# Projective Limit of Haar Measures on O(n)

Bу

Yasuo Yamasaki

## Introduction

In this paper, we shall show that the Gaussian measure [1] on  $R^{\infty\infty}$  is obtained as the projective limit of Haar measures on O(n). This is a natural extension of the fact [2] [3]: the Gaussian measure on  $R^{\infty}$  is obtained as the projective limit of the uniform measures on the *n*-dimensional spheres.

D. Shale [4] considered the family of Haar measures on O(n) to construct a finitely additive measure on  $O(\infty)$ . But he did not treat the projective limit. Also a report by H. Shimomura [5] is useful for the information on this topic.

### §1. Orthogonal Group O(n)

The *n*-dimensional orthogonal group O(n) is the group of all orthogonal transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If we fix a C.O.N.S. (=complete orthonormal system) of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it is identified with the group of all matrices  $(u_{ij})$  which satisfy the orthogonality relations:

(1.1) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{ik} u_{jk} = \delta_{ij} \qquad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

Because of (1.1), only n(n-1)/2 matrix elements are independent, and the other n(n+1)/2 matrix elements can be considered as functions of the formers.

Usually, n(n-1)/2 Euler angles are used as independent variables of

Received October 28, 1971.

O(n), but in this paper, for the convenience of later analysis, we shall use another system of independent variables which we shall explain in the last part of this section.

Let  $S_{n-1}$  be the unit sphere of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;

(1.2) 
$$S_{n-1} = \{(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n); x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1\}.$$

The group O(n) can be regarded as a transformation group of  $S_{n-1}$ . The group of all orthogonal transformations which keep the vector (0, 0, ..., 0, 1) invariant, is isomorphic with O(n-1), so we identify them. For any  $U, V \in O(n)$ , we have  $UV^{-1} \in O(n-1)$ , if and only if the last row vector of U is equal with that of V, namely

$$(1.3) u_{nj} = v_{nj} (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Therefore, the coset space  $O(n-1)\setminus O(n)$  is identified with  $S_{n-1}$ . Suppose that a mapping  $S_{n-1} \ni x \to U_x \in O(n)$  is given such that the last row vector of  $U_x$  is just x. In other words, each  $U_x$  is a representative of the coset which corresponds to x. Then, any  $U \in O(n)$  is written uniquely in the form:

(1.4) 
$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_x \quad U_1 \in O(n-1), x \in S_{n-1}.$$

For  $V \in O(n)$ , the last row vector of  $U_x V$  is xV. So, if U is represented as (1.4), we have

(1.5) 
$$UV = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_x V = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_{xV}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} U_1 W & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_{xV} \quad \text{for some } W \in O(n-1)$$

Therefore, any multiplication from right on O(n) induces (1) a multiplication from right on O(n-1), and (2) an orthogonal transformation on  $S_{n-1}$ .

Consider the uniform measure on  $S_{n-1}$  and the Haar measure on O(n-1). From the above discussion, we see that their product measure

is just the Haar measure on O(n), if we identify  $U \in O(n)$  with  $(U_1, x) \in O(n-1) \times S_{n-1}$ . Here we assume that the mapping  $x \to U_x$  is measurable, but this assumption is satisfied if  $U_x$  is defined and continuous except on some closed null set of  $S_{n-1}$ .

Now, we shall define concretely the mapping  $x \rightarrow U_x$ . Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be the C.O.N.S. of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $x_n = \langle x, e_n \rangle \neq 0$ , the vectors  $x, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}$  are linearly independent. Then, we adopt the Schmidt's orthonormalization of them as row vectors of  $U_x$ .

Explicitly writing, the matrix elements of  $U_x$  is as follows;

(1.6) 
$$\begin{cases} U_{x} = (u_{ij}) \\ u_{nj} = x_{j} \\ u_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad j < i \leq n-1 \\ u_{ii} = \sqrt{x_{i+1}^{2} + \dots + x_{n}^{2}} / \sqrt{x_{i}^{2} + \dots + x_{n}^{2}} \quad \text{if} \quad i \leq n-1 \\ u_{ij} = -x_{i}x_{j} / \sqrt{x_{i}^{2} + \dots + x_{n}^{2}} \sqrt{x_{i+1}^{2} + \dots + x_{n}^{2}} \quad \text{if} \quad i < j. \end{cases}$$

Hereafter, let the mapping  $x \rightarrow U_x$  be always the above one.

Since  $O(n) \simeq O(n-1) \times S_{n-1}$ , repeating the similar procedure, we have  $O(n) \simeq S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_{n-1}$ . Then, the Haar measure on O(n) is the product measure of uniform measures on  $S_k$   $(1 \le k \le n-1)$ . More exactly speaking, we can formulate as follows. Let  $\varphi_n$  be the mapping  $O(n) \rightarrow O(n-1)$  such that

(1.7) 
$$\varphi_n(U) = U_1 \quad \text{where } U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_x.$$

Then the mapping  $\varphi_{k+1} \circ \varphi_{k+2} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_n$  maps O(n) to O(k). Denote the matrix elements of the image matrix as  $u_{ij}^{(k)}$   $(1 \leq i, j \leq k)$ . They are functions on O(n).

If we adopt  $u_{kj}^{(k)}$   $(1 \le j \le k-1, 2 \le k \le n)$  as n(n-1)/2 independent variables of O(n), the Haar measure  $\mu_n$  on O(n) is represented as follows (except normalization constant):

Yasuo Yamasaki

(1.8) 
$$d\mu_n = \prod_{k=2}^n \left[ \left\{ 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} u_{kj}^{(k)2} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} du_{kj}^{(k)2} \right]$$

the content of [ ] being the uniform measure on  $S_{k-1}$ .

# §2. Projective Limit

Since the Haar measures  $\mu_n$  satisfy

(2.1) 
$$\mu_{n-1}(A) = \mu_n(\varphi_n^{-1}(A)) \quad \text{for a Borel subset } A \text{ of } O(n-1),$$

according to a theorem due to Bochner, we can construct the projective limit probability space  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . It satisfies the following properties:

P1)  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} O(n)$ 

P2)  $f_{n-1} = \varphi_n \circ f_n$ . Here,  $f_n$  is the restriction of  $\pi_n$  on  $\Omega$ , where  $\pi_n$  is the projection from  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} O(n)$  onto O(n).

P3)  $\mathscr{K}$  is generated by  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^{-1}(\mathscr{K}_n)$ , where  $\mathscr{K}_n$  is the whole of Borel subsets of O(n).

P4) 
$$\mu(f_n^{-1}(A)) = \mu_n(A)$$
 for  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ .

The matrix elements  $u_{ij}^{(k)}$   $(1 \leq i, j \leq k)$  can be regarded as functions on  $\mathcal{Q}$ , as well as on O(n) for  $n \geq k$ . Then, for any  $\omega \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,

(2.2) 
$$f_k(\omega) = (u_{ij}^{(k)}(\omega)) \in O(k).$$

 $u_{ij}^{(k)}(\omega)$  is a measurable function on  $\Omega$  because of P3).

### Lemma 1.

(2.3) 
$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} u_{ij}^{(n)}(\omega) d\mu = 0$$

(2.4) 
$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} u_{ij}^{(m)}(\omega) u_{pq}^{(m)}(\omega) d\mu = \delta_{ip} \delta_{jq} \frac{1}{m} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-j+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-j+2}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m-j+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m-j+1}{2}\right)}$$

for  $m \leq n$ , where  $\Gamma$  is Gamma function.

Proof. From P4), we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} u_{ij}^{(n)}(\omega) d\mu = \int_{O(n)} u_{ij}^{(n)} d\mu_n = 0.$$

Similarly, using 2) also, we see that

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} u_{ij}^{(n)}(\omega) u_{pq}^{(m)}(\omega) d\mu = \int_{O(n)} u_{ij}^{(n)} u_{pq}^{(m)} d\mu_n.$$

Substituting (1.8),

(2.5) 
$$= \int_{O(m)} I_{ij} u_{pq}^{(m)} d\mu_m$$

where  $I_{ij}$  = integration of  $u_{ij}^{(n)}$  by  $\prod_{k=m}^{n-1} \int_{O(k) \setminus O(k+1)} dm_k$ ,  $m_k$  being the uniform measure on  $S_k$ .

Now, we shall calculate

$$I^{(n-1)} = \int_{O(n-1)\setminus O(n)} U^{(n)} dm_{n-1}.$$

Since  $U^{(n)} = \begin{bmatrix} U^{(n-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} U_x$ , we have

$$I^{(n-1)} = \int_{S_{n-1}} \begin{bmatrix} U^{(n-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} U_x \, dm_{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} U^{(n-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \int_{S_{n-1}} U_x \, dm_{n-1}.$$

Using (1.6), we have

$$\int_{S_{n-1}} U_x dm_{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} J_1^{(n-1)} & 0 \\ J_2^{(n-1)} & \ddots \\ & \ddots \\ & & J_{n-1}^{(n-1)} \\ 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $J_i^{(n-1)} = \int_{S_{n-1}} \frac{r_{n-i}}{r_{n-i+1}} dm_{n-1} = \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-i}\theta d\theta / \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-i-1}\theta d\theta$ . Thus we have

(2.6) 
$$I_{ij} = u_{ij}^{(m)} \prod_{k=m}^{n-1} J_j^{(k)} = u_{ij}^{(m)} \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-j}\theta \, d\theta / \int_0^\pi \sin^{m-j}\theta \, d\theta$$

$$=u_{ij}^{(m)}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-j+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-j+2}{2}\right)}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m-j+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m-j+1}{2}\right)}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\int_{O(m)} u_{ij}^{(m)} u_{pq}^{(m)} d\mu_m = \frac{1}{m} \delta_{ip} \delta_{jq},$$

we have (2.4) from (2.5) and (2.6).

**Lemma 2.**  $\{\sqrt{n} u_{ij}^{(n)}(\omega); n \ge \max(i, j)\}$  forms a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ . The speed of convergence is dependent on j, but uniform in i.

*Proof.* From (2.4), we have  $\|\sqrt{n} u_{ij}^{(n)}\| = 1$ , and

$$<\!\!\sqrt{n}\,u_{ij}^{(n)},\,\sqrt{m}\,u_{ij}^{(m)}\!>=\!\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}\frac{\Gamma\!\left(\frac{n-j+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\!\left(\frac{n-j+2}{2}\right)}\frac{\Gamma\!\left(\frac{m-j+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\!\left(\frac{m-j+1}{2}\right)}.$$

The latter tends to 1 as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$  because we have asymptotically

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(t+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(t)}\sim \sqrt{t}.$$

From Lemma 2,  $\sqrt{n} u_{ij}^{(n)}$  converges to a function  $X_{ij}$  in  $L^2(\mathcal{Q}, \mu)$ . Then,  $X_{ij}$  is defined for almost all  $\omega$ , and some suitable subsequence of  $\{\sqrt{n} u_{ij}^{(n)}(\omega)\}$  converges to  $X_{ij}(\omega)$  almost everywhere. Evidently, we have

(2.7) 
$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} X_{ij}(\omega) d\mu = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathcal{Q}} X_{ij}(\omega) X_{pq}(\omega) d\mu = \delta_{ip} \delta_{jq}.$$

# §3. Identification with the Gaussian Measure

**Proposition 1.** For almost all  $\omega$ ,  $\omega' \in \Omega$ , the following (1) and (2) are equivalent.

- (1)  $X_{ij}(\omega) = X_{ij}(\omega')$  for any *i*, *j*.
- (2)  $u_{ij}^{(n)}(\omega) = u_{ij}^{(n)}(\omega')$  for any i, j, n where  $n \ge \max(i, j)$ .

*Proof.* (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is evident, because  $X_{ij}(\omega)$  is the limit of some subsequence of  $\{\sqrt{n} u_{ij}^{(n)}(\omega)\}$ .

On the other hand, from the definition (1.6) of  $U_x$ , we see that for  $U \in O(n)$ , if  $U = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} U_x$ , then the column vectors of  $U_1$  is obtained by the Schmidt's orthonormalization of the projections to  $R^{n-1}$  of the column vectors of U. Therefore, if  $m \leq n$ , the column vectors of  $U^{(m)}$ is the Schmidt's orthonormalization of the projections to  $R^m$  of the column vectors of  $U^{(n)}$ , and in the limit of  $n \to \infty$  (fixing m),  $\sqrt{n}$  times of the matrix elements of  $U^{(n)}$  tend to  $X_{ij}$ . So,  $u_{ij}^{(m)}$   $(1 \leq i, j \leq m)$  is obtained by the Schmidt's procedure from  $X_{ij}$ .

Consider the mapping  $\psi: \omega \in \mathcal{Q} \to (X_{ij}(\omega)) \in R^{\infty\infty}$ , where  $R^{\infty\infty}$  is the space of all double sequences.  $\psi$  is one-to-one excpet on a suitable null set of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , because  $X_{ij}(\omega) = X_{ij}(\omega')$  for any i, j implies  $u_{ij}^{(n)}(\omega) = u_{ij}^{(n)}(\omega')$  for any i, j, n, therefore  $f_n(\omega) = f_n(\omega')$  for any n, so that  $\omega = \omega'$ .

Next, we shall discuss the measurability. From P3) of §2, the probability measure  $\mu$  is defined on the smallest  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathscr{E}$  which makes all  $u_{ij}^{(m)}(\omega)$  measurable. This is equivalent to say  $\mathscr{E}$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -ring which makes  $X_{ij}(\omega)$  measurable as seen from the proof of the proposition 1. Therefore the image  $\psi(\mathscr{E})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -ring which makes all projections  $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij}) \in R^{\infty \infty} \to \alpha_{ij} \in R^1$  measurable. In other words,  $\psi(\mathscr{E})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -ring which makes all Borel cylinder sets with the base in  $R_0^{\infty \infty}$  measurable, where  $R_0^{\infty \infty}$  is the space of all double sequences which vanish except for finite number of (i, j).

Finally, we shall show that the measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega$  is mapped to the Gaussian measure g on  $R^{\infty\infty}$ .

For this purpose, we shall prove that

(3.1) 
$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} \exp\left[\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} X_{ij}(\omega)\right] d\mu = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} t_{ij}^2\right],$$

where  $t_{ij}$  are arbitrary real numbers and the summation is carried out for  $i+j \leq k$ .

Since  $\sqrt{n}u_{ij}^{(n)}(\omega)$  tends to  $X_{ij}(\omega)$  in  $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ , the left side of (3.1) is approximated by

(3.2) 
$$\int_{O(n)} \exp\left[\sqrt{-1}\sum_{i,j} t_{ij} \sqrt{n} u_{ij}^{(n)}\right] d\mu_n,$$

the error tending to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The integral (3.2) is equal with

(3.3) 
$$\int_{O(n)} \exp\left[\sqrt{-1}\sum_{i,j} t_{ij} \sqrt{n} u_{n-i,j}^{(n)}\right] d\mu_n,$$

because  $\mu_n$  is the Haar measure on O(n).

Since the convergence  $\sqrt{n} u_{ij}^{(n)} \rightarrow X_{ij}$  is uniform in  $i, \sqrt{n} u_{n-i,j}^{(n)}$  in the integrand of (3.3) can be replaced by  $\sqrt{n-i} u_{n-i,j}^{(n-i)}$  with good approximation, if n is large enough and  $i+j \leq k$  for some fixed k. Namely, with small error the left side of (3.1) is approximated by

$$\int_{O(n)} \exp\left[\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} \sqrt{n-i} u_{n-i,j}^{(n-i)}\right] d\mu_n.$$

Substituting (1.8), this quantity is equal except the normalization constant of  $\mu_n$  with

(3.4) 
$$\int \exp\left[\sqrt{-1}\sum_{i,j} t_{ij}\sqrt{n-i} u_{n-i,j}^{(n-i)}\right] \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left[ \left\{ 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-i} u_{n-i,j}^{(n-i)^{2}} \right\}^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{k-i} du_{n-i,j}^{(n-i)} \right] \\ = \int \exp\left[\sqrt{-1}\sum_{i,j} t_{ij}\lambda_{ij}\right] \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left[ \left\{ 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-i} \frac{\lambda_{ij}^{2}}{n-i} \right\}^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{k-i} \frac{d\lambda_{ij}}{\sqrt{n-i}} \right].$$

However  $\left\{1-\sum_{j=1}^{k-i}\frac{\lambda_{ij}^2}{n-i}\right\}^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}}$  converges to  $\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{k-i}\lambda_{ij}^2\right]$  uniformly in  $\lambda_{ij}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus, the integral (3.4) including the normalization constant converges to  $\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}t_{ij}^2\right]$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Thus, we have proved

**Theorem.** The projective limit space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{R}, \mu)$  is isomorphic with the Gaussian measure g on  $\mathbb{R}^{\infty\infty}$ . Namely, there exists a measurepreserving one-to-one mapping  $\psi$  from a suitable subset  $\tilde{\Omega}$  of  $\Omega$  onto a suitable subset  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty\infty}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{\infty\infty}$  where  $\mu(\tilde{\Omega}) = g(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty\infty}) = 1$ , and  $\psi$  preserves matrix elements in some sense.

# References

- Yamasaki, Y., Invariant measure of the infinite dimensional rotation group, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. 8 (1972/73), 131-140 (this issue).
- [2] Hida, T. and H. Nomoto, Gaussian measure on the projective limit space of spheres, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 40 (1964), 301-304.
- [3] Umemura, Y. and N. Kôno, Infinite dimensional Laplacian and spherical harmonics, *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.* 1 (1966), 163-186.
- [4] Shale, D., Invariant integration over the infinite dimension orthogonal group and related spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1966), 148-157.
- [5] Shimomura, H., Invariant measure on the ∞-dimensional orthogonal group, A report submitted to Kyoto University, 1970 (in Japanese).