# Multidegrees of Tame Automorphisms in Dimension Three

by

Xiaosong Sun and Yan Chen

#### Abstract

We discuss when a sequence of positive integers can be the multidegree of some tame automorphism in dimension three, and we also relate these investigations to the problem of whether there exists a tame automorphism admitting a reduction of type II or type III.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 14R10. Keywords: polynomial maps, tame automorphisms, multidegrees.

## §1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, k is a field of characteristic zero and N is the set of non-negative integers. A map  $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) : k^n \to k^n$  of the form  $\alpha \mapsto (F_1(\alpha), \ldots, F_n(\alpha))$  is called a *polynomial map* if  $F_i \in k[X_1, \ldots, X_n], 1 \leq i \leq n$ . A polynomial map is called an *automorphism* if it has an inverse which is also a polynomial map.

An automorphism of the form  $(X_1, \ldots, X_{i-1}, cX_i + a, X_{i+1}, \ldots, X_n)$  is called elementary if  $0 \neq c \in k$  and a is a polynomial not containing  $X_i$ . A finite composition of elementary automorphisms is called *tame*. The famous Tame Generators Problem asks if every polynomial automorphism is tame. It has an affirmative answer in dimension 2 (known as the Jung–van der Kulk theorem, see [J, Kul] or [E, Section 5.1]) and has a negative answer in dimension 3 (Shestakov and Umirbaev [SU1, SU2]). It remains open for any dimension  $n \geq 4$ .

Define by  $\operatorname{tdeg} F := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{deg} F_i$  the *total degree* of a polynomial map F. An automorphism F is said to *admit an elementary reduction* if there exists an

e-mail: sunxs@pku.edu.cn

Y. Chen: School of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China; e-mail: cy\_185@163.com

© 2012 Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University. All rights reserved.

Communicated by S. Mukai. Received February 16, 2011.

X. Sun: School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China, and School of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China;

elementary automorphism E such that  $\text{tdeg}(E \circ F) < \text{tdeg } F$ , where  $\circ$  denotes composition. In dimension 3, four types of non-elementary reductions, labeled I–IV, were defined by Shestakov and Umirbaev ([SU2, Definition 1–4]), who showed that every tame automorphism  $F : k^3 \to k^3$  with tdeg F > 3 admits an elementary reduction or a reduction of one of the types I–IV ([SU2, Theorem 2]). They observed that an automorphism given by Nagata [N] admits none of these reductions, and thus is not tame.

There exists a tame automorphism admitting a reduction of type I (see [SU2, Example 1], and [EMW] for more examples). But recently, Kuroda [Kur2, Theorem 7.1] showed that there does NOT exist a tame automorphism admitting a reduction of type IV. However it is still open whether there exists a tame automorphism admitting a reduction of type II or III.

Karaś [K2] proposed the following problem: define by mdeg  $F := (\deg F_1, \ldots, \deg F_n)$  the *multidegree* of a polynomial map F and by  $mdeg(T(k^n))$  the set of multidegrees of tame automorphisms from  $k^n$  to  $k^n$ . Which sequences  $(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$  belong to  $mdeg(T(k^n))$ ?

It is well known that  $(d_1, d_2) \in \text{mdeg}(T(k^2))$  if and only if  $d_1 | d_2$  or  $d_2 | d_1$  (see for example [E, Section 5.1]). In dimension 3, some partial results were obtained by Karaś in [K1, K2] as follows.

- **Theorem 1.1** (Karaś). (i) ([K2, Theorem 1.1]) Let  $3 \le d_2 \le d_3$  be integers. Then  $(3, d_2, d_3) \in mdeg(T(k^3))$  if and only if  $3 \mid d_2$  or  $d_3 \in 3\mathbb{N} + d_2\mathbb{N}$ .
- (ii) ([K1, Theorem 1]) Let  $3 \le p_1 \le d_2 \le d_3$  be integers. If  $p_1$  and  $d_2$  are prime numbers, then  $(p_1, d_2, d_3) \in mdeg(T(k^3))$  if and only if  $d_3 \in p_1\mathbb{N} + d_2\mathbb{N}$ .

These investigations led to the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.2** ([K2, Conjecture 4.1]). Let  $3 \le p_1 \le d_2 \le d_3$  be integers with  $p_1$  a prime number. Then  $(p_1, d_2, d_3) \in \text{mdeg}(T(k^3))$  if and only if  $p_1 | d_2$  or  $d_3 \in p_1 \mathbb{N} + d_2 \mathbb{N}$ .

In this paper, we show that Conjecture 1.2 holds if additionally one of the following conditions is satisfied (i)  $d_2/\operatorname{gcd}(d_2, d_3) \neq 2$ ; (ii)  $d_3/\operatorname{gcd}(d_2, d_3) \neq 3$ ; (iii)  $d_2 \geq 2p_1 - 5$ . As corollaries, we show that Conjecture 1.2 holds in the following cases: (1)  $d_2$  is odd; (2)  $p_1 = 3$  or 5; (3)  $p_1 = 7$  and  $(d_2, d_3) \neq (8, 12)$ . Furthermore, we relate the investigations with the problem of whether there exists a tame automorphism admitting a reduction of type II or III. We show that, if  $(7, 8, 12) \in \operatorname{mdeg}(T(k^3))$ , then there exists a tame automorphism admitting a reduction of type II, and if  $(p_1, 2p_1 - 6, 3p_1 - 9) \in \operatorname{mdeg}(T(k^3))$ , where  $p_1 > 7$  is a prime number, then there exists one admitting a reduction of type III.

#### §2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some notions and results about the Poisson bracket and \*-reduced pair; for details, see [SU1, SU2].

Let  $L\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$  be the free Lie algebra with free generators  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ . Let  $PL\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$  be the free Poisson algebra with free generators  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ , which is the k-algebra generated by a linear basis of  $L\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$  and the Poisson bracket of which is induced by the Lie bracket of  $L\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$ . It becomes a graded algebra if we put deg  $X_i = 1$ , deg  $[X_i, X_j] = 2, i \neq j$ , etc. Imbedding the polynomial algebra  $k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$  into  $PL\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$ , one can define the Poisson bracket of two polynomials f, g to be

$$[f,g] = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial X_j} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial X_i} \right) [X_i, X_j].$$

Hence

$$\deg\left[f,g\right] = 2 + \max_{1 \le i < j \le n} \deg\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial X_j} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_j}\frac{\partial g}{\partial X_i}\right)$$

Note that deg  $[f, g] \ge 2$  if f, g are algebraically independent.

**Definition 2.1** ([SU1, Definition 1]). A pair of polynomials  $f, g \in k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$  is called \*-reduced if

- (1) f, g are algebraically independent;
- (2)  $\overline{f}, \overline{g}$  are algebraically dependent, where  $\overline{h}$  denotes the highest homogeneous part of h;
- (3)  $\overline{f} \notin k[\overline{g}]$  and  $\overline{g} \notin k[\overline{f}]$ .

Let f, g be a \*-reduced pair with deg  $f \leq \deg g$  and let  $p = \frac{\deg f}{\gcd(\deg f, \deg g)}$ . Then f, g is also called a *p*-reduced pair.

**Theorem 2.2** ([SU1, Theorem 3]). Let f, g be a p-reduced pair and let  $G(x, y) \in k[x, y]$  with  $\deg_y G(x, y) = pq + r, 0 \le r < p$ . Then

 $\deg G(f,g) \ge q(p \deg g - \deg g - \deg f + \deg [f,g]) + r \deg g.$ 

**Remark 2.3.** Karaś observed that Theorem 2.2 is also true if the second condition of Definition 2.1 is not satisfied (see [K2, Proposition 2.4]).

We close this section by recalling several results which will also be used in the next section.

**Lemma 2.4** (Brauer [B]). If a, b are positive integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1, then  $l \in a\mathbb{N} + b\mathbb{N}$  for every integer  $l \ge (a - 1)(b - 1)$ .

**Lemma 2.5** ([K2, Proposition 2.2]). Let  $d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$  be positive integers. If there exists some *i* such that  $d_i \in d_1 \mathbb{N} + \cdots + d_{i-1} \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \in$ mdeg $(T(k^n))$ .

### §3. Multidegrees of tame automorphisms of $k^3$

We start with a lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $F = (F_1, F_2, F_3)$  be an automorphism with mdeg  $F = (d_1, d_2, d_3)$ . If deg  $[F_s, F_t] = 2$ , then  $d_s | d_t$  or  $d_t | d_s$ , where  $1 \le s < t \le 3$ .

*Proof.* Let T be a linear automorphism and  $F' = F \circ T$ . It is easy to verify that deg  $[F'_s, F'_t] = \text{deg} [F_s, F_t]$  for any  $1 \leq s < t \leq 3$ . Replacing F by some  $F \circ T$  if necessary, we may assume that  $F = (X_1 + H_1, X_2 + H_2, X_3 + H_3)$ , where each  $H_i$  contains no linear terms.

Suppose that deg  $[F_s, F_t] = 2$  for some  $1 \le s < t \le 3$ , say deg  $[F_2, F_3] = 2$ . Since

$$\deg [F_2, F_3] = 2 + \max_{1 \le i < j \le 3} \deg c_{ij}, \quad \text{where} \quad c_{ij} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial X_i} \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial X_j} - \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial X_i} \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial X_j},$$

we have  $c_{ij} \in k, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ . It follows that

$$c_{12} = \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial X_1} \frac{\partial H_3}{\partial X_2} - \frac{\partial H_3}{\partial X_1} \left( 1 + \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial X_2} \right) = 0, \quad c_{13} = \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial X_1} \left( 1 + \frac{\partial H_3}{\partial X_3} \right) - \frac{\partial H_3}{\partial X_1} \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial X_3} = 0.$$

Notice that  $\partial H_2/\partial X_1 = 0$  if and only if  $\partial H_3/\partial X_1 = 0$ . Now suppose that  $\partial H_2/\partial X_1 \neq 0$ . Then  $\partial H_3/\partial X_1 \neq 0$ . Let u and v be the lowest homogeneous parts of  $\partial H_2/\partial X_1$  and  $\partial H_3/\partial X_1$  respectively. If deg  $u \leq \deg v$ , then u is the lowest homogeneous part of  $c_{13}$ , which contradicts  $c_{13} = 0$ . Similarly, if deg  $v \leq \deg u$ , then v is the lowest homogeneous part of  $c_{12}$ , which contradicts  $c_{12} = 0$ .

Therefore,  $\partial H_2/\partial X_1 = 0$  and  $\partial H_3/\partial X_1 = 0$ . It follows that  $(F_2, F_3)$  is an automorphism in dimension 2, and thus  $d_2 \mid d_3$  or  $d_3 \mid d_2$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $3 \le p_1 \le d_2 \le d_3$  be integers such that  $p_1$  is a prime number,  $p_1 \nmid d_2$  and  $d_3 \notin p_1 \mathbb{N} + d_2 \mathbb{N}$ . If  $(p_1, d_2, d_3) \in \text{mdeg}(T(k^3))$ , then there exists a tame automorphism with multidegree  $(p_1, d_2, d_3)$  which admits an elementary reduction.

*Proof.* If  $(p_1, d_2, d_3) \in \text{mdeg}(T(k^3))$ , then there exists a tame automorphism F with mdeg  $F = (p_1, d_2, d_3)$ . By [SU2, Theorem 2] and [Kur2, Theorem 7.1], F admits an elementary reduction or a reduction of one of the types I–III.

By [SU2, Definitions 1 and 2], if there exists a tame automorphism admitting a reduction of type I or II, then there exists a tame automorphism admitting an elementary reduction with the same multidegree. Now suppose that F admits a reduction of type III. Then by [SU2, Definition 3] (through a permutation of indices), there exists some positive integer msuch that one of the following is satisfied:

(3.1)  $m < \deg F_1 = p_1 \le \frac{3}{2}m, \quad \deg F_2 = d_2 = 2m, \quad \deg F_3 = d_3 = 3m;$ (3.2)  $\deg F_1 = p_1 = \frac{3}{2}m, \quad \deg F_2 = d_2 = 2m, \quad \frac{5}{2}m < \deg F_3 = d_3 \le 3m.$ 

In the case (3.2), the condition that  $p_1$  is a prime number implies that m = 2,  $p_1 = 3$  and  $d_3 = 6$ , which contradicts  $d_3 \notin p_1 \mathbb{N} + d_2 \mathbb{N}$ . So it suffices to consider the case (3.1).

Also by [SU2, Definition 3], there exist  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k$  with  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ such that the elements  $G_2 := F_2 - \beta F_1, G_3 := F_3 - \gamma F_1 - \alpha F_1^2$  satisfy deg  $G_2 = 2m$ , deg  $G_3 = 3m$  and  $E \circ (F_1, G_2, G_3) = (G_1, G_2, G_3)$ , for some elementary automorphism E, with deg  $G_1 \leq \frac{3}{2}m$ , deg  $[G_1, G_2] < 3m + \text{deg}[G_2, G_3]$  and deg  $G_1 < m + \text{deg}[G_2, G_3]$ . By [SU2, Corollary 4], tdeg $(G_1, G_2, G_3) < \text{tdeg } F$ . Since tdeg  $F = \text{tdeg}(F_1, G_2, G_3)$ , it follows that  $(F_1, G_2, G_3)$  admits an elementary reduction and mdeg $(F_1, G_2, G_3) = (p_1, d_2, d_3)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $3 \le p_1 \le d_2 \le d_3$  be integers with  $p_1$  a prime number. If one of the following conditions is satisfied: (i)  $d_2/\operatorname{gcd}(d_2, d_3) \ne 2$ ; (ii)  $d_3/\operatorname{gcd}(d_2, d_3) \ne 3$ ; (iii)  $d_2 \ge 2p_1 - 5$ , then  $(p_1, d_2, d_3) \in \operatorname{mdeg}(T(k^3))$  if and only if  $p_1|d_2$  or  $d_3 \in p_1\mathbb{N} + d_2\mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.5, if  $p_1 | d_2$  or  $d_3 \in p_1 \mathbb{N} + d_2 \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(p_1, d_2, d_3) \in \text{mdeg}(T(k^3))$ . Now assume that  $p_1 \nmid d_2$  and  $d_3 \notin p_1 \mathbb{N} + d_2 \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 3.2, we only need to show that, if one of the three conditions in the theorem is satisfied, then an automorphism F with mdeg  $F = (p_1, d_2, d_3)$  does not admit an elementary reduction.

Since  $p_1 \nmid d_2$  and  $d_3 \notin p_1 \mathbb{N} + d_2 \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $d_3 < (p_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1)$  due to Lemma 2.4. Moreover Lemma 3.1 yields deg  $[F_s, F_t] \ge 3$  for any  $1 \le s < t \le 3$ .

(1) Suppose that  $(F_1, F_2, F_3 - g(F_1, F_2))$  is an elementary reduction of F, i.e.,  $\deg(F_3 - g(F_1, F_2)) < \deg F_3$ , where  $g \in k[x, y]$ . Then  $\deg g(F_1, F_2) = \deg F_3 = d_3$ . Notice that  $p_1/\gcd(p_1, d_2) = p_1$ . Let  $\deg_y g(x, y) = qp_1 + r$ , where  $0 \le r < p_1$ . The pair  $F_1, F_2$  satisfies the first and the third condition of Definition 2.1, since  $F_1, F_2$  are algebraically independent and  $p_1 \nmid d_2$ . Then by Theorem 2.2 (and noticing Remark 2.3, similarly hereinafter), we have

$$d_3 = \deg g(F_1, F_2) \ge q(p_1d_2 - d_2 - p_1 + \deg [F_1, F_2]) + rd_2$$
  
$$\ge q(p_1d_2 - d_2 - p_1 + 3) + rd_2 \ge q(p_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1) + rd_2.$$

Since  $d_3 < (p_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1)$ , we have q = 0 and thus  $\deg_y g(x, y) = r < p_1$ .

Let  $g(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{p_1-1} g_i(x)y^i$ . Since  $gcd(p_1,d_2) = 1$ , the sets  $p_1\mathbb{N}, d_2 + p_1\mathbb{N}, \ldots, (p_1-1)d_2 + p_1\mathbb{N}$  are disjoint. Hence

$$d_{3} = \deg g(F_{1}, F_{2}) = \deg \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p_{1}-1} g_{i}(F_{1})F_{2}^{i}\right)$$
  
= 
$$\max_{0 \le i \le p_{1}-1} (\deg F_{1} \deg g_{i} + i \deg F_{2}) = \max_{0 \le i \le p_{1}-1} (p_{1} \deg g_{i} + id_{2}),$$

which contradicts  $d_3 \notin p_1 \mathbb{N} + d_2 \mathbb{N}$ .

(2) Suppose that  $(F_1, F_2 - g(F_1, F_3), F_3)$  is an elementary reduction of F, where  $g \in k[x, y]$ . Then deg  $g(F_1, F_3) = \deg F_2 = d_2$ . Notice that  $p_1/\gcd(p_1, d_3) = p_1$ . Let deg<sub>y</sub>  $g(x, y) = qp_1 + r$ , where  $0 \le r < p_1$ . By Theorem 2.2,

$$d_2 = \deg g(F_1, F_3) \ge q(p_1d_3 - d_3 - p_1 + \deg [F_1, F_3]) + rd_3$$
$$\ge q(p_1d_3 - 2d_3) + rd_3 \ge qd_3 + rd_3,$$

which implies that q = r = 0. Then  $\deg_y g(x, y) = 0$  and thus  $d_2 = \deg g(F_1, F_3) = \deg g(F_1) \in p_1 \mathbb{N}$ , which contradicts  $p_1 \nmid d_2$ .

(3) Suppose that  $(F_1 - g(F_2, F_3), F_2, F_3)$  is an elementary reduction of F, where  $g \in k[x, y]$ . Then deg  $g(F_2, F_3) = \deg F_1 = p_1$ . Let  $p = d_2/\gcd(d_2, d_3)$  and let deg<sub>y</sub> g(x, y) = qp + r, where  $0 \le r < p$ . By Theorem 2.2 we obtain

(3.3) 
$$p_1 = \deg g(F_2, F_3) \ge q(pd_3 - d_3 - d_2 + \deg [F_2, F_3]) + rd_3.$$

If  $\deg_y g(x, y) = 0$ , then  $p_1 = \deg g(F_2, F_3) = \deg g(F_2) \in d_2\mathbb{N}$ , a contradiction. So in what follows we always assume that  $\deg_y g(x, y) > 0$ .

We divide the following discussion into several subcases.

(a)  $p = d_2/\operatorname{gcd}(d_2, d_3) \neq 2$  or  $d_3/\operatorname{gcd}(d_2, d_3) \neq 3$ .

If  $p \neq 2$ , noticing that p > 1, we have  $p \geq 3$ . Then

$$pd_3 - d_3 - d_2 + \deg[F_2, F_3] \ge 2d_3 - d_2 \ge d_2.$$

If p = 2, then  $d_3/\operatorname{gcd}(d_2, d_3) \neq 3$ . Let  $\operatorname{gcd}(d_2, d_3) = m$ . Then  $d_2 = 2m, d_3 = lm$ , where  $l \geq 4$ , and thus  $d_3 - d_2 \geq d_2$ . We have also

$$pd_3 - d_3 - d_2 + \deg[F_2, F_3] \ge d_3 - d_2 \ge d_2$$

Then it follows by (3.3) that  $p_1 \ge qd_2 + rd_3$ , which implies that q = r = 0. Hence  $\deg_u g(x, y) = 0$ , a contradiction.

(b)  $d_2 \ge 2p_1 - 5$ .

By (a) we may assume that  $p = d_2/\text{gcd}(d_2, d_3) = 2$  and  $d_3/\text{gcd}(d_2, d_3) = 3$ , which implies that  $d_3 - d_2 \ge d_2/2$ . Due to Lemma 3.1, we have deg  $[F_2, F_3] \ge 3$ .

134

Then by (3.3) we obtain

$$p_1 \ge q(2d_3 - d_3 - d_2 + \deg [F_2, F_3]) + rd_3$$
  
$$\ge q(d_2/2 + 3) + rd_3 \ge q(p_1 + 1/2) + rd_3.$$

It follows that q = r = 0, and thus  $\deg_{y} g(x, y) = 0$ , also a contradiction.

It is easy to verify that Karaś's results (summarized in Theorem 1.1) are direct corollaries of our Theorem 3.3. And we also have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $3 \le p_1 \le d_2 \le d_3$  be integers with  $p_1$  is a prime number. If  $d_2$  is odd, then  $(p_1, d_2, d_3) \in \text{mdeg}(T(k^3))$  if and only if  $p_1 \mid d_2$  or  $d_3 \in p_1 \mathbb{N} + d_2 \mathbb{N}$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $5 \le d_2 \le d_3$  be integers. Then  $(5, d_2, d_3) \in \text{mdeg}(T(k^3))$  if and only if  $5 \mid d_2$  or  $d_3 \in 5\mathbb{N} + d_2\mathbb{N}$ .

**Corollary 3.6.** (1) Let  $7 \le d_2 \le d_3$  be integers with  $(d_2, d_3) \ne (8, 12)$ . Then  $(7, d_2, d_3) \in \text{mdeg}(T(k^3))$  if and only if  $7 | d_2 \text{ or } d_3 \in 7\mathbb{N} + d_2\mathbb{N}$ .

(2) Let  $11 \leq d_2 \leq d_3$  be integers with  $(d_2, d_3) \neq (12, 18), (14, 21), (16, 24)$ . Then  $(11, d_2, d_3) \in \text{mdeg}(T(k^3))$  if and only if  $11 \mid d_2$  or  $d_3 \in 11\mathbb{N} + d_2\mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.3, we may assume that  $d_2 < 2d_1 - 5$ ,  $d_2/\text{gcd}(d_2, d_3) = 2$  and  $d_3/\text{gcd}(d_2, d_3) = 3$ . When  $d_1 = 7$ , this implies that  $(d_2, d_3) = (8, 12)$ , and when  $d_1 = 11$  this implies that  $(d_2, d_3) = (12, 18)$ , (14, 21) or (16, 24).

In what follows, we relate the research on Conjecture 1.2 to the problem of whether exists a tame automorphism admitting a reduction of type II or III. In fact, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $p_1 \ge 7$  be a prime number and assume that  $(p_1, d_2, d_3) = (p_1, 2p_1 - 6, 3p_1 - 9) \in \text{mdeg}(T(k^3))$ . If  $p_1 = 7$ , i.e.  $(p_1, d_2, d_3) = (7, 8, 12)$ , then there exists a tame automorphism admitting a reduction of type II; if  $p_1 > 7$  (in particular if  $(p_1, d_2, d_3) = (11, 16, 24)$ ), then there exists a tame automorphism admitting a reduction of type III.

*Proof.* Notice that  $p_1 \nmid d_2$  and  $d_3 \notin p_1 \mathbb{N} + d_2 \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 3.2, there exists a tame automorphism F with mdeg  $F = (p_1, d_2, d_3)$  which admits an elementary reduction. By the proof of Theorem 3.3, there exists some  $g(x, y) \in k[x, y]$  such that  $\deg(F_1 - g(F_2, F_3)) < \deg F_1$ , and thus  $\deg g(F_2, F_3) = \deg F_1 = p_1$ . Let  $\deg_u g(x, y) = 2q + r$ , where  $0 \leq r < 2$ . By Theorem 2.2,

(3.4) 
$$p_1 = \deg g(F_2, F_3) \ge q(2d_3 - d_3 - d_2 + \deg [F_2, F_3]) + rd_3$$
$$= q(d_2/2 + \deg [F_2, F_3]) + rd_3,$$

which implies that r = 0 and  $q \leq 1$ . If q = 0, then  $\deg_y g(x, y) = 0$ , and thus  $p_1 = \deg g(F_2) \in d_2\mathbb{N}$ , a contradiction. Hence q = 1 and then by (3.4) we obtain  $\deg [F_2, F_3] < p_1 < \deg F_2 + \deg F_3$ . It follows that  $\overline{F_2}, \overline{F_3}$  are algebraically dependent. In addition,  $F_2, F_3$  are algebraically independent, and the condition  $d_2 = 2p_1 - 6$  and  $d_3 = 3p_1 - 9$  ensures that  $\overline{F_2} \notin k[\overline{F_3}]$  and  $\overline{F_3} \notin k[\overline{F_2}]$ . Therefore,  $F_2, F_3$  is a 2-reduced pair.

Now let  $\theta = (f_1, f_2, f_3) = (F_1, F_1 + F_2, F_3)$ . Then deg  $f_1 = \deg F_1 = p_1$ , deg  $f_2 = \deg F_2 = 2m$  and deg  $f_3 = \deg F_3 = 3m$ , where  $m = p_1 - 3$ .

(1) Suppose that  $p_1 = 7$ . Then m = 4 and  $(p_1, d_2, d_3) = (7, 8, 12)$ . It follows that  $\frac{3}{2}m < \deg f_1 = p_1 \leq 2m$ . Notice that  $\overline{f_1}, \overline{f_2}$  are linearly independent. Let  $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 0)$  and let  $g_2 := f_2 - \alpha f_1 = F_2, g_3 := f_3 - \beta f_1 = F_3$ . Then  $g_2, g_3$  is a 2-reduced pair and  $\deg g_2 = 2m$ ,  $\deg g_3 = 3m$ . Moreover,  $(f_1, g_2, g_3) = (F_1, F_2, F_3)$  admits an elementary reduction and  $(g_1, g_2, g_3) = (f_1 - g(g_1, g_2), g_2, g_3) = (F_1 - g(F_2, F_3), F_2, F_3)$  is such a reduction.

Notice that  $p_1 = m + 3$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have deg  $[g_2, g_3] \ge 3$ . Then

$$\deg [g_1, g_2] \le \deg g_1 + \deg g_2 \le \deg f_1 - 1 + \deg g_2 = p_1 - 1 + 2m = 3m + 2 < 3m + \deg [g_2, g_3].$$

Therefore, by [SU2, Definition 2],  $\theta$  admits a reduction of type II with the active element  $f_1$ .

(2) Suppose that  $p_1 > 7$ . Since p is a prime number,  $p_1 \ge 11$ , and noticing that  $p_1 = m + 3$ , we have  $m < \deg f_1 = p_1 \le \frac{3}{2}m$ . Let  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (0, 1, 0)$  and let  $g_2 := f_2 - \beta f_1 = F_2, g_3 := f_3 - \gamma f_1 - \alpha f_1^2 = F_3$ . Then  $g_2, g_3$  is a 2-reduced pair and  $\deg g_2 = 2m$ ,  $\deg g_3 = 3m$ . And  $(f_1, g_2, g_3) = (F_1, F_2, F_3)$  admits an elementary reduction:  $(g_1, g_2, g_3) = (F_1 - g(F_2, F_3), F_2, F_3)$ . As proved in (1),  $\deg [g_1, g_2] < 3m + \deg [g_2, g_3]$ . Moreover,  $\deg g_1 < \deg f_1 = p_1 = m + 3 \le m + \deg [g_2, g_3]$ . Therefore, by [SU2, Definition 3],  $\theta$  admits a reduction of type III with the active element  $f_1$ .

**Remark 3.8.** If we replace the condition that  $p_1$  is a prime number by the condition that  $p_1, d_2$  are relatively prime, then Conjecture 1.2 is not valid. In fact, we note that, among other things, Kuroda [Kur1] constructed tame automorphisms with multidegrees  $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (2m, 2pm + p + 1, (2p + 1)m)$ , where m = pq + p + q and  $p, q \in \mathbb{N} - 0$ . If we take p = 2, then  $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (2m, 4m + 3, 5m)$ , where m = 3q + 2 and  $q \in \mathbb{N} - 0$ . And in this case,  $d_1, d_2$  are relatively prime and  $d_3 \notin d_1 \mathbb{N} + d_2 \mathbb{N}$ .

**Remark 3.9.** The editor points out that our Corollaries 3.5 and 3.6(1) were independently obtained by Karaś in [K3, Sections 7.2–7.3], and it was shown

that the existence of a tame automorphism of  $k^3$  with multidegree  $(p_1, d_2, d_3) := (p_1, 2(p_1 - 2), 3(p_1 - 2))$  for some prime number  $p_1 > 35$  (in particular with multidegree (37, 70, 105)) would imply that the two-dimensional Jacobian conjecture is not true. However, by our Theorem 3.3, one can see easily that  $(p_1, d_2, d_3) = (p_1, 2(p_1 - 2), 3(p_1 - 2)) \notin \text{mdeg}(T(k^3))$  for any prime number  $p_1 \ge 3$  because  $d_2 = 2(p_1 - 2) \ge 2p_1 - 5, p_1 \nmid d_2$  and  $d_3 \notin p_1 \mathbb{N} + d_2 \mathbb{N}$ .

## Acknowledgements

The first author wishes to thank for the help of Professor Jiping Zhang and for the support of the School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University.

This work was supported by the Basic Scientific Research Fund of Ministry of Education of China, NSF of China (grant no. 11026039), and the "985 Project" and "211 Project" of Jilin University.

#### References

- [B] A. Brauer, On a problem on partitions, Amer. J. Math. 64 (1942), 299–312.
  Zbl 0061.06801 MR 0006196
- [E] A. van den Essen, Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture, Progr. Math. 190, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000. Zbl 0962.14037 MR 1790619
- [EMW] A. van den Essen, L. Makar-Limanov and R. Willems, Remarks on Shestakov–Umirbaev, Report 0414, Radboud Univ. of Nijmegen, 2004.
- H. Jung, Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene, J. Reine Angew. Math. 184 (1942), 161–174. Zbl 0027.08503 MR 0008915
- [K1] M. Karaś, Tame automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  with multidegree of the form  $(p_1, p_2, d_3)$ , Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **59** (2011), 27–32. Zbl 1215.14059 MR 2810969
- [K2] \_\_\_\_\_, Tame automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  with multidegree of the form  $(3, d_2, d_3)$ , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), 2144–2147. Zbl 1208.14057 MR 2660904
- [K3] \_\_\_\_\_, Multidegrees of tame automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Dissertationes Math. 477 (2011), 55 pp.
- [Kul] W. van der Kulk, On polynomial rings in two variables, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. 3 (1953), 33–41. Zbl 0050.26002 MR 0054574
- [Kur1] S. Kuroda, Automorphisms of a polynomial ring which admit reductions of type I, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. 45 (2009), 907–917. Zbl pre05625042 MR 2569570
- [Kur2] \_\_\_\_\_, Shestakov–Umirbaev reductions and Nagata's conjecture on a polynomial automorphism, Tohoku Math. J. 62 (2010), 75–115. Zbl 1210.14072 MR 2654304
- [N] M. Nagata, On the automorphism group of k[X,Y], Lectures in Math 5, Kyoto Univ., Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1972. Zbl 0306.14001 MR 0337962
- [SU1] I. P. Shestakov and U. U. Umirbaev, Poisson brackets and two-generated subalgebras of rings of polynomials, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (2004), 181–196. Zbl 1044.17014 MR 2015333
- [SU2] \_\_\_\_\_, The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (2004), 197–227. Zbl 1056.14085 MR 2015334