L^2 -well-posedness for Hyperbolic Mixed Problems

By

Reiko Sакамото*

Introduction

Strongly hyperbolic differential equations become L^2 -well-posed mixed problems under suitable boundary conditions. A concept of uniform Lopatinski's condition, given by S. Agmon [1], gives a sufficient condition for L^2 -well-posedness. Moreover, it is known that some types of mixed problems become L^2 -well-posed, which do not satisfy uniform Lopatinski's condition (cf. [2], [3], [4]). On the other hand, in the case of constant coefficients and half-space, a necessary and sufficient condition for L^2 -well-posedness is given by R. Agemi & T. Shirota [5] by the words of uniform L^2 -well-posedness for boundary value problems of ordinary differential equations with parameters. But it is not so concrete to clear the role of uniform Lopatinski's condition. This paper is a trial of more concrete characterizations of L^2 -well-posedness for strongly hyperbolic mixed problems.

We consider the problem

(P)
$$\begin{cases} A(D_t, D_y, D_x)u = \sum_{i+|\nu|+k \leq m} a_{i\nu k} D_t^i D_y^\nu D_x^k u = f \\ & \text{in } t > 0, \ y \in R^{u-1}, \ x > 0, \\ B_j(D_t, D_y, D_x)u = \sum_{i+|\nu|+k \leq r_j} b_{ji\nu k} D_t^i D_y^\nu D_x^k u = 0 \\ & \text{on } t > 0, \ y \in R^{n-1}, \ x = 0 \\ & (j=1, 2, \dots, \mu, 0 \leq r_j \leq m-1), \\ D_t^j u = 0 \quad \text{on } t=0, \ y \in R^{n-1}, \ x > 0 \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, m-1). \end{cases}$$

Received May 10, 1972.

Communicated by S. Matsuura.

* Department of Mathematics, Nara Women's University, Nara, Japan.

The problem (P) is said to be L^2 -well-posed if there exists $C_T > 0$ for any T > 0 such that $C_T \rightarrow +0$ as $T \rightarrow +0$ and

$$\sum_{i+|\nu|+k \le m-1} \int_0^T dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |D_i^i D_y^\nu D_x^k u(t, y, x)|^2 dy dx$$
$$\leq C_T \int_0^T dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |f(t, y, x)|^2 dy dx.$$

It is obvious that L^2 -well-posedness is characterized only by the principal parts of $\{A, B_j\}$. Therefore, hereafter, we consider the case when $\{A, B_j\}$ are homogeneous. Assumptions are as follows:

- i) A is strongly hyperbolic with respect to t-axis,
- ii) x=0 is non-characteristic of A,
- iii) $\{A, B_j\}$ satisfy Lopatinski's condition, that is,

$$R(\tau, \eta) = \det\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{B_j(\tau, \eta; \xi)\xi^{k-1}}{A_+(\tau, \eta; \xi)} d\xi\right)_{\substack{j=1,\dots,\mu\\k=1,\dots,\mu}} = 0$$

for Im $\tau < 0, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$,

where

$$\begin{split} A(\tau, \, \eta; \, \xi) &= c \prod_{j=1}^{\mu} \left(\xi - \xi_j^+(\tau, \, \eta) \right) \prod_{j=\mu+1}^{m} \left(\xi - \xi_j^-(\tau, \, \eta) \right) \\ & (\operatorname{Im} \, \xi_j^\pm(\tau, \, \eta) \gtrless 0 \quad \text{for Im} \, \tau < 0, \quad \eta \in R^{n-1}), \\ A_+(\tau, \, \eta; \, \xi) &= \prod_{j=1}^{\mu} \left(\xi - \xi_j^+(\tau, \, \eta) \right). \end{split}$$

Here we remark that iii) is a necessary condition for L^2 -well-posedness for (P) under the assumptions i) and ii).

By Laplace-Fourier transform with respect to (t, y), the problem (P) becomes to

$$(\hat{P}) \begin{cases} A(\tau, \eta; D_x)\hat{u}(x) = \hat{f}(x) & \text{for } x > 0, \\ B_j(\tau, \eta; D_x)\hat{u}(x)|_{x=0} = 0 & (j=1, 2, ..., \mu). \end{cases}$$

Let $G(\tau, \eta; x, y)$ be Green's function of (P), that is, the solution $\hat{u} \in L^2$

of (P) for $f \in L^2$ is represented by

$$\hat{u}(x) = \int_0^\infty G(\tau, \eta; x, y) \hat{f}(y) dy.$$

Then we have from the results of R. Agemi and T. Shirota.

Lemma. In order that (P) is L^2 -well-posed, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^k G(\tau, \eta; x, y) \right\|_{\mathscr{L}(L^2, L^2)} \leq \frac{C}{|\operatorname{Im} \tau|}$$

for $\tau \in C^1$, Im $\tau < 0$, $\eta \in R^{n-1}$, $|\tau|^2 + |\eta|^2 = 1$, where C is independent of (τ, η) .

Remark. Let

$$G_{0}(\tau, \eta; x-y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i(x-y)\xi}}{A(\tau, \eta; \xi)} d\xi,$$

$$G(\tau, \eta; x, y) = G_{0}(\tau, \eta; x-y) - G_{c}(\tau, \eta; x, y),$$

then G in Lemma may be replaced by G_c .

§1. Necessary Conditions

1.1. Preliminary. Let (σ_0, η_0) be a real fixed point and let $\{\xi_i = \xi_i(\sigma_0, \eta_0)\}_{i=1,\dots,N}(N=N(\sigma_0, \eta_0))$ be real distinct roots of $A(\sigma_0, \eta_0, \xi)=0$ with multiplicities $\{m_i=m_i(\sigma_0, \eta_0)\}_{i=1,\dots,N}$. Then there exists a complex neighbourhood U of (σ_0, η_0) such that

$$\begin{split} A(\tau, \eta; \,\xi) &= \prod_{i=1}^{N} H_i(\tau, \eta; \,\xi) E(\tau, \,\eta; \,\xi) = H(\tau, \,\eta; \,\xi) E(\tau, \,\eta; \,\xi), \\ H_i(\tau, \,\eta; \,\xi) &= (\xi - \xi_i)^{m_i} + a_{i1}(\tau, \,\eta) (\xi - \xi_i)^{m_i - 1} + \dots + a_{im_i}(\tau, \,\eta) \end{split}$$

in U, where $a_{ij}(\sigma_0, \eta_0) = 0$ and $a_{ij}(\tau, \eta)$ are holomorphic. Moreover from the assumption i), $a_{ij}(\sigma, \eta)((\sigma, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^n \cap U)$ are real valued and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} a_{im_i}(\tau, \eta) \neq 0$. Now we denote

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\partial a_{im_i}}{\partial \tau}(\sigma_0, \eta_0), \qquad \beta_i = \frac{\partial a_{im_i}}{\partial \eta}(\sigma_0, \eta_0),$$

and we denote

$$\begin{cases}
\mathcal{A}_{i\delta} = \{(\tau, \eta) \in V_{\delta}; |\alpha_i(\tau - \sigma_0) + \beta_i \cdot (\eta - \eta_0)| \\
\geq \cos \theta_0 (|\alpha_i|^2 + |\beta_i|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(\tau, \eta) & \text{if } m_i \geq 2, \\
\mathcal{A}_{i\delta} = V_{\delta} & \text{if } m_i = 1,
\end{cases}$$

and

$$\varDelta_{\delta} = \bigcap_{i} \varDelta_{i\delta},$$

where

$$d = d(\tau, \eta) = \text{dis} \{(\tau, \eta), (\sigma_0, \eta_0)\},\$$
$$V_{\delta} = \{\tau, \eta\}; \text{ Im } \tau < 0, \eta \in R^{n-1}, d < \delta\},\$$

and $\theta_0(0 \leq \theta_0 < \pi)$ is an arbitrarily fixed number. Let $\{\xi_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta)\}_{j=1,\dots,m_{\iota}^{\pm}}$ (Im $\xi_{ij}^{\pm} \geq 0$) be roots of $H_i(\tau, \eta; \xi) = 0$, and $\{\xi_{ij}^{\pm 0}(\tau, \eta)\}_{j=1,\dots,m_{\iota}^{\pm}}$ (Im $\xi_{ij}^{\pm 0} \geq 0$) be roots of

$$(\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_i)^{m_i} + \alpha_i(\tau - \sigma_0) + \beta_i(\eta - \eta_0) = 0.$$

Then we have

Lemma 1.1. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{split} &\xi_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta) = \xi_{ij}^{\pm0}(\tau,\,\eta) + 0(d^{\frac{2}{m_i}}) \quad in \ \Delta_{i\delta}, \\ &\frac{\partial \xi_{ij}^{\pm}}{\partial \tau}(\tau,\,\eta) = \frac{\partial \xi_{ij}^{\pm0}}{\sigma \tau}(\tau,\,\eta) + 0(d^{-1+\frac{2}{m_i}}) \quad in \ \Delta_{i\delta}. \end{split}$$

Corollary 1.

$$c_1 d^{\frac{1}{m_i}} \leq |\xi_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta) - \xi_i| \leq c_2 d^{\frac{1}{m_i}} \quad in \ \Delta_{i\delta},$$

$$c_1 d^{\frac{1}{m_i}} \leq |\xi_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta) - \xi_{ik}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta)| \leq c_2 d^{\frac{1}{m_i}} (j \neq k) \quad in \ \Delta_{i\delta}.$$

Next we consider of $\{\operatorname{Im} \xi_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta)\}$. Let us denote $i \in I$ if m_i is even, $i \in J$ if m_i is odd, and moreover $i \in J_{\pm}$ if $i \in J$ and $\alpha_i \geq 0$. Let

$$\Delta_{i\delta}^{\pm} = \Delta_{i\delta} \cap \{ \alpha_i (\operatorname{Re} \tau - \sigma_0) + \beta_i \cdot (\eta - \eta_0) \leq 0 \},$$

and let

$$*=(*_{1},*_{2},...,*_{N})$$
 $(*_{i}=\pm), \qquad \varDelta_{\delta}^{*}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N}\varDelta_{i\delta}^{*_{i}},$

then

$$\varDelta_{\delta} = \bigvee_{*} \varDelta_{\delta}^{*}.$$

Let $(\tau, \eta) \in \mathcal{A}^*_{\delta}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \tau \to 0$, we have the followings:

- i) if $i \in I$, $*_i = +$, then none of $\{\xi_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta)\}$ has a real limit,
- ii) if $i \in I$, $*_i = -$, then only one of $\{\xi_{ij}^+(\tau, \eta)\}$ and only one of $\{\xi_{ij}^-(\tau, \eta)\}$ have real limits, which we denote especially by $\xi_{i*}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta)$,
- iii) if $i \in J_+$, then only one of $\{\xi_{ij}^+(\tau, \eta)\}$ has a real limit, which we denote by $\xi_{i*}^+(\tau, \eta)$,
- iv) if $i \in J_{-}$, then only one of $\{\xi_{ij}^{-}(\tau, \eta)\}$ has a real limit, which we denote by $\xi_{i*}^{-}(\tau, \eta)$.

Here we denote

$$\delta_{ij\pm}^{*}(\tau, \eta) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\gamma}{d}\right)^{m_{i}} d & \text{if } \xi_{ij}^{\pm} = \xi_{i*}^{\pm}, \\ d & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $i\!=\!1,\,\cdots,\,N,\,j\!=\!1,\,\cdots,\,m_i^{\pm},$ where $\gamma\!=\!-\operatorname{Im} \tau,$ then we have

Corollary 2.

$$c_1(\delta_{ij\pm}^*)^{\frac{1}{m_i}} \leq |\operatorname{Im} \xi_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau,\eta)| \leq c_2(\delta_{ij\pm}^*)^{\frac{1}{m_i}} \quad in \ \Delta_{\delta}^*.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \hat{\varsigma}_{i*}^{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta) &= \hat{\varsigma}_{i*}^{\pm}(\sigma,\,\eta) - i\gamma \, \frac{\partial \hat{\varsigma}_{i*}^{\pm}}{\partial \tau}(\sigma - i\theta\gamma,\,\eta) \qquad (0 < \theta < 1) \\ &= \hat{\varsigma}_{i*}^{\pm}(\sigma,\,\eta) - i\gamma \Big\{ \frac{\partial \hat{\varsigma}_{i*}^{\pm 0}}{\partial \tau}(\sigma - i\theta\gamma,\,\eta) + 0 \, (d^{-1 + \frac{2}{m_i}}) \Big\}, \end{split}$$

Reiko Sakamoto

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \xi_{i*}^{\pm 0}}{\partial \tau}(\sigma - i\theta\gamma, \eta) &= -\frac{\alpha_i}{m_i(\xi_{i*}^{\pm 0}(\sigma - i\theta\gamma, \eta) - \xi_i)^{m_i - 1}} \\ &= -\frac{\alpha_i}{m_i(\xi_{i*}^{\pm 0}(\sigma, \eta) - \xi_i + 0(\gamma^{\frac{1}{m_i}}))^{m_i - 1}} \\ &= -\frac{\alpha_i}{m_i(\xi_{i*}^{\pm 0}(\sigma, \eta) - \xi_i)^{m_i - 1}} \cdot \left\{ 1 + 0\left(\left(\frac{\gamma}{d}\right)^{\frac{1}{m_i}}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$c_1 rac{\gamma}{d^{1-rac{1}{m_i}}} \leq |\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i1}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta)| \leq c_2 rac{\gamma}{d^{1-rac{1}{m_i}}} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{A}_{\delta}^*$$

for $\gamma \ll d.$ In other cases, the required results follow from

$$\xi_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta) = \xi_{ij}^{\pm 0}(\tau, \eta) + 0(d^{\frac{2}{m_i}}). \qquad Q.E.D.$$

Let

$$E(\tau, \eta; \xi) = E_+(\tau, \eta; \xi)E_-(\tau, \eta; \xi),$$

where roots of $E_{\pm}(\tau, \eta; \hat{\varsigma}) = 0$ are on the upper (resp. lower) half plane and M is the degree of E_{\pm} .

Corollary 3.

$$k \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \vdots\\ 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ \vdots\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}^{\pm}} C_{ij\pm}^{h}(\tau,\eta) d^{-1+\frac{1}{m_{i}}} \begin{pmatrix} B_{1}(\tau,\eta;\xi^{\pm}_{ij}(\tau,\eta))\\ \vdots\\ B_{\mu}(\tau,\eta;\xi^{\pm}_{ij}(\tau,\eta)) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{M} C_{0j\pm}^{h}(\tau,\eta) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{B_{1}(\tau,\eta;\xi)\xi^{j-1}}{E_{\pm}(\tau,\eta;\xi)} d\xi\\ \vdots\\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{B_{\mu}(\tau,\eta;\xi)\xi^{j-1}}{E_{\pm}(\tau,\eta;\xi)} d\xi \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\{C_{ij\pm}^k(\tau, \eta), C_{0j\pm}^k(\tau, \eta)\}$ are bounded in Δ_{δ} .

Proof. Let us denote

$$f_{(0)}(x) = f(x),$$

$$f_{(k)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}) = \frac{f_{(k-1)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) - f_{(k-1)}(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1})}{x_1 - x_{k+1}}$$

$$(k=1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Then

Since

Reiko Sakamoto

$$\operatorname{rank} \begin{pmatrix} B_{1}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \xi_{1}) B_{1\xi}'(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \xi_{1}) \cdots (m_{1} - 1)! B_{0\xi}^{(m_{1} - 1)}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{1}; \xi_{1}) \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{\mu}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \xi_{1}) B_{\mu\xi}'(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \xi_{1}) \cdots (m_{1} - 1)! B_{\mu\xi}^{(m_{1} - 1)}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \xi_{1}) \cdots \end{pmatrix} = \mu,$$

we have

$$k \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \vdots\\ 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ \vdots\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{1(0)}(\tau, \eta; \xi_{11}^{+}(\tau, \eta)) \cdots B_{1(m_{1}-1)}(\tau, \eta; \xi_{11}^{+}(\tau, \eta), \cdots, \xi_{1m_{1}}^{-}(\tau, \eta)) \cdots \\ \vdots\\ \vdots\\ B_{\mu(0)}(\tau, \eta; \xi_{11}^{+}(\tau, \eta)) \cdots B_{\mu(m_{1}-1)}(\tau, \eta; \xi_{11}^{+}(\tau, \eta), \cdots, \xi_{1m_{1}}^{-}(\tau, \eta)) \cdots \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11}^{k}(\tau, \eta)\\ \vdots\\ \alpha_{1m_{1}-1}^{k}(\tau, \eta)\\ \vdots \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\{\alpha_{ij}^k(\tau, \eta)\}$ are bounded.

Q.E.D.

1.2. Representation of Green's function in Δ_{δ} . Let us denote

$$\begin{split} \tilde{E}_{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta;\,\hat{\varsigma}) &= \overset{i}{\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\varsigma} - \hat{\varsigma}_{11}^{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta)},\,\cdots,\,\frac{1}{\hat{\varsigma} - \hat{\varsigma}_{1m_{1}^{\pm}}^{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta)},\,\cdots,\,\frac{1}{\hat{\varsigma} - \hat{\varsigma}_{1m_{1}^{\pm}}^{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta)},\,\cdots,\,\frac{1}{E_{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta;\,\hat{\varsigma})}\right),\\ &\frac{1}{E_{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta;\,\hat{\varsigma})},\,\cdots,\,\frac{\hat{\varsigma}^{M-1}}{E_{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta;\,\hat{\varsigma})}\right),\\ E_{+}(\tau,\,\eta;\,x) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} \tilde{E}_{+}(\tau,\,\eta;\,\hat{\varsigma}) d\hat{\varsigma},\\ E_{-}(\tau,\,\eta;\,x) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ix\xi} \tilde{E}_{-}(\tau,\,\eta;\,\hat{\varsigma}) d\hat{\varsigma},\\ B_{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{-\infty} \left(\begin{array}{c} B_{1}(\tau,\,\eta;\,\hat{\varsigma})\\ \vdots\\ B_{\mu}(\tau,\,\eta;\,\hat{\varsigma}) \end{array} \right)^{i} \tilde{E}_{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta;\,\hat{\varsigma}) d\hat{\varsigma} \end{split}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} B_{1}(\tau,\eta;\,\xi_{11}^{\pm}(\tau,\eta))\cdots B_{1}(\tau,\eta;\,\xi_{1m_{1}^{\pm}}^{\pm}(\tau,\eta))\cdots\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint \frac{B_{1}(\tau,\eta;\,\xi)}{E_{\pm}(\tau,\eta;\,\xi)}\,d\xi\cdots\\ \vdots & \vdots\\ B_{\mu}(\tau,\eta;\,\xi_{11}^{\pm}(\tau,\eta))\cdots B_{\mu}(\tau,\eta;\,\xi_{1m_{1}^{\pm}}^{\pm}(\tau,\eta))\cdots\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint \frac{B_{\mu}(\tau,\eta;\,\xi)}{E_{\pm}(\tau,\eta;\,\xi)}\,d\xi\cdots\\ & \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint \frac{B_{1}(\tau,\eta;\,\xi)\xi^{M-1}}{E_{\pm}(\tau,\eta;\,\xi)}\,d\xi\\ & \vdots\\ \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint \frac{B_{\mu}(\tau,\eta;\,\xi)\xi^{M-1}}{E_{\pm}(\tau,\eta;\,\xi)}\,d\xi \end{vmatrix} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Let Poisson's kernels $\{P_k(\tau,\,\eta\,;\,x)\}_{k=1,\ldots,\mu}$ be the L^2 -solutions of

$$\begin{cases} A(\tau, \eta; D_x) P_k(\tau, \eta; x) = 0 & \text{for } x > 0, \\ B_j(\tau, \eta; D_x) P_k(\tau, \eta; x)|_{x=0} = \delta_{jk} & (j=1, ..., \mu), \end{cases}$$

that is,

$$(P_1(\tau, \eta; x), ..., P_{\mu}(\tau, \eta; x)) = {}^t E_+(\tau, \eta; x) \{B_+(\tau, \eta)\}^{-1}.$$

Then we have

$$G_{c}(\tau, \eta; x, y) = (P_{1}(\tau, \eta; x), \dots, P_{\mu}(\tau, \eta; x)) \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B_{1}(\tau, \eta; D_{x}) \\ \vdots \\ B_{\mu}(\tau, \eta; D_{x}) \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{x=0}^{c},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1(\tau, \eta; D_x) \\ \vdots \\ B_{\mu}(\tau, \eta; D_x) \end{pmatrix}^{G_0(\tau, \eta; x-y)} \Big|_{x=0} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} B_1(\tau, \eta; \hat{\varsigma}) \\ \vdots \\ B_{\mu}(\tau, \eta; \hat{\varsigma}) \end{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-iy\hat{\varsigma}}}{A(\tau, \eta; \hat{\varsigma})} d\hat{\varsigma}.$$

By the way, we have

$$\frac{1}{iA(\tau,\,\eta\,;\,\hat{\varsigma})} \begin{pmatrix} B_1(\tau,\,\eta\,;\,\hat{\varsigma}) \\ B_\mu(\tau,\,\eta\,;\,\hat{\varsigma}) \end{pmatrix} = P_+(\tau,\,\eta)\tilde{E}_+(\tau,\,\eta\,;\,\hat{\varsigma}) + P_-(\tau,\,\eta)\tilde{E}_-(\tau,\,\eta\,;\,\hat{\varsigma}),$$

where

Reiko Sakamoto

$$\begin{split} B_{\pm}(\tau, \eta) &= P_{\pm}(\tau, \eta) \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \tilde{E}_{\pm}(\tau, \eta; \xi)^{t} \tilde{E}(\tau, \eta; \xi) A(\tau, \eta; \xi) d\xi \\ &= P_{\pm}(\tau, \eta) \cdot Q_{\pm}(\tau, \eta), \end{split}$$

therefore we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{1}(\tau, \eta; D_{x}) \\ \vdots \\ B_{\mu}(\tau, \eta; D_{x}) \end{pmatrix}^{G_{0}(\tau, \eta; x-y)|_{x=0} = P_{-}(\tau, \eta)E_{-}(\tau, \eta; y) }$$

 $=B_{-}(\tau, \eta)Q_{-}(\tau, \eta)\boldsymbol{E}_{-}(\tau, \eta; \boldsymbol{\gamma}).$

Here we remark

$$Q_{-}(\tau, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{iA'_{\xi}(\tau, \eta; \xi_{11}^{-1}(\tau, \eta))} \\ \frac{1}{iA'_{\xi}(\tau, \eta; \xi_{12}^{-1}(\tau, \eta))} \\ \ddots \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{E_{+}(\tau, \eta; \xi)H(\tau, \eta; \xi)}{E_{-}(\tau, \eta; \xi)} d\xi \cdots \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{E_{+}(\tau, \eta; \xi)H(\tau, \eta; \xi)\xi^{M-1}}{E_{-}(\tau, \eta; \xi)} d\xi \cdots \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{E_{+}(\tau, \eta; \xi)H(\tau, \eta; \xi)\xi^{M-1}}{E_{-}(\tau, \eta; \xi)} d\xi \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{E_{+}(\tau, \eta; \xi)H(\tau, \eta; \xi)\xi^{2M-2}}{E_{-}(\tau, \eta; \xi)} d\xi \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

Let us denote

$$B(\tau, \eta) = (B_+(\tau, \eta))^{-1} B_-(\tau, \eta) Q_-(\tau, \eta) = \mathscr{B}(\tau, \eta) Q_-(\tau, \eta),$$

then we have

Lemma 1.2.

$$G_c(\tau, \eta; x, y) = {}^t \boldsymbol{E}_+(\tau, \eta; x) B(\tau, \eta) \boldsymbol{E}_-(\tau, \eta; y)$$

$$={}^{t}\boldsymbol{E}_{+}(\tau, \eta; x)\mathscr{B}(\tau, \eta)Q_{-}(\tau, \eta)\boldsymbol{E}_{-}(\tau, \eta; y) \quad in \ \Delta_{\delta}.$$

1.3. Estimates of Green's function in \mathcal{A}^*_{δ} . It is obvious that it holds

$$||G_c||_{\mathscr{L}(L^2 \times L^2, C^1)} \leq ||G_c||_{\mathscr{L}(L^2, L^2)} \leq ||G_c||_{L^2 \times L^2}$$

in general. On the other hand, we show that it holds

$$||G_{c}||_{\mathscr{L}(L^{2}\times L^{2},C^{1})}\geq c_{1}||G_{c}||_{\mathscr{L}(L^{2},L^{2})}\geq c_{2}||G_{c}||_{L^{2}\times L^{2}}$$

in Δ_{δ} , where c_1 , c_2 are positive constants independent of (τ, η) . Now let

$$N_{\pm}(\tau, \eta) = \begin{vmatrix} |\operatorname{Im} \xi_{11}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & |\operatorname{Im} \xi_{1m_{1}}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{\pm}(\tau, \eta; x) = N_{\pm}(\tau, \eta)^{-1} \boldsymbol{E}_{\pm}(\tau, \eta; x),$$

then L^2 -norms of $F_{\pm}(\tau, \eta; x)$ are bounded in \varDelta_{δ} , therefore we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \int \overline{F}_{+}(\tau, \eta; x) G_{c}(\tau, \eta; x, y)^{t} \overline{F}_{-}(\tau, \eta; y) dx dy \right| \\ &\leq C ||G_{c}||_{\mathscr{L}(L^{2} \times L^{2}, C^{1})} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{A}_{\delta}, \end{split}$$

where C is independent of (τ, η) . Let

$$\begin{split} S_{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta) &= \int_0^\infty \boldsymbol{F}_{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta\,;\,x)^t \boldsymbol{\bar{F}}_{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta\,;\,x) dx \\ &= {}^t \Bigl(\int_0^\infty \boldsymbol{\bar{F}}_{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta\,;\,x)^t \boldsymbol{F}_{\pm}(\tau,\,\eta\,;\,x) dx \Bigr), \end{split}$$

then we have

Reiko Sakamoto

$$\int \overline{F}_{\pm}(\tau, \eta; x) G_c(\tau, \eta; x, y)^t \overline{F}_{-}(\tau, \eta; y) dx dy$$
$$= {}^t S_{+}(\tau, \eta) N_{+}(\tau, \eta) B_{+}(\tau, \eta) N_{-}(\tau, \eta) S_{-}(\tau, \eta).$$

Lemma 1.3. $S_{\pm}(\tau, \eta)$ are positive hermitian matrices in Δ_{δ} and

$$c_1 I < S_{\pm}(\tau, \eta) < c_2 I$$
 (I: identity matrix),

where c_1, c_2 are positive constants independent of (τ, η) .

Proof. Let

$$S_{0\pm}(\tau, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|E_{\pm}(\tau, \eta; \hat{\varsigma})|^{2}} d\hat{\varsigma} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\varsigma}}{|E_{\pm}(\tau, \eta; \hat{\varsigma})|^{2}} d\hat{\varsigma} & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\varsigma}^{M-1}}{|E_{\pm}(\tau, \eta; \hat{\varsigma})|^{2}} d\hat{\varsigma} & \vdots \\ & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\varsigma}^{M-1}}{|E_{\pm}(\tau, \eta; \hat{\varsigma})|^{2}} d\hat{\varsigma} & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ \end{pmatrix},$$

then we have

det
$$S_{\pm}(\tau, \eta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \det S_{i\pm}(\tau, \eta) \cdot \det S_{0\pm}(\tau, \eta) + 0(1)$$

as $d \rightarrow 0$. From Lemma 1.1, we have

$$|\det S_{i\pm}(\tau,\eta)| = \frac{1}{2^{m_i^\pm}} \left| \prod_{j < k} \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^\pm(\tau,\eta) - \hat{\varepsilon}_{ik}^\pm(\tau,\eta)}{\hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^\pm(\tau,\eta) - \bar{\varepsilon}_{ik}^\pm(\tau,\eta)} \right| > c > 0$$

in \mathcal{A}_{δ} , where c is independent of (τ, η) . Obviously since

$$|\det S_{0\pm}(\tau, \eta)| > c > 0,$$

we have

$$|\det S_{\pm}(\tau, \eta)| > c > 0$$
 in \mathcal{A}_{δ} .

On the other hand, we have easily that $S_{\pm}(\tau, \eta)$ are positive, hermitian and bounded in \varDelta_{δ} . Therefore we have $S_{\pm}(\tau, \eta) > c \cdot I$. Q.E.D.

It follows from Lemma 1.3

$$\begin{split} c_1 |N_+(\tau, \eta) B(\tau, \eta) N_-(\tau, \eta)| \\ & \leq \left| \int \overline{F}_+(\tau, \eta; x) G_c(\tau, \eta; x, y)^t \overline{F}_-(\tau, \eta; y) dx dy \right| \\ & \leq c_2 |N_+(\tau, \eta) B(\tau, \eta) N_-(\tau, \eta)| \quad \text{in } \mathcal{A}_{\delta}. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, we have

Reiko Sakamoto

$$||G_{c}||_{L^{2}\times L^{2}} = ||^{t} \boldsymbol{E}_{+}(\tau, \eta; x) B(\tau, \eta) \boldsymbol{E}_{-}(\tau, \eta; y)||_{L^{2}\times L^{2}}$$
$$\leq C|N_{+}(\tau, \eta) B(\tau, \eta) N_{-}(\tau, \eta)|$$

in \varDelta_{δ} , and moreover

$$\left\|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^k G_c\right\|_{L^2 \times L^2} \leq C_k |N_+(\tau, \eta) B(\tau, \eta) N_-(\tau, \eta)|$$

in Δ_{δ} . Hence we have

Lemma 1.4.

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^k G_c \right\|_{L^2 \times L^2} \leq C |N_+(\tau, \eta) B(\tau, \eta) N_-(\tau, \eta)| \\ &\leq C' \|G_c\|_{\mathscr{L}(L^2 \times L^2, C^1)} \quad in \ \mathcal{A}_{\delta}. \end{split}$$

Let us denote

$$D_{\pm}^{*}(\tau, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \{\delta_{11\pm}^{*}(\tau, \eta)\}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{1}}} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \{\delta_{1m_{1}\pm}^{*}(\tau, \eta)\}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{1}}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in \varDelta_{δ}^{*} , then we have

Proposition 1.

$$c_1 |D^*_+(\tau, \eta)B(\tau, \eta)D^*_-(\tau, \eta)| \leq ||G_c||_{\mathscr{L}(L^2, H^{m-1})}$$
$$\leq c_2 |D^*_+(\tau, \eta)B(\tau, \eta)D^*_-(\tau, \eta)| \quad in \ \mathcal{A}^*_{\delta}.$$

Let us denote

$$\mathcal{D}_{-}(\tau, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \overbrace{\{d(\tau, \eta)\}}^{m_{1}^{-1}} \\ \overbrace{\{d(\tau, \eta)\}}^{-1+\frac{1}{m_{1}}} \\ \vdots \\ \{d(\tau, \eta)\}^{-1+\frac{1}{m_{1}}} \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then we have

Proposition 1'.

$$c_{1}|D_{+}^{*}(\tau,\eta)\mathscr{B}(\tau,\eta)\mathscr{D}_{-}(\tau,\eta)D_{-}^{*}(\tau,\eta)| \leq ||G_{c}||_{\mathscr{L}(L^{2},H^{m-1})}$$
$$\leq c_{2}|D_{+}^{*}(\tau,\eta)\mathscr{B}(\tau,\eta)\mathscr{D}_{-}(\tau,\eta)D_{-}^{*}(\tau,\eta)| \quad in \ \Delta_{\delta}^{*}.$$

Here we have

Theorem I. Let (P) be L^2 -well-posed. Then, at any real point (σ_0, η_0) , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|D_{+}^{*}(\tau, \eta)\mathscr{B}(\tau, \eta)\mathscr{D}_{-}(\tau, \eta)D_{-}^{*}(\tau, \eta)| < \frac{C}{\gamma}$$

in Δ_{δ}^* , where C is a positive constant independent of (τ, η) .

Let us denote

$$\mathscr{B}(\tau,\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{11,11}(\tau,\eta) & \cdots & \beta_{11,1m_1}(\tau,\eta) & & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \cdots & \\ \beta_{1m_1^+,11}(\tau,\eta) & \cdots & \beta_{1m_1^+,1m_1^-}(\tau,\eta) & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \beta_{01,01}(\tau,\eta) & \cdots & \beta_{01,0M}(\tau,\eta) \\ & & & & & \vdots \\ \beta_{0M,01}(\tau,\eta) & \cdots & \beta_{0M,0M}(\tau,\eta) \end{pmatrix},$$

then Theorem I says that a necessary condition for L^2 -well-posedness is

$$\begin{cases} |\beta_{ij,lh}(\tau,\eta)| \delta_{ij+}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{i}}} d^{-1+\frac{1}{m_{l}}} \delta_{lh-}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{l}}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} i=1, \dots, N, & l=1, \dots, N \\ j=1, \dots, m_{i}^{+}, & h=1, \dots, m_{l}^{-} \end{pmatrix}, \\ |\beta_{0j,lh}(\tau,\eta)| d^{-1+\frac{1}{m_{l}}} \delta_{ln-}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{l}}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} j=1, \dots, M, \\ l=1, \dots, N \\ h=1, \dots, m_{l}^{-} \end{pmatrix}, \\ |\beta_{ij,0h}(\tau,\eta)| \delta_{ij+}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{i}}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} i=1, \dots, N, \\ j=1, \dots, m_{i}^{+}, \end{pmatrix}, \\ |\beta_{0j,0h}(\tau,\eta)| < \frac{C}{\gamma} \quad (j=1, \dots, M, h=1, \dots, M) \\ \text{in } \mathcal{A}_{\delta}^{*}. \end{cases}$$

Since $\delta_{ij\pm}^* \leq d$, we have

Corollary 1. If (P) is L^2 -well-posed, then there exists $\delta > 0$ at every real point (σ_0, η_0) such that

$$\begin{cases} |\beta_{ij,lh}(\tau, \eta)| d^{-\frac{1}{2m_i} - (1 - \frac{1}{2m_l})} < \frac{C}{\gamma} & (i \neq 0, \ l \neq 0), \\ |\beta_{0j,lh}(\tau, \eta)| d^{-(1 - \frac{1}{2m_l})} < \frac{C}{\gamma} & (l \neq 0), \\ |\beta_{ij,0h}(\tau, \eta)| d^{-\frac{1}{2m_i}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} & (i \neq 0), \\ |\beta_{0j,0h}(\tau, \eta)| < \frac{C}{\gamma} \end{cases}$$

in Δ_{δ} .

Let us denote

$$\{B_+(\tau,\eta)\}^{-1} = egin{pmatrix} r_{11,1}(\tau,\eta) & \cdots r_{11,\mu}(\tau,\eta) \ dots & dots \ r_{1m_1^+,1}(\tau,\eta) \cdots r_{1m_1^+,\mu}(\tau,\eta) \ dots & dots \ r_{1m_1^+,1}(\tau,\eta) & \cdots \ dots \ r_{01,1}(\tau,\eta) & \cdots \ dots \ r_{0M,1}(\tau,\eta) & \cdots \end{pmatrix},$$

then we have from the Corollary 3 of Lemma 1.1 and the Corollary 1 of Theorem \ensuremath{I}

Corollary 2. A necessary condition for L^2 -well-posedness is

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} |r_{ij,k}(\tau,\eta)| d^{-\frac{1}{2m_i}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} \qquad (i \neq 0), \\ |r_{0j,k}(\tau,\eta)| < \frac{C}{\gamma} \end{array} \right|$$

in Δ_{δ} .

Corollary 3. Let (P) be L^2 -well-posed, and let $A(\sigma_0, \eta_0; \xi)$ have not real multiple roots. Let us assume that $m_i^+ = 1(i=1, ..., N_0), m_i^- = 1(i=1, ..., N_0)$

 $\begin{array}{c} N_{0}+1, \ \cdots, \ N), \ and \\ \\ \operatorname{rank} \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{B_{1}(\sigma_{0}, \ \eta_{0}; \ \hat{\varsigma})}{E_{+}(\sigma_{0}, \ \eta_{0}; \ \hat{\varsigma})} d\hat{\varsigma} \cdots \ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{B_{1}(\sigma_{0}, \ \eta_{0}; \ \hat{\varsigma}) \hat{\varsigma}^{M-1}}{E_{+}(\sigma_{0}, \ \eta_{0}; \ \hat{\varsigma})} d\hat{\varsigma} \\ \\ \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{B_{\mu}(\sigma_{0}, \ \eta_{0}; \ \hat{\varsigma})}{E_{+}(\sigma_{0}, \ \eta_{0}; \ \hat{\varsigma})} d\hat{\varsigma} \cdots \ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{B_{\mu}(\sigma_{0}, \ \eta_{0}; \ \hat{\varsigma}) \hat{\varsigma}^{M-1}}{E_{+}(\sigma_{0}, \ \eta_{0}; \ \hat{\varsigma})} d\hat{\varsigma} \end{array} \right) = M_{0},$

then we have

i)
$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B_1(\sigma_0, \eta_0; \xi_j) \\ \vdots \\ B_{\mu}(\sigma_0, \eta_0; \xi_j) \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1,\dots,N_0}$$

are linearly independent modulo the space spanned by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{B_{1}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \hat{\varsigma}) \hat{\varsigma}^{j-1}}{E_{+}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \hat{\varsigma})} d\hat{\varsigma} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{B_{\mu}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \hat{\varsigma}) \hat{\varsigma}^{j-1}}{E_{+}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \hat{\varsigma})} d\hat{\varsigma} \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1,...,N}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B_{1}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \hat{\varsigma}_{j}) \\ \vdots \\ B_{\mu}(\rho_{0}, \eta_{0}; \hat{\varsigma}_{j}) \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=N_{0}+1,...,N}$$

belong the space spanned by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B_{1}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \hat{\varsigma}_{j}) \\ \vdots \\ B_{\mu}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \hat{\varsigma}_{j}) \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1,...,N_{0}} \quad and \quad \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{B_{1}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \hat{\varsigma}) \hat{\varsigma}^{j-1}}{E_{+}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \hat{\varsigma})} d\hat{\varsigma} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{B_{\mu}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \hat{\varsigma}) \hat{\varsigma}^{j-1}}{B_{\mu}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0}; \hat{\varsigma})} d\hat{\varsigma} \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1,...,M_{0}}$$

iii) $R(\sigma_0, \eta_0) = R'_{\tau}(\sigma_0, \eta_0) = \cdots = R^{(M_1-1)}_{\tau}(\sigma_0, \eta_0) = 0, \quad R^{(M_1)}_{\tau}(\sigma_0, \eta_0) \neq 0,$

where $M_1 = M - M_0$.

§2. Sufficient Conditions

2.1. Preliminary. Let us say that a real point (σ_0, η_0) is a regular point, when m_i -ple real root $\xi = \xi_i$ of $A(\sigma_0, \eta_0; \xi) = 0$ may be m_i -ple or simple in a neighbourhood of (σ_0, η_0) . Let (σ_0, η_0) be a regular point, then $m_i (\geq 2)$ -ple real roots are just all over a real analytic surface $S_i: \sigma = \varphi_i(\eta)$.

Now let (σ_0, η_0) be a regular point. Already we have had a decomposition of A in U with center (σ_0, η_0) :

$$A(\tau, \eta; \xi) = \prod_{i=1} H_i(\tau, \eta; \xi) E(\tau, \eta; \xi),$$

where

$$H_i(\tau, \eta; \xi) = (\xi - \xi_i)^{m_i} + a_{i1}(\tau, \eta)(\xi - \xi_i)^{m_i - 1} + \dots + a_{im_i}(\tau, \eta),$$
$$a_{ij}(\sigma_0, \eta_0) = 0.$$

Let $(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0) \in S_i \cap U$ and let $\tilde{\xi}_i$ be the m_i -ple root of $H_i(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0; \xi) = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{split} H_i(\tau, \eta; \, \hat{\varsigma}) &= (\hat{\varsigma} - \tilde{\xi}_i)^{m_i} + \tilde{a}_{i1}(\tau, \eta) (\hat{\varsigma} - \tilde{\xi}_i)^{m_i - 1} + \dots + \tilde{a}_{im_i}(\tau, \eta), \\ \tilde{a}_{ij}(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \, \tilde{\eta}_0) &= 0. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\tilde{a}_{im_i-k}(au,\eta) = rac{1}{k!} rac{\partial^k H_i}{\partial \hat{\xi}^k}(au,\eta;\tilde{\xi}_i),$$

and $\tilde{\xi}_i$ is a continuous function of $(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0)$, we have a neighbourhood $U' \subset U$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left. \tilde{a}_{im_{i}-k}(\tau, \eta) \right|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(U')} < C, \\ & \left| \left. \frac{\partial \tilde{a}_{im_{i}}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, \eta) \right|_{\mathscr{L}^{0}(U')} > c > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where C and c are independent of $(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0)$.

Let us denote

Hyperbolic Mixed Problems

$$egin{aligned} & ilde{lpha}_i = rac{\partial ilde{a}_{im_i}}{\partial au} (ilde{\sigma}_0, ilde{\eta}_0), & ilde{eta}_i = rac{\partial ilde{a}_{im_i}}{\partial \eta} (ilde{\sigma}_0, ilde{\eta}_0), \ & ilde{eta}_{i\delta} = \{(au, \eta) \in V_\delta; \ | ilde{lpha}_i (au - ilde{\sigma}_0) + ilde{eta}_i \cdot (\eta - ilde{\eta}) | & \ & ext{ } \geq \cos heta_0 (| ilde{lpha}_i |^2 + | ilde{eta}_i |^2)^{rac{1}{2}} \cdot ilde{d} \} & ext{ if } m_i \ge 2, \ & ilde{eta}_{i\delta} = V_\delta & ext{ if } m_i = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{d} = \operatorname{dis} \{ (\tau, \eta), (\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0) \}.$$

Moreover let $\{\tilde{\xi}_{ij}^{\pm 0}(\tau, \eta)\}$ be roots of

$$(\xi - \tilde{\xi}_i)^{m_i} + \tilde{lpha}_i(\tau - \tilde{\sigma}_0) + \tilde{eta}_i \cdot (\eta - \tilde{\eta}_0) = 0,$$

then we have

Lemma 2.1. Let (σ_0, η_0) be a regular point, then there exist a neighbourhood U of (σ_0, η_0) and $\delta > 0$ $(V_{\delta} \subset U)$ such that for any point $(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0) \in S_i \cap U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \hat{\xi}_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta) - \tilde{\xi}_{ij}^{\pm0}(\tau, \eta) \right| &< C \bar{d}^{\frac{2}{m_i}} \quad in \; \tilde{\Delta}_{i\delta}, \\ \left| \frac{\partial \hat{\xi}_{ij}^{\pm}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, \eta) - \frac{\partial \tilde{\xi}_{ij}^{\pm0}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, \eta) \right| &< C \bar{d}^{-1 + \frac{2}{m_i}} \quad in \; \tilde{\Delta}_{i\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is independent not only of (τ, η) but also of $(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0)$.

Corollary 1. Let (σ_0, η_0) be a regular point, then for any $(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0) \in S_i \cap U$

$$c_{1}\tilde{d}^{\frac{1}{m_{i}}} \leq |\xi_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau,\eta) - \tilde{\xi}_{i}| \leq c_{2}\tilde{d}^{\frac{1}{m_{i}}} \quad in \; \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i\delta},$$

$$c_{1}\tilde{d}^{\frac{1}{m_{i}}} \leq |\xi_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau,\eta) - \xi_{ik}^{\pm}(\tau,\eta)| \leq c_{2}\tilde{d}^{\frac{1}{m_{i}}} \quad (j \neq k) \; in \; \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i\delta},$$

where c_1 and c_2 are independent of (τ, η) and $(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0)$.

We define $\tilde{\delta}^*_{ij\pm}$ in the same way as $\delta^*_{ij\pm}$, only replacing d by \tilde{d} , and $\tilde{d}^*_{\delta} = \bigcap \tilde{d}^{*i}_{i\delta}$, then

Corollary 2. Let (σ_0, η_0) be a regular point, then for any $(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0) \in S_i \cap U$

$$c_1 \tilde{\delta}^*_{ij\pm} \stackrel{1}{\overset{m_i}{=}} \leq |\operatorname{Im} \xi^{\pm}_{ij}(\tau, \eta)| \leq c_2 \tilde{\delta}^*_{ij\pm} \stackrel{1}{\overset{m_i}{=}} \quad in \; \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i\delta}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are independent of (τ, η) and $(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0)$.

Now let us denote

$$V_{i\delta}^{\pm} = \bigcup_{(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0)} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i\delta}^{\pm}, \ V_{\delta}^{\pm} = \bigwedge_{i=1}^N V_{i\delta}^{*i},$$

then we have

$$V_{\delta} = \bigvee_{\ast} V_{\delta}^{\ast}.$$

Moreover we denote for $m_i \geq 2$

and $\bar{\delta}^*_{ij\pm}(\tau, \eta) = \gamma$ for $m_i = 1$, then we have

Corollary 1'. Let (σ_0, η_0) be a regular point, then we have

$$c_1 d_i^{\frac{1}{m_i}} \leq |\xi_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta) - \xi_{ik}^{\pm}(\tau, \eta)| \leq c_2 d_i^{\frac{1}{m_i}} \qquad (j \neq k)$$

in V_{δ} .

Corollary 2'. Let (σ_0, η_0) be a regular point, then we have

$$c_1 \bar{\delta}^*_{ij\pm} \stackrel{1}{\underline{m}_i} \leq |\operatorname{Im} \xi^{\pm}_{ij}(\tau, \eta)| \leq c_2 \bar{\delta}^*_{ij\pm} \stackrel{1}{\underline{m}_i}$$

in V^*_{δ} .

Corollary 3. Let (σ_0, η_0) be regular, then we have

$$k \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \vdots\\ 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ \vdots\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{m_i^{\pm}} C_{ij\pm}^k(\tau,\eta) d_i^{-1+\frac{1}{m_i}} \begin{pmatrix} B_1(\tau,\eta;\xi_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau,\eta)) \\ \vdots\\ B_{\mu}(\tau,\eta;\xi_{ij}^{\pm}(\tau,\eta)) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^{M} C_{0j\pm}^{k}(\tau,\eta) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{B_{1}(\tau,\eta;\xi)\xi^{j-1}}{E_{\pm}(\tau,\eta;\xi)} d\xi \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{B_{\mu}(\tau,\eta;\xi)\xi^{j-1}}{E_{\pm}(\tau,\eta;\xi)} d\xi \end{pmatrix}$$

in V_{δ} , where $\{C_{ij\pm}^{k}(\tau, \eta), C_{0j\pm}^{k}(\tau, \eta)\}$ are bounded in V_{δ} .

2.2. Estimates of Green's function in V^*_{δ} . Let (σ_0, η_0) be a regular point, then it is shown that

$$c_1 I < S_{\pm}(\tau, \eta) < c_2 I$$
 in V_{δ} ,

in the same way as the proof of Lemma 1.3, making use of Lemma 2.1. Hence we have

Lemma 2.2. Let (σ_0, η_0) be regular, then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k} \right)^k G_c(\tau, \eta; x, y) \right\|_{L^2 \times L^2} \leq C |N_+(\tau, \eta) B(\tau, \eta) N_-(\tau, \eta)| \\ \leq C' \|G_c(\tau, \eta; x, y)\|_{\mathscr{L}(L^2 \times L^2, C^1)} \quad in \ V_\delta. \end{split}$$

Let us denote

$$\bar{D}_{\pm}^{*}(\tau, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \overbrace{(\bar{\delta}_{11\pm}^{*})^{-\frac{1}{2m_{1}}}}^{m_{\pm}^{+}} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ (\bar{\delta}_{1m_{1}^{\pm}\pm}^{*})^{-\frac{1}{2m_{1}}} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{in } V_{\delta}^{*}$$

and



then we have

Proposition 2. Let (σ_0, η_0) be regular, then there exist positive constants δ , c_1 and c_2 such that

$$\begin{split} c_1 |\bar{D}^*_+(\tau,\eta)\mathscr{B}(\tau,\eta)\bar{\mathscr{D}}_-(\tau,\eta)\bar{D}^*_-(\tau,\eta)| &\leq ||G_c(\tau,\eta;x,y)||_{\mathscr{L}(L^2,H^{m-1})} \\ &\leq c_2 |\bar{D}^*_+(\tau,\eta)\mathscr{B}(\tau,\eta)\bar{\mathscr{D}}_-(\tau,\eta)\bar{D}^*_-(\tau,\eta)| \quad in \ V^*_{\delta}. \end{split}$$

Theorem II. Let every real point be regular. In order that (P) is L^2 -well-posed, it is necessary and sufficient that there exist positive constants δ and C for each real point such that it holds

$$|\bar{D}^*_+(\tau, \eta)\mathscr{B}(\tau, \eta)\bar{\mathscr{D}}_-(\eta, \eta)\bar{D}^*_-(\tau, \eta)| < \frac{C}{\gamma} \quad in \ V^*_{\delta},$$

that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\beta_{ij,lh}(\tau,\eta)|\bar{\delta}_{ij+}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{l}}}d_{l}^{-1+\frac{1}{m_{l}}}\bar{\delta}_{lh-}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{l}}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} & (i \neq 0, \ l \neq 0), \\ |\beta_{0j,lh}(\tau,\eta)|d_{l}^{-1+\frac{1}{m_{l}}}\bar{\delta}_{lh-}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{l}}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} & (l \neq 0), \\ |\beta_{ij,0h}(\tau,\eta)|\bar{\delta}_{ij+}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{l}}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} & (i \neq 0), \\ |\beta_{0j,0h}(\tau,\eta)| < \frac{C}{\gamma} \end{cases}$$

in V_{δ}^* .

Since $\bar{\delta}_{ij\pm}^* \leq d_i$, we have

Corollary 1. Let every real point be regular. In order that (P) is

 L^2 -well-posed, it is necessary that

$$\begin{cases} |\beta_{ij,lh}(\tau,\eta)| d_i^{-\frac{1}{2m_i}} d_l^{-1+\frac{1}{2m_l}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} & (i \neq 0, l \neq 0), \\ |\beta_{0j,lh}(\tau,\eta)| d_l^{-1+\frac{1}{2m_l}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} & (l \neq 0), \\ |\beta_{ij,0h}(\tau,\eta)| d_i^{-\frac{1}{2m_i}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} & (i \neq 0), \\ |\beta_{0j,0h}(\tau,\eta)| < \frac{C}{\gamma} \end{cases}$$

in V_{δ} .

Making use of Corollary 3 of Lemma 2.1, it follows from Corollary 1 of Theorem II:

Corollary 2. Let every real point be regular. In order that (P) is L^2 -well-posed, it is necessary that

$$\begin{cases} |r_{ij,k}(\tau,\eta)| d_i^{-\frac{1}{2m_i}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} \quad (i \neq 0), \\ |r_{0j,k}(\tau,\eta)| < \frac{C}{\gamma} \end{cases}$$

in V_{δ} .

On the other hand, since $\bar{\delta}_{ij\pm}^* \ge \left(\frac{\gamma}{d_i}\right)^{m_i} d_i$, we have

Corollary 3. Let every real point be regular. In order that (P) is L^2 -well-posed, it is sufficient that

$$\begin{cases} |\beta_{ij,lh}(\tau,\eta)| d_l^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m_i}} d_l^{\left(\frac{l}{2} - \frac{1}{2m_l}\right)} < C & (i \neq 0, \ l \neq 0), \\ |\beta_{0j,lh}(\tau,\eta)| d_l^{-\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m_l}\right)} < \frac{C}{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}} & (l \neq 0), \\ |B_{ij,0h}(\tau,\eta)| d_i^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m_i}} < \frac{C}{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}} & (i \neq 0), \\ |\beta_{0j,0h}(\tau,\eta)| < \frac{C}{\gamma} \end{cases}$$

in V_{δ} .

2.3. Semi-uniform Lopatinski's condition. Let us denote in V_{δ}

$$\begin{split} \widehat{R}(\tau,\eta) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j < k} (\widehat{s}_{ij}^{+}(\tau,\eta) - \widehat{s}_{ik}^{+}(\tau,\eta))} \\ & \times \det \begin{pmatrix} B_{1}(\tau,\eta; \widehat{s}_{11}^{+}(\tau,\eta)) \cdots & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{B_{1}(\tau,\eta; \widehat{s}) \widehat{s}^{M-1}}{E_{+}(\tau,\eta; \widehat{s})} d\widehat{s} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ & B_{\mu}(\tau,\eta; \widehat{s}_{11}^{+}(\tau,\eta)) \cdots & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{B_{\mu}(\tau,\eta; \widehat{s}) \widehat{s}^{M-1}}{E_{+}(\tau,\eta; \widehat{s})} d\widehat{s} \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

$$ilde{R}_{i_0 j_0, l_0 h_0}(au, \eta)$$

$$=\frac{1}{\prod_{i \neq i_0} \prod_{j < k} (\hat{\xi}^+_{ij}(\tau, \eta) - \hat{\xi}^+_{ik}(\tau, \eta)) \prod_{\substack{j, k \neq j_0 \\ j < k}} (\hat{\xi}^+_{i_0j}(\tau, \eta) - \hat{\xi}^+_{i_0k}(\tau, \eta))}}{\times \frac{1}{\prod_j (\hat{\xi}^+_{i_0j}(\tau, \eta) - \hat{\xi}^-_{i_0h_0}(\tau, \eta))}}$$

$$\times \det \begin{pmatrix} B_{1}(\tau, \eta; \, \xi_{11}^{+}(\tau, \eta)) \cdots B_{1}(\tau, \eta; \, \xi_{i_{0}j_{0}-1}^{+}(\tau, \eta)) \\ \vdots \\ B_{\mu}(\tau, \eta; \, \xi_{11}^{-}(\tau, \eta)) \cdots B_{\mu}(\tau, \eta; \, \xi_{i_{0}j_{0}-1}^{+}(\tau, \eta)) \\ B_{1}(\tau, \eta; \, \xi_{\overline{l}_{0}h_{0}}^{+}(\tau, \eta)) B_{1}(\tau, \eta; \, \xi_{i_{0}j_{0}+1}^{+}(\tau, \eta)) \cdots \\ \vdots \\ B_{\mu}(\tau, \eta; \, \xi_{\overline{l}_{0}h_{0}}^{-}(\tau, \eta)) B_{\mu}(\tau, \eta; \, \xi_{i_{0}j_{0}+1}^{+}(\tau, \eta)) \cdots \\ (i_{0} \rightleftharpoons 0, \, l_{0} \rightleftharpoons 0),$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{R}_{0j_{0},l_{0}h_{0}}(\tau,\eta) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i} \prod_{j < k} (\hat{\xi}_{ij}^{+}(\tau,\eta) - \hat{\xi}_{ik}^{+}(\tau,\eta)) \prod_{j} (\hat{\xi}_{l_{0}j}^{+}(\tau,\eta) - \hat{\xi}_{\bar{l}_{0}h_{0}}^{-}(\tau,\eta))} \\ & \times \det \left(\begin{array}{c} \cdots \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{B_{1}(\tau,\eta;\hat{\xi}) \hat{\xi}^{j_{0}-2}}{E_{+}(\tau,\eta;\hat{\xi})} d\hat{\xi} & B_{1}(\tau,\eta;\hat{\xi}_{\bar{l}_{0}h_{0}}^{-}(\tau,\eta)) \\ & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{B_{1}(\tau,\eta;\hat{\xi}) \hat{\xi}^{j_{0}}}{E_{+}(\tau,\eta;\hat{\xi})} d\hat{\xi} & 0 \end{split} \right) \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{B_1(\tau, \eta; \xi) \xi^{j_0}}{E_+(\tau, \eta; \xi)} d\xi \cdots}{\vdots} \right) \qquad (l_0 \rightleftharpoons 0),$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{R}_{i_{b}j_{0},0h_{0}}(\tau,\eta) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i\neq i_{0}} \prod_{j < k} (\hat{\xi}_{ij}^{+}(\tau,\eta) - \hat{\xi}_{ik}^{+}(\tau,\eta))} \prod_{\substack{j,k\neq j_{0} \\ j < k^{i}}} (\hat{\xi}_{ij}^{+}(\tau,\eta) - \hat{\xi}_{i0k}^{+}(\tau,\eta))} \\ & \times \det \begin{pmatrix} \cdots B_{1}(\tau,\eta; \hat{\xi}_{i0j_{0}-1}^{+}(\tau,\eta)) & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{B_{1}(\tau,\eta; \hat{\xi}) \hat{\xi}^{h_{0}-1}}{E_{-}(\tau,\eta; \hat{\xi})} d\hat{\xi} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{1}(\tau,\eta; \hat{\xi}_{i0j_{0}+1}^{+}(\tau,\eta)) \cdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} (i_{0} \neq 0), \\ \tilde{R}_{0j_{0},0h_{0}}(\tau,\eta) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i} \prod_{j < k} (\hat{\xi}_{ij}^{+}(\tau,\eta) - \hat{\xi}_{ik}^{+}(\tau,\eta))} \\ & \times \det \begin{pmatrix} \cdots \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{B_{1}(\tau,\eta; \hat{\xi}) \hat{\xi}^{j_{0}-2}}{E_{+}(\tau,\eta; \hat{\xi})} d\hat{\xi} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{B_{1}(\tau,\eta; \hat{\xi}) \hat{\xi}^{h_{0}-1}}{E_{-}(\tau,\eta; \hat{\xi})} d\hat{\xi} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{B_{1}(\tau,\eta; \hat{\xi}) \hat{\xi}^{j_{0}}}{E_{+}(\tau,\eta; \hat{\xi})} d\hat{\xi} \cdots \\ & \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

then these are all bounded in V_{δ} .

Lemma 2.3. Let (σ_0, η_0) be regular, then there exist positive constants δ , c_1 and c_2 such that

$$\begin{cases} c_{1} |\beta_{ij,lh}(\tau,\eta)| d_{i} \frac{m_{i}^{t-1}}{m_{i}} d_{l} - \frac{m_{i}^{t}}{m_{l}} \leq \left| \frac{\tilde{R}_{ij,lh}(\tau,\eta)}{\tilde{R}(\tau,\eta)} \right| \\ \leq c_{2} |\beta_{ij,lh}(\tau,\eta)| d_{i} \frac{m_{i}^{t-1}}{m_{i}} d_{l} - \frac{m_{i}^{t}}{m_{l}} \quad (i \neq 0, l \neq 0), \\ c_{1} |\beta_{0j,lh}(\tau,\eta)| d_{l} - \frac{m_{i}^{t}}{m_{l}} \leq \left| \frac{\tilde{R}_{0j,lh}(\tau,\eta)}{\tilde{R}(\tau,\eta)} \right| \leq c_{2} |\beta_{0j,lh}(\tau,\eta)| d_{l} - \frac{m_{i}^{t}}{m_{l}} \quad (l \neq 0), \\ c_{1} |\beta_{ij,0h}(\tau,\eta)| d_{i} \frac{m_{i}^{t-1}}{m_{i}} \leq \left| \frac{\tilde{R}_{ij,0h}(\tau,\eta)}{\tilde{R}(\tau,\eta)} \right| \leq c_{2} |\beta_{ij,0h}(\tau,\eta)| d_{i} \frac{m_{i}^{t-1}}{m_{i}} \quad (i \neq 0), \\ c_{1} |\beta_{0j,0h}(\tau,\eta)| \leq \left| \frac{\tilde{R}_{0j,0h}(\tau,\eta)}{\tilde{R}(\tau,\eta)} \right| \leq c_{2} |\beta_{0j,0h}(\tau,\eta)| \end{cases}$$

in V_{δ} .

From Lemma 2.3, we have

Theorem II'. Let every real point be regular. In order that (P) is L^2 -well-posed, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\begin{split} & \left| \frac{\tilde{R}_{ij,lh}(\tau,\,\eta)}{\tilde{R}(\tau,\,\eta)} \right| d_{i}^{-\frac{m_{i}^{+}-1}{m_{i}}} \bar{\delta}_{ij+}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{i}}} d_{l}^{-\frac{m_{i}^{-}-1}{m_{l}}} \bar{\delta}_{lh-}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{i}}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} \quad (i \neq 0, \ l \neq 0), \\ & \left| \frac{\tilde{R}_{0j,lh}(\tau,\,\eta)}{\tilde{R}(\tau,\,\eta)} \right| d_{l}^{-\frac{m_{i}^{-}-1}{m_{l}}} \bar{\delta}_{lh-}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{l}}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} \quad (l \neq 0), \\ & \left| \frac{\tilde{R}_{ij,0h}(\tau,\,\eta)}{\tilde{R}(\tau,\,\eta)} \right| d_{i}^{-\frac{m_{i}^{+}-1}{m_{i}}} \bar{\delta}_{ij+}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{i}}} < \frac{C}{\gamma} \quad (i \neq 0), \\ & \left| \frac{\tilde{R}_{0j,0h}(\tau,\,\eta)}{\tilde{R}(\tau,\,\eta)} \right| < \frac{C}{\gamma} \end{split}$$

in V_{δ}^* .

Remark. The conditions stated in Theorem II' are satisfied if uniform Lopatinski's condition is satisfied, remarking that $\frac{m_i^{\pm} - \frac{1}{2}}{m_i} \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Now we consider a sufficient condition for L^2 -well-posedness, which is stated only by the word of Lopatinski's determinant $R(\tau, \eta)$. Let us denote

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{(\sigma, \eta) \in R^1 \times R^{n-1}; \sigma^2 + |\eta|^2 = 1, A(\sigma, \eta; \xi) \neq 0 \quad \text{for any } \xi \in R^1\}.$$

We say that semi-uniform Lopatinski's condition is satisfied for (P), when the following conditions are satisfied:

- i) let $(\sigma_0, \eta_0) \in (\overline{\mathcal{Q}})^c$, then $R(\sigma_0, \eta_0) \rightleftharpoons 0$,
- ii) let $(\sigma_0, \eta_0) \in \mathcal{Q}$, then $R(\sigma_0, \eta_0) \rightleftharpoons 0$ or $R'_{\tau}(\sigma_0, \eta_0) \rightleftharpoons 0$,
- iii) let $(\sigma_0, \eta_0) \in \partial \Omega$, then there exists V_{δ} such that

$$|R(\tau, \eta)| \ge c \frac{\gamma}{d_0^{1-\frac{1}{\bar{m}}}} \quad \text{if } (\operatorname{Re} \tau, \eta) \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \bar{V}_{\delta},$$
$$|R(\tau, \eta)| \ge c d_0^{\frac{1}{\bar{m}}} \quad \text{if } (\operatorname{Re} \tau, \eta) \in (\bar{\mathcal{Q}})^C \cap \bar{V}_{\delta},$$

where

$$\overline{m} = \max_{i} \{m_{i}(\sigma_{0}, \eta_{0})\}, \qquad d_{0} = \operatorname{dis}((\tau, \eta), \partial \Omega).$$

Theorem III. Let every point of $\partial \Omega$ be regular. Then semiuniform Lopatinski's condition is a sufficient condition for L^2 -well-posedness for (P).

Proof. Let $(\sigma_0, \eta_0) \in \partial \mathcal{Q}(\rightleftharpoons \phi)$, then all the indexes $\{m_i(\sigma_0, \eta_0)\}$ are even, that is, $m_i^+(\sigma_0, \eta_0) = m_i^-(\sigma_0, \eta_0)$. Since

$$\begin{cases} d_0 \leq d_i & \text{ if } (\operatorname{Re} \tau, \eta) \in \mathcal{Q}, \\ d_0 \geq d_i & \text{ if } (\operatorname{Re} \tau, \eta) \in (\bar{\mathcal{Q}})^C, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \bar{\delta}_{ij\pm}^* &= d_i (\geq d_0) \quad \text{ if } (\operatorname{Re} \tau, \eta) \in \mathcal{Q}, \\ \bar{\delta}_{ij\pm}^* &\geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{d_i}\right)^{m_i} d_i \quad \text{ if } (\operatorname{Re} \tau, \eta) \in (\bar{\mathcal{Q}})^c, \end{split}$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} d_{i}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m_{i}}} \bar{\delta}_{ij\pm}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{i}}} = d_{i}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2m_{i}}} \leq d_{i}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2m}} & \text{if } (\operatorname{Re}\tau,\eta) \in \mathcal{Q}, \\ \\ d_{i}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m_{i}}} \bar{\delta}_{ij\pm}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{i}}} \leq \frac{d_{i}^{-\frac{1}{2m_{i}}}}{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_{0}^{-\frac{1}{2m}} & \text{if } (\operatorname{Re}\tau,\eta) \in (\bar{\mathcal{Q}})^{c}, \end{cases}$$

therefore we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{\tilde{R}_{ij,lh}(\tau,\eta)}{\tilde{R}(\tau,\eta)} \right| d_i^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m_i}} \bar{\delta}_{ij+}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_i}} d_l^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m_l}} \bar{\delta}_{lh-}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_l}} \\ & \leq \frac{C d_0^{-1+\frac{1}{m_i}}}{|R(\tau,\eta)|} \leq \frac{C'}{\gamma} \quad \text{if } (\operatorname{Re}\tau,\eta) \in \mathcal{Q}, \\ \left| \frac{\tilde{R}_{ij,lh}(\tau,\eta)}{\tilde{R}(\tau,\eta)} \right| d_i^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m_i}} \bar{\delta}_{ij+}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_i}} d_l^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m_l}} \bar{\delta}_{lh-}^{*}^{-\frac{1}{2m_l}} \\ & \leq \frac{C \gamma^{-1} d_0^{\frac{1}{m_l}}}{|R(\tau,\eta)|} \leq \frac{C'}{\gamma} \quad \text{if } (\operatorname{Re}\tau,\eta) \in (\bar{\mathcal{Q}})^c, \end{split}$$

and so on.

Q.E.D.

Example. Let (P) be defined by

$$\begin{cases} A = (\xi^{2} + |\eta|^{2} - \alpha^{2}\tau^{2})(\xi^{2} + |\eta|^{2} - \beta^{2}\tau^{2}) & (\alpha > \beta > 0), \\ B_{1} = \xi^{2} + |\eta|^{2} - \alpha^{2}\tau^{2}, \\ B_{2} = \xi - i(a\tau + b\eta) & (a, b: \text{ real}). \end{cases}$$

Then uniform Lopatinski's condition is never satisfied, but Lopatinski's condition is satisfied if and only if

$$|b|^2 - \frac{a^2}{\alpha^2} \leq 1.$$

If $b^2 - \frac{a^2}{\alpha^2} = 1$, then (P) is not L^2 -well-posed. In fact, let $\{\xi_1^{\pm}(\tau, \eta)\}$ be roots of $\xi^2 + |\eta|^2 - \alpha^2 \tau^2 = 0$, let $\{\xi_2^{\pm}(\tau, \eta)\}$ be roots of $\xi^2 + |\eta|^2 - \beta^2 \tau^2 = 0$, then

$$R(\tau, \eta) = \frac{1}{\xi_2^+(\tau, \eta) - \xi_1^+(\tau, \eta)} \det \begin{pmatrix} B_1(\tau, \eta; \xi_1^+(\tau, \eta)) & B_1(\tau, \eta; \xi_2^+(\tau, \eta)) \\ B_2(\tau, \eta; \xi_1^+(\tau, \eta)) & B_2(\tau, \eta; \xi_2^+(\tau, \eta)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let
$$\sigma_0 = -\frac{a}{\alpha^2}$$
, $\eta_0 = b$, then $R(\sigma_0, \eta_0) = R'_{\tau}(\sigma_0, \eta_0) = 0$, and

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} B_1(\sigma_0, \eta_0; \hat{\varepsilon}_1^-(\sigma_0, \eta_0)) & B_1(\sigma_0, \eta_0; \hat{\varepsilon}_2^+(\sigma_0, \eta_0)) \\ B_2(\sigma_0, \eta_0; \hat{\varepsilon}_1^-(\sigma_0, \eta_0)) & B_2(\sigma_0, \eta_0; \hat{\varepsilon}_2^+(\sigma_0, \eta_0)) \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow 0$$

therefore

$$\frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} B_1(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0; \, \hat{\varsigma}_1^-(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0)) & B_1(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0; \, \hat{\varsigma}_2^+(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0)) \\ B_2(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0; \, \hat{\varsigma}_1^-(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0)) & B_2(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0; \, \hat{\varsigma}_2^+(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0)) \end{pmatrix}}{\det \begin{pmatrix} B_1(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0; \, \hat{\varsigma}_1^+(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0)) & B_1(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0; \, \hat{\varsigma}_2^+(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0)) \\ B_2(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0; \, \hat{\varsigma}_1^+(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0)) & B_2(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0; \, \hat{\varsigma}_2^+(\sigma_0 - i\gamma, \eta_0)) \end{pmatrix}} \\ & \geq \frac{c}{\gamma^2} \qquad (0 < \gamma < \gamma_0),$$

which contradict to the necessary condition for L^2 -well-posedness. If $b^2 - \frac{a^2}{\alpha^2} < 1$, then semi-uniform Lopatinski's condition is satisfied, therefore (P) is L^2 -well-posed.

References

- Agmon, S., Problèmes mixtes pour les équations hyperboliques d'ordre supérieur, Colloque Internationaux du C.N.R.S. (1962), 13-18.
- [2] Mizohata, S., Quelques Problèmes au Bord, du Type Mixte, pour des Equations Hyperboliques, Collège de France (1966-1967), 23-60.
- [3] Ikawa, M., Mixed problems for hyperbolic equations of second order, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 20 (1968), 580-608.
- [4] Miyatake, S., A remark on singular integral operators and reflection principle for some mixed problems, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 45 (1969), 547-551.
- [5] Agemi, R. and T. Shirota, On the necessary and sufficient conditions for L²-well-posedness of mixed problems for hyperbolic equations, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I, 21 (1970), 133-151.