

# The Principle of Limiting Absorption for Second-order Differential Equations with Operator-valued Coefficients\*

By  
Yoshimi SAITŌ\*\*

## §0. Introduction

Let us consider differential operators of the form

$$(0.1) \quad L = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + B(r) + C(r) \quad (0 < r < \infty),$$

where for each  $r \in (0, \infty)$   $B(r)$  and  $C(r)$  are operators in a Hilbert space  $X$ .  $L$  acts on  $X$ -valued functions on  $(0, \infty)$ .

The purpose of the present paper is to justify *the principle of limiting absorption* for the equation

$$(0.2) \quad (L - (\lambda + i\mu))u = f.$$

The essence of the above principle consists in the following: Let  $u_{\lambda+i\mu}$  be the solution of (0.2), where  $f$  is a given  $X$ -valued function on  $(0, \infty)$ . Then a solution  $u_\lambda$  of the equation

$$(0.3) \quad (L - \lambda)u = f$$

is given by  $u_\lambda = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} u_{\lambda+i\mu}$ . The meaning of the limit is to be determined suitably. For the literature of the principle of limiting absorption see, for example, Eidus [1].

Jäger [5] considers the differential operator  $L$  and gives, among others, the following result: Let  $B(r)$  be a non-negative self-adjoint

---

Received July 2, 1971.

\* Thesis presented to the Kyoto University.

\*\* Department of Mathematics, Osaka City University, Sugimoto-cho, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka, 558, Japan.

operator in  $X$  and let  $C(r)$  behave like  $0(r^{-\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon})$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ) at infinity. Then with some other conditions imposed on  $B(r)$  and  $C(r)$  the principle of limiting absorption holds for equation (0.2) with boundary condition

$$(0.4) \quad u(0) = 0$$

and the "radiation condition"

$$(0.5) \quad \int_0^\infty |u'(r) - i\sqrt{z}u(r)|^2 dr < \infty \quad (z = \lambda + i\mu),$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  means the norm of  $X$ . He uses the above results to construct an eigenfunction expansion associated with  $L$ .

We shall extend Jäger's results to  $L$  with  $C(r)$  which behaves like  $0(r^{-1-\varepsilon})$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ) at infinity. In our case the radiation condition (0.5) will be replaced by

$$(0.6) \quad \int_0^\infty (1+r)^{-1+\varepsilon} |u'(r) - i\sqrt{z}u(r)|^2 dr < \infty,$$

which is weaker than (0.5).

As an application we shall prove the principle of limiting absorption for the Schrödinger operator  $-\Delta + q(y)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) with  $q(y) = 0(|y|^{-1-\varepsilon})$  at infinity. In this case  $X = L^2(S^{n-1})$  and

$$(0.7) \quad \begin{cases} B(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left\{ -A_n + \frac{(n-3)(n-1)}{4} \right\} \\ C(r) = q(r\omega) \times \quad \left( r = |y|, \omega = \frac{y}{r} \in S^{n-1} \right), \end{cases}$$

where  $S^{n-1}$  is  $(n-1)$ -sphere, and  $A_n$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $S^{n-1}$ .

In §1 we state conditions imposed on  $B(r)$  and  $C(r)$  and prove some inequalities which will be used to obtain various a priori estimates for the solution of equation (0.2) in §3. §2 and §3 are devoted to showing the existence and uniqueness of the solution  $u$  of the equation

$$(0.8) \quad (L - k^2)u = f \quad (\text{Im } k \geq 0)$$

which satisfies the boundary condition (0.4) and the radiation condition (0.6). Moreover we show that the solution  $u$  continuously depends on  $k$ . Thus the principle of limiting absorption is justified. We discuss in §4 the dependency on  $C(r)$  of the solution of equation (0.8). In §5 we apply these results to the Schrödinger operator in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ).

Using the results obtained in this paper we can develop a spectral and scattering theory for the differential operator  $L$  with an application to Schrödinger operators  $-\Delta + q(y)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , where  $q(y) = O(|y|^{-1-\epsilon})$  at infinity. We shall discuss these elsewhere.<sup>1)</sup>

Recently we have been informed by Prof. T. Ikebe that the following very extensive results have been obtained by S. Agmon: Let

$$(0.9) \quad L = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha D^\alpha = L_0 + B$$

be an elliptic operator in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which has a unique self-adjoint extension in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , where  $L_0 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha^0 D^\alpha$  is an elliptic operator with constant coefficients, and  $B = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} b_\alpha D^\alpha$  is a differential operator with  $b(x) = O(|x|^{-1-\epsilon})$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume that  $\lambda > 0$  does not belong to an exceptional set which is discrete in  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and contains all the eigenvalues of  $L$ . Then the principle of limiting absorption holds good for  $\lambda$ , i.e., we have

$$(0.10) \quad \begin{cases} v_{\lambda \pm i\mu} \rightarrow v_{\lambda \pm i0} \text{ as } \mu \downarrow 0 \text{ in } L_2(\mathbf{R}^n, (1 + |x|)^{-1-\epsilon} dx), \\ \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^{-1-\epsilon} |v_{\lambda \pm i\mu}(x)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^{1+\epsilon} |f(x)|^2 dx, \end{cases}$$

where  $v_{\lambda \pm i\mu} = (L - (\lambda \pm i\mu))^{-1} f$ . In his method any radiation condition is unnecessary. These results are used to construct an eigenfunction expansion for  $L$ .

### §1. Assumptions and Preliminary Lemmas

Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space with the norm  $|\cdot|$  and inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . For an open interval  $J$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  and  $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$  we denote by  $H^\beta(J, X)$  the

1) See Y. Saitō [7].  
 2)  $\mathbf{R}$  is the set of all real numbers.

Hilbert space of all (equivalence classes of)  $X$ -valued function on  $J$  with the norm and inner product

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \|f\|_{\beta, J} = [((f, f))_{\beta, J}]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ ((f, g))_{\beta, J} = \int_J (f(r), g(r))(1 + |r|)^{\beta} dr. \end{cases}$$

Let  $Y$  be a linear topological space, let  $m$  be a non-negative integer, and let  $J=(a_1, a_2)$  be an open interval in  $\mathbf{R}$ .  $C^m(J, Y)$  denotes the set of all  $Y$ -valued functions on  $J$  having  $m$  strong continuous derivatives. We denote by  $C^m(\bar{J}, Y)^{3)}$  the set of all  $Y$ -valued functions  $f(r)$  such that  $f \in C^m(J, Y)$  and  $\frac{d^j f}{dr^j}$  ( $j=0, 1, \dots, m$ ) can be extended to continuous functions on  $\bar{J}$ .  $C_{0, a_i}^m(J, Y)$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) denotes the set of all  $f \in C^m(J, Y)$  satisfying  $f(r)=0$  in some neighborhood of  $a_i$ . We put  $C_0^m(J, Y) = C_{0, a_1}^m(J, Y) \cap C_{0, a_2}^m(J, Y)$ . If  $Y=\mathbf{C}$ ,<sup>4)</sup> we omit  $\mathbf{C}$  as in  $C^m(J) = C^m(J, \mathbf{C})$ .

Let  $I=(0, \infty)$  and let  $B(r)$  and  $C(r)$  be operator-valued functions on  $I$ . For local properties of  $B(r)$  and  $C(r)$  we make the following

**Assumption 1.1.** (a) For each  $r \in I$   $B(r)$  is a non-negative, self-adjoint operator in  $X$  such that its domain  $\mathcal{D}(B(r))=D$ <sup>5)</sup> does not depend on  $r$ , and  $B(r)x \in C^0(I, X)$  for any  $x \in D$ .

(b) Let  $x, y \in D$ . Then  $(B(r)x, y) \in C^2(I)$  and for any compact interval  $M \subset I$  there exists a constant  $c_1(M) > 0$  satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \frac{d^j}{ds^j} (B(s)x, y) \right| \leq c_1(M) (|x| + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)x) (|y| + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)y|),$$

where  $r, s \in M$  and  $j=1, 2$ .

(c) For each  $r \in I$   $C(r)$  is a symmetric operator in  $X$  with  $\mathcal{D}(C(r)) = D$  such that  $C(r)x \in C^1(I, X)$  for any  $x \in D$ .

(d) Let  $M$  be a compact interval in  $I$ . Then there exists a constant  $c_2(M) > 0$  such that

3)  $\bar{J}$  means the closure of  $J$ .

4)  $\mathbf{C}$  is all complex numbers.

5)  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  means the domain of  $T$ .

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \frac{d}{dr} C(r)x \right| \leq c_2(M) (|x| + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)x|),$$

holds for any  $x \in D$  and any  $r \in M$ .

We introduce the norm  $\| \cdot \|_{B,J}$  and inner product  $(( \cdot, \cdot ))_{B,J}$  by

$$(1.4) \quad \|f\|_{B,J} = [((f, f))_{B,J}]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(1.5) \quad ((f, g))_{B,J} = ((f', g'))_{0,J} + ((Bf, g))_{0,J} + ((f, g))_{0,J}.^{6)}$$

We denote by  $C^{2,B}(J, X)$  ( $C_{0,a_i}^{2,B}(J, X)$ ,  $i=1, 2$ ) the linear space spanned by the set of all  $\varphi \in C^2(J, X)$  having the form  $\varphi = \psi x$ , where  $x \in D$ ,  $\psi \in C^2(J)$  ( $\psi \in C_{0,a_i}^2(J)$ ,  $i=1, 2$ ) and  $\|\varphi\|_{B,J} < \infty$ . We denote  $C_{0,a_1}^{2,B}(J, X) \cap C_{0,a_2}^{2,B}(J, X)$  by  $C_{0,a_i}^{2,B}(J, X)$ . We define Hilbert spaces  $H^{1,B}(J, X)$ ,  $H_0^{1,B}(J, X)$  and  $H_{0,a_i}^{1,B}(J, X)$  ( $i=1, 2$ ), respectively, by the completion of  $C^{2,B}(J, X)$ ,  $C_0^{2,B}(J, X)$  and  $C_{0,a_i}^{2,B}(J, X)$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) in the norm  $\| \cdot \|_{B,J}$ . Let us denote by  $\text{loc} H^0(\bar{I}, X)$  the set of all  $X$ -valued functions  $f(r)$  on  $I$  such that  $f \in H^0((0, b), X)$  for any  $b > 0$ . In a similar way  $\text{loc} H^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$  and  $\text{loc} H_{0,a_i}^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$  are also defined.

**Assumption 1.2.**<sup>7)</sup> (a) *There exist constants  $\rho_1 > 0$  and  $c_1 > 1$  such that*

$$(1.6) \quad -\frac{d}{dr} (B(r)x, x) \geq \frac{c_1}{r} (B(r)x, x)$$

holds for any  $x \in D$  and any  $r \geq \rho_1$ .

(b) *For each finite  $b \in I$  the natural imbedding*

$$(1.7) \quad H_0^{1,B}((0, b), X) \rightarrow H^0((0, b), X)$$

is compact.

(c) *There exists  $c_2 > 0$  such that*

6) Here and in the sequel  $u'$  and  $u''$  mean  $\frac{du}{dr}$  and  $\frac{d^2u}{dr^2}$ , respectively.

7) The conditions imposed on  $B(r)$  and  $C(r)$  are the same as in Jäger [5] except (c) of Assumption 1.2. Jäger [5] assumes that

$$|C(r)x| \leq c_2(1+r)^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon} (|x| + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)x|), \quad (r \in I, x \in D)$$

instead of (1.8).

$$(1.8) \quad |C(r)x| \leq c_2(1+r)^{-1-\varepsilon}(|x| + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)x|), \quad (r \in I, x \in D)$$

with some  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ .

For an open interval  $J \subset I$   $\mathcal{U}(J)$  denotes the set of all linear, continuous functionals on  $H_0^{1,B}(J, X)$ .  $\mathcal{U}(J)$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$(1.9) \quad \|l\|_J = \sup\{|\langle l, \varphi \rangle|; \varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(J, X), \|\varphi\|_B = 1\}.$$

For example, for  $g \in H^0(J, X)$  we define  $l[g] \in \mathcal{U}(J)$  by

$$(1.10) \quad \langle l[g], \varphi \rangle = ((g, \varphi))_{0,J} \quad (\varphi \in H_0^{1,B}(J, X)).$$

Then we can easily see

$$(1.11) \quad \|l[g]\|_J \leq \|g\|_{0,J}.$$

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $l \in \mathcal{U}(I)$ ,  $u \in H^{1,B}(I, X)$  and  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$  be given, where

$$(1.12) \quad \mathbf{C}^+ = \{k \in \mathbf{C}, \operatorname{Im} k \geq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} k \neq 0\}.$$

Then  $v \in \operatorname{loc} H^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$  is called a radiative function for  $\{L, k, l, u\}$ , if the following three conditions hold:

- (a)  $v - u \in \operatorname{loc} H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ .
- (b)  $v' - ikv \in H^{-1+\varepsilon}(I, X)$  (the ‘‘radiation condition’’<sup>9)</sup>)
- (c) For all  $\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X)$  we have

$$(1.13) \quad ((v, (L - \bar{k}^2)\varphi))_{0,I} = \langle l, \varphi \rangle.$$

We shall give a lemma which will be used to prove the existence theorem of the radiative function.

**Lemma 1.4.**<sup>10)</sup> Let  $I_0 = (b, \infty)$ ,  $b > 0$ . For each  $r \in I_0$   $B(r)$  is assumed

8)  $\operatorname{Im} k$  and  $\operatorname{Re} k$  mean the imaginary and real, respectively.  
 9) In Jäger [5] the radiation condition is defined by  $v' - ikv \in H^0(I, X)$ .  
 10) Cf. Jäger [3], Hilfssatz 4 (p. 68).

to be a non-negative, self-adjoint operator in  $X$  with  $\mathcal{D}(B(r))=D$  constant in  $r$ . Suppose that  $(B(r)x, x) \in C^1(I_0)$  for any  $x \in D$  and that we have

$$(1.14) \quad -\frac{d}{dr}(B(r)x, x) \geq \frac{e_0}{r}(B(r)x, x) \quad (x \in D, r \geq b_0)$$

with constants  $b_0 > b$  and  $e_0 > 1$ . Let  $C(r), r \in I_0$ , be a symmetric operator with  $\mathcal{D}(C(r))=D$ . Let  $v(r)$  be an  $X$ -valued function on  $I_0$  which satisfies the following (i) ~ (iii):

(i)  $v \in C^2(I_0, D)^{11)}$   $Bv, Cv \in C^0(I_0, X)$ , and

$$(1.15) \quad \left(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + B(r) + C(r) - k^2\right)v(r) = g(r) \quad (r \in I_0)$$

with  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$  and  $g \in H^{1+\varepsilon}(I_0, X)$ .

(ii)  $v' - ikv \in H^{-1+\varepsilon}(I_0, X)$  and  $v \in H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I_0, X)$ .

(iii) We have

$$(1.16) \quad |C(r)v(r)|^2 \leq e_1 r^{-2-2\varepsilon} (|v'(r)|^2 + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)v(r)|^2) \quad (r \geq b)$$

with constants  $e_1 > 0, 0 < \varepsilon < 1$ .

Then there exist constants  $\delta_0 > 0$  and  $r_0 \geq b_0 + 1$  which do not depend on  $v(r)$  and  $g(r)$  such that

$$(1.17) \quad \int_{r_0+1}^{\infty} r^{-1+\varepsilon} \{ |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 + (B(r)v(r), v(r)) \} dr \\ \leq \delta_0 \int_{r_0-1}^{\infty} (r^{-1-\varepsilon} |v(r)|^2 + r^{1+\varepsilon} |g(r)|^2) dr.$$

Moreover  $\delta_0$  and  $r_0$ , as functions of  $k$ , are bounded on any bounded set in  $\mathbf{C}^+$ .

For the proof of this lemma we need the following lemma due to Jäger [5] (Lemma 4.1).

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $-\infty \leq a_2 < a_1 < b_1 < b_2 \leq \infty$  and put  $I_i = (a_i, b_i)$ ,

---

11)  $C^2(I_0, D)$  is the set of all  $\varphi(r) \in C^2(I_0, X)$  such that  $\varphi(r) \in D$  for any  $r \in I_0$ .

$i=1, 2$ . Let  $B(r)$  be a non-negative, self-adjoint operator in  $X$  for each  $r \in I_2$  with  $\mathcal{D}(B(r))=D$  constant in  $r$ . Let  $C(r)$  be a symmetric operator in  $X$  with  $\mathcal{D}(C(r))=D$  for each  $r \in I_2$ . Suppose that  $v \in H^{1,B}(I_2, X)$  satisfies for any  $\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I_2, X)$

$$(1.18) \quad \left( \left( v, \left( -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + B(\cdot) + C(\cdot) - k^2 \right) \varphi \right) \right)_{0, I_2} = \langle l, \varphi \rangle,$$

where  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$  and  $l \in \mathcal{U}(I_2)$ . Suppose, further, that  $v$  satisfies

$$(1.19) \quad |C(r)v(r)| \leq c_2(|v'(r)| + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)v(r)| + |v(r)|), \quad (r \in I_2)$$

with a constant  $c_2 = c_2(I_2) > 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $K = K(I_1, I_2, k) > 0$  such that

$$(1.20) \quad \|v\|_{B, I_1} \leq K(\|v\|_{0, I_2} + \|l\|_{I_2})$$

holds. Further if we assume  $v \in H_{0, a_2}^{1, B}(I_2, X)$  and  $a_2 > -\infty$  then the conclusion is valid for  $a_2 \leq a_1$ .

*Proof of Lemma 1.4.* Take  $r_0 \geq b_0 + 1$ , where  $b_0$  is given in (1.14). Let  $\psi \in C^1(I_0)$  such that  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ ,  $\psi'(r) \geq 0$ , and

$$(1.21) \quad \varphi(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } r \in (b, r_0], \\ 1 & \text{for } r \in [r_0 + 1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then we have for  $r \geq r_0$

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dr} (r^\varepsilon \psi(r) |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2) \\ &= \varepsilon r^{-1+\varepsilon} \psi(r) |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 + r^\varepsilon \psi'(r) |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 \\ & \quad + 2r^\varepsilon \psi(r) \operatorname{Re}(v''(r) - ikv'(r), v'(r) - ikv(r)) \\ & \geq \varepsilon r^{-1+\varepsilon} \psi(r) |v' - ikv|^2 + 2r^\varepsilon \psi(r) \operatorname{Re}(v'' - ikv', v' - ikv), \end{aligned}$$

since we have assumed that  $\psi'(r) \geq 0$ . Noting that  $\operatorname{Im} k \geq 0$  we have

$$(1.23) \quad \operatorname{Re}(v''(r) - ikv'(r), v'(r) - ikv(r))$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \operatorname{Re}(v''(r) - B(r)v(r) + k^2v(r), v'(r) - ikv(r)) \\
 &\quad + (\operatorname{Im} k)\{|v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 + (B(r)v(r), v(r))\} \\
 &\quad + \operatorname{Re}(B(r)v(r), v'(r)) \\
 &\geq \operatorname{Re}(v''(r) - B(r)v(r) + k^2v(r), v'(r) - ikv(r)) \\
 &\quad + \operatorname{Re}(B(r)v(r), v'(r)).
 \end{aligned}$$

We estimate  $2r^\varepsilon \operatorname{Re}(v'' - Bv + k^2v, v' - ikv)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.24) \quad &2r^\varepsilon \operatorname{Re}(v''(r) - B(r)v(r) + k^2v(r), v'(r) - ikv(r)) \\
 &\geq -2r^\varepsilon |v''(r) - B(r)v(r) + k^2v(r)| |v'(r) - ikv(r)| \\
 &\geq -\frac{r^{2\beta}}{\alpha} |v''(r) - B(r)v(r) + k^2v(r)|^2 - \alpha r^{2\eta} |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 \\
 &\hspace{15em} (\alpha > 0, \beta + \eta = \varepsilon) \\
 &\geq -\frac{\delta_1}{\alpha} [r^{-2-2\varepsilon+2\beta}\{|v(r)|^2 + |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 + (B(r)v(r), v(r))\} \\
 &\quad + r^{2\beta}|g(r)|^2] - \alpha r^{2\eta} |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

since we have by (1.15) and (1.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.25) \quad &|v''(r) - B(r)v(r) + k^2v(r)|^2 \leq \delta_1 [r^{-2-2\varepsilon}\{|v(r)|^2 + |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 \\
 &\quad + (B(r)v(r), v(r))\} + |g(r)|^2]
 \end{aligned}$$

with a constant  $\delta_1 = \delta_1(k) > 0$ . We obtain from (1.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.26) \quad 2\operatorname{Re}(B(r)v(r), v'(r)) &= \frac{d}{dr}(B(r)v(r), v(r)) - \frac{d}{dr}(B(r)x, x) \Big|_{x=v(r)} \\
 &\geq \frac{d}{dr}(B(r)v(r), v(r)) + \frac{e_0}{r}(B(r)v(r), v(r)).
 \end{aligned}$$

(1.22), (1.23), (1.24) and (1.26) are combined to give

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.27) \quad &\frac{d}{dr}(r^\varepsilon\psi(r)|v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2) \\
 &\geq \psi(r)\left\{\left(\varepsilon r^{-1+\varepsilon} - \frac{\delta_1}{\alpha} r^{-2-2\varepsilon+2\beta} - \alpha r^{2\eta}\right)|v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2\right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left( e_0 r^{-1+\varepsilon} - \frac{\delta_1}{\alpha} r^{-2-2\varepsilon+2\beta} \right) (B(r)v(r), v(r)) \\
& + r^\varepsilon \frac{d}{dr} (B(r)v(r), v(r)) - \frac{\delta_1}{\alpha} r^{-2-2\varepsilon+2\beta} |v(r)|^2 \\
& - \frac{\delta_1}{\alpha} r^{2\beta} |g(r)|^2 \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting  $\eta = \frac{1}{2}(-1+\varepsilon)$ ,  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon)$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ , we integrate (1.27) from  $r_0$  to  $R$  ( $R \geq r_0 + 2$ ) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.28) \quad & R^\varepsilon |v'(R) - ikv(R)|^2 \\
& \geq \int_{r_0}^R \psi(r) \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} r^{-1+\varepsilon} - \frac{2\delta_1}{\varepsilon} r^{-1-\varepsilon} \right) |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 dr \\
& + \int_{r_0}^R \psi(r) \left( (e_0 - \varepsilon) r^{-1+\varepsilon} - \frac{2\delta_1}{\varepsilon} r^{-1-\varepsilon} \right) (B(r)v(r), v(r)) dr \\
& - \frac{2\delta_1}{\varepsilon} \int_{r_0}^R \psi(r) (r^{-1-\varepsilon} |v(r)|^2 + r^{1+\varepsilon} |g(r)|^2) dr \\
& - \int_{r_0}^{r_0+1} r^\varepsilon \psi'(r) (B(r)v(r), v(r)) dr,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.29) \quad & \int_{r_0}^R r^\varepsilon \psi(r) \frac{d}{dr} (B(r)v(r), v(r)) dr \\
& = R^\varepsilon (B(R)v(R), v(R)) - \int_{r_0}^R \left[ \frac{d}{dr} (r^\varepsilon \psi(r)) \right] (B(r)v(r), v(r)) dr \\
& \geq - \int_{r_0}^R \varepsilon r^{-1+\varepsilon} \psi(r) (B(r)v(r), v(r)) dr \\
& - \int_{r_0}^{r_0+1} r^\varepsilon \psi'(r) (B(r)v(r), v(r)) dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we take  $r_0$  ( $\geq b_0 + 1$ ) so large that we have with a constant  $\delta_2 > 0$

$$(1.30) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} r^{-1+\varepsilon} - \frac{2\delta_1}{\varepsilon} r^{-1-\varepsilon} \geq \delta_2 r^{-1+\varepsilon} \\ (e_0 - \varepsilon) r^{-1+\varepsilon} - \frac{2\delta_1}{\varepsilon} r^{-1-\varepsilon} \geq \delta_2 r^{-1+\varepsilon} \end{cases}$$

for all  $r \geq r_0$ . On the other hand, using Lemma 1.5 with  $I_1 = (r_0, r_0 + 1)$  and  $I_2 = (r_0 - 1, r_0 + 2)$ , we obtain the following estimate with constants  $K > 0$  and  $\delta_3 > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.31) \quad & \int_{r_0}^{r_0+1} r^\varepsilon \psi'(r) (B(r)v(r), v(r)) \, dr \\
 & \leq (r_0 + 1)^\varepsilon (\max_{r_0 \leq r \leq r_0+1} \psi'(r)) \int_{r_0}^{r_0+1} (B(r)v(r), v(r)) \, dr \\
 & \leq (r_0 + 1)^\varepsilon (\max_{r_0 \leq r \leq r_0+1} \psi'(r)) K \int_{r_0-1}^{r_0+2} (|v(r)|^2 + |g(r)|^2) \, dr \\
 & < \delta_3 \int_{r_0-1}^R (r^{-1-\varepsilon} |v(r)|^2 + r^{1+\varepsilon} |g(r)|^2) \, dr,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used (1.11). It follows from (1.28), (1.30) and (1.31) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.32) \quad & \delta_2 \int_{r_0+1}^R r^{-1+\varepsilon} \{ |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 + (B(r)v(r), v(r)) \} \, dr \\
 & \leq R^\varepsilon |v'(R) - ikv(R)|^2 \\
 & \quad + \left( \frac{2\delta_1}{\varepsilon} + \delta_3 \right) \int_{r_0-1}^R (r^{-1-\varepsilon} |v(r)|^2 + r^{1+\varepsilon} |g(r)|^2) \, dr.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $r^{-1+\varepsilon} |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2$  is integrable on  $I_0$ , we have

$$(1.33) \quad R_j^\varepsilon |v'(R_j) - ikv(R_j)|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad j \rightarrow \infty$$

for some sequence  $R_j \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus we obtain (1.17) from (1.32). Q.E.D.

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let us assume Assumption 1.1 and (a) and (c) of Assumption 1.2. Let  $k \in \mathbb{C}^+$  and let  $v(r)$  be a radiative function for  $\{L, k, l[g], 0\}$  with  $v \in \text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X) \cap H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  and  $g \in \text{loc } H^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X) \cap H^{1+\varepsilon}(I, X)$ . Then there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$(1.34) \quad \|v' - ikv\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|_{-1+\varepsilon} \leq \delta (\|v\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|g\|_{1+\varepsilon}),^{12)}$$

where  $\delta$  depends only on  $k$  and is bounded on any bounded set in  $\mathbb{C}^+$ .

---

12) Here and in the sequel we put  $\| \cdot \|_{\delta, I} = \| \cdot \|_\delta$  and  $\| \cdot \|_{B, I} = \| \cdot \|_B$  for the sake of simplicity.

*Proof.* It follows from Assumption 1.1 that we can apply the regularity theorem of Jäger [5] (Satz 3.1, p. 76) to see that  $v \in C^2(I, D)$ ,  $Bv, Cv \in C^0(I, X)$ , and  $v$  satisfies (1.15) for all  $r \in I$ . From Lemma 1.4 we obtain

$$(1.35) \quad \int_{r_0+1}^{\infty} (1+r)^{-1+\epsilon} \{ |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 + (B(r)v(r), v(r)) \} dr \\ \leq K_1 \int_{r_0-1}^{\infty} \{ (1+r)^{-1-\epsilon} |v(r)|^2 + r^{1+\epsilon} |g(r)|^2 \} dr$$

with constants  $r_0 \geq \rho_1 + 1$  and  $K_1 > 0$ . Since  $v \in \text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ , we can use the last statement of Lemma 1.5 with  $I_1 = (0, r_0 + 1)$  and  $I_2 = (0, r_0 + 2)$  to obtain

$$(1.36) \quad \int_0^{r_0+1} (1+r)^{-1+\epsilon} \{ |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 + (B(r)v(r), v(r)) \} dr \\ \leq K_2 \int_0^{r_0+2} \{ (1+r)^{-1-\epsilon} |v(r)|^2 + (1+r)^{1+\epsilon} |g(r)|^2 \} dr$$

with a constant  $K_2 > 0$ . (1.34) follows from (1.35) and (1.36). Q.E.D.

### §2. The Uniqueness Theorem

We shall show the uniqueness of the radiative function using arguments due to Jäger [5].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $B(r)$  satisfy (a) and (b) of Assumption 1.1. Let  $C(r)$  be a symmetric operator in  $X$  for each  $r \in I$  such that  $C(r)$  satisfies (c) and (d) of Assumption 1.1. and*

$$(2.1) \quad |C(r)x| \leq c(|x| + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)x|), \quad (x \in D, r \in I)$$

with a constant  $c > 0$ . Let  $v \in \text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$  satisfy

$$(2.2) \quad ((v, (L - \bar{k}^2)\varphi))_0 = ((g, \varphi))_0 \quad (\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X))$$

with  $g \in \text{loc } H^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$  and  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$ , where

$$(2.3) \quad L = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + B(r) + C(r).$$

Then we have for all  $r \in I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad & |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 \\
 &= |v'(r) + (\operatorname{Im} k)v(r)|^2 + (\operatorname{Re} k)^2 |v(r)|^2 \\
 &\quad + 4(\operatorname{Re} k)^2 (\operatorname{Im} k) \|v\|_{0,(0,r)}^2 + 2(\operatorname{Re} k) \operatorname{Im} ((g, v))_{0,(0,r)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* As we have seen in the proof of Lemma 1.6, it follows from the regularity theorem of Jäger [5] (p. 76) that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} v \in C^2(I, D) & \text{and } Bv, Cv \in C^0(I, X) \\ (L - k^2)v(r) = g(r) & (r \in I). \end{cases}$$

On the other hand we obtain from the fact that  $v \in \operatorname{loc} H_0^{1,B}(I, X)^{13)}$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} v \in C^0(\bar{I}, X) \\ v(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

From (2.5) and (2.6) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad & \int_0^r (g(t), \varphi(t)) dt \\
 &= \int_0^r ((L - k^2)v(t), \varphi(t)) dt \\
 &= \int_0^r \{ (v'(t), \varphi'(t)) + ((B(t) + C(t) - k^2)v(t), \varphi(t)) \} dt \\
 &\quad - (v'(r), \varphi(r))
 \end{aligned}$$

holds for any  $\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X)$ . Since  $v \in \operatorname{loc} H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ , for any  $r > 0$  there is a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}$  in  $C_0^{2,B}(I, X)$  such that

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} \|\varphi_n - v\|_{B,(0,r+1)} \rightarrow 0, \\ \varphi_n(t) \rightarrow v(t) & \text{in } X \quad (t \in [0, r+1]) \end{cases}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Replacing  $\varphi$  by  $\varphi_n$  in (2.7) and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

---

13) Note that  $H^{1,B}(I, X)$  is continuously imbedded in  $C^0(\bar{I}, X)$ . See Jäger [5], p. 69.

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^r (g(t), v(t)) dt = \int_0^r \{ |v'(t)|^2 + ((B(t) + C(t) - k^2)v(t), v(t)) \} dt \\ - (v'(r), v(r)).$$

Hence we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \operatorname{Im}(v'(r), v(r)) \\ = -\operatorname{Im}((g, v))_{0,(0,r)} - 2(\operatorname{Re} k)(\operatorname{Im} k) \|v\|_{0,(0,r)}^2.$$

Using (2.10), we calculate  $|v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2$  as follows:

$$(2.11) \quad |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 \\ = |v'(r) + (\operatorname{Im} k)v(r)|^2 + (\operatorname{Re} k)^2 |v(r)|^2 \\ - 2(\operatorname{Re} k) \operatorname{Im}(v'(r), v(r)) \\ = |v'(r) + (\operatorname{Im} k)v(r)|^2 + (\operatorname{Re} k)^2 |v(r)|^2 \\ + 4(\operatorname{Re} k)^2 (\operatorname{Im} k) \|v\|_{0,(0,r)}^2 + 2(\operatorname{Re} k) \operatorname{Im}((g, v))_{0,(0,r)}.$$

Q.E.D.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let us assume Assumption 1.1 and (a) and (c) of Assumption 1.2. Let  $l \in \mathcal{U}(I)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{C}^+$  and  $u \in H^{1,B}(I, X)$  be given. Then the radiative function for  $\{L, k, l, u\}$  is unique.*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a radiative function for  $\{L, k, 0, 0\}$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{C}^+$ . What we want to show is that  $v$  is identically zero.

We start with the relation

$$(2.12) \quad |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 = |v'(r) + (\operatorname{Im} k)v(r)|^2 \\ + (\operatorname{Re} k)^2 |v(r)|^2 + 4(\operatorname{Re} k)^2 (\operatorname{Im} k) \|v\|_{0,(0,r)}^2,$$

which follows from Lemma 2.1.

If  $\operatorname{Im} k > 0$ , then we obtain from (2.12) and the fact that  $v' - ikv \in H^{-1+\varepsilon}(I, X)$

$$(2.13) \quad 0 \leq \|v\|_{0,(0,r_j)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4(\operatorname{Re} k)^2 (\operatorname{Im} k)} |v'(r_j) - ikv(r_j)|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad j \rightarrow \infty,$$

for some sequence  $r_j \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence we have  $\|v\|_0^2 = 0$ , i.e.,  $v \equiv 0$ .

Next let us assume that  $\text{Im } k = 0$ . Then we have from (2.12) and the radiation condition  $v' - ikv \in H^{-1+\varepsilon}(I, X)$

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (|v'(r)|^2 + k^2 |v(r)|^2) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the regularity theorem of Jäger [5] (p. 76) and (1.8) we have

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{cases} v \in C^2(I, D) \\ |v''(r) - B(r)v(r) + k^2v(r)|^2 = |C(r)v(r)|^2 \\ \leq 2c_2^2(1+r)^{-2-2\varepsilon} \{|v(r)|^2 + (B(r)v(r), v(r))\} \quad (r \in I), \end{cases}$$

where  $c_2 > 0$  is given in (1.8). (2.14) and (2.15) enable us to apply Hilfssatz 1 of Jäger [3] (p. 66) on the growth property of solutions of the equation  $(L - k^2)v = 0$  to show that the carrier of  $v$  is compact in  $I$ . Hence, using Satz 3 of Jäger [4] (p. 32), a unique continuation theorem for solutions of the equation  $(L - k^2)v = 0$ , we see that  $v \equiv 0$  on  $I$ .

Q.E.D.

### § 3. The Existence Theorems

This section is devoted to showing the existence of the radiative function  $v$  for  $\{L, k, l, u\}$ , where  $k \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $u \in H^{1,B}(I, X)$ , and  $l$  belongs to a subspace  $\mathcal{Q}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$  of  $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ . We shall first prove a priori estimates for radiative functions  $v$  for  $\{L, k, l, 0\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$  and  $l \in \mathcal{Q}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$  (Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.4). This corresponds to Satz 5.3 of Jäger [5]. But it seems that we have to modify its proof in order to obtain the a priori estimates needed in our case. Lemma 3.2 is necessary for this modification. Next we shall prove the existence theorems using our a priori estimates (Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.8). At the same time we shall see that the radiative function  $v$  for  $\{L, k, l, u\}$  depends continuously on  $k, l$  and  $u$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let us assume Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2. Let  $K$  be a compact set in  $\mathbf{C}^+$ . Let  $k \in K$  and  $g \in H^{1+\varepsilon}(I, X) \cap \text{loc } H^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ . Let  $v$  be a radiative function for  $\{L, k, l[g], 0\}$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad v \in \text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X) \cap H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X).$$

*Then we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \|v\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|v' - ikv\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|_{-1+\varepsilon} \leq \delta_1 \|g\|_{1+\varepsilon}.$$

*with a constant  $\delta_1 > 0$ , where  $\delta_1$  depends only on  $K$  and  $L$ .*

To prove this lemma we prepare

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $K, g, k$  and  $v$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Then there exists a positive number  $\alpha_0$  such that*

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\rho}^{\infty} (1+r)^{-1-\varepsilon} |v(r)|^2 dr \leq \alpha_0 (\|v\|_{-1-\varepsilon}^2 + \|g\|_{1+\varepsilon}^2) \rho^{-\varepsilon}, \quad (\rho \geq 1),$$

*where  $\alpha_0$  depends only on  $K$  and  $L$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad (\text{Re } k)^2 |v(r)|^2 + 2(\text{Re } k) \text{Im}((g, v))_{0,(0,r)} \leq |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2,$$

whence we have

$$(3.5) \quad |v(r)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{(\text{Re } k)^2} |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 + \frac{2}{|\text{Re } k|} \int_0^r |g(t)| |v(t)| dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(\text{Re } k)^2} |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2$$

$$+ \frac{2}{|\text{Re } k|} \left\{ \int_0^r (1+t)^{1+\varepsilon} |g(t)|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_0^r (1+t)^{-1-\varepsilon} |v(t)|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(\text{Re } k)^2} |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 + \frac{2}{|\text{Re } k|} \|g\|_{1+\varepsilon} \|v\|_{-1-\varepsilon}.$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.5) by  $r^{-1-\varepsilon}$  and integrating from  $\rho$  to  $\infty$ , we have



$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad \int_{\rho}^{\infty} r^{-1-\varepsilon} |v(r)|^2 dr &\leq \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Re} k)^2} \int_{\rho}^{\infty} r^{-1-\varepsilon} |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 dr \\
 &\quad + \frac{2}{\varepsilon |\operatorname{Re} k|} \|g\|_{1+\varepsilon} \|v\|_{-1-\varepsilon} \rho^{-\varepsilon} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Re} k)^2} \rho^{-2\varepsilon} \int_{\rho}^{\infty} r^{-1+\varepsilon} |v'(r) - ikv(r)|^2 dr \\
 &\quad + \frac{2}{\varepsilon |\operatorname{Re} k|} \|g\|_{1+\varepsilon} \|v\|_{-1-\varepsilon} \rho^{-\varepsilon}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(3.3) follows from (3.6) and Lemma 1.6. Q.E.D.

*Proof of Lemma 3.1.* It follows from Lemma 1.6 that it is enough to show

$$(3.7) \quad \|v\|_{-1-\varepsilon} \leq \alpha \|g\|_{1+\varepsilon}$$

with a constant  $\alpha > 0$  depending only on  $K$  and  $L$ . Let us assume that (3.7) is false. Then for each positive integer  $n$  we can find  $k_n \in K$ ,  $h_n \in \operatorname{loc} H^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ , and radiative functions  $u_n$  for  $\{L, k_n, l[h_n], 0\}$  such that

$$(3.8) \quad \|u_n\|_{-1-\varepsilon} > n \|h_n\|_{1+\varepsilon}.$$

Since we see  $\|u_n\|_{-1-\varepsilon} > 0$  from (3.8), we obtain radiative functions  $v_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_{-1-\varepsilon}}$  for  $\{L, k_n, l[g_n], 0\}$ ,  $g_n = \frac{h_n}{\|u_n\|_{-1-\varepsilon}}$ , with

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{cases} \|v_n\|_{-1-\varepsilon} = 1, \\ \|g_n\|_{1+\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{n}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\{k_{n_m}\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{k_n\}$  satisfying

$$(3.10) \quad k_{n_m} \longrightarrow k_0, \quad m \longrightarrow \infty$$

with  $k_0 \in K$ . Without loss of generality we can assume

$$(3.11) \quad k_n \longrightarrow k_0, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

In view of (3.9) we have for any  $R \in I$

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} \sup_n \|v_n\|_{0,(0,R+1)} < \infty, \\ \sup_n \|g_n\|_{0,(0,R+1)} < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Therefore it follows from Lemma 1.5 that

$$(3.13) \quad \sup_n \|v_n\|_{B,(0,R)} < \infty$$

for all  $R > 0$ . Since for all  $0 < R < \infty$  the imbedding  $H_0^{1,B}((0, R), X) \rightarrow H^0((0, R), X)$  is compact by (b) of Assumption 1.2, we obtain a subsequence of  $\{v_n\}$  which is a Cauchy sequence in  $H^0((0, R), X)$  for all  $R \in I$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\{v_n\}$  itself is a Cauchy sequence in  $H^0((0, R), X)$  for all  $R \in I$ . The sequence  $\{v_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H_0^{1,B}((0, R), X)$  for all  $R \in I$ , too. In fact for each pair  $(n, m)$   $v_n - v_m$  is the radiative function for  $\{L, k, l[g_{nm}], 0\}$ , where

$$(3.14) \quad g_{nm} = g_n - g_m - (k_0^2 - k_n^2)v_n + (k_0^2 - k_m^2)v_m$$

and  $k_0$  is given as in (3.11). From (3.9) and (3.11) we obtain  $g_{nm} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$  in  $H^0((0, R+1), X)$  for any  $R > 0$ . Hence, noting that  $\{v_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H^0((0, R+1), X)$ , we can apply Lemma 1.5 to show

$$(3.15) \quad \|v_n - v_m\|_{B,(0,R)} \leq \beta (\|v_n - v_m\|_{0,(0,R+1)} + \|g_{n,m}\|_{0,(0,R+1)}) \\ \rightarrow 0, \quad n, m \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\beta > 0$  depends only on  $R, k$  and  $L$ . Therefore there exists  $v \in \text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$  satisfying

$$(3.16) \quad v_n \rightarrow v, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

both in  $H_0^{1,B}((0, R), X)$  and in  $H^0((0, R), X)$  for any  $R \in I$ .

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the relation

$$(3.17) \quad ((v_n, (L - \bar{k}_n^2)\varphi))_0 = ((g_n, \varphi))_0, \quad (\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X))$$

we obtain from (3.16), (3.9) and (3.11)

$$(3.18) \quad ((v, (L - \bar{k}_0^2)\varphi))_0 = 0.$$

Using (3.9), (3.16) and Lemma 1.6 we estimate  $\|v' - ik_0 v\|_{-1+\varepsilon, (0, R)}$  as follows:

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v' - ik_0 v\|_{-1+\varepsilon, (0, R)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v'_n - ik_0 v_n\|_{-1+\varepsilon, (0, R)} \\ &\leq \sup_n \|v'_n - ik_0 v_n\|_{-1+\varepsilon} \\ &\leq \delta \sup_n \{\|v_n\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|g_n\|_{1+\varepsilon}\} \\ &\leq \delta \sup_n \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq 2\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta > 0$  is as in Lemma 1.6. Since the last member of (3.19) does not depend on  $n$  and  $R$ , we have  $v' - ik_0 v \in H^{-1+\varepsilon}(I, X)$ , i.e.,  $v$  satisfies the radiation condition. Thus  $v$  is a radiative function for  $\{L, k_0, 0, 0\}$ , and hence  $v \equiv 0$  by Theorem 2.2.

From Lemma 3.2 we obtain for  $\rho \geq 1$

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_{-1-\varepsilon}^2 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_{-1-\varepsilon, (0, \rho)}^2 + \sup_n \|v_n\|_{-1-\varepsilon, (\rho, \infty)}^2 \\ &\leq \|v\|_{-1-\varepsilon, (0, \rho)}^2 + \alpha_0 \rho^{-\varepsilon} \sup_n \{\|v_n\|_{-1-\varepsilon}^2 + \|g_n\|_{1+\varepsilon}^2\} \\ &= 0(\rho^{-\varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have noted (3.9) and the fact  $v \equiv 0$ . Since  $\rho \geq 1$  is arbitrary, we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_{-1-\varepsilon} = 0$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $\|v_n\|_{-1-\varepsilon} = 1$ . Q.E.D.

Now we introduce a subspace of  $\mathcal{U}(I)$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$  be the set of all  $l \in \mathcal{U}(I)$  such that

$$(3.21) \quad \|l\|_{1+\varepsilon} = \sup \{ |\langle l, (1+r)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \varphi \rangle| ; \varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X), \|\varphi\|_B = 1 \} < \infty.$$

$\mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\| \cdot \|_{1+\varepsilon}$ .

It is easy to see that we have

$$(3.22) \quad \|l\| \leq a_0 \|l\|_{1+\varepsilon} \quad (l \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I))$$

with a constant  $a_0 > 0$ .

We shall show that the inequality (3.2) also holds for the radiative function for  $\{L, k, l, 0\}$ , where  $l \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let us assume Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2. Let  $K$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Let  $k \in K$  and  $l \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$ . Let  $v$  be a radiative function for  $\{L, k, l, 0\}$  such that  $v \in H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X) \cap \text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ .*

*Then there exists a constant  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that*

$$(3.23) \quad \|v\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|v' - ikv\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|_{-1+\varepsilon} \leq \delta_2 \|l\|_{1+\varepsilon},$$

where  $\delta_2$  depends only on  $K$  and  $L$ .

To prove this lemma we need

**Lemma 3.5.**<sup>14)</sup> *Let  $B(r)$  satisfy (a) of Assumption 1.1 and let  $C(r)$  ( $r \in I$ ) be a symmetric operator in  $X$  with the domain  $\mathcal{D}(C(r)) = D$  such that*

$$(3.24) \quad |C(r)x| \leq c(|x| + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)x|) \quad (r \in I, x \in D)$$

with a constant  $c > 0$ . Let  $k_0 \in \mathbf{C}^+$  and  $\text{Im } k_0 > 0$ . Let  $l \in \mathcal{U}(I)$ . Then the equation

$$(3.25) \quad ((u, (L - \bar{k}_0^2)\varphi))_0 = \langle l, \varphi \rangle \quad (\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X))$$

has a unique solution  $u$  in  $H_0^{1,B}(I, X)$  with the estimate

$$(3.26) \quad \|u\|_B \leq \beta_1 \|l\|,$$

where  $\beta_1 = \beta_1(k_0) > 0$  is a constant. Further, if  $l \in \mathcal{U}_{1-\varepsilon}(I)$ , then we have  $u \in H^{1+\varepsilon}(I, X)$  and

$$(3.27) \quad \|u\|_{1+\varepsilon} \leq \beta_2 \|l\|_{1+\varepsilon}$$

with a constant  $\beta_2 = \beta_2(k_0) > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let us define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}_{L-k_0^2}[\cdot, \cdot]$  on  $H_0^{1,B}(I, X)$

14) Cf. Jäger [5], Lemma 2.3 (p. 75) and the proof of Satz 5.3 (p. 86).

$\times H_0^{1,B}(I, X)$  by

$$(3.28) \quad \mathcal{B}_{L-k_0^2}[w_1, w_2] = ((w_1, w_2))_B + (((C(r) - k_0^2 - 1)w_1, w_2))_0.$$

Then we shall show

$$(3.29) \quad d_1 \|w\|_B^2 \geq |\mathcal{B}_{L-k_0^2}[w, w]| \geq d_2 \|w\|_B^2 \quad (w \in H_0^{1,B}(I, X)),$$

where  $d_j = d_j(k_0) > 0$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) are constants. Since  $C_0^{2,B}(I, X)$  is dense in  $H_0^{1,B}(I, X)$ , and we have by integration by parts

$$(3.30) \quad \mathcal{B}_{L-k_0^2}[\varphi_1, \varphi_2] = ((\varphi_1, (L - \bar{k}_0^2)\varphi_2))_0 \quad (\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X)),$$

it is sufficient to show (3.29) that we show

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{cases} d_1 \|\varphi\|_B^2 \geq |((\varphi, (L - \bar{k}_0^2)\varphi))_0|, \\ d_2 \|\varphi\|_B^2 \leq |((\varphi, (L - \bar{k}_0^2)\varphi))_0|, \end{cases} \quad (\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X)).$$

Let us prove (3.31). From (3.24) we see that

$$(3.32) \quad \|C\varphi\|_B^2 \leq \int_I c^2(|\varphi(r)| + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)\varphi(r)|)^2 dr \leq 2c\|\varphi\|_B^2,$$

whence follows for all  $\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X)$

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} |((\varphi, (L - \bar{k}_0^2)\varphi))_0| &\leq \|\varphi\|_B^2 + \sqrt{2c}\|\varphi\|_B\|\varphi\|_0 + |k_0|^2\|\varphi\|_0^2 \\ &\leq (1 + \sqrt{2c} + |k_0|^2)\|\varphi\|_B^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown the first inequality of (3.31) with  $d_1 = (1 + \sqrt{2c} + |k_0|^2)$ . On the other hand we have

$$(3.34) \quad |((\varphi, (L - \bar{k}_0^2)\varphi))_0|^2 = \{\|\varphi\|_B^2 + (((C - \lambda - 1)\varphi, \varphi))_0\}^2 + \mu^2\|\varphi\|_0^4,$$

where  $\lambda = \text{Re } k_0^2$  and  $\mu = \text{Im } k_0^2 \neq 0$ . Hence, using (3.32) again, we have

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{aligned} |((\varphi, (L - \bar{k}_0^2)\varphi))_0|^2 &\geq \|\varphi\|_B^4 - 2\|\varphi\|_B^2 |((C - \lambda - 1)\varphi, \varphi)_0| \\ &\quad + (((C - \lambda - 1)\varphi, \varphi))^2 + \mu^2\|\varphi\|_0^4 \\ &\geq (1 - \alpha)\|\varphi\|_B^4 - \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right) |((C - \lambda - 1)\varphi, \varphi)_0|^2 + \mu^2\|\varphi\|_0^4 \end{aligned}$$

with  $\alpha > 0$ . Take  $0 < \alpha < 1$  in (3.35). Then, noting that we obtain from (3.32)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.36) \quad & (((C - \lambda - 1)\varphi, \varphi))_0^2 \leq \| (C - \lambda - 1)\varphi \|_0^2 \|\varphi\|_0^2 \\
 & \leq 2\{2c^2 + (|\lambda| + 1)^2\} \|\varphi\|_B^2 \|\varphi\|_0^2 \\
 & \leq \{2c^2 + (|\lambda| + 1)^2\} \left( \beta \|\varphi\|_B^4 + \frac{1}{\beta} \|\varphi\|_0^4 \right) \quad (\beta > 0),
 \end{aligned}$$

we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.37) \quad & |((\varphi, (L - \bar{k}^2)\varphi))_0|^2 \geq \left\{ 1 - \alpha - \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} \beta c_1 \right\} \|\varphi\|_B^4 \\
 & + \left\{ \mu^2 - \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha \beta} c_1 \right\} \|\varphi\|_0^4 \quad (0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > 0),
 \end{aligned}$$

where we put  $c_1 = 2c^2 + (|\lambda| + 1)^2$ . Putting  $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{2c_1}$  and taking  $1 - \alpha > 0$

small enough, we obtain from (3.37)

$$(3.38) \quad |((\varphi, (L - \bar{k}^2)\varphi))_0|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \alpha) \|\varphi\|_B^4,$$

whence follows the second inequality of (3.31) with  $d_2 = \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \alpha)}{2}}$ .

Since (3.29) has been justified, we can make use of the Lax-Milgram theorem<sup>15)</sup> to show that there exists a unique solution of  $u$  in  $H_0^{1,B}(I, X)$  of the equation

$$(3.39) \quad \mathcal{B}_{L - \bar{k}_0^2}[u, w] = \langle l, w \rangle \quad (w \in H_0^{1,B}(I, X))$$

for  $l \in \mathcal{U}(I)$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}_{L - \bar{k}_0^2}[u, \varphi] = ((u, (L - \bar{k}_0^2)\varphi))_0$  for  $\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X)$ , it follows from (3.38) that  $u$  is a unique solution of (3.25). (3.29) and (3.39) are combined to give

$$(3.40) \quad \|u\|_B^2 \leq \frac{1}{d_2} |\mathcal{B}_{L - \bar{k}_0^2}[u, u]| = \frac{1}{d_2} |\langle l, u \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{d_2} \|l\| \|u\|_B,$$

which implies (3.26) with  $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_2}}$ .

15) See, for example, Yosida [6], p. 92.

Next let us show (3.27). Let  $\psi \in C^1(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $0 \leq \psi(r) \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq |\psi'(r)| \leq 1$  and

$$(3.41) \quad \psi(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } r \geq 2, \\ 1 & \text{for } r \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

For each  $m=1, 2, \dots$  we define

$$(3.42) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_m(r) = (1+r)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \psi\left(\frac{r}{m}\right), \\ u_m = \psi_m u, \end{cases}$$

where  $u$  is the solution of the equation (3.38). Then we obtain from (3.39) and (3.28)

$$(3.43) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{L-k_0^2}[u_m, u_m] &= \|u_m\|_B^2 + (((C(r) - k_0^2 - 1) u_m, u_m))_0 \\ &= (((\psi_m u)', u'_m))_0 + ((B^{\frac{1}{2}} u, B^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_m u_m))_0 \\ &\quad + (((C(r) - k_0^2) u, \psi_m u_m))_0 \\ &= \mathcal{B}_{L-k_0^2}[u, \psi_m u_m] + ((\psi'_m u, u'_m))_0 - ((\psi'_m u', u_m))_0 \\ &= \langle l, \psi_m u_m \rangle + ((\psi'_m u, u'_m))_0 - ((\psi'_m u', u_m))_0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.43) and (3.29)

$$(3.44) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u_m\|_B^2 &\leq \frac{1}{d_2} |\mathcal{B}_{L-k_0^2}[u_m, u_m]| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{d_2} \left\{ \left| \langle l, (1+r)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \psi\left(\frac{r}{m}\right) u_m \rangle \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\psi'_m u\|_0 \|u'_m\|_0 + \|\psi'_m u'\|_0 \|u_m\|_0 \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{d_2} \left\{ \|l\|_{1+\varepsilon} \left\| \psi\left(\frac{r}{m}\right) u_m \right\|_B + (\|\psi'_m u\|_0 + \|\psi'_m u'\|_0) \|u_m\|_B \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{d_2} \left\{ \|l\|_{1+\varepsilon} + \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} + 3\right) \|u\|_B \right\} \|u_m\|_B, \end{aligned}$$

where we note  $\left| \psi\left(\frac{r}{m}\right) \right| \leq 1$ ,  $\left| \frac{1}{m} \psi'\left(\frac{r}{m}\right) \right| \leq 1$  and

$$(3.45) \quad |\phi'_m(r)| \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} + 3$$

for any  $r \in I$  and for any  $m=1, 2, \dots$ . Taking account of (3.26) and (3.22), we obtain from (3.44)

$$(3.46) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u_m\|_B &\leq \frac{2}{d_2} \left\{ \|l\|_{1+\varepsilon} + \left( \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} + 3 \right) \beta_1 \|l\| \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{d_2} \left\{ 1 + \left( \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} + 3 \right) \beta_1 \alpha_0 \right\} \|l\|_{1+\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies with  $\frac{2}{d_2} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} + 3 \right) \beta_1 \alpha_0 \right) = \beta_2$

$$(3.47) \quad \left\| \psi \left( \frac{r}{m} \right) u \right\|_{1+\varepsilon} = \|\phi_m u\|_0 = \|u_m\|_0 \leq \|u_m\|_B \leq \beta_2 \|l\|_{1+\varepsilon}.$$

Thus, letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.47), we obtain (3.27).

Q.E.D.

*Proof of Lemma 3.4.* Since  $l \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$ , it follows from Lemma 3.5 that the equation

$$(3.48) \quad ((g, (L+i)\varphi))_0 = \langle l, \varphi \rangle \quad (\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X))$$

has a solution  $g \in H_0^{1,B}(I, X) \cap H^{1+\varepsilon}(I, X)$ . Put  $w = g - v$ , where  $v$  is a radiative function for  $\{L, k, l, 0\}$ , i.e.,  $v$  satisfies

$$(3.49) \quad ((v, (L - \bar{k}^2)\varphi))_0 = \langle l, \varphi \rangle \quad (\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X))$$

and

$$(3.50) \quad \|v' - ikv\|_{-1+\varepsilon} < \infty.$$

From (3.48) and (3.49) we see that

$$(3.51) \quad ((w, (L - \bar{k}^2)\varphi))_0 = (k^2 - i)((g, \varphi))_0.$$

Noting  $g \in H_0^{1,B}(I, X)$  and (3.50), we have

$$(3.52) \quad \begin{aligned} \|w' - ikw\|_{-1+\varepsilon} &\leq \|v' - ikv\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|g' - ikg\|_{-1+\varepsilon} \\ &\leq \|v' - ikv\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|g'\|_0 + |k| \|g\|_0 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$



Hence  $w$  is a radiative function for  $\{L, k, (k^2 - i)l[\underline{g}]\}$ . We make use of Lemma 3.1 to obtain

$$(3.53) \quad \|w\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|w' - ikw\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}w\|_{-1+\varepsilon} \leq \delta_1(1 + |k|^2)\|g\|_{1+\varepsilon},$$

where  $\delta_1 = \delta_1(K)$  is given in (3.2). It is implied by (3.26) and (3.22) that

$$(3.54) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|g' - ikg\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}g\|_{-1+\varepsilon} \\ \leq (1 + |k|)\|g\|_0 + \|g'\|_0 + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}g\|_0 \\ \leq (3 + |k|)\|g\|_B \leq (3 + |k|)\|l\| \leq (3 + |k|)a_0\|l\|_{1-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v = g + w$ , (3.23) follows from (3.53), (3.54) and (3.27). Q.E.D.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let us assume Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2. Let  $k_m \in \mathbf{C}^+$ ,  $l_m \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$  for each  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $v_m, m = 1, 2, \dots$  be radiative functions for  $\{L, k_m, l_m, 0\}$  such that*

$$(3.55) \quad v_m \in H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X) \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Let us assume

$$(3.56) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} k_m = k, \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|l - l_m\|_{1+\varepsilon} = 0 \end{cases}$$

with  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$  and  $l \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$ . Then there exists the radiative function  $v$  for  $\{L, k, l, 0\}$  satisfying

$$(3.57) \quad v_m \rightarrow v \text{ both in } H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X) \text{ and in } \text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 3.4 we put  $v_m = g_m + w_m$ , where  $g_m \in H_0^{1,B}(I, X) \cap H^{1+\varepsilon}(I, X)$  is the solution of the equation

$$(3.58) \quad ((g_m, (L + i)\varphi))_0 = \langle l_m, \varphi \rangle \quad (\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X)),$$

and  $w_m$  is the radiative function for  $\{L, k_m, (k_m^2 - i)l[\underline{g}_m], 0\}$  for each  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . For each pair  $(m, n)$  we have

$$(3.59) \quad ((g_m - g_n, (L+i)\varphi))_0 = \langle l_m - l_n, \varphi \rangle,$$

and hence we obtain, using Lemma 3.5,

$$(3.60) \quad \begin{cases} \|g_m - g_n\|_B \leq \beta_1(\sqrt{i}) \|l_m - l_n\| \rightarrow 0 \\ \|g_m - g_n\|_{1+\varepsilon} \leq \beta_2(\sqrt{i}) \|l_m - l_n\|_{1+\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ . We put  $g = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_m$ . Then  $g \in H_0^{1,B}(I, X) \cap H^{1+\varepsilon}(I, X)$ , and  $g$  is the solution of equation (3.48).

Now we turn to the sequence  $\{w_m\}$ . Since the sequence  $\{l_m\}$  is uniformly bounded in  $\mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$ , it follows from Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 that the sequence  $\{\|v_m\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|v'_m - ikv_m\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}v_m\|_{-1+\varepsilon}\}$ ,  $\{\|g_m\|_B\}$  and  $\{\|g_m\|_{1+\varepsilon}\}$  are also uniformly bounded. Therefore, noting that  $w_m = v_m - g_m$ , we obtain the uniform estimate

$$(3.61) \quad \|w_m\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|w'_m - ik_m w_m\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}w_m\|_{-1+\varepsilon} \leq \alpha \quad (m=1, 2, \dots)$$

with a constant  $\alpha > 0$ . From (3.61) we have

$$(3.62) \quad \sup_{m=1,2,\dots} \|w_m\|_{B,(0,R)} < \infty$$

for any  $R \in I$ . Hence, proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we obtain a subsequence  $\{w_{m_j}\}$  of  $\{w_m\}$  which converges to  $w$  in  $\text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ . On the other hand, using Lemma 3.2 and the uniform boundedness of  $\{\|g\|_{1+\varepsilon}\}$ , we have uniformly with respect to  $m$

$$(3.63) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_p^\infty (1+r)^{-1-\varepsilon} |w_m(r)|^2 dr &\leq \alpha_0 (\|w_m\|_{-1-\varepsilon}^2 + |k_m^2 - i|^2 \|g_m\|_{1+\varepsilon}) \rho^{-\varepsilon} \\ &= 0(\rho^{-\varepsilon}) \quad (\rho \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where we have noted that  $\{k_m\}$  is uniformly bounded and  $w_m$  is a radiative function for  $\{L, k, (k_m^2 - i)l[\bar{g}_m], 0\}$ . It is implied by (3.63) and the convergence of  $\{w_{m_j}\}$  in  $\text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$  that  $w_{m_j}$  converges to  $w$  in  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$ . Therefore, taking note of (3.61) and  $k_m \rightarrow k, m \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that  $w$  is a radiative function for  $\{L, k, (k^2 - i)l[\bar{g}], 0\}$  and we have

$$(3.64) \quad w_{m_j} \rightarrow w \quad (j \rightarrow \infty)$$

both in  $\text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$  and  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$ .

Finally put  $v_m = g_m + w_m$ . Then we obtain from (3.60) and (3.64)

$$(3.65) \quad v_m \rightarrow v \quad (j \rightarrow \infty)$$

both in  $\text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$  and  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$ , where  $v = g + w$  is a radiative function for  $\{L, k, l, 0\}$ . Since  $v$  is unique by the uniqueness of the radiative function (Theorem 2.2), it follows from (3.65) that the original sequence  $\{v_m\}$  itself converges to  $v$  both in  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  and  $\text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ .

Q.E.D.

We can now prove the existence theorem of the radiative function for  $\{L, k, l, 0\}$ , where  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$ ,  $l \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let us assume Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2. Let  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$  and  $l \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$ . Then there exists a unique radiative function  $v = v(\cdot, k, l)$  for  $\{L, k, 0\}$  in  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$ . If  $k$  belongs to a compact set  $K$  in  $\mathbf{C}^+$  then we have*

$$(3.66) \quad \|v\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|v' - ikv\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|_{-1+\varepsilon} \leq \delta_2 \|l\|_{1+\varepsilon}$$

with a constant  $\delta_2 > 0$ , depending only on  $K$ . Denote by  $\Sigma_0$  the mapping

$$(3.67) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma_0: \mathbf{C}^+ \times \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I) &\ni (k, l) \\ &\rightarrow v(\cdot, k, l) \in H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X) \cap \text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Sigma_0$  is continuous as a mapping from  $\mathbf{C}^+ \times \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$  into  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  and is also continuous as a mapping from  $\mathbf{C}^+ \times \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$  into  $\text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ .

*Proof.* First assume that  $\text{Im } k > 0$ . Then from Lemma 3.5 we obtain a unique radiative function  $v(\cdot, k, l)$  for  $\{L, k, l, 0\}$  such that  $v \in H^{1+\varepsilon}(I, X) \cap H_0^{1,B}(I, X)$ . Next assume that  $\text{Im } k = 0$ . Then, putting for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(3.68) \quad \begin{cases} k_m = k + \frac{i}{m} \\ v_m = v_m(\cdot, k_m, l), \end{cases}$$

we see from Lemma 3.6 that the radiative function  $v=v(\cdot, k, l)$  for  $\{L, k, l, 0\}$  is obtained as  $v=\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v_m$ . The other statements follow from Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.6. Q.E.D.

Finally we prove the existence of the radiative function for  $\{L, k, l, u\}$ .

Let  $v=v(\cdot, k, l, u)$  be a radiative function for  $\{L, k, l, u\}$ , where  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$ ,  $l \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$  and  $u \in H^{1,B}(I, X)$ . We define  $l_1 \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$  by

$$(3.69) \quad \langle l_1, \varphi \rangle = \langle l, \varphi \rangle - ((\phi u, (L - \bar{k}^2)\varphi))_0 \quad (\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X)),$$

where  $\phi \in C^1(I)$ ,  $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$  and

$$(3.70) \quad \phi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & (0 < r \leq 1), \\ 0 & (r \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that  $v_0 = v - \phi u$  is a radiative function for  $\{L, k, l_1, 0\}$ . Thus we can reduce the equation with the boundary value  $v(0) = u(0)$  to the equation with the boundary value  $v_0(0) = 0$ . Therefore, noting that  $l_1 = l_1(u)$  is a  $\mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$ -valued continuous function on  $H^{1,B}(I, X)$ , we obtain from Theorem 3.7 the following

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let us assume Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2. Let  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$ ,  $l \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$  and  $u \in H^{1,B}(I, X)$ . Then there exists a unique radiative function  $v=v(\cdot, k, l, u)$  for  $\{L, k, l, u\}$  in  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$ . Denote by  $\Sigma$  the mapping*

$$(3.71) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma: \mathbf{C}^+ \times \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I) \times H^{1,B}(I, X) &\ni (k, l, u) \\ &\rightarrow v(\cdot, k, l, u) \in H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X) \cap \text{loc } H^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X). \end{aligned}$$

*Then  $\Sigma$  is continuous as a mapping from  $\mathbf{C}^+ \times \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I) \times H^{1,B}(I, X)$  into  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  and is also continuous as a mapping from  $\mathbf{C}^+ \times \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I) \times H^{1,B}(I, X)$  into  $\text{loc } H^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ .*

#### §4. The Dependency of Radiative Functions on $C(r)$

Let  $C_m(r)$ ,  $m=1, 2, \dots$ , be a sequence of operator-valued functions on

I. Let  $C(r)$  be as above. In this section we study the relations between radiative functions for  $L$  and radiative functions for  $L_m = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + B(r) + C_m(r)$  when  $C_m(r) \rightarrow C(r)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Assumption 4.1.** (a) For each  $r \in I$   $C_m(r)$  is a symmetric operator in  $X$  with  $\mathcal{D}(C_m(r)) = D$  such that  $C_m(r)x \in C^1(I, X)$  for any  $x \in D$ . Moreover for any compact interval  $M$  in  $I$  there exists a constant  $c^{(m)}(M) > 0$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \left| \frac{d}{dr} C_m(r)x \right| \leq c^{(m)}(M) (|x| + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)x|)$$

holds for any  $x \in D$  and any  $r \in M$ .

(b) There exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad |C_m(r)x| \leq c_0(1+r)^{-1-\varepsilon} (|x| + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)x|) \quad (x \in D, r \in I)$$

for any  $m=1, 2, \dots$ , where  $c_0$  does not depend on  $m$ , and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  is as given in (1.8).

(c) We have

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |C(r)x - C_m(r)x| = 0$$

for any  $x \in D$  and any  $r \in I$ .

Since  $C_m$  is assumed to satisfy (a) and (b) of Assumption 4.1 for each  $m=1, 2, \dots$ ,  $C_m(r)$  is so smooth and tends to zero at  $r=\infty$  so rapidly that the results of §2 and §3 can be applied to the operator

$$(4.4) \quad L_m = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + B(r) + C_m(r),$$

i.e., there exists a unique radiative function  $v_m(r, k, l, u)$  for  $\{L_m, k, l, u\}$ , where  $(k, l, u) \in \mathbf{C}^+ \times \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I) \times H^{1,B}(I, X)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $B(r)$  and  $C(r)$  satisfy Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2. Let  $C_m(r)$ ,  $m=1, 2, \dots$ , satisfy Assumption 4.1. Let  $K$  be a compact set such that  $K \subset \mathbf{C}^+$  and let  $v_m = v_m(r, k_m, l_m)$ ,  $m=1, 2, \dots$ , be the radiative

function for  $\{L_m, k_m, l_m, 0\}$ , where  $k_m \in K$  and  $l_m \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$ . Then there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that

$$(4.5) \quad \|v_m\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|v'_m - ik_m v_m\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}} v_m\|_{-1+\varepsilon} \leq \delta_0 \|l_m\|_{1+\varepsilon}.$$

$\delta_0$  depends only on  $K$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $g_m$  the radiative function for  $\{L_m, \sqrt{i}, l_m, 0\}$ . We see from Lemma 3.5 that  $g_m \in H^{1+\varepsilon}(I, X)$  for each  $m=1, 2, \dots$ . We denote by  $w_m$  the radiative function for  $\{L_m, k_m, (k_m^2 - i)l_m, 0\}$ . Obviously we have  $v_m = g_m + w_m$ . Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, from (4.2) we obtain uniformly for  $m=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(4.6) \quad \alpha \|\varphi\|_B^2 \geq |(\langle \varphi, (L_m + i)\varphi \rangle)_0| \geq \beta \|\varphi\|_B^2 \quad (\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X)),$$

with constants  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , whence follows that we obtain uniformly for  $m=1, 2, \dots$

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{cases} \|g_m\|_B \leq \eta_0 \|l_m\|, \\ \|g_m\|_{1+\varepsilon} \leq \eta_0 \|l_m\|_{1+\varepsilon} \end{cases}$$

with a constant  $\eta_0 > 0$ . Re-examining the proof of Lemma 1.6, we can see from (4.2) that we obtain uniformly for  $m=1, 2, \dots$

$$(4.8) \quad \|w'_m - ik_m w_m\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}} w_m\|_{-1+\varepsilon} \leq \eta_1 (\|w_m\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|g_m\|_{1+\varepsilon}),$$

with a constant  $\eta_1 = \eta_1(K) > 0$ . Finally, proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we can show by reduction to absurdity that we have uniformly for  $m=1, 2, \dots$

$$(4.9) \quad \|w_m\|_{-1-\varepsilon} \leq \eta_2 \|g_m\|_{1+\varepsilon}$$

with a positive constant  $\eta_2 = \eta_2(K)$ . Thus we have (4.5) from (4.7), (4.8), (4.9) and (3.22) as follows:

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|v_m\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|v'_m - ik_m v_m\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}} v_m\|_{-1+\varepsilon} \\ & \leq \|w_m\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|w'_m - ik_m w_m\|_{-1+\varepsilon} + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}} w_m\|_{-1+\varepsilon} \\ & \quad + \|g_m\|_0 + \|g'_m\|_0 + |k_m| \|g_m\|_0 + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}} g_m\|_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|w_m\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \eta_1(\|w_m\|_{-1-\varepsilon} + \|g_m\|_{1+\varepsilon}) + (3 + |k_m|)\|g_m\|_B \\ &\leq \{\eta_2 + \eta_1(1 + \eta_2)\}\|g_m\|_{1+\varepsilon} + (3 + |k_m|)\|g_m\|_B \\ &\leq [\{\eta_2 + \eta_1(1 + \eta_2)\} + (3 + T)a_0] \|l_m\|_{1+\varepsilon} \quad (m=1, 2, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

where we put  $T = \sup_{m=1,2,\dots} |k_m|$ , and  $a_0$  is given as in (3.22). Q.E.D.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $B(r)$  and  $C(r)$  satisfy Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2. Let  $C_m(r)$ ,  $m=1, 2, \dots$ , satisfy Assumption 4.1.*

(i) *Let  $k_m \in \mathbf{C}^+$  and  $l_m \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$  such that*

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} k_m = k \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|l - l_m\|_{1+\varepsilon} = 0 \end{cases}$$

*with  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$  and  $l \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$ . Denote by  $v_m(\cdot, k_m, l_m)$  the radiative function for  $\{L_m, k_m, l_m, 0\}$  for each  $m=1, 2, \dots$ . Then we have*

$$(4.12) \quad v_m(\cdot, k_m, l_m) \rightarrow v(\cdot, k, l)$$

*both in  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  and in  $\text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ , where  $v(\cdot, k, l)$  is the radiative function for  $\{L, k, l, 0\}$ .*

(ii) *Let  $K$  be a compact set in  $\mathbf{C}^+$  and let  $M$  be a compact metric space. For each  $m=1, 2, \dots$ ,  $l_m(k, s)$  is assumed to be a  $\mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$ -valued, continuous function on  $K \times M$  such that*

$$(4.13) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|l(k, s) - l_m(k, s)\|_{1+\varepsilon} = 0$$

*uniformly on  $K \times M$  with a  $\mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$ -valued, continuous function  $l(k, s)$  on  $K \times M$ . Denote by  $v_m(\cdot, k, s)$  the radiative function for  $\{L_m, k, l_m(k, s), 0\}$ . Then we have*

$$(4.14) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v_m(\cdot, k, s) = v(\cdot, k, s)$$

*both in  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  and in  $\text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$  uniformly on  $K \times M$ , where  $v(\cdot, k, s)$  is the radiative function for  $\{L, k, l(k, s), 0\}$ .*

*Proof.* First let us prove (i). Let  $g_m$  be the radiative function for

$\{L, \sqrt{l}, l_m, 0\}$  and let  $w_m$  be the radiative function for  $\{L, k, (k_m^2 - i)l[g_m], 0\}$ . Then we have  $v_m = g_m + w_m$ . Similarly we have  $v = g + w$ , where  $g$  is the radiative function for  $\{L, \sqrt{l}, l, 0\}$  and  $w$  is the radiative function for  $\{L, k, (k^2 - i)l[g], 0\}$ . It follows from Lemma 3.5 and the regularity theorem of Jäger [5] that  $g, g_m \in H^{1+\varepsilon}(I, X) \cap H_0^{1,B}(I, X) \cap C^2(I, D)$ . We can show that

$$(4.15) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|(C - C_m)g\|_{1+\varepsilon} = 0.$$

In fact we obtain from (4.3) and the fact that  $g(r) \in D$

$$(4.16) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |(C(r) - C_m(r))g(r)| = 0 \quad (r \in I),$$

and also obtain from (1.8) and (4.2)

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(C(r) - C_m(r))g(r)|^2 \\ & \leq [(c_2 + c_0)(1+r)^{-1-\varepsilon}(|g(r)| + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)g(r)|)]^2 \\ & \leq 2(c_2 + c_0)^2(1+r)^{-2-2\varepsilon}(|g(r)|^2 + |B^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)g(r)|^2) \\ & \in L^1(I, (1+r)^{1+\varepsilon} dr). \end{aligned}$$

(4.15) directly follows from (4.16) and (4.17). Noting that  $g - g_m$  satisfies the equation

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} ((g - g_m, (L + i)\varphi))_0 &= \langle l - l_m, \varphi \rangle + (((C - C_m)g, \varphi))_0 \\ & \quad (\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X)), \end{aligned}$$

We see from (4.7) and (4.15) that

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{cases} \|g - g_m\|_B \leq \eta_0 \{\|l - l_m\| + \|(C - C_m)g\|_0\} \rightarrow 0, \\ \|g - g_m\|_{1+\varepsilon} \leq \eta_0 \{\|l - l_m\|_{1+\varepsilon} + \|(C - C_m)g\|_{1+\varepsilon}\} \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Using (4.19) and Theorem 4.2, we can proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.6 to show that the sequence  $w_m$  converges to  $w$  both in  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  and in  $\text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ . Thus we have shown that  $v_m = g_m + w_m$  converges to  $v = g + w$  both in  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  and in  $\text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$  which completes the proof of (i).



Next let us prove (ii). It follows from (i) that for each pair  $(k, s) \in K \times M$   $v_m(\cdot, k, s)$  converges to  $v(\cdot, k, s)$  both in  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  and in  $\text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ . Assume that the convergence of  $v_m$  in  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  is not uniform on  $K \times M$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and the set of positive integers  $\{m_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and  $(k_j, s_j) \in K \times M$  such that  $m_j \rightarrow \infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$(4.20) \quad \|v(\cdot, k_j, s_j) - v_{m_j}(\cdot, k_j, s_j)\|_{-1-\varepsilon} \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

Since the set  $\{(k_j, s_j) \mid j=1, 2, \dots\}$  has at least an accumulating point  $(k_0, s_0) \in K \times M$ , we can assume  $k_j \rightarrow k_0$  and  $s_j \rightarrow s_0$  without loss of generality. Then, using the continuity of  $l(k, s)$  and the uniform convergence of  $l_m(k, s)$ , we obtain

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|l(k_0, s_0) - l_{m_j}(k_j, s_j)\|_{1+\varepsilon} \\ & \leq \|l(k_0, s_0) - l(k_j, s_j)\|_{1+\varepsilon} + \|l(k_j, s_j) - l_{m_j}(k_j, s_j)\|_{1+\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0, \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad j \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows from (i) that

$$(4.22) \quad \|v(\cdot, k_0, s_0) - v_{m_j}(\cdot, k_j, s_j)\|_{-1-\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0, \quad j \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand we obtain from Lemma 3.6

$$(4.23) \quad \|v(\cdot, k_0, s_0) - v(\cdot, k_j, s_j)\|_{-1-\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0, \quad j \rightarrow \infty.$$

(4.22) and (4.23) are combined to give  $\|v(\cdot, k_j, s_j) - v_{m_j}(\cdot, k_j, s_j)\|_{-1-\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , which contradicts (4.20). Hence  $v_m(\cdot, k, s)$  converges to  $v(\cdot, k, s)$  in  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  uniformly for  $(k, s) \in K \times M$ . Similarly we can show that  $v_m(\cdot, k, s)$  converges to  $v(\cdot, k, s)$  in  $\text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$  uniformly for  $(k, s) \in K \times M$ . Q.E.D.

By an argument similar to the one used in obtaining Theorem 3.8 from Theorem 3.7, we can show the following

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $B(r), C(r)$  and  $C_m(r), m=1, 2, \dots$ , be as in Theorem 4.3. Let  $u \in H^{1,B}(I, X)$ .*

(i) *Let  $k_m \in \mathbf{C}^+$  and  $l_m \in \mathcal{Q}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$  satisfy (4.11). Denote by  $v_m(\cdot, k_m, l_m, u)$  the radiative function for  $\{L_m, k_m, l_m, u\}$  for each  $m=1, 2, \dots$ .*

Then we have  $v_m(\cdot, k_m, l_m, u) \rightarrow v(\cdot, k, l, u)$ ,  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , both in  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  and in  $\text{loc}H^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ , where  $v(\cdot, k, l, u)$  is the radiative function for  $\{L, k, l, u\}$ .

(ii) Let  $k, M, l_m(k, s)$  and  $l(k, s)$  be as in (ii) of Theorem 4.3. Let (4.13) be satisfied. Then we have

$$(4.24) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v_m(\cdot, k_m, s_m, u) = v(\cdot, k, s, u)$$

both in  $H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  and in  $\text{loc}H^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$  uniformly on  $K \times M$ , where  $v_m(\cdot, k_m, s_m, u)$  and  $v(\cdot, k, s, u)$  are the radiative functions for  $\{L_m, k_m, l_m(k, s), u\}$  and  $\{L, k, l(k, s), u\}$ , respectively.

### §5. The Schrödinger Operator in $\mathbf{R}^n$ ( $n \geq 3$ )

In this section we apply the results obtained in the preceding sections to the Schrödinger operator in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ).

Let  $X = L^2(S^{n-1})$ ,  $S^{n-1}$  being  $(n-1)$ -sphere. We define a unitary operator  $U$  from  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$  onto  $H^0(I, X)$  by

$$(5.1) \quad (UF)(r) = r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} F(r\omega) \quad (F(y) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)),$$

where  $r = |y|$  and  $\omega = \frac{y}{r} \in S^{n-1}$ .

Let us consider the Laplacian on  $\mathbf{R}^n$

$$(5.2) \quad -\Delta F(y) = -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y_j^2}.$$

We denote by  $H_0$  the restriction of  $-\Delta$  to  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , i.e.,

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(H_0) = C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n),^{16)} \\ H_0\Phi = -\Delta\Phi. \end{cases}$$

As is well known, we have for  $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$

$$(5.4) \quad UH_0\Phi = L_0U\Phi,$$

---

16)  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is the set of all infinitely continuously differentiable functions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with compact carrier.

where

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{cases} L_0 = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + B(r) \\ \mathcal{D}(B(r)) = D = \mathcal{D}(A_n), \\ B(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left( -A_n + \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4} \right), \end{cases}$$

and  $A_n$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $S^{n-1}$ . As is well-known  $-A_n$  is a non-negative, self-adjoint operator in  $L^2(S^{n-1})$ , and hence we can easily see that  $B(r)$  satisfies (a) and (b) of Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2. We obtain from (5.4)

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} U\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^1(\mathbf{R}^n) = H_0^{1,B}(I, X)^{17)} \\ \|F\|_{(1)} = \|UF\|_B \quad (F \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^1(\mathbf{R}^n)). \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  be the set of all linear continuous functionals  $\alpha$  on  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$(5.7) \quad |\alpha| = \sup \{ | \langle \alpha, F \rangle | ; F \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^1(\mathbf{R}^n), \|F\|_{(1)} = 1 \}.$$

Then a linear mapping  $\tilde{U}$  from  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  into  $\mathcal{U}(I)$  is defined by

$$(5.8) \quad \langle \tilde{U}\alpha, \varphi \rangle = \langle \alpha, U^{-1}\varphi \rangle \quad (\varphi \in H_0^{1,B}(I, X)).$$

We have

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{U}\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \mathcal{U}(I), \\ |\alpha| = \|\tilde{U}\alpha\|. \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $q(y)$  a real-valued function on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .  $q(y)$  is assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

(Q)  $q(y)$  is continuously differentiable on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and behaves like  $O(|y|^{-1-\epsilon})$  ( $\epsilon > 0$ ) at infinity, i.e., there exist constants  $c > 0, \rho > 0$  such that

17) The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is defined as the completion of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  in the norm

$$\|F\|_{(1)}^2 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_j} \right|^2 + |F(y)|^2 \right\} dx$$

$$(5.10) \quad |q(y)| \leq c |y|^{-1-\varepsilon} \quad (|y| \geq \rho)$$

with  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ .

Let us define  $C(r)$  by

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{cases} C(r) = q(r\omega) \times \\ \mathcal{D}(C(r)) = D. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $C(r)$  satisfies Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2.

Define a differential operator  $H$  by

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(H) = C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \\ H\Phi = -\Delta\Phi + q(y)\Phi. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$(5.13) \quad UH\Phi = LU\Phi \quad (\Phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)),$$

where

$$(5.14) \quad L = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + B(r) + C(r).$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{V}_{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  the set of all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that

$$(5.15) \quad |\alpha|_{1+\varepsilon} = \sup\{|\langle \alpha, (1+r)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} F \rangle|; F \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^n), \|F\|_{(1)} = 1\} < \infty.$$

We have  $\tilde{U}\mathcal{V}_{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$  and  $|\alpha|_{1+\varepsilon} = \|\tilde{U}\alpha\|_{1+\varepsilon}$  for  $\alpha \in U_{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

We now give the definition of the radiative function for  $H$  as follows:

Let  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Then  $F \in \text{loc } \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^n)^{18)}$  is called the radiative function for  $\{H, k, \alpha\}$ , if  $F$  satisfies the following conditions;

(1) For any  $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  we have

$$(5.16) \quad (F, (H - \bar{k}^2)\Phi)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} = \langle \alpha, \Phi \rangle.$$

---

18)  $\text{loc } \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is the set of all  $F(y)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $\psi_n F \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for any  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\psi_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $0 \leq \psi_n \leq 1$  and

$$\psi_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x| \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \geq n+1. \end{cases}$$

(2) The “radiation condition”

$$(5.17) \quad \int_{|y| \geq 1} (1 + |y|)^{-1+\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial |y|} - ikF(y) \right|^2 dy < \infty$$

holds.

Let  $F$  be the radiative function for  $\{H, k, 0\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{C}^+$ . Then, putting  $v = UF \in \text{loc } H_0^{1,B}(\bar{I}, X)$ , we have

$$(5.18) \quad ((v, (L - \bar{k}^2)\varphi))_0 = 0 \quad (\varphi \in C_0^{2,B}(I, X)),$$

and

$$(5.19) \quad \left\| v' - \frac{n-1}{2r} v - ikv \right\|_{-1+\varepsilon, (1, \infty)} < \infty.$$

Modifying slightly the proof of Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| v'(r) - \frac{n-1}{2r} v(r) - ikv(r) \right|^2 \\ &= \left| v' + \left( \text{Im } k - \frac{n-1}{2r} \right) v(r) \right|^2 + (\text{Re } k)^2 |v(r)|^2 \\ &\quad - 2(\text{Re } k) \text{Im}(v'(r), v(r)) \\ &= \left| v'(r) + \left( \text{Im } k - \frac{n-1}{2r} \right) v(r) \right|^2 + (\text{Re } k)^2 |v(r)|^2 \\ &\quad + 4(\text{Re } k)^2 (\text{Im } k) \|v\|_{0, (0, r)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\text{Im } k \neq 0$ , then we see from (5.20)

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{r_j \rightarrow \infty} \|v\|_{0, (0, r_j)}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4(\text{Re } k)^2 (\text{Im } k)} \lim_{r_j \rightarrow \infty} \left| v'(r_j) - \frac{n-1}{2r} v(r_j) - ikv(r_j) \right|^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

along some sequence  $\{r_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ , and hence  $\|v\|_0 = 0$ , i.e.,  $v \equiv 0$ . If  $\text{Im } k = 0$ , then we obtain from (5.20)

$$(5.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| v'(r) - \frac{n-1}{2r} v(r) - ikv(r) \right|^2 \\ & \geq \left| v'(r) - \frac{n-1}{2r} v(r) \right|^2 + (\text{Re } k)^2 |v(r)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{1}{2} |v'(r)|^2 + \left( k^2 - \frac{(n-1)^2}{4r^2} \right) |v(r)|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |v'(r)|^2 + k^2 |v(r)|^2 \right\}, \quad \left( r \geq \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2k}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

whence follows  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (|v'(r)|^2 + k^2 |v(r)|^2) = 0$ . Therefore, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we have  $v \equiv 0$ . Thus the uniqueness of the radiative function for  $H$  has been proved.

Next let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$ . Since we have  $\tilde{U}\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_{1+\varepsilon}(I)$ , it follows from Theorem 3.7 that there exists the radiative function  $v = v(\cdot, k, \tilde{U}\alpha)$  for  $\{L, k, \tilde{U}\alpha, 0\}$ . Put

$$(5.23) \quad F = U^{-1}v(\cdot, k, \tilde{U}\alpha).$$

Then  $F \in \text{loc } \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and it follows from (5.23) that

$$(5.24) \quad (F, (H - \bar{k}^2)\Phi)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} = ((v, (L - \bar{k}^2)U\Phi))_0 = \langle \tilde{U}\alpha, U\Phi \rangle = \langle \alpha, \Phi \rangle$$

holds for any  $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Since  $v \in H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , we have  $\frac{n-1}{2r}v \in H^{-1+\varepsilon}((1, \infty), X)$ . This together with  $v' - ikv \in H^{-1+\varepsilon}(I, X)$  implies that  $v' - ikv - \frac{n-1}{2r}v \in H^{-1+\varepsilon}((1, \infty), X)$ . Hence we obtain

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{|y| \geq 1} (1 + |y|)^{-1+\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial |y|} - ikF(y) \right|^2 dy \\ &= \left\| v' - ikv - \frac{n-1}{2r}v \right\|_{-1+\varepsilon, (1, \infty)}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it has been shown that  $F = U^{-1}v$  is the radiative function for  $\{H, k, \alpha\}$ . It follows from  $v \in H^{-1-\varepsilon}(I, X)$  that  $F \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n, (1 + |y|)^{-1-\varepsilon} dy)$ . Thus we obtain

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $n$  be an integer such that  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $q(y)$  satisfy the condition (Q). Then for given  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  the radiative function  $F(\cdot, k, \alpha)$  for  $\{H, k, \alpha\}$  is unique. For given  $k \in \mathbf{C}^+$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  there exists the radiative function  $F(\cdot, k, \alpha)$  for  $\{H, k, \alpha\}$  such that  $F(\cdot, k, \alpha) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n, (1 + |y|)^{-1-\varepsilon} dy)$ . Denote by  $\sigma$  the mapping*

$$(5.26) \quad \sigma: \mathbf{C}^+ \times v_{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{R}^n) \ni (k, \alpha) \\ \rightarrow F(\cdot, k, \alpha) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n, (1 + |y|)^{-1-\varepsilon} dy) \cap \text{loc } \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^1(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

Then  $\sigma$  is continuous as a mapping from  $\mathbf{C}^+ \times \mathcal{V}_{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  into  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n, (1 + |y|)^{-1-\varepsilon} dy)$  and is also continuous as a mapping from  $\mathbf{C}^+ \times \mathcal{V}_{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  into  $\text{loc } \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

### References

- [1] Eidus, D. M., The principle of limit amplitude, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **24**, No. 3 (1969), 91-156. (*Russian Mathematical Surveys*, **24**, No. 3 (1969), 97-169).
- [2] Jäger, W., Über das Dirichletsche Aussenraumproblem für die Schwingungsgleichung, *Math. Z.* **95** (1967), 299-323.
- [3] ———, Zur Theorie der Schwingungsgleichung mit variablen Koeffizienten in Aussengebieten, *Math. Z.* **102** (1967), 62-88.
- [4] ———, Das asymptotische Verhalten von Lösungen eines Typus von Differentialgleichungen, *Math. Z.* **112** (1969), 26-36.
- [5] ———, Ein gewöhnlicher Differentialoperator zweiter Ordnung für Funktionen mit Werten in einem Hilbertraum, *Math. Z.* **113** (1970), 68-98.
- [6] Yosida, K., *Functional Analysis*, Springer, 1965.
- [7] Saitō, Y., Spectral and scattering theory for second-order differential operators with operator-valued coefficients, to appear in *Osaka J. Math.*

