*Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **44** (2008), 91–105

# **Simultaneous Linearization of Holomorphic Maps with Hyperbolic and Parabolic Fixed Points**

By

Tetsuo UEDA<sup>\*</sup>

# **Abstract**

We study local holomorphic mappings of one complex variable with parabolic fixed points as a limit of a families of mappings with attracting fixed points. We show that the Fatou coordinate for a parabolic fixed point can be obtained as a limit of some linear function of the solutions to Schröder equation for perturbed mappings with attracting fixed points.

#### *§***1. Introduction**

Let  $g(w)$  be a holomorphic function of one variable of the form

$$
g(w) = \lambda w + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} b_{\nu} w^{\nu}
$$

defined in a neighborhood of the origin 0. If  $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ , then there are a neighborhood V of 0 such that  $g(V) \subset V$  and an injective holomorphic function  $\rho(w)$  on V satisfying the Schröder equation

$$
\rho(g(w)) = \lambda \rho(w).
$$

If  $\lambda = 1$  and  $b_2 \neq 0$ , then there are a domain V whose boundary contains 0 and an injective function  $\varphi(w)$  (Fatou coordinate) satisfying the Abel equation

$$
\varphi(g(w)) = \varphi(w) + 1,
$$

#### c 2008 Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University. All rights reserved.

Communicated by K. Saito. Received October 11, 2006. Revised June 15, 2007.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 30D05, 37F45

This work is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (no. 15340055 and no. 17654031), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

<sup>∗</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.

e-mail: ueda@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

92 Tetsuo Ueda

which is unique up to an additive constant. (See Schröder [9], Koenigs [5], Leau [6], Fatou [3] and Milnor [8], for these classical results.)

In this paper, we consider families of functions  $g_{\lambda}(w)$  which have  $\lambda$  as a parameter and show that, when  $\lambda$  tends non-tangentially to 1 from inside of the unit disk, some linear function of  $\rho_{\lambda}(w)$  converges to  $\varphi(w)$ .

To do this it is convenient to consider the case where the fixed point is  $\infty$  on the Riemann sphere. By scaling the coordinate, we consider a family of holomorphic maps of the form

$$
f_{\tau}(z) = \tau z + 1 + \frac{a_{1,\tau}}{z} + \frac{a_{2,\tau}}{z^2} + \cdots
$$

defined in a neighborhood of  $\infty$ . Here the parameter  $\tau = 1/\lambda$  varies in a neighborhood of  $\tau = 1$ . For  $|\tau| > 1$ , let  $\chi_{\tau}(z)$  denote the unique solution of the equation

$$
\chi_\tau(f(z)) = \tau \chi_\tau(z)
$$

with  $\chi_{\tau}(\infty) = \infty$  and normalized so that  $\chi(z) = z + O(1)$  in a neighborhood of  $\infty$ . We will show that, when  $\tau$  tends to 1 non-tangentially within the domain  $|\tau| > 1$ , the sequence

$$
\chi_\tau(z)-\frac{1}{\tau-1}-a_{1,\tau}\log(\tau-1)
$$

converges to a solution to the Abel equation

$$
\varphi(f_1(z)) = \varphi(z) + 1.
$$

Precise statement and different formulations of the results are given in Theorems 3.3–3.6.

An alternative proof and a generalization is given recently by T. Kawahira [4]. As a related result, we note that T. C. McMullen showed the existence of quasiconformal maps giving conjugacies between  $f_{\tau}$  and linear maps (see [7], Theorem 8.2).

### *§***2. Preliminaries**

## *§***2.1. A family of linear maps**

We begin with studying the family  $\{\ell_{\tau}\}_{\tau}$  of linear maps

$$
\ell_{\tau}(z) = \tau z + 1
$$

on the Riemann sphere  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  depending on the complex parameter  $\tau$ . When  $|\tau|$  > 1, the map  $\ell_{\tau}$  has  $\infty$  as an attracting fixed point and all points except for

 $1/(1 - \tau)$  converge locally uniformly to  $\infty$  by the iterates of  $\ell_{\tau}$ . When  $\tau = 1$ , then  $\infty$  is a parabolic fixed point and all points in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  converges to  $\infty$ , though the convergence is not uniform in the neighborhood of  $\infty$ .

We will investigate the uniformity, with respect to the parameter  $\tau$ , of the convergence of the iterates  $\ell_{\tau}^n$ , when  $\tau$  tends to 1 non-tangentially from outside of the unit disk. So we will restrict the parameter  $\tau$  in the closed sector

$$
T_{\alpha} = \{ \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re}\,\tau - 1 \ge |\tau - 1| \cos \alpha \},\
$$

where  $\alpha$  is a real number with  $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$ , fixed throughout this paper.

To measure the rate of convergence to  $\infty$ , we introduce the function  $N$ :  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times T_{\alpha} - \{(\infty, 1)\} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  as follows.

$$
N_{\tau}(z) = \left| z - \frac{1}{1 - \tau} \right| - \left| \frac{1}{1 - \tau} \right| \quad \text{for } (z, \tau) \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times (T_{\alpha} - \{1\});
$$
  
\n
$$
N_1(z) = \sup_{|\theta| \le \alpha} \text{Re}(e^{i\theta}z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}.
$$

We will not define  $N_1(\infty)$ . It is easy to see that the inequality

$$
|N_{\tau}(z_1) - N_{\tau}(z_2)| \le |z_1 - z_2| \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \tau \in T_{\alpha}
$$

holds. In particular we have

$$
N_{\tau}(z) \le |z|, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \tau \in T_{\alpha}.
$$

**Lemma 2.1.**  $N_{\tau}(z)$  *is upper semi-continuous as a function of two variables*  $(z, \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times T_\alpha - \{z = \infty\}$  *and* 

$$
N_1(z) = \limsup_{T_\alpha \ni \tau \to 1} N_\tau(z).
$$

*Proof.* For  $r > 0$ , we let  $\hat{N}_{(r,\theta)}(z) = N_{1+re^{i\theta}}(z)$ . Then

$$
\hat{N}_{(r,\theta)}(z) = \left| z + \frac{1}{re^{i\theta}} \right| - \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r} \{ (1 + 2r \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} z) + r^2 |z|^2)^{1/2} - 1 \}.
$$

This can be extended to a continuous function on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times \{r \geq 0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ , by defining  $\hat{N}_{(0,\theta)}(z) = \text{Re}(e^{i\theta}z)$ . Hence

$$
\limsup_{T_{\alpha}\ni\tau\to 1}N_{\tau}(z)=\sup_{|\theta|\leq\alpha}\hat{N}_{(0,\theta)}(z)=\sup_{|\theta|\leq\alpha}\text{Re}(e^{i\theta}z)=N_1(z).
$$

This shows the assertion.

To have a uniform estimate of the rate of convergence of the iterats of  $\ell_{\tau}$ , let us first show the following:

**Lemma 2.2.** *For*  $(z, \tau) \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times T_\alpha - \{(\infty, 1)\}\)$ , we have  $N_{\tau}(\ell_{\tau}(z)) \geq |\tau| N_{\tau}(z) + \cos \alpha.$ 

*Proof.* First, if  $\tau \in T - \{1\}$ , then (1) is rewritten as

$$
\ell_{\tau}(z) - \frac{1}{1-\tau} = \tau \left(z - \frac{1}{1-\tau}\right).
$$

Hence

$$
N_{\tau}(\ell_{\tau}(z)) = \left| \ell_{\tau}(z) - \frac{1}{1-\tau} \right| - \left| \frac{1}{1-\tau} \right|
$$

$$
= |\tau| \left| z - \frac{1}{1-\tau} \right| - \left| \frac{1}{1-\tau} \right|
$$

$$
= |\tau| N_{\tau}(z) + \frac{|\tau| - 1}{|1 - \tau|}
$$

$$
\geq |\tau| N_{\tau}(z) + \cos \alpha.
$$

Here we have used the fact that

$$
\frac{|\tau| - 1}{|\tau - 1|} \ge \frac{\text{Re}(\tau) - 1}{|\tau - 1|} \ge \cos \alpha.
$$

If  $\tau = 1$ , then  $\ell_1(z) = z + 1$ , and hence

$$
\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}\ell_1(z)) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}z) + \cos\theta \ge \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}z) + \cos\alpha.
$$

Therefore

$$
N_1(\ell_1(z)) \ge N_1(z) + \cos \alpha
$$

and the lemma is proved.

Let  $R$  be a real number and define

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(R) = \{ (z, \tau) \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times T_{\alpha} - \{ (\infty, 1) \} \mid N_{\tau}(z) > R \}.
$$

We note that  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(R)$  is not open. Slices of  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(R)$  by  $\tau = \text{const.}$  are open sets given by

$$
V_{\tau}(R) = \{ z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \mid N_{\tau}(z) > R \} \qquad (\tau \neq 1);
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{1}(R) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid N_{1}(z) > R \} = \bigcup_{|\theta| \leq \alpha} \{ \text{Re}(e^{i\theta} z) > R \}.
$$

#### **Proposition 2.3.** *We have*

(2) 
$$
|\ell_{\tau}^{n}(z)| \ge n \cos \alpha \quad \text{for } \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(0)
$$

*and hence the sequence*  $\{\ell_{\tau}^{n}(z)\}_n$  *converges to*  $\infty$  *uniformly*  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(0)$ *.* 

*Proof.*  $N_{\tau}(z) > 0$  implies  $N_{\tau}(\ell(z)) \geq N_{\tau}(z) + \cos \alpha > 0$  by Lemma 2.2. Hence, if  $\tau \in T_\alpha$  and  $z \in V_\tau(0)$ , then  $\ell_\tau^n(z) \in V_\tau(0)$  and

$$
|\ell_{\tau}^{n}(z)| \geq N_{\tau}(\ell_{\tau}^{n}(z)) \geq N_{\tau}(z) + n \cos \alpha \geq n \cos \alpha,
$$

for all  $n$ . This proves the assertion.

# $\Box$

### *§***2.2. Solution to a difference equation**

We consider the difference equation

(3) 
$$
h_{\tau}(\ell_{\tau}(z)) - \tau h_{\tau}(z) = \frac{1}{z} + C_{\tau},
$$

where  $\ell_{\tau}(z) = \tau z + 1$  with  $|\tau| > 1$  or  $\tau = 1$ ; and  $C_{\tau}$  is a constant depending on  $\tau$ , which will be given later.

A solution to this equation is given by

(4) 
$$
h_{\tau}(z) = -\frac{1}{\tau z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau^{n+1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\ell_{\tau}^{n}(0)} - \frac{1}{\ell_{\tau}^{n}(z)} \right\}.
$$

We note that  $\ell_{\tau}(z) = \tau^n z + \tau^{n-1} + \cdots + \tau + 1$  and  $\ell_{\tau}(0) = \tau^{n-1} + \cdots + \tau + 1$ . In the following, we will investigate some properties of this function.

First, for a  $\tau$  fixed, the following properties of  $h_{\tau}(z)$  can be easily verified: In the case  $|\tau| > 1$ , the function  $h_{\tau}(z)$  is meromorphic on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  except the essential singularity at  $1/(1 - \tau)$ , and has poles at  $(1 - \tau^{-n})/(1 - \tau)$ ,  $(n = 0, 1, 2, ...).$ This function  $h_{\tau}(z)$  is holomorphic at  $\infty$ . We write

(5) 
$$
H_{\tau} = h_{\tau}(\infty) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau^{n+1} \ell_{\tau}^{n}(0)}.
$$

We can easily verify that  $h_{\tau}(z)$ , with  $\tau \neq 1$ , satisfies the equation (3) with the constant

(6) 
$$
C_{\tau} = (1 - \tau)H_{\tau}.
$$

In the case  $\tau = 1$ , we have  $\ell^{n}(z) = z + n$  and

$$
h_1(z) = -\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{z+n} \right\}.
$$

This function is meromorphic on  $\mathbb C$  and has poles at  $0, -1, -2, \ldots$ . As is easily verified,  $h_1(z)$  satisfies the equation (3) with  $C_1 = 0$ .

We note that

$$
h_1(z) = \frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)} + \gamma
$$

where  $\Gamma(z)$  denotes the gamma function and  $\gamma$  denotes the Euler constant

$$
\gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Big( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} - \log n \Big).
$$

Now we study the dependence of  $h_\tau(z)$  on the parameter  $\tau$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *The function*  $h_\tau(z)$  *is continuous on*  $V_\alpha(0)$ *.* 

*Proof.* The continuity at the points  $(z, \tau)$  with  $\tau \neq 1$  is clear. Using  $\ell_{\tau}^{n}(z) - \ell_{\tau}^{n}(0) = \tau^{n} z$  and the estimate (2), we have

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\tau^{n+1}}\left\{\frac{1}{\ell_\tau^n(0)}-\frac{1}{\ell_\tau^n(z)}\right\}\right|=\left|\frac{z}{\tau\ell_\tau^n(0)\ell_\tau^n(z)}\right|\leq \left|\frac{z}{\tau}\right|\frac{1}{n^2\cos^2\alpha}.
$$

This shows that the series (4) is locally uniformly convergent on  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha(0)$  −  $\Box$  ${z = \infty}$  and hence  $h_\tau(z)$  is continuous there.

**Corollary 2.5.** *The constat*  $C_{\tau}$  *is a continuous function of*  $\tau \in T_{\alpha}$ *.* 

*Proof.* By the difference equation (3), we have  $C_{\tau} = h_{\tau}(\ell_{\tau}(z)) - \tau h_{\tau}(z) 1/z$ , which is continuous on  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(0)$  by Proposition 2.4. Hence  $C_{\tau}$  is continuous on  $T_{\alpha}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.6.** *For any*  $\varepsilon > 0$ *, there is a constant* M *such that* 

$$
|h'_{\tau}(z)| \leq \frac{M}{N_{\tau}(z)} \qquad on \ \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\varepsilon).
$$

*Proof.* Differentiation of (3) with respect to z yields

$$
h'_{\tau}(z) = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\{\ell_{\tau}^{n}(z)\}^2}.
$$

Hence

$$
|h'_{\tau}(z)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\ell_{\tau}^{n}(z)|^{2}} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(N_{\tau}(z) + n \cos \theta)^{2}}
$$

$$
\leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(N_{\tau}(z) + x \cos \theta)^{2}}.
$$

Therefore  $|h'_{\tau}(z)|$  is bounded by  $M/N_{\tau}(z)$  with some constant M.

## **§2.3.** Behavior of  $H_{\tau}$

Now we look at the behavior of the function  $H_{\tau}$  defined by (5), when  $\tau \to 1$ within the sector T. It is clear from the expression (5) that  $H_{\tau}$  is unbounded, while  $C_{\tau} = (1 - \tau)H_{\tau}$  tends to 0 by Corollary 2.5. Here we give a more precise description of its behavior.

**Proposition 2.7.** We have  

$$
H_{\tau} = -\log(\tau - 1) + \gamma - 1 + o(1)
$$

*as*  $\tau \to 1$  *within the sector*  $T_{\alpha}$ *. Here*  $\gamma$  *denotes the Euler constant.* 

*Proof.* To begin with, letting  $\lambda = 1/\tau$ , we have

$$
H_{1/\lambda} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{1 + \lambda + \dots + \lambda^{n-1}}
$$
  
=  $(1 - \lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\lambda^n}{1 - \lambda^n} - \lambda^n \right)$   
=  $(1 - \lambda)L(\lambda) - \lambda$ .

Here  $L(\lambda)$  denotes the Lambert series defined by

$$
L(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{1 - \lambda^n}.
$$

This series  $L(\lambda)$  defines a holomorphic function on  $|\lambda| < 1$ . We want to know the behavior of this function when  $\lambda$  tends to 1 non-tangentially within the unit disk.

 $L(\lambda)$  is developped into the power series

$$
L(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)\lambda^n = \lambda + 2\lambda^2 + 2\lambda^3 + 3\lambda^4 + \cdots,
$$

where  $d(n)$  denotes the number of divisors of n. We write

$$
D(n) = d(1) + \cdots + d(n).
$$

Then

$$
\frac{L(\lambda)}{1-\lambda} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D(n)\lambda^n.
$$

The asymptotic behavior of  $D(n)$  is given by a theorem of Dirichlet (see Apostol [1], Chandrasekharan [2]) :

$$
D(n) = n \log n + (2\gamma - 1)n + O(\sqrt{n}) \quad (n \to \infty).
$$

From this and the fact that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} - \log n = \gamma + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),\,
$$

it follows that

$$
D(n) = n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} + (\gamma - 1)n + p_n
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{n-k}{k} + \gamma n + p_n
$$

where  $p_n = O(\sqrt{n})$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore, noting that

$$
\frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\lambda^n, \quad \log(1-\lambda) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n}
$$

we have

$$
\frac{L(\lambda)}{1-\lambda} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D(n)\lambda^n = -\frac{\lambda \log(1-\lambda)}{(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\gamma \lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} + P(\lambda)
$$

where  $P(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n \lambda^n$ . Since  $p_n = O(\sqrt{n}) = o(n)$ , we have

 $P(\lambda) = o((1 - \lambda)^{-2})$  as  $\lambda \to 1$  non-tangentially.

Thus we obtain

$$
H_{\tau} = (1 - \lambda)L(\lambda) - \lambda
$$
  
= -\lambda \log(1 - \lambda) + (\gamma - 1)\lambda + (1 - \lambda)^2 P(\lambda)  
= -\log(\tau - 1) + \gamma - 1 + o(\tau - 1)

and the proposition is proved.

#### *§***3. Families of Maps with Attracting/Parabolic Fixed Points**

### *§***3.1. Domain of convergence**

Let  $U(R) = \{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \mid R < |z| \leq \infty\}$  be a neighborhood of  $\infty \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  and we consider a family of holomorphic maps  $f_{\tau}: U(R) \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  of the form

$$
(7) \t\t\t f_{\tau}(z) = \tau z + 1 + A_{\tau}(z)
$$

with

$$
A_{\tau}(z) = \frac{a_{1,\tau}}{z} + \frac{a_{2,\tau}}{z^2} + \cdots
$$

We suppose that  $f_\tau$  depends holomorphically on the parameter  $\tau\in \Delta_\rho=\{\tau\in$  $\mathbb{C} \mid |\tau - 1| < \rho \}.$ 

As in the previous section, we choose and fix  $\alpha$  so that  $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$  and let  $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \cos \alpha$ . By shrinking the neighbohoods  $U(R)$  and  $\Delta_{\rho}$ , we assume that there is a constant  $K$  such

$$
(8) \t\t |A_{\tau}(z)| < \frac{K}{|z|} < \delta
$$

for  $(z, \tau) \in U(R) \times \Delta_{\rho}$ . Further we assume that  $f_{\tau}(z)$  is injective in z for every  $\tau \in \Delta_{\rho}$ .

Now we have results on uniformity of convergence for  $f_{\tau}^{n}(z)$ , corresponding to Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 for  $\ell_{\tau}(z)$ . We set

$$
T_{\alpha,\rho} = T_{\alpha} \cap \Delta_{\rho} = \{ \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re}(\tau - 1) \le |\tau - 1| \cos \alpha, \ |\tau - 1| < \rho \}.
$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *For*  $(z, \tau) \in U(R) \times T_{\alpha, \rho} - \{(\infty, 1)\}$  *we have* 

$$
N_{\tau}(f_{\tau}(z)) \geq |\tau| N_{\tau}(z) + \delta.
$$

*Proof.* From  $f_{\tau}(z) = \ell_{\tau}(z) + A_{\tau}(z)$ , it follows that

$$
N_{\tau}(f_{\tau}(z)) \ge N_{\tau}(\ell_{\tau}(z)) - |A_{\tau}(z)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge |\tau| N_{\tau}(z) + \cos \alpha - \delta
$$
  
\n
$$
= |\tau| N_{\tau}(z) + \delta,
$$

which proves the lemma.

Now let

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\rho}(R) = \{ (z,\tau) \in \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(R) \mid \tau \in T_{\alpha,\rho} \}.
$$

We note that  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\rho}(R) \subset U(R) \times T_{\alpha,\rho}$  since  $N_{\tau}(z) \leq |z|$ .

#### 100 Tetsuo Ueda

**Proposition 3.2.** *If*  $\tau \in T_{\alpha,\rho}$  *and*  $z \in V_{\tau}(R)$ *, then*  $f_{\tau}(z) \in V_{\tau}(R)$ *. The sequence*  $\{f_{\tau}^n(z)\}_n$  *converges uniformly on*  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\rho}(R)$  *to*  $\infty$ *.* 

*Proof.* If  $\tau \in T_{\alpha,\rho}$  and  $z \in V_{\tau}(R)$ , then  $N_{\tau}(z) > R$ . Hence  $N_{\tau}(f_{\tau}(z)) \geq$  $N_{\tau}(z) + \delta > R + \delta$  and  $f_{\tau}(z) \in V_{\tau}(R)$ . Further

$$
|f_{\tau}^{n}(z)| \ge N_{\tau}(f_{\tau}^{n}(z)) \ge N_{\tau}(z) + n\delta > R + n\delta.
$$

This shows the uniform convergence of  $\{f_\tau^n(z)\}_n$  to  $\infty$  on  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\rho}(R)$ .

# *§***3.2. Schr¨oder-Abel equation**

 $\Box$ 

We recall that  $C_{\tau}$  is continuous on  $T_{\alpha}$  and holomorphic in the interior of  $T_{\alpha}$  and that  $C_{\tau} = (1 - \tau)H_{\tau}$  when  $\tau \neq 1$ . Let

(9) 
$$
B_{\tau} = 1 - a_{1,\tau} C_{\tau}.
$$

The following theorem constitutes the main ingredient of this paper.

**Theorem 3.3.** *There exists a function*  $\varphi_{\tau}(z)$  *on*  $V_{\alpha,\rho}(R)$  *with values in* C- *satisfying the following conditions*:

(i)  $\varphi_{\tau}(z)$  *is continuous on*  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\rho}(R)$  *and holomorphic in its interior as a mapping to*  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ *.* 

(ii) *For each*  $\tau \in T_{\alpha,\rho} - \{1\}$  *fixed, the function*  $\varphi_{\tau}(z)$  *is holomorphic in*  $V_\tau(R)$  *except for a simple pole at*  $\infty$ ; *and*  $\varphi_1(z)$  *is holomorphic in*  $V_1(R)$ *. Further*  $\varphi_{\tau}(z)$  *satisfies the functional equation* 

(10) 
$$
\varphi_{\tau}(f_{\tau}(z)) = \tau \varphi_{\tau}(z) + B_{\tau}.
$$

(iii) *For each*  $\tau \in T_{\alpha,\rho} - \{1\}$  *fixed, the function*  $\varphi_{\tau}(z)$  *is of the form* 

$$
\varphi_{\tau}(z) = z - a_{1,\tau} H_{\tau} + o(1)
$$

*in a neighborhood of*  $z = \infty$ *.* 

The proof is given in the next subsection.

This theorem implies in particular the following: Suppose that  $\tau$  tends to 1 from outside of the unit disk with direction  $\theta$ , i.e.,  $\tau = 1 + re^{i\theta}$  which fixed θ and r tending to 0. Then the domain  $V_\tau(R)$  of  $\varphi_\tau(z)$  converges to the half plane  $\{Re\,e^{i\theta}z\geq R\}\subset V_1(R)$ , and  $\varphi_\tau(z)$  converges to  $\varphi_1(z)$  on this half plane. This remark applies also to  $\psi_{\tau}$  given below.

To make clear the meaning of this theorem, we will give the relation between  $\varphi_{\tau}(z)$  and the solution to Schröder equation.

Suppose  $|\tau| > 1$  and consider the equation

(11) 
$$
\chi_{\tau}(f_{\tau}(z)) = \tau \chi_{\tau}(z),
$$

which is a variant of the Schröder equation formutated for the case where the fixed point is  $\infty$ . It is classical that this equation has a unique solution  $\chi_{\tau}(z)$ of the form  $\chi_{\tau}(z) = z + O(1)$  in a neighborhood of  $\infty$ . By comparing the coefficients of the Laurent expansion we can see that

$$
\chi_{\tau}(z) = z + \frac{1}{\tau - 1} + O(1/z).
$$

On the other hand, we can easily verify that  $\varphi(z) + B_{\tau}/(\tau - 1)$  satisfies the equation (11). Since  $B_{\tau} = 1 - a_{1,\tau}C_{\tau} = 1 - a_{1,\tau}(1-\tau)H_{\tau}$  by (9) and (6), we have the following.

**Theorem 3.4.** *For*  $\tau \in T_{\alpha,\rho} - \{1\}$  *we have* 

$$
\varphi_{\tau}(z) = \chi_{\tau}(z) - \frac{B_{\tau}}{\tau - 1}
$$

$$
= \chi_{\tau}(z) - \frac{1}{\tau - 1} - a_{1,\tau} H_{\tau}.
$$

Our result may be stated, without referring to  $\varphi_{\tau}(z)$ , as follows.

**Theorem 3.5.** *When* τ *tends to* 1 *non-tangentially from outside of the unit disk, the function*

$$
\chi_{\tau}(z) - \frac{1}{\tau - 1} + a_{1,\tau} \log(\tau - 1)
$$

*for*  $\tau \in T - \{1\}$  *converges to a solution to the Abel equation for*  $f_1(z)$ *.* 

Here we may replace  $a_{1,\tau}$  by  $a_{1,1}$ , since  $a_{1,\tau}H_{\tau}$  and  $-a_{1,1}\log(\tau-1)$  differ only by a continuous function on  $T_{\alpha}$ ,  $\rho$ .

We may normalize  $\varphi_{\tau}(z)$  by letting  $\varphi_{\tau}^{*}(z) = \varphi_{\tau}(z)/B_{\tau}$ . Then  $\varphi_{\tau}^{*}(z)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.3, replacing  $B_{\tau}$  by 1. For  $\tau \neq 1$ , we have

$$
\varphi_{\tau}^*(z) = \frac{\chi_{\tau}(z)}{B_{\tau}} - \frac{1}{\tau - 1}.
$$

Now we give another reformulations of the result. The function  $\varphi_{\tau}$  has pole on  $z = \infty$ . By a linear fractional transformation, we obtain a function which is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\rho}(R)$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *There exists a function*  $\psi_{\tau}(z)$  *on*  $V_{\alpha,\rho}(R)$  *satisfying the following conditions*:

(i)  $\psi_{\tau}(z)$  *is continuous on*  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\rho}(R)$  *and holomorphic in its interior, as a function of two variables.*

(ii) *For each*  $\tau \in T_{\alpha,\rho}$  *fixed, the function*  $\psi_{\tau}(z)$  *is holomorphic in*  $V_{\tau}(R)$ *and satisfies the functional equation*

$$
\psi_{\tau}(f_{\tau}(z)) = \frac{1}{\tau}\psi_{\tau}(z) + 1.
$$

(iii) *For each*  $\tau \in T_{\alpha,\rho}$  *fixed, the function*  $\psi_{\tau}(z)$  *is of the form* 

$$
\psi_{\tau}(z) = \frac{\tau}{\tau - 1} - \frac{\tau B_{\tau}}{(\tau - 1)^2} \frac{1}{z} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right).
$$

*In the neighborhood of*  $z = \infty$ *,* 

*Proof.* We define

$$
\psi_{\tau}(z) = \frac{\tau \varphi_{\tau}(z)}{(\tau - 1)\varphi_{\tau}(z) + B_{\tau}}.
$$

Then

$$
\psi_{\tau}(z) = \frac{\tau}{\tau - 1} - \frac{\tau B_{\tau}}{(\tau - 1)^2} \frac{1}{\chi_{\tau}(z)} \quad \text{when } \tau \neq 1.
$$
  

$$
\psi_1(z) = \varphi_1^*(z).
$$

We can easily verify that  $\psi_{\tau}(z)$  satisfies the required conditions.

# *§***3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.3**

To simplify the notation, we omit the subscript  $\tau$  for  $f_{\tau}$  etc. We rewrite the expression (7) in the form

(12) 
$$
f(z) = \tau z + 1 + \frac{a_{1,\tau}}{z} + A_1(z)
$$

with  $A_{1,\tau}(z) = a_2(\tau)/z^2 + \ldots$ . There exits some constant  $K_1$  such that

$$
|A_1(z)| \le \frac{K_1}{|z|^2}.
$$

To make clear the idea of the proof, we will first consider the case where  $a_{1,\tau} = 0$  identically. Replacing z by  $f^{n-1}(z)$  for in (11) and dividing by  $\tau^n$ , we obtain

(13) 
$$
\frac{1}{\tau^n} f^n(z) = \frac{1}{\tau^{n-1}} f^{n-1}(z) + \frac{1}{\tau^n} + \frac{1}{\tau^n} A_1(f^{n-1}(z)).
$$

We define

$$
\varphi_n(z) := \frac{1}{\tau^n} f^n(z) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\tau^k} = z + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\tau^k} A_1(f^{k-1}(z)).
$$

Since

$$
(14) \left| \frac{1}{\tau^k} A_1(f^{n-1}(p)) \right| \leq \frac{K_1}{|f^{n-1}(z)|^2} \leq \frac{K_1}{N(f^{n-1}(z))^2} \leq \frac{K_1}{(N(z) + (n-1)\delta)^2},
$$

we conclude that  $\varphi_n(z)$  converges uniformly as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore the limit  $\varphi(z) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi_n(z)$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\rho}(R)$ . From  $\varphi_n(f(z)) = \tau \varphi_{n+1}(z) +$ 1, it follows that  $\varphi(z)$  satisfies the equation (10) with  $B_{\tau} = 1$ .

Now, in the general case where  $a_{1,\tau}$  does not vanish identically, we have to modify the above construction to have convergent sequence. Let us recall the function  $h(z)$  satisfying the difference equation (3) in the previous section. We set

$$
A_2(z) = h(f(z)) - h(\ell(z)).
$$

Then

$$
h(f(z)) = \tau h(z) + C_{\tau} + \frac{1}{z} + A_2(z).
$$

Combining this with (12), we get

$$
f(z) - a_1 h(f(z)) = \tau \{ z - a_1 h(z) \} + B_\tau + \tilde{A}(z)
$$

with  $B_{\tau} = 1 - a_1 C_{\tau}$ , where we have set

$$
\tilde{A}(z) = A_1(z) - a_1 A_2(z).
$$

In the same manner as in (13), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\tau^n} \{ f^n(z) - a_1 h(f^n(z)) \} = \frac{1}{\tau^{n-1}} \{ f^{n-1}(z) - a_1 h(f^{n-1}(z)) \} + \frac{B_\tau}{\tau^n} + \frac{1}{\tau^n} \tilde{A}(f^{n-1}(z)).
$$

We define

$$
\varphi_n(z) = \frac{1}{\tau^n} \{ f^n(z) - a_1 h(f^n(z)) \} - B_\tau \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\tau^k}
$$

$$
= z - a_1 h(z) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\tau^k} \tilde{A}(f^{k-1}(z)).
$$

The sum on the right is

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\tau^k} A_1(f^{k-1}(z)) - a_{1,\tau} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\tau^k} A_2(f^{k-1}(z)).
$$

104 Tetsuo Ueda

When  $n \to \infty$ , the first sum is uniformly convergent by the estimate (14). The convergence of the second sum follows from Lemma 3.7 below. Thus  $\varphi_n(z)$  converges uniformly on  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\rho}(R)$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence the limit  $\varphi(z)$  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\varphi_n(z)$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\rho}(R)$ . From  $\varphi_n(f(z))=\tau\varphi_{n+1}(z)+B_\tau$  it follows that  $\varphi(z)$  satisfies the equation (10).

Since  $\tilde{A}(z)$  vanishes at  $z = \infty$ , we have  $\varphi_n(z) = z - a_1 H_\tau + o(1)$  in the neighborhood of  $z = \infty$ . Letting  $n \to \infty$  yields the assertion (iii).  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.7.** *We have*

$$
|A_2(z)| \le \frac{KM}{|z|N(z)}
$$

*on*  $(z, \tau) \in \mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\rho}(R)$ .

*Proof.* Let z be a point with  $N(z) > R$  and let  $[\ell(z), f(z)]$  denote the segment joining  $\ell(z)$  and  $f(z)$  in  $\mathbb C$ . The length of this segment is

$$
|f(z) - \ell(z)| = |A(z)| < \frac{K}{|z|} < \delta,
$$

by (8). For any  $\zeta$  in this segment, we have  $|N(\zeta) - N(\ell(z))| \leq |\zeta - \ell(z)| < \delta$ . Hence  $N(\zeta) > N(\ell(z)) - \delta > N(z)$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.6 we have

$$
|h'(\zeta)|\leq \frac{M}{N(\zeta)}\leq \frac{M}{N(z)}
$$

on this segment. Thus we have

$$
|A_2(z)| = \left| \int_{[\ell(z), f(z)]} h'(\zeta) d\zeta \right| \le \frac{KM}{|z| N(z)},
$$

which proves the assertion.

### **Acknowledgement**

The author would like to thank the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and helpful suggestions.

#### **References**

[1] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Springer, New York, 1976.

#### SIMULTANEOUS LINEARIZATION 105

- [2] K. Chandrasekharan, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1968.
- [3] P. Fatou, Sur les équations fonctionelles, Bull. Soc. Math. France **47** (1919) 161-271; ibid. **48** (1920) 33–94, 208–314.
- [4] T. Kawahira, A proof of simultaneous linearization with a polylog estimate, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. **55** (2007), no. 1, 43–52.
- [5] G. Koenigs, Recherches sur les integrals de certaines equations fonctionelles, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. (3e Ser.) **1** (1884) supplem. 1–41.
- [6] L. Leau, Étude sur les équations fonctionnelles à une ou à plusieurs variables, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Sci. Math. Sci. Phys. **11** (1897), no. 2, E1–E24; ibid. no. 3, E25–E110.
- [7] C. T. McMullen, Hausdorff dimension and conformal dynamics. II. Geometrically finite rational maps, Comment. Math. Helv. **75** (2000), no. 4, 535–593.
- [8] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in one complex variable*, Third edition, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2006.
- [9] E. Schröder, Ueber iterierte Funktionen, Math. Ann. **3** (1871), 296-322.