## Uniqueness in Cauchy's Problem for Certain Fourth Order Elliptic Equations

## By

Kazunari Hayashida\*

1. We consider in the N dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with coordinate  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$  and with norm  $r(=(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2)^{1/2})$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a smooth initial hypersurface containing the origin in its interior and let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a domain whose boundary contains  $\Gamma$ . We consider the real elliptic operator of the form

(1.1) 
$$L = a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + c,$$

where  $a_{ij} \in C^{1+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ) and  $b_i, c \in L^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ .

When L is of the form (1.1), uniqueness in Cauchy's problem for solutions of Lu=0 was shown by several mathematicians (see cf. [1], [4], [5], [7], [9], [16]). On the other hand, when the coefficients of L in (1.1) are smooth, Landis [12] and Lavrentév [13] proved that any solution u of Lu=0 satisfying the following two conditions vanishes identically in Q:<sup>1)</sup>

(i) 
$$u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$$
 and  $Lu = 0$  in  $\Omega$ ,

(ii) 
$$u, u_{x_i} = o(\exp(-r^{-\delta}))$$
  $(r \rightarrow 0)$  along  $\Gamma$ ,

where  $\delta$  is a positive constant depending only on L and  $\Gamma$ . Their method may be said to give an explicit estimate expressing a relation between the solution and the Cauchy data.

In this note we shall give another proof for their results. Our method will be applied to the elliptic system

Received November 5, 1969.

Communicated by S. Matsuura.

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Mathematics, Nagoya University.

<sup>1)</sup> Previously Mergelyan [14] proved this result for harmonic functions.

(1.2) 
$$L_{p}u_{p}=F_{p}(x, u_{q}, u_{qx_{l}}) \quad p=1, \dots, m,$$

where each  $L_{p}$  is the elliptic operator of the form (1.1) and each nonlinear part  $F_{p}$  satisfies

(1.3) 
$$|F_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{x},\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{q}},\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{q}}})-F_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{x},\mathfrak{v}_{\mathfrak{q}},\mathfrak{v}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{q}}})| \leq \operatorname{const} \sum_{q=1}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |D^{\alpha}(\mathfrak{u}_{q}-\mathfrak{v}_{q})|^{2}.$$

**Theorem 1.** There is a positive number  $\delta$  such that if the solutions  $\{u_p\}$  and  $\{v_p\}$  of (1.2) satisfy

$$u_p, v_p \in C^2(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}) \cap C^3(\Gamma)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_{p}-v_{p}, u_{px_{i}}-v_{px_{i}}=o(\exp(-r^{-2\delta})) \quad (r \to 0) \quad \text{along } I \\ (p=1,\cdots,m, \quad i=1,\cdots,N), \end{aligned}$$

then  $u_p \equiv v_p$  in  $\Omega$ , where  $\delta$  depends only on  $\{L_p\}$  and  $\Gamma$ .

Next we treat the solution u of the fourth order elliptic differential inequalities

(1.4) 
$$|L_1 L_2 u| \leq \operatorname{const} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} |D^{\alpha} u|,$$

where  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  are of the form (1.1) whose coefficients are sufficiently smooth and the nonlinear part of the right of (1.4) satisfies the Lipschitz condition as in (1.3). Uniqueness for solutions of (1.4) was shown by several mathematicians (see cf. [10], [11], [15], [17], [18], [19]). In particular, Mizohata [15]<sup>3</sup> proved that the solution  $u \in C^4(\overline{g})$ of (1.4) vanishes identically in  $\mathcal{Q}$  if the Cauchy data of u vanishes on  $\Gamma$ . He used the singular integral method developed by Calderón [3]. On the other hand, Pederson [17], Protter [18] and Shirota [19] proved that the solution  $u \in C^4(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})$  of (1.4) vanishes identically in  $\mathcal{Q}$  if  $D^{\alpha} u$  $(|\alpha| \leq 3)$  tends to zero rapidly at an interior point of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . They used an integral estimate with a weight function having singularity at the point.

Now does the solution of (1.4) vanish identically, if its Cauchy

2) We write 
$$\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}} = D^{\alpha}$$
 for any vector  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)$ .

<sup>3)</sup> He proved also for the more general case of fourth order elliptic equations.

data tend to zero rapidly at a point? We shall answer to this problem, that is, we can prove

**Theorem 2.** There is a positive constant  $\delta$  depending only on  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  and  $\Gamma$  such that if the solution  $u \in C^4(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}) \cap C^7(\Gamma)$  of (1.4) satisfies

$$D^{\alpha} u = o(\exp(-r^{-2\delta})) \quad (r \rightarrow 0, \ |\alpha| \leq 3)$$

along  $\Gamma$ , then u vanishes identically in  $\Omega$ .

*Remark.* If  $\Gamma$  is a spherical surface in the neighborhood of the origin and if  $L_1$  (or  $L_2$ ) is the Laplacian operator at the origin, then the constant  $\delta$  in Theorem 2 can be taken as  $\delta > N$ .

2. Let us denote by  $S_d$  an open sphere with the center  $(d/2, 0, \dots, 0)$  and with the radius d/2. We put  $\Omega_h = \{0 < x_1 < h\} \cap S_1$ ,  $\Gamma_h = \{0 < x_1 < h\} \cap \partial S_1$  and  $l_h = \{x_1 = h\} \cap S_1$ . In this section we shall prove the following

**Proposition 2.1.** If  $u \in C^{m}(\overline{\Omega}_{a}) \cap C^{2m-1}(\Gamma_{a})$  and  $D^{\alpha}u = o(\exp(-r^{-2\delta-\varepsilon}))$   $(r \rightarrow 0, |\alpha| \leq m-1)$  along  $\Gamma_{a}$  for any fixed positive numbers  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ , then there is a function v such that

(2.1) 
$$v \in C^{m}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{a} - \{0\}) \cap C^{m-1}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{a}),$$

$$(2.2) D^{\alpha} v = D^{\alpha} u \quad on \ \Gamma_{a} \quad for \ |\alpha| \leq m-1$$

and

(2.3) 
$$\int_{I_h} |D^{\alpha}v|^2 dx_2 \cdots dx_n = o(\exp(-h^{-\delta})) \quad (h \to 0) \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq m.$$

Before proving the proposition we prepare a lemma. Let us take a function  $\varphi(x) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1})$  such that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x) dx = 1$  and the carrier of  $\varphi \subset \{|x| \leq 1\}$ . Set

(2.4) 
$$f(x,s) = \frac{1}{s} \int_{-2s}^{2s} \varphi((x-y)/s) dy \quad (s>0).$$

Then we easily see that

$$f(x,s) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^1 \times \{s > 0\})$$

and

$$f(x,s) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| \leq s \\ 0 & |x| > 3s. \end{cases}$$

Further we have

**Lemma 2.1.** There is a constant  $C_{\alpha}$  such that

$$(2.5) |D^{\alpha}f(x,s)| \leq C_{\alpha}s^{-|\alpha|} in R^{1} \times \{s > 0\},$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  and  $D^{\alpha} = D_x^{\alpha_1} D_s^{\alpha_2}$ .

Proof. Obviously,

$$f(x, s) = \int_{(x/s)-2}^{(x/s)+2} \varphi(t) dt$$
  
=  $\int_{-2}^{2} \varphi(t) dt + \int_{0}^{x/s} \varphi(t+2) dt + \int_{x/s}^{0} \varphi(t-2) dt.$ 

Thus, if we prove the inequality (2.5) for  $\int_{0}^{x/s} \varphi(t+2) dt$  and  $\int_{x/s}^{0} \varphi(t-2) dt$ , then we shall complete the proof.

It is easily seen that

(2.6) 
$$D_{x} \int_{0}^{x/s} \varphi(t+2) dt = \varphi\left(\frac{x}{s}+2\right) \frac{1}{s}$$

(2.7) 
$$D_s \int_0^{x/s} \varphi(t+2) dt = -\varphi\left(\frac{x}{s}+2\right) \frac{x}{s^2}.$$

We have the same equality as (2.6) and (2.7) for  $\int_{\pi/s}^{0} \varphi(t+2) dt$ . Noting that f(x,s)=0 in  $|x| \ge 3s$ , we have proved (2.5) for  $|\alpha|=1$ . If we differentiate (2.6) and (2.7) any times and note that  $|x/s| \le 3$ , we obtain (2.5) for any  $\alpha$ .

Now we shall prove Proposition 2.1.

*Proof of Proposition 2.1.* Let us use the polar coordinate  $(r, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$  with the center  $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0)$  such that

$$x_{1} - \frac{1}{2} = r \cos \theta_{1}$$

$$x_{2} = r \sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{2}$$

$$\dots$$

$$x_{n-1} = r \sin \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{2} \cdots \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-1}$$

$$x_{n} = r \sin \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{2} \cdots \sin \theta_{n-2} \sin \theta_{n-1}.$$

46

We denote  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$  simply by  $\Theta$ . Set

$$\tilde{v}(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{p!} (r - \frac{1}{2})^p (D_r^p u)(\frac{1}{2}, \Theta).$$

Then it is easily seen that

(2.8) 
$$D, \tilde{v}(x) = \sum_{p=l}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(p-l)!} (r-\frac{1}{2})^{p-l} (D_r^p u) (\frac{1}{2}, \Theta)$$

and

(2.9) 
$$(D', \tilde{v})(\frac{1}{2}, \Theta) = (D'_{l}u)(\frac{1}{2}, \Theta)$$
 for  $l \leq m-1$ 

Put  $k(x_1) = \exp(-x^{-\delta - (\delta/3)})$ . And we set for the function f(x, s) in (2.4)

$$\zeta(x) = f\left(\sqrt{x_1 - x_1^2} - \sqrt{\sum_{i=2}^N x_i^2}, k(x_1)/3\right).$$

From now on we denote  $D_x^{\alpha}$  simply by  $D_x^{\prime}$  for  $|\alpha| = l$ . Then we see by Lemma 1.1

$$(2.10) |D'_x \zeta(x)| \leq c_i (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{-c_i} k(x_1)^{-i}$$

in a neighborhood of the origin, where  $c_i$  is a constant depending only on *l*. Set  $v(x) = \tilde{v}(x)\zeta(x)$ . Then we have

(2.11) 
$$D'_{x}v \sim D'_{x}\tilde{v} \cdot D'_{x}\zeta \qquad (l=l_{1}+l_{2}),$$

where the notation  $\sim$  means that the left side is a linear combination of each term on the right side. We see from (2.11)

(2.12) 
$$D_{x}^{l}v \sim D_{r}^{l'_{1}}D_{\theta}^{l'_{1}}\tilde{v} \cdot D_{x}^{l_{2}}\zeta$$
$$(l_{1}^{\prime}+l_{1}^{\prime\prime}=l_{1}, \ l_{1}+l_{2}=l \leq m)$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.12), we obtain

(2.13) 
$$D'_{x}v \sim (r - \frac{1}{2})^{p-l'_{1}}D'^{l'_{1}}((D^{p}_{r}u)(\frac{1}{2}, \Theta))D^{l_{2}}_{x}\zeta$$
$$(l'_{1} \leq p \leq m-1).$$

We note that

(2.14) 
$$|r - \frac{1}{2}| \leq k(x_1)$$

in the carrier of v (or  $\zeta$ ).

Now let us consider each term of the right side of (2.13). If

 $l_1'' + p \leq m-1$ , we have from (2.10), (2.14) and from the assumption on u,

(2.15) 
$$|(r - \frac{1}{2})^{p-l'_{1}} D^{\prime \prime \prime}_{\theta} ((D^{p}_{r}u)(\frac{1}{2}, \theta)) D^{\prime _{2}}_{x^{2}} \zeta | \\ \leq c(x_{1} \cdots x_{s})^{-c} k(x_{1})^{p-l'_{1}-l_{2}} \exp(-x_{1}^{-\delta-(\mathcal{E}/2)}) \\ \leq c \exp(-x_{1}^{-\delta}).$$

If  $l_1'' + p > m-1$ , we see

(2.16) 
$$|(r-\frac{1}{2})^{p-l_1'}D_{\theta}''((D_r^p u)(\frac{1}{2},\theta))D_{x}'^2\zeta|$$
$$\leq c(x_1\cdots x_n)^{-c}k(x_1)^{p-l_1'-l_2}$$
$$\leq c(x_1\cdots x_n)^{-c}k(x_1)^{p+l_1''-l_2}.$$

In particular when  $l_1'' + p > m-1$  and l < m, we have

(2.17) the left side of 
$$(2.16) \leq c \exp(-x_1^{-\delta})$$
.

Combining (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17), we have shown (2.1). Further we have obtained in the general case  $l \leq m$ 

$$|D_x^l v| \leq c (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{-c}.$$

Since the carrier of v (or  $\zeta$ ) is concentrated on a neighborhood of  $\Gamma_a$ , we see  $|D_x^i v| \leq cx_1^{-\epsilon}$ . Thus we obtain

$$\int_{I_k} |D_x v|^2 dx_2 \cdots dx_n \leq c x_1^{-\epsilon} k(x_1) \leq c \exp(-x_1^{-\delta}).$$

Hence we have shown (2.3). Since the equality (2.2) is trivial, we have completed the proof.

3. In this section we see how the behavior of the solutions of (1.2) or (1.4) is controled by the Cauchy data. This section is essentially based on Mizohata's result [15]. We set  $\varphi_n(x_1) = \left(x_1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{-n}$  and write  $\varphi_n(x_1)$  simply by  $\varphi$ .

**Lemma 3.1** (Mizohata [15]). Let  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  be second order elliptic operators of the form (1.1) with sufficiently smooth coefficients in  $\overline{\Omega}_a$ . Let  $w \in C^3(\overline{\Omega}_a) \cap C^4(\overline{\Omega}_a - \{0\})$ . Assume that

$$(3.1) D^{\alpha}w=0 on \Gamma_{a} for |\alpha| \leq 3$$

and

$$(3.2) \qquad \qquad \|(D^{\alpha}w)(\varepsilon)\|^{4} \rightarrow 0 \qquad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0) \ for \ |\alpha| \leq 4.$$

Then there is a positive constant  $n_0$ ,  $h_0$  and c independent of n and h such that if  $n > n_0$  and  $h < h_0$ , then

$$(3.3) \quad c\left\{\int_{\mathfrak{Q}_{h}}\varphi^{2}|L_{1}L_{2}w|^{2}dx+\tilde{c}^{2}n^{2}\varphi^{2}(h)\right\}\geq\tilde{c}\sum_{|\alpha|\leq s}\int_{\mathfrak{Q}_{h}}\varphi^{2}|D^{\alpha}w|^{2}dx,$$

where  $\tilde{c} = (h + n^{-1})^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** Let u be in  $C^4(\overline{\mathfrak{Q}}_a) \cap C^7(\Gamma_a)$  and be a solution of (1, 4) in  $\mathfrak{Q}_a$ . If for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta > 1$ ,

$$D^{\alpha} u = o(\exp(-r^{-2\delta-\varepsilon}))$$
  $(r \rightarrow 0, |\alpha| \leq 3)$  on  $\Gamma_a$ ,

then it holds that

$$(3\cdot 4) \qquad \int_{g_h} D^{\alpha} u |^{2} dx = o(\exp(-h^{-\delta})) \qquad (h \rightarrow 0, \ |\alpha| \leq 3).$$

*Proof.* From Proposition 2.1 there is a function v such that

$$(3.5) v \in C^4(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_a - \{0\}) \cap C^3(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_a),$$

$$(3.6) D^{\alpha} v = D^{\alpha} u on \ \Gamma_{a} \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq 3$$

and

(3.7) 
$$||(D^{\alpha}v)(h)||^2 = o(\exp(-h^{-\delta-(\delta/3)}))$$
  $(h \to 0)$  for  $|\alpha| \leq 4$ .

We put w=u-v. Then w satisfies the assumption in Lemma 3.1. Hence the inequality (3.3) holds. Here we use the following relations

$$|L_1 L_2 w|^2 \leq 2(|L_1 L_2 u|^2 + |L_1 L_2 v|^2)$$

and

$$|D^{\alpha}w|^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} |D^{\alpha}u|^{2} - |D^{\alpha}v|^{2}.$$

Since u is a solution of (1.4), the inequality (3.3) becomes for sufficiently large  $\tilde{c}$ 

(3.8) 
$$c\left\{\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{h}}\varphi^{2}|L_{1}L_{2}v|^{2}dx+\tilde{c}\sum_{|\alpha|\leq 3}\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{h}}\varphi^{2}|D^{\alpha}v|^{2}dx+\tilde{c}^{2}n^{2}\varphi^{2}(h)\right\}$$
$$\geq \tilde{c}\sum_{|\alpha|\leq 3}\int_{\mathcal{L}_{h}}\varphi^{2}|D^{\alpha}u|^{2}dx.$$

4) We write  $||u(h)||^2 = \int_{I_h} |u|^2 dx_2 \cdots dx_n$ .

By (3.7) this inequality becomes

$$\left(\frac{h}{2}+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2n} c\left\{n^{2n+1} \exp\left(-h^{-\delta-(\mathcal{E}/3)}\right)+\tilde{c}^{2} n^{2} \left(\frac{1}{n}+h\right)^{-2n}\right\} \\ \geq \tilde{c} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{h/2}} |D^{\alpha} u|^{2} dx.$$

Let us take  $h + \frac{1}{n}$  sufficiently small and nh sufficiently large. We easily see that in order to prove

(3.9) 
$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} \int_{\mathcal{D}_{h+2}} |D^{\alpha} u|^2 dx = o\left(\exp\left(-\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{-\delta}\right)\right) \qquad (h \rightarrow 0),$$

it is sufficient to show that we can choose n in such a way that

(3.10) 
$$n^{2n+1} \leq \exp\left(\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{-\delta-\varepsilon_1}\right)$$

and

$$(3.11) n^4 \left(\frac{hn+2}{2hn+2}\right)^{2n} \leq \exp\left(-\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{-\delta-\varepsilon'}\right) \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon' > 0,$$

where  $\varepsilon_1$  is a given number and  $\varepsilon$  will be determined later. If there is a positive number  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  such that

,

(3.12) 
$$n^{1+\overline{\varepsilon}} \leq \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{-\delta-\varepsilon_1}$$

then (3.10) holds. Since nh is sufficiently large, if we show that

(3.13) 
$$\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{2n} \leq \exp\left(-\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{-\delta-\varepsilon'}\right),$$

then (3.11) holds. Let us take positive numbers  $\varepsilon'$ ,  $\overline{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$\delta + \epsilon' < (\delta + \epsilon_1)/1 + \overline{\epsilon}.$$

Noting that  $1 < 2\log(5/3)$ , we can take *n* in such a way that

(3.14) 
$$\frac{1}{2\log(5/3)} \left(\frac{2}{h}\right)^{\delta+\varepsilon'} < n < \left(\frac{2}{h}\right)^{(\delta+\varepsilon_1)/1+\tilde{\varepsilon}}$$

It is easily seen that the inequality (3.14) implies (3.12), (3.13) and that  $nh \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus we have proved (3.9).

Secondly we consider the system of second order differential inequalities

$$(3.15) |L_p u_p| \leq \operatorname{const} \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |D^{\alpha} u_q|, \quad p=1, \cdots, m_p$$

where each  $L_p$  is the elliptic operator of the form (1, 1).

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  be in  $C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^3(\Gamma_a)$  and be solutions of the elliptic system (3.15) in  $\Omega_a$ . If for some  $\varepsilon > 0, \delta > 1$ ,

$$\mathcal{U}_p, D\mathcal{U}_p = o(\exp(-r^{-2\delta-\mathcal{E}})) \qquad (r \rightarrow 0)$$

on  $\Gamma_a$  for  $1 \leq p \leq m$ , then we have

(3.16) 
$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}_{h}} |u_{\mathfrak{g}}|^{2} dx, \int_{\mathfrak{g}_{h}} |Du_{\mathfrak{g}}|^{2} dx = o(\exp(-h^{-5}))$$
$$(h \rightarrow 0, \ 1 \leq \mathfrak{g} \leq \mathfrak{m})$$

The proof of this proposition is obtained in the same way as in Proposition 3.1. Thus we shall sketch the proof briefly. From Proposition 2.1 there are functions  $v_p(p=1, \dots, m)$  such that

$$v_{p} \in C^{2}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{a} - \{0\}) \cap C^{1}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{a}),$$
$$D^{\alpha}v_{p} = D^{\alpha}u_{p} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{a} \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq 1$$

and

$$\|(D^{\alpha}v_{\flat})(h)\|^{2} = o(\exp(-h^{-\delta-(\varepsilon/3)})) \qquad (h \rightarrow 0) \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq 2.$$

Put  $w_{\rho} = u_{\rho} - v_{\rho}$ . Then in the same manner as in Lemma 3.1 we see that there is a positive constant c independent of n and h (n, h>0) such that

$$c\left\{\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{h}}\varphi^{2}|L_{p}w_{p}|^{2}dx+\tilde{c}^{2}n^{2}\varphi^{2}(h)\right\}\geq \tilde{c}\sum_{|\alpha|\leq 1}\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{h}}\varphi^{2}|D^{\alpha}w_{p}|^{2}dx,$$

where  $c = n + h^{-1}$ . Then we have the inequality as in (3.8),

$$c\sum_{p=1}^{m}\left\{\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{h}} \varphi^{2} \left|L_{p} v_{p}\right|^{2} dx + \tilde{c}\sum_{|lpha| \leq 1} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{h}} \varphi^{2} \left|D^{lpha} v_{p}\right|^{2} dx + \tilde{c}^{2} n^{2} \varphi^{2}(h)
ight\}$$
  
 $\geq \tilde{c}\sum_{p=1}^{m} \sum_{|lpha| \leq 1} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{h}} \varphi^{2} \left|D^{lpha} u_{p}\right|^{2} dx.$ 

We proceed in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Then we obtain (3.16).

4. In this section we shall prove the following

**Proposition 4.1.** Let u be in  $C^4(\overline{\Omega}_a) \cap C^7(\Gamma_a)$  and be a solution of (1, 4) in  $\Omega_a$ . If for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta > 1$ ,

$$(4.1) D^{\alpha} u = o(\exp(-r^{-2\delta-\varepsilon})) (r \to 0, |\alpha| \leq 3) \text{ on } \Gamma_{\alpha},$$

then, in  $S_{1/2} \cap \Omega_a$ , it holds that

(4.2) 
$$D^{\alpha} u = o(\exp(-r^{-\delta}))$$
  $(r \rightarrow 0, |\alpha| \leq 3).$ 

Before proving the proposition we prepare a lemma. Let  $x^{(0)}$  be a fixed point in  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ . And let  $L_{1}$ ,  $L_{2}$  be second order elliptic operators with sufficiently smooth coefficients in a neighborhood of  $x^{(0)}$ . Further we assume that  $L_{1}$ ,  $L_{2}$  are real and homogeneous. Then we have

Lemma 4.1.5) Let u be a C<sup>4</sup> function in a neighborhood of  $x^{(0)}$ . Then there is a constant C independent of R (R<1) such that

(4.3) 
$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} |D^{\alpha} u(x^{(0)})| \leq CR^{-p_1} \left\{ \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} \int_{|x-x(0)| \leq R} |D^{\alpha} u|^2 dx \right)^{p_2} + \left( \int_{|x-x(0)| \leq R} |L_1 L_2 u|^2 dx \right)^{p_2} \right\},$$

where  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  depend only on N.

*Proof.* We may assume  $x^{(0)}=0$ . Let us write simply by C the constants independent of R. We take a  $C^{\infty}$  function such that

$$\phi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } r \leq R/2 \\ 0 & \text{in } r \geq R \end{cases}$$

and  $|D^{k}\phi| \leq CR^{-k}$ . Put  $v = \phi u$ . Then we see

$$(4.4) L_1 L_2 v = Q(D^k \phi \cdot D^{4-k} u) + \phi L_1 L_2 u,$$

where  $Q(D^*\phi \cdot D^{4-k}u)$  is a linear combination of each term  $D^*\phi \cdot D^{4-k}u$  $(1 \leq k \leq 4)$ .

Let  $L_i^{(0)}$  (i=1,2) be differential operators whose coefficients are those of  $L_i$  at  $x^{(0)}$ . Then there is a fundamental solution E(x) of  $L_1^{(0)}L_2^{(0)}$  such that

$$(4.5) |D^{\alpha}E(x)| \leq Cr^{4-N-|\alpha|} |\log r|.$$

<sup>5)</sup> From our proof it is easily seen that this lemma holds also for general fourth order elliptic operators.

Since  $D_1^{(0)}L_2^{(0)}D^{\alpha}v = D^{\alpha}L_1L_2v + D^{\alpha}(L_1^{(0)}L_2^{(0)} - L_1L_2)v$ , we have

$$(4.6) D^{\alpha} u(0) = \int_{x \leq R} E(-x) \{ D^{\alpha} L_1 L_2 v + D^{\alpha} (L_1^{(0)} L_2^{(0)} - L_1 L_2) v \} dx.$$

When  $|\alpha| \leq 3$ , the equality (4.6) becomes

(4 7) 
$$D^{\alpha}u(0) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{x \leq R} (D^{\alpha} E)(-x) \{L_{i} L_{2} u + (L_{1}^{(0)} L_{2}^{(0)} - L_{1} L_{2})v\} dx.$$

We get by (4.4) and (4.5)

(4.8) 
$$\left| \int_{r \leq R} D^{\alpha} E(-x) L_{1} L_{2} v dx \right| \\ \leq c R^{-4} \int_{r \leq R} r^{1-N} |\log r| (\sum_{k=0}^{3} |D^{k} u| + |L_{1} L_{2} u|) dx.$$

Noting that the coefficients of  $L_1^{(0)}L_2^{(0)}-L_1L_2$  have order O(r)  $(r \rightarrow 0)$ , we have from (4.5) by the integration by parts

(4.9) 
$$\left| \int_{r \leq R} D^{\alpha} E(-x) (L_{1}^{(0)} L_{2}^{(0)} - L_{1} L_{2}) v \, dx \right|$$
$$\leq C \int_{r \leq R} r^{1-N} |\log r| |D^{3} v| \, dx$$
$$\leq C R^{-3} \int_{r \leq R} r^{1-N} |\log r| (\sum_{k=0}^{3} |D^{k} u|) \, dx.$$

Combining (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), we obtain

(4.10)  $|D^{\alpha}u(0)| \leq CR^{-4} \int_{r \leq R} r^{1-N} |\log r| (\sum_{k=0}^{3} |D^{k}u| + |L_{1}L_{2}u|) dx.$ 

Put  $m = \max_{r \leq R} (\sum_{k=0}^{3} |D^{k}u|)$ . Then by Hölder's inequality we have

(4.11) 
$$\int_{r \leq R} r^{1-N} |\log r| m^{-1} (\sum_{k=0}^{3} |D^{k}u|) dx \leq \left( \int_{r \leq R} (r^{1-N} |\log r|)^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{r \leq R} (m^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{3} |D^{k}u|)^{q} dx \right)^{1/q},$$

where  $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$ . We can take p, q in such a way that q>2 and the right side of (4.11) is finite. Since

$$(m^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{3}|D^{k}u|)^{q} \leq (m^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{3}|D^{k}u|)^{2},$$

the left side of (4.10) is estimated from above by

$$CR^{-p_1}\left(\int_{r\leq R} (\sum_{k=0}^3 |D^k u|^2) dx\right)^{p_2}.$$

Similarly we have

$$\int_{r \leq R} r^{1-N} |\log r| |L_1 L_2 u| dx \leq C R^{-p_1} \left( \int_{r \leq R} |L_1 L_2 u|^2 dx \right)^{p_2}.$$

Thus from (4.10) we have completed the proof.

Now we shall prove Proposition 4.1.

**Proof of Proposition 4.1.** For the point  $x^{(0)}$  in  $S_{1/2}$  we denote by  $r_1(x^{(0)})$  the radius of a sphere tangent to  $S_1$  whose center is  $x^{(0)}$ . It is easily seen that

$$r_1(x^{(0)}) \sim x_1^{(0)} \qquad (x_1^{(0)} \to 0).$$

By Proposition 3.1 we have

(4.12) 
$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{r_1(x^{(0)})+x_1^{(0)}}} |D^{\alpha}u|^2 dx = o(\exp(-x_1^{(0)-\delta-(\mathcal{E}/3)}))$$
$$(x_1^{(0)} \to 0, \ |\alpha| \leq 3)$$

Let us apply Lemma 4.1 to the sphere with the center  $x^{(0)}$ . Then we obtain from (4.3) and (4.12)

$$D^{\alpha} u(x_0) = o(\exp(-x_1^{(0)-\delta})) \qquad (x_1^{(0)} \rightarrow 0, \ |\alpha| \leq 3).$$

Thus we have completed the proof.

We note that Lemma 4.1 holds also for second order elliptic operators. Hence by Proposition 3.2 we have the following

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $u_p$   $(p=1, \dots, m)$  be in  $C^2(\overline{\Omega}_a) \cap C^3(\Gamma_a)$  and be solutions of (1, 2) in  $\Omega_a$ . Then, if for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta > 1$ ,

$$D^{\alpha}u_{p}=o(\exp(-r^{-2\delta-\varepsilon}))$$
  $(r\rightarrow 0, |\alpha|\leq 1, p\leq m)$ 

on  $\Gamma_a$ , then in  $S_{1/2} \cap \Omega_a$ 

$$D^{\alpha} u_{p} = o(\exp(-r^{-\delta}))$$
  $(r \rightarrow 0, |\alpha| \leq 1, p \leq m).$ 

5. We prepare an energy estimate of Carleman's type for second order elliptic operators. We proceed along the method developed by Pederson [17], Protter [18] and Shirota [19].

**Definition.** If a function v(x) satisfies the following conditions, we say that v belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{m,\delta}(S_d)$ :

(i)  $v \in C^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^m(\overline{S_d} - \{0\})$  and the carrier of v is contained in  $\overline{S_d}$ .

(ii) For some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

(5.1)  $D^{\alpha}v = o(\exp(-r^{-\delta-\varepsilon}))$   $(r \to 0, |\alpha| < m)$  in  $\overline{S}_d$ .

Now we set  $\varphi(x) = x_1/r^2$ . Then an easy computation shows that

(5.2) 
$$\varphi_{x_1x_1} = (-2x_1) \left( \frac{3}{r^4} - \frac{4x_1^2}{r^6} \right)$$
$$\varphi_{x_ix_i} = (-2x_1) \left( \frac{1}{r^4} - \frac{4x_i^2}{r^6} \right) \qquad (i \neq 1)$$

and

$$(5.3) |D^k \emptyset| \leq cr^{-k-1} (0 \leq k \leq 2).$$

Let us note that the condition (5.1) implies

(5.4) 
$$D_x^{\alpha} v = o(\exp(-\phi^{\delta+\varepsilon}))$$
  $(r \to 0, |\alpha| < m)$  in  $\overline{S}_d$ .

Let L be a second order homogeneous elliptic operator of the form (1,1) defined in a neighborhood of the origin. And we assume  $L=\Delta$  at the origin. Then we have

**Proposition 5.1.** There are positive constants  $d_0$ ,  $n_0$  depending only on N and  $a_{ij}$  such that if  $0 < d \leq d_0$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ , and  $\delta > N-1$  it holds for any  $v \in \mathcal{F}_{2,\delta}(S_d)$ 

(5.5) 
$$c\int r^{4} \varphi^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (Lv)^{2} dx$$
$$\geq n^{3}\int r^{-4} \varphi^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) v^{2} dx + n\int \varphi^{-1} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) |\nabla v|^{2} dx,$$

where c is a constant depending on  $d_0$  and  $n_0$  but independent of n.

Proof. We can write

$$(5.6) a_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + b_{ij}, |b_{ij}| \leq cr$$

Let us denote simply by c the positive constant independent of n and d. We see by (5.2)

$$(5.7) \qquad |\nabla \varphi|^2 = r^{-4}$$

Let us put  $g = \exp(-n\Phi^{\delta})$  and v = zg. It is easily seen that  $z \in C^{1}(\overline{S}_{d})$  $\cap C^{2}(\overline{S}_{d} - \{0\})$  and the carrier of  $z \subset \overline{S}_{d}$ . Further we note that if  $k+l \leq 3$ , and  $k \neq 3$ ,  $l \neq 3$ ,

(5.8) 
$$D_x^* z D_x^l z = o(\exp(-\varphi^{\varepsilon/2}))$$
  $(r \to 0)$  in  $\overline{S}_d$ .

Obviously

(5.9) 
$$g^{-1}Lv = Lz - 2n\delta \Phi^{\delta-1}(\sum a_{ij} z_{z_i} \Phi_{z_j}) + zg^{-1}Lg.$$

We use the following inequality for the right of (5.9)

 $(X+Y+Z)^2 \ge 2Y(X+Z).$ 

Then

(5.10) 
$$g^{-2}(Lv)^{2} \ge -4n\delta \theta^{\delta-1}(\sum a_{ij} z_{x_{i}} \theta_{x_{j}}) Lz -4n\delta \theta^{\delta-1}(\sum a_{ij} z_{x_{i}} \theta_{x_{j}}) zg^{-1}Lg$$

Thus we have

(5.11) 
$$\int r^4 \varphi^{1-\delta} g^{-2} (Lv)^2 dx \ge -4n\delta \int r^4 Lz (\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} z_{x_i} \varphi_{x_j}^{r}) dx$$
$$-4n\delta \int r^4 (\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} z_{x_i} \varphi_{x_j}) z g^{-1} Lg dx$$

where the integral domain is  $S_d$ . We write

(5.12) 
$$-4n\delta r^{4}Lz(\sum a_{ij}z_{x_{i}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{O}}_{x_{j}})$$
$$=-4n\delta r^{4}(\sum_{i}(1+b_{i})z_{x_{i}x_{i}}+\sum_{i\neq j}a_{ij}z_{x_{i}x_{j}})$$
$$\times(\sum_{i}(1+b_{i})z_{x_{i}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{O}}_{x_{i}}+\sum_{i\neq j}a_{ij}z_{x_{i}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{O}}_{x_{j}})$$
$$=-4n\delta r^{4}(\varDelta z)(\nabla z\cdot\nabla \boldsymbol{\mathcal{O}})-4n\delta R,$$

where R is the sum of the remained terms.

In general for any function f(x) it holds

(5.13) 
$$\int f z_{x_i x_i} z_{x_j} dx = -\int f_{x_i} z_{x_i} z_{x_j} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int f_{x_j} z_{x_i}^2 dx.$$

Further we have

(5.14) 
$$\int f z_{x_i,x_i} z_{x_k} dx = \frac{1}{2} \Big( - \int f_{x_j} z_{x_i} z_{x_k} dx + \int f_{x_k} z_{x_i} z_{z_j} dx - \int f_{x_i} z_{x_k} z_{x_j} dx \Big).$$

In fact

(5.15) 
$$\int f z_{x_{i}x_{j}} z_{x_{k}} dx = -\int f_{x_{j}} z_{x_{i}} z_{x_{k}} dx - \int f z_{x_{i}} z_{x_{k}x_{j}} dx,$$

(5.16) 
$$-\int f \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda_{1}} \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\lambda_{n-1}} d\mathfrak{X} = \int f_{\lambda_{n}} \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{\lambda_{n}} \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda_{n}} d\mathfrak{X} + \int f \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda_{1}} \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda_{1}} d\mathfrak{X}$$

and

(5.17) 
$$\int f z_{x_i x_k} z_{x_j} dx = -\int f_{x_i} z_{x_k} z_{x_j} dx - \int f z_{x_k} z_{x_j x_i} dx.$$

Combining (5.15), (5.16) and (5.17), we obtain (5.14).

Applying (5.13) and (5.14) to each of R, we see from (5.12)

(5.18) 
$$\left| \int R dx \leq c d \int \mathscr{Q}^{-1} |\Delta z|^2 dx. \right|^2$$

Now let us show the following inequality

(5.19) 
$$\int r^4 (\varDelta z) (\nabla z \cdot \nabla \phi) dx \leq 0.$$

We get from (5.7)

Thus

$$\begin{split} &\int r^4 (\varDelta z) \, (\nabla z \cdot \nabla \Phi) \, dx \\ = &\int z_{x_1 x_1} z_{x_1} (r^2 - 2x_1^2) \, dx + \sum_{i=2}^N \int z_{x_i x_i} z_{x_1} (r^2 - 2x_1^2) \, dx \\ &\quad - \int z_{x_1 x_1} 2x_1 (\sum_{i=2}^N z_{x_i x_i}) \, dx - \sum_{i=2}^N \int z_{x_i x_i} 2x_1 (\sum_{j=2}^N z_{x_j} x_j) \, dx \\ = &J_1 + J_2 - J_3 - J_4 \,. \end{split}$$

By (5.8) we can integrate by parts each  $J_i$ . Integrating by parts, we can verify

$$J_1 = \int z_{x_1}^2 x_1 dx,$$
  
$$J_2 = -\int \left(\sum_{i=2}^N z_{x_i}^2\right) x_1 dx - \int \left(\sum_{i=2}^N 2x_i z_{x_i}\right) z_{x_1} dx$$

$$J_3 = (N-1) \int z_{x_1}^2 x_1 dx - \int (\sum_{i=2}^N 2x_i z_{x_i}) z_{x_1} dx$$

and

$$J_4 = \sum_{i=2}^{N} \int (N-2) z_{x_i}^2 x_1 dx.$$

Combining these integrals, we get

$$\int r^4 (\varDelta z) (\nabla z \cdot \nabla \phi) dx = (2 - N) \sum_{i=1}^N \int z_{x_i}^2 x_1 dx \leq 0.$$

Hence the inequality (5.19) has been shown. We have from (5.12), (5.18) and (5.19)

(5.20) 
$$\int r^4 Lz(\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} z_{x_i} \emptyset_{x_j}) \leq cd \int \emptyset^{-1} |\nabla z|^2 dx.$$

Now we estimate the last integral in (5.11). A computation shows

$$g^{-1}Lg = \sum_{k,l} a_{kl} \{ (n^2 \delta^2 \varphi^{2(\delta-1)} - n\delta(\delta-1) \varphi^{\delta-2}) \varphi_{x_k} \varphi_{x_l} - n\delta \varphi^{\delta-1} \varphi_{x_k x_l} \}.$$

Thus

$$(5.21) \qquad -4n\delta \int r^4 (\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} z_{x_i} \varphi_{x_j}) z g^{-1} Lg \, dx$$
$$= 2n\delta \sum_{i,j,k,l} \int (r^4 a_{ij} \varphi_{x_j} n^2 \delta^2 a_{kl} \varphi^{2(\delta-1)} \varphi_{x_k} \varphi_{x_l})_{x_i} z^2 \, dx$$
$$- 2n\delta \sum_{i,j,k,l} \int (r^4 a_{ij} \varphi_{x_j} n\delta(\delta-1) a_{kl} \varphi^{\delta-2} \varphi_{x_k} \varphi_{x_l})_x z^2 \, dx$$
$$- 2n\delta \sum_{i,j,k,l} \int (r^4 a_{ij} \varphi_{x_j} n\delta a_{kl} \varphi^{\delta-1} \varphi_{x_k x_l})_{x_i} z^2 \, dx.$$

The first integral on the right of (5.21) contains the following three terms:

$$M_{1} = 4n^{3}\delta^{3}(\delta - 1) \sum_{i,j,k,l} \int r^{4} a_{ij} a_{kl} \vartheta_{x_{i}} \vartheta_{x_{j}} \vartheta_{x_{k}} \vartheta_{x_{l}} \vartheta^{2\delta - 3} z^{2} dx,$$
  
$$M_{2} = 2n^{3}\delta^{3} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \int r^{4} a_{ij} a_{kl} \vartheta_{x_{j}x} \vartheta_{x_{k}} \vartheta_{x_{l}} \vartheta^{2(\delta - 1)} z^{2} dx$$

and

$$M_{3} = 2n^{3} \delta^{3} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \int r^{4} a_{ij} a_{kl} \Phi_{xj} (\Phi_{xk} \Phi_{xl})_{x_{l}} \Phi^{2(\delta-1)} z^{2} dx.$$

From the positive definiteness of L and (5.7) we have

(5.22) 
$$M_1 \geq cn^3 \delta^3(\delta-1) \int r^{-4} \varphi^{2\delta-3} z^2 dx.$$

We decompose the integral  $M_2$  into

(5.23) 
$$M_2 = 2n^3 \delta_{i,j,k,l}^3 \int r^4 \delta_{ij} \delta_k \varphi_{x_i x_j} \varphi_{x_k} \varphi_{x_l} \varphi^{2(\delta-1)} z^2 dx$$
$$+ 2n^3 \delta_{i,j,k,l}^3 \int r^4 (a_{ij} a_k - \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}) \varphi_{x_i x_j} \varphi_{x_k} \varphi_{x_l} \varphi^{2(\delta-1)} z^2 dx$$

Since we have assumed  $a_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$  at the origin, the second integral of (5.23) is absorbed by the right of (5.22) if  $d \leq d_0$  for sufficiently small  $d_0$ . The first integral of (5.23) becomes

$$2n^{3}\delta^{3}\int r^{4}\varDelta \theta (\nabla \Phi)^{2} \theta^{2(\delta-1)} z^{2} dx.$$

Let us note that  $\Delta \Phi = 2(2-N)x_1/r^4$  by (5.2). Then we see from (5.7)

Since the constant c on the right of (5.22) can be taken as arbitrarily near to 4 for sufficiently small  $d_0$ , the integral (5.24) is absorbed by the right of (5.22) for  $\delta > N-1$ . Thus we see that the term  $M_2$  is absorbed by  $M_1$ .

Secondly we shall show that the term  $M_3$  is absorbed by the right of (5.22). Let us decompose  $M_3$  in a similar way to (5.23). We see

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \mathcal{O}_{x_j} (\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_k} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_l})_{\lambda_l}$$
  
=  $\sum_{i,k} \mathcal{O}_{x_l} (\mathcal{O}_{x_k}^2)_{x_l} = \sum_i \mathcal{O}_{x_l} (\gamma^{-4})_{\lambda_l} = -4 \sum_i \gamma^{-6} \chi_i \mathcal{O}_{x_l}.$ 

On the other hand we have from (5.2)

$$\sum_i x_i \, \varPhi_{x_i} = - \, x_1 \, r^{-2}.$$

Thus we get

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \phi_{x_j} (\phi_{x_k} \phi_{x_l})_{x_l} \ge 0.$$

Hence the term  $M_3$  is absorbed by the right of (5.22). More easily

we can see that the remaining terms on the right of (5.21) are absorbed by the right of (5.22) if we take  $n \ge n_0$ . Therefore combining (5.11), (5.20), (5.21) and (5.22), we obtain

(5.25) 
$$n^{3}\int r^{-4} \varphi^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta})v^{2} dx$$
$$\leq c \left(\int r^{4} \varphi^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (Lv)^{2} dx + nd \int \varphi^{-1} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) |\nabla v|^{2} dx\right).$$

Let us put  $q = \phi^{-1} \exp(2n\phi^{\delta})$ . Then

(5.26) 
$$q_{\lambda_1}^2 \leq cn^2 r^{-4} \varPhi^{2\delta-4} \exp(4n \varPhi^{\delta}).$$

And we see

$$\int qvLv\,dx = -\int qa_{ij}v_{x_i}v_{x_j}\,dx - \int (qa_{ij})_{x_j}vv_{x_i}\,dx.$$

Hence we have

(5.27) 
$$\int q(\nabla v)^2 dx \leq \left| \int (qa_{ij})_{x,j} vv_{xi} dx \right| + \left| \int qv Lv dx \right|$$

By Cauchy's inequality we get from (5.26)

(5. 28) 
$$|(qa_{ij})_{x_j}vv_{x_i}| = | \varPhi^{-1/2} \exp(n\varPhi^{\delta})v_{x_i}| \cdot |\varPhi^{1/2} \exp(-n\varPhi^{\delta})(qa_{ij})_{x_j}v|$$
$$\leq c \{ \varepsilon \varPhi^{-1} \exp(2n\varPhi^{\delta}) | \nabla v |^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} n^2 r^{-4} \varPhi^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\varPhi^{\delta})v^2 \}.$$

Here we take  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  as sufficiently small. On the other hand we have

(5.29) 
$$2|qvLv| \leq 2\sqrt{2r^{-4}\varphi^{\delta-2}} \cdot \sqrt{n^{-1}r^{4}\varphi^{1-\delta}} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta})|vLv|$$
$$\leq nr^{-4}\varphi^{\delta-2} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta})v^{2} + n^{-1}r^{4}\varphi^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta})(Lv)^{2}$$

Combining (5.27), (5.28) and (5.29), we have

(5.30) 
$$\int \varphi^{-1} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (\nabla v)^2 dx$$
$$\leq c \left\{ n^2 \int r^{-4} \varphi^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) v^2 dx + n^{-1} \int r^4 \varphi^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (Lv)^2 dx \right\}$$

Substituting this inequality into (5.25), we obtain

Uniqueness in Cauchy's Problem

(5.31) 
$$n^{3}(1-cd)\int r^{-4} \varphi^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta})v^{2} dx$$
$$\leq c \int r^{4} \varphi^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (Lv)^{2} dx,$$

where  $d_0$  is taken as more sufficiently small. By (5.30) and (5.31) we complete the proof.

Now let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$  be the fixed real numbers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i^2 = 1$ . Then we put for d > 0

$$\widetilde{S}_d = \{r^2 < d(\gamma_1 x_1 + \cdots + \gamma_N x_N)\}.$$

The set  $\widetilde{S}_d$  is an open sphere with the center  $\left(\frac{d}{2}\gamma_1, \dots, \frac{d}{2}\gamma_N\right)$  and the radius d/2. Further we set  $\widetilde{\varphi}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i x_i/r^2$ .

**Definition.** If a function v(x) satisfies the following conditions, we say that v belongs to  $\mathcal{G}_{2,d}(\widetilde{S}_d)$ :

(i) v∈C<sup>1</sup>(R<sup>N</sup>)∩C<sup>2</sup>(S̃<sub>d</sub>-{0}) and the carrier of v is contained in S̃<sub>d</sub>.
(ii) For some ε>0

$$v, D_x v = o(\exp(-r^{-\delta-\varepsilon}))$$
 in  $\widetilde{S}_d$ .

Now we also assume that  $L=\Delta$  at the origin as in Proposition 5.1. Then we have by an adequate orthogonal transformation for (5.5).

**Corollary 5.1.** There are positive constants  $d_0$ ,  $n_0$  depending only on  $a_{ij}$  such that if  $0 < d \leq d_0$ ,  $n \geq n_0$  and  $\delta > N-1$ , it holds for any  $v \in \mathcal{F}_{2,\delta}(\widetilde{S}_d)$ 

(5.32) 
$$c \int r^{4} \tilde{\varrho}^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\tilde{\varrho}^{\delta}) (Lv)^{2} dx$$
$$\geq n^{3} \int r^{-4} \tilde{\varrho}^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\tilde{\varrho}^{\delta}) v^{2} dx + n \int \tilde{\varrho}^{-1} \exp(2n\tilde{\varrho}^{\delta}) |\nabla v|^{2} dx,$$

where c is the same constant as in Proposition 5.1.

We have assumed that  $L=\Delta$  at the origin in Proposition 5.1 and Corollary 5.1. If we eliminate this assumption, the statement of Proposition 5.1 holds also, that is, we can prove

**Proposition 5.2.** There are positive constants  $d_0$ ,  $n_0$  and  $\delta_0$ 

depending only on  $a_{ij}$  such that if  $0 < d \leq d_0$ ,  $n \geq n_0$  and  $\delta > \delta_0$ , it holds for any  $v \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\delta}(S_d)$ 

(5.33) 
$$c\int r^{4} \varphi^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (Lv)^{2} dx$$
$$\geq n^{3}\int r^{-4} \varphi^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) v^{2} dx + n\int \varphi^{-1} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) |\nabla v|^{2} dx,$$

where c is a constant depending on  $d_0$  and  $n_0$  but independent of n.

*Proof.* Let  $L^{(0)}$  be the operator whose coefficients are those of L at the origin. The operator  $L^{(0)}$  is reduced to  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i D_{x'_i}$  by an orthogonal transformation  $x' = Tx(x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} s_{ij} x'_j)$ . Secondly the operator  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i D_{x'_i}^z$  is reduced to  $\Delta$  by the transformation  $x'' = \Lambda x'(x'_i = \lambda_{ii} x'')$ . On the other hand the sphere  $S_d$  is mapped into the following set:

(5. 34) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_{j} S_{ij} x_{j}'')^{2} < d \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_{j} S_{1j} x_{j}''.$$

Obviously there is a positive constant c such that

(5.35) 
$$c^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{\prime \prime 2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_{j} s_{ij} x_{j}^{\prime \prime})^{2} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{\prime \prime 2}$$

We put

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{N} (\lambda_j s_{1j})^2}, \ \gamma_j = \gamma^{-1} \lambda_j s_{1j} \qquad (j=1,\cdots,N).$$

Setting  $\widetilde{\emptyset} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_j x_j'' / \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j''^2$ , we have the inequality (5.32) for any  $v(x'') \in \mathcal{F}_{2,\delta}(\widetilde{S}_d)$  (0<d  $\leq d_0$ ) from Corollary 5.1, that is

(5.36) 
$$c\int \tilde{r}^{4}\tilde{\varrho}^{1-\delta}\exp(2n\tilde{\varrho}^{\delta})(L''v)^{2}dx'' \\ \geq n^{3}\int \tilde{r}^{-4}\tilde{\varrho}^{2\delta-3}\exp(2n\tilde{\varrho}^{\delta})v^{2}dx'' + n\int \tilde{\varrho}^{-1}\exp(2n\tilde{\varrho}^{\delta})|\nabla v|^{2}dx'',$$

where  $\tilde{r} = (\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^{\prime 2})$  and L'' is the transformed operator of L by  $x'' = \Lambda T x$ . Let us note that if (5.34) holds, we have by (5.35)

$$\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^{\prime\prime_2} \ll dc \gamma \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_j x_j^{\prime\prime}.$$

Thus taking  $d'_0$  sufficiently small, we see that if  $v(x) \in \mathcal{F}_{2,\delta}(S_d)$  $(0 < d \leq d'_0)$ , then  $v(x'') \in \mathcal{F}_{2,\delta}(\widetilde{S}_d)$   $(0 < d \leq d_0)$ . Hence the inequality (5.36) holds for the function v(x'') of  $v(x) \in \mathcal{F}_{2,\delta}(S_d)$   $(0 < d \leq d_0')$ . Now from (5.35) we easily see

$$\frac{\gamma^{-1}(\sum_{j}\lambda_{j}s_{1j}x_{j}'')}{c\sum_{i}(\sum_{j}\lambda_{j}s_{ij}x_{j}'')^{2}} \leq \widetilde{\emptyset} \leq \frac{\gamma^{-1}(\sum_{j}\lambda_{j}s_{1j}x_{j}'')}{c^{-1}\sum_{i}(\sum_{j}\lambda_{j}s_{ij}x_{j})^{2}},$$

that is,

(5. 37) 
$$\frac{\gamma^{-1}}{c} \frac{\chi_1}{\gamma_2} \leq \widetilde{\varphi} \leq \frac{\gamma^{-1}}{c^{-1}} \frac{\chi_1}{\gamma^2}$$

And we see for another positive constant c

(5.38) 
$$c^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i''^2 \leq c \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2.$$

Performing the inverse transformation  $x = T^{-1}A^{-1}x''$  on the inequality (5.36), we apply the relations (5.37) and (5.38). Then we obtain (5.33) for any  $v(x) \in \mathcal{F}_{2,\delta}(S_d)$  ( $0 < d \leq d_0'$ ).

6. We prove the similar estimate to that in the previous section for fourth order elliptic operators. Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be second order homogeneous operators of the form (1.1) with sufficiently smooth coefficients in a neighborhood of the origin. Then we have

**Proposition 6.1.** There are positive constants  $d_0$  and  $n_0$  depending only on  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  such that if  $0 < d < d_0$ ,  $n > n_0$  and  $\delta > \delta_0$ , it holds for any  $v \in \mathcal{F}_{4,\delta}(S_d)$  that

(6.1) 
$$c\int r^{12} \varphi^{5-4\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (L_{1}L_{2}v)^{2} dx$$
$$\geq \int r^{12} \varphi^{5-4\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (\sum_{|\alpha|=3} |D^{\alpha}v|^{2}) dx$$
$$+ n^{2} \int r^{8} \varphi^{3-2\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (\sum_{|\alpha|=2} |D^{\alpha}v|^{2}) dx$$
$$+ n^{4} \int \varphi^{-1} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (\nabla v)^{2} dx$$
$$+ n^{6} \int r^{-4} \varphi^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) v^{2} dx.$$

*Proof.* We easily see

(6.2) 
$$\int qv \, \Delta v \, dx = -\int q \, (\nabla v)^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int (\Delta q) v^2 \, dx$$

and

(6.3) 
$$\int q v_{x_i x_i} v_{x_j x_j} dx = \int q v_{x_i x_j}^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int (q_{x_i x_i} v_{x_j}^2 - 2q_{x_i x_j} v_{x_i} v_{x_j} + q_{x_j x_j} v_{x_i}^2) dx.$$

Now we consider the integral

$$\int q (\Delta v)^2 dx = \int (q \sum_{i=1}^N v_{x_i x_i}^2 + q \sum_{i \neq j} v_{x_i x_i} v_{x_j x_j}) dx.$$

Let us substitute (6.3) into the second term on the right. Then we have

$$\int q(\sum_{i,j}v_{x_ix_j}^2)dx = \int q(\varDelta v)^2 dx + \int F(q_{x_ix_j},v_{x_k})dx,$$

where F is a sum of products of  $v_{x_k}$ ,  $v_{x_l}$  and  $q_{x_lx_j}$ . Let  $L_2^{(0)}$  be the operator whose coefficients of  $L_2$  at the origin. Then by a coordinate transformation we get

(6.4) 
$$\int q(\sum_{i,j} v_{x_i x_j}^2) dx \leq \int q(L_2^{(0)} v)^2 dx + \int F(q_{x_i x_j}, v_{x_k}) dx.$$

Obviously

$$\begin{split} \int & q(L_2^{(0)}v)^2 dx \leq c \Big( \int q(L_2v)^2 dx + \int q((L_2^{(0)} - L_2)v)^2 dx \Big) \\ \leq & c \Big( \int q(L_2v)^2 dx + d \int q(\sum_{i,j} v_{x_ix_j}^2) dx \Big). \end{split}$$

Thus if we take d sufficiently small, (6.4) becomes

(6.5) 
$$\int q(\sum_{i,j} v_{x_i,x_j}^2) dx \leq c \left( \int q(L_2 v)^2 dx + \int F(q_{x_i,x_j}, v_{x_k}) dx \right).$$

Hence we have

(6.6) 
$$\int q(\sum_{i,j,l} v_{x_i x_j x_l}^2) dx \leq c \left( \int q(L_2 v_{x_l})^2 dx + \int F(q_{x_i x_j}, v_{x_l x_k}) dx \right).$$

Now it is seen

(6.7) 
$$|L_2 v_{x_l}| \leq |(L_2 v)_{x_l}| + |L_2 v_{x_l} - (L_2 v)_{x_l}| \leq |(L_2 v)_{x_l}| + c \sum_{i,j} |v_{x_i x_j}|.$$

Therefore we obtain from (6.6)

64

(6.8) 
$$\int q(\sum_{i,j,l} v_{x_{i}x_{j}x_{l}}^{2}) dx \leq c \left[ \int q((L_{2}v)_{x_{l}})^{2} dx + \sum_{i,j} \int q v_{x_{i}x_{j}}^{2} + \sum_{i,j,k,l} \int |q_{x_{i}x_{j}}| v_{x_{k}x_{l}}^{2} dx \right].$$

Let us estimate the following from the above:

$$\int r^4 \varphi^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}((L_2v)^2 dx.$$

The integral can be rewritten in the form

$$\int r^{-4} \varphi^{2\delta-3} \exp(2\pi \varphi^{\delta}) (r^4 \varphi^{2-\frac{3}{2}\delta} L_2 v)^2 dx.$$

By Proposition 5.2 we see

(6.9) 
$$n^{3}\int r^{-4} \varphi^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (r^{4}\varphi^{2-\frac{3}{2}\delta}L_{2}v)^{2} dx + n\int \varphi^{-1} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) |\nabla (r^{4}\varphi^{2-\frac{3}{2}\delta}L_{2}v)|^{2} dx \leq c \int r^{4} \varphi^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (L_{1}(r^{4}\varphi^{2-\frac{3}{2}\delta}L_{2}v))^{2} dx$$

An easy computation shows

$$|\nabla(r^4 \varphi^{2-\frac{3}{2}\delta})| \leq cr^2 \varphi^{1-\frac{3}{2}\delta}$$
$$|(r^4 \varphi^{2-\frac{3}{2}\delta})_{x_i x_j}| \leq c \varphi^{-\frac{3}{2}\delta}.$$

Thus taking n as sufficiently large (or d as sufficiently small) in (6.9), we have

Hence we obtain

(6.10) 
$$n^{3}\int r^{4} \varphi^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (L_{2}v)^{2} dx + n\int r^{8} \varphi^{3-3\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) |\nabla(L_{2}v)|^{2} dx \leq c \int r^{12} \varphi^{5-4\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (L_{1}L_{2}v)^{2} dx.$$

Combining Proposition 5.2 and (6.10), we see

(6.11) 
$$\int r^{-4} \varphi^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) v^2 dx$$
$$+ n^{-2} \int \varphi^{-1} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) |\nabla v|^2 dx$$
$$+ n^{-5} \int r^8 \varphi^{3-3\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) |\nabla (L_2 v)|^2 dx$$
$$\leq c n^{-6} \int r^{12} \varphi^{5-4\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (L_1 L_2 v)^2 dx.$$

We set in (6.5)

$$q = n^{-4} r^8 \varphi^{3-2\delta} \exp(2n \varphi^{\delta}).$$

Then it is easily seen that

$$|q_{x_ix_j}| \leq n^{-2} r^4 \Phi \exp(2n\Phi^{\delta}).$$

Hence for a sufficiently small d we have by (6.5), (6.10) and (6.11)

(6.12) 
$$\int r^{-4} \vartheta^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\vartheta^{\delta}) v^{2} dx + n^{-2} \int \vartheta^{-1} \exp(2n\vartheta^{\delta}) |\nabla v|^{2} dx + n^{-4} \int r^{8} \vartheta^{3-2\delta} \exp(2n\vartheta^{\delta}) (\sum_{i} v_{x_{i},r_{j}}^{2}) dx \leq cn^{-6} \int r^{12} \vartheta^{5-4\delta} \exp(2n\vartheta^{\delta}) (L_{1} L_{2} v)^{2} dx.$$

Secondly we set in (6.8)

$$q = n^{-6} r^{12} \varPhi^{5-4\delta} \exp(2n \varPhi^{\delta}).$$

Then we easily see

$$|q_{x,x_j}| \leq cn^{-4} r^8 \mathcal{O}^{3-2\delta} \exp(2n \mathcal{O}^{\delta}).$$

Thus combining (6.8), (6.5), (6.11) and (6.12), we obtain the estimate (6.1).

7. Now we shall prove Theorem 1 and 2. First we shall prove Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We may assume that  $\Gamma = \Omega_a$ .

Let  $\delta$  and  $d_0$  be the positive numbers in Proposition 6.1. Let the assumption on u be such that

$$D^{\alpha} u = o(\exp(-r^{-2\delta-\varepsilon}))$$
  $(r \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon > 0, |\alpha| \leq 3)$ 

along  $\Gamma$ . Then by Proposition 4.1 we see

(7.1) 
$$D^{\alpha} u = o(\exp(-r^{-\delta-(\mathcal{E}/3)}))$$
  $(r \to 0, |\alpha| \leq 3)$  in  $S_{1/2} \cap \Omega_a$ .

Now we take a  $C^{\infty}$  function  $\eta(t)$  such that

$$\eta(t) = egin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq t \leq d_0/2 \ 0 & t \geq d_0 \ . \end{cases}$$

And put  $\zeta(x) = \eta(r^2/x_1)$ . Then we easily see

$$D^{k}(r^{2}/x_{1}) = D^{k}(x_{1}^{-1}r^{2}) \leq cr^{-2(k+1)}.$$

Hence it holds

$$(7.2) |D^k\zeta| \leq c_k r^{-c_k},$$

where  $c_k$  is a constant depending on k. Set  $v(x) = \zeta(x)u(x)$ . We note that v = u in  $S_{d_0/2}$ . Then by (7.1) and (7.2) it is seen that  $v \in \mathcal{F}_{4,\delta}(S_{d_0})$ . We can assume that the constant on the right of (1.4) is sufficiently small. Applying Proposition 6.1 to v, we have from (1.4)

(7.3) 
$$c \int_{S_{d_0}-S_{d_0/2}} r^{12} \varphi^{5-4\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (L_1 L_2 v)^2 dx$$
$$\geq n^6 \int r^{-4} \varphi^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) u^2 dx.$$

Here we note that for some positive constant c

 $r^{12} \mathcal{O}^{5-4\delta} \leq c \leq r^{-4} \mathcal{O}^{2\delta-3}$ 

and

Hence (7.3) is reduced to

$$cn^{-6}\int_{S_{d_0}-S_{d_0/2}}(L_1L_2v)^2dx \ge \int_{S_{d_0/2}}u^2dx.$$

Let *n* tend to infinity. Then u=0 in  $S_{d_0/2}$ . Therefore *u* vanishes identically by the well known result with respect to the uniqueness in Cauchy's problem.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** It is sufficient to prove the existence of  $\delta$  such that the solutions  $\{u_{\rho}\}$  of (3.15) satisfying

$$u_p \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^3(\Gamma)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} u_{\scriptscriptstyle p}, u_{\scriptscriptstyle p x_i} = o(\exp(-r^{-2\delta-\varepsilon})) & (r \to 0, \ \varepsilon > 0) \ \text{along } \varGamma \\ (p = 1, \cdots, m, \ i = 1, \cdots, N) \end{array}$$

vanish identically in  $\Omega$ .

We obtain from Proposition 5.2

(7.4) 
$$c \int r^4 \varphi^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\varphi^5) (L_{\flat} v_{\flat})^2 dx$$
$$\geq n^3 \int r^{-4} \varphi^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\varphi^\delta) v_{\flat}^2 dx$$
$$+ n \int \varphi^{-1} \exp(2n\varphi^\delta) |\nabla v_{\flat}|^2 dx.$$

Set  $v_p(x) = \zeta(x)u_p$  for  $\zeta$  in (7.1) and for the solutions  $u_p$ . Then by Proposition 4.2, we see  $v_p \in \mathcal{F}_{2,\delta}(S_{d_0})$ . Summing up (7.6) with respect to p and noting that  $u_p$  are solutions of (3.15), we see in a similar way to that in the proof of Theorem 2

$$cn^{-3}\int_{S_{d_0}-S_{d_0/2}}\sum_{p=1}^m (L_pv_p)^2 dx \ge \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{S_{d_0/2}} u_p^2 dx.$$

Thus tending n to infinity, we have completed the proof.

Finally we give some corollaries.

Corollary 7.1. Let u be a solution in  $\overline{\Omega}_a$  of

$$|Lu| \leq C(x_1^{-2}|u| + x_1^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |u_{x_i}|),$$

where L is an operator of the form (1.1). Then there is a positive number  $\delta$  depending only on L such that if

68

$$u, Du = o(\exp(-r^{-\delta}))$$
  $(r \rightarrow 0) in S_{1/2} \cap \overline{\Omega}_{a},$ 

then u vanishes identically.

*Proof.* We proceed in a similar manner to that in the proof of Theorem 1. Put  $v(x) = \zeta(x)u(x)$  for  $\zeta$  in (7.2). Then we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{S_{d_0}} r^4 \phi^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\phi^5) (Lv)^2 dx \\ &\leq c \int_{S_{d_0/2}} r^4 \phi^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\phi^5) (x_1^{-4} |u|^2 + x_1^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^N |u_{x_i}|^2) dx \\ &+ c \int_{S_{d_0} - S_{d_0/2}} r^4 \phi^{1-\delta} \exp(2n\phi^5) (Lv)^2 dx. \end{split}$$

The first integral on the right of this inequality is estimated from the above by

$$c\left(\int_{S_{d_0/2}} r^{-4} \mathscr{O}^{1-\delta} \exp(2n \mathscr{O}^{\delta}) |u|^2 dx + \int_{S_{d_0/2}} \mathscr{O}^{1-\delta} \exp(2n \mathscr{O}^{\delta}) |\nabla u|^2 dx.\right)$$

Thus combining this inequality with Proposition 5.2, we obtain

$$cn^{-3} \int_{S_{d_0}-S_{d_0/2}} r^4 \varphi^{1-\varepsilon} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) (Lv)^2 dx$$
  
$$\geq \int_{S_{d_0/2}} r^{-4} \varphi^{2\delta-3} \exp(2n\varphi^{\delta}) u^2 dx.$$

Hence we complete the proof in the same way as in Theorem 1.

Similarly to Corollary 7.1 we can easily prove the following

Corollary 7.2. Let u be a solution in  $\overline{\Omega}_a$  of

$$|L_1 L_2 u| \leq c \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} x_1^{|\alpha|-3} |D^{\alpha} u|,$$

where  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are of the form (1.1) whose coefficients are sufficiently smooth. Then there is a positive number  $\delta$  depending only on  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  such that if for  $|\alpha| \leq 3$ 

$$D^{\alpha} u = o(\exp(-r^{-\delta}))$$
  $(r \rightarrow 0)$  in  $S_{1/2} \cap \Omega_a$ ,

then u vanishes identically.

## References

- [1] Aronszajn, N., A unique continuation theorem for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations or inequalities of second order, J. Math. Pures Appl. 36 (1957), 235-249.
- [2] Bernstein, I. S., On the unique continuation problem of elliptic partial differential equations, J. Math. & Mech. 10 (1961), 579-606.
- [3] Calderón, A. P., Uniqueness in the Cauchy problem for partial differential equations, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 16-36.
- [4] Carleman, T, Sur un problème d'unicité pour les systèmes d'équations aux dérivées partiells à deux variables indépendants, Arkiv Mat. 26 B (1938), 1-9
- [5] Cordes, H. O., Uber die Bestimmtheit der Lösungen elliptischer Differentialgleichungen durch Anfangsvorgaben, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen, 11 (1956), 239-258.
- [6] Douglis, A., On uniqueness in Cauchy problems for elliptic systems of equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 13 (1960), 593-607.
- [7] Hartman, P. and A. Wintner, On the local behavior of solutions of non-parabolic partial differential equations, III. Approximations by spherical harmonics, Amer. J. Math. 77 (1955), 453-483.
- [8] Hayashida, K., On the uniqueness in Cauchy's problem for elliptic equations, RIMS Kyoto Univ. Ser. A, 2 (1967), 429-449.
- [9] Heinz E., Uber die Eindeutigkeit beim Cauchyschen Anfangswert-problem einer elliptischen Differentialgleichung zweiter Ordnung, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen, 1 (1955), 1-12.
- [10] Hörmander, L., On the uniqueness of the Cauchy problem I-II, Math. Scand. 6 (1958), 213-225; 7 (1959), 177-190.
- [11] Kumano-Go, H., Unique continuation for elliptic equations, Osaka Math. J. 15 (1963), 151-172.
- [12] Landis, E. M., On some properties of elliptic equations, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 107 (1956), 640-643 (Russian).
- [13] Lavrentév, M. M., On Cauchy's boundary value problem for linear elliptic equations of the second order, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 112 (1957), 195-197 (Russian).
- [14] Mergelyan, S. N., Harmonic approximation and approximate solution of Cauchy's problem for Laplace equation, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 107 (1956), 644-647 (Russian).
- [15] Mizohata, S., Unicité du prolongement des solutions des équation elliptiques du quatrième ordre, Proc. Japan Acad. 34 (1958), 687-692.
- [16] Müller, C., On the behavior of solutions of the differential equations dU = F(x, U) in the neighborhood of a point, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 7 (1954), 505-516.
- [17] Pederson, R. N., On the unique continuation theorem for certain second and fourth order elliptic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 9 (1958), 67-80.
- [18] Protter, M H., Unique continuation for elliptic equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), 81-91.
- [19] Shirota, T., A remark on the unique continuation theorem for certain fourth order elliptic equations, Proc. Japan Acad. 36 (1960), 571-573.