1 for description of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ ). Here  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are del-Pezzo surfaces which are known to be rational. Moreover, by the definition of versal G-covers, there exist G-equivariant rational maps  $\mu_1 : X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2$  and  $\mu_2 : X_2 \dashrightarrow X_1$  such that  $\mu_1(X_1) \not\subset \operatorname{Fix}(X_2, G)$  and  $\mu_2(X_2) \not\subset \operatorname{Fix}(X_1, G)$ . Under these circumstances, it may be natural to raise a question as follows:

Question 0.1. Let G be either  $S_4$  or  $A_5$ . Let  $\varpi_{G,1} : X_1 \to Y_1$  and  $\varpi_{G,2} : X_2 \to Y_2$  be versal G-covers as above. Does there exist any G-equivariant birational map from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$ ?

In this note, we consider Question 0.1 and prove the following:

**Theorem 0.1.** There exists no G-equivariant birational map from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$ 

Since both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are rational, their birational automorphism group is the 2-dimensional Cremona group  $\operatorname{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . For  $G = S_4, A_5$ , we have two different embeddings  $\eta_i : G \to \operatorname{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$  (i = 1, 2) via  $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(X_i) \subset \operatorname{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$  (i =1,2). Our theorem implies that  $\eta_1(G)$  is not conjugate to  $\eta_2(G)$  in  $\operatorname{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Combining Proposition 0.3 (i) in [18], we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 0.1.** Both  $S_4$  and  $A_5$  have at least 3 non-conjugate embeddings into  $\operatorname{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

Our results could be found in old literatures such as [10] and [20], but we would like to emphasize that our question comes from the study of versal G-covers, which is a rather new notion. Also conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of  $\operatorname{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$  have been studied by several mathematicians ([2], [3], [4], [6], [8]). The notion of versal G-covers may add another interest to this subject.

This article goes as follows. We first give a detailed description of the versal G-covers  $\varpi_{G,i} : X_i \to Y_i$  (i = 1, 2) in §1. In §2, we explain our main tool, "Noether's inequality," which plays an important role in [8] and [9]. We prove Theorem 0.1 in §3. In §4, we consider rational maps between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  in the case of  $G = S_4$ .

# §1. Versal $S_4$ - and $A_5$ -covers: Two Examples

# §1.1. Versal $S_4$ -covers

Let  $S_4$  be the symmetric group of 4-letters. Put  $\sigma = (12), \tau = (123), \lambda_1 = (13)(24), \lambda_2 = (12)(34)$ 

Let  $\rho: S_4 \to \operatorname{GL}(3, \mathbb{C})$  be a faithful irreducible representation as follows:

$$\sigma \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \ 1 \ 0 \\ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \tau \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 1 \\ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\lambda_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ -1 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Versal  $S_4$ -cover  $\varpi_{S_4,1}: X_1 \to Y_1$ 

Let  $X_1$  be a surface in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  defined by the equation

$$x_0 y_0 z_0 - x_1 y_1 z_1 = 0,$$

where  $([x_0, x_1], [y_0, y_1], [z_0, z_1])$  denotes the homogeneous coordinates. Put  $x = x_1/x_0, y = y_1/y_0, z = z_1/z_0$ . Define an  $S_4$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x, y, z) &= (x, y, z)\rho(\sigma^{-1}) = (y, x, z), \\ \tau(x, y, z) &= (x, y, z)\rho(\tau^{-1}) = (z, x, y), \\ \lambda_1(x, y, z) &= (x, y, z)\rho(\lambda_1^{-1}) = (-x, y, -z), \\ \lambda_2(x, y, z) &= (x, y, z)\rho(\lambda_2^{-1}) = (-x, -y, z). \end{aligned}$$

The defining equation of  $X_1$  is invariant under this  $S_4$ -action. Hence  $S_4$  acts on  $X_1$ . Put  $Y_1 = X_1/G$  and denote the quotient morphism by  $\varpi_{S_4,1} : X_1 \to Y_1$ . By [17] and [19],  $\varpi_{S_4,1} : X_1 \to Y_1$  is a versal  $S_4$ -cover.

We look into some properties of  $X_1$  with respect to this  $S_4$ -action for later use. We first remark that  $X_1$  is a del-Pezzo surface of degree 6, i.e.,  $X_1$  is obtained by blowing-up at distinct 3 points of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** The divisor of  $X_1$  given by  $x_0y_0z_0 = 0$  is a cycle of rational curves  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_6$ . Each  $C_i$  is a smooth rational curve with  $C_i^2 = -1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p_{12}: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  be the projection to the product of the first two factors. By its defining equation, we infer that the restriction of  $p_{12}$  to  $X_1$  is the blowing-up of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at ([1,0], [0,1]) and ([0,1], [1,0]). Our statement easily follows from this observation.

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $\operatorname{Pic}(X_1)$  be the Picard group of  $X_1$ . Then the  $S_4$  invariant part  $\operatorname{Pic}^{S_4}(X_1) = \mathbb{Z}(-K_{X_1})$ .

*Proof.*  $-K_{X_1} \sim \sum_{i=1}^{6} C_i$  where ~ denotes linear equivalence, and one can easily check that the divisor class in the right hand generates  $\operatorname{Pic}^{S_4}(X_1)$ .

For  $x \in X_1$ , we put  $d_x = \sharp O_{S_4}(x)$ , where  $O_{S_4}(x)$  denotes the orbit of x. For later use, we study points with  $d_x < 6$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** (i) There are no points with  $d_x = 1, 2, 5$ . (ii) There are exactly 12 points with  $d_x = 4$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} R_{11}(1,1,1), & R_{12}(1,-1,-1), & R_{13}(-1,-1,1), & R_{14}(-1,1,-1), \\ R_{21}(\omega,\omega,\omega), & R_{22}(\omega,-\omega,-\omega), & R_{23}(-\omega,-\omega,\omega), & R_{24}(-\omega,\omega,-\omega), \\ R_{31}(\omega^2,\omega^2,\omega^2), R_{32}(\omega^2,-\omega^2,-\omega^2), R_{33}(-\omega^2,-\omega^2,\omega^2), R_{34}(-\omega^2,\omega^2,-\omega^2) \end{array}$$

where the coordinates mean the affine coordinates (x, y, z) and  $\omega = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3)$ . These 12 points are divided into three  $S_4$ -orbits. (iii) There are exactly 6 points with  $d_x = 3$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} P_1([0,1],[1,0],[0,1]), \ P_2([1,0],[0,1],[0,1]), \ P_3([0,1],[0,1],[1,0]), \\ Q_1([1,0],[1,0],[0,1]), \ Q_2([1,0],[0,1],[1,0]), \ Q_3([0,1],[1,0],[1,0]). \end{split}$$

These 6 points are divided into two  $S_4$ -orbits.

*Proof.* Note that  $\tau$  acts on the divisor  $x_0y_0z_0 = 0$  freely and the subgroup  $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$  has no fixed points on the affine surface xyz = 1. Taking these observation into account, we can easily check the above statement by direct computation.

**Lemma 1.4.** The divisors on  $X_1$  given by the equations  $x_1 = \omega^i x_0$ (i = 0, 1, 2) are rational curves with self-intersection number 0.

*Proof.* By the proof of Lemma 1.1, we infer that the divisors as above come from those in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  with self-intersection number 0 and all of these divisors in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  do not pass through ([1,0],[0,1]) and ([0,1],[1,0]). This implies our statement.

Versal  $S_4$ -cover  $\varpi_{S_4,2}: X_2 \to Y_2$ 

Let  $[t_0, t_1, t_2]$  be homogeneous coordinates of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Define a  $S_4$  action on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  by  $g([t_0, t_1, t_2]) = [t_0, t_1, t_2]\rho(g^{-1}), g \in S_4$ . By Proposition 4.1 (ii) in [17], we have a versal  $S_4$ -cover  $\mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2/S_4$ . Put  $X_2 = \mathbb{P}_2, Y_2 = \mathbb{P}^2/S_4$  and let  $\varpi_{S_4,2} \colon X_2 \to Y_2$  be the quotient morphism.

#### §1.2. Versal $A_5$ -covers

We first start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.5.** Let S be a smooth projective surface on which  $A_5$  acts faithfully on S. Let  $d_x$  be the number of points of  $O_{A_5}(x)$ . Then there exists no point x on S with  $d_x < 5$ .

*Proof.* Case  $d_x = 1$ . Assume that there exists a point x with  $d_x = 1$ . Then we have a non-trivial homomorphism  $\eta : A_5 \to \operatorname{GL}(T_xS)$ , where  $T_xS$  is the tangent plane at x. Since  $A_5$  is simple,  $\eta$  is injective. This contradicts the non-existance of 2-dimensional faithful representations. Case  $d_x = 2,3$  or 4. Assume that such a point exists. Then we have a non-trivial homomorphism from  $A_5$  to the symmetric group of either 2,3 or 4 letters. The kernel of this homomorphism is a non-trivial normal subgroup, which is a contradiction.

# Versal $A_5$ -cover $\varpi_{A_5,1}: X_1 \to Y_1$

Let  $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1$  be the product of five copies of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Put  $p_i = [p_0^i, p_1^i] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . We define an  $S_5$ -action on  $\tilde{X}$  by permutation of coordinates as follows:

$$\sigma \cdot (p_1, \ldots, p_5) := (p_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, p_{\sigma(5)})$$

for a point  $(p_1, \ldots, p_5) \in \tilde{X}$  and  $\sigma \in S_5$ . Note that  $S_5$  acts on  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  from the right. Let  $\tilde{\omega} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}/S_5$  be the quotient morphism.

# **Lemma 1.6.** $\tilde{\varpi}: \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}/S_5$ is a versal $S_5$ -cover.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : Z \to W$  be an arbitrary  $S_5$ -cover. Since  $\mathbb{C}(Z)$  can be regarded as a splitting field of a certain algebraic equation of degree 5 over  $\mathbb{C}(W)$ , there exist rational functions  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_5$  such that  $\varphi_i^{\sigma}(:=\varphi_i \circ \sigma) = \varphi_{\sigma(i)}$ for  $\sigma \in S_5$  (Note that  $\varphi_i^{\sigma\tau} = (\varphi_i^{\sigma})^{\tau} = \varphi_{\sigma(i)}^{\tau} = \varphi_{\tau(\sigma(i))} = \varphi_{\tau\sigma(i)}$ ). Define a rational map  $\mu_{Z/\tilde{X}} : Z \dashrightarrow \tilde{X}$  by  $p \in Z \mapsto (\varphi_1(p), \ldots, \varphi_5(p))$ . For  $\sigma \in S_5$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_{Z/\tilde{X}} \circ \sigma)(p) &= (\varphi_1^{\sigma}(p), \dots, \varphi_5^{\sigma}(p)) \\ &= (\varphi_{\sigma(1)}(p), \dots, \varphi_{\sigma(5)}(p)) \\ &= \sigma \cdot (\varphi_1(p), \dots, \varphi_5(p)) \\ &= \sigma \cdot \mu_{Z/\tilde{X}}(p). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu_{Z/\tilde{X}}$  is  $S_5$ -equivariant. Since  $\pi : Z \to W$  is an  $S_5$ -cover, if we choose a point p in general, the  $S_5$ -orbit of  $(\varphi_1(p), \ldots, \varphi_5(p))$  has 120 distinct points. This means  $\mu_{Z/\tilde{X}}(Z) \notin \operatorname{Fix}(\tilde{X}, S_5)$ .

Let  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  be rational functions on  $\tilde{X}$  given by

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1 = \frac{(x_4 - x_1)(x_2 - x_3)}{(x_4 - x_3)(x_2 - x_1)}\\ \psi_2 = \frac{(x_5 - x_1)(x_2 - x_3)}{(x_5 - x_3)(x_2 - x_1)} \end{cases}$$

where  $x_i = p_1^i / p_0^i$ .

1116

We can check

$$\psi_1^{(12)} = -\psi_1 + 1, \quad \psi_2^{(12)} = -\psi_2 + 1$$
  
$$\psi_1^{(12345)} = \frac{\psi_2 - 1}{\psi_2 - \psi_1}, \quad \psi_2^{(12345)} = \frac{1}{\psi_1},$$

where  $\psi_i^{\sigma}(p_1, \ldots, p_5) = \psi_i(\sigma \cdot (p_1, \ldots, p_5)) = \psi_i(p_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, p_{\sigma(5)})$ . The subfield  $\mathbb{C}(\psi_1, \psi_2)$  of  $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{X})$  is  $S_5$ -invariant and the  $S_5$  action induced on  $\mathbb{C}(\psi_1, \psi_2)$  by that on  $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{X})$  is faithful. Using this action, we have a birational  $S_5$  action on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Explicitly the birational maps  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  induced by (12) and (12345) are given as follows:

$$\sigma_1 = (12) : [s_0, s_1, s_2] \mapsto [s_0, s_0 - s_1, s_0 - s_2]$$
  

$$\sigma_2 = (12345) : [s_0, s_1, s_2] \mapsto [s_1(s_2 - s_1), s_1(s_2 - s_0), s_0(s_2 - s_1)],$$
  

$$\sigma_2^{-1} = (15432) : [s_0, s_1, s_2] \mapsto [s_2(s_0 - s_1), s_0(s_0 - s_1), s_0(s_2 - s_1)]$$

where  $[s_0, s_1, s_2]$  denotes a homogeneous coordinate of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and we put  $\psi_1 = s_1/s_0$  and  $\psi_2 = s_2/s_0$ . As  $\{(12), (12345)\}$  are generators of  $S_5$ , the birational  $S_5$  action on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as above is given by some compositions of  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ . Note that  $\sigma_1$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .  $\sigma_2$  has three base points [1,0,0], [0,0,1] and [1,1,1].  $\sigma_2^{-1}$  also has three base points [0,1,0], [0,0,1] and [1,1,1].

Let  $X_1$  be the surface obtained by blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at [1, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0], [0, 0, 1]and [1, 1, 1]. As  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are lifted to automorphisms on  $X_1$ , the birational action on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as above induces an  $S_5$ -action on  $X_1$ . By restricting this action to the subgroup  $A_5$ , the alternating group of 5 letters, we also have an  $A_5$ action on  $X_1$ . Let  $Y_1 = X_1/A_5$  and let  $\varpi_{A_5,1} : X_1 \to Y_1$  be the quotient morphism. Since  $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathbb{C}}(A_5) = 2$ , by Proposition 1.4 in [18] and the lemma below,  $\varpi_{A_5,1} : X_1 \to Y_1$  is a versal  $A_5$ -cover.

**Lemma 1.7.** Let G be a finite group, let  $\varphi_1 : X' \to Y'$  be a versal G-cover, and let X be a normal projective variety of dimension  $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  on which G acts faithfully. If there exists a G-equivariant dominant rational map  $\gamma : X' \dashrightarrow X$ , then the quotient morphism  $\varphi_2 : X \to X/G$  with respect to the G-action gives rise to another versal G-cover.

*Proof.* Let  $V_{reg}$  be a vector space with the *G*-action given by the left regular representation, i.e.,

$$h\left(\sum_{g\in G}a_gg\right) := \sum_{g\in G}a_ghg, \quad \sum_{g\in G}a_gg\in V_{reg}, \quad h\in G.$$

Put  $N = \sharp(G)$ . One can can consider  $V_{reg}$  as an affine open subset of the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^N = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C} \oplus V_{reg})$ . As the *G*-action on  $V_{reg}$  canonically extends to  $\mathbb{P}^N$ , we have a *G*-cover  $\mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N/G$ . Hence there exists a *G*-equivariant rational map  $\mu_{reg} : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow X'$  such that  $\mu_{reg}(\mathbb{P}^N) \not\subset \operatorname{Fix}(X', G)$ . The restriction  $\mu_{reg}$  to  $V_{reg}$  gives rise to a *G*-equivariant rational map from  $V_{reg}$  to X'. We denote it by  $\mu'$ . Thus we have a *G*-equivariant rational map  $\gamma \circ \mu' : V_{reg} \dashrightarrow X$ . By Theorem 3.2 in [5] and since dim  $X = \operatorname{ed}_{\mathbb{C}}(G), \gamma \circ \mu'$  is dominant. Choose a point  $a \in V_{reg}$  such that

- $\gamma \circ \mu'$  is defined at a and
- the G-orbit of  $\gamma \circ \mu'(a)$  has N distinct points.

Let  $\pi: Z \to W$  be an arbitrary *G*-cover. By Lemma 3.4 in [5], there exist an affine subvariety *Y* of  $V_{reg}$  such that the *G*-action of  $V_{reg}$  induces a faithful *G*-action on *Y* and a *G*-equivariant dominant rational map  $g: Z \dashrightarrow Y$ . Now choose a point  $\tilde{a} \in Z$  such that

- g is defined at  $\tilde{a}$  and
- the G-orbit of  $g(\tilde{a})$  has N distinct points.

By Lemma 3.2 (a) in [5], there exists a *G*-equivariant morphism  $\alpha : V_{reg} \rightarrow V_{reg}$  such that  $\alpha(g(\tilde{a})) = a$ . Consider the rational map  $\mu_{Z/X} := \gamma \circ \mu' \circ \alpha \circ g : Z \dashrightarrow X$ . Then (i)  $\mu_{Z/X}$  is *G*-equivariant and (ii) the *G*-orbit of  $\mu_{Z/X}(\tilde{a})$  has N distinct points, i.e.,  $\mu_{Z/X}(Z) \not\subset \operatorname{Fix}(X, G)$ .

Versal  $A_5$ -cover  $\varpi_{A_5,2}: X_2 \to Y_2$ 

Let  $\rho': A_5 \to \operatorname{GL}(3, \mathbb{C})$  be any faithful irreducible representation. Define a  $A_5$  action on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  by  $g([t_0, t_1, t_2]) = [t_0, t_1, t_2]\rho'(g^{-1}), g \in A_5$ . By Proposition 4.1 (ii) in [17], we have a versal  $A_5$ -cover  $\mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2/A_5$ . Put  $X_2 = \mathbb{P}^2, Y_2 = \mathbb{P}^2/A_5$  and let  $\varpi_{A_5,2}: X_2 \to Y_2$  be the quotient morphism.

#### §2. Noether's Inequality

In this section we explain Noether's inequality in our setting. The proof is identical to the proof of the general form of Noether's inequality given in [9]. We only need to keep in mind that we are using G-invariant linear systems.

Let X and X' be smooth projective surfaces with G-action. Let  $\mathcal{K}_X$  (resp.  $\mathcal{K}_{X'}$ ) be the canonical linear system of X (resp. X'). Let  $\Phi: X \dashrightarrow X'$  be a G-equivariant birational map. Let  $\mathcal{H}_{X'}$  be a G-invariant variable linear system of

divisors on X' which does not have any fixed components. Let  $\mathcal{H}_X = \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{X'})$ be the proper inverse image of  $\mathcal{H}_{X'}$ . Note that  $\chi$  is G-equivariant, so  $\mathcal{H}_X$  is also G-invariant.

Let  $\eta: X_N \to X$  be the *G*-equivariant resolution of indeterminacies of [14]. It is a composition of *G*-equivariant blow-ups along smooth centers, which are blow-ups along 0-dimensional *G*-orbits  $O_G(x)$  in our case. Let  $\psi = \Phi \circ \eta$ .

$$\eta \colon X_N \xrightarrow{\eta_{N,N-1}} X_{N-1} \xrightarrow{\eta_{N-1,N-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\eta_{2,1}} X_1 \xrightarrow{\eta_{1,0}} X_0 = X$$

$$X_N \xrightarrow{\psi} X'$$

 $\eta_{i+1,i}$  is a blow-up along a 0-dimensional *G*-orbit  $O(x_i)$ . Let  $\eta_{j,i} = \eta_{j,j-1} \circ \cdots \circ$  $\eta_{i+1,i}$   $(N \ge j > i+1 \ge 1)$ ,  $\eta_{N,N} = \operatorname{id}_{X_N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_{X_N}$  be the proper transform of  $\mathcal{H}_{X'}$  on  $X_N$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\bullet}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{\bullet}$  be a member of  $\mathcal{H}_{\bullet}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{\bullet}$  respectively, where  $\bullet = X, X_N$ , and X'. Then we have

$$H_{X_N} = \eta^* H_X - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} r(x_i) \eta^*_{N,i+1}(E_{i+1})$$
$$K_{X_N} = \eta^* K_X + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \eta^*_{N,i+1}(E_{i+1})$$

where  $r(x_i)$  is the multiplicity of a base point  $x_i \in O(x_i)$  (a point in the center  $O(x_i)$  of the blow-up  $\eta_{i+1,i}$ ) of  $\mathcal{H}_X$ , and  $E_i$  is the exceptional divisor of  $\eta_{i,i-1}$ . We note that  $E_i$  is a disjoint union of (-1)-curves corresponding to the points in  $O(x_i)$ , and  $r(x_i) = r(x_j)$  if  $O(x_i) = O(x_j)$  since  $\mathcal{H}_X$  is *G*-invariant.

**Definition 2.1.** Given a linear system  $\mathcal{H}$  and an integer m, x is called a maximal singularity of  $\mathcal{H} + m\mathcal{K}$  if x is a base point of  $\mathcal{H}$  with multiplicity r(x) > m.

**Lemma 2.1.** [Noether's Inequality] Under the notation above,

(i) Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}_{X'} + m\mathcal{K}_{X'} = \emptyset$  then either there exists a 0-dimensional G-orbit  $O_G(x)$  consisting of maximal singularities, or the adjoint linear system  $\mathcal{H}_X + m\mathcal{K}_X$  is empty on X.

(ii) If there exists a variable family of curves C' such that  $(H_{X'} + mK_{X'})C' < 0$  then either there exists a 0-dimensional G-orbit of maximal singularities, or else there is a curve  $C \subset X$  such that  $(H_X + mK_X)C < 0$ .

*Proof.* (i) We have

(2.1) 
$$H_{X_N} + mK_{X_N} = \eta^* (H_X + mK_X) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (m - r(x_i)) \eta^*_{N,i+1}(E_{i+1})$$

Then by applying  $\psi_*$  to both sides, we have

$$H_{X'} + mK_{X'} = \psi_*(H_{X_N} + mK_{X_N})$$
  
=  $\psi_*\eta^*(H_X + mK_X) + \psi_*\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (m - r(x_i))\eta^*_{N,i+1}(E_{i+1})\right)$ 

Since  $\mathcal{H}_{X'} + m\mathcal{K}_{X'} = \emptyset$  by hypothesis the right hand side cannot be an effective divisor, hence  $r(x_i) > m$  for at least one *i*, or else  $\mathcal{H}_X + m\mathcal{K}_X = \emptyset$ .

(ii)  $\psi^*(H_{X'} + mK_{X'}) = (H_{X_N} + mK_{X_N}) + F$  where F is the exceptional divisor of  $\psi$ . Then  $\psi^*\mathcal{C}'F = 0$ . Then we have  $(H_{X_N} + mK_{X_N})\psi^*\mathcal{C}' < 0$ . Suppose that  $r(x_i) \leq m$  for all i. Then by intersecting both sides of (2.1) with  $C \in \psi^*\mathcal{C}'$  we find that  $\eta^*(H_X + mK_X)\psi^*\mathcal{C}' < 0$ . Hence  $(H_X + mK_X)\eta_*\psi^*\mathcal{C}' < 0$ . A general member C' of  $\eta_*\psi^*\mathcal{C}'$  may be reducible but we have  $(H_X + mK_X)C < 0$  for at least one irreducible component of C'.

## §3. Proof of Theorem 0.1

# §3.1. The case of $S_4$

Suppose that there exists an  $S_4$ -equivariant rational map  $\Phi: X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2 (= \mathbb{P}^2)$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the complete linear system given by the class of line L on  $X_2$ , and let  $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$  be the proper inverse image of  $\Lambda$ . Since the map  $\Phi$  is given by  $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$ ,  $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$  has no fixed components. Also  $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$  is  $S_4$ -invariant. Hence any element  $H \in \Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$  is linearly equivalent to  $-aK_{X_1}$  for some  $a \ge 1$ . Now apply Lemma 2.1 to  $\Lambda + a\mathcal{K}_{X_2}$  and  $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda) + a\mathcal{K}_{X_1}$ . Then  $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda) + a(K_{X_1})$  must have an  $S_4$ -orbit consisting of maximal singularities. Let r be the multiplicity of the points of O(x) in  $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$ . As any element in  $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$  passes through  $O_{S_4}(x)$  with multiplicity r, we have  $a^2K_{X_1}^2 \ge r^2d$ , d being  $\sharp(O_{S_4}(x))$ ; and we have  $d < K_{X_1}^2 = 6$ . Hence  $O_{S_4}(x)$  is one of the orbits described in Lemma 1.3.

**Lemma 3.1.** The points in the orbit  $O_{S_4}(x)$  with d = 4 can not be maximal singularities of  $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda) + a\mathcal{K}_{X_1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E_i$  be the divisor on  $X_1$  given by  $x_1 = \omega^i x_0$  (i = 0, 1, 2) as in Lemma 1.4. Suppose that  $O((\omega^i, \omega^i, \omega^i))$  are maximal singularities, and let

1120

 $q: \hat{X}_1 \to X_1$  be the blowing-up at  $O((\omega^i, \omega^i, \omega^i))$ . Then the linear system  $q^*(\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)) - r(R_{i1} + R_{i2} + R_{i3} + R_{i4})$  does not have any fixed components (we identify  $R_{ij}$  (j = 1, 2, 3, 4) with the exceptional curves). Let  $\bar{E}_i$  be the proper transform of  $E_i$ . Then

$$\left(-aq^*K_{X_1} - r\sum_{j=1}^4 R_{ij}\right)\bar{E}_i = 2a - 2r < 0.$$

This means that  $\bar{E}_i$  is a fixed component of  $q^*(\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)) - r(R_{i1} + R_{i2} + R_{i3} + R_{i4})$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** The points in the orbit  $O_{S_4}(x)$  with d = 3 can not be maximal singularities of  $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda) + a\mathcal{K}_{X_1}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $O(P_1) = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$  are maximal singularities. We may assume that the irreducible component  $C_1$  in the divisor  $x_0y_0z_0 = 0$  passes through  $P_1$ . Let  $q : \hat{X}_1 \to X_1$  be the blowing-up at  $O(P_1)$ . Then the linear system  $q^*(\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)) - r(P_1 + P_2 + P_3)$  does not have any fixed components (we identify  $P_j$  (j = 1, 2, 3) with the exceptional curves). Let  $\overline{C}_1$  be the proper transform of  $C_1$ . Then

$$\left(-aq^*K_{X_1} - r\sum_{j=1}^3 P_j\right)\bar{C}_1 = a - r < 0.$$

This means that  $\bar{C}_1$  is a fixed component of  $q^*(\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)) - r(P_1 + P_2 + P_3)$ .

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, Theorem 0.1 for  $S_4$  follows.

#### §3.2. The case of $A_5$

By the same argument as in the previous case, the existence of  $\Phi$  implies the existence of an  $A_5$ -orbit  $O_{A_5}(x)$ ,  $x \in X_1$  with  $\sharp(O_{A_5}(x)) < 5$ . This contradicts Lemma 1.5.

# §4. A Remark for Versal $S_4$ -covers $\varpi_{S_4,1}: X_1 \to Y_1$ and $\varpi_{S_4,2}: X_2 \to Y_2$

By the definition of versality, there exist  $S_4$ -equivariant rational maps  $\mu_1 : X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2$  and  $\mu_2 : X_2 \dashrightarrow X_1$  such that  $\mu_1(X_1) \not\subset \operatorname{Fix}(X_2, G)$  and  $\mu_2(X_2) \not\subset \operatorname{Fix}(X_1, G)$ . Note that both of  $\mu_i$  (i = 1, 2) are dominant as there exists no

1-dimensional versal  $S_4$ -cover. In this section, we give examples of such  $\mu_i$  (i = 1, 2) such that

(i) both field extensions  $\mathbb{C}(X_1)/\mathbb{C}(X_2)$  and  $\mathbb{C}(X_2)/\mathbb{C}(X_1)$  induced by  $\mu_1$ and  $\mu_2$ , respectively, are cyclic extension of degree 3, and

(ii) the field extension  $\mathbb{C}(X_2)/(\mu_2 \circ \mu_1)^*(\mathbb{C}(X_2))$  is Galois and its Galois group is isomrphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ .

Let  $([x_0, x_1], [y_0, y_1], [z_0, z_1])$  be homogeneous coordinates for  $X_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .  $\mathbb{C}(X_1) = \mathbb{C}(y, z)$  where  $y = y_1/y_0$  and  $z = z_1/z_0$ . Let  $[t_0, t_1, t_2]$  be homogeneous coordinates for  $X_2 = \mathbb{P}^2$ .  $\mathbb{C}(X_2) = \mathbb{C}(u, v)$  where  $u = t_1/t_0$  and  $v = t_2/t_0$ . We construct  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  as follows.

Define  $\mu_2 \colon X_2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  by

$$\mu_2([t_0, t_1, t_2]) = ([t_0 t_1 t_2, t_0^3], [t_0 t_1 t_2, t_1^3], [t_0 t_1 t_2, t_2^3])$$

It can be checked immediately that  $\mu_2$  is an  $S_4$ -equivariant rational map,  $\mu_2(X_2) \subset X_1$  and  $\mu_2(X_2) \not\subset \operatorname{Fix}(X_1, S_4)$ . We have  $\mu_2^*(y) = u^2/v$ ,  $\mu_2^*(z) = v^2/u$ . Let  $\theta = u/v$ . Then  $\mathbb{C}(X_2) = \mu_2^*(\mathbb{C}(X_1))(\theta)$  and  $\theta^3 = \mu_2^*(y)/\mu_2^*(z) \in \mu_2^*(\mathbb{C}(X_1))$ . Hence  $[\mathbb{C}(X_2) : \mu_2^*(\mathbb{C}(X_1))] = 3$ . This means that  $\mu_2$  is a rational map of degree 3 as desired.

Define  $\mu_1 \colon X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2$  by

$$\mu_1([x_0, x_1], [y_0, y_1], [z_0, z_1]) = [x_1/x_0, y_1/y_0, z_1/z_0]$$

It can be checked immediately that  $\mu_1$  is an  $S_4$ -equivariant rational map and  $\mu_1(X_1) \not\subset \operatorname{Fix}(X_2, S_4)$ . We have  $(\mu_1 \circ \mu_2)^*(u) = u^3$  and  $(\mu_1 \circ \mu_2)^*(v) = v^3$ . This implies that  $\mathbb{C}(X_2)/(\mu_1 \circ \mu_2)^*(\mathbb{C}(X_2))$  is Galois,  $[\mathbb{C}(X_2) : (\mu_1 \circ \mu_2)^*(\mathbb{C}(X_2))] = 9$  and  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C}(X_2)/(\mu_1 \circ \mu_2)^*(\mathbb{C}(X_2))) = (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ . Hence  $[\mathbb{C}(X_1) : \mu_1^*(\mathbb{C}(X_2))] = 3$ . This means that  $\mu_1$  is a rational map of degree 3 as desired.

*Remark.* It may be an interesting question to consider if there exists a simple relation between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  in the case of  $A_5$  as above.

#### Acknowledgement

A key step of this note was done during the second author's visit to Ruhr Universität Bochum. He thanks Professor A. Huckleberry for his comments and hospitality. The authors also thank the referee for valuable comments on the first version of this note.

#### References

- S. Bannai, Construction of versal Galois coverings using toric varieties, Osaka J. Math. 44 (2007), no. 1, 139–146.
- [2] L. Bayle and A. Beauville, Birational involutions of  $\mathbb{P}^2,$  Asian J. Math. 4 (2000), no. 1, 11–17.
- [3] A. Beauville and J. Blanc, On Cremona transformations of prime order, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 339 (2004), no. 4, 257–259.
- [4] A. Beauville, p-elementary subgroups of the Cremona group, arXive: mathAG/0502123
- [5] J. Buhler and Z. Reichstein, On the essential dimension of a finite group, Compositio Math. 106 (1997), no. 2, 159–179.
- [6] T. de Fernex, On planar Cremona maps of prime order, Nagoya Math. J. 174 (2004), 1–28.
- [7] K. Hashimoto and H. Tsunogai, Generic polynomials over Q with two parameters for the transitive groups of degree five, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 79 (2003), no. 9, 142–145.
- [8] V. A. Iskovskikh, Two non-conjugate embeddings of  $S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}$  into the Cremona group II, arXiv:math.AG/0508484.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, Factorization of birational maps of rational surfaces from the viewpoint of Mori theory, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 51 (1996), no. 4(310), 3–72; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 51 (1996), no. 4, 585–652.
- [10] S. Kantor, Theorie der endlichen Gruppen von eindeutigen Transformationen in der Ebene, Mayer & Müller, Berlin, 1895.
- [11] M. Koitabashi, Automorphism groups of generic rational surfaces, J. Algebra 116 (1988), no. 1, 130–142.
- [12] M. Namba, On finite Galois coverings of projective manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan 41 (1989), no. 3, 391–403.
- [13] \_\_\_\_\_, Finite branched coverings of complex manifolds, Sugaku Expositions 5 (1992), no. 2, 193–211.
- [14] Z. Reichstein and B. Youssin, Equivariant resolution of points of indeterminacy, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), no. 8, 2183–2187 (electronic).
- [15] H. Tokunaga, On dihedral Galois coverings, Canad. J. Math. 46 (1994), no. 6, 1299– 1317.
- [16] \_\_\_\_\_, Galois covers for  $\mathfrak{S}_4$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_4$  and their applications, Osaka J. Math. **39** (2002), no. 3, 621–645.
- [17] \_\_\_\_\_, 2-dimensional versal  $S_4$ -covers and rational elliptic surfaces, Séminaire et Congrés 10, Société Mathematique de France (2005), 307–322.
- [18] \_\_\_\_\_, Two-dimensional versal G-covers and Cremona embeddings of finite groups, Kyushu J. Math. 60 (2006), no. 2, 439–456.
- [19] H. Tsuchihashi, Galois coverings of projective varieties for dihedral and symmetric groups, Kyushu J. Math. 57 (2003), no. 2, 411–427.
- [20] A. Wiman, Zur Theorie der endlichen Gruppen von birationalen Transformationen in der Ebene, Math. Ann. 48 (1896), no. 1-2, 195–240.